

111TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

H. RES. 1734

Reaffirming Congressional opposition to the unilateral declaration of a
Palestinian state, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOVEMBER 29, 2010

Mr. POE of Texas (for himself, Ms. BERKLEY, Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN, Mr. WEINER, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, and Mr. ACKERMAN) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs

RESOLUTION

Reaffirming Congressional opposition to the unilateral
declaration of a Palestinian state, and for other purposes.

Whereas a true and lasting peace between Israel and the Palestinians can only be achieved through direct negotiations between the parties;

Whereas the leadership of the Palestinian Authority (PA) and the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO) have repeatedly refused to negotiate directly with the Government of Israel, demanding unprecedented preconditions from Israel in exchange for their return to direct negotiations;

Whereas Palestinian leaders have repeatedly threatened to unilaterally declare a Palestinian state and to seek rec-

ognition of a Palestinian state by the United Nations and other international forums;

Whereas Palestinian leaders are reportedly holding high-level discussions on pursuing recognition of a Palestinian state by the United Nations and other international forums;

Whereas UN Special Coordinator for the Middle East Peace Process Robert Serry on October 26, 2010, expressed his support for recognition of a Palestinian state by the United Nations;

Whereas, on March 11, 1999, the Senate adopted Senate Concurrent Resolution 5, and on March 16, 1999, the House of Representatives adopted House Concurrent Resolution 24, both of which resolved that “any attempt to establish Palestinian statehood outside the negotiating process will invoke the strongest congressional opposition.”;

Whereas Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton stated on October 20, 2010, that “There is no substitute for face-to-face discussion and, ultimately, for an agreement that leads to a just and lasting peace.”;

Whereas Secretary Clinton stated on November 10, 2010, that “Negotiations between the parties is the only means by which all the outstanding claims arising out of the [Israeli-Palestinian] conflict can be resolved. . . . So we do not support unilateral steps by either party that could prejudge the outcome of such negotiations.”;

Whereas, on November 10, 2010, the Israeli Knesset adopted a resolution “reject[ing] entirely the threats of some Palestinian leaders to declare unilateral Palestinian statehood . . . [affirming] that all points of dispute must be debated only within the framework of direct negotiations

. . . [and] call[ing] on the Palestinian leadership to return to the negotiating table.”;

Whereas Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu of Israel, in a speech at Bar Ilan University in Israel on June 14, 2009, stated that “[A] fundamental prerequisite for ending the conflict is a public, binding and unequivocal Palestinian recognition of Israel as the nation state of the Jewish people. . . . Therefore, today we ask our friends in the international community, led by the United States, for what is critical to the security of Israel: Clear commitments that in a future peace agreement, the territory controlled by the Palestinians will be demilitarized. . . . If we receive this guarantee regarding demilitarization and Israel’s security needs, and if the Palestinians recognize Israel as the State of the Jewish people, then we will be ready in a future peace agreement to reach a solution where a demilitarized Palestinian state exists alongside the Jewish state.”;

Whereas efforts to bypass negotiations and to unilaterally declare a Palestinian state or to appeal to the United Nations or other international forums for recognition of a Palestinian state would clearly and fundamentally violate the underlying principles of the 1993 Oslo Accords and the Middle East peace process, and represent another instance of the Palestinian leadership’s noncompliance with its commitments under existing agreements; and

Whereas United States opposition to any unilateral Palestinian declaration of statehood or related measures should be reaffirmed strongly and unequivocally: Now, therefore, be it

1 *Resolved*, by the House of Representatives that Con-
2 gress—

3 (1) reaffirms its strong opposition to any at-
4 tempt to establish a Palestinian state outside the ne-
5 gotiating process;

6 (2) strongly and unequivocally opposes any at-
7 tempt to seek recognition of a Palestinian state by
8 the United Nations or other international forums;

9 (3) calls upon the Administration to continue
10 its opposition to the unilateral declaration of a Pal-
11 estinian state;

12 (4) calls upon the Administration to affirm that
13 the United States would deny any recognition, legit-
14 imacy, or support of any kind to any unilaterally de-
15 clared “Palestinian state” and would urge other re-
16 sponsible nations to follow suit, and to make clear
17 that any such unilateral declaration would constitute
18 a grievous violation of the principles underlying the
19 Oslo Accords and the Middle East peace process;

20 (5) calls upon the Administration to affirm that
21 the United States will oppose any attempt to seek
22 recognition of a Palestinian state by the United Na-
23 tions or other international forums and will veto any
24 resolution to that end by the United Nations Secu-
25 rity Council;

1 (6) calls upon the President and the Secretary
2 of State to lead a high-level diplomatic effort to en-
3 courage the European Union and other responsible
4 nations to strongly and unequivocally oppose the
5 unilateral declaration of a Palestinian state or any
6 attempt to seek recognition of a Palestinian state by
7 the United Nations or other international forums;
8 and

9 (7) supports the resolution of the Israeli-Pales-
10 tinian conflict and the achievement of a true and
11 lasting peace through direct negotiations between
12 the parties.

○