

the time for reasons deemed equitable. The appellant, the applicant or permittee if different, and, other interested persons may appear personally or by counsel at the hearing and submit such material and present such arguments as determined appropriate by the hearing officer. Within 30 days of the last day of the hearing, the hearing officer shall recommend a decision in writing to the Administrator.

(d) The Administrator may adopt the hearing officer's recommended decision, in whole or in part, or may reject or modify it. In any event, the Administrator shall notify the interested persons of his/her decision, and the reason(s) therefor in writing within 30 days of receipt of the recommended decision of the hearing officer. The Administrator's decision shall constitute final action for the Agency for the purposes of the Administrative Procedure Act.

(e) Any time limit prescribed in this section may be extended by the Administrator for good cause for a period not to exceed 30 days, either upon his/her own motion or upon written request from the appellant, permit applicant or permittee, stating the reason(s) therefor.

[48 FR 55118, Dec. 9, 1983, as amended at 49 FR 1041, Jan. 6, 1984]

PART 930—FEDERAL CONSISTENCY WITH APPROVED COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

Subpart A—Objectives

Sec.

930.1 Overall objectives.

Subpart B—General Definitions

930.10 Index to definitions.
 930.11 Act.
 930.12 Section.
 930.13 Secretary.
 930.14 Executive Office of the President.
 930.15 OCZM.
 930.16 Assistant Administrator.
 930.17 Federal agency.
 930.18 State agency.
 930.19 Management program.
 930.20 Coastal zone.
 930.21 Associated facilities.

Subpart C—Consistency for Federal Activities

930.30 Objectives.
 930.31 Federal activity.
 930.32 Consistent to the maximum extent practicable.
 930.33 Identifying Federal activities directly affecting the coastal zone.
 930.34 Federal agency consistency determinations.
 930.35 Federal and State agency coordination.
 930.36 Availability of mediation for negative determination disputes.
 930.37 Consistency determinations for proposed activities.
 930.38 Consistency determinations for activities initiated prior to management program approval.
 930.39 Content of a consistency determination.
 930.40 Multiple Federal agency participation.
 930.41 State agency response.
 930.42 State agency disagreement.
 930.43 Availability of mediation for disputes concerning proposed activities.
 930.44 Availability of mediation for previously reviewed activities.

Subpart D—Consistency for Activities Requiring a Federal License or Permit

930.50 Objectives.
 930.51 Federal license or permit.
 930.52 Applicant.
 930.53 Management program license and permit listing.
 930.54 Unlisted Federal license and permit activities.
 930.55 Availability of mediation for license or permit disputes.
 930.56 State agency guidance and assistance to applicants; information requirements.
 930.57 Consistency certifications.
 930.58 Necessary data and information.
 930.59 Multiple permit review.
 930.60 Commencement of State agency review.
 930.61 Public notice.
 930.62 Public hearings.
 930.63 State agency concurrence with a consistency certification.
 930.64 State agency objection to a consistency certification.
 930.65 Federal permitting agency responsibility.
 930.66 Availability of mediation for previously reviewed activities.

Subpart E—Consistency for Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Exploration, Development and Production Activities

930.70 Objectives.

§ 930.1

15 CFR Ch. IX (1–1–97 Edition)

- 930.71 Federal license or permit activity described in detail.
- 930.72 Person.
- 930.73 OCS plan.
- 930.74 OCS activities subject to State agency review.
- 930.75 State agency assistance to persons; information requirements.
- 930.76 Submission of an OCS plan and consistency certification.
- 930.77 Necessary data and information.
- 930.78 Commencement of State agency review; public notice.
- 930.79 State agency concurrence or objection.
- 930.80 Effect of State agency concurrence.
- 930.81 Federal permitting agency responsibility.
- 930.82 Multiple permit review.
- 930.83 Amended or new OCS plans.
- 930.84 Review of amended or new OCS plans; public notice.
- 930.85 Continuing State agency objections.
- 930.86 Failure to comply substantially with an approved OCS plan.

Subpart F—Consistency for Federal Assistance to State and Local Governments

- 930.90 Objectives.
- 930.91 Federal assistance.
- 930.92 Applicant agency.
- 930.93 Intergovernmental review process.
- 930.94 State intergovernmental review process for consistency.
- 930.95 Guidance provided by the State agency.
- 930.96 Consistency review.
- 930.97 Federal assisting agency responsibility.
- 930.98 Federally assisted activities outside of the coastal zone or the described geographic area.
- 930.99 Availability of mediation for Federal assistance disputes.
- 930.100 Availability of mediation for previously reviewed activities.

Subpart G—Secretarial Mediation

- 930.110 Objectives.
- 930.111 Informal negotiations.
- 930.112 Request for mediation.
- 930.113 Public hearings.
- 930.114 Secretarial mediation efforts.
- 930.115 Termination of mediation.
- 930.116 Judicial review.

Subpart H—Secretarial Review Related to the Objectives or Purposes of the Act and National Security Interests

- 930.120 Objectives.
- 930.121 Consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act.
- 930.122 Necessary in the interest of national security.

- 930.123 Appellant.
- 930.124 Informal discussions.
- 930.125 Appeals to the Secretary.
- 930.126 Federal and State agency responses to appeals.
- 930.127 Public notice; receipt of comments.
- 930.128 Dismissal of appeals.
- 930.129 Public hearings.
- 930.130 Secretarial review.
- 930.131 Federal agency responsibility.
- 930.132 Review initiated by the Secretary.
- 930.133 Public notice; receipt of comments; public hearings.
- 930.134 Secretarial review; Federal agency responsibility.

Subpart I—Assistant Administrator Reporting and Continuing Review of Federal Actions Subject to the Federal Consistency Requirements

- 930.140 Objectives.
- 930.141 Notification of Federal actions believed to be inconsistent with approved management programs.
- 930.142 Notification of Federal actions believed to have been incorrectly determined to be inconsistent with an approved management program.
- 930.143 Assistant Administrator reporting.
- 930.144 Assistant Administrator advisory statements.
- 930.145 Review of the implementation of the Federal consistency provisions.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 307, 316 and 317, Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, Pub. L. 92–583, 86 Stat. 1280 (16 U.S.C. 1451 et seq.), as amended by Pub. L. 94–370, 90 Stat. 1013.

SOURCE: 44 FR 37143, June 25, 1979, unless otherwise noted.

Subpart A—Objectives

§ 930.1 Overall objectives.

The objectives of these regulations are:

(a) To describe the obligations of all agencies, individuals and other parties who are required to comply with the Federal consistency provisions of the Coastal Zone Management Act;

(b) To implement the Federal consistency provisions in a manner which strikes a balance between the need to ensure consistency for Federal actions affecting the coastal zone with approved coastal management and the need to promote Federal programs;

(c) To provide flexible procedures which foster intergovernmental cooperation and minimize duplicative effort and unnecessary delay, while making certain that the objectives of the

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Adm., Commerce

§ 930.16

Federal consistency provisions of the Act are satisfied;

(d) To interpret significant terms in the Federal consistency provisions so that they can be uniformly understood and adhered to by all agencies, individuals and other affected parties;

(e) To provide procedures to make certain that all Federal agency and State agency consistency decisions are directly related to the objectives, policies, standards and other criteria set forth in, or referenced as part of, approved coastal management programs;

(f) To provide procedures which the Secretary, in cooperation with the Executive Office of the President, may use to mediate serious disagreements which arise between Federal and State agencies during the administration of approved coastal management programs;

(g) To provide procedures which permit the Secretary to review Federal license or permit activities, or Federal assistance activities, to determine whether they are consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act, or are necessary in the interest of national security;

(h) To provide procedures which permit interested parties to notify the Assistant Administrator for Coastal Zone Management of Federal actions believed to be inconsistent with approved coastal management programs, or believed to have been incorrectly determined to be inconsistent with an approved management program; and

(i) To provide procedures for the reporting of any Federal actions found by the Assistant Administrator for Coastal Zone Management to be inconsistent with an approved coastal zone management program, and for the performance review of State implementation of the Federal consistency provisions.

Subpart B—General Definitions

§ 930.10 Index to definitions.

The following list includes all terms defined in part 930 of this title keyed to the section or paragraph in which they are defined.

Term	Section
Act	930.11
Appellant	930.123

Term	Section
Applicant	930.52
Applicant agency	930.92
Assistant Administrator	930.16
Associated facilities	930.21
Coastal Zone	930.29
Consistent to the maximum extent practicable	930.32
Consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act	930.121
Development project	930.31(b)
Executive Office of the President	930.14
Failure substantially to comply with an OCS plan	930.86(d)
Federal activity	930.31
Federal agency	930.17
Federal assistance	930.91
Federal license or permit	930.51
Federal license or permit activity described in detail	930.71
Management program	930.19
Necessary in the interest of national security ...	930.122
OCS plan	930.73
OCZM	930.15
OMB A-95 process	930.93
Person	930.72
Secretary	930.13
Section	930.12
State agency	930.18

§ 930.11 Act.

The term *Act* means the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1451 *et seq.*).

§ 930.12 Section.

The term *Section* means a section of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended.

§ 930.13 Secretary.

The term *Secretary* means the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

§ 930.14 Executive Office of the President.

The term *Executive Office of the President* means the office, council, board, or other entity within the Executive Office of the President which shall participate with the Secretary in seeking to mediate serious disagreements which may arise between a Federal agency and a coastal State.

§ 930.15 OCZM.

The term *OCZM* means the Office of Coastal Zone Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

§ 930.16 Assistant Administrator.

The term *Assistant Administrator* means the Assistant Administrator for

Coastal Zone Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

§ 930.17 Federal agency.

The term *Federal agency* means any department, agency, board, commission, council, independent office or similar entity within the executive branch of the Federal government, or any wholly owned Federal government corporation.

§ 930.18 State agency.

(a) The term *State agency* means the agency of the State government designated pursuant to section 306(c)(5) of the Act to receive and administer grants for an approved coastal management program, or a single designee State agency appointed by the 306(c)(5) State agency. Any appointment by the 306(c)(5) State agency of a designee agency must be described in the State's management program. In the absence of such description, all consistency determinations, consistency certifications and Federal assistance proposals shall be sent to and reviewed by the 306(c)(5) State agency.

(b) The State agency is responsible for commenting on Federal agency consistency determinations (see subpart C of this part), concurring with or objecting to consistency certifications for Federal licenses, permits, and Outer Continental Shelf plans (see subparts D and E of this part), and reviewing the consistency of Federal assistance activities proposed by State or local government agencies (see subpart F of this part). The State agency shall be responsible for securing necessary review and comment from other State, regional, or local government agencies. Thereafter, only the State agency is authorized to comment officially on a Federal consistency determination, concur with or object to a consistency certification, or determine the consistency of a proposed Federal assistance activity.

§ 930.19 Management program.

The term *management program* has the same definition as provided in section 304(11) of the Act, except that for the purposes of this part the term is limited to those management programs

adopted by a coastal State in accordance with the provisions of section 306 of the Act, and approved by the Assistant Administrator.

§ 930.20 Coastal zone.

The term *coastal zone* has the same definition as provided in section 304(1) of the Act.

§ 930.21 Associated facilities.

The term *associated facilities* describes all proposed facilities:

(a) Which are specifically designed, located, constructed, operated, adapted, or otherwise used, in full or in major part, to meet the needs of a Federal action (e.g., activity, development project, license, permit, or assistance), and

(b) Without which the Federal action, as proposed, could not be conducted.

All further requirements in this part related to the review of and consistency for Federal activities including development projects (see subpart C of this part), Federal license and permit activities (see subparts D and E of this part) and Federal assistance activities (see subpart F of this part) also apply to associated facilities related to those Federal actions. Therefore, the proponent of a Federal action must consider whether the Federal action and its associated facilities affect the coastal zone and, if so, whether these interrelated activities satisfy the relevant consistency requirement of the Act.

Subpart C—Consistency for Federal Activities

§ 930.30 Objectives.

The provisions of this subpart are provided to assure that all federally conducted or supported activities including development projects directly affecting the coastal zone are undertaken in a manner consistent to the maximum extent practicable with approved State coastal management programs.

§ 930.31 Federal activity.

(a) The term *Federal activity* means any functions performed by or on behalf of a Federal agency in the exercise of its statutory responsibilities.

(b) A Federal development project is a Federal activity involving the planning, construction, modification, or removal of public works, facilities, or other structures, and the acquisition, utilization, or disposal of land or water resources.

(c) The term "Federal activity" does not include the issuance of a Federal license or permit to an applicant or person (see subparts D and E of this part) or the granting of Federal assistance to an applicant agency (see subpart F of this part).

§ 930.32 Consistent to the maximum extent practicable.

(a) The term "consistent to the maximum extent practicable" describes the requirement for Federal activities including development projects directly affecting the coastal zone of States with approved management programs to be fully consistent with such programs unless compliance is prohibited based upon the requirements of existing law applicable to the Federal agency's operations. If a Federal agency asserts that compliance with the management program is prohibited, it must clearly describe to the State agency the statutory provisions, legislative history, or other legal authority which limits the Federal agency's discretion to comply with the provisions of the management program.

The duty the Act imposes upon Federal agencies is not set aside by virtue of section 307(e). The Act was intended to cause substantive changes in Federal agency decisionmaking within the context of the discretionary powers residing within such agencies. Accordingly, when read together, sections 307(c) (1) and (2) and 307(e) require Federal agencies, whenever legally permissible, to consider State-management programs as supplemental requirements to be adhered to in addition to existing agency mandates.

(b) A Federal agency may deviate from full consistency with an approved management program when such deviation is justified because of some un-

foreseen circumstances arising after the approval of the management program which present the Federal agency with a substantial obstacle that prevents complete adherence to the approved program.

§ 930.33 Identifying Federal activities directly affecting the coastal zone.

(a) Federal agencies shall determine which of their activities directly affect the coastal zone of States with approved management programs.

(b) Federal agencies shall consider all development projects within the coastal zone to be activities directly affecting the coastal zone. All other types of activities within the coastal zone are subject to Federal agency review to determine whether they directly affect the coastal zone.

(c)(1) Federal activities outside of the coastal zone, as defined in section 304(1) of the Act, are subject to Federal agency review to determine whether they directly affect the coastal zone.

(2) OCS oil and gas lease sale activities conducted pursuant to the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1331 *et seq.*) are not Federal activities which directly affect the coastal zone within the meaning of section 307(c)(1) of the Act, and, therefore, are not subject to review under this subpart.

[44 FR 37143, June 25, 1979, as amended at 50 FR 35213, Aug. 30, 1985]

§ 930.34 Federal agency consistency determinations.

(a) Federal agencies shall provide State agencies with consistency determinations for all Federal activities directly affecting the coastal zone. The Federal agency may provide the State agency with this information in any manner it chooses so long as the requirements of this subpart are satisfied.

(b) Federal agencies shall provide State agencies with a consistency determination at the earliest practicable time in the planning or reassessment of the activity. A consistency determination should be prepared following development of sufficient information to determine reasonably the consistency of the activity with the State's management program, but before the Federal agency reaches a significant point

of decisionmaking in its review process. The consistency determination shall be provided to State agencies at least 90 days before final approval of the Federal activity unless both the Federal agency and the State agency agree to an alternative notification schedule.

§ 930.35 Federal and State agency coordination.

(a) State agencies should list in their management programs Federal activities which, in the opinion of the State agency, are likely to directly affect the coastal zone and require a Federal agency consistency determination. Listed Federal activities must be described in terms of the specific type of activity involved (e.g., Federal reclamation projects). In the event the State agency chooses to describe Federal activities outside of the coastal zone but likely to directly affect the coastal zone, it must also describe the geographic location of such activities (e.g., reclamation projects in coastal floodplains).

(b) State agencies should monitor unlisted Federal activities (e.g., by use of intergovernmental review process established pursuant to E.O. 12372, review, review of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental impact statements, etc.) and should notify Federal agencies of unlisted Federal activities which Federal agencies have not subjected to a consistency review but which, in the opinion of the State agency, directly affect the coastal zone and require a Federal agency consistency determination. State agencies must notify Federal agencies within 45 days from receipt of notice of the unlisted Federal activity, otherwise the State agency waives its right to request a consistency determination. The waiver does not apply in cases where the State agency does not receive notice of the Federal activity (e.g., for those Federal activities which are not processed through Intergovernmental Review Process established pursuant to E.O. 12372, NEPA review or a similar procedure which permits State agency monitoring).

(c) The recommended listing and monitoring procedures described in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section

are neither a substitute for nor eliminate Federal agency responsibility under §§ 930.33(b) and 930.34 to provide State agencies with consistency determinations for all development projects in the coastal zone and for all other Federal activities which the Federal agency finds directly affect the coastal zone.

(d) If a Federal agency decides that a consistency determination is not required for a Federal activity (1) identified by a State agency on its list or through case-by-case monitoring, (2) which is the same as or similar to activities for which consistency determinations have been prepared in the past, or (3) for which the Federal agency undertook a thorough consistency assessment and developed initial findings on the effects of the activity on the coastal zone, the Federal agency shall provide the State agency with a notification, at the earliest practicable time in the planning of the activity, briefly setting forth the reasons for its negative determination. A negative determination shall be provided to the State agency at least 90 days before final approval of the activity, unless both the Federal agency and the State agency agree to an alternative notification schedule.

(Executive Order 12372, July 14, 1982 (47 FR 30959), as amended April 8, 1983 (48 FR 15587); sec. 401, Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6506); sec. 204, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 as amended (42 U.S.C. 3334)).

[44 FR 37143, June 25, 1979, as amended at 48 FR 29136, June 24, 1983]

§ 930.36 Availability of mediation for negative determination disputes.

In the event of a serious disagreement between a Federal agency and a State agency regarding a determination related to whether a proposed activity directly affects the coastal zone, either party may seek the Secretarial mediation services provided for in subpart G.

§ 930.37 Consistency determinations for proposed activities.

(a) Federal agencies shall review their proposed Federal activities which directly affect the coastal zone in order

to develop consistency determinations which indicate whether such activities will be undertaken in a manner consistent to the maximum extent practicable with approved State management programs. Federal agencies are encouraged to consult with State agencies during their efforts to assess whether such activities will be consistent to the maximum extent practicable with such programs.

(b) In cases where Federal agencies will be performing repeated activity other than a development project (e.g., ongoing maintenance, waste disposal, etc.) which cumulatively has a direct effect upon the coastal zone, the agency may develop a general consistency determination thereby avoiding the necessity of issuing separate consistency determinations for each incremental action controlled by the major activity. A general consistency determination may only be used in situations where the incremental actions are repetitive or periodic, substantially similar in nature, and do not directly affect the coastal zone when performed separately. If a Federal agency issues a general consistency determination, it must thereafter periodically consult with the State agency to discuss the manner in which the incremental actions are being undertaken.

(c) In cases where the Federal agency has sufficient information to determine the consistency of a proposed development project from planning to completion, only one consistency determination will be required. However, in cases where major Federal decisions related to a proposed development project will be made in phases based upon developing information, with each subsequent phase subject to Federal agency discretion to implement alternative decisions based upon such information (e.g., planning, siting, and design decisions), a consistency determination will be required for each major decision. In cases of phased decisionmaking, Federal agencies shall ensure that the development project continues to be consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the State's management program.

§ 930.38 Consistency determinations for activities initiated prior to management program approval.

(a) A consistency determination will be required for ongoing Federal activities other than development projects (e.g., waste disposal practices) initiated prior to management program approval, which are governed by statutory authority under which the Federal agency retains discretion to reassess and modify the activity. In these cases the consistency determination must be made by the Federal agency at the earliest practicable time following management program approval, and the State agency must be provided with a consistency determination no later than 120 days after management program approval for ongoing activities which the State agency lists or identifies through monitoring as subject to consistency with the management program.

(b) A consistency determination shall be required for major, phased Federal development project decisions described in § 930.37(c) which are made following management program approval and are related to development projects initiated prior to program approval. In making these new decisions, Federal agencies shall consider coastal zone effects not fully evaluated at the outset of the project. This provision shall not apply to phased Federal decisions which were specifically described, considered and approved prior to management program approval (e.g., in a final environmental impact statement issued pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act).

§ 930.39 Content of a consistency determination.

(a) The consistency determination shall include a brief statement indicating whether or not the proposed activity will be undertaken in a manner consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the management program. The statement must be based upon an evaluation of the relevant provisions of the management program. The consistency determination shall also include a detailed description of the activity, its associated facilities,

and their coastal zone effects, and comprehensive data and information sufficient to support the Federal agency's consistency statement. The amount of detail in the statement evaluation, activity description and supporting information shall be commensurate with the expected effects of the activity on the coastal zone.

(b) Federal agencies shall be guided by the following in making their consistency determinations. The activity (e.g., project siting and construction), its direct effects (e.g., air, water, waste discharges, etc.), and associated facilities (e.g., proposed siting and construction of access road, connecting pipeline, support buildings, etc.) and the direct effects of the associated facilities (e.g., erosion, wetlands, beach access impacts, etc.) must all be consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the management program. Although nonassociated facilities (e.g., recreational housing which is induced by but not necessarily related to a Federal harbor dredging project—see §930.21) must be included within the consistency determination's description of the direct effects of the activity, Federal agencies are not responsible for evaluating the consistency of such facilities.

(c) In making their consistency determinations, Federal agencies shall give appropriate weight to the various types of provisions within the management program. Federal agencies must ensure that their activities are consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the enforceable, mandatory policies of the management program. However, Federal agencies need only give adequate consideration to management program provisions which are in the nature of recommendations. Finally, Federal agencies do not have to evaluate coastal zone effects for which the management program does not contain mandatory or recommended policies because, in the absence of such provisions, there is no basis for making a consistency determination with respect to such effects.

(d) When Federal agency standards are more restrictive than standards or requirements contained in the State's management program, the Federal agency may continue to apply its stricter standards (e.g., restrict project

development or design alternatives notwithstanding permissive management program policies). In such cases the Federal agency should inform the State agency in the consistency determination of the statutory, regulatory or other basis for the application of the stricter standards.

§930.40 Multiple Federal agency participation.

Whenever more than one Federal agency is involved in conducting or supporting a Federal activity or its associated facilities directly affecting the coastal zone, or is involved in a group of Federal activities related to each other because of their geographic proximity, consideration should be given to the preparation of one consistency determination for all the Federal activities involved. In such cases, Federal agencies should consider joint preparation or lead agency development of the consistency determination. In either case, the consistency determination (a) must be transmitted to the State agency at least 90 days before final decisions are taken by any of the participating agencies, (b) must indicate whether or not each of the proposed activities is consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the management program, and (c) must include information on each proposed activity sufficient to support the consistency determination.

§930.41 State agency response.

(a) A State agency shall inform the Federal agency of its agreement or disagreement with the Federal agency's consistency determination at the earliest practicable time. If a final response has not been developed and issued within 45 days from receipt of the Federal agency notification, the State agency should at that time inform the Federal agency of the status of the matter and the basis for further delay. The Federal agency may presume State agency agreement if the State agency fails to provide a response within 45 days from receipt of the Federal agency notification.

(b) State agency agreement shall not be presumed in cases where the State agency, with the 45 day period, requests an extension of time to review

the matter. Federal agencies shall approve one request for an extension period of 15 days or less. In considering whether a longer or additional extension period is appropriate, the Federal agency should consider the magnitude and complexity of the information contained in the consistency determination.

(c) Final Federal agency action may not be taken sooner than 90 days from the issuance of the consistency determination to the State agency unless both the Federal agency and the State agency agree to an alternative period (see § 930.34(b)).

§ 930.42 State agency disagreement.

(a) In the event the State agency disagrees with the Federal agency's consistency determination, the State agency shall accompany its response to the Federal agency with its reasons for the disagreement and supporting information. The State agency response must describe (1) how the proposed activity will be inconsistent with specific elements of the management program, and (2) alternative measures (if they exist) which, if adopted by the Federal agency, would allow the activity to proceed in a manner consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the management program.

(b) If the State agency's disagreement is based upon a finding that the Federal agency has failed to supply sufficient information (see § 930.39(a)), the State agency's response must describe the nature of the information requested and the necessity of having such information to determine the consistency of the Federal activity with the management program.

(c) State agencies shall send to the Assistant Administrator a copy of responses which describe disagreements with Federal agency consistency determinations.

§ 930.43 Availability of mediation for disputes concerning proposed activities.

(a) In the event of a serious disagreement between a Federal agency and a State agency regarding the consistency of a proposed Federal activity directly affecting the coastal zone, either party

may request the Secretarial mediation services provided for in subpart G.

§ 930.44 Availability of mediation for previously reviewed activities.

(a) Federal and State agencies shall cooperate in their efforts to monitor Federally approved activities in order to make certain that such activities continue to be undertaken in a manner consistent, to the maximum extent practicable, with the State's management program.

(b) The State agency shall request that the Federal agency take appropriate remedial action following a serious disagreement resulting from a State agency's objection to a Federal activity which was: (1) Previously determined to be consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the State's management program, but which the State agency later maintains is being conducted or is having a coastal zone effect substantially different than originally proposed and, as a result, is no longer consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the State's management program, or (2) previously determined not to be a Federal activity directly affecting the coastal zone, but which the State agency later maintains is being conducted or is having a coastal zone effect substantially different than originally proposed and, as a result, the activity directly affects the coastal zone and is not consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the State's management program. The State agency's request must include supporting information and a proposal for recommended remedial action.

(c) If, after a reasonable time following a request for remedial action, the State agency still maintains that a serious disagreement exists, either party may request the Secretarial mediation services provided for in subpart G.

Subpart D—Consistency for Activities Requiring a Federal License or Permit

§ 930.50 Objectives.

The provisions of this subpart are provided to assure that Federally licensed or permitted activities affecting the coastal zone are conducted in a

manner consistent with approved management programs.

§ 930.51 Federal license or permit.

(a) The term *Federal license or permit* means any authorization, certification, approval, or other form of permission which any Federal agency is empowered to issue to an applicant.

(b) The term also includes the following types of renewals and major amendments which affect the coastal zone:

(1) Renewals and major amendments of Federal license and permit activities not previously reviewed by the State agency;

(2) Renewals and major amendments of Federal license and permit activities previously reviewed by the State agency which are filed after and are subject to management program amendments not in existence at the time of original State agency review; and

(3) Renewals and major amendments of Federal license and permit activities previously reviewed by the State agency which will cause coastal zone effects substantially different than those originally reviewed by the State agency.

§ 930.52 Applicant.

The term *applicant* means any individual, public or private corporation, partnership, association, or other entity organized or existing under the laws of any State, or any State, regional, or local government, who, following management program approval, files an application for a Federal license or permit to conduct an activity affecting the coastal zone. The term “applicant” does not include Federal agencies applying for Federal licenses or permits. Federal agency “activities” requiring Federal licenses or permits are subject to the consistency requirements of subpart C of this part.

§ 930.53 Management program license and permit listing.

(a) During management program development, Federal agencies should assist State agencies in identifying Federal license and permit activities which reasonably can be expected to affect the coastal zone.

(b) State agencies shall develop a list of Federal license and permit activities which are likely to affect the coastal zone and which the State agency wishes to review for consistency with the management program. The list shall be included as part of the management program, and the Federal license and permit activities shall be described in terms of the specific licenses or permits involved (e.g., Corps of Engineers 404 permits, Coast Guard bridge permits, etc.). In the event the State agency chooses to review Federal licenses and permits for activities outside of the coastal zone but likely to affect the coastal zone, it must generally describe the geographic location of such activities.

(c) If a State agency wishes to avoid repeated review of minor Federally permitted activities which, while individually inconsequential, cumulatively cause effects on the coastal zone, the State agency, after developing conditions allowing concurrence for such activities, may issue a general public notice (see §930.61) and general concurrence allowing similar minor work in the same geographic area to proceed without prior State agency review. In such cases, the State agency must set forth in the management program license and permit list the minor Federal license and permit activities and the relevant conditions which are covered by the general concurrence. Minor Federal license or permit activities which satisfy the conditions of the general concurrence are not subject to the consistency certification requirement of this subpart. Except in cases where the State agency indicates otherwise, copies of Federal license or permit applications for activities subject to a general concurrence must be sent by the applicant to the State agency to allow the State agency to monitor adherence to the conditions required by such concurrence. Confidential and proprietary material within such applications may be deleted.

(d) The license and permit list may be amended by the State agency following consultation with the affected Federal agency and approval of additions or deletions by the Assistant Administrator. The State agency shall provide copies of the list and any

amendments to Federal agencies and shall make the information available to the public.

(e) No Federal license or permit described on an approved list shall be issued by a Federal agency until the requirements of this subpart have been satisfied. Federal agencies shall inform applicants for listed licenses and permits of the requirements of this subpart.

§930.54 Unlisted Federal license and permit activities.

(a) With the assistance of Federal agencies, State agencies should monitor unlisted Federal license and permit activities (e.g., by use of intergovernmental review process established pursuant to E.O. 12372, review of NEPA environmental impact statements, etc.) and shall immediately notify Federal agencies and applicants of unlisted activities affecting the coastal zone which require State agency review. State agencies must inform the Federal agency and applicant within 30 days from notice of the license or permit application, otherwise the State agency waives its right to review the unlisted activity. The waiver does not apply in cases where the State agency does not receive notice of the Federal license or permit activity.

(b) The State agency must also notify the Assistant Administrator of unlisted Federal license or permit activities which the State agency believes should be subject to State agency review. Following State agency notification to the Federal agency, applicant and the Assistant Administrator, the Federal agency may not issue the license or permit until the requirements of this subpart have been satisfied, unless the Assistant Administrator disapproves the State agency decision to review the activity.

(c) The Federal agency and the applicant have 15 days from receipt of the State agency notice to provide comments to the Assistant Administrator regarding the State agency's decision to review the activity. The sole basis for the Assistant Administrator's approval or disapproval of the State agency's decision will relate to whether the proposed activity can be reasonably expected to affect the coastal zone

of the State. The Assistant Administrator shall issue a decision, with supporting comments, to the State agency, Federal agency and applicant within 30 days from receipt of the State agency notice.

(d) In the event of disapproval by the Assistant Administrator, the Federal agency may approve the license or permit application and the applicant need not comply with the requirements of this subpart. If the Assistant Administrator approves the State agency's decision, the Federal agency and applicant must comply with the consistency certification procedures of this subpart.

(e) Following an approval by the Assistant Administrator, the applicant shall amend the Federal application by including a consistency certification and shall provide the State agency with a copy of the certification along with necessary supporting data and information (see §§ 930.63 and 930.64). For the purposes of this section, concurrence by the State agency shall be conclusively presumed in the absence of a State agency objection within six months from the original Federal agency notice to the State agency (see paragraph (a) of this section) or within three months from receipt of the applicant's consistency certification and accompanying information, whichever period terminates last.

(Executive Order 12372, July 14, 1982 (47 FR 30959), as amended April 8, 1983 (48 FR 15587); sec. 401, Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6506); sec 204, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 as amended (42 U.S.C. 3334)).

[44 FR 37143, June 25, 1979, as amended at 48 FR 29136, June 24, 1983]

§930.55 Availability of mediation for license or permit disputes.

In the event of a serious disagreement between a Federal and State agency regarding whether a listed or unlisted Federal license or permit activity is subject to consistency review, either party may request the Secretarial mediation services provided for in subpart G; notice shall be provided to the applicant. The existence of a serious disagreement will not relieve the Federal agency from the responsibility

for withholding approval of a license or permit application for an activity on an approved management program list (see § 930.53) or individually approved by the Assistant Administrator (see § 930.54) pending satisfaction of the requirements of this subpart. Similarly, the existence of a serious disagreement will not prevent the Federal agency from approving a license or permit activity which has not received Assistant Administrator approval.

§ 930.56 State agency guidance and assistance to applicants; information requirements.

(a) As a preliminary matter, any applicant for a Federal license or permit selected for review by a State agency should obtain the views and assistance of that agency regarding the means for ensuring that the proposed activity will be conducted in a manner consistent with the State's management program. As part of its assistance efforts, the State agency shall make available for public inspection copies of the management program document.

(b) The management program as originally approved or amended may describe requirements regarding the data and information necessary to assess the consistency of Federal license and permit activities. Required data and information may not include confidential and proprietary material. In the case of approved amendments, State agencies shall send copies to relevant Federal agencies who shall, in turn, provide the information requirements to applicants. If a State does not choose to develop or amend its management program to include information requirements, the applicant must, at a minimum, supply the State agency with the information required by § 930.58.

§ 930.57 Consistency certifications.

(a) When satisfied that the proposed activity meets the Federal Consistency requirements of this subpart, all applicants for Federal licenses or permits subject to State agency review shall provide in the application to the Federal licensing or permitting agency a certification that the proposed activity complies with and will be conducted in a manner consistent with the State's

approved management program. At the same time, the applicant shall furnish to the State agency a copy of the certification.

(b) The applicant's consistency certification shall be in the following form: "The proposed activity complies with (name of State) approved coastal management program and will be conducted in a manner consistent with such program."

§ 930.58 Necessary data and information.

(a) The applicant shall furnish the State agency with necessary data and information along with the consistency certification. Such information and data shall include the following:

(1) A detailed description of the proposed activity and its associated facilities which is adequate to permit an assessment of their probable coastal zone effects. Maps, diagrams, technical data and other relevant material must be submitted when a written description alone will not adequately describe the proposal (a copy of the Federal application and all supporting material provided to the Federal agency should also be submitted to the State agency).

(2) Information required by the State agency pursuant to § 930.56(b).

(3) A brief assessment relating the probable coastal zone effects of the proposal and its associated facilities to the relevant elements of the management program.

(4) A brief set of findings, derived from the assessment, indicating that the proposed activity (e.g., project siting and construction), its associated facilities (e.g., access road, support buildings), and their effects (e.g., air, water, waste discharges, erosion, wetlands, beach access impacts) are all consistent with the provisions of the management program. In developing findings, the applicant shall give appropriate weight to the various types of provisions within the management program. While applicants must be consistent with the enforceable, mandatory policies of the management program, they need only demonstrate adequate consideration of policies which are in the nature of recommendations. Applicants need not make findings with respect to coastal zone effects for

which the management program does not contain mandatory or recommended policies.

(b) At the request of the applicant, interested parties who have access to information and data required by subparagraphs (a) (1) and (2) of this section may provide the State agency with all or part of the material required. Furthermore, upon request by the applicant, the State agency shall provide assistance for developing the assessment and findings required by paragraphs (a) (3) and (4) of this section.

(c) When satisfied that adequate protection against public disclosure exists, applicants should provide the State agency with confidential and proprietary information which the State agency maintains is necessary to make a reasoned decision on the consistency of the proposal. State agency requests for such information must be related to the necessity of having such information to assess adequately the coastal zone effects of the proposal.

§ 930.59 Multiple permit review.

(a) Applicants shall, to the extent practicable, consolidate related Federal license and permit activities affecting the coastal zone for State agency review. State agencies shall, to the extent practicable, provide applicants with a "one-stop" multiple permit review for consolidated permits to minimize duplication of effort and to avoid unnecessary delays.

(b) A State agency objection to one or more of the license or permit activities submitted for consolidated review shall not prevent the applicant from receiving Federal agency approval for those license and permit activities found to be consistent with the management program.

§ 930.60 Commencement of State agency review.

(a) Except as provided in § 930.54(e), State agency review of an applicant's consistency certification begins at the time the State agency receives a copy of the consistency certification, and

the information and data required pursuant to § 930.58.

(b) A State agency request for information or data in addition to that required by § 930.58 shall not extend the date of commencement of State agency review.

§ 930.61 Public notice.

(a) Following receipt of the material described in § 930.60 the State agency shall ensure timely public notice of the proposed activity. At a minimum the provision of public notice must be in accordance with State law. In addition, public notice must be provided in the immediate area of the coastal zone which is likely to be affected by the proposed activity. Public notice shall be expanded in proportion to the degree of likely public interest resulting from the unique geographic area involved, the substantial commitment of or impact on coastal resources, the complexity or controversy of the proposal, or for other good cause.

(b) Public notice shall facilitate public comment by providing a summary of the proposed activity, by announcing the availability for inspection of the consistency certification and accompanying public information and data, and by requesting that comments be submitted to the State agency.

(c) A number of procedural options, if permitted by State law, are available to State agencies to satisfy the public notice requirements of this subpart. They include, but are not limited to:

(1) The State agency providing the public notice;

(2) The State agency requiring the applicant to provide the public notice; or

(3) The State agency relying upon the public notice provided by the Federal agency reviewing the application for the Federal license or permit (e.g., notice of availability of NEPA environmental impact statements) if such notice satisfies the minimum requirements set forth in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(d) Federal and State agencies are encouraged to issue joint public notices

whenever possible to minimize duplication of effort and to avoid unnecessary delays.

(Executive Order 12372, July 14, 1982 (47 FR 30959), as amended April 8, 1983 (48 FR 15587); sec. 401, Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6506); sec 204, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 as amended (42 U.S.C. 3334)).

[44 FR 37143, June 25, 1979, as amended at 48 FR 29136, June 24, 1983]

§ 930.62 Public hearings.

(a) At the discretion of the State agency, public notice may include the announcement of one or more public hearings. Public hearings shall be scheduled with a view towards (1) allowing access to the consistency certification and accompanying public information within a reasonable time prior to the hearing, (2) facilitating broad public attendance and participation at the hearing, and (3) affording the applicant expeditious consideration of the proposed activity.

(b) Federal and State agencies are encouraged to hold joint public hearings in the event both agencies determine that a hearing on the action is necessary.

§ 930.63 State agency concurrence with a consistency certification.

(a) At the earliest practicable time, the State agency shall notify the Federal agency and the applicant whether the State agency concurs with or objects to a consistency certification. Concurrence by the State agency shall be conclusively presumed in the absence of a State agency objection within six months following commencement of State agency review.

(b) State agencies should restrict the period of public notice, receipt of comments, hearing proceedings and final decision-making to the minimum time necessary to inform the public, obtain sufficient comment, and develop a reasonable decision on the matter. If the State agency has not issued a decision within three months following commencement of State agency review, it shall notify the applicant and the Federal agency of the status of the matter and the basis for further delay.

(c) If the State agency issues a concurrence or is conclusively presumed to concur with the applicant's consistency certification, the Federal agency may approve the Federal license or permit application. Notwithstanding State agency concurrence with a consistency certification, the Federal permitting agency may deny approval of the Federal license or permit application. Federal agencies should not delay processing applications pending receipt of a State agency's concurrence. In the event a Federal agency determines that an application will not be approved, it shall immediately notify the applicant and the State agency.

§ 930.64 State agency objection to a consistency certification.

(a) If the State agency objects to the applicant's consistency certification within six months following commencement of review, it shall notify the applicant, Federal agency and Assistant Administrator of the objection.

(b) State agency objections must describe (1) how the proposed activity is inconsistent with specific elements of the management program, and (2) alternative measures (if they exist) which, if adopted by the applicant, would permit the proposed activity to be conducted in a manner consistent with the management program.

(c) During the period when the State agency is reviewing the consistency certification, the applicant and the State agency should attempt to agree upon conditions, which, if met by the applicant, would permit State agency concurrence. The parties shall also consult with the Federal agency responsible for approving the Federal license or permit to ensure that proposed conditions satisfy Federal as well as State management program requirements.

(d) A State agency objection may be based upon a determination that the applicant has failed, following a written State agency request, to supply the information required pursuant to § 903.58. If the State agency objects on the grounds of insufficient information, the objection must describe the nature of the information requested and the necessity of having such information to determine the consistency of

the activity with the management program.

(e) A State agency objection shall include a statement informing the applicant of a right of appeal to the Secretary on the grounds described in Subpart H.

§ 930.65 Federal permitting agency responsibility.

Following receipt of a State agency objection to a consistency certification, the Federal agency shall not issue the Federal license or permit except as provided in subpart H of this part.

§ 930.66 Availability of mediation for previously reviewed activities.

(a) Federal and State agencies shall cooperate in their efforts to monitor Federally licensed and permitted activities in order to make certain that such activities continue to conform to both Federal and State requirements.

(b) The State agency shall request that the Federal agency take appropriate remedial action following a serious disagreement resulting from a State agency objection to a Federally licensed or permitted activity which was: (1) Previously determined to be consistent with the State's management program, but which the State agency later maintains is being conducted or is having coastal zone effects substantially different than originally proposed and, as a result, is no longer consistent with the State's management program; or (2) previously determined not to be an activity affecting the coastal zone, but which the State agency later maintains is being conducted or is having coastal effects substantially different than originally proposed and, as a result, the activity affects the coastal zone in a manner inconsistent with the State's management program. The State agency's request must include supporting information and a proposal for recommended remedial action; a copy of the request must be sent to the applicant.

(c) If, after a reasonable time following a request for remedial action, the State agency still maintains that a serious disagreement exists with the Federal agency, either party may seek the

Secretarial mediation services provided for in subpart G of this part.

Subpart E—Consistency for Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Exploration, Development and Production Activities

§ 930.70 Objectives.

The provisions of this subpart are provided to assure that all Federal license and permit activities described in detail in OCS plans and which affect the coastal zone are conducted in a manner consistent with approved coastal zone management programs.

§ 930.71 Federal license or permit activity described in detail.

The term *Federal license or permit activity described in detail* means any activity requiring a Federal license or permit, as defined in § 930.51, which the Secretary of the Interior determines must be described in detail within an OCS plan.

§ 930.72 Person.

The term *person* means any individual, corporation, partnership, association, or other entity organized or existing under the laws of any State, the Federal government, any State, regional, or local government, or any entity of such Federal, State, regional or local government, who submits to the Secretary of the Interior, or designee following management program approval, an OCS plan which describes in detail Federal license or permit activities.

§ 930.73 OCS plan.

(a) The term *OCS plan* means any plan for the exploration or development of, or production from, any area which has been leased under the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1331 *et seq.*), and the regulations under that Act, which is submitted to the Secretary of the Interior or designee following management program approval and which describes in detail Federal license or permit activities.

(b) The requirements of this subpart do not apply to Federal license and permit applications filed after management program approval for activities

§ 930.74

described in detail in OCS plans approved by the Secretary of the Interior or designee prior to management program approval.

§ 930.74 OCS activities subject to State agency review.

Except for States which do not anticipate coastal zone effects resulting from OCS activities, management program lists required pursuant to § 930.53 shall include a reference to OCS plans which describe in detail Federal license and permit activities affecting the coastal zone.

§ 930.75 State agency assistance to persons; information requirements.

(a) As a preliminary matter, any person intending to submit to the Secretary of the Interior and OCS plan which describes in detail Federal license or permit activities affecting the coastal zone should obtain the views and assistance of the State agency regarding the means for ensuring that such activities will be conducted in a manner consistent with the State's management program. As part of its assistance efforts, the State agency shall make available for inspection copies of the management program document.

(b) In accordance with the provisions in § 930.56(b), the management program as originally approved or amended may describe requirements regarding data and information which will be necessary for the State agency to assess the consistency of the Federal license and permit activities described in detail in OCS plans.

§ 930.76 Submission of an OCS plan and consistency certification.

Any person submitting to the Secretary of the Interior or designee any OCS plan shall:

(a) Identify all activities described in detail in the plan which are subject to State agency review;

(b) When satisfied that the proposed activities meet the Federal consistency requirements of this subpart, provide the Secretary of the Interior or designee with a consistency certification, attached to the OCS plan, and the Secretary of the Interior or designee shall furnish the State agency a copy of the

15 CFR Ch. IX (1-1-97 Edition)

OCS plan (excluding proprietary information) and consistency certification.

(c) The person's consistency certification shall be in the following form:

The proposed activities described in detail in this plan comply with (name of State(s)) approved coastal management program(s) and will be conducted in a manner consistent with such program(s).

§ 930.77 Necessary data and information.

(a) The State agency shall use the information received pursuant to the Department of the Interior's operating regulations governing exploration, development and production operations on the OCS (see 30 CFR 250.34) and regulations pertaining to the OCS information program (see 30 CFR part 252) to determine the consistency of proposed Federal license and permit activities described in detail in OCS plans.

(b) The person shall supplement the information provided by paragraph (a) of this section by supplying the State agency with:

(1) Information required by the State agency pursuant to § 930.75(b).

(2) A brief assessment relating the probable coastal zone effects of the activities and their associated facilities to the relevant elements of the management program, and

(3) A brief set of findings, derived from the assessment, indicating that each of the proposed activities (e.g., drilling, platform placement) and their associated facilities (e.g., onshore support structures, offshore pipelines), and their effects (e.g., air, water, waste discharge, erosion, wetlands, beach access impacts) are all consistent with the provisions of the management program. In developing findings, the person shall give appropriate weight to the various provisions within the management program in accordance with the guidance provided in § 930.58(a)(4).

(c) At the request of the person, interested parties who have access to information required by paragraphs (a) and (b)(1) of this section may provide the State agency with all or part of the material required. Furthermore, upon

request by the person, the State agency shall provide assistance for developing the assessment and findings required by paragraphs (b) (2) and (3) of this section.

(d) When satisfied that adequate protection against public disclosure exists, persons should provide the State agency with confidential and proprietary information which the State agency maintains is necessary to make a reasoned decision on the consistency of the proposed activities. State agency requests for such information must be related to the necessity of having such information to assess adequately the coastal zone effects of the proposed activities.

§ 930.78 Commencement of State agency review; public notice.

(a) State agency review of the person's consistency certification begins at the time the State agency receives a copy of the OCS plan, consistency certification, and required necessary data and information. A State agency request for information and data in addition to that required by § 930.77 shall not extend the date of commencement of State agency review.

(b) Following receipt of the material described in paragraph (a) of this section, the State agency shall ensure timely public notice of the proposed activities in accordance with the directives within §§ 930.61 through 930.62.

§ 930.79 State agency concurrence or objection.

(a) At the earliest practicable time, the State agency shall notify the person, the Secretary of the Interior or designee and the Assistant Administrator of its concurrence with or objection to the consistency certification. State agencies should restrict the period of public notice, receipt of comments, hearing proceedings and final decision-making to the minimum time necessary to inform the public, obtain sufficient comment, and develop a reasonable decision on the matter. If the State agency has not issued a decision within three months following commencement of State agency review, it shall notify the person, the Secretary of the Interior or designee and the Assistant Administrator of the status of

review and the basis for further delay in issuing a final decision. Notice shall be in written form and postmarked no later than three months following the State agency's receipt of the certification and supporting information. Concurrence by the State agency shall be conclusively presumed if the notification required by this subparagraph is not provided.

(b) Concurrence by the State agency shall be conclusively presumed in the absence of a State agency objection to the consistency certification within six months following commencement of State agency review.

(c) If the State agency objects to one or more of the Federal license or permit activities described in detail in the OCS plan, it must provide a separate discussion for each objection in accordance with the directives within § 930.64 (b) and (d). The objection shall also include a statement informing the person of a right of appeal to the Secretary on the grounds described in subpart H.

§ 930.80 Effect of State agency concurrence.

(a) If the State agency issues a concurrence or is conclusively presumed to concur with the person's consistency certification, the person will not be required to submit additional consistency certifications and supporting information for State agency review at the time Federal applications are actually filed for the Federal licenses and permits to which such concurrence applies.

(b) Unless the State agency indicates otherwise, copies of Federal license and permit applications for activities described in detail in an OCS plan which has received State agency concurrence shall be sent by the person to the State agency to allow the State agency to monitor the activities. Confidential and proprietary material within such applications may be deleted.

§ 930.81 Federal permitting agency responsibility.

Following receipt of a State agency objection to a consistency certification related to Federal license or permit activities described in detail in an OCS plan, the Federal agency shall not issue any of such licenses or permits

except as provided in subpart H of this part.

§ 930.82 Multiple permit review.

(a) A person submitting a consistency certification for Federal license or permit activities described in detail in an OCS plan is strongly encouraged to work with other Federal agencies in an effort to include, for consolidated State agency review, consistency certifications and supporting data and information applicable to OCS-related Federal license and permit activities affecting the coastal zone which are not required to be described in detail in OCS plans but which are subjected to State agency consistency review (e.g., Corps of Engineer permits for the placement of structures on the OCS and for dredging and the transportation of dredged material, Environmental Protection Agency air and water quality permits for offshore operations and onshore support and processing facilities, etc.). In the event the person does not consolidate such OCS-related permit activities with the State agency's review of the OCS plan, such activities will remain subject to individual State agency review under the requirements of subpart D of this part.

(b) A State agency objection to one or more of the OCS-related Federal license or permit activities submitted for consolidated review shall not prevent the person from receiving Federal agency approval (1) for those OCS-related license or permit activities found by the State agency to be consistent with the management program, and (2) for the license and permit activities described in detail in the OCS plan provided the State agency concurs with the consistency certification for such plan. Similarly, a State agency objection to the consistency certification for an OCS plan shall not prevent the person from receiving Federal agency approval for those OCS-related license or permit activities determined by the State agency to be consistent with the management program.

§ 930.83 Amended or new OCS plans.

If the State agency objects to the person's OCS plan consistency certification, and if, pursuant to Subpart H,

the Secretary does not determine that each of the objected to Federal license or permit activities described in detail in such plan is consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act, or is necessary in the interest of national security, the person shall submit an amended or new plan to the Secretary of the Interior or designee and to the State agency along with a consistency certification and data and information necessary to support the new consistency determination. The data and information shall specifically describe modifications made to the original OCS plan, and the manner in which such modifications will ensure that all of the proposed Federal license or permit activities described in detail in the amended or new plan will be conducted in a manner consistent with the State's management program.

§ 930.84 Review of amended or new OCS plans; public notice.

(a) After receipt of a copy of the amended or new OCS plan, consistency certification, and accompanying data and information, State agency review shall begin.

(b) Following receipt of the material described in paragraph (a) of this section, the State agency shall ensure timely public notice of the proposed activities in accordance with the directives within §§ 930.61 through 930.62.

(c) The State agency shall concur with or object to the person's consistency certification in accordance with the directives within § 930.79, except that the applicable time period for purposes of concurrence by conclusive presumption shall be three months instead of six months.

(d) If the State agency issues a concurrence or is conclusively presumed to concur with the person's new consistency certification, the person will not be required to submit additional consistency certifications and supporting information for State agency review at the time Federal applications are actually filed for the Federal licenses and permits to which such concurrence applies.

(e) Unless the State agency indicates otherwise, copies of Federal license and

permit applications for activities described in detail in an amended or new OCS plan which has received State agency concurrence shall be sent by the person to the State agency to allow the State agency to monitor the activities. Confidential and proprietary material within such applications may be deleted.

§ 930.85 Continuing State agency objections.

If the State agency objects to the consistency certification for an amended or new OCS plan, the prohibition in § 930.81 against Federal agency approval of licenses or permits for activities described in detail in such a plan applies, further Secretarial review pursuant to subpart H may take place, and the development of an additional amended or new OCS plan and consistency certification may be required pursuant to §§ 930.83 through 930.84.

§ 930.86 Failure to comply substantially with an approved OCS plan.

(a) The Department of the Interior and State agencies shall cooperate in their efforts to monitor Federally licensed and permitted activities described in detail OCS plans to make certain that such activities continue to conform to both Federal and State requirements.

(b) If a State agency claims that a person is failing substantially to comply with an approved OCS plan subject to the requirements of this Subpart, and such failure allegedly involves the conduct of activities affecting the coastal zone in a manner that is not consistent with the approved management program, the State agency shall transmit its claim to the U.S. Geological Survey supervisor for the area involved. Such claim shall include: (1) A description of the specific activity involved and the alleged lack of compliance with the OCS plan, and (2) a request for appropriate remedial action. A copy of the claim shall be sent to the person and the Assistant Administrator.

(c) If, after a reasonable time following a request for remedial action, the State agency still maintains that the person is failing to comply substantially with the OCS plan, the governor

or section 306(c)(5) State agency (see § 930.18) may file a written objection with the Secretary. If the Secretary finds that the person is failing to comply substantially with the OCS plan, the person shall submit an amended or new OCS plan along with a consistency certification and supporting information to the Secretary of the Interior or designee and to the State agency. Following such a finding by the Secretary, the person shall comply with the originally approved OCS plan, or with interim orders issued jointly by the Secretary and the U.S. Geological Survey, pending approval of the amended or new OCS plan. The directives within §§ 930.83 through 930.85 shall apply to further State agency review of the consistency certification for the amended or new plan.

(d) A person shall be found to have failed substantially to comply with an approved OCS plan if the State agency claims and the Secretary finds that one or more of the activities described in detail in the OCS plan which affects the coastal zone are being conducted or are having a coastal zone effect substantially different than originally described by the person in the plan or accompanying information and, as a result, the activities are no longer being conducted in a manner consistent with the State's management program. The Secretary may make a finding that a person has failed substantially to comply with an approved OCS plan only after providing a reasonable opportunity for the person and the Secretary of the Interior to review the State agency's objection and to submit comments for the Secretary's consideration.

Subpart F—Consistency for Federal Assistance to State and Local Governments

§ 930.90 Objectives.

The provisions of this subpart are provided to assure that Federal assistance to State and local governments for activities affecting the coastal zone is granted only when such activities are consistent with approved coastal zone managements programs.

§ 930.91 Federal assistance.

The term *Federal assistance* means assistance provided under a Federal program to an applicant agency through grant or contractual arrangements, loans, subsidies, guarantees, insurance, or other form of financial aid.

§ 930.92 Applicant agency.

The term *applicant agency* means any unit of State or local government, or any related public entity such as a special purpose district, which, following management program approval, submits an application for Federal assistance.

§ 930.93 Intergovernmental review process.

The term “intergovernmental review process” describes the procedures established by states pursuant to E.O. 12372, “Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs,” and implementing regulations of the review of Federal financial assistance to states and local governments.

(Executive Order 12372, July 14, 1982 (47 FR 30959), as amended April 8, 1983 (48 FR 15587); sec. 401, Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6506); sec. 204, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 as amended (42 U.S.C. 3334)).

[48 FR 29136, June 24, 1983]

§ 930.94 State intergovernmental review process for consistency.

The process by which states with approved coastal management programs may review applications from state agencies and local governments for Federal assistance should be developed by each state in accordance with Executive Order 12372 and implementing regulations. In accordance with the Executive Order and regulations, states may use this process to review such applications for consistency with their approved coastal management programs.

(Executive Order 12372, July 14, 1982 (47 FR 30959), as amended April 8, 1983 (48 FR 15587); sec. 401, Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6506); sec. 204, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 as amended (42 U.S.C. 3334)).

[48 FR 29137, June 24, 1983]

§ 930.95 Guidance provided by the State agency.

(a) State agencies should include within the management program a listing of specific types of Federal assistance programs subject to a consistency review. Such a listing, and any amendments, will require prior 306(c)(5) state agency (see § 930.18) consultation with affected Federal agencies and approval by the Assistant Administrator.

(b) In the event the State agency chooses to review applications for Federal assistance activities outside of the coastal zone but likely to affect the coastal zone, the State agency must develop a Federal assistance provision within the management program generally describing the geographic area (e.g. coastal floodplains) within which Federal assistance activities will be subject to review. This provision, and any refinements, will require prior 306(c)(5) State agency consultation with affected Federal agencies and approval by the Assistant Administrator.

(c) The State agency shall provide copies of any Federal assistance list or geographic provision, and any refinements, to Federal agencies, units of State or local government empowered to undertake Federally assisted activities within the coastal zone or described geographic area.

(Executive Order 12372, July 14, 1982 (47 FR 30959), as amended April 8, 1983 (48 FR 15587); sec. 401, Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6506); sec. 204, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 as amended (42 U.S.C. 3334)).

[44 FR 37143, June 25, 1979. Redesignated and amended at 48 FR 29136, June 24, 1983]

§ 930.96 Consistency review.

(a) If pursuant to the intergovernmental review process, the State agency does not object to the proposed activity, the Federal agency may grant the Federal assistance to the applicant agency. Notwithstanding State agency consistency approval for the proposed project, the Federal agency may deny assistance to the applicant agency. Federal agencies should not delay processing applications pending receipt of a State agency approval or objection. In the event a Federal agency determines

that an application will not be approved, it shall immediately notify the applicant agency and the State agency.

(b) If pursuant to the intergovernmental review process, the State agency objects to the proposed project, the state agency shall notify the applicant agency, Federal agency and the Assistant Administrator of the objection.

(c) State agency objections must describe: (1) How the proposed project is inconsistent with specific elements of the management program, and (2) alternative measures (if they exist) which, if adopted by the applicant agency, would permit the proposed project to be conducted in a manner consistent with the management program.

(d) A State agency objection may be based upon a determination that the applicant agency has failed, following a written State agency request, to supply necessary information. If the State agency objects on the grounds of insufficient information, the objection must describe the nature of the information requested and the necessity of having such information to determine the consistency of the activity with the management program.

(e) State agency objections shall include a statement informing the applicant agency of a right of appeal to the Secretary on the grounds described in subpart H of this part.

(Executive Order 12372, July 14, 1982 (47 FR 30959), as amended April 8, 1983 (48 FR 15587); sec. 401, Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6506); sec. 204, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 as amended (42 U.S.C. 3334)).

[44 FR 37143, June 25, 1979, as amended at 48 FR 29137, June 24, 1983]

§ 930.97 Federal assisting agency responsibility.

Following receipt of a State agency objection, the Federal agency shall not approve assistance for the activity except as provided in Subpart H of this part.

§ 930.98 Federally assisted activities outside of the coastal zone or the described geographic area.

(a) State agencies should monitor proposed Federal assistance activities outside of the coastal zone or the de-

scribed geographic area (e.g., by use of the intergovernmental review process, review of NEPA environmental impact statements, etc.) and shall immediately notify applicant agencies, Federal agencies, and any other agency or office which may be identified by the state in its intergovernmental review process pursuant to E.O. 12372 of proposed activities which can reasonably be expected to affect the coastal zone and which the State agency is reviewing for consistency with the management program. Notification shall also be sent by the State agency to the Assistant Administrator. State agencies must inform the parties of objections within the time period permitted under the intergovernmental review process, otherwise the State agency waives its right to object to the proposed activity.

(b) If within the permitted time period the State agency notifies the Federal agency of its objection to a proposed Federally assisted activity, the Federal agency shall not provide assistance to the applicant agency except as provided in Subpart H, unless the Assistant Administrator disapproves the State agency's decision to review the activity. The Assistant Administrator shall be guided by the provisions in § 930.54 (c) and (d).

(Executive Order 12372, July 14, 1982 (47 FR 30959), as amended April 8, 1983 (48 FR 15587); sec. 401, Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6506); sec. 204, Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 as amended (42 U.S.C. 3334)).

[44 FR 37143, June 25, 1979, as amended at 48 FR 29137, June 24, 1983]

§ 930.99 Availability of mediation for Federal assistance disputes.

In the event of a serious disagreement between a Federal and State agency regarding whether a Federal assistance activity is subject to consistency review, either party may request the Secretarial mediation services provided for in subpart G of this part. The existence of a serious disagreement will not relieve the Federal agency from the responsibility for withholding Federal assistance for the activity pending satisfaction of the requirements of this subpart, except in cases

where the Assistant Administrator has disapproved a State agency decision to review an activity.

§ 930.100 Availability of mediation for previously reviewed activities.

(a) Federal and State agencies shall cooperate in their efforts to monitor Federally assisted activities in order to make certain that such activities continue to conform to both Federal and State requirements.

(b) The State agency shall request that the Federal agency take appropriate remedial action following a serious disagreement resulting from a State agency objection to a Federally assisted activity which was: (1) Previously determined to be consistent with the State's management program, but which the State agency later maintains is being conducted or is having a coastal zone effect substantially different than originally proposed and, as a result, is no longer consistent with the State management program, or (2) previously determined not to be a project affecting the coastal zone, but which the State agency later maintains is being conducted or is having a coastal zone effect substantially different than originally proposed and, as a result the project affects the coastal zone in a manner inconsistent with the State's management program. The State agency's request must include supporting information and a proposal for recommended remedial action; a copy of the request must be sent to the applicant agency.

(c) If, after a reasonable time following a request for remedial action, the State agency still maintains that a serious disagreement exists with the Federal agency, either party may seek the Secretarial mediation services provided for in subpart G of this part.

Subpart G—Secretarial Mediation

§ 930.110 Objectives.

The purpose of this subpart is to describe mediation procedures which Federal and State agencies may use to attempt to resolve serious disagreements which arise during the administration of approved management programs.

§ 930.111 Informal negotiations.

The availability of mediation does not preclude use by the parties of alternative means for resolving their disagreement. In the event a serious disagreement arises, the parties are strongly encouraged to make every effort to resolve the disagreement informally. OCZM shall be available to assist the parties in these efforts.

§ 930.112 Request for mediation.

(a) The Secretary or other head of a Federal agency, or the Governor or the section 306(c)(5) State agency (see § 930.18), may notify the Secretary in writing of the existence of a serious disagreement, and may request that the Secretary seek to mediate the serious disagreement. A copy of the written request must be sent to the agency with which the requesting agency disagrees, and to the Assistant Administrator.

(b) Within 15 days following receipt of a request for mediation the disagreeing agency shall transmit a written response to the Secretary, and to the agency requesting mediation, indicating whether it wishes to participate in the mediation process. If the disagreeing agency declines the offer to enter into mediation efforts, it must indicate the basis for its refusal in its response. Upon receipt of a refusal to participate in mediation efforts, the Secretary shall seek to persuade the disagreeing agency to reconsider its decision and enter into mediation efforts. If the disagreeing agencies do not all agree to participate, the Secretary will cease efforts to provide mediation assistance.

§ 930.113 Public hearings.

(a) If the parties agree to the mediation process, the Secretary shall appoint a hearing officer who shall schedule a hearing in the local area concerned. The hearing officer shall give the parties at least 30 days notice of the time and place set for the hearing and shall provide timely public notice of the hearing.

(b) At the time public notice is provided, the Federal and State agencies shall provide the public with convenient access to public data and information related to the serious disagreement.

(c) Hearings shall be informal and shall be conducted by the hearing officer with the objective of securing in a timely fashion information related to the disagreement. The Federal and State agencies, as well as other interested parties, may offer information at the hearing subject to the hearing officer's supervision as to the extent and manner of presentation. Unduly repetitious oral presentation may be excluded at the discretion of the hearing officer; in the event of such exclusion the party may provide the hearing officer with a written submission of the proposed oral presentation. Hearings will be recorded and the hearing officer shall provide transcripts and copies of written information offered at the hearing to the Federal and State agency parties. The public may inspect and copy the transcripts and written information provided to these agencies.

§ 930.114 Secretarial mediation efforts.

(a) Following the close of the hearing, the hearing officer shall transmit the hearing record to the Secretary. Upon receipt of the hearing record, the Secretary shall schedule a mediation conference to be attended by representatives from the Office of the Secretary, the disagreeing Federal and State agencies, and any other interested parties whose participation is deemed necessary by the Secretary. The Secretary shall provide the parties at least 10 days notice of the time and place set for the mediation conference.

(b) Secretarial mediation efforts shall last only so long as the Federal and State agencies agree to participate. The Secretary shall confer with the Executive Office of the President, as necessary, during the mediation process.

§ 930.115 Termination of mediation.

Mediation shall terminate (a) at any time the Federal and State agencies agree to a resolution of the serious disagreement, (b) if one of the agencies withdraws from mediation, (c) in the event the agencies fail to reach a resolution of the serious disagreement within 15 days following Secretarial conference efforts, and the agencies do not agree to extend mediation beyond that period, or (d) for other good cause.

§ 930.116 Judicial review.

The availability of the mediation services provided in this subpart is not intended expressly or implicitly to limit the parties' use of alternate forums to resolve disputes. Specifically, judicial review where otherwise available by law may be sought by any party to a serious disagreement without first having exhausted the mediation process provided for in this subpart.

Subpart H—Secretarial Review Related to the Objectives or Purposes of the Act and National Security Interests

§ 930.120 Objectives.

The provisions of this subpart provide procedures by which the Secretary may find that a Federal license or permit activity, including those described in detail in an OCS plan, or a Federal assistance activity, which is inconsistent with a management program, may be federally approved because the activity is consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act, or is necessary in the interest of national security.

§ 930.121 Consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act.

The term "consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act" describes a Federal license or permit activity, or a Federal assistance activity which, although inconsistent with a State's management program, is found by the Secretary to be permissible because it satisfies the following four requirements:

(a) The activity furthers one or more of the competing national objectives or purposes contained in section 302 or 303 of the Act,

(b) When performed separately or when its cumulative effects are considered, it will not cause adverse effects on the natural resources of the coastal zone substantial enough to outweigh its contribution to the national interest,

(c) The activity will not violate any requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended, or the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, and

(d) There is no reasonable alternative available (e.g., location design, etc.) which would permit the activity to be conducted in a manner consistent with the management program.

§ 930.122 Necessary in the interest of national security.

The term “necessary in the interest of national security” describes a Federal license or permit activity, or a Federal assistance activity which, although inconsistent with a State’s management program, is found by the Secretary to be permissible because a national defense or other national security interest would be significantly impaired if the activity were not permitted to go forward as proposed. Secretarial review of national security issues shall be aided by information submitted by the Department of Defense or other interested Federal agencies. The views of such agencies, while not binding, shall be given considerable weight by the Secretary. The Secretary will seek information to determine whether the objected-to activity directly supports national defense or other essential national security objectives.

§ 930.123 Appellant.

The term “appellant” refers to an applicant, person or applicant agency submitting an appeal to the Secretary pursuant to the provisions of this subpart.

§ 930.124 Informal discussions.

In the event the State agency informs the applicant, person or applicant agency that it intends to object to the proposed activity, the parties should consult informally to attempt to resolve the matter in a manner which avoids the necessity of appealing the issue to the Secretary. OCZM shall be available to assist the parties in these discussions.

§ 930.125 Appeals to the Secretary.

(a) An appellant may file a notice of appeal with the Secretary with 30 days of the appellant’s receipt of a State agency objection. The notice of appeal shall be accompanied by a statement in support of the appellant’s position, along with supporting data and infor-

mation. The appellant shall send a copy of the notice of appeal and accompanying documents to the Federal and State agencies involved.

(b) No extension of time will be permitted for the filing of a notice of appeal.

(c) The Secretary may approve a reasonable request for an extension of time to submit supporting information so long as the request is filed with the Secretary within the 30-day period. Normally, the Secretary shall limit an extension period to 15 days.

§ 930.126 Federal and State agency responses to appeals.

(a) Upon receipt of the notice of appeal and supporting information, the Federal and State agencies shall have 30 days to submit detailed comments to the Secretary. Copies of such comments shall be sent to the appellant and other agency within the same time period.

(b) Requests for extensions may be made pursuant to § 930.125(c).

§ 930.127 Public notice; receipt of comments.

(a) The Secretary shall provide timely public notice of the appeal within 15 days of receipt of the notice. At a minimum, public notice shall be provided in the immediate area of the coastal zone which is likely to be affected by the proposed activity. At the time public notice is provided, the Federal and State agencies shall provide the public with convenient access to copies of the appellant’s notice of appeal and accompanying public information, and to the public information in the agencies’ detailed comments.

(b) Interested persons may submit comments to the Secretary within 30 days from the date of public notice, with copies provided to the appellant and to the Federal and State agencies within the same time period.

(c) Requests for extensions may be made pursuant to § 930.125(c).

§ 930.128 Dismissal of appeals.

The Secretary may dismiss an appeal for good cause. Good cause shall include, but is not limited to:

(a) Failure of the appellant to submit a notice of appeal within the required 30-day period.

(b) Failure of the appellant to submit the supporting information within the required period or approved extension period;

(c) Secretarial receipt of a detailed comment from the Federal agency stating that the agency has disapproved the Federal license, permit or assistance application;

(d) Failure of the appellant to base the appeal on grounds that the proposed activity either (1) is consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act or (2) is necessary in the interest of national security.

§ 930.129 Public hearings.

The Secretary may order a hearing independently or in response to a request. If a hearing is ordered by the Secretary it shall be guided by the procedures described within § 930.113.

§ 930.130 Secretarial review.

(a) In reviewing an appeal, the Secretary shall find that a proposed Federal license or permit activity, or a Federal assistance activity, is consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act, or is necessary in the interest of national security, when the information submitted supports this conclusion.

(b) The Secretary shall make all reasonable efforts to complete consideration of an appeal within 90 days from the date of public notice.

(c) Following consideration of the appeal, the Secretary shall issue a decision in writing to the appellant and to the Federal and State agencies indicating whether the proposed activity is consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act, or is necessary in the interest of national security; the decision shall include the basis for such finding. The Secretary shall provide public notice of the decision.

(d) The decision of the Secretary shall constitute final agency action for the purposes of the Administrative Procedure Act.

§ 930.131 Federal agency responsibility.

(a) If the Secretary finds that the proposed activity is consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act, or is necessary in the interest of national security, the Federal agency may approve the activity.

(b) If the Secretary does not make either of these findings, the Federal agency shall not approve the activity.

§ 930.132 Review initiated by the Secretary.

(a) The Secretary may choose to consider whether a Federal license or permit activity, or a Federal assistance activity, is consistent with the objectives or purposes of the Act, or is necessary in the interest of national security. Secretarial review may be initiated either before or after the completion of State agency review. The Secretary's decision to review the activity may result from an independent concern regarding the activity or a request from interested parties. If the Secretary decides to initiate review, notification shall be sent to the applicant, person or applicant agency, and to the Federal and State agencies. The notice shall include a statement describing the reasons for the review and shall contain a request for submission of detailed comments to be submitted within 30 days from receipt of the notification. Copies of comments shall be exchanged among the parties.

(b) Requests for extensions may be made pursuant to § 930.125(c).

§ 930.133 Public notice; receipt of comments; public hearings.

(a) Upon receipt of detailed comments from the parties, the Secretary shall provide public notice and request public comments in accordance with the provisions in § 930.127.

(b) The Secretary may order a hearing in accordance with the provisions in § 930.129.

§ 930.134 Secretarial review; Federal agency responsibility.

(a) Secretarial review shall be undertaken in accordance with the provisions in § 930.130.

(b) Federal agencies are responsible for adhering to the provisions in

§ 930.131 when deciding to approve or deny an application for an activity objected to by a State agency and independently reviewed by the Secretary.

Subpart I—Assistant Administrator Reporting and Continuing Review of Federal Actions Subject to the Federal Consistency Requirements

§ 930.140 Objectives.

The provisions of this subpart provide procedures to permit interested parties to notify the Assistant Administrator of Federal actions (a) believed to be inconsistent with an approved management program but which are not so found by the Federal or State reviewing agency, and (b) believed to have been incorrectly determined to be inconsistent with an approved management program. This subpart also provides for the reporting of any Federal actions found by the Assistant Administrator to be inconsistent with an approved management program and for the performance review of State implementation of the Federal consistency provisions of this part.

§ 930.141 Notification of Federal actions believed to be inconsistent with approved management programs.

(a) Interested parties are invited to submit to the Assistant Administrator detailed comments related to the alleged inconsistency of Federal activities including development projects, Federal license or permit activities, including those described in detail in OCS plans, and Federal assistance activities which are subject to the requirements of this part, and which have not been found by a Federal agency or State agency to be inconsistent with an approved management program. Copies of such comments should be sent to relevant Federal and State agencies, and to the applicant, person or applicant agency as appropriate.

(b) Comments need not conform to any particular form, but should be specific, substantive and factual, and must describe how the Federal action is or would be inconsistent with an approved management program.

(c) Commentators are encouraged to recommend modifications or alternatives to the existing or proposed action which would enable it to be consistent with the management program.

(d) The Assistant Administrator shall assure that public information within such comments is made available for public inspection.

§ 930.142 Notification of Federal actions believed to have been incorrectly determined to be inconsistent with an approved management program.

(a) Interested parties are invited to submit to the Assistant Administrator detailed comments related to Federal license and permit activities, including those described in detail in OCS plans, and Federal assistance activities which are believed to have been incorrectly determined by a State agency to be inconsistent with an approved management program. Copies of such comments should be sent to the relevant Federal and State agencies, and to the applicant, person, or applicant agency as appropriate.

(b) Comments need not conform to any particular form, but should be specific, substantive, and factual, and must clearly describe the basis for the belief that the State agency has incorrectly objected to the Federal action on the grounds of its inconsistency with the management program.

(c) The Assistant Administrator shall assure that public information within such comments is made available for public inspection.

§ 930.143 Assistant Administrator reporting.

After considering the views of interested parties, the relevant Federal agency, State agency, and the applicant, person, or applicant agency, as appropriate, the Assistant Administrator shall determine whether the Federal action will be included in the annual report listing of inconsistent Federal actions.

§ 930.144 Assistant Administrator advisory statements.

Upon request, the Assistant Administrator may issue as advisory statement

prior to the issuance of the annual report indicating whether a Federal action will be listed within the annual report as being inconsistent with an approved management program.

§930.145 Review of the implementation of Federal consistency provisions.

As part of the responsibility to conduct a continuing review of approved management programs, the Assistant Administrator shall review the performance of each State's implementation of the Federal consistency provisions in this part. The Assistant Administrator shall use information received pursuant to this subpart to evaluate instances where a State agency is believed to have either failed to object to inconsistent Federal actions, or improperly objected to consistent Federal actions. This evaluation shall be incorporated within the Assistant Administrator's general efforts to ascertain instances where a State has not adhered to its approved management program and such lack of adherence is not justified.

PARTS 932-933 [RESERVED]

PART 937—THE LOOE KEY NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARY REGULATIONS

- Sec.
 937.1 Authority.
 937.2 Purpose.
 937.3 Boundaries.
 937.4 Definitions.
 937.5 Allowed activities.
 937.6 Activities prohibited without a permit.
 937.7 Penalties.
 937.8 Permit procedures and criteria.
 937.9 Other permits.
 937.10 Appeals from administrative action.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 302(f), 302(g), 303, Pub. L. 92-532, 86 Stat. 1061, 1062 (16 U.S.C. 1431-1434).

SOURCE: 46 FR 7949, Jan. 26, 1981, unless otherwise noted.

§937.1 Authority.

The Sanctuary has been designated by the Secretary of Commerce pursuant to the authority of section 302(a) of title III of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 1431 through 1434

(the Act). The following regulations are issued pursuant to the authorities of sections 302(f), 302(g), and 303 of the Act.

§937.2 Purpose.

The purpose of designating the Sanctuary is to protect and preserve the coral reef ecosystem and other natural resources of the waters at Looe Key and to ensure the continued availability of the area for public educational purposes and as a commercial, ecological, research and recreational resource. This area supports a particularly rich and diverse marine biota. The area is easily accessible to the lower Florida Keys and is widely used by boaters, charter boat operators, dive boats, recreational divers and fishermen. Consequently, both present and potential levels of use may result in harm to Looe Key in the absence of long-term planning, research, monitoring and adequate protection.

§937.3 Boundaries.

The Sanctuary consists of an area of 5.32 square nautical miles of high sea waters off the coast of the lower Florida Keys, 6.7 nautical miles (12.5 km) southwest of Big Pine Key. The area includes the waters overlaying a section of the submerged Florida reef tract at Looe Key. The precise boundaries are:

LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE ARE FURNISHED TO
.001 OF A SECOND

Pt. No.	Latitude	Longitude
2-1	24°31'37"	81°26'00"
2-2	24°33'34"	81°26'00"
2-3	24°34'09"	81°23'00"
2-4	24°32'12"	81°23'00"

§937.4 Definitions.

(a) *Administrator* means the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

(b) *Assistant Administrator* means the Assistant Administrator for Coastal Zone Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

(c) *Person* means any private individual, partnership, corporation, or other entity; or any officer, employee, agent, department, agency or instrumentality