

changes can be logically divided into two categories: (1) Annualized startup/ capital and operational costs associated with CAIR affected units that are not also affected by the ARP program and (2) incremental operational costs for ARP affected units that are also subject to the CAIR program. The previous 2009–2011 ICR period contained a number of one-time costs and burdens associated with facilities/units either transitioning into the CAIR program from the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call program or facilities/units previously affected by ARP that were required to make changes as part of CAIR. These one-time costs and burdens were fully realized in the 2009–2011 period.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 22 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** Sources subject to the CAIR program.  
**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 1,077.  
**Frequency of response:** Quarterly.  
**Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:** 265,292 hours.

**Estimated Total Annual Costs:** \$40,819,163, which included \$22,539,614 in capital and O&M costs.  
**Changes in the Estimates:** There is a decrease of 141,747 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This change from the previous ICR is due to three major differences. (1) The previous ICR included a number of one-time transition burdens associated with the incorporation of NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call sources and incremental changes for ARP sources. Those one time burdens were fully accounted for in the previous ICR and are not included in the 2012–2014 period. (2) The previous ICR also included a State and local reporting burden associated with annual and triennial emissions inventory reporting. This reporting requirement was removed with changes to 40 CFR 51.125 published in 76 FR 48353 on August 8, 2011. (3) The overall number of facilities slightly declined despite the previous administrative change to include Delaware and New Jersey.

**John Moses,**  
 Director, Collection Strategies Division.  
 [FR Doc. 2012–12322 Filed 5–21–12; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL9675–2]

**Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Withdrawal of Nine Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).  
**ACTION:** Notice of Withdrawal of Nine TMDLs.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA hereby withdraws nine final Total Maximum Daily Loads

(TMDLs) for Chloride, Sulfate, and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) for the Bayou de L’Outre Watershed in Arkansas. The EPA withdraws the Bayou de L’Outre TMDLs due to the discovery of inconsistencies in the values used to derive the flow and load duration curves, resulting in the calculation of TMDLs which do not accurately reflect the loading capacity of the segments. This action does not affect seven other final TMDLs published under the same **Federal Register** notice (see 76 FR 52947) which pertain to segments 08040203–010, 08040204–006, and 08040206–015, –016, –716, –816, –916. The Agency hereby withdraws the final TMDLs pertaining to segments 08040202–006, –007, and –008 with respect to Chlorides, Sulfates and TDS.

**Public Participation:** EPA received five comment letters from representatives of Clean Harbors Environmental Services, Clean Harbors Environmental Services—El Dorado, El Dorado Water Utility, Great Lakes Chemical Corporation—Chemtura, and Lion Oil Company in support of the withdrawal of nine TMDLs pertaining to Bayou de L’Outre. The Agency did not receive any adverse comments relating to the withdrawal action.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The TMDLs were developed under EPA Contract Number 68–C–02–108. The **Federal Register** notice of availability, seeking public comments on the draft TMDLs, was published on December 17, 2007 (see 72 FR 71409). Public comments were received by January 16, 2008, and a response to each comment was provided. The **Federal Register** notice of availability for the final TMDLs was published on August 24, 2011 (see 76 FR 52947). The nine pollutant pairs for Bayou de L’Outre subject to withdrawal are as follows.

Segment (Reach)	Waterbody name	Pollutant
08040202–006 .....	Bayou de L’Outre .....	Chloride, Sulfate, TDS.
08040202–007 .....	Bayou de L’Outre .....	Chloride, Sulfate, TDS.
08040202–008 .....	Bayou de L’Outre .....	Chloride, Sulfate, TDS.

The 2008 Arkansas Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 303(d) list of impaired waters is the current EPA approved list, and includes the three Bayou de L’Outre segments addressed by this action. This action does not affect the listing of the aforementioned segments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
 Diane Smith, Environmental Protection Specialist, Water Quality Protection Division, U.S. EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross

Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202–2733, (214) 665–2145.

Dated: May 9, 2012.  
**William K. Honker,**  
 Acting Director, Water Quality Protection Division, EPA Region 6  
 [FR Doc. 2012–12360 Filed 5–21–12; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[EPA–HQ–OW–2011–0787; FRL–9674–8]

**Final National Recommended Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Carbaryl—2012**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).