the required increment of progress has been met.

(8) If a performance test is necessary for a determination as to whether compliance has been achieved, such a test must be completed by the final compliance date in the applicable regulation. Ten days prior to such a test, notice must be given to the Administrator to afford him the opportunity to have an observer present.

(9) (i) None of the above paragraphs shall apply to a source which is presently in compliance with applicable regulations and which has certified such compliance to the Administrator by October 1, 1973. The Administrator may request whatever supporting information he considers necessary for proper certification.

(ii) Any compliance schedule adopted by the State and approved by the Administrator shall satisfy the requirements of this paragraph for the affected source.

(iii) Any owner or operator subject to a compliance schedule in this paragraph may submit to the Administrator no later than October 1, 1973, a proposed alternative compliance schedule. No such compliance schedule may provide for final compliance after the final compliance date in the applicable compliance schedule of this paragraph. If promulgated by the Administrator, such schedule shall satisfy the requirements of this paragraph for the affected source.

(iv) The requirements of this paragraph shall not apply to the following sources for which a request for a postponement of the applicability of regulation X had been submitted pursuant to section 110(f) of the Act prior to the date of publication of this regulation:

Source	Location
Kammer Station, Ohio Power Company	Moundsville.
Mitchell Station, Ohio Power Company	Do.
Harrison Station, Monongahela Power Company.	Haywood.
Fort Martin Station, Monongahela Power Company.	Maidsville.

(10) Nothing in this paragraph shall preclude the Administrator from promulgating a separate schedule for any source to which the application of the compliance schedule in paragraph (b) (2), (3), (5), or (6) of this section fails to satisfy the requirements of §§ 51.261 and 51.262(a) of this chapter.

[38 FR 16170, June 20, 1973, as amended at 38 FR 22751, Aug. 23, 1973; 38 FR 24342, Sept. 7, 1973; 39 FR 32560, Sept. 9, 1974; 40 FR 3569, Jan. 23, 1975; 51 FR 40676, 40677, Nov. 7, 1986; 54 FR 25258, June 14, 1989]

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 78 FR 33985, June 6, 2013, § 52.2524 was removed and reserved, effective Aug. 5, 2013.

§ 52.2525 Control strategy: Sulfur dioxide.

(a) The provisions of § 51.112(a) are not met because the State did not adequately demonstrate that the deletion of section 3.03(b) of West Virginia regulation X as it applies to the Rivesville plant would not interfere with attainment and maintenance of the national ambient air quality standard.

(b) EPA approves the attainment demonstration State Implementation Plan for the City of Weirton, including the Clay and Butler Magisterial Districts area in Hancock County, West Virginia, submitted by the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection on December 29, 2003.

[43 FR 52240, Nov. 9, 1978, as amended at 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986; 69 FR 24992, May 5, 2004]

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 78 FR 33985, June 6, 2013, in § 52.2525, paragraph (a) was removed and reserved, effective Aug. 5, 2013.

§ 52.2526 Control strategy: Particulate matter.

(a) EPA approves West Virginia's November 15, 1991 SIP submittal for fulfilling the PM_{10}-specific requirement of part D for contingency measures required under section 172(c)(9) of the Clean Air Act applicable to the Follansbee, West Virginia PM_{10} nonattainment area.

(b) *Determinations of Attainment.* EPA has determined, as of November 20, 2009, the Martinsburg-Hagerstown, WV-MD, the Parkersburg-Marietta, WV-OH and the Wheeling, WV-OH $PM_{2.5}$ nonattainment areas have attained the 1997 $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS. These determinations, in accordance with 40 CFR 52.1004(c), suspend the requirements for these areas to submit an attainment demonstration, associated reasonably available control measures, a reasonable further progress plan, contingency