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Explanation

The Code of Federal Regulations is a codification of the general and permanent rules published in the Federal Register by the Executive departments and agencies of the Federal Government. The Code is divided into 50 titles which represent broad areas subject to Federal regulation. Each title is divided into chapters which usually bear the name of the issuing agency. Each chapter is further subdivided into parts covering specific regulatory areas.

Each volume of the Code is revised at least once each calendar year and issued on a quarterly basis approximately as follows:

- Title 1 through Title 16..............................................................as of January 1
- Title 17 through Title 27.................................................................as of April 1
- Title 28 through Title 41.................................................................as of July 1
- Title 42 through Title 50.............................................................as of October 1

The appropriate revision date is printed on the cover of each volume.

LEGAL STATUS

The contents of the Federal Register are required to be judicially noticed (44 U.S.C. 1507). The Code of Federal Regulations is prima facie evidence of the text of the original documents (44 U.S.C. 1510).

HOW TO USE THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

The Code of Federal Regulations is kept up to date by the individual issues of the Federal Register. These two publications must be used together to determine the latest version of any given rule.

To determine whether a Code volume has been amended since its revision date (in this case, July 1, 2001), consult the “List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA),” which is issued monthly, and the “Cumulative List of Parts Affected,” which appears in the Reader Aids section of the daily Federal Register. These two lists will identify the Federal Register page number of the latest amendment of any given rule.

EFFECTIVE AND EXPIRATION DATES

Each volume of the Code contains amendments published in the Federal Register since the last revision of that volume of the Code. Source citations for the regulations are referred to by volume number and page number of the Federal Register and date of publication. Publication dates and effective dates are usually not the same and care must be exercised by the user in determining the actual effective date. In instances where the effective date is beyond the cut-off date for the Code a note has been inserted to reflect the future effective date. In those instances where a regulation published in the Federal Register states a date certain for expiration, an appropriate note will be inserted following the text.

OMB CONTROL NUMBERS

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (Pub. L. 96–511) requires Federal agencies to display an OMB control number with their information collection request.
Many agencies have begun publishing numerous OMB control numbers as amendments to existing regulations in the CFR. These OMB numbers are placed as close as possible to the applicable recordkeeping or reporting requirements.

OBSOLETE PROVISIONS

Provisions that become obsolete before the revision date stated on the cover of each volume are not carried. Code users may find the text of provisions in effect on a given date in the past by using the appropriate numerical list of sections affected. For the period before January 1, 1986, consult either the List of CFR Sections Affected, 1949–1963, 1964–1972, or 1973–1985, published in seven separate volumes. For the period beginning January 1, 1986, a “List of CFR Sections Affected” is published at the end of each CFR volume.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

What is incorporation by reference? Incorporation by reference was established by statute and allows Federal agencies to meet the requirement to publish regulations in the Federal Register by referring to materials already published elsewhere. For an incorporation to be valid, the Director of the Federal Register must approve it. The legal effect of incorporation by reference is that the material is treated as if it were published in full in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 552(a)). This material, like any other properly issued regulation, has the force of law.

What is a proper incorporation by reference? The Director of the Federal Register will approve an incorporation by reference only when the requirements of 1 CFR part 51 are met. Some of the elements on which approval is based are:

(a) The incorporation will substantially reduce the volume of material published in the Federal Register.

(b) The matter incorporated is in fact available to the extent necessary to afford fairness and uniformity in the administrative process.

(c) The incorporating document is drafted and submitted for publication in accordance with 1 CFR part 51.

Properly approved incorporations by reference in this volume are listed in the Finding Aids at the end of this volume.

What if the material incorporated by reference cannot be found? If you have any problem locating or obtaining a copy of material listed in the Finding Aids of this volume as an approved incorporation by reference, please contact the agency that issued the regulation containing that incorporation. If, after contacting the agency, you find the material is not available, please notify the Director of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, Washington, DC 20408, or call (202) 523–4534.

CFR INDEXES AND TABULAR GUIDES

A subject index to the Code of Federal Regulations is contained in a separate volume, revised annually as of January 1, entitled CFR INDEX AND FINDING AIDS. This volume contains the Parallel Table of Statutory Authorities and Agency Rules (Table I). A list of CFR titles, chapters, and parts and an alphabetical list of agencies publishing in the CFR are also included in this volume.

An index to the text of “Title 3—The President” is carried within that volume.

The Federal Register Index is issued monthly in cumulative form. This index is based on a consolidation of the “Contents” entries in the daily Federal Register.

A List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA) is published monthly, keyed to the revision dates of the 50 CFR titles.
REPUBLICATION OF MATERIAL

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the Code of Federal Regulations.

INQUIRIES

For a legal interpretation or explanation of any regulation in this volume, contact the issuing agency. The issuing agency's name appears at the top of odd-numbered pages.

For inquiries concerning CFR reference assistance, call 202-523-5227 or write to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, Washington, DC 20408 or e-mail info@fedreg.nara.gov.

SALES

The Government Printing Office (GPO) processes all sales and distribution of the CFR. For payment by credit card, call 202-512-1800, M-F, 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. e.s.t. or fax your order to 202-512-2250, 24 hours a day. For payment by check, write to the Superintendent of Documents, Attn: New Orders, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh, PA 15250-7954. For GPO Customer Service call 202-512-1803.

ELECTRONIC SERVICES


The Office of the Federal Register also offers a free service on the National Archives and Records Administration's (NARA) World Wide Web site for public law numbers, Federal Register finding aids, and related information. Connect to NARA's web site at www.nara.gov/fedreg. The NARA site also contains links to GPO Access.

RAYMOND A. MOSLEY,
Director,
Office of the Federal Register.

July 1, 2001.
Title 40—PROTECTION OF ENVIRONMENT is composed of twenty-eight volumes. The parts in these volumes are arranged in the following order: parts 1–49, parts 50–51, part 52 (52.01–52.1018), part 52 (52.1019–End), parts 53–59, part 60 (60.1–End), part 60 (Appendices), parts 61–62, part 63 (63.1–63.599), part 63 (63.600–1–63.1199), part 63 (63.1200–End), parts 64–71, parts 72–80, parts 81–85, part 86 (86.1–86.599–99) part 86 (86.600–1–End), parts 87–99, parts 100–135, parts 136–149, parts 150–189, parts 190–259, parts 260–265, parts 266–299, parts 300–399, parts 400–424, parts 425–699, parts 700–789, and part 790 to End. The contents of these volumes represent all current regulations codified under this title of the CFR as of July 1, 2001.

Chapter I—Environmental Protection Agency appears in all twenty-eight volumes. A Pesticide Tolerance Commodity/Chemical Index and Crop Grouping Commodities Index appear in parts 150–189. A Toxic Substances Chemical—CAS Number Index appears in parts 700–789 and part 790 to End. Redesignation Tables appear in the volumes containing parts 50–51, parts 150–189, and parts 700–789. Regulations issued by the Council on Environmental Quality appear in the volume containing part 790 to End. The OMB control numbers for title 40 appear in §9.1 of this chapter.
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CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Editorial Note: Subchapter C—Air Programs is continued in volumes 40 CFR parts 50–51, part 52 (52.01–52.1018), part 52 (52.1019–End), parts 53–59, part 60 (60.1–End), part 60 (Appendices), parts 61–62, part 63 (63.1–63.599), part 63 (63.600–1–63.1199), part 63 (63.1200–End), parts 64–71, parts 72–99, parts 61–65, part 86.1–86.599–99), part 86 (86.600–1–End), and parts 87–99.

SUBCHAPTER C—AIR PROGRAMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>National primary and secondary ambient air quality standards</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Requirements for preparation, adoption, and submittal of implementation plans</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART 50—NATIONAL PRIMARY AND SECONDARY AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

§ 50.1 Definitions.
(a) As used in this part, all terms not defined herein shall have the meaning given them by the Act.
(c) Agency means the Environmental Protection Agency.
(d) Administrator means the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.
(e) Ambient air means that portion of the atmosphere, external to buildings, to which the general public has access.
(f) Reference method means a method of sampling and analyzing the ambient air for an air pollutant that is specified as a reference method in an appendix to this part, or a method that has been designated as a reference method in accordance with part 53 of this chapter; it does not include a method for which a reference method designation has been cancelled in accordance with §53.11 or §53.16 of this chapter.
(g) Equivalent method means a method of sampling and analyzing the ambient air for an air pollutant that has been designated as an equivalent method in accordance with part 53 of this chapter; it does not include a method for which an equivalent method designation has
§ 50.2 Scope.

(a) National primary and secondary ambient air quality standards under section 109 of the Act are set forth in this part.

(b) National primary ambient air quality standards define levels of air quality which the Administrator judges are necessary, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect the public health. National secondary ambient air quality standards define levels of air quality which the Administrator judges necessary to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects of a pollutant. Such standards are subject to revision, and additional primary and secondary standards may be promulgated as the Administrator deems necessary to protect the public health and welfare.

(c) The promulgation of national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards shall not be considered in any manner to allow significant deterioration of existing air quality in any portion of any State or Indian country.

(d) The proposal, promulgation, or revision of national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards shall not prohibit any State or Indian country from establishing ambient air quality standards for that State or area under a tribal CAA program or any portion thereof which are more stringent than the national standards.

[36 FR 22384, Nov. 25, 1971, as amended at 63 FR 7274, Feb. 12, 1998]

§ 50.3 Reference conditions.

All measurements of air quality that are expressed as mass per unit volume (e.g., micrograms per cubic meter) other than for the particulate matter (PM_{10} and PM_{2.5}) standards contained in §50.7 shall be corrected to a reference temperature of 25 °C and a reference pressure of 760 millimeters of mercury (1,013.2 millibars). Measurements of PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} for purposes of comparison to the standards contained in §50.7 shall be reported based on actual ambient air volume measured at the actual ambient temperature and pressure at the monitoring site during the measurement period.


§ 50.4 National primary ambient air quality standards for sulfur oxides (sulfur dioxide).

(a) The level of the annual standard is 0.030 parts per million (ppm), not to be exceeded in a calendar year. The annual arithmetic mean shall be rounded to three decimal places (fractional parts equal to or greater than 0.0005 ppm shall be rounded up).

(b) The level of the 24-hour standard is 0.14 parts per million (ppm), not to be exceeded more than once per calendar year. The 24-hour averages shall be determined from successive non-overlapping 24-hour blocks starting at midnight each calendar day and shall be rounded to two decimal places (fractional parts equal to or greater than 0.005 ppm shall be rounded up).

(c) Sulfur oxides shall be measured in the ambient air as sulfur dioxide by the reference method described in appendix A to this part or by an equivalent method designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter.

(d) To demonstrate attainment, the annual arithmetic mean and the second-highest 24-hour averages must be based upon hourly data that are at least 75 percent complete in each calendar quarter. A 24-hour block average shall be considered valid if at least 75 percent of the hourly averages for the 24-hour period are available. In the event that only 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, or 23 hourly averages are available, the 24-hour block average shall be computed as the sum of the available hourly

[36 FR 22384, Nov. 25, 1971, as amended at 63 FR 7274, Feb. 12, 1998]
averages using 18, 19, etc. as the divisor. If fewer than 18 hourly averages are available, but the 24-hour average would exceed the level of the standard when zeros are substituted for the missing values, subject to the rounding rule of paragraph (b) of this section, then this shall be considered a valid 24-hour average. In this case, the 24-hour block average shall be computed as the sum of the available hourly averages divided by 24.

[61 FR 25579, May 22, 1996]

§ 50.5 National secondary ambient air quality standard for sulfur oxides (sulfur dioxide).

(a) The level of the 3-hour standard is 0.5 parts per million (ppm), not to be exceeded more than once per calendar year. The 3-hour averages shall be determined from successive nonoverlapping 3-hour blocks starting at midnight each calendar day and shall be rounded to 1 decimal place (fractional parts equal to or greater than 0.05 ppm shall be rounded up).

(b) Sulfur oxides shall be measured in the ambient air as sulfur dioxide by the reference method described in appendix A of this part or by an equivalent method designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter.

(c) To demonstrate attainment, the second-highest 3-hour average must be based upon hourly data that are at least 75 percent complete in each calendar quarter. A 3-hour block average shall be considered valid only if all three hourly averages for the 3-hour period are available. If only one or two hourly averages are available, but the 3-hour average would exceed the level of the standard when zeros are substituted for the missing values, subject to the rounding rule of paragraph (a) of this section, then this shall be considered a valid 3-hour average. In all cases, the 3-hour block average shall be computed as the sum of the hourly averages divided by 3.


§ 50.6 National primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for PM_{10}.

(a) The level of the national primary and secondary 24-hour ambient air quality standards for particulate matter is 150 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³), 24-hour average concentration. The standards are attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 µg/m³, as determined in accordance with appendix K to this part, is equal to or less than one.

(b) The level of the national primary and secondary annual standards for particulate matter is 50 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³), annual arithmetic mean. The standards are attained when the expected annual arithmetic mean concentration, as determined in accordance with appendix K to this part, is less than or equal to 50 µg/m³.

(c) For the purpose of determining attainment of the primary and secondary standards, particulate matter shall be measured in the ambient air as PM_{10} (particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers) by:

(1) A reference method based on appendix J and designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter, or

(2) An equivalent method designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter.

[61 FR 25580, May 22, 1996]

§ 50.7 National primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for particulate matter.

(a) The national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for particulate matter are:

(1) 15.0 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³) annual arithmetic mean concentration, and 65 µg/m³ 24-hour average concentration measured in the ambient air as PM_{2.5} (particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 2.5 micrometers) by either:

(i) A reference method based on appendix L of this part and designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter; or

(ii) An equivalent method designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter.
§50.8 National primary ambient air quality standards for carbon monoxide.

(a) The national primary ambient air quality standards for carbon monoxide are:

(1) 9 parts per million (10 milligrams per cubic meter) for an 8-hour average concentration not to be exceeded more than once per year.

(b) The levels of carbon monoxide in the ambient air shall be measured by:

(1) A reference method based on appendix C and designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter, or

(c) An 8-hour average shall be considered valid if at least 75 percent of the hourly average for the 8-hour period are available. In the event that only six (or seven) hourly averages are available, the 8-hour average shall be computed on the basis of the hours available using six (or seven) as the divisor.

(d) When summarizing data for comparison with the standards, averages shall be stated to one decimal place. Comparison of the data with the levels of the standards in parts per million shall be made in terms of integers with fractional parts of 0.5 or greater rounding up.

§50.9 National 1-hour primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for ozone.

(a) The level of the national 1-hour primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for ozone measured by a reference method based on appendix D to this part and designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter, is 0.12 parts per million (235 µg/m³). The standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with maximum hourly average concentrations above 0.12 parts per million (235 µg/m³) is equal to or less than 1, as determined by appendix H to this part.

(b) The 1-hour standards set forth in this section will remain applicable to all areas notwithstanding the promulgation of 8-hour ozone standards under §50.10. In addition, after the 8-hour standard has become fully enforceable under part D of title I of the CAA and subject to no further legal challenge, the 1-hour standards set forth in this section will no longer apply to an area once EPA determines that the area has
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§ 50.10 National 8-hour primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for ozone.

(a) The level of the national 8-hour primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for ozone, measured by a reference method based on appendix D to this part and designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter, is 0.08 parts per million (ppm), daily maximum 8-hour average.

(b) The 8-hour primary and secondary ozone ambient air quality standards are met at an ambient air quality monitoring site when the average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentration is less than or equal to 0.08 ppm, as determined in accordance with appendix I to this part.

§ 50.11 National primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for nitrogen dioxide.

(a) The level of the national primary ambient air quality standard for nitrogen dioxide is 0.053 parts per million (100 micrograms per cubic meter), annual arithmetic mean concentration.

(b) The level of national secondary ambient air quality standard for nitrogen dioxide is 0.053 parts per million (100 micrograms per cubic meter), annual arithmetic mean concentration.

(c) The levels of the standards shall be measured by:

(1) A reference method based on appendix F and designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter, or

(2) An equivalent method designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter.

(d) The standards are attained when the annual arithmetic mean concentration in a calendar year is less than or equal to 0.053 ppm, rounded to three decimal places (fractional parts equal to or greater than 0.0005 ppm must be rounded up). To demonstrate attainment, an annual mean must be based upon hourly data that are at least 75 percent complete or upon data derived from manual methods that are at least 75 percent complete for the scheduled sampling days in each calendar quarter.

§ 50.12 National primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for lead.

National primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for lead and its compounds, measured as elemental lead by a reference method based on appendix G to this part, or by an equivalent method, are: 1.5 micrograms per cubic meter, maximum arithmetic mean averaged over a calendar quarter.

(Secs. 109, 301(a) Clean Air Act as amended (42 U.S.C. 7409, 7601(a)))

[43 FR 46258, Oct. 5, 1978]

APPENDIX A TO PART 50—REFERENCE METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF SULFUR DIOXIDE IN THE ATMOSPHERE (PARAROSANILINE METHOD)

1.0 Applicability.

1.1 This method provides a measurement of the concentration of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) in ambient air for determining compliance with the primary and secondary national ambient air quality standards for sulfur oxides (sulfur dioxide) as specified in §50.4 and §50.5 of this chapter. The method is applicable to the measurement of ambient SO₂ concentrations using sampling periods ranging from 30 minutes to 24 hours. Additional quality assurance procedures and guidance are provided in part 58, appendices A and B, of this chapter and in references 1 and 2.

2.0 Principle.

2.1 A measured volume of air is bubbled through a solution of 0.04 M potassium tetrachloromercurate (TCM). The SO₂ present in the air stream reacts with the TCM solution to form a stable monochlorosulfonatomercurate(3) complex. Once formed, this complex resists air oxidation(4, 5) and is stable in the presence of strong oxidants such as ozone and oxides of nitrogen. During subsequent analysis, the complex is reacted with acid-bleached pararosaniline dye and formaldehyde to form an intensely colored pararosaniline methyl sulfonic acid.(6) The optical density of this species is determined spectrophotometrically at 548 nm and is directly related to the amount of SO₂ collected. The total volume of air sampled, corrected to EPA reference conditions (25 °C, 760 mm Hg [101 kPa]), is determined from the measured flow rate and the sampling time. The concentration of SO₂ in
the ambient air is computed and expressed in micrograms per standard cubic meter (µg/std m³).  

3.0 Range.  

3.1 The lower limit of detection of SO₂ in 10 mL of TCM is 0.75 µg (based on collaborative test results). This represents a concentration of 25 µg SO₂/m³ (0.01 ppm) in an air sample of 30 standard liters (short-term sampling) and a concentration of 13 µg SO₂/m³ (0.005 ppm) in an air sample of 288 standard liters (long-term sampling). Concentrations less than 2.5 µg/m³ can be measured by sampling larger volumes of ambient air; however, the collection efficiency falls off rapidly at low concentrations. Beer’s law is considered up to 34 µg of SO₂ in 25 mL of final solution. This upper limit of the analysis range represents a concentration of 1,130 µg SO₂/m³ (0.43 ppm) in an air sample of 288 standard liters and a concentration of 590 µg SO₂/m³ (0.23 ppm) in an air sample of 288 standard liters. Higher concentrations can be measured by collecting a smaller volume of air, by increasing the volume of absorbing solution, or by diluting a suitable portion of the collected sample with absorbing solution prior to analysis.  

4.0 Interferences.  

4.1 The effects of the principal potential interferences have been minimized or eliminated in the following manner: Nitrogen oxides by the addition of sulfamic acid, heavy metals by the addition of ethylene-diamine tetracetic acid disodium salt (EDTA) and phosphoric acid, heavy metals by the addition of ethylene-diamine tetracetic acid disodium salt (EDTA) and phosphoric acid, (0.005 ppm) in an air sample of 288 standard liters (long-term sampling). The cap of the absorber must be a polypropylene tube 32 mm in diameter and 164 mm long (available from Bel Art Products, Pequannock, NJ) is used as the absorber. The assembled absorber is shown in Figure 2.  

5.0 Precision and Accuracy.  

5.1 The precision of the analysis is 4.6 percent (at the 95 percent confidence level) based on the analysis of standard sulfite samples.  

5.2 Collaborative test results based on the analysis of synthetic test atmospheres (SO₂ in scrubbed air) using the 24-hour sampling procedure and the sulfite-TCM calibration procedure show that:  

• The replication error varies linearly with concentration from ±0.005 µg/m³ at concentrations of 100 µg/m³ to ±0.017 µg/m³ at concentrations of 400 µg/m³.  

• The day-to-day variability within an individual laboratory (repeatability) varies linearly with concentration from ±18.1 µg/m³ at levels of 100 µg/m³ to ±50.9 µg/m³ at levels of 400 µg/m³.  

• The day-to-day variability between two or more laboratories (reproducibility) varies linearly with concentration from ±36.9 µg/m³ at levels of 100 µg/m³ to ±103.5 µg/m³ at levels of 400 µg/m³.  

6.0 Stability.  

6.1 By sampling in a controlled temperature environment of 15±10 °C, greater than 98.9 percent of the SO₂-TCM complex is retained at the completion of sampling. If kept at 5 °C following the completion of sampling, the collected sample has been found to be stable for up to 30 days. The presence of EDTA enhances the stability of SO₂ in the TCM solution and the rate of decay is independent of the concentration of SO₂.  

7.0 Apparatus.  

7.1 Sampling.  

7.1.1 Sample probe: A sample probe meeting the requirements of section 7 of 40 CFR part 58, appendix E (Teflon® or glass with residence time less than 20 sec.) is used to transport ambient air to the sampling train location. The end of the probe should be designed or oriented to preclude the sampling of precipitation, large particles, etc. A suitable probe can be constructed from Teflon® tubing connected to an inverted funnel.  

7.1.2 Absorber—short-term sampling: An all glass midget impinger having a solution capacity of 30 mL and a stem clearance of 41 mm from the bottom of the vessel is used for sampling periods of 30 minutes and 1 hour (or any period considerably less than 24 hours). Such an impinger is shown in Figure 1. These impingers are commercially available from distributors such as Ace Glass, Incorporated.  

7.1.3 Absorber—24-hour sampling: A polypropylene tube 32 mm in diameter and 164 mm long (available from Bel Art Products, Pequannock, NJ) is used as the absorber. The cap of the absorber must be a polypropylene cap with two ports (rubber stoppers are unacceptable because the absorbing reagent can react with the stopper to yield erroneously high SO₂ concentrations). A glass impinger stem, 6 mm in diameter and 158 mm long, is inserted into one port of the absorber cap. The tip of the stem is tapered to a small diameter orifice (0.45±0.1 mm) such that a No. 79 jeweler’s drill bit will pass through the opening but a No. 78 drill bit will not. Clearance from the bottom of the absorber to the tip of the stem must be 62±1 mm. Glass stems can be fabricated by any reputable glass blower or can be obtained from a scientific supply firm. Upon receipt, the orifice test should be performed to verify the orifice size. The 50 mL volume level should be permanently marked on the absorber. The assembled absorber is shown in Figure 2.  

7.1.4 Moisture trap: A moisture trap constructed of a glass trap as shown in Figure 1 or a polypropylene tube as shown in Figure 2 is placed between the absorber tube and
flow control device to prevent entrained liquid from reaching the flow control device. The tube is packed with indicating silica gel as shown in Figure 2. Glass wool may be substituted for silica gel when collecting short-term samples (1 hour or less) as shown in Figure 1, or for long term (24 hour) samples if flow changes are not routinely encountered.

7.1.5 Cap seals: The absorber and moisture trap caps must seal securely to prevent leaks during use. Heat-shrink material as shown in Figure 2 can be used to retain the cap seals if there is any chance of the caps coming loose during sampling, shipment, or storage.
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7.1.6 Flow control device: A calibrated rotameter and needle valve combination capable of maintaining and measuring air flow to within ±2 percent is suitable for short-term sampling but may not be used for long-term sampling. A critical orifice can be used for regulating flow rate for both long-term and short-term sampling. A 22-gauge hypodermic needle 25 mm long will provide a critical orifice to yield a flow rate of approximately 0.5 L/min for a 30-minute sampling period. When sampling for 1 hour, a 23-gauge hypodermic needle 16 mm in length will provide a flow rate of approximately 7.0 L/min. Flow control for a 24-hour sample may be provided by a 27-gauge hypodermic needle critical orifice that is 9.5 mm in length. The flow rate should be in the range of 0.18 to 0.22 L/min.

7.1.7 Flow measurement device: Device calibrated as specified in 9.4.1 and used to measure sample flow rate at the monitoring site.

7.1.8 Membrane particle filter: A membrane filter of 0.8 to 2 μm porosity is used to protect the flow controller from particles during long-term sampling. This item is optional for short-term sampling.

7.1.9 Vacuum pump: A vacuum pump equipped with a vacuum gauge and capable of maintaining at least 70 kPa (0.7 atm) vacuum differential across the flow control device at the specified flow rate is required for sampling.

7.1.10 Temperature control device: The temperature of the absorbing solution during sampling must be maintained at 15 ± 10 °C. As soon as possible following sampling and until analysis, the temperature of the collected sample must be maintained at 5 ± 5 °C. Where an extended period of time may elapse before the collected sample can be moved to the lower storage temperature, a collection temperature near the lower limit of the 15 ± 10 °C range should be used to minimize losses during this period. Thermoelectric coolers specifically designed for this temperature control are available commercially and normally operate in the range of 5° to 15 °C. Small refrigerators can be modified to provide the required temperature control; however, inlet lines must be insulated from the lower storage temperatures to prevent condensation when sampling under humid conditions. A small heating pad may be necessary when sampling at low temperatures (<7 °C) to prevent the absorbing solution from freezing.

7.1.11 Sampling train container: The absorbing solution must be shielded from light during and after sampling. Most commercially available sampler trains are enclosed in a light-proof box.

7.1.12 Timer: A timer is recommended to initiate and to stop sampling for the 24-hour period. The timer is not a required piece of equipment; however, without the timer a technician would be required to start and stop the sampling manually. An elapsed time meter is also recommended to determine the duration of the sampling period.

7.2 Shipping.

7.2.1 Shipping container: A shipping container that can maintain a temperature of 5 ± 5 °C is used for transporting the sample from the collection site to the analytical laboratory. Ice coolers or refrigerated shipping containers have been found to be satisfactory. The use of eutectic cold packs instead of ice will give a more stable temperature control. Such equipment is available from Cole-Parmer Company, 7425 North Oak Park Avenue, Chicago, IL 60648.

7.3 Analysis.

7.3.1 Spectrophotometer: A spectrophotometer suitable for measurement of absorbances at 548 nm with an effective spectral bandwidth of less than 15 nm is required for analysis. If the spectrophotometer reads out in transmittance, convert to absorbance as follows:

\[ A = \log_{10} \left( \frac{1}{T} \right) \]

where:

\[ A = \text{absorbance, and} \]
\[ T = \text{transmittance (0 ≤ T ≤ 1)}. \]

A standard wavelength filter traceable to the National Bureau of Standards is used to verify the wavelength calibration according to the procedure enclosed with the filter. The wavelength calibration must be verified upon initial receipt of the instrument and after each 160 hours of normal use or every 6 months, whichever occurs first.

7.3.2 Spectrophotometer cells: A set of 1-cm path length cells suitable for use in the visible region is used during analysis. If the cells are unmatched, a matching correction factor must be determined according to Section 10.1.

7.3.3 Temperature control device: The color development step during analysis must be conducted in an environment that is in the range of 20° to 30 °C and controlled to ±1 °C. Both calibration and sample analysis must be performed under identical conditions (within 1 °C). Adequate temperature control may be obtained by means of constant temperature baths, water baths with manual temperature control, or temperature controlled rooms.

7.3.4 Glassware: Class A volumetric glassware of various capacities is required for preparing and standardizing reagents and standards and for dispensing solutions during analysis. These included pipets, volumetric flasks, and burets.

7.3.5 TCM waste receptacle: A glass waste receptacle is required for the storage of spent TCM solution. This vessel should be stoppered and stored in a hood at all times.

8.0 Reagents.

8.1 Sampling.
8.1.1 **Distilled water:** Purity of distilled water must be verified by the following procedure:

- Place 0.20 mL of potassium permanganate solution (0.01 N), 500 mL of distilled water, and 1mL of concentrated sulfuric acid in a chemically resistant glass bottle, stopper the bottle, and allow to stand.

- If the permanganate color (pink) does not disappear completely after a period of 1 hour at room temperature, the water is suitable for use.

- If the permanganate color does disappear, the water can be purified by redistilling with one crystal each of barium hydroxide and potassium permanganate in an all glass still.

8.1.2 **Absorbing reagent** (0.04 M potassium tetrachloromercurate [TCM]): Dissolve 10.86 g mercuric chloride, 0.066 g EDTA, and 6.0 g potassium chloride in distilled water and dilute to volume with distilled water in a 1,000-mL volumetric flask. (Caution: Mercuric chloride is highly poisonous. If spilled on skin, flush with water immediately.) The pH of this reagent should be between 3.0 and 5.0.

8.2 **Analysis.**

8.2.1 **Sulfamic acid** (0.6%): Dissolve 0.6 g sulfamic acid in 100 mL distilled water. Prepare fresh daily.

8.2.2 **Formaldehyde** (0.2%): Dilute 5 mL formaldehyde solution (30 to 38 percent) to 1,000 mL with distilled water. Prepare fresh daily.

8.2.3 **Stock iodine solution** (0.1 N): Place 12.7 g resublimed iodine in a 250-mL beaker and add 40 g potassium iodide and 25 mL water. Stir until dissolved, transfer to a 1,000 mL volumetric flask and dilute to volume with distilled water.

8.2.4 **Iodine solution** (0.01 N): Prepare approximately 0.01 N iodine solution by diluting 50 mL of stock iodine solution (Section 8.2.3) to 500 mL with distilled water.

8.2.5 **Starch indicator solution:** Triturate 0.4 g soluble starch and 0.002 g mercuric iodide (preservative) with enough distilled water to form a paste. Add the paste slowly to 200 mL of boiling distilled water and continue boiling until clear. Cool and transfer the solution to a glass stoppered bottle.

8.2.6 **I N hydrochloric acid:** Slowly and while stirring, add 86 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid to 500 mL of distilled water. Allow to cool and dilute to 1,000 mL with distilled water.

8.2.7 **Potassium iodate solution:** Accurately weigh to the nearest 0.1 mg, 1.5 g (record weight) of primary standard grade potassium iodate that has been previously dried at 180 °C for at least 3 hours and cooled in a desiccator. Dissolve, then dilute to volume in a 500-mL volumetric flask with distilled water.

8.2.8 **Stock sodium thiosulfate solution** (0.1 N): Prepare a stock solution by dissolving 25 g sodium thiosulfate (Na2S2O3·5H2O) in 1,000 mL freshly boiled, cooled, distilled water and adding 0.1 g sodium carbonate to the solution. Allow the solution to stand at least 1 day before standardizing. To standardize, accurately pipet 50 mL of potassium iodate solution (Section 8.2.7) into a 500-mL volumetric flask and add 2.0 g of potassium iodide and 10 mL of 1 N HCl. Stopper the flask and allow to stand for 5 minutes. Titrate the solution with stock sodium thiosulfate solution (Section 8.2.8) to a pale yellow color. Add 5 mL of starch solution (Section 8.2.5) and titrate until the blue color just disappears. Calculate the normality (Nt) of the stock sodium thiosulfate solution as follows:

\[ N_t = \frac{W}{M} \times 2.80 \]  

where:

- M = volume of thiosulfate required in mL, and
- W = weight of potassium iodate in g (recorded weight in Section 8.2.7).

\[ 2.80 = \frac{10^3 \text{(conversion of g to mg)} \times 0.01 \text{(fraction iodate used)}}{33.67 \text{(equivalent weight of potassium iodate)}} \]

8.2.9 **Working sodium thiosulfate titrant** (0.01 N): Accurately pipet 100 mL of stock sodium thiosulfate solution (Section 8.2.8) into a 1,000-mL volumetric flask and dilute to volume with freshly boiled, cooled, distilled water. Calculate the normality of the working sodium thiosulfate titrant (Nt) as follows:

\[ N_t = N_s \times 0.100 \]

8.2.10 **Standardized sulfite solution for the preparation of working sulfite-TCM solution:** Dissolve 0.30 g sodium metabisulfite (Na2S2O5) or 0.49 g sodium sulfite (Na2SO3) in 500 mL of recently boiled, cooled, distilled water. (Sulfite solution is unstable; it is therefore important to use water of the highest purity to minimize this instability.) This solution contains the equivalent of 320 to 400 µg SO2/mL. The actual concentration of the solution is determined by adding excess iodine and back-titrating with standard sodium thiosulfate solution. To back-titrate, pipet 50 mL of the 0.01 N iodine solution (Section 8.2.4) into each of two 500-mL iodine flasks (A and B). To flask A (blank) add 25 mL distilled water, and to flask B (sample)
pipet 25 mL sulfite solution. Stopper the flasks and allow to stand for 5 minutes. Prepare the working sulfite-TCM solution (Section 8.2.11) immediately prior to adding the iodine solution to the flasks. Using a buret containing standardized 0.01 N thiosulfate titrant (Section 8.2.9), titrate the solution in each flask to a pale yellow color. Then add 5 mL starch solution (Section 8.2.5) and continue the titration until the blue color just disappears.

### Working sulfite-TCM solution: Accurately pipet 5 mL of the standard sulfite solution (Section 8.2.10) into a 250-mL volumetric flask and dilute to volume with 0.04 M TCM. Calculate the concentration of sulfur dioxide in the working solution as follows:

\[
C_{\text{TCM/SO}_2}(\mu\text{g SO}_2/\text{mL}) = \frac{(A-B)(N_T)(32,000)}{25} \times 0.02
\]

where:
- \(A\) = volume of thiosulfate titrant required for the blank, mL;
- \(B\) = volume of thiosulfate titrant required for the sample, mL;
- \(N_T\) = normality of the thiosulfate titrant, from equation (3);
- 32,000 = milliequivalent weight of \(\text{SO}_2\), g;
- 25 = volume of standard sulfite solution, mL; and
- 0.02 = dilution factor.

This solution is stable for 30 days if kept at 5 °C. If not kept at 5 °C, prepare fresh daily.

### Preparation of stock PRA solution—

1. **Purified pararosaniline (PRA) stock solution (0.2% nominal):**
   - 8.2.12.1 Dye specifications—
     - The dye must have a maximum absorbance at a wavelength of 540 nm when assayed in a buffered solution of 0.1 M sodium acetate-acetic acid;
     - The absorbance of the reagent blank, which is temperature sensitive (0.015 absorbance unit/°C), must not exceed 0.170 at 22 °C with a 1-cm optical path length when the blank is prepared according to the specified procedure;
     - The calibration curve (Section 10.0) must have a slope equal to 0.030±0.002 absorbance unit/µg SO₂ with a 1-cm optical path length when the dye is pure and the sulfite solution is properly standardized.

2. **Preparation of stock PRA solution—** A specially purified (99 to 100 percent pure) solution of pararosaniline, which meets the above specifications, is commercially available in the required 0.20 percent concentration (Harleco Co.). Alternatively, the dye may be purified, a stock solution prepared, and then assayed according to the procedure as described below.(10)

3. **Purification procedure for PRA—**
   - 8.2.12.3 Place 20 mL of 1-butanol (draw the 1-butanol from the top of the separatory funnel in 1.) to the beaker and let stand for several minutes. Discard the remaining acid phase in the separatory funnel.
   - 8.2.12.4 Transfer the acid solution (from 2.) containing the dye to the funnel and shake carefully to extract. The violet impurity will transfer to the organic phase.
   - 8.2.12.5 Filter the acid solution through a cotton plug into a 50-mL volumetric flask and bring to volume with 1 N HCl. This stock reagent will be a yellowish red.

4. To check the purity of the PRA, perform the assay and adjustment of concentration (Section 8.2.12.4) and prepare a reagent blank (Section 11.2); the absorbance of this reagent blank at 540 nm should be less than 0.170 at 22 °C. If the absorbance is greater than 0.170 under these conditions, further extractions should be performed.
13.61 g of sodium acetate trihydrate in distilled water in a 100-mL volumetric flask. Add 5.70 mL of glacial acetic acid and dilute to volume with distilled water.

2. Pipet 1 mL of the stock PRA solution obtained from the purification process or from a commercial source into a 100-mL volumetric flask and dilute to volume with distilled water.

3. Transfer a 5–20 mL aliquot of the diluted PRA solution from 2. into a 50–250 mL volumetric flask. Add 5 mL of 1 M acetate-acetic acid buffer solution from 1. and dilute the mixture to volume with distilled water. Let the mixture stand for 1 hour.

4. Measure the absorbance of the above solution at 540 nm with a spectrophotometer against a distilled water reference. Compute the percentage of nominal concentration of PRA by

\[
\%\text{PRA} = \frac{A \times K}{W}
\]

where:

- \(A\) = measured absorbance of the final mixture (absorbance units);
- \(W\) = weight in grams of the PRA dye used in the assay to prepare 50 mL of stock solution (for example, 0.100 g of dye was used to prepare 50 mL of solution in the purification procedure; when obtained from commercial sources, use the stated concentration to compute \(W\); for 98% PRA, \(W = 0.098\) g.); and
- \(K\) = 21.3 for spectrophotometers having a spectral bandwidth of less than 15 nm and a path length of 1 cm.

8.2.13 Pararosaniline reagent: To a 250–mL volumetric flask, add 20 mL of stock PRA solution. Add an additional 0.2 mL of stock solution for each percentage that the stock assays below 100 percent. Then add 25 mL of 3 M phosphoric acid and dilute to volume with distilled water. The reagent is stable for at least 9 months. Store away from heat and light.

9.0 Sampling Procedure.
9.1 General Considerations. Procedures are described for short-term sampling (30-minute and 1-hour) and for long-term sampling (24-hour). Different combinations of absorbing reagent volume, sampling rate, and sampling time can be selected to meet special needs. For combinations other than those specifically described, the conditions must be adjusted so that linearity is maintained between absorbance and concentration over the dynamic range. Absorbing reagent volumes less than 10 mL are not recommended. The collection efficiency is above 98 percent for the conditions described; however, the efficiency may be substantially lower when sampling concentrations below 250 µg SO₂/m³.

9.2 30-Minute and 1-Hour Sampling. Place 10 mL of TCM absorbing reagent in a midget impinger and seal the impinger with a thin film of silicon stopcock grease (around the ground glass joint). Insert the sealed impinger into the sampling train as shown in Figure 1, making sure that all connections between the various components are leak tight. Greaseless ball joint fittings, heat shrinkable Teflon™ tubing, or Teflon™ tube fittings may be used to attain leakfree conditions for portions of the sampling train that come into contact with air containing SO₂. Shield the absorbing reagent from direct sunlight by covering the impinger with aluminum foil or by enclosing the sampling train in a light-proof box. Determine the flow rate according to Section 9.4.2. Collect the sample at 1±0.10 L/min for 30-minute sampling or 0.500±0.05 L/min for 1-hour sampling. Record the exact sampling time in minutes, as the sample volume will later be determined using the sampling flow rate and the sampling time. Record the atmospheric pressure and temperature.

9.3 24-Hour Sampling. Place 50 mL of TCM absorbing solution in a large absorber, close the cap, and, if needed, apply the heat shrink material as shown in Figure 3. Verify that the reagent level is at the 50 mL mark on the absorber. Insert the sealed absorber into the sampling train as shown in Figure 2. At this time verify that the absorber temperature is controlled to 15±10 °C. During sampling, the absorber temperature must be controlled to prevent decomposition of the collected complex. From the onset of sampling until analysis, the absorbing solution must be protected from direct sunlight. Determine the flow rate according to Section 9.4.2. Collect the sample for 24 hours from midnight to midnight at a flow rate of 0.200±0.020 L/min. A start/stop timer is helpful for initiating and stopping sampling and an elapsed time meter will be useful for determining the sampling time.
9.4 Flow Measurement.
  9.4.1 Calibration: Flow measuring devices used for the on-site flow measurements required in 9.4.2 must be calibrated against a reliable flow or volume standard such as an NBS traceable bubble flowmeter or calibrated wet test meter. Rotameters or critical orifices used in the sampling train may be calibrated, if desired, as a quality control check, but such calibration shall not replace the on-site flow measurements required by 9.4.2. In-line rotameters, if they are to be calibrated, should be calibrated in situ, with the appropriate volume of solution in the absorber.

9.4.2 Determination of flow rate at sampling site: For short-term samples, the standard flow rate is determined at the sampling site at the initiation and completion of sample collection with a calibrated flow measuring device connected to the inlet of the absorber. For 24-hour samples, the standard flow rate is determined at the time the absorber is placed in the sampling train and again when the absorber is removed from the train for shipment to the analytical laboratory with a calibrated flow measuring device connected to the inlet of the sampling train. The flow rate determination must be made with all components of the sampling system in operation (e.g., the absorber temperature controller and any sample box heaters must also be operating). Equation 6 may be used to determine the standard flow rate when a calibrated positive displacement meter is used as the flow measuring device. Other types of calibrated flow measuring devices may also be used to determine the flow rate at the sampling site provided that the user applies any appropriate corrections to devices for which output is dependent on temperature or pressure.
where:

\( Q_{\text{std}} \) = flow rate at standard conditions, std L/min (25 °C and 760 mm Hg);

\( Q_{\text{act}} \) = flow rate at monitoring site conditions, L/min;

\( P_b \) = barometric pressure at monitoring site conditions, mm Hg or kPa;

RH = fractional relative humidity of the air being measured;

\( P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) = vapor pressure of water at the temperature of the air in the flow or volume standard, in the same units as \( P_b \), (for wet volume standards only, i.e., bubble flowmeter or wet test meter; for dry standards, i.e., dry test meter, \( P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}=0 \));

\( P_{\text{std}} \) = standard barometric pressure, in the same units as \( P_b \) (760 mm Hg or 101 kPa);

and

\( T_{\text{meter}} \) = temperature of the air in the flow or volume standard, °C (e.g., bubble flowmeter).

If a barometer is not available, the following equation may be used to determine the barometric pressure:

\[
P_b = 760 - 0.76(H)\text{mm Hg}, \text{or} \ P_b = 101 - 0.1(H)\text{kPa}
\]  

where:

H = sampling site elevation above sea level in meters.

If the initial flow rate (Q) differs from the flow rate indicated by the flowmeter in the sampling train (Qc) by more than 5 percent as determined by equation (8), check for leaks and redetermine Q.

\[
\% \text{ Diff} = \frac{Q_i - Q_c}{Q_c} \times 100
\]  

(8)

Invalidate the sample if the difference between the initial (Qi) and final (Qf) flow rates is more than 5 percent as determined by equation (9):

\[
\% \text{ Diff} = \frac{Q_i - Q_f}{Q_f} \times 100
\]  

(9)

9.5 Sample Storage and Shipment. Remove the impinger or absorber from the sampling train and stopper immediately. Verify that the temperature of the absorber is not above 25 °C. Mark the level of the solution with a temporary (e.g., grease pencil) mark. If the sample will not be analyzed within 12 hours of sampling, it must be stored at 5° ± 5 °C until analysis. Analysis must occur within 30 days. If the sample is transported or shipped for a period exceeding 12 hours, it is recommended that thermal coolers using eutectic ice packs, refrigerated shipping containers, etc., be used for periods up to 48 hours. (17) Measure the temperature of the absorber solution when the shipment is received. Invalidate the sample if the temperature is above 10 °C. Store the sample at 5° ± 5 °C until it is analyzed.

10 Analytical Calibration.

10.1 Spectrophotometer Cell Matching. If unmatched spectrophotometer cells are used, an absorbance correction factor must be determined as follows:

1. Fill all cells with distilled water and designate the one that has the lowest absorbance at 548 nm as the reference. (This reference cell should be marked as such and continually used for this purpose throughout all future analyses.)

2. Zero the spectrophotometer with the reference cell.

3. Determine the absorbance of the remaining cells (\( A_c \)) in relation to the reference cell and record these values for future use. Mark all cells in a manner that adequately identifies the correction.

The corrected absorbance during future analyses using each cell is determined as follows:

\[
A = A_{\text{obs}} - A_c
\]  

(10)

where:

\( A \) = corrected absorbance,

\( A_{\text{obs}} \) = uncorrected absorbance, and

\( A_c \) = cell correction.

10.2 Static Calibration Procedure (Option 1). Prepare a dilute working sulfite-TCM solution by diluting 10 mL of the working sulfite-TCM solution (Section 8.2.11) to 100 mL with TCM absorbing reagent. Following the table below, accurately pipet the indicated volumes of the sulfite-TCM solutions into a series of 25-mL volumetric flasks. Add TCM absorbing reagent as indicated to bring the volume in each flask to 10 mL.
A calibration equation is determined using the method of linear least squares (Section 12.3). The total micrograms SO$_2$ contained in each solution is the $x$ variable, and the corrected absorbance (eq. 10) associated with each solution is the $y$ variable. For the calibration to be valid, the slope must be in the range of 0.030 ±0.002 absorbance unit/µg SO$_2$, the intercept as determined by the least squares method must be equal to or less than ±0.170 absorbance unit when the color is developed at 22 °C (add 0.015 to this 0.170 specification for each °C above 22 °C) and the correlation coefficient must be greater than 0.998. If these criteria are not met, it may be the result of an impure dye and/or an improperly standardized sulfite-TCM solution. A calibration factor (B$_s$) is determined by calculating the reciprocal of the slope and is subsequently used for calculating the sample concentration (Section 12.3).

### 10.3 Dynamic Calibration Procedures (Option 2)

Atmospheres containing accurately known concentrations of sulfur dioxide are prepared using permeation devices. In the systems for generating these atmospheres, the permeation device emits gaseous SO$_2$ at a known, low, constant rate, provided the temperature of the device is held constant ($±0.1$ °C) and the device has been accurately calibrated at the temperature of use. The SO$_2$ permeating from the device is carried by a low flow of dry carrier gas to a mixing chamber where it is diluted with SO$_2$-free air to the desired concentration and supplied to a vented manifold. A typical system is shown schematically in Figure 4 and this system and other similar systems have been described in detail by O‘Keeffe and Ortman; (19) Scaringelli, Frey, and Saltzman, (20) and Scaringelli, O‘Keeffe, Rosenberg, and Bell. (21) Permeation devices may be prepared or purchased and in both cases must be traceable either to a National Bureau of Standards (NBS) Standard Reference Material (SRM 1625, SRM 1626, SRM 1627) or to an NBS/EPA-approved commercially available Certified Reference Material (CRM). CRM’s are described in Reference 22 and a list of CRM sources is available from the address shown for Reference 22. A recommended protocol for certifying a permeation device to an NBS SRM or CRM is given in Section 2.0.7 of Reference 2. Device permeation rates of 0.2 to 0.4 µg/min, inert gas flows of about 50 mL/min, and dilution air flow rates from 1.1 to 15 L/min conveniently yield standard atmospheres in the range of 25 to 600 µg SO$_2$/m$^3$ (0.010 to 0.230 ppm).

#### 10.3.1 Calibration Option 2A (30-minute and 1-hour samples)

Generate a series of six standard atmospheres of SO$_2$ (e.g., 0, 50, 100, 200, 350, 500, 750 µg/m$^3$) by adjusting the dilution flow rates appropriately. The concentration of SO$_2$ in each atmosphere is calculated as follows:

$$C_s = \frac{P}{Q_d + Q_s} \times 10^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where:

- $P$ is the permeation rate of SO$_2$ in µg/min,
- $Q_d$ is the dilution air flow rate in mL/min,
- $Q_s$ is the SO$_2$ carrier gas flow rate in mL/min.

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sulphite-TCM solution</th>
<th>Volume of sulphite-TCM solution used, mL</th>
<th>Volume of TCM mL</th>
<th>Total µg SO$_2$ (approx.)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working ................</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working ................</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working ................</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilute working ..........</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilute working ..........</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilute working ..........</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on working sulphite-TCM solution concentration of 7.2 µg SO$_2$/mL, the actual total µg SO$_2$ must be calculated using equation 11 below.

To each volumetric flask, add 1 mL 0.6% sulfamic acid (Section 8.2.1), accurately pipet 2 mL 0.2% formaldehyde solution (Section 8.2.2), then add 5 mL pararosaniline solution (Section 8.2.13). Start a laboratory timer that has been set for 30 minutes. Bring all flasks to volume with recently boiled and cooled distilled water and mix thoroughly. The color must be developed (during the 30-minute period) in a temperature environment in the range of 20° to 30 °C, which is controlled to ±1 °C. For increased precision, a constant temperature bath is recommended during the color development step. After 30 minutes, determine the corrected absorbance of each standard at 548 nm against a distilled water reference (Section 10.1). Denote this absorbance as (A). Distilled water is used in the reference cell rather than the reagent blank because of the temperature sensitivity of the reagent blank.

Calculate the total micrograms SO$_2$ in each solution:

$$\mu g SO_2 = V_{TCM/SO_2} \times C_{TCM/SO_2} \times D$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where:

- $V_{TCM/SO_2}$ = volume of sulphite-TCM solution used, mL
- $C_{TCM/SO_2}$ = concentration of sulfur dioxide in the working sulphite-TCM, µg SO$_2$/mL (from equation 4); and
- $D$ = dilution factor ($D = 1$ for the working sulphite-TCM solution; $D = 0.1$ for the diluted working sulphite-TCM solution).

### Environmental Protection Agency

Pt. 50, App. A
\( C_a \) = concentration of \( \text{SO}_2 \) at standard conditions, \( \mu g/m^3 \); 
\( P_r \) = permeation rate, \( \mu g/min \); 
\( Q_d \) = flow rate of dilution air, std L/min; and
\( Q_p \) = flow rate of carrier gas across permeation device, std L/min.

---

**Pt. 50, App. A**

---

**40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-01 Edition)**
Environmental Protection Agency

Be sure that the total flow rate of the standard exceeds the flow demand of the sample train, with the excess flow vented at atmospheric pressure. Sample each atmosphere using similar apparatus as shown in Figure 1 and under the same conditions as field sampling (i.e., use same absorbing reagent volume and sample same volume of air at an equivalent flow rate). Due to the length of the sampling periods required, this method is not recommended for 24-hour sampling. At the completion of sampling, quantitatively transfer the contents of each impinger to one of a series of 25-mL volumetric flasks (if 10 mL of absorbing solution was used) using small amounts of distilled water for rinse (<5 mL). If >10 mL of absorbing solution was used, bring the absorber solution in each impinger to original volume with distilled H₂O and pipet 10-mL portions from each impinger into a series of 25-mL volumetric flasks. If the color development steps are not to be started within 12 hours of sampling, store the solutions at 5°C ± 5°C. Calculate the total micrograms SO₂ in each solution as follows:

$$\mu g SO_2 = \frac{C_a \times Q_s \times t \times V_s \times 10^{-3}}{V_b}$$

(13)

where:

- $C_a$ = concentration of SO₂ in the standard atmosphere, µg/m³;
- $Q_s$ = sampling flow rate, std L/min;
- $t$ = sampling time, min;
- $V_s$ = volume of absorbing solution used for color development (10 mL); and
- $V_b$ = volume of absorbing solution used for sampling, mL.

Add the remaining reagents for color development in the same manner as in Section 10.2 for static solutions. Calculate a calibration equation and a calibration factor ($B_t$) according to Section 10.2, adhering to all the specified criteria.

10.3.2 Calibration Option 2B (24-hour samples): Generate a standard atmosphere containing approximately 1.050 µg SO₂/m³ and calculate the exact concentration according to equation 12. Set up a series of six absorbers according to Figure 2 and connect to a common manifold for sampling the standard atmosphere. Be sure that the total flow rate of the standard exceeds the flow demand at the sample manifold, with the excess flow vented at atmospheric pressure. The absorbers are then allowed to sample the atmosphere for varying time periods to yield solutions containing 0, 0.2, 0.6, 1.0, 1.4, 1.8, and 2.2 µg SO₂/mL solution. The sampling times required to attain these solution concentrations are calculated as follows:

$$t = \frac{V_b \times C_a}{C_s \times Q_s \times 10^{-3}}$$

(14)

where:

- $t$ = sampling time, min;
- $V_b$ = volume of absorbing solution used for sampling (50 mL);
- $C_a$ = desired concentration of SO₂ in the absorbing solution, µg/mL;
- $C_s$ = concentration of the standard atmosphere calculated according to equation 12, µg/m³; and
- $Q_s$ = sampling flow rate, std L/min.

At the completion of sampling, bring the absorber solutions to original volume with distilled water. Pipet a 10-mL portion from each absorber into one of a series of 25-mL volumetric flasks. If the color development steps are not to be started within 12 hours of sampling, store the solutions at 5°C ± 5°C. Add the remaining reagents for color development in the same manner as in Section 10.2 for static solutions. Calculate the total µg SO₂ in each standard as follows:

$$\mu g SO_2 = \frac{C_s \times Q_s \times t \times V_s \times 10^{-3}}{V_b}$$

(15)

where:

- $V_s = volume of absorbing solution used for color development (10 mL).

All other parameters are defined in equation 14.

Calculate a calibration equation and a calibration factor ($B_t$) according to Section 10.2 adhering to all the specified criteria.

11.0 Sample Preparation and Analysis.

11.1 Sample Preparation. Remove the samples from the shipping container. If the shipment period exceeded 12 hours from the completion of sampling, verify that the temperature is below 10°C. Also, compare the solution level to the temporary level mark on the absorber. If either the temperature is above 10°C or there was significant loss (more than 10 mL) of the sample during shipping, make an appropriate notation in the record and invalidate the sample. Prepare the samples for analysis as follows:

1. For 30-minute or 1-hour samples: Quantitatively transfer the entire 10 mL amount of absorbing solution to a 25-mL volumetric flask and rinse with a small amount (<5 mL) of distilled water.

2. For 24-hour samples: If the volume of the sample is less than the original 50-mL volume (permanent mark on the absorber), adjust the volume back to the original volume with distilled water to compensate for water lost to evaporation during sampling. If the final volume is greater than the original volume, the volume must be measured using a graduated cylinder. To analyze, pipet 10 mL
of the solution into a 25-mL volumetric flask.

11.2 Sample Analysis. For each set of determinations, prepare a reagent blank by adding TCM absorbing solution to a 25-mL volumetric flask, and two control standards containing approximately 5 and 15 µg SO₂, respectively. The control standards are prepared according to Section 10.2 or 10.3. The analysis is carried out as follows:

1. Allow the sample to stand 20 minutes after the completion of sampling to allow any ozone to decompose (if applicable).
2. To each 25-mL volumetric flask containing reagent blank, sample, or control standard, add 1 mL of 0.6% sulfamic acid (Section 8.2.1) and allow to react for 10 min.
3. Accurately pipet 2 mL of 0.2% formaldehyde solution (Section 8.2.2) and then 5 mL of pararosaniline solution (Section 8.2.13) into each flask. Start a laboratory timer set at 30 minutes.
4. Bring each flask to volume with recently boiled and cooled distilled water and mix thoroughly.
5. During the 30 minutes, the solutions must be in a temperature controlled environment in the range of 20° to 30 °C maintained to ± 1 °C. This temperature must also be within 1 °C of that used during calibration.
6. After 30 minutes and before 60 minutes, determine the corrected absorbances (equation 10) of each solution at 548 nm using 1-cm optical path length cells against a distilled water reference (Section 10.1). 

7. Do not allow the colored solution to stand in the cells because a film may be deposited. Clean the cells with isopropyl alcohol after use.
8. The reagent blank must be within 0.03 absorbance units of the intercept of the calibration equation determined in Section 10.

11.3 Absorbance range. If the absorbance of the sample solution ranges between 1.0 and 2.0, the sample can be diluted 1:1 with a portion of the reagent blank and the absorbance redetermined within 5 minutes. Solutions with higher absorbances can be diluted up to sixfold with the reagent blank in order to obtain scale readings of less than 1.0 absorbance unit. However, it is recommended that a smaller portion (<10 mL) of the original sample be reanalyzed (if possible) if the sample requires a dilution greater than 1:1.

11.4 Reagent disposal. All reagents containing mercury compounds must be stored and disposed of using one of the procedures contained in Section 13. Until disposal, the discarded solutions can be stored in closed glass containers and should be left in a fume hood.

12.0 Calculations.

12.1 Calibration Slope, Intercept, and Correlation Coefficient. The method of least squares is used to calculate a calibration equation in the form of:

\[ y = mx + b \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where:
- \( y \) = corrected absorbance,
- \( m \) = slope, absorbance unit/µg SO₂,
- \( x \) = micrograms of SO₂,
- \( b \) = \( y \) intercept (absorbance units).

The slope (m), intercept (b), and correlation coefficient (r) are calculated as follows:

\[ m = \frac{n \sum xy - (\sum x)(\sum y)}{n \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

\[ b = \frac{\sum y - m \sum x}{n} \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

\[ r = \frac{m(\sum xy - \sum x \sum y/n)}{\sqrt{\sum y^2 - (\sum y)^2/n}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where n is the number of calibration points. A data form (Figure 5) is supplied for easily organizing calibration data when the slope, intercept, and correlation coefficient are calculated by hand.

12.2 Total Sample Volume. Determine the sampling volume at standard conditions as follows:

\[ V_{std} = \frac{Q_i + Q_s}{2} \times t \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where:
- \( V_{std} \) = sampling volume in std L,
- \( Q_i \) = standard flow rate determined at the initiation of sampling in std L/min,
- \( Q_s \) = standard flow rate determined at the completion of sampling is std L/min, and
- \( t \) = total sampling time, min.

12.3 Sulfur Dioxide Concentration. Calculate and report the concentration of each sample as follows:

\[ \mu g \ SO_2/m = \frac{(A - A_s)(B_i)(10^3)}{V_{std}/V_s} \times \frac{V_{std}}{V_s} \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where:
- \( A \) = corrected absorbance of the sample solution, from equation (10);
- \( A_s \) = corrected absorbance of the reagent blank, using equation (10);
- \( B_i \) = calibration factor equal to B, B₀, or B, depending on the calibration procedure used, the reciprocal of the slope of the calibration equation;
- \( V_s \) = volume of absorber solution analyzed, mL;
- \( V_{std} \) = total volume of solution in absorber (see 11.1-2), mL; and
- \( V_{std} \) = standard air volume sampled, std L (from Section 12.2).
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DATA FORM

[For hand calculations]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calibrator no.</th>
<th>Micrograms So₂</th>
<th>Absorbance units</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>x²</th>
<th>y²</th>
<th>Σx</th>
<th>Σy</th>
<th>Σx²</th>
<th>Σy²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>(y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>(y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>(y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>(y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>(y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>(y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Σx = __________  Σy = __________  Σx² = __________  Σy² = __________

n = _______ (number of pairs of coordinates.)

FIGURE 5. Data form for hand calculations.

12.4 Control Standards. Calculate the analyzed micrograms of SO₂ in each control standard as follows:

\[
C_q = (A - A_p) \times B_x \tag{22}
\]

where:

\( C_q \) = analyzed \( \mu g \) SO₂ in each control standard,

\( A \) = corrected absorbance of the control standard, and

\( A_p \) = corrected absorbance of the reagent blank.

The difference between the true and analyzed values of the control standards must not be greater than 1 \( \mu g \). If the difference is greater than 1 \( \mu g \), the source of the discrepancy must be identified and corrected.

12.5 Conversion of \( \mu g/m² \) to ppm (\( \mu g/l \)). If desired, the concentration of sulfur dioxide at reference conditions can be converted to ppm SO₂ (\( \mu g/l \)) as follows:

\[
\text{ppm SO}_2 = \frac{\mu g \text{ SO}_2}{m^2} \times 3.82 \times 10^{-4} \tag{23}
\]

13.0 The TCM absorbing solution and any reagents containing mercury compounds must be treated and disposed of by one of the methods discussed below. Both methods remove greater than 99.99 percent of the mercury.

13.1 Disposal of Mercury-Containing Solutions.

13.2 Method for Forming an Amalgam.

1. Place the waste solution in an uncapped vessel in a hood.

2. For each liter of waste solution, add approximately 10 g of sodium carbonate until neutralization has occurred (NaOH may have to be used).

3. Following neutralization, add 10 g of granular zinc or magnesium.

4. Stir the solution in a hood for 24 hours. Caution must be exercised as hydrogen gas is evolved by this treatment process.

5. After 24 hours, allow the solution to stand without stirring to allow the mercury amalgam (solid black material) to settle to the bottom of the waste receptacle.

6. Upon settling, decant and discard the supernatant liquid.

7. Quantitatively transfer the solid material to a container and allow to dry.

8. The solid material can be sent to a mercury reclaiming plant. It must not be discarded.

13.3 Method Using Aluminum Foil Strips.

1. Place the waste solution in an uncapped vessel in a hood.

2. For each liter of waste solution, add approximately 10 g of aluminum foil strips. If all the aluminum is consumed and no gas is evolved, add an additional 10 g of foil. Repeat until the foil is no longer consumed and allow the gas to evolve for 24 hours.

3. Decant the supernatant liquid and discard.

4. Transfer the elemental mercury that has settled to the bottom of the vessel to a storage container.

5. The mercury can be sent to a mercury reclaiming plant. It must not be discarded.

14.0 References for SO₂ Method.
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flow rate due to the increased pressure drop of the loaded filter. This point is affected by particle size distribution, moisture content of the collected particles, and variability from filter to filter, among other things. The lower limit is determined by the sensitivity of the balance (see Section 7.10) and by inherent sources of error (see Section 6).

3.2 At wind speeds between 1.3 and 4.5 m/sec (3 and 10 mph), the high-volume air sampler has been found to collect particles up to 50 μm, depending on wind speed and direction.(4) For the filter specified in Section 7.1, there is effectively no lower limit on the particle size collected.

4.0 Precision.

4.1 Based upon collaborative testing, the relative standard deviation (coefficient of variation) for single analyst precision (repeatability) of the method is 3.0 percent. The corresponding value for interlaboratory precision (reproducibility) is 3.7 percent.(d)

5.0 Accuracy.

5.1 The absolute accuracy of the method is undefined because of the complex nature of atmospheric particulate matter and the difficulty in determining the “true” particulate matter concentration. This method provides a measure of particulate matter concentration suitable for the purpose specified under Section 1.0, Applicability.

6.0 Inherent Sources of Error.

6.1 Airflow variation. The weight of material collected on the filter represents the integrated sum of the product of the instantaneous flow rate times the instantaneous particle concentration. Therefore, dividing this weight by the average flow rate over the sampling period yields the true particulate matter concentration only when the flow rate is constant over the period. The error resulting from a nonconstant flow rate depends on the magnitude of the instantaneous changes in the flow rate and in the particulate matter concentration. Normally, such errors are not large, but they can be greatly reduced by equipping the sampler with an automatic flow controlling mechanism that maintains constant flow during the sampling period. Use of a contant flow controller is recommended.*

6.2 Air volume measurement. If the flow rate changes substantially or nonuniformly during the sampling period, appreciable error in the estimated air volume may result from using the average of the presampling and postsampling flow rates. Greater air volume measurement accuracy may be achieved by (1) equipping the sampler with a flow controlling mechanism that maintains constant air flow during the sampling period,* (2) using a calibrated, continuous flow rate recording device to record the actual flow rate during the sampling period and integrating the flow rate over the period, or (3) any other means that will accurately measure the total air volume sampled during the sampling period. Use of a continuous flow recorder is recommended, particularly if the sampler is not equipped with a constant flow controller.

6.3 Loss of volatiles. Volatile particles collected on the filter may be lost during subsequent sampling or during shipment and/or storage of the filter prior to the postsampling weighing.(5) Although such losses are largely unavoidable, the filter should be reweighed as soon after sampling as practical.

6.4 Artifact particulate matter. Artifact particulate matter can be formed on the surface of alkaline glass fiber filters by oxidation of acid gases in the sample air, resulting in a higher than true TSP determination.(6) This effect usually occurs early in the sampling period and is a function of the filter pH and the presence of acid gases. It is generally believed to account for only a small percentage of the filter weight gain, but the effect may become more significant where relatively small particulate weights are collected.

6.5 Humidity. Glass fiber filters are comparatively insensitive to changes in relative humidity, but collected particulate matter can be hygroscopic.(6) The moisture conditioning procedure minimizes but may not completely eliminate error due to moisture.

6.6 Filter handling. Careful handling of the filter between the presampling and postsampling weighings is necessary to avoid errors due to loss of fibers or particles from the filter. A filter paper cartridge or cassette used to protect the filter can minimize handling errors. (See Reference 2, Section 2).

6.7 Nonsampled particulate matter. Particulate matter may be deposited on the filter by wind during periods when the sampler is inoperative. (9) It is recommended that errors from this source be minimized by an automatic mechanical device that keeps the filter covered during nonsampling periods, or by timely installation and retrieval of filters to minimize the nonsampling periods prior to and following operation.

6.8 Timing errors. Samplers are normally controlled by clock timers set to start and stop the sampler at midnight. Errors in the nominal 1,440-min sampling period may result from a power interruption during the sampling period or from a discrepancy between the start or stop time recorded on the filter information record and the actual start or stop time of the sampler. Such discrepancies may be caused by (1) poor resolution of the timer set-points, (2) timer error due to power interruption, (3) missetting of...
the timer, or (4) timer malfunction. In general, digital electronic timers have much better set-point resolution than mechanical timers, but require a battery backup system to maintain continuity of operation after a power interruption. A continuous flow recorder or elapsed time meter provides an indication of the sampler run-time, as well as indication of any power interruption during the sampling period and is therefore recommended.

6.9 Recirculation of sampler exhaust. Under stagnant wind conditions, sampler exhaust air can be reaspirated. This effect does not appear to affect the TSP measurement substantially, but may result in increased carbon and copper in the collected sample. (10) This problem can be reduced by ducting the exhaust air well away, preferably downwind, from the sampler.

7.0 Apparatus.
(See References 1 and 2 for quality assurance information.)

NOTE: Samplers purchased prior to the effective date of this amendment are not subject to specifications preceded by (*).

7.1 Filter. (* Filters supplied by the Environmental Protection Agency can be assumed to meet the following criteria. Additional specifications are required if the sample is to be analyzed chemically.)

7.1.1 Size: 20.3 ± 0.2 cm x 25.4 ± 0.2 cm (nominal 8 x 10 in).

7.1.2 Nominal exposed area: 406.5 cm² (63 in²).

7.1.3 Material: Glass fiber or other relatively inert, nonhygroscopic material. (8)

7.1.4 Collection efficiency: 99 percent minimum as measured by the DOP test (ASTM D2986) for particles of 0.3 μm diameter.

7.1.5 Recommended pressure drop range: 42-54 mm Hg (5.6-7.2 kPa) at a flow rate of 1.5 std m³/min through the nominal exposed area.

7.1.6 pH: 6 to 10. (11)

7.1.7 Integrity: 2.4 mg maximum weight loss. (11)

7.1.8 Pinholes: None.

7.1.9 Tear strength: 500 g minimum for 20 mm wide strip cut from filter in weakest dimension. (See ASTM Test D528-60)

7.1.10 Brittleness: No cracks or material separations after single lengthwise crease.

7.2 Sampler. The air sampler shall provide means for drawing the air sample, via reduced pressure, through the filter at a uniform face velocity.

7.2.1 The sampler shall have suitable means to:

a. Hold and seal the filter to the sampler housing,
b. Allow the filter to be changed conveniently,
c. Preclude leaks that would cause error in the measurement of the air volume passing through the filter,
d. (*) Manually adjust the flow rate to accommodate variations in filter pressure drop and site line voltage and altitude. The adjustment may be accomplished by an automatic flow controller or by a manual flow adjustment device. Any manual adjustment device must be designed with positive detents or other means to avoid unintentional changes in the setting.

7.2.2 Minimum sample flow rate, heavily loaded filter: 1.1 m³/min (39 ft³/min).†

7.2.3 Maximum sample flow rate, clean filter: 1.7 m³/min (60 ft³/min).‡

7.2.4 Blower Motor: The motor must be capable of continuous operation for 24-hr periods.

7.3 Sampler shelter.

7.3.1 The sampler shelter shall:

a. Maintain the filter in a horizontal position at least 1 m above the sampler supporting surface so that sample air is drawn downward through the filter.

b. Be rectangular in shape with a gabled roof, similar to the design shown in Figure 1. The area of the sample air inlet should be approximately uniform on all sides of the sampler. † The area of the sample air inlet must be sized to provide an effective particle capture air velocity of between 20 and 35 cm/sec at the recommended operational flow rate. The capture velocity is the sample air flow rate divided by the inlet area measured in a horizontal plane at the lower edge of the cover. † Ideally, the inlet area and operational flow rate should be selected to obtain a capture air velocity of 25 ± 2 cm/sec.

7.4 Flow rate measurement devices.

7.4.1 The sampler shall incorporate a flow rate measurement device capable of indicating the total sampler flow rate. Two common types of flow indicators covered in the calibration procedure are (1) an electronic mass flowmeter and (2) an orifice or orifices...
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located in the sample air stream together with a suitable pressure indicator such as a
manometer, or aneroid pressure gauge. A pressure recorder may be used with an or-
ifice to provide a continuous record of the flow. Other types of flow indicators (includ-
ing rotameters) having comparable precision and accuracy are also acceptable.

7.4 Flow rate measurement. The flow rate measurement device must be capable of being calibrated and read
in units corresponding to a flow rate which is readable to the nearest 0.02 std m³/min
over the range 1.0 to 1.8 std m³/min.

7.5 Thermometer, to indicate the approxi-
mate air temperature at the flow rate meas-
urement orifice, when temperature correc-
tions are used.

7.5.1 Range: –40° to +50 °C (223–323 K).

7.5.2 Resolution: 2 °C (2 K).

7.6 Barometer, to indicate barometric pres-
sure at the flow rate measurement orifice,
when pressure corrections are used.

7.6.1 Range: 500 to 800 mm Hg (66–106 kPa).

7.6.2 Resolution: ± 5 mm Hg (0.67 kPa).

7.7 Timing/or control device.

7.7.1 The timing device must be capable of
starting and stopping the sampler to obtain
an elapsed run-time of 24 hr ± 1 hr (1,440 ± 60
min).

7.7.2 Accuracy of time setting: ±30 min, or
better. (See Section 8.1).

7.8 Flow rate transfer standard, traceable to
a primary standard. (See Section 9.2.)

7.8.1 Approximate range: 1.0 to 1.8 m³/min.

7.8.2 Resolution: 0.02 m³/min.

7.8.3 Reproducibility: ±2 percent (2 times co-
efficient of variation) over normal ranges of
ambient temperature and pressure for the
stated flow rate range. (See Reference 2, Sec-
tion 2.)

7.8.4 Maximum pressure drop at 1.7 std m³/
min: 50 cm H2 O (5 kPa).

7.8.5 The flow rate transfer standard must
connect without leaks to the inlet of the
sampler and measure the flow rate of the
total air sample.

7.8.6 The flow rate transfer standard must
include a means to vary the sampler flow
rate over the range of 1.0 to 1.8 m³/min (35–
64 ft³/min) by introducing various levels of
flow resistance between the sampler and the
transfer standard inlet.

7.8.7 The conventional type of flow transfer
standard consists of: An orifice unit with
adapter that connects to the inlet of the
sampler, a manometer or other device to
measure orifice pressure drop, a means to
vary the flow through the sampler unit, a
thermometer to measure the ambient tem-
perature, and a barometer to measure ambi-
tent pressure. Two such devices are shown in
Figures 2a and 2b. Figure 2a shows multiple
fixed resistance plates, which necessitate
disassembly of the unit each time the flow
resistance is changed. A preferable design, il-
ustrated in Figure 2b, has a variable flow re-
striction that can be adjusted externally
without disassembly of the unit. Use of a
conventional, orifice-type transfer standard
is assumed in the calibration procedure (Sec-
tion 9). However, the use of other types of
transfer standards meeting the above speci-
fications, such as the one shown in Figure 2c,
may be approved; see the note following Sec-
tion 9.1.

7.9 Filter conditioning environment

7.9.1 Controlled temperature: between 15° and
30 °C with less than ±3 °C variation during
equilibration period.

7.9.2 Controlled humidity: Less than 50 per-
cent relative humidity, constant within ±5
percent.

7.10 Analytical balance.

7.10.1 Sensitivity: 0.1 mg.

7.10.2 Weighing chamber designed to accept
an unfolded 20.3 x 25.4 cm (8 x 10 in) filter.

7.11 Area light source, similar to X-ray film
viewer, to backlight filters for visual inspec-

7.12 Numbering device, capable of printing
identification numbers on the filters before
they are placed in the filter conditioning en-
vIRONMENT, if not numbered by the supplier.

8.0 Procedure.

(See References 1 and 2 for quality assur-
ance information.)

8.1 Number each filter, if not already num-
bered, near its edge with a unique identifica-
tion number.

8.2 Backlight each filter and inspect for
pinholes, particles, and other imperfections;
filters with visible imperfections must not
be used.

8.3 Equilibrate each filter in the condi-
tioning environment for at least 24-hr.

8.4 Following equilibration, weigh each fil-
ter to the nearest milligram and record this
tare weight (W), with the filter identification
number.

8.5 Do not bend or fold the filter before col-
lection of the sample.

8.6 Open the shelter and install a num-
bered, preweighed filter in the sampler, fol-
lowing the sampler manufacturer’s instruc-
tions. During inclement weather, pre-
cautions must be taken while changing fil-
ters to prevent damage to the clean filter
and loss of sample from or damage to the ex-
posed filter. Filter cages that can be
loaded and unloaded in the laboratory may
be used to minimize this problem (See Sec-
tion 6.6).

8.7 Close the shelter and run the sampler
for at least 5 min to establish run-tempera-
ture conditions.

8.8 Record the flow indicator reading and,
if needed, the barometric pressure (P3) and
the ambient temperature (T3) see NOTE fol-
lowing step 8.12. Stop the sampler. Deter-
mine the sampler flow rate (see Section 10.1):
if it is outside the acceptable range (1.1 to 1.7
m³/min [39–60 ft³/min]), use a different filter, or
adjust the sampler flow rate. Warning: Substantial flow adjustments may affect the
9.1 Calibration of the high volume sampler’s flow indicating or control device is necessary to establish traceability of the field measurement to a primary standard via a flow rate transfer standard. Figure 3a illustrates the certification of the flow rate transfer standard and Figure 3b illustrates its use in calibrating a sampler flow indicator. Determination of the corrected flow rate from the sampler flow indicator, illustrated in Figure 3c, is addressed in Section 10.1.

9.2 Certification of the flow rate transfer standard.

9.2.1 Equipment required: Positive displacement standard volume meter traceable to the National Bureau of Standards (such as a Roots meter or equivalent), stop-watch, manometer, thermometer, and barometer.

9.2.2 Connect the flow rate transfer standard to the inlet of the standard volume meter. Connect the manometer to measure the pressure at the inlet of the standard volume meter. Connect the orifice manometer to the pressure tap on the transfer standard. Connect a high-volume air pump (such as a Roots air pump and note any change in the standard volume meter reading. The reading should remain constant. If the reading changes, locate any leaks by listening for a whistling sound and/or retightening all connections, making sure that all gaskets are properly installed.

9.2.3 Check for leaks by temporarily clamping both manometer lines (to avoid fluid loss) and blocking the orifice with a large-diameter rubber stopper, wide cellophane tape, or other suitable means. Start the high-volume air pump and note any change in the pressure 3a.

9.2.4 After satisfactorily completing the leak check as described above, unclamp both manometer lines and zero both manometers.

9.2.5 Achieve the appropriate flow rate through the system, either by means of the variable flow resistance in the transfer standard or by varying the voltage to the air pump. (Use of resistance plates as shown in Figure 1a is discouraged because the above leak check must be repeated each time a new resistance plate is installed.) At least five different but constant flow rates, evenly distributed, with at least three in the specified

9.0 Calibration.
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flow rate interval (1.1 to 1.7 m³/min [39–60 ft³/min], are required.

9.2.6 Measure and record the certification data on a form similar to the one illustrated in Figure 4 according to the following steps.

9.2.7 Observe the barometric pressure and record as P₁ (item 8 in Figure 4).

9.2.8 Read the ambient temperature in the vicinity of the standard volume meter and record it as T₁ (item 9 in Figure 4).

9.2.9 Start the blower motor, adjust the flow, and allow the system to run for at least 1 min for a constant motor speed to be attained.

9.2.10 Observe the standard volume meter reading and simultaneously start a stopwatch. Record the initial meter reading (V₁) in column 1 of Figure 4.

9.2.11 Maintain this constant flow rate until at least 3 m³ of air have passed through the standard volume meter. Record the standard volume meter inlet pressure manometer reading as ∆P (column 5 in Figure 4), and the orifice manometer reading as ∆H (column 7 in Figure 4). Be sure to indicate the correct units of measurement.

9.2.12 After at least 3 m³ of air have passed through the system, observe the standard volume meter reading while simultaneously stopping the stopwatch. Record the final meter reading (V₂) in column 2 and the elapsed time (t) in column 3 of Figure 4.

9.2.13 Calculate the volume measured by the standard volume meter at meter conditions of temperature and pressures as Vₐₐ = V₂ – V₁. Record in column 4 of Figure 4.

9.2.14 Correct this volume to standard volume (std m³) as follows:

\[ V_{std} = V_a \frac{P_1 - \Delta P}{P_{std}} \frac{T_{std}}{T_1} \]

where:

- \( V_a \) = standard volume, std m³;
- \( V_{std} \) = actual volume measured by the standard volume meter;
- \( P_1 \) = barometric pressure during calibration, mm Hg or kPa;
- \( \Delta P \) = differential pressure at inlet to volume meter, mm Hg or kPa;
- \( P_{std} = 760 \text{ mm Hg or 101 kPa} \);
- \( T_{std} = 298 \text{ K}; \)
- \( T_1 \) = ambient temperature during calibration, K.

Calculate the standard flow rate (std m³/min) as follows:

\[ Q_{std} = \frac{V_{std}}{t} \]

where:

- \( Q_{std} \) = standard volumetric flow rate, std m³/min;
- \( t \) = elapsed time, minutes.

Record \( Q_{std} \) to the nearest 0.01 std m³/min in column 6 of Figure 4.

9.2.15 Repeat steps 9.2.9 through 9.2.14 for at least four additional constant flow rates, evenly spaced over the approximate range of 1.0 to 1.8 std m³/min (35–64 ft³/min).

9.2.16 For each flow, compute

\[ \sqrt{\Delta H(P_1/P_{std})(298/T_1)} \]

(column 7a of Figure 4) and plot these values against \( Q_{act} \) as shown in Figure 3a. Be sure to use consistent units (mm Hg or kPa) for barometric pressure. Draw the orifice transfer standard certification curve or calculate the linear least squares slope (m) and intercept (b) of the certification curve:

\[ \sqrt{\Delta H(P_1/P_{std})(298/T_1)} = mQ_{act} + b \]

9.3 Calibration of sampler flow indicator.

Note: For samplers equipped with a flow controlling device, the flow controller must be disabled to allow flow changes during calibration of the sampler’s flow indicator, or the alternate calibration of the flow controller given in 9.4 may be used. For samplers using an orifice-type flow indicator downstream of the motor, do not vary the flow rate by adjusting the voltage or power supplied to the sampler.

9.3.1 A form similar to the one illustrated in Figure 5 should be used to record the calibration data.

9.3.2 Connect the transfer standard to the inlet of the sampler. Connect the orifice manometer to the orifice pressure tap, as illustrated in Figure 3b. Make sure there are no leaks between the orifice unit and the sampler.

9.3.3 Operate the sampler for at least 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium prior to the calibration.

9.3.4 Measure and record the ambient temperature, \( T_3 \) and the barometric pressure, \( P_2 \), during calibration.

9.3.5 Adjust the variable resistance or, if applicable, insert the appropriate resistance plate (or no plate) to achieve the desired flow rate.

9.3.6 Let the sampler run for at least 2 min to re-establish the run-temperature conditions. Read and record the pressure drop across the orifice (\( \Delta H \)) and the sampler flow rate indication (I) in the appropriate columns of Figure 5.

9.3.7 Calculate \( \sqrt{\Delta H(P_2/P_{std})(298/T_2)} \) and determine the flow rate at standard conditions (\( Q_{act} \)) either graphically from the certification curve or by calculating \( Q_{act} \) from the least square slope and intercept of the transfer standard’s transposed certification curve:

\[ Q_{act} = \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\Delta H(P_2/P_{std})(298/T_2)} - b \]

Record the value of \( Q_{act} \) on Figure 5.
9.3.8 Repeat steps 9.3.5, 9.3.6, and 9.3.7 for several additional flow rates distributed over a range that includes 1.1 to 1.7 std m$^3$/min.

9.3.9 Determine the calibration curve by plotting values of the appropriate expression involving $I$, selected from table 1, against $Q_{std}$. The choice of expression from table 1 depends on the flow rate measurement device used (see Section 7.4.1) and also on whether the calibration curve is to incorporate geographic average barometric pressure ($P_a$) and seasonal average temperature ($T_a$) for the site to approximate actual pressure and temperature. Where $P_a$ and $T_a$ can be determined for a site for a seasonal period such that the actual barometric pressure and temperature at the site do not vary by more than ±60 mm Hg (8 kPa) from $P_a$ or ±15 °C from $T_a$, respectively, then using $P_a$ and $T_a$ avoids the need for subsequent pressure and temperature calculation when the sampler is used. The geographic average barometric pressure ($P_a$) may be estimated from an altitude-pressure table or by making an (approximate) elevation correction of −26 mm Hg (−3.46 kPa) for each 305 m (1,000 ft) above sea level (760 mm Hg or 101 kPa). The seasonal average temperature ($T_a$) may be estimated from weather station or other records. Be sure to use consistent units (mm Hg or kPa) for barometric pressure.

9.3.10 Draw the sampler calibration curve or calculate the linear least squares slope ($m$), intercept ($b$), and correlation coefficient of the calibration curve: \[ \text{Expression from table 1}} = mQ_{std} + b. \] See Figures 3 and 5. Calibration curves should be readable to 0.02 std m$^3$/min.

9.3.11 For a sampler equipped with a flow controller, the flow controlling mechanism should be re-enabled and set to a flow near the lower flow limit to allow maximum control range. The sample flow rate should be verified at this time with a clean filter installed. Then add two or more filters to the sampler to see if the flow controller maintains a constant flow; this is particularly important at high altitudes where the range of the flow controller may be reduced.

9.4 Alternate calibration of flow-controlled samplers. A flow-controlled sampler may be calibrated solely at its controlled flow rate, provided that previous operating history of the sampler demonstrates that the flow rate is stable and reliable. In this case, the flow indicator may remain uncalibrated but should be used to indicate any relative change between initial and final flows, and the sampler should be recalibrated more often to minimize potential loss of samples because of controller malfunction.

9.4.1 Set the flow controller for a flow near the lower limit of the flow range to allow maximum control range.

9.4.2 Install a clean filter in the sampler and carry out steps 9.3.2, 9.3.3, 9.3.4, 9.3.6, and 9.3.7.

9.4.3 Following calibration, add one or two additional clean filters to the sampler, reconnect the transfer standard, and operate the sampler to verify that the controller maintains the same calibrated flow rate; this is particularly important at high altitudes where the flow control range may be reduced.

### TABLE 1. EXPRESSIONS FOR PLOTTING SAMPLER CALIBRATION CURVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sampler flow rate measuring device</th>
<th>Expression for actual pressure and temperature corrections</th>
<th>Expression for incorporation of geographic average pressure and seasonal average temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass flowmeter</td>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>$I$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orifice and pressure indicator</td>
<td>$\sqrt{\frac{P_2}{P_{std}}} \left(\frac{298}{T_2}\right)$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{\frac{P_2}{P_a}} \left(\frac{T_a}{T_2}\right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotameter, or orifice and pressure recorder having square root scale*</td>
<td>$I \sqrt{\frac{P_2}{P_{std}}} \left(\frac{298}{T_2}\right)$</td>
<td>$I \sqrt{\frac{P_2}{P_a}} \left(\frac{T_a}{T_2}\right)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This scale is recognizable by its nonuniform divisions and is the most commonly available for high-volume samplers.
10.0 Calculations of TSP Concentration.

10.1 Determine the average sampler flow rate during the sampling period according to either 10.1.1 or 10.1.2 below.

10.1.1 For a sampler without a continuous flow recorder, determine the appropriate expression to be used from table 2 corresponding to the one from table 1 used in step 9.3.9. Using this appropriate expression, determine $Q_{\text{std}}$ for the initial flow rate from the sampler calibration curve, either graphically or from the transposed regression equation:

$$Q_{\text{std}} = \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{P_3}{P_{\text{std}}} \right) \left( \frac{298}{T_3} \right)$$

Similarly, determine $Q_{\text{std}}$ from the final flow reading, and calculate the average flow $Q_{\text{std}}$ as one-half the sum of the initial and final flow rates.

10.1.2 For a sampler with a continuous flow recorder, determine the average flow rate device reading, $I$, for the period. Determine the appropriate expression from table 2 corresponding to the one from table 1 used in step 9.3.9. Then using this expression and the average flow rate reading, determine $Q_{\text{std}}$ from the sampler calibration curve, either graphically or from the transposed regression equation:

$$Q_{\text{std}} = \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{P_3}{P_{\text{std}}} \right) \left( \frac{298}{T_3} \right)$$

If the trace shows substantial flow change during the sampling period, greater accuracy may be achieved by dividing the sampling period into intervals and calculating an average reading before determining $Q_{\text{std}}$.

10.2 Calculate the total air volume sampled as:

$$V = Q_{\text{std}} \times t$$

where:

$V$ = total air volume sampled, in standard volume units, std m$^3$;

$Q_{\text{std}}$ = average standard flow rate, std m$^3$/min;

$t$ = sampling time, min.

10.3 Calculate and report the particulate matter concentration as:

$$TSP = \frac{(W_f - W_i) \times 10^6}{V}$$

where:

$TSP$ = mass concentration of total suspended particulate matter, µg/std m$^3$;

$W_i$ = initial weight of clean filter, g;

$W_f$ = final weight of exposed filter, g;

$V$ = air volume sampled, converted to standard conditions, std m$^3$;

$10^6$ = conversion of g to µg.

10.4 If desired, the actual particulate matter concentration (see Section 2.2) can be calculated as follows:

$$(TSP)_{\text{act}} = TSP \left( \frac{P_3}{P_{\text{std}}} \right) \left( \frac{298}{T_3} \right)$$

where:

$TSP$ = actual concentration at field conditions, µg/m$^3$;
TSP = concentration at standard conditions, \( \mu g/\text{std m}^3 \);

\( P_3 \) = average barometric pressure during sampling period, mm Hg;

\( P_{\text{st}} \) = 760 mm Hg (or 101 kPa);

\( T_3 \) = average ambient temperature during sampling period, K.

11.0 References.
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Figure 2. Various types of flow transfer standards. Note that all devices are designed to mount to the filter inlet area of the sampler.
Figure 3. Illustration of the 3 steps in the flow measurement process.
### ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(7a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meter reading start ( V_I ) (m³)</td>
<td>Meter reading stop ( V_f ) (m³)</td>
<td>Sampling time ( t ) (min)</td>
<td>Volume measured ( V_m ) (m³)</td>
<td>Differential pressure ( (\text{at inlet to volume meter}) ) ( \Delta P ) (mm Hg or kPa)</td>
<td>Flow rate ( Q_{\text{std}} ) (std m³/min)</td>
<td>Pressure drop across orifice</td>
<td>( (y) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### RECORDED CALIBRATION DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard volume meter no.</th>
<th>Transfer standard type:</th>
<th>Serial No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ orifice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(8) \( P_i \): mm Hg (or kPa)

(10) \( T_{\text{std}} \): 298 K

(11) \( T_i \): K

Calibration performed by: ________________________________

Date: ________________________________

#### LEAST SQUARES CALCULATIONS

Linear \((Y = mx + b)\) regression equation of \(Y = \sqrt{\text{SH}(P_i/P_{\text{std}})(298/T_i)}\) on \(X = Q_{\text{std}}\) for Orifice Calibration Unit (i.e., \(\sqrt{\text{SH}(P_i/P_{\text{std}})(298/T_i)} = m_{\text{std}} + b\))

Slope \((m)\) = ____________  Intercept \((b)\) = ____________  Correlation coefficient \((r)\) = ____________

To use for subsequent calibration: \(X = \frac{1}{m}(Y-b); \quad Q_{\text{std}} = \frac{1}{m}\sqrt{\text{SH}(P_i/P_{\text{std}})(298/T_i)} - b\)

---

**Figure 4.** Example of orifice transfer standard certification worksheet.
APPENDIX C TO PART 50—MEASUREMENT PRINCIPLE AND CALIBRATION PROCEDURE FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF CARBON MONOXIDE IN THE ATMOSPHERE (NON-DISPERSIVE INFRARED PHOTOMETRY)

MEASUREMENT PRINCIPLE

1. Measurements are based on the absorption of infrared radiation by carbon monoxide (CO) in a non-dispersive photometer. Infrared energy from a source is passed through a cell containing the gas sample to be analyzed, and the quantitative absorption of energy by CO in the sample cell is measured by a suitable detector. The photometer is sensitized to CO by employing CO gas in either the detector or in a filter cell in the optical path, thereby limiting the measured absorption to one or more of the characteristic wavelengths at which CO strongly absorbs. Optical filters or other means may
Environmental Protection Agency
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also be used to limit sensitivity of the photometer to a narrow band of interest. Various schemes may be used to provide a suitable zero reference for the photometer. The measured absorption is converted to an electrical output signal, which is related to the concentration of CO in the measurement cell.

2. An analyzer based on this principle will be considered a reference method only if it has been designated as a reference method in accordance with part 53 of this chapter.

3. Sampling considerations.

The use of a particle filter on the sample inlet line of an NDIR CO analyzer is optional and left to the discretion of the user or the manufacturer. Use of filter should depend on the analyzer’s susceptibility to interference, malfunction, or damage due to particles.

CALIBRATION PROCEDURE

1. Principle. Either of two methods may be used for dynamic multipoint calibration of CO analyzers:

(1) One method uses a single certified standard cylinder of CO, diluted as necessary with zero air, to obtain the various calibration concentrations needed.

(2) The other method uses individual certified standard cylinders of CO for each concentration needed. Additional information on calibration may be found in Section 2.0.9 of Reference 1.

2. Apparatus. The major components and typical configurations of the calibration systems for the two calibration methods are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

2.1 Flow controller(s). Device capable of adjusting and regulating flow rates. Flow rates for the dilution method (Figure 1) must be regulated to ±1%.

2.2 Flow meter(s). Calibrated flow meter capable of measuring and monitoring flow rates. Flow rates for the dilution method (Figure 1) must be measured with an accuracy of ±2% of the measured value.

2.3 Pressure regulator(s) for standard CO cylinder(s). Regulator must have nonreactive diaphragm and internal parts and a suitable delivery pressure.

2.4 Mixing chamber. A chamber designed to provide thorough mixing of CO and diluent gas for the dilution method.

2.5 Output manifold. The output manifold should be of sufficient diameter to ensure an insignificant pressure drop at the analyzer connection. The system must have a vent designed to insure atmospheric pressure at the manifold and to prevent ambient air from entering the manifold.

3. Reagents.

3.1 CO concentration standard(s). Cylinder(s) of CO in air containing appropriate concentrations(s) of CO suitable for the selected operating range of the analyzer under calibration; CO standards for the dilution method may be contained in a nitrogen matrix if the zero air dilution ratio is not less than 100:1. The assay of the cylinder(s) must be traceable either to a National Bureau of Standards (NBS) CO in air Standard Reference Material (SRM) or to an NBS-EPA-approved commercially available Certified Reference Material (CRM). CRM’s are described in Reference 2, and a list of CRM sources is available from the address shown for Reference 2. A recommended protocol for certifying CO gas cylinders against either a CO SRM or a CRM is given in Reference 1. CO gas cylinders should be recertified on a regular basis as determined by the local quality control program.

3.2 Dilution gas (zero air). Air, free of contaminants which will cause a detectable response on the CO analyzer. The zero air should contain <0.1 ppm CO. A procedure for generating zero air is given in Reference 1.


4.1 Assemble a dynamic calibration system such as the one shown in Figure 1. All calibration gases including zero air must be introduced into the sample inlet of the analyzer system. For specific operating instructions refer to the manufacturer’s manual.

4.2 Insure that all flowmeters are properly calibrated, under the conditions of use, if appropriate, against an authoritative standard such as a soap-bubble meter or wet-test meter. All volumetric flow rates should be corrected to 25 °C and 760 mm Hg (101 kPa). A discussion on calibration of flowmeters is given in Reference 1.

4.3 Select the operating range of the CO analyzer to be calibrated.

4.4 Connect the signal output of the CO analyzer to the input of the strip chart recorder or other data collection device. All adjustments to the analyzer should be based on the appropriate strip chart or data device readings. References to analyzer responses in the procedure given below refer to recorder or data device responses.

4.5 Adjust the calibration system to deliver zero air to the output manifold. The total air flow must exceed the total demand of the analyzer(s) connected to the output manifold to insure that no ambient air is pulled into the manifold vent. Allow the analyzer to sample zero air until a stable response is obtained. After the response has stabilized, adjust the analyzer zero control. Offsetting the analyzer zero adjustments to +5 percent of scale is recommended to facilitate observing negative zero drift. Record the stable zero air response as \( z_0 \).

4.6 Adjust the zero air flow and the CO flow from the standard CO cylinder to provide a diluted CO concentration of approximately 80 percent of the upper range limit (URL) of the operating range of the analyzer. The total air flow must exceed the total demand of the analyzer(s) connected to the output manifold to insure that no ambient air is present in the analyzer inlet.
pulled into the manifold vent. The exact CO concentration is calculated from:

$$[\text{CO}]_{\text{OUT}} = \frac{[\text{CO}]_{\text{STD}} \times F_{\text{CO}}}{F_{\text{D}} + F_{\text{CO}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where:

- \([\text{CO}]_{\text{OUT}}\) = diluted CO concentration at the output manifold, ppm;
- \([\text{CO}]_{\text{STD}}\) = concentration of the undiluted CO standard, ppm;
- \(F_{\text{CO}}\) = flow rate of the CO standard corrected to 25 °C and 760 mm Hg, (101 kPa), L/min; and
- \(F_{\text{D}}\) = flow rate of the dilution air corrected to 25 °C and 760 mm Hg, (101 kPa), L/min.

Sample this CO concentration until a stable response is obtained. Adjust the analyzer span control to obtain a recorder response as indicated below:

Record response (percent scale) = $$\frac{[\text{CO}]_{\text{OUT}}}{\text{URL}} \times 100 + Z_{\text{CO}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where:

- URL = nominal upper range limit of the analyzer’s operating range, and
- \(Z_{\text{CO}}\) = analyzer response to zero air, % scale.

If substantial adjustment of the analyzer span control is required, it may be necessary to recheck the zero and span adjustments by repeating Steps 4.5 and 4.6. Record the CO concentration and the analyzer’s response. 4.7 Generate several additional concentrations (at least three evenly spaced points across the remaining scale are suggested to verify linearity) by decreasing \(F_{\text{CO}}\) or increasing \(F_{\text{D}}\). Be sure the total flow exceeds the analyzer’s total flow demand. For each concentration generated, calculate the exact CO concentration using Equation (1). Record the concentration and the analyzer’s response for each concentration. Plot the analyzer responses versus the corresponding CO concentrations and draw or calculate the calibration curve.

5. **Procedure Using Multiple Cylinder Method.** Use the procedure for the dynamic dilution method with the following changes:

5.1 Use a multi-cylinder system such as the typical one shown in Figure 2.

5.2 The flowmeter need not be accurately calibrated, provided the flow in the output manifold exceeds the analyzer's flow demand.

5.3 The various CO calibration concentrations required in Steps 4.6 and 4.7 are obtained without dilution by selecting the appropriate certified standard cylinder.

REFERENCES


Figure 1. Dilution method for calibration of CO analyzers.
Figure 2. Multiple cylinder method for calibration of CO analyzers.
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APPENDIX D TO PART 50—MEASUREMENT PRINCIPLE AND CALIBRATION PROCEDURE FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF OZONE IN THE ATMOSPHERE

MEASUREMENT PRINCIPLE

1. Ambient air and ethylene are delivered simultaneously to a mixing zone where the ozone in the air reacts with the ethylene to emit light, which is detected by a photomultiplier tube. The resulting photocurrent is amplified and is either read directly or displayed on a recorder.

2. An analyzer based on this principle will be considered a reference method only if it has been designated as a reference method in accordance with part 53 of this chapter and calibrated as follows:

CALIBRATION PROCEDURE

1. **Principle.** The calibration procedure is based on the photometric assay of ozone ($O_3$) concentrations in a dynamic flow system. The concentration of $O_3$ in an absorption cell is determined from a measurement of the amount of 254 nm light absorbed by the sample. This determination requires knowledge of (1) the absorption coefficient ($\alpha$) of $O_3$ at 254 nm, (2) the optical path length ($l$) through the sample, (3) the transmittance of the sample at a wavelength of 254 nm, and (4) the temperature ($T$) and pressure ($P$) of the sample. The transmittance is defined as the ratio $I/I_0$, where $I$ is the intensity of light which passes through the cell and is sensed by the detector when the cell contains an $O_3$ sample, and $I_0$ is the intensity of light which passes through the cell and is sensed by the detector when the cell contains zero air. It is assumed that all conditions of the system, except for the contents of the absorption cell, are identical during measurement of $I$ and $I_0$. The quantities defined above are related by the Beer-Lambert absorption law,

$$\text{Transmittance} = \frac{I}{I_0} = e^{-\alpha l}$$

where:

- $\alpha$ = absorption coefficient of $O_3$ at 254 nm = 308±4 atm$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ at 0 °C and 760 torr$^7$(1,2,3,4,5,7)
- $c$ = $O_3$ concentration in atmospheres
- $l$ = optical path length in cm

In practice, a stable $O_3$ generator is used to produce $O_3$ concentrations over the required range. Each $O_3$ concentration is determined from the measurement of the transmittance ($I/I_0$) of the sample at 254 nm with a photometer of path length $l$ and calculated from the equation,

$$c(\text{atm}) = \frac{1}{\alpha l} \ln \left( \frac{I}{I_0} \right) \quad (2a)$$

or

$$c(\text{ppm}) = 10^6 \frac{1}{\alpha l} \ln \left( \frac{I}{I_0} \right) \quad (2b)$$

The calculated $O_3$ concentrations must be corrected for $O_3$ losses which may occur in the photometer and for the temperature and pressure of the sample.

2. **Applicability.** This procedure is applicable to the calibration of ambient air $O_3$ analyzers, either directly or by means of a transfer standard certified by this procedure. Transfer standards must meet the requirements and specifications set forth in Reference 8.

3. **Apparatus.** A complete UV calibration system consists of an ozone generator, an output port or manifold, a photometer, an appropriate source of zero air, and other components as necessary. The configuration must provide a stable ozone concentration at the system output and allow the photometer to accurately assay the output concentration to the precision specified for the photometer (3.1). Figure 1 shows a commonly used configuration and serves to illustrate the calibration procedure which follows. Other configurations may require appropriate variations in the procedural steps. All connections between components in the calibration system downstream of the $O_3$ generator should be of glass, Teflon, or other relatively inert materials. Additional information regarding the assembly of a UV photometric calibration apparatus is given in Reference 8. For certification of transfer standards which provide their own source of $O_3$, the transfer standard may replace the $O_3$ generator and possibly other components shown in Figure 1; see Reference 8 for guidance.

3.1 **UV photometer.** The photometer consists of a low-pressure mercury discharge lamp, (optional) collimation optics, an absorption cell, a detector, and signal-processing electronics, as illustrated in Figure 1. It must be capable of measuring the transmittance, $I/I_0$, at a wavelength of 254 nm with sufficient precision such that the standard deviation of the concentration measurements does not exceed the greater of 0.005 ppm or 3% of the concentration. Because the low-pressure mercury lamp radiates at several wavelengths, the photometer must incorporate suitable means to assure that no $O_3$ is generated in the cell by the lamp, and that at least 99.5% of the radiation sensed by the detector is 254 nm radiation. (This can be readily achieved by prudent selection of optical filter and detector response characteristics.) The length of the light path through the absorption cell must be known with an accuracy of at least 99.5%. In addition, the cell and associated plumbing must be designed to
minimize loss of O₃ from contact with cell walls and gas handling components. See Reference 9 for additional information.

3.2 Air flow controllers. Devices capable of regulating air flow as necessary to meet the output stability and photometer precision requirements.

3.3 Ozone generator. Devices capable of generating stable levels of O₃ over the required concentration range.

3.4 Output manifold. The output manifold should be constructed of glass, Teflon, or other relatively inert material, and should be of sufficient diameter to insure a negligible pressure drop at the photometer connection and other output ports. The system must have a vent designed to insure atmospheric pressure in the manifold and to prevent ambient air from entering the manifold.

3.5 Two-way valve. Manual or automatic valve, or other means to switch the photometer flow between zero air and the O₃ concentration.

3.6 Temperature indicator. Accurate to ±1°C.

3.7 Barometer or pressure indicator. Accurate to 22 torr.

4. Reagents.

4.1 Zero air. The zero air must be free of contaminants which would cause a detectable response from the O₃ analyzer, and it should be free of NO, C₂H₄, and other species which react with O₃. A procedure for generating suitable zero air is given in Reference 9. As shown in Figure 1, the zero air supplied to the photometer cell for the I₀ reference measurement must be derived from the same source as the zero air used for generation of the ozone concentration to be assayed (1 measurement). When using the photometer to certify a transfer standard having its own source of ozone, see Reference 8 for guidance on meeting this requirement.

5. Procedure.

5.1 General operation. The calibration photometer must be dedicated exclusively to use as a calibration standard. It should always be used with clean, filtered calibration gases, and never used for ambient air sampling. Consideration should be given to locating the calibration photometer in a clean laboratory where it can be stationary, protected from physical shock, operated by a responsible analyst, and used as a common standard for all field calibrations via transfer standards.

5.2 Preparation. Proper operation of the photometer is of critical importance to the accuracy of this procedure. The following steps will help to verify proper operation. The steps are not necessarily required prior to each use of the photometer. Upon initial operation of the photometer, these steps should be carried out frequently, with all quantitative results or indications recorded in a chronological record either in tabular form or plotted on a graphical chart. As the performance and stability record of the photometer is established, the frequency of these steps may be reduced consistent with the documented stability of the photometer.

5.2.1 Instruction manual: Carry out all set up and adjustment procedures or checks as described in the operation or instruction manual associated with the photometer.

5.2.2 System check: Check the photometer system for integrity, leaks, cleanliness, proper flow rates, etc. Service or replace filters and zero air scrubbers or other consumable materials, as necessary.

5.2.3 Linearity: Verify that the photometer manufacturer has adequately established that the linearity error of the photometer is less than 3%, or test the linearity by dilution as follows: Generate and assay an O₃ concentration near the upper range limit of the system (0.5 or 1.0 ppm), then accurately dilute that concentration with zero air and reassay it. Repeat at several different dilution ratios. Compare the assay of the original concentration with the assay of the diluted concentration divided by the dilution ratio, as follows

\[ E = \frac{A_1 - A_2}{R} \times 100\% \]  (3)

where:

\( E \) = linearity error, percent

\( A_1 \) = assay of the original concentration

\( A_2 \) = assay of the diluted concentration

\( R \) = dilution ratio = flow of original concentration divided by the total flow

The linearity error must be less than 5%. Since the accuracy of the measured flow rates will affect the linearity error as measured this way, the test is not necessarily conclusive. Additional information on verifying linearity is contained in Reference 9.

5.2.4 Intercomparison: When possible, the photometer should be occasionally intercompared, either directly or via transfer standards, with calibration photometers used by other agencies or laboratories.

5.2.5 Ozone losses: Some portion of the O₃ may be lost upon contact with the photometer cell walls and gas handling components. The magnitude of this loss must be determined and used to correct the calculated O₃ concentration. This loss must not exceed 5%. Some guidelines for quantitatively determining this loss are discussed in Reference 9.

5.3 Assay of O₃ concentrations.

5.3.1 Allow the photometer system to warm up and stabilize.

5.3.2 Verify that the flowrate through the photometer absorption cell, F, allows the cell to be flushed in a reasonably short period of time (2 liter/min is a typical flow). The precision of the measurements is inversely related to the time required for flushing, since the photometer drift error increases with time.
5.3.3 Insure that the flowrate into the output manifold is at least 1 liter/min greater than the total flowrate required by the photometer and any other flow demand connected to the manifold.

5.3.4 Insure that the flowrate of zero air, F\text{z}, is at least 1 liter/min greater than the flowrate required by the photometer.

5.3.5 With zero air flowing in the output manifold, actuate the two-way valve to allow the photometer to sample first the manifold zero air, then F\text{z}. The two photometer readings must be equal (I=I\text{0}).

**NOTE:** In some commercially available photometers, the operation of the two-way valve and various other operations in section 5.3 may be carried out automatically by the photometer.

5.3.6 Adjust the O\text{3} generator to produce an O\text{3} concentration as needed.

5.3.7 Actuate the two-way valve to allow the photometer to sample zero air until the absorption cell is thoroughly flushed and record the stable measured value of I\text{0}.

5.3.8 Actuate the two-way valve to allow the photometer to sample the ozone concentration until the absorption cell is thoroughly flushed and record the stable measured value of I.

5.3.9 Record the temperature and pressure of the sample in the photometer absorption cell. (See Reference 9 for guidance.)

5.3.10 Calculate the O\text{3} concentration from equation 4. An average of several determinations will provide better precision.

\[
[O_3]_{\text{OUT}} = \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right) \right) \left( \frac{760}{P} \right) \times 10^6 \tag{4}
\]

where:

- \([O_3]_{\text{OUT}} = \) O\text{3} concentration, ppm
- \(\alpha =\) absorption coefficient of O\text{3} at 254 nm=308 atm\text{cm}^{-1} \ at \ 0 ^\circ \text{C} \ and \ 760 \ torr
- \(T =\) optical path length, cm
- \(T_0 =\) sample temperature, K
- \(P =\) sample pressure, torr
- \(L =\) correction factor for O\text{3} losses from 5.2.5=(1-fraction O\text{3} lost).

**NOTE:** Some commercial photometers may automatically evaluate all or part of equation 4. It is the operator’s responsibility to verify that all of the information required for equation 4 is obtained, either automatically by the photometer or manually. For “automatic” photometers which evaluate the first term of equation 4 based on a linear approximation, a manual correction may be required, particularly at higher O\text{3} levels. See the photometer instruction manual and Reference 9 for guidance.

5.3.11 Obtain additional O\text{3} concentration standards as necessary by repeating steps 5.3.6 to 5.3.10 or by Option 1.

5.4 Certification of transfer standards. A transfer standard is certified by relating the output of the transfer standard to one or more ozone standards as determined according to section 5.3. The exact procedure varies depending on the nature and design of the transfer standard. Consult Reference 8 for guidance.

5.5 Calibration of ozone analyzers. Ozone analyzers are calibrated as follows, using ozone standards obtained directly according to section 5.3 or by means of a certified transfer standard.

5.5.1 Allow sufficient time for the O\text{3} analyzer and the photometer or transfer standard to warm up and stabilize.

5.5.2 Allow the O\text{3} analyzer to sample zero air until a stable response is obtained and adjust the O\text{3} analyzer’s zero control. Offsetting the analyzer’s zero adjustment to +5% of scale is recommended to facilitate observing negative zero drift. Record the stable zero air response as “Z”.

5.5.3 Generate an O\text{3} concentration standard of approximately 80% of the desired upper range limit (URL) of the O\text{3} analyzer. Allow the O\text{3} analyzer to sample this O\text{3} concentration standard until a stable response is obtained.

5.5.4 Adjust the O\text{3} analyzer’s span control to obtain a convenient recorder response as indicated below:

\[
\text{recorder response} = \left( \frac{[O_3]_{\text{OUT}} \times 100}{\text{URL}} \right) + Z \tag{5}
\]

where:

- \(\text{URL} =\) upper range limit of the O\text{3} analyzer, ppm
- \(Z =\) recorder response with zero air, % scale

Record the O\text{3} concentration and the corresponding analyzer response. If substantial adjustment of the span control is necessary, recheck the zero and span adjustments by repeating steps 5.5.2 to 5.5.4.

5.5.5 Generate several other O\text{3} concentration standards (at least 5 others are recommended) over the scale range of the O\text{3} analyzer by adjusting the O\text{3} source or by Option 1. For each O\text{3} concentration standard, record the O\text{3} and the corresponding analyzer response.

5.5.6 Plot the O\text{3} analyzer responses versus the corresponding O\text{3} concentrations and draw the O\text{3} analyzer’s calibration curve or calculate the appropriate response factor.

5.5.7 Option 1: The various O\text{3} concentrations required in steps 5.5.11 and 5.5.5 may be obtained by dilution of the O\text{3} concentration generated in steps 5.3.6 and 5.3.7. With this option, accurate flow measurements are required. The dynamic calibration system may be modified as shown in Figure 2 to allow for dilution air to be metered in downstream of the O\text{3} generator. A mixing chamber between the O\text{3} generator and the output manifold is also required. The flowrate through the O\text{3} generator (F\text{z}) and the dilution air flowrate...
(F₀) are measured with a reliable flow or volume standard traceable to NBS. Each O₃ concentration generated by dilution is calculated from:

\[
[O₃]_{OUT}' = [O₃]_{OUT} \left( \frac{F₀}{F₀ + F_D} \right)
\]  

(6)

where:

[O₃]_{OUT}' = diluted O₃ concentration, ppm

F₀ = flowrate through the O₃ generator, liter/min

F_D = diluent air flowrate, liter/min
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Figure 1: Schematic diagram of a typical UV photometric calibration system.

Figure 2: Schematic diagram of a typical UV photometric calibration system (OPT/D4 t)
APPENDIX F TO PART 50

PRINCIPLE AND APPlicability

1. Atmospheric concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) are measured indirectly by photometrically measuring the light intensity, at wavelengths greater than 600 nanometers, resulting from the chemiluminescent reaction of nitric oxide (NO) with ozone (O₃). (1.2.3) NO₂ is first quantitatively reduced to NO(4,5,6) by means of a converter. NO, which commonly exists in ambient air together with NO₂, passes through the converter unchanged causing a resultant total NOₓ concentration equal to NO+NO₂. A sample of the input air is also measured without having passed through the converter. This latter NO measurement is subtracted from the former measurement (NO+NO₂) to yield the final NOₓ measurement. The NO and NO+NO₂ measurements may be made concurrently with dual systems, or cyclically with the same system provided the cycle time does not exceed 1 minute.

2. Sampling considerations.

2.1 Chemiluminescence NO:NOₓ:NO₂ analyzers will respond to other nitrogen containing compounds, such as peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), which might be reduced to NO in the thermal converter. (7) Atmospheric concentrations of these potential interferences are generally low relative to NO₂ and valid NO₂ measurements may be obtained. In certain geographical areas, where the concentration of these potential interferences is known or suspected to be high, the use of an equivalent to the concentration of NO₂ producing NO₂ analyzer is used as an indicator of changes in NO concentration. Upon the addition of O₃, the decrease in NO concentration observed on the calibrated NO channel is equivalent to the concentration of NO₂ produced. The amount of NO₂ generated may be varied by adding variable amounts of O₃ from a stable uncalibrated O₃ generator. (9)

1.2.4 Alternative A—Gas phase titration (GPT) of an NO standard with O₃.

Major equipment required: Stable O₃ generator. Chemiluminescence NO:NO₂:NO₂ analyzer with strip chart recorder(s). NO concentration standard.

1.1 Principle. This calibration technique is based upon the rapid gas phase reaction between NO and O₃ to produce stoichiometric quantities of NO₂ in accordance with the following equation: (8)

\[
\text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2
\]

(1)

The quantitative nature of this reaction is such that when the NO concentration is known, the concentration of NO₂ can be determined. Ozone is added to excess NO in a dynamic calibration system, and the NO channel of the chemiluminescence NO:NO₂:NO₂ analyzer is used as an indicator of changes in NO concentration. Upon the addition of O₃, the decrease in NO concentration observed on the calibrated NO channel is equivalent to the concentration of NO₂ produced. The amount of NO₂ generated may be varied by adding variable amounts of O₃ from a stable uncalibrated O₃ generator. (9)

1.2 Apparatus. Figure 1, a schematic of a typical GPT apparatus, shows the suggested configuration of the components listed below. All connections between components in the calibration system downstream from the O₃ generator should be of glass, Teflon®, or other non-reactive material.

1.2.1 Air flow controllers. Devices capable of maintaining constant air flows within ±2% of the required flowrate. Component parts in contact with the NO should be of a non-reactive material.

1.2.2 NO flow controller. A device capable of maintaining constant NO flows within ±2% of the required flowrate. Component parts in contact with the NO should be of a non-reactive material.

1.2.3 Air flowmeters. Calibrated flowmeters capable of measuring and monitoring air flowrates with an accuracy of ±2% of the measured flowrate.

1.2.4 NO flowmeter. A calibrated flowmeter capable of measuring and monitoring NO flowrates with an accuracy of ±2% of the measured flowrate. (Rotameters have been reported to operate unreliably when measuring low NO flow rates and are not recommended.)

1.2.5 Pressure regulator for standard NO cylinder. This regulator must have a nonreactive diaphragm and internal parts and a suitable delivery pressure.
1.2.6 Ozone generator. The generator must be capable of generating sufficient and stable levels of O₃ for reaction with NO to generate NO₂ concentrations in the range required. Ozone generators or other nonreactive material of the electric discharge type may produce NO and NO₂ and are not recommended.

1.2.7 Valve. A valve may be used as shown in Figure 1 to divert the NO flow when zero air is required at the manifold. The valve should be constructed of glass, Teflon® or other nonreactive material.

1.2.8 Reaction chamber. A chamber, constructed of glass, Teflon® or other nonreactive material, for the quantitative reaction of O₃ with excess NO. The chamber should be of sufficient volume (VRC) such that the residence time (tₚ) meets the requirements specified in 1.4. For practical reasons, tₚ should be less than 2 minutes.

1.2.9 Mixing chamber. A chamber constructed of glass, Teflon® or other nonreactive material and designed to provide thorough mixing of reaction products and diluent air. The residence time is not critical when the dynamic parameter specification given in 1.4 is met.

1.2.10 Output manifold. The output manifold should be constructed of glass, Teflon® or other non-reactive material and should be of sufficient diameter to insure an insignificant pressure drop at the analyzer connection. The system must have a vent designed to insure atmospheric pressure at the manifold and to prevent ambient air from entering the manifold.

1.3 Reagents.

1.3.1 NO concentration standard. Gas cylinder standard containing 50 to 100 ppm NO in N₂ with less than 1 ppm NO₂. This standard must be traceable to a National Bureau of Standards (NBS) NO in N₂ Standard Reference Material (SRM 1638 or SRM 1639), an NBS NO₂ Standard Reference Material (SRM 1629), or an NBS/EPA-approved commercially available Certified Reference Material (CRM). CRM’s are described in Reference 14, and a list of CRM sources is available from the address shown for Reference 14. A recommended protocol for certifying NO gas cylinders against either an NO SRM or CRM is given in section 2.0.7 of Reference 15. Reference 13 gives procedures for certifying an NO gas cylinder against an NBS NO₂ SRM and for determining the amount of NO₂ impurity in an NO cylinder.

1.3.2 Zero air. Air, free of contaminants which will cause a detectable response on the NO/NO₂/NO₃ analyzer or which might react with either NO, O₃ or NO₂ in the gas phase titration. A procedure for generating zero air is given in reference 13.

1.4 Dynamic parameter specification.

1.4.1 The O₃ generator air flowrate (Fₒ) and NO flowrate (F(NO)) (see Figure 1) must be adjusted such that the following relationship holds:

\[ P_R = [\text{NO}]_{RC} \times t_R = 2.75 \text{ ppm-minutes} \]  (2)

\[ [\text{NO}]_{RC} = [\text{NO}]_{STD} \left( \frac{F_{NO}}{F_O + F_{NO}} \right) \]  (3)

\[ t_R = \frac{V_{RC}}{F_O + F_{NO}} < 2 \text{ minutes} \]  (4)

where:

\[ P_R = \text{dynamic parameter specification, determined empirically, to insure complete reaction of the available O}_3 \text{ ppm-minute} \]

\[ [\text{NO}]_{RC} = \text{NO concentration in the reaction chamber, ppm} \]

\[ n = \text{residence time of the reactant gases in the reaction chamber, minute} \]

\[ [\text{NO}]_{STD} = \text{concentration of the undiluted NO standard, ppm} \]

\[ F_{NO} = \text{NO flowrate, scm}^{-3}\text{min} \]

\[ F_O = \text{O}_3 \text{ generator air flowrate, scm}^{-3}\text{min} \]

\[ V_{RC} = \text{volume of the reaction chamber, scm}^3 \]

1.4.2 The flow conditions to be used in the GPT system are determined by the following procedure:

(a) Determine Fₜ, the total flow required at the output manifold (Fₜ=analyzer demand plus 10 to 50% excess).

(b) Establish [NO]ₜₚ as the highest NO concentration (ppm) which will be required at the output manifold. [NO]ₜₚ should be approximately equivalent to 90% of the upper range limit (URL) of the NO₂ concentration range to be covered.

(c) Determine Fₐ as

\[ F_\text{NO} = \frac{[\text{NO}]_{OUT} \times F_T}{[\text{NO}]_{STD}} \]  (5)

(d) Select a convenient or available reaction chamber volume. Initially, a trial V₉ₚ may be selected to be in the range of approximately 200 to 500 scm³.

(e) Compute FO as

\[ F_O = \sqrt{\frac{[\text{NO}]_{STD} \times F_{NO} \times V_{RC}}{2.75}} \]  (6)

(f) Compute tₚ as

\[ t_R = \frac{V_{RC}}{F_O + F_{NO}} \]  (7)

Verify that tₚ < 2 minutes. If not, select a reaction chamber with a smaller V₉ₚ.

(g) Compute the diluent air flowrate as

\[ F_D = F_T - F_O - F_{NO} \]  (8)

where:

\[ F_D = \text{diluent air flowrate, scm}^{-3}\text{min} \]
(h) If $P_D$ turns out to be impractical for the desired system, select a reaction chamber having a different $V_{nc}$ and recompute $P_D$ and $P_C$.

Note: A dynamic parameter lower than 2.75 ppm-minutes may be used if it can be determined empirically that quantitative reaction of $O_3$ with NO occurs. A procedure for making this determination as well as a more detailed discussion of the above requirements and other related considerations is given in reference 13.

1.5 Procedure

1.5.1 Assemble a dynamic calibration system such as the one shown in Figure 1.

1.5.2 Insure that all flowmeters are calibrated under the conditions of use against a reliable standard such as a soap-bubble meter or wet-test meter. All volumetric flowrates should be corrected to 25 °C and 760 mm Hg. A discussion on the calibration of flowmeters is given in reference 13.

1.5.3 Precautions must be taken to remove $O_2$ and other contaminants from the NO pressure regulator and delivery system prior to the start of calibration to avoid any conversion of the standard NO to $O_2$. Failure to do so can cause significant errors in calibration. This problem may be minimized by (1) carefully evacuating the regulator, when possible, after the regulator has been connected to the cylinder and before opening the cylinder valve; (2) thoroughly flushing the regulator and delivery system with NO after opening the cylinder valve; (3) not removing the regulator from the cylinder between calibrations unless absolutely necessary. Further discussion of these procedures is given in reference 13.

1.5.4 Select the operating range of the NO/NOX/NO2 analyzer to be calibrated. In order to obtain maximum precision and accuracy for NO calibration, all three channels of the analyzer should be set to the same range. If operation of the NO and NOX channels on higher ranges is desired, subsequent recalibration of the NO and NOX channels on the higher ranges is recommended.

Note: Some analyzer designs may require identical ranges for NO, NOX, and NO2 during operation of the analyzer.

1.5.5 Connect the recorder output cable(s) of the NO/NOX/NO2 analyzer to the input terminals of the strip chart recorder(s). All adjustments to the analyzer should be performed based on the appropriate strip chart readings. References to analyzer responses in the procedures given below refer to recorder responses.

1.5.6 Determine the GPT flow conditions required to meet the dynamic parameter specification as indicated in 1.4.

1.5.7 Adjust the diluent air and $O_2$ generator air flows to obtain the flows determined in section 1.4.2. The total air flow must exceed the total demand of the analyzer(s) connected to the output manifold to insure that no ambient air is pulled into the manifold vent. Allow the analyzer to sample zero air until stable NO, NOX, and NO2 responses are obtained. After the responses have stabilized, adjust the analyzer zero control(s).

Note: Some analyzers may have separate zero controls for NO, NOX, and NO2. Other analyzers may have separate zero controls only for NO and NOX while still others may have only one zero control common to all three channels.

1.5.8 Preparation of NO and NO2 calibration curves.

1.5.8.1 Adjustment of NO span control. Adjust the NO flow from the standard NO cylinder to generate an NO concentration of approximately 80 percent of the upper range limit (URL) of the NO range. This exact NO concentration is calculated from:

$$\frac{[NO]_{OUT}}{[NO]_{STD}} = \frac{F_{NO} \times [NO]_{STD}}{F_{NO} + F_O + F_D}$$

where:

- $[NO]_{OUT}$ = diluted NO concentration at the output manifold, ppm
- $[NO]_{STD}$ = standard NO concentration, ppm
- $F_{NO}$, $F_D$, $F_O$ = flows as indicated in 1.4
- URL = nominal upper range limit of the NO channel, ppm

Sample this NO concentration until the NO and NOX responses have stabilized. Adjust the NO span control to obtain a recorder response as indicated below:

$$[NO]_{REC} = \left(\frac{[NO]_{OUT} \times 100}{URL}\right) + Z_{NO}$$

where:

- $[NO]_{REC}$ = recorder response at URL
- $Z_{NO}$ = recorder zero adjustment

Note: Some analyzers may have separate span controls for NO, NOX, and NO2. Other analyzers may have separate span controls only for NO and NOX while still others may have only one span control common to all three channels. When only one span control is available, the span adjustment is made on the NO channel of the analyzer. When substantial adjustment of the NO span control is necessary, it may be necessary to recheck the zero and span adjustments by repeating steps 1.5.7 and 1.5.8.1. Record the NO concentration and the analyzer’s NO response.

1.5.8.2 Adjustment of NOX span control. When adjusting the analyzer’s NOX span control, the presence of any NO2 impurity in the standard NO cylinder must be taken into account. Procedures for determining the amount of NO2 impurity in the standard NO cylinder.
The exact NOX concentration is calculated from:
\[
[NO_X]_{OUT} = \frac{F_{NO} \times ([NO]_{STD} + [NO_2]_{IMP})}{F_{NO} + F_O + F_D}
\]
where:
- \([NO_X]_{OUT}\) = diluted NOX concentration at the output manifold, ppm
- \([NO]_{STD}\) = concentration of NO impurity in the standard NO cylinder, ppm

Adjust the NOX span control to obtain a recorder response as indicated below:
\[
\left(\frac{[NO_X]_{OUT}}{URL}\right) \times 100 + Z_{NOX}
\]

\[
recorder\ response\ (%\ scale) = \left(\frac{[NO_X]_{OUT}}{URL}\right) \times 100 + Z_{NOX}
\]

**NOTE:** If the analyzer has only one span control, the span adjustment is made on the NO channel and no further adjustment is made here for NOX.

If substantial adjustment of the NOX span control is necessary, it may be necessary to recheck the zero and span adjustments by repeating steps 1.5.7 and 1.5.8.2. Record the NOX concentration and the analyzer’s NOX response.

1.5.8.3 Generate several additional concentrations (at least five evenly spaced points across the remaining scale are suggested to verify linearity) by decreasing \(F_{NO}\) or increasing \(F_D\). For each concentration generated, calculate the exact NO and NOX concentrations using equations (9) and (11) respectively. Record the analyzer’s NO and NOX responses for each concentration. Plot the analyzer responses versus the respective calculated NO and NOX concentrations and draw or calculate the NO and NOX calibration curves. For subsequent calibrations where linearity can be assumed, these curves may be checked with a two-point calibration consisting of a zero air point and NO and NOX concentrations of approximately 80% of the URL.

1.5.9 Preparation of NO2 calibration curve.

1.5.9.1 Assuming the NO2 zero has been properly adjusted while sampling zero air in step 1.5.7, adjust \(F_O\) and \(F_D\) as determined in section 1.4.2. Adjust \(F_{NO}\) to generate an NO concentration near 90% of the URL of the NO range. Sample this NO concentration until the NO and NOX responses have stabilized. Using the NO calibration curve obtained in section 1.5.8, measure and record the NO concentration as \([NO]_{orig}\). Using the NOX calibration curve obtained in section 1.5.8, measure and record the NOX concentration as \([NOX]_{orig}\).

1.5.9.2 Adjust the O3 generator to generate sufficient O3 to produce a decrease in the NO concentration equivalent to approximately 80% of the URL of the NO2 range. The decrease must not exceed 90% of the NO concentration determined in step 1.5.9.1. After the analyzer responses have stabilized, record the resultant NO and NOX concentrations as \([NO]_{rem}\) and \([NOX]_{rem}\).

1.5.9.3 Calculate the resulting NO2 concentration from:
\[
[NO_2]_{OUT} = [NO]_{orig} - [NO]_{rem} + \frac{F_{NO} \times [NO_2]_{IMP}}{F_{NO} + F_O + F_D}
\]

where:
- \([NO_2]_{OUT}\) = diluted NO2 concentration at the output manifold, ppm
- \([NO]_{orig}\) = original NO concentration, prior to addition of O3, ppm
- \([NO]_{rem}\) = NO concentration remaining after addition of O3, ppm

Adjust the NO2 span control to obtain a recorder response as indicated below:
\[
\left(\frac{[NO_2]_{OUT}}{URL}\right) \times 100 + Z_{NO2}
\]

\[
recorder\ response\ (%\ scale) = \left(\frac{[NO_2]_{OUT}}{URL}\right) \times 100 + Z_{NO2}
\]

**NOTE:** If the analyzer has only one or two span controls, the span adjustments are made on the NO channel or NO and NOX channels and no further adjustment is made here for NO2.

If substantial adjustment of the NO2 span control is necessary, it may be necessary to recheck the zero and span adjustments by repeating steps 1.5.7 and 1.5.9.3. Record the NO2 concentration and the corresponding analyzer NO2 and NOX responses.

1.5.9.4 Maintaining the same \(F_{NO}\), \(F_O\), and \(F_D\) as in section 1.5.9.1, adjust the ozone generator to obtain several other concentrations of NO2 over the NO2 range (at least five evenly spaced points across the remaining scale are suggested). Calculate each NO2 concentration using equation (13) and record the corresponding analyzer NO2 and NOX responses. Plot the analyzer’s NO2 responses versus the corresponding calculated NO2 concentrations and draw or calculate the NO2 calibration curve.

1.5.10 Determination of converter efficiency.
For each NO₂ concentration generated during the preparation of the NO₂ calibration curve (see section 1.5.9) calculate the concentration of NO₂ converted from:

\[
[\text{NO}_2]_{\text{conv}} = [\text{NO}_2]_{\text{OUT}} \cdot \left( \frac{[\text{NO}_X]_{\text{orig}} - [\text{NO}_X]_{\text{rem}}}{[\text{NO}_X]_{\text{orig}}} \right)
\]

where:
- \([\text{NO}_2]_{\text{conv}}\) = concentration of NO₂ converted, ppm
- \([\text{NO}_2]_{\text{OUT}}\) = measured flowrate.
- \([\text{NO}_2]_{\text{orig}}\) = original NO₂ concentration prior to addition of O₂, ppm
- \([\text{NO}_2]_{\text{rem}}\) = NO₂ concentration remaining after addition of O₂, ppm

Note: Supplemental information on calibration and other procedures in this method are given in reference 13. Plot \([\text{NO}_2]_{\text{CONV}}\) (y-axis) versus \([\text{NO}_2]_{\text{OUT}}\) (x-axis) and draw or calculate the converter efficiency curve. The slope of the curve times 100 is the average converter efficiency, Ec.

The average converter efficiency must be greater than 96%; if it is less than 96%, replace or service the converter.

2. Alternative B—NO₂ permeation device. Major equipment required:
- Stable O₂ generator.
- Chemiluminescence NO/NO₂ analyzer with strip chart recorder(s).
- NO concentration standard.
- NO₂ concentration standard.

2.1 Principle. Atmospheres containing accurately known concentrations of nitrogen dioxide are generated by means of a permeation device. (b) The permeation device emits NO₂ at a known constant rate provided the temperature of the device is held constant (±0.1 °C) and the device has been accurately calibrated at the temperature of use.

The NO₂ emitted from the device is diluted with zero air to produce NO₂ concentrations suitable for calibration of the NO₂ channel of the NO/NO₂ analyzer. An NO concentration standard is used for calibration of the NO and NO₂ channels of the analyzer.

2.2 Apparatus. A typical system suitable for generating the required NO and NO₂ concentrations is shown in Figure 2. All connections between components downstream from the permeation device should be of glass, Teflon®, or other non-reactive material.

2.2.1 Air flow controllers. Devices capable of maintaining constant air flows within ±2% of the required flowrate.

2.2.2 NO flow controller. A device capable of maintaining constant NO flows within ±2% of the required flowrate. Component parts in contact with the NO must be of a non-reactive material.

2.2.3 Air flowmeters. Calibrated flowmeters capable of measuring and monitoring air flowrates with an accuracy of ±2% of the measured flowrate.

2.2.4 NO flowmeter. A calibrated flowmeter capable of measuring and monitoring NO flowrates with an accuracy of ±2% of the measured flowrate. (Rotameters have been reported to operate unreliably when measuring low NO flows and are not recommended.)

2.2.5 Pressure regulator for standard NO cylinder. This regulator must have a non-reactive diaphragm and internal parts and a suitable delivery pressure.

2.2.6 Drier. Scrubber to remove moisture from the permeation device air system. The use of the drier is optional with NO₂ permeation devices not sensitive to moisture. (Refer to the supplier’s instructions for use of the permeation device.)

2.2.7 Constant temperature chamber. Chamber capable of housing the NO₂ permeation device and maintaining its temperature to within ±0.1 °C.

2.2.8 Temperature measuring device. Device capable of measuring and monitoring the temperature of the NO₂ permeation device with an accuracy of ±0.05 °C.

2.2.9 Valves. A valve may be used as shown in Figure 2 to divert the NO₂ from the permeation device when zero air or NO is required at the manifold. A second valve may be used to divert the NO flow when zero air or NO₂ is required at the manifold.

The valves should be constructed of glass, Teflon®, or other non-reactive material.

2.2.10 Mixing chamber. A chamber constructed of glass, Teflon®, or other non-reactive material and designed to provide thorough mixing of pollutant gas streams and diluent air.

2.2.11 Output manifold. The output manifold should be constructed of glass, Teflon®, or other non-reactive material and should be of sufficient diameter to insure an insignificant pressure drop at the analyzer connection. The system must have a vent designed to insure atmospheric pressure at the manifold and to prevent ambient air from entering the manifold.

2.3 Reagents.

2.3.1 Calibration standards. Calibration standards are required for both NO and NO₂. The reference standard for the calibration may be either an NO or NO₂ standard, and must be traceable to a National Bureau of Standards (NBS) NO in N₂ Standard Reference Material (SRM 1683 or SRM 1684), and NBS NO₂ Standard Reference Material (SRM 1629), or an NBS/EPA-approved commercially.
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available Certified Reference Material (CRM). CRM's are described in Reference 14, and a list of CRM sources is available from the address shown for Reference 14. Reference 15 gives recommended procedures for certifying an NO gas cylinder against an NO SRM or CRM and for certifying an NO2 permeation device against an NO2 CRM. Reference 16 contains procedures for certifying an NO gas cylinder against an NO2 CRM and for certifying an NO2 permeation device against an NO SRM or CRM. A procedure for determining the amount of NOx impurity in an NO cylinder is also contained in Reference 13. The NO or NO2 standard selected as the reference standard must be used to certify the other standard to ensure consistency between the two standards.

2.3.1 NO2 Concentration standard. A permeation device suitable for generating NO2 concentrations at the required flow-rates over the required concentration range. If the permeation device is used as the reference standard, it must be traceable to an SRM or CRM as specified in 2.3.1. If an NO cylinder is used as the reference standard, the NO2 permeation device must be certified against the NO2 standard according to the procedure given in Reference 13. The use of the permeation device should be in strict accordance with the instructions supplied with the device. Additional information regarding the use of permeation devices is given by Scaringelli et al. (11) and Rook et al. (12).

2.3.1.2 NO Concentration standard. Gas cylinder containing 50 to 100 ppm NO in N2 with less than 1 ppm NO2. Other CRM sources are described in Reference 14, and a list of CRM sources is available from the address shown for Reference 14. Reference 15 gives recommended procedures for certifying an NO2 permeation device against an NO2 CRM. A procedure for determining the amount of NOx impurity in an NO cylinder is also contained in Reference 13. The NO or NO2 standard selected as the reference standard must be used to certify the other standard to ensure consistency between the two standards.

2.4 Procedure

2.4.1 Assemble the calibration apparatus such as the typical one shown in Figure 2.

2.4.2 Insure that all flowmeters are calibrated under the conditions of use against a reliable standard such as a soap bubble meter or wet-test meter. All volumetric flowrates should be corrected to 25 °C and 760 mm Hg. A discussion on the calibration of flowmeters is given in reference 13.

2.4.3 Install the permeation device in the constant temperature chamber. Provide a small fixed air flow (200–400 scm 1/min) across the device. The permeation device should always have a continuous air flow across it to prevent large buildup of NO2 in the system and a consequent restabilization period. Record the flowrate as FP. Allow the device to stabilize at the calibration temperature for at least 24 hours. The temperature must be adjusted and controlled to within ±0.1 °C or less of the calibration temperature as monitored with the temperature measuring device.

2.4.4 Precautions must be taken to remove O2 and other contaminants from the NO pressure regulator and delivery system prior to the start of calibration to avoid any conversion of the standard NO to NO2. Failure to do so can cause significant errors in calibration. This problem may be minimized by (1) Carefully evacuating the regulator, when possible, after the regulator has been connected to the cylinder and before opening the cylinder valve;

(2) Thoroughly flushing the regulator and delivery system with NO after opening the cylinder valve;

(3) Not removing the regulator from the cylinder between calibrations unless absolutely necessary. Further discussion of these procedures is given in reference 13.

2.4.5 Select the operating range of the NO/NOx NO2 analyzer to be calibrated. In order to obtain maximum precision and accuracy for NOx calibration, all three channels of the analyzer should be set to the same range. If operation of the NO and NOx channels on higher ranges is desired, subsequent recalibration of the NO and NOx channels on the higher ranges is recommended. NOTE: Some analyzer designs may require identical ranges for NO, NOx, and NO2 during operation of the analyzer.

2.4.6 Connect the recorder output cable(s) of the NO/NOx NO2 analyzer to the input terminals of the strip chart recorder(s). All adjustments to the analyzer should be performed based on the appropriate strip chart readings. References to analyzer responses in the procedures given below refer to recorder responses.

2.4.7 Switch the valve to vent the flow from the permeation device and adjust the diluent air flowrate, FP, to provide zero air at the output manifold. The total air flow must exceed the total demand of the analyzer(s) connected to the output manifold to insure that no ambient air is pulled into the manifold vent. Allow the analyzer to sample zero air until stable NO, NOx, and NO2 responses are obtained. After the responses have stabilized, adjust the analyzer zero control(s).

NOTE: Some analyzers may have separate zero controls for NO, NOx, and NO2. Other analyzers may have separate zero controls only for NO and NOx while still others may
have only one zero common control to all three channels.

Offsetting the analyzer zero adjustments to +5% of scale is recommended to facilitate observing negative zero drift. Record the stable zero air responses as Z₀₀, Z₀ₓₓ and Zₓₓₓ.

2.4.8 Preparation of NO and NOₓ calibration curves.

2.4.8.1 Adjustment of NO span control. Adjust the NO flow from the standard NO cylinder to generate an NO concentration of approximately 80% of the upper range limit (URL) of the NO range. The exact NO concentration is calculated from:

\[ [\text{NO}]_{\text{OUT}} = \frac{F_{\text{NO}} \times [\text{NO}]_{\text{STD}}}{F_{\text{NO}} + F_{D}} \]  

(16)

where:

[NO]OUT = diluted NO concentration at the output manifold, ppm

\( F_{\text{NO}} = \) NO flowrate, scm³/minute

[NO]STD = concentration of the undiluted NO standard, ppm

\( F_{D} = \) diluent air flowrate, scm³/minute

Sample this NO concentration until the NO and NOₓ responses have stabilized. Adjust the NO span control to obtain a recorder response as indicated below:

recorder response (% scale) =

\[ = \left( \frac{[\text{NO}]_{\text{OUT}}}{URL} \times 100 \right) + Z_{\text{NO}} \]  

(17)

\[ = \left( \frac{[\text{NO}]_{\text{OUT}}}{URL} \times 100 \right) + Z_{\text{NO}} \]  

(19)

where:

URL = nominal upper range limit of the NO channel, ppm

NOTE: Some analyzers may have separate span controls for NO, NOₓ and NO₂. Other analyzers may have separate span controls only for NO and NOₓ, while still others may have only one span control common to all three channels. When only one span control is available, the span adjustment is made on the NO channel of the analyzer.

If substantial adjustment of the NO span control is necessary, it may be necessary to recheck the zero and span adjustments by repeating steps 2.4.7 and 2.4.8.1. Record the NO concentration and the analyzer’s NO response.

2.4.8.2 Adjustment of NOₓ span control. When adjusting the analyzer’s NOₓ span control, the presence of any NO₂ impurity in the standard NO cylinder must be taken into account. Procedures for determining the amount of NO₂ impurity in the standard NO cylinder are given in reference 13. The exact NOₓ concentration is calculated from:

\[ [\text{NO}_{X}]_{\text{OUT}} = \frac{F_{\text{NO}} \times ([\text{NO}]_{\text{STD}} + [\text{NO}]_{\text{IMP}})}{F_{\text{NO}} + F_{D}} \]  

(18)

where:

\( [\text{NO}]_{\text{STD}} = \) diluted NO concentration at the output manifold, ppm

\( [\text{NO}]_{\text{IMP}} = \) concentration of NO₂ impurity in the standard NO cylinder, ppm

Adjust the NOₓ span control to obtain a convenient recorder response as indicated below:

recorder response (% scale) =

\[ = \left( \frac{[\text{NO}]_{\text{OUT}}}{URL} \times 100 \right) + Z_{\text{NO}_{X}} \]  

(19)

NOTE: If the analyzer has only one span control, the span adjustment is made on the NO channel and no further adjustment is made here for NOₓ.

If substantial adjustment of the NOₓ span control is necessary, it may be necessary to recheck the zero and span adjustments by repeating steps 2.4.7 and 2.4.8.2. Record the NOₓ concentration and the analyzer’s NOₓ response.

2.4.8.3 Generate several additional concentrations (at least five evenly spaced points across the remaining scale are suggested to verify linearity) by decreasing \( F_{\text{NO}} \) or increasing \( F_{D} \). For each concentration generated, calculate the exact NO and NOₓ concentrations using equations (16) and (18) respectively. Record the analyzer’s NO and NOₓ responses for each concentration. Plot the analyzer responses versus the respective calculated NO and NOₓ concentrations and draw or calculate the NO and NOₓ calibration curves. For subsequent calibrations where linearity can be assumed, these curves may be checked with a two-point calibration consisting of a zero point and NO and NOₓ concentrations of approximately 80 percent of the URL.

2.4.9 Preparation of NO₂ calibration curve.

2.4.9.1 Remove the NO flow. Assuming the NO₂ zero has been properly adjusted while sampling zero air in step 2.4.7, switch the valve to provide NO₂ at the output manifold.

2.4.9.2 Adjust \( F_{D} \) to generate an NO₂ concentration of approximately 80 percent of the URL of the NO₂ range. The total air flow must exceed the demand of the analyzer(s) under calibration. The actual concentration of NO₂ is calculated from:

\[ [\text{NO}_{2}]_{\text{OUT}} = \frac{R \times K}{F_{D} + F_{D}} \]  

(20)

where:
Sample this NO2 concentration until the NOX and NO2 responses have stabilized. Adjust the NO2 span control to obtain a recorder response as indicated below:

\[
\frac{[NO_2]_{OUT} \times 10^2}{URL} + Z_{NO2}
\]

**NOTE:** If the analyzer has only one or two span controls, the span adjustments are made on the NO channel or NO and NOX channels and no further adjustment is made here for NO2.

If substantial adjustment of the NO2 span control is necessary it may be necessary to recheck the zero and span adjustments by repeating steps 2.4.7 and 2.4.9.2. Record the NO2 concentration and the analyzer’s NO2 response. Using the NOX calibration curve obtained in step 2.4.8, measure and record the NOX concentration as \([NOX]_M\).

2.4.9.3 Adjust \(F_D\) to obtain several other concentrations of NO2 over the NO2 range (at least five evenly spaced points across the remaining scale are suggested). Calculate each NO2 concentration using equation (20) and record the corresponding analyzer NO2 and NOX responses. Plot the analyzer’s NO2 responses versus the corresponding calculated NO2 concentrations and draw or calculate the NO2 calibration curve.

2.4.10 Determination of converter efficiency.

2.4.10.1 Plot \([NOX]_M\) (y-axis) versus \([NO_2]_{OUT}\) (x-axis) and draw or calculate the converter efficiency curve. The slope of the curve times 100 is the average converter efficiency, \(E_c\). The average converter efficiency must be greater than 96 percent; if it is less than 96 percent, replace or service the converter.

**NOTE:** Supplemental information on calibration and other procedures in this method are given in reference 13.

3. Frequency of calibration. The frequency of calibration, as well as the number of points necessary to establish the calibration curve and the frequency of other performance checks, will vary from one analyzer to another. The user’s quality control program should provide guidelines for initial establishment of these variables and for subsequent alteration as operational experience is accumulated. Manufacturers of analyzers should include in their instruction/operation manuals information and guidance as to these variables and on other matters of operation, calibration, and quality control.

**REFERENCES**


Figure 1. Schematic diagram of a typical GPT calibration system.
APPENDIX G TO PART 50—REFERENCE METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF LEAD IN SUSPENDED PARTICULATE MATTER COLLECTED FROM AMBIENT AIR

1. Principle and applicability.
1.1 Ambient air suspended particulate matter is collected on a glass-fiber filter for 24 hours using a high volume air sampler. The analysis of the 24-hour samples may be performed for either individual samples or composites of the samples collected over a calendar month or quarter, provided that the compositing procedure has been approved in accordance with section 2.8 of appendix C to part 58 of this chapter—Modifications of methods by users. (Guidance or assistance in requesting approval under Section 2.8 can be obtained from the address given in section 2.7 of appendix C to part 58 of this chapter.)

1.2 Lead in the particulate matter is solubilized by extraction with nitric acid (HNO₃), facilitated by heat or by a mixture of HNO₃ and hydrochloric acid (HCl) facilitated by ultrasonication.

1.3 The lead content of the sample is analyzed by atomic absorption spectrometry using an air-acetylene flame, the 283.3 or 217.0 nm lead absorption line, and the optimum instrumental conditions recommended by the manufacturer.

1.4 The ultrasonication extraction with HNO₃/HCl will extract metals other than lead from ambient particulate matter.

2. Range, sensitivity, and lower detectable limit. The values given below are typical of the methods capabilities. Absolute values will vary for individual situations depending on the type of instrument used, the lead line, and operating conditions.

2.1 Range. The typical range of the method is 0.07 to 7.5 µg Pb/m³ assuming an upper linear range of analysis of 15 µg/ml and an air volume of 2,400 m³.

2.2 Sensitivity. Typical sensitivities for a 1 percent change in absorption (0.0044 absorbance units) are 0.2 and 0.5 µg Pb/ml for the 217.0 and 283.3 nm lines, respectively.

2.3 Lower detectable limit (LDL). A typical LDL is 0.07 µg Pb/m³. The above value was calculated by doubling the between-laboratory standard deviation obtained for the lowest measurable lead concentration in a collaborative test of the method.(15) An air volume of 2,400 m³ was assumed.

3. Interferences. Two types of interferences are possible: chemical and light scattering.

3.1 Chemical. Reports on the absence (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) of chemical interferences far outweigh those reporting their presence, (6) therefore, no correction for chemical interferences is given here. If the analyst suspects that the sample matrix is causing a chemical interference, the interference can be verified and corrected for by carrying out the analysis with and without the method of standard additions.(7)
3.2 Light scattering. Nonatomic absorption or light scattering, produced by high concentrations of dissolved solids in the sample, can produce a significant interference, especially at low lead concentrations. The interference is greater at the 217.0 nm line than at the 283.3 nm line. No interference was observed using the 283.3 nm line with a similar method.

Light scattering interferences can, however, be corrected for instrumentally. Since the dissolved solids can vary depending on the origin of the sample, the correction may be necessary, especially when using the 217.0 nm line. Dual beam instruments with a continuous source give the most accurate correction. A less accurate correction can be obtained by using a nonabsorbing lead line that is near the lead analytical line. Information on use of these correction techniques can be obtained from instrument manufacturers' manuals.

If instrumental correction is not feasible, the interference can be eliminated by use of the ammonium pyrrolidinecarbodithioate-methylisobutyl ketone, chelation-solvent extraction technique of sample preparation.

4. Precision and bias.

4.1 The high-volume sampling procedure used to collect ambient air particulate matter has a between-laboratory relative standard deviation of 3.7 percent over the range 80 to 250 µg/m³. The combined extraction-analysis procedure has an average within-laboratory relative standard deviation of 5 to 8 percent over the range 1.5 to 15 µg Pb/ml, and an average between-laboratory relative standard deviation of 7 to 9 percent over the same range. These values include use of either extraction procedure.

4.2 Single laboratory experiments and collaborative testing indicate that there is no significant difference in lead recovery between the hot and ultrasonic extraction procedures.

5. Apparatus.

5.1 Sampling.

5.1.1 High-Volume Sampler. Use and calibrate the sampler as described in appendix B to this part.

5.2 Analysis.

5.2.1 Atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Equipped with lead hollow cathode or electrodeless discharge lamp.

5.2.1.1 Acetylene. The grade recommended by the instrument manufacturer should be used. Change cylinder when pressure drops below 50–100 psig.

5.2.1.2 Air. Filtered to remove particulate, oil, and water.

5.2.2 Glassware. Class A borosilicate glassware should be used throughout the analysis.

5.2.2.1 Beakers. 30 and 150 ml, graduated, Pyrex.

5.2.2.2 Volumetric flasks, 100-ml.

5.2.2.3 Pipettes. To deliver 50, 30, 15, 8, 4, 2, and 1 ml.

5.2.2.4 Cleaning. All glassware should be scrupulously cleaned. The following procedure is suggested. Wash with laboratory detergent, rinse, soak for 4 hours in 20 percent (w/w) HNO₃, rinse 3 times with distilled-deionized water, and dry in a dust-free manner.

5.2.3 Hot plate.

5.2.4 Ultrasonication water bath, unheated. Commercially available laboratory ultrasonic cleaning baths of 450 watts or higher “cleaning power,” i.e., actual ultrasonic power output to the bath have been found satisfactory.

5.2.5 Template. To aid in sectioning the glass-fiber filter. See figure 1 for dimensions.

5.2.6 Pizza cutter. Thin wheel. Thickness 1 mm.

5.2.7 Watch glass.

5.2.8 Polyethylene bottles. For storage of samples. Linear polyethylene gives better storage stability than other polyethylenes and is preferred.

5.2.9 Parafilm “M”1 American Can Co., Marathon Products, Neenah, Wis., or equivalent.

5.3 Reagents.

5.3.1 Sampling.

5.3.1.1 Glass fiber filters. The specifications given below are intended to aid the user in obtaining high quality filters with reproducible properties. These specifications have been met by EPA contractors.

6.1 Sampling.

6.1.1 Glass fiber filters. The specifications given below are intended to aid the user in obtaining high quality filters with reproducible properties. These specifications have been met by EPA contractors.

6.1.1.1 Lead content. The absolute lead content of filters is not critical, but low values are, of course, desirable. EPA typically obtains filters with a lead content of 75 µg/filter.

It is important that the variation in lead content from filter to filter, within a given batch, be small.

6.1.1.2 Testing. For large batches of filters (>500 filters) select at random 20 to 30 filters from a given batch. For small batches (>500 filters) a lesser number of filters may be taken. Cut one ½×8″ strip from each filter anywhere in the filter. Analyze all strips, separately, according to the directions in sections 7 and 8.

6.1.1.2.2 Calculate the total lead in each filter as

\[ F_b = \frac{\mu \text{g} \text{Pb/ml} \times 100 \text{ ml} \times 12 \text{ strips}}{\text{strip}} \text{ filter} \]

where:

\[ F_b = \text{Amount of lead per 72 square inches of filter, } \mu \text{g.} \]

6.1.1.2.3 Calculate the mean, \( F_b \), of the values and the relative standard deviation (standard deviation/mean) × 100. If the relative standard deviation is high enough so

---

1 Mention of commercial products does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
that, in the analyst's opinion, subtraction of $F_0$, (section 10.3) may result in a significant error in the $\mu$g Pb/m$^3$; the batch should be rejected.

6.1.2.4 For acceptable batches, use the value of $F_0$ to correct all lead analyses (section 10.3) of particulate matter collected using that batch of filters. If the analyses are below the LNL (section 2.3) no correction is necessary.

6.2 Analysis.

6.2.1 Concentrated (15.8 M) HNO$_3$. ACS reagent grade HNO$_3$ and commercially available redistilled HNO$_3$ has found to have sufficiently low lead concentrations.

6.2.2 Concentrated (11.7 M) HCl. ACS reagent grade.

6.2.3 Distilled-deionized water (D.I. water).

6.2.4 3 M HNO$_3$. This solution is used in the hot extraction procedure. To prepare, add 192 ml of concentrated HNO$_3$ to D.I. water in a 1 l volumetric flask. Shake well, cool, and dilute to volume with D.I. water. Caution: Nitric acid fumes are toxic. Prepare in a well ventilated fume hood.

6.2.5 0.45 M HNO$_3$. This solution is used as the matrix for calibration standards when using the hot extraction procedure. To prepare, add 29 ml of concentrated HNO$_3$ to D.I. water in a 1 l volumetric flask. Dilute to nearly 1 l.

6.2.6 2.6 M HNO$_3$+0.9 M HCl. This solution is used in the ultrasonic extraction procedure. The concentration of HCl can be varied from 0 to 0.9 M. Directions are given for preparation of a 2.6 M HNO$_3$+0.9 M HCl solution. Place 167 ml of concentrated HNO$_3$ into a 1 l volumetric flask and add 77 ml of concentrated HCl. Stir for 4 hours, dilute to nearly 1 l with D.I. water, cool to room temperature, and dilute to 1 l.

6.2.7 0.40 M HNO$_3$+ X M HCl. This solution is used as the matrix for calibration standards when using the ultrasonic extraction procedure. To prepare, add 26 ml of concentrated HNO$_3$ plus the ml of HCl required, to a 1 l volumetric flask. Dilute to nearly 1 l with D.I. water, cool to room temperature, and dilute to 1 l. The amount of HCl required can be determined from the following equation:

$$ y = \frac{77 \text{ ml} \times 0.15 \times \text{M}}{0.9 \text{ M}} $$

where:

$y = \text{ml of concentrated HCl required.}$

$x = \text{molarity of HCl in 6.2.6.}$

0.15 = dilution factor in 7.2.2.

6.2.8 Lead nitrate, Pb(NO$_3$)$_2$. ACS reagent grade, purity 99.0 percent. Heat for 4 hours at 120°C and cool in a desiccator.

6.3 Calibration standards.

6.3.1 Master standard, 1000 $\mu$g Pb/ml in HNO$_3$. Dissolve 1.98 g of Pb(NO$_3$)$_2$ in 0.45 M HNO$_3$ contained in a 1 l volumetric flask and dilute to volume with 0.45 M HNO$_3$. 6.3.2 Master standard, 1000 $\mu$g Pb/ml in HNO$_3$+HCl. Prepare as in section 6.3.1 except use the HNO$_3$+HCl solution in section 6.2.7.

Store standards in a polyethylene bottle. Commercially available certified lead standard solutions may also be used.

7. Procedure.

7.1 Sampling. Collect samples for 24 hours using the procedure described in reference 10 with glass-fiber filters meeting the specifications in section 6.1.1. Transport collected samples to the laboratory taking care to minimize contamination and loss of sample (16).

7.2 Sample preparation.

7.2.1 Hot extraction procedure.

7.2.1.1 Cut a 3/4″×8″ strip from the exposed filter using a template and a pizza cutter as described in Figures 1 and 2. Other cutting procedures may be used.

Lead in ambient particulate matter collected on glass fiber filters has been shown to be uniformly distributed across the filter. Another study has shown that when sampling near a roadway, strip position contributes significantly to the overall variability associated with lead analyses. Therefore, when sampling near a roadway, additional strips should be analyzed to minimize this variability.

7.2.1.2 Fold the strip in half twice and place in a 150-ml beaker. Add 15 ml of 3 M HNO$_3$ to cover the sample. The acid should completely cover the sample. Cover the beaker with a watch glass.

7.2.1.3 Place beaker on the hot-plate, contained in a fume hood, and boil gently for 30 min. Do not let the sample evaporate to dryness. Caution: Nitric acid fumes are toxic.

7.2.1.4 Remove beaker from hot plate and cool to near room temperature.

7.2.1.5 Quantitatively transfer the sample as follows:

7.2.1.5.1 Rinse watch glass and sides of beaker with D.I. water.

7.2.1.5.2 Decant extract and rinsings into a 100-ml volumetric flask.

7.2.1.5.3 Add D.I. water to 40 ml mark on beaker, cover with watch glass, and set aside for a minimum of 30 minutes. This is a critical step and cannot be omitted since it allows the HNO$_3$ trapped in the filter to diffuse into the rinse water.

7.2.1.5.4 Decant the water from the filter into the volumetric flask.

7.2.1.5.5 Rinse filter and beaker twice with D.I. water and add rinsings to volumetric flask until total volume is 80 to 85 ml.

7.2.1.5.6 Stopper flask and shake vigorously. Set aside for approximately 5 minutes or until foam has dissipated.

7.2.1.5.7 Bring solution to volume with D.I. water. Mix thoroughly.

7.2.1.5.8 Allow solution to settle for one hour before proceeding with analysis.
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7.2.1.5.9 If sample is to be stored for subsequent analysis, transfer to a linear polyethylene bottle.

7.2.2 Ultrasonic extraction procedure.

7.2.2.1 Cut a \( \frac{3}{4} \times 8 \) strip from the exposed filter as described in section 7.2.1.1.

7.2.2.2 Fold the strip in half twice and place in a 30 ml beaker. Add 15 ml of the HNO\(_3\)/HCl solution in section 6.2.6. The acid should completely cover the sample. Cover the beaker with parafilm.

The parafilm should be placed over the beaker such that none of the parafilm is in contact with water in the ultrasonic bath. Otherwise, rinsing of the parafilm (section 7.2.2.4.4) may contaminate the sample. The parafilm should be placed over the sample for a minimum of 30 minutes. This is a critical step and cannot be omitted. The parafilm should be placed over the sample.

7.2.2.3 Place the beaker in the ultrasonication bath and operate for 30 minutes.

7.2.2.4 Quantitatively transfer the sample as follows:

7.2.2.4.1 Rinse parafilm and sides of beaker with D.I. water.

7.2.2.4.2 Decant extract and rinsings into a 100 ml volumetric flask.

7.2.2.4.3 Add 20 ml D.I. water to cover the filter strip, cover with parafilm, and set aside for a minimum of 30 minutes. This is a critical step and cannot be omitted. The sample is then processed as in sections 7.2.2.4.4 through 7.2.1.5.9.

NOTE: Samples prepared by the hot extraction procedure are now in 0.45 M HNO\(_3\) solutions prepared by the ultrasonication procedure. The samples prepared by the ultrasonication procedure are now in 0.40 M HNO\(_3\) + X M HCl.

8. Analysis.

8.1 Set the wavelength of the monochromator at 283.3 or 217.0 nm. Set or align other instrumental operating conditions as recommended by the manufacturer.

8.2 The sample can be analyzed directly from the volumetric flask, or an appropriate amount of sample decanted into a sample analysis tube. In either case, care should be taken not to disturb the settled solids.

8.3 Aspirate samples, calibration standards and blanks (section 9.2) into the flame and record the equilibrium absorbance.

8.4 Determine the lead concentration in \( \mu g \) Pb/ml from the calibration curve, section 9.3.

8.5 Samples that exceed the linear calibration range should be diluted with acid of the same concentration as the calibration standards and reanalyzed.


9.1 Working standard, 20 \( \mu g \) Pb/ml. Prepared by diluting 2.0 ml of the master standard (section 6.3.1 if the hot acid extraction was used or section 6.3.2 if the ultrasonic extraction procedure was used) to 100 ml with acid of the same concentration as used in preparing the master standard.

9.2 Calibration standards. Prepare daily by diluting the working standard, with the same acid matrix, as indicated below. Other lead concentrations may be used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume of 20 ( \mu g/ml ) working standard, ml</th>
<th>Final volume, ml</th>
<th>Concentration, ( \mu g ) Pb/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.3 Preparation of calibration curve. Since the working range of analysis will vary depending on which lead line is used and the type of instrument, no one set of instructions for preparation of a calibration curve can be given. Select standards (plus the reagent blank), in the same acid concentration as the samples, to cover the linear absorption range indicated by the instrument manufacturer. Measure the absorbance of the blank and standards as in section 8.0. Repeat until good agreement is obtained between replicates. Plot absorbance (y-axis) versus concentration in \( \mu g \) Pb/ml (x-axis). Draw (or compute) a straight line through the linear portion of the curve. Do not force the calibration curve through zero. Other calibration procedures may be used.

To determine stability of the calibration curve, remeasure—alternately—one of the following calibration standards for every 10th sample analyzed: Concentration \( \times \) 10 \( \mu g \) Pb/ml; concentration \( \times \) 10 \( \mu g \) Pb/ml. If either standard deviates by more than 5 percent from the value predicted by the calibration curve, recalibrate and repeat the previous 10 analyses.

10. Calculation.

10.1 Measured air volume. Calculate the measured air volume at Standard Temperature and Pressure as described in Reference 10.

10.2 Lead concentration. Calculate lead concentration in the air sample.

\[
C = \frac{(\mu g \ Pb/ml \times 100 \ ml/strip \times 12 \ strips/filter)}{V_{STP}} - F_b
\]
Environmental Protection Agency

where:

\[ C = \text{Concentration, } \mu g \text{ Pb/m}^3. \]

100 ml/strip = Total sample volume.

12 strips = Total usable filter area, 8"x9". Exposed area of one strip, 3/4"x8".

Filter = Total area of one strip, 3/4"x8".

\[ F_b = \text{Lead concentration of blank filter, } \mu g. \]

from section 6.1.1.2.3.

\[ V_{STP} = \text{Air volume from section 10.2.} \]

11. Quality control.

3"x8" glass fiber filter strips containing 80 to 2000 \( \mu g \text{ Pb/strip} \) (as lead salts) and blank strips with zero Pb content should be used to determine if the method—as being used—has any bias. Quality control charts should be established to monitor differences between measured and true values. The frequency of such checks will depend on the local quality control program.

To minimize the possibility of generating unreliable data, the user should follow practices established for assuring the quality of air pollution data, (11) and take part in EPA’s semiannual audit program for lead analyses.

12. Trouble shooting.

1. During extraction of lead by the hot extraction procedure, it is important to keep the sample covered so that corrosion products—formed on fume hood surfaces which may contain lead—are not deposited in the extract.

2. The sample acid concentration should minimize corrosion of the nebulizer. However, different nebulizers may require lower acid concentrations. Lower concentrations can be used provided samples and standards have the same acid concentration.

3. Ashing of particulate samples has been found, by EPA and contractor laboratories, to be unnecessary in lead analyses by atomic absorption. Therefore, this step was omitted from the method.

4. Filtration of extracted samples, to remove particulate matter, was specifically excluded from sample preparation, because some analysts have observed losses of lead due to filtration.

5. If suspended solids should clog the nebulizer during analysis of samples, centrifuge the sample to remove the solids.

13. Authority.

(Secs. 109 and 301(a), Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7409, 7601(a))


10. [Reserved]


15. To be published. EPA, QAB, EMSL, RTP, N.C. 27711.
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APPENDIX H TO PART 50—INTERPRETATION OF THE 1-HOUR PRIMARY AND SECONDARY NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR OZONE

1. GENERAL

This appendix explains how to determine when the expected number of days per calendar year with maximum hourly average concentrations above 0.12 ppm (235 µg/m³) is equal to or less than 1. An expanded discussion of these procedures and associated examples are contained in the “Guideline for Interpretation of Ozone Air Quality Standards.” For purposes of clarity in the following discussion, it is convenient to use the term “exceedance” to describe a daily maximum hourly average ozone measurement that is greater than the level of the standard. Therefore, the phrase “expected number of days with maximum hourly average ozone concentrations above the level of the standard” may be simply stated as the “expected number of exceedances.”
The basic principle in making this determination is relatively straightforward. Most of the complications that arise in determining the expected number of annual exceedances relate to accounting for incomplete sampling. In general, the average number of exceedances per calendar year must be less than or equal to 1. In its simplest form, the number of exceedances at a monitoring site would be recorded for each calendar year and then averaged over the past 3 calendar years to determine if this average is less than or equal to 1.

2. INTERPRETATION OF EXPECTED EXCEEDANCES

The ozone standard states that the expected number of exceedances per year must be less than or equal to 1. The statistical term “expected number” is basically an arithmetic average. The following example explains what it would mean for an area to be in compliance with this type of standard. Suppose a monitoring station records a valid daily maximum hourly average ozone value for every day of the year during the past 3 years. At the end of each year, the number of days with maximum hourly concentrations above 0.12 ppm is determined and this number is averaged with the results of previous years. As long as this average remains “less than or equal to 1,” the area is in compliance.

3. ESTIMATING THE NUMBER OF EXCEEDANCES FOR A YEAR

In general, a valid daily maximum hourly average value may not be available for each day of the year, and it will be necessary to account for these missing values when estimating the number of exceedances for a particular calendar year. The purpose of these computations is to determine if the expected number of exceedances per year is less than or equal to 1. Thus, if a site has two or more observed exceedances each year, the standard is not met and it is not necessary to use the procedures of this section to account for incomplete sampling.

The term “missing value” is used here in the general sense to describe all days that do not have an associated ozone measurement. In some cases, a measurement might actually have been missed but in other cases no measurement may have been scheduled for that day. A daily maximum ozone value is defined to be the highest hourly ozone value recorded for the day. This daily maximum value is considered to be valid if 75 percent of the hours from 9:01 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. (LST) were measured or if the highest hour is greater than the level of the standard.

In some areas, the seasonal pattern of ozone is so pronounced that entire months need not be sampled because it is extremely unlikely that the standard would be exceeded. Any such waiver of the ozone monitoring requirement would be handled under provisions of 40 CFR, part 58. Some allowance should also be made for days for which valid daily maximum hourly values were not obtained but which would quite likely have been below the standard. Such an allowance introduces a complication in that it becomes necessary to define under what conditions a missing value may be assumed to have been less than the level of the standard. The following criterion may be used for ozone:

A missing daily maximum ozone value may be assumed to be less than the level of the standard if the valid daily maxima on both the preceding day and the following day do not exceed 75 percent of the level of the standard.

Let z denote the number of missing daily maximum values that may be assumed to be less than the standard. Then the following formula shall be used to estimate the expected number of exceedances for the year:

\[
e = v + \left(\frac{v}{n}\right)\times(N-n-z)\]

(*Indicates multiplication.)

where:

- \(e\) = the estimated number of exceedances for the year,
- \(N\) = the number of required monitoring days in the year,
- \(n\) = the number of valid daily maxima,
- \(v\) = the number of daily values above the level of the standard, and
- \(z\) = the number of days assumed to be less than the standard level.

This estimated number of exceedances shall be rounded to one decimal place (fractional parts equal to 0.05 round up).

It should be noted that \(N\) will be the total number of days in the year unless the appropriate Regional Administrator has granted a waiver under the provisions of 40 CFR part 58.

The above equation may be interpreted intuitively in the following manner. The estimated number of exceedances is equal to the observed number of exceedances \((v)\) plus an increment that accounts for incomplete sampling. There were \((N-n)\) missing values for the year but a certain number of these, namely \(z\), were assumed to be less than the standard. Therefore, \((N-n-z)\) missing values are considered to include possible exceedances. The fraction of measured values that are above the level of the standard is \(v/n\). It is assumed that this same fraction applies to the \((N-n-z)\) missing values and that \((v/n)\times(N-n-z)\) of these values would also have exceeded the level of the standard.

(44 FR 8220, Feb. 8, 1979, as amended at 62 FR 38895, July 18, 1997)
Environmental Protection Agency

APPENDIX I TO PART 50—INTERPRETATION OF THE 8-HOUR PRIMARY AND SECONDARY NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR OZONE

1. General.

This appendix explains the data handling conventions and computations necessary for determining whether the national 8-hour primary and secondary ambient air quality standards for ozone specified in §50.10 are met at an ambient ozone air quality monitoring site. Ozone is measured in the ambient air by a reference method based on appendix D of this part. Data reporting, data handling, and computation procedures to be used in making comparisons between reported ozone concentrations and the level of the ozone standard are specified in the following sections. Whether to exclude, retain, or make adjustments to the data affected by stratospheric ozone intrusion or other natural events is subject to the approval of the appropriate Regional Administrator.

2. Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone.

2.1 Data Reporting and Handling Conventions.

2.1.1 Computing 8-hour averages. Hourly average concentrations shall be reported in parts per million (ppm) to the third decimal place, with additional digits to the right being truncated. Running 8-hour averages shall be computed from the hourly ozone concentration data for each hour of the year and the result shall be stored in the first, or start, hour of the 8-hour period. An 8-hour average shall be considered valid if at least 75% of the 8-hour period are available. In the event that only 6 (or 7) hourly averages are available, the 8-hour average shall be computed on the basis of the hours available using 6 (or 7) as the divisor. (8-hour periods with three or more missing hours shall not be ignored if, after substituting one-half the minimum detectable limit for the missing hourly concentrations, the 8-hour average concentration is greater than the level of the standard.) The computed 8-hour average ozone concentrations shall be reported to three decimal places (the insignificant digits to the right of the third decimal place are truncated, consistent with the data handling procedures for the reported data.)

2.1.2 Daily maximum 8-hour average concentrations. (a) There are 24 possible running 8-hour average ozone concentrations for each calendar day during the ozone monitoring season. (Ozone monitoring seasons vary by geographic location as designated in part 58, appendix D to this chapter.) The daily maximum 8-hour concentration for a given calendar day is the highest of the 24 possible 8-hour average concentrations computed for that day. This process is repeated, yielding a daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentration for each calendar day with ambient ozone monitoring data. Because the 8-hour averages are recorded in the start hour, the daily maximum 8-hour concentrations from two consecutive days may have some hourly concentrations in common. Generally, overlapping daily maximum 8-hour averages are not likely, except in those non-urban monitoring locations with less pronounced diurnal variation in hourly concentrations.

(b) An ozone monitoring day shall be counted as a valid day if valid 8-hour averages are available for at least 75% of possible hours in the day (i.e., at least 18 of the 24 averages). In the event that less than 75% of the 8-hour averages are available, a day shall also be counted as a valid day if the daily maximum 8-hour average concentration for that day is greater than the level of the ambient standard.

2.2 Primary and Secondary Standard-related Summary Statistic. The standard-related summary statistic is the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour ozone concentration, expressed in parts per million, averaged over three years. The 3-year average shall be computed using the three most recent, consecutive calendar years of monitoring data meeting the data completeness requirements described in this appendix. The computed 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour ozone concentrations shall be expressed to three decimal places (the remaining digits to the right are truncated.)

2.3 Comparisons with the Primary and Secondary Ozone Standards. (a) The primary and secondary ozone ambient air quality standards are met at an ambient air quality monitoring site when the 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour ozone concentration is less than or equal to 0.08 ppm. The number of significant figures in the level of the standard dictates the rounding convention for comparing the computed 3-year average annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentration with the level of the standard. The third decimal place of the computed value is rounded, with values equal to or greater than 5 rounding up. Thus, a computed 3-year average ozone concentration of 0.085 ppm is the smallest value that is greater than 0.08 ppm.

(b) This comparison shall be based on three consecutive, complete calendar years of air quality monitoring data. This requirement is met for the three year period at a monitoring site if daily maximum 8-hour average concentrations are available for at least 90% on average, of the days during the designated ozone monitoring season, with a minimum data completeness in any one year of at least 75% of the designated sampling days. When
computing whether the minimum data completeness requirements have been met, meteorological or ambient data may be sufficient to demonstrate that meteorological conditions on missing days were not conducive to concentrations above the level of the standard. Missing days assumed less than the level of the standard are counted for the purpose of meeting the data completeness requirement, subject to the approval of the appropriate Regional Administrator.

(c) Years with concentrations greater than the level of the standard shall not be ignored on the ground that they have less than complete data. Thus, in computing the 3-year average fourth maximum concentration, calendar years with less than 75% data completeness shall be included in the computation if the average annual fourth maximum 8-hour concentration is greater than the level of the standard.

(d) Comparisons with the primary and secondary ozone standards are demonstrated by examples 1 and 2 in paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2) respectively as follows:

(1) As shown in example 1, the primary and secondary standards are met at this monitoring site because the 3-year average of the annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations (i.e., 0.084 ppm) is less than or equal to 0.08 ppm. The data completeness requirement is also met because the average percent of days with valid ambient monitoring data is greater than 90%, and no single year has less than 75% data completeness.

---

**EXAMPLE 1. AMBIENT MONITORING SITE ATTAINING THE PRIMARY AND SECONDARY OZONE STANDARDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percent Valid Days</th>
<th>1st Highest Daily Max 8-hour Conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>2nd Highest Daily Max 8-hour Conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>3rd Highest Daily Max 8-hour Conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>4th Highest Daily Max 8-hour Conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>5th Highest Daily Max 8-hour Conc. (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>98%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) As shown in example 2, the primary and secondary standards are not met at this monitoring site because the 3-year average of the fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations (i.e., 0.093 ppm) is greater than 0.08 ppm. Note that the ozone concentration data for 1994 is used in these computations, even though the data capture is less than 75%, because the average fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average concentration is greater than 0.08 ppm.

**EXAMPLE 2. AMBIENT MONITORING SITE FAILING TO MEET THE PRIMARY AND SECONDARY OZONE STANDARDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percent Valid Days</th>
<th>1st Highest Daily Max 8-hour Conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>2nd Highest Daily Max 8-hour Conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>3rd Highest Daily Max 8-hour Conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>4th Highest Daily Max 8-hour Conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>5th Highest Daily Max 8-hour Conc. (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>89%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Design Values for Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone. The air quality design value at a monitoring site is defined as that concentration that when reduced to the level of the standard ensures that the site meets the standard. For a concentration-based standard, the air quality design value is simply the standard-related test statistic. Thus, for the primary and secondary ozone standards, the 3-year average annual fourth-highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentration is also the air quality design value for the site.


**APPENDIX J TO PART 50—REFERENCE METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF PARTICULATE MATTER AS PM<sub>10</sub> IN THE ATMOSPHERE**

1.0 Applicability.
1.1 This method provides for the measurement of the mass concentration of particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (µm) in ambient air for a 24-hour period for purposes of determining attainment and maintenance of the primary and secondary national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) prescribed in §50.6 of this chapter. The measurement process is nondestructive, and the PM$_{10}$ sample can be subjected to subsequent physical or chemical analyses. Quality assurance procedures and guidance are provided in part 58, appendices A and B, of this chapter and in References 1 and 2.

2.0 Principle.

2.1 An air sampler draws ambient air at a constant flow rate into a specially shaped inlet where the suspended particulate matter is inertially separated into one or more size fractions within the PM$_{10}$ size range. Each size fraction in the PM$_{10}$ size range is then collected on a separate filter over the specified sampling period. The particle size discrimination characteristics (sampling effectiveness and 50 percent cutpoint) of the sampler inlet are prescribed as performance specifications in part 53 of this chapter.

2.2 Each filter is weighed (after moisture equilibration) before and after use to determine the net weight (mass) gain due to collected PM$_{10}$. The total volume of air sampled, corrected to EPA reference conditions (25 °C, 101.3 kPa), is determined from the measured flow rate and the sampling time. The mass concentration of PM$_{10}$ in the ambient air is computed as the total mass of collected particles in the PM$_{10}$ size range divided by the volume of air sampled, and is expressed in micrograms per standard cubic meter (µg/std m$^3$). For PM$_{10}$ samples collected at temperatures and pressures significantly different from EPA reference conditions, these corrected concentrations sometimes differ substantially from actual concentrations (in micrograms per actual cubic meter), particularly at high elevations. Although not required, the actual PM$_{10}$ concentration can be calculated from the corrected concentration, using the average ambient temperature and barometric pressure during the sampling period.

2.3 A method based on this principle will be considered a reference method only if (a) the associated sampler meets the requirements specified in this appendix and the requirements in part 53 of this chapter, and (b) the method has been designated as a reference method in accordance with part 53 of this chapter.

3.0 Range.

3.1 The lower limit of the mass concentration range is determined by the repeatability of filter tare weights, assuming the nominal air sample volume for the sampler. For samplers having an automatic filter-changing mechanism, there may be no upper limit. For samplers that do not have an automatic filter-changing mechanism, the upper limit is determined by the filter mass loading beyond which the sampler no longer maintains the operating flow rate within specified limits due to increased pressure drop across the loaded filter. This upper limit cannot be specified precisely because it is a complex function of the ambient particle size distribution and type, humidity, filter type, and perhaps other factors. Nevertheless, all samplers should be capable of measuring 24-hour PM$_{10}$ mass concentrations of at least 300 µg/ std m$^3$ while maintaining the operating flow rate within the specified limits.

4.0 Precision.

4.1 The precision of PM$_{10}$ samplers must be 5 µg/m$^3$ for PM$_{10}$ concentrations below 80 µg/m$^3$ and 7 percent for PM$_{10}$ concentrations above 80 µg/m$^3$, as required by part 53 of this chapter, which prescribes a test procedure that determines the variation in the PM$_{10}$ concentration measurements of identical samplers under typical sampling conditions. Continual assessment of precision via colocated samplers is required by part 58 of this chapter for PM$_{10}$ samplers used in certain monitoring networks.

5.0 Accuracy.

5.1 Because the size of the particles making up ambient particulate matter varies over a wide range and the concentration of particles varies with particle size, it is difficult to define the absolute accuracy of PM$_{10}$ samplers. Part 53 of this chapter provides a specification for the sampling effectiveness of PM$_{10}$ samplers. This specification requires that the expected mass concentration calculated for a candidate PM$_{10}$ sampler, when sampling a specified particle size distribution, be within ±10 percent of that calculated for an ideal sampler whose sampling effectiveness is explicitly specified. Also, the particle size for 50 percent sampling effectiveness is required to be 10±0.5 micrometers. Other specifications related to accuracy apply to flow measurement and calibration, filter media, analytical (weighing) procedures, and artifact. The flow rate accuracy of PM$_{10}$ samplers used in certain monitoring networks is required by part 58 of this chapter to be assessed periodically via flow rate audits.

6.0 Potential Sources of Error.

6.1 Volatile Particles. Volatile particles collected on filters are often lost during shipment and/or storage of the filters prior to the post-sampling weighing. Although shipment or storage of loaded filters is sometimes unavoidable, filters should be reweighed as soon as practical to minimize these losses.

6.2 Artifacts. Positive errors in PM$_{10}$ concentration measurements may result from retention of gaseous species on filters. Such errors include the retention of sulfur...
dioxide and nitric acid. Retention of sulfur dioxide on filters, followed by oxidation to sulfate, is referred to as artifact sulfate formation, a phenomenon which increases with increasing filter alkalinity. Little or no artifact sulfate formation should occur using filters that meet the alkalinity specification in section 7.2.4. Artifact nitrate formation, resulting primarily from retention of nitric acid, occurs to varying degrees on many filter types, including glass fiber, cellulose ester, and many quartz fiber filters. Loss of true atmospheric particulate nitrate during or following sampling may also occur due to dissociation or chemical reaction. This phenomenon has been observed on Teflon filters and inferred for quartz fiber filters. The magnitude of nitrate artifact errors in PM$_{10}$ mass concentration measurements will vary with location and ambient temperature; however, for most sampling locations, these errors are expected to be small.

6.3 Humidity. The effects of ambient humidity on the sample are unavoidable. The filter equilibration procedure in section 9.0 is designed to minimize the effects of moisture on the filter medium.

6.4 Filter Handling. Careful handling of filters between presampling and postsampling weighings is necessary to avoid errors due to damaged filters or loss of collected particles from the filters. Use of a filter cartridge or cassette may reduce the magnitude of these errors. Filters must also meet the integrity specification in section 7.2.3.

6.5 Flow Rate Variation. Variations in the sampler’s operating flow rate may alter the particle size discrimination characteristics of the sampler inlet. The magnitude of this error will depend on the sensitivity of the inlet to variations in flow rate and on the particle distribution in the atmosphere during the sampling period. The use of a flow control device (section 7.1.3) is required to minimize this error.

6.6 Air Volume Determination. Errors in the air volume determination may result from errors in the flow rate and/or sampling time measurements. The flow control device serves to minimize errors in the flow rate determination, and an elapsed time meter (section 7.1.5) is required to minimize the error in the sampling time measurement.

7.0 Apparatus.

7.1 PM$_{10}$ Sampler.

7.1.1 The sampler shall be designed to:

d. Protect the filter and sampler from precipitation and prevent insects and other debris from being sampled.

e. Minimize air leaks that would cause error in the measurement of the air volume passing through the filter.

f. Discharge exhaust air at a sufficient distance from the sampler inlet to minimize the sampling of exhaust air.

g. Minimize the collection of dust from the supporting surface.

7.1.2 The sampler shall have a sample air inlet system that, when operated within a specified flow rate range, provides particle size discrimination characteristics meeting all of the applicable performance specifications prescribed in part 53 of this chapter. The sampler inlet shall show no significant wind direction dependence. The latter requirement can generally be satisfied by an inlet shape that is circularly symmetrical about a vertical axis.

7.1.3 The sampler shall have a flow control device capable of maintaining the sampler’s operating flow rate within the flow rate limits specified for the sampler inlet over normal variations in line voltage and filter pressure drop.

7.1.4 The sampler shall provide a means to measure the total flow rate during the sampling period. A continuous flow recorder is recommended but not required. The flow measurement device shall be accurate to ±2 percent.

7.1.5 A timing/control device capable of starting and stopping the sampler shall be used to obtain a sample collection period of 24 ±1 hr (1,440 ±60 min). An elapsed time meter, accurate to within 15 minutes, shall be used to measure sampling time. This meter is optional for samplers with continuous flow recorders if the sampling time measurement obtained by means of the recorder meets the ±15 minute accuracy specification.

7.1.6 The sampler shall have an associated operation or instruction manual as required by part 53 of this chapter which includes detailed instructions on the calibration, operation, and maintenance of the sampler.

7.2 Filters.

7.2.1 Filter Medium. No commercially available filter medium is ideal in all respects for all samplers. The user’s goals in sampling determine the relative importance of various filter characteristics (e.g., cost, ease of handling, physical and chemical characteristics, etc.) and, consequently, determine the choice among acceptable filters. Furthermore, certain types of filters may not be suitable for use with some samplers, particularly under heavy loading conditions (high mass concentrations), because of high or rapid increase in the filter flow resistance that would exceed the capability of the sampler’s flow control device. However, samplers equipped with automatic filter-changing
mechanisms may allow use of these types of filters. The specifications given below are minimum requirements to ensure acceptability of the filter medium for measurement of \( \text{PM}_{10} \) mass concentrations. Other filter evaluation criteria should be considered to meet individual sampling and analysis objectives.

7.2.2 Collection Efficiency. \( \pm 5 \text{ percent} \), as measured by the DOP test (ASTM-2986) with 0.3 \( \mu \text{m} \) particles at the sampler’s operating face velocity.

7.2.3 Integrity. \( \pm 5 \mu \text{g/m}^2 \) (assuming sampler’s nominal 24-hour air sample volume). Integrity is measured as the \( \text{PM}_{10} \) concentration equivalent corresponding to the average difference between the initial and the final weights of a random sample of test filters that are weighed and handled under actual or simulated sampling conditions, but have no air sample passed through them (i.e., filter blanks). As a minimum, the test procedure must include initial equilibration and weighing, installation on an inoperative sampler, removal from the sampler, and final equilibration and weighing.

7.2.4 Alkalinity. \(<25 \text{ microequivalents/gram of filter, as measured by the procedure given in Reference 13 following at least two months storage in a clean environment (free from contamination by acidic gases) at room temperature and humidity.}

7.3 Flow Rate Transfer Standard. The flow rate transfer standard must be suitable for the sampler’s operating flow rate and must be calibrated against a primary flow or volume standard that is traceable to the National Bureau of Standards (NBS). Establish a relationship (e.g., an equation or family of curves) such that traceability to the primary standard is accurate to within 2 percent over the expected range of ambient conditions (i.e., temperatures and pressures) under which the transfer standard will be used. Recalibrate the transfer standard periodically.

8.0 Calibration.

8.1 General Requirements.

8.1.1 Calibration of the sampler’s flow measurement device is required to establish traceability of subsequent flow measurements to a primary standard. A flow rate transfer standard calibrated against a primary flow or volume standard shall be used to calibrate or verify the accuracy of the sampler’s flow measurement device.

8.1.2 Particle size discrimination by inertial separation requires that specific air velocities be maintained in the sampler’s air inlet system. Therefore, the flow rate through the sampler’s inlet must be maintained throughout the sampling period within the design flow rate range specified by the manufacturer. Design flow rates are specified as actual volumetric flow rates, measured at existing conditions of temperature and pressure \( (Q_a) \). In contrast, mass concentrations of \( \text{PM}_{10} \) are computed using flow rates corrected to EPA reference conditions of temperature and pressure \( (Q_{ref}) \).

8.2 Flow Rate Calibration Procedure.

8.2.1 \( \text{PM}_{10} \) samplers employ various types of flow control and flow measurement devices. The specific procedure used for flow rate calibration or verification will vary depending on the type of flow controller and flow indicator employed. Calibration in terms of actual volumetric flow rates \( (Q_a) \) is generally recommended, but other measures of flow rate \( (e.g., Q_{ref}) \) may be used provided the requirements of section 8.1 are met. The general procedure given here is based on actual volumetric flow units \( (Q_a) \) and serves to illustrate the steps involved in the calibration of a \( \text{PM}_{10} \) sampler. Consult the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual and Reference 2 for specific guidance on calibration. Reference 14 provides additional information on the use of the commonly used measures of flow rate and their interrelationships.

8.2.2 Calibrate the flow rate transfer standard against a primary flow or volume standard traceable to NBS. Establish a calibration relationship \( (e.g., an equation or family of curves) \) such that traceability to the primary standard is accurate to within 2 percent over the expected range of ambient conditions \( (i.e., temperatures and pressures) \) under which the transfer standard will be used. Recalibrate the transfer standard periodically.

8.2.3 Following the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual, remove the sampler inlet and connect the flow rate transfer standard to the sampler such that the transfer standard accurately measures the sampler’s flow rate. Make sure there are no leaks between the transfer standard and the sampler.

8.2.4 Choose a minimum of three flow rates \( (\text{actual m}^3/\text{min}) \), spaced over the acceptable flow rate range specified for the inlet \( (\text{see 7.1.2}) \) that can be obtained by suitable adjustment of the sampler flow rate. In accordance with the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual, obtain or verify the calibration relationship between the flow rate \( (\text{actual m}^3/\text{min}) \) as indicated by the transfer standard and the sampler’s flow indicator response. Record the ambient temperature and barometric pressure. Temperature and pressure corrections to subsequent flow indicator readings may be required for certain types of
flow measurement devices. When such corrections are necessary, correction on an individual or daily basis is preferable. However, seasonal average temperature and average barometric pressure for the sampling site may be incorporated into the sampler calibration to avoid daily corrections. Consult the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual and Reference 2 for additional guidance.

8.2.5 Following calibration, verify that the sampler is operating at its design flow rate (actual m³/min) with a clean filter in place.

8.2.6 Replace the sampler inlet.

9.0 Procedure.

9.1 The sampler shall be operated in accordance with the specific guidance provided in the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual and in Reference 2. The general procedure given here assumes that the sampler’s flow rate calibration is based on flow rates at ambient conditions (Qₐ) and serves to illustrate the steps involved in the operation of a PM₁₀ sampler.

9.2 Inspect each filter for pinholes, particles, and other imperfections. Establish a filter information record and assign an identification number to each filter.

9.3 Equilibrate each filter in the conditioning environment (see 7.4) for at least 24 hours.

9.4 Following equilibration, weigh each filter and record the presampling weight with the filter identification number.

9.5 Install a preweighed filter in the sampler following the instructions provided in the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual.

9.6 Turn on the sampler and allow it to establish run-temperature conditions. Record the flow indicator reading and, if needed, the ambient temperature and barometric pressure. Determine the sampler flow rate (actual m³/min) in accordance with the instructions provided in the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual. NOTE—No onsite temperature or pressure measurements are necessary if the sampler’s flow indicator does not require temperature or pressure corrections or if seasonal average temperature and average barometric pressure for the sampling site are incorporated into the sampler calibration (see step 8.2.4). If individual or daily temperature and pressure corrections are required, ambient temperature and barometric pressure can be obtained by on-site measurements or from a nearby weather station. Barometric pressure readings obtained from airports must be station pressure, not corrected to sea level, and may need to be corrected for differences in elevation between the sampling site and the airport.

9.7 If the flow rate is outside the acceptable range specified by the manufacturer, check for leaks, and if necessary, adjust the flow rate to the specified setpoint. Stop the sampler.

9.8 Set the timer to start and stop the sampler at appropriate times. Set the elapsed time meter to zero or record the initial meter reading.

9.9 Record the sample information (site location or identification number, sample date, filter identification number, and sampler model and serial number).

9.10 Sample for 24±1 hours.

9.11 Determine and record the average flow rate (Qₛ) in actual m³/min for the sampling period in accordance with the instructions provided in the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual. Record the elapsed time meter final reading and, if needed, the average ambient temperature and barometric pressure for the sampling period (see note following step 9.6).

9.12 Carefully remove the filter from the sampler, following the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual. Touch only the outer edges of the filter.

9.13 Place the filter in a protective holder or container (e.g., petri dish, glassine envelope, or manila folder).

9.14 Record any factors such as meteorological conditions, construction activity, fires or dust storms, etc., that might be pertinent to the measurement on the filter information record.

9.15 Transport the exposed sample filter to the filter conditioning environment as soon as possible for equilibration and subsequent weighing.

9.16 Equilibrate the exposed filter in the conditioning environment for at least 24 hours under the same temperature and humidity conditions used for presampling filter equilibration (see 9.3).

9.17 Immediately after equilibration, reweigh the filter and record the postsampling weight with the filter identification number.

10.0 Sampler Maintenance.

10.1 The PM₁₀ sampler shall be maintained in strict accordance with the maintenance procedures specified in the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual.

11.0 Calculations.

11.1 Calculate the average flow rate over the sampling period corrected to EPA reference conditions as Qₑₕ. When the sampler’s flow indicator is calibrated in actual volumetric units (Qₛ), Qₑₕ is calculated as:

\[ Qₑₕ = Qₛ × \left( \frac{Pₑₕ}{Pₛ} \right) \left( \frac{Tₑₕ}{Tₛ} \right) \]

where

- \( Qₑₕ \) = average flow rate at EPA reference conditions, std m³/min;
- \( Qₛ \) = average flow rate at ambient conditions, m³/min;
- \( Pₑₕ \) = average barometric pressure during the sampling period or average barometric pressure for the sampling site, kPa (or mm Hg);
- \( Tₑₕ \) = average ambient temperature during the sampling period or seasonal average temperature;
Environmental Protection Agency

Plt. 50, App. K

ambient temperature for the sampling site, K;

\( T_{\text{std}} \) = standard temperature, defined as 298 K;

\( P_{\text{at}} \) = standard pressure, defined as 101.3 kPa

(or 760 mm Hg).

11.2 Calculate the total volume of air sampled as:

\[ V_{\text{std}} = \bar{Q}_{\text{std}} t \]

where

\( V_{\text{std}} \) = total air sampled in standard volume units, std m³;

\( t \) = sampling time, min.

11.3 Calculate the PM₁₀ concentration as:

\[ \text{PM}_{10} = \frac{(W_f - W_i) \times 10^6}{V_{\text{std}}} \]

where

\( \text{PM}_{10} \) = mass concentration of PM_{10}, µg/std m³;

\( W_f, W_i \) = final and initial weights of filter collecting PM_{10} particles, g;

\( g \) = conversion of g to µg.

Note: If more than one size fraction in the PM₀₁₀ size range is collected by the sampler, the sum of the net weight gain by each collection filter (\( W_f - W_i \)) is used to calculate the PM₁₀ mass concentration.

12.0 References.
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APPENDIX K TO PART 50—INTERPRETATION OF THE NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR PARTICULATE MATTER

1.0 General.

(a) This appendix explains the computations necessary for analyzing particulate matter data to determine attainment of the 24-hour and annual standards specified in 40 CFR 50.6. For the primary and secondary standards, particulate matter is measured in the ambient air as PM_{10} (particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers) by a reference method based on appendix J of this part and designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter. The required frequency of measurements is specified in part 58 of this chapter.

(b) The terms used in this appendix are defined as follows:

Average refers to an arithmetic mean. All particulate matter standards are expressed in terms of expected annual values: Expected number of exceedances per year for the 24-hour standards and expected annual arithmetic mean for the annual standards.

Daily value for PM₁₀ refers to the 24-hour average concentration of PM₉₀ calculated or measured from midnight to midnight (local time).

Exceedance means a daily value that is above the level of the 24-hour standard after
rounding to the nearest 10 \( \mu g/m^3 \) (i.e., values ending in 5 or greater are to be rounded up). The expected annual value is the number approached when the annual values from an increasing number of years are averaged, in the absence of long-term trends in emissions or meteorological conditions. Year refers to a calendar year.

Although the discussion in this appendix focuses on monitored data, the same principles apply to modeling data, subject to EPA modeling guidelines.

2.0 Attainment Determinations.

2.1 24-Hour Primary and Secondary Standards.

(a) Under 40 CFR 50.6(a) the 24-hour primary and secondary standards are attained when the expected number of exceedances per year at each monitoring site is less than or equal to one. In the simplest case, the number of expected exceedances at a site is determined by recording the number of exceedances in each calendar year and then averaging them over the past 3 calendar years. Situations in which 3 years of data are not available and possible adjustments for unusual events or trends are discussed in sections 2.3 and 2.4 of this appendix. Further, when data for a year are incomplete, it is necessary to compute an estimated number of exceedances for that year by adjusting the observed number of exceedances. This procedure, performed by calendar quarter, is described in section 3.0 of this appendix. The expected number of exceedances is then estimated by averaging the individual annual estimates for the past 3 years.

(b) The comparison with the allowable expected exceedance rate of one per year is made in terms of a number rounded to the nearest tenth (fractional values equal to or greater than 0.05 are to be rounded up; e.g., an exceedance rate of 1.05 would be rounded to 1.1, which is the lowest rate for nonattainment).

2.2 Annual Primary and Secondary Standards. Under 40 CFR 50.6(b), the annual primary and secondary standards are attained when the expected annual arithmetic mean \( \text{PM}_{10} \) concentration is less than or equal to the level of the standard. In the simplest case, the expected annual arithmetic mean is determined by averaging the annual arithmetic mean \( \text{PM}_{10} \) concentrations for the past 3 calendar years. Because of the potential for incomplete data and the possible seasonality in \( \text{PM}_{10} \) concentrations, the annual mean shall be calculated by averaging the four quarterly means of \( \text{PM}_{10} \) concentrations within the calendar year. The equations for calculating the annual arithmetic mean are given in section 4.0 of this appendix. Situations in which 3 years of data are not available and possible adjustments for unusual events or trends are discussed in sections 2.3 and 2.4 of this appendix. The expected annual arithmetic mean is rounded to the nearest 1 \( \mu g/m^3 \) before comparison with the annual standards (fractional values equal to or greater than 0.5 are to be rounded up).

2.3 Data Requirements.

(a) 40 CFR 58.13 specifies the required minimum frequency of sampling for \( \text{PM}_{10} \). For the purposes of making comparisons with the particulate matter standards, all data produced by National Air Monitoring Stations (NAMS), State and Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS) and other sites submitted to EPA in accordance with the part 58 requirements must be used, and a minimum of 75 percent of the scheduled \( \text{PM}_{10} \) samples per quarter are required.

(b) To demonstrate attainment of either the annual or 24-hour standards at a monitoring site, the monitor must provide sufficient data to perform the required calculations of sections 3.0 and 4.0 of this appendix. The amount of data required varies with the sampling frequency, data capture rate and the number of years of record. In all cases, 3 years of representative monitoring data that meet the 75 percent criterion of the previous paragraph should be utilized, if available, and would suffice. More than 3 years may be considered, if all additional representative years of data meeting the 75 percent criterion are utilized. Data not meeting these criteria may also suffice to show attainment; however, such exceptions will have to be approved by the appropriate Regional Administrator in accordance with EPA guidance.

(c) There are less stringent data requirements for showing that a monitor has failed an attainment test and thus has recorded a violation of the particulate matter standards. Although it is generally necessary to meet the minimum 75 percent data capture requirement per quarter to use the computational equations described in sections 3.0 and 4.0 of this appendix, this criterion does not apply when less data is sufficient to unambiguously establish nonattainment. The following examples illustrate how nonattainment can be demonstrated when a site fails to meet the completeness criteria. Nonattainment of the 24-hour primary standards can be established by the observed annual number of exceedances (e.g., four observed exceedances in a single year), or by the estimated number of exceedances derived from the observed number of exceedances and the required number of scheduled samples (e.g., two observed exceedances with every other day sampling). Nonattainment of the annual standards can be demonstrated on the basis of quarterly mean concentrations developed from observed data combined with one-half the minimum detectable concentration substituted for missing values. In both cases, expected annual values must exceed the levels allowed by the standards.

2.4 Adjustment for Exceptional Events and Trends.
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(a) An exceptional event is an uncontrollable event caused by natural sources of particulate matter or an event that is not expected to recur at a given location. Inclusion of such a value in the computation of exceedances or averages could result in inappropriate estimates of their respective expected annual values. To reduce the effect of unusual events, more than 3 years of representative data may be used. Alternatively, other techniques, such as the use of statistical models or the use of historical data, could be considered so that the expected annual values are not inappropriately biased by unrepresentative data. In the simplest case, if 3 years of data are available under stable emission conditions, this data should be used. In the event of a trend or shift in emission patterns, either the most recent representative year(s) could be used or statistical techniques or models could be used in conjunction with previous years of data to adjust for trends. The use of less than 3 years of data, and any adjustments are subject to the approval of the appropriate Regional Administrator in accordance with EPA guidance.

(b) In cases where long-term trends in emissions and air quality are evident, mathematical techniques should be applied to account for the trends to ensure that the expected annual values are not inappropriately biased by unrepresentative data. In the simplest case, if 3 years of data are available under stable emission conditions, this data should be used. In the event of a trend or shift in emission patterns, either the most recent representative year(s) could be used or statistical techniques or models could be used in conjunction with previous years of data to adjust for trends. The use of less than 3 years of data, and any adjustments are subject to the approval of the appropriate Regional Administrator in accordance with EPA guidance.

3.0 Computational Equations for the 24-hour Standards.

3.1 Estimating Exceedances for a Year.

(a) If PM\textsubscript{10} sampling is scheduled less frequently than every day, or if some scheduled samples are missed, a PM\textsubscript{10} value will not be available for each day of the year. To account for the possible effect of incomplete data, an adjustment must be made to the data collected at each monitoring location to estimate the number of exceedances in a calendar year. In this adjustment, the assumption is made that the fraction of missing values that would have exceeded the standard level is identical to the fraction of measured values above this level. This computation is to be made for all sites that are scheduled to monitor throughout the entire year and meet the minimum data requirements of section 2.3 of this appendix. Because of possible seasonal imbalance, this adjustment shall be applied on a quarterly basis. The estimate of the expected number of exceedances for the quarter is equal to the observed number of exceedances plus an increment associated with the missing data. The following equation must be used for these computations:

\[
e_q = v_q + \left[ \frac{v_q}{n_q} \times (N_q - n_q) \right] = v_q \times \frac{N_q}{n_q}
\]

where:
- \(e_q\) = the estimated number of exceedances for calendar quarter \(q\);
- \(v_q\) = the observed number of exceedances for calendar quarter \(q\);
- \(N_q\) = the number of days in calendar quarter \(q\);
- \(n_q\) = the number of days in calendar quarter \(q\) with PM\textsubscript{10} data; and
- \(q\) = the index for calendar quarter, \(q=1, 2, 3\), or 4.

(b) The estimated number of exceedances for a calendar quarter must be rounded to the nearest hundredth (fractional values equal or greater than 0.05 must be rounded up). The estimated number of exceedances is then estimated by averaging the individual annual estimates for the most recent 3 or more representative years of data. The expected number of exceedances must be rounded to one decimal place (fractional values equal to or greater than 0.05 are to be rounded up).

(c) The estimated number of exceedances for the year, \(e\), is the sum of the estimates for each calendar quarter.

\[
e = \sum_{q=1}^{4} e_q
\]

(d) The estimated number of exceedances for a single year must be rounded to one decimal place (fractional values equal to or greater than 0.05 are to be rounded up). The estimated number of exceedances is then estimated by averaging the individual annual estimates for the most recent 3 or more representative years of data. The fractional values equal to or greater than 0.05 are to be rounded up.

(e) The adjustment for incomplete data will not be necessary for monitoring or modeling data which constitutes a complete record, i.e., 365 days per year.

(f) To reduce the potential for overestimating the number of expected exceedances, the correction for missing data will not be required for a calendar quarter in which the first observed exceedance has occurred if:

1. There was only one exceedance in the calendar quarter;
2. Everyday sampling is subsequently initiated and maintained for 4 calendar quarters in accordance with 40 CFR 58.13; and
3. Data capture of 75 percent is achieved during the required period of everyday sampling. In addition, if the first exceedance is observed in a calendar quarter in which the monitor is already sampling every day, no adjustment for missing data will be made to the first exceedance if a 75 percent data capture rate was achieved in the quarter in which it was observed.
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Example 1

a. During a particular calendar quarter, 39 out of a possible 92 samples were recorded, with one observed exceedance of the 24-hour standard. Using Equation 1, the estimated number of exceedances for the quarter is:

\[ e_q = 1 \times \frac{92}{39} = 2.359 \text{ or } 2.36. \]

b. If the estimated exceedances for the other 3 calendar quarters in the year were 2.9, 0.0 and 0.0, then, using Equation 2, the estimated number of exceedances for the year is 2.36 = 2.30 = 0.0 = 0.0 which equals 4.66 or 4.7. If no exceedances were observed for the 2 previous years, then the expected number of exceedances is estimated by: \((1/3) \times (4.7 - 0 - 0) = 1.57\) or 1.6. Since 1.6 exceeds the allowable number of expected exceedances, this monitoring site would fail the attainment test.

Example 2

In this example, everyday sampling was initiated following the first observed exceedance as required by 40 CFR 58.13. Accordingly, the first observed exceedance would not be adjusted for incomplete sampling. During the next three quarters, 1.2 exceedances were estimated. In this case, the estimated exceedances for the year would be 1.0 = 1.2 = 0.0 = 0.0 which equals 2.2. If, as before, no exceedances were observed for the two previous years, then the estimated exceedances for the 3-year period would then be \((1/3) \times (2.2 - 0 - 0) = 0.7\), and the monitoring site would fail the attainment test.

3.2 Adjustments for Non-Scheduled Sampling Days.

(a) If a systematic sampling schedule is used and sampling is performed on days in addition to the days specified by the systematic sampling schedule, e.g., during episodes of high pollution, then an adjustment must be made in the equation for the estimation of exceedances. Such an adjustment is needed to eliminate the bias in the estimate of the quarterly and annual number of exceedances that would occur if the chance of an exceedance is different for scheduled than for nonscheduled days, as would be the case with episodic sampling.

(b) The required adjustment treats the systematic sampling schedule as a stratified sampling plan. If the period from one scheduled sample until the day preceding the next scheduled sample is defined as a sampling stratum, then there is one stratum for each scheduled sampling day. An average number of observed exceedances is computed for each of these sampling strata. With nonscheduled sampling days, the estimated number of exceedances is defined as:

\[ e_q = \left( n_q / N_q \right) \times \sum_{j=1}^{m_q} \left( v_j / k_j \right) \]

where:

- \( e_q \) = the estimated number of exceedances for the quarter;
- \( N_q \) = the number of days in the quarter;
- \( m_q \) = the number of strata with samples during the quarter;
- \( v_j \) = the number of observed exceedances in stratum \( j \); and
- \( k_j \) = the number of actual samples in stratum \( j \).

(c) Note that if only one sample value is recorded in each stratum, then Equation 3 reduces to Equation 1.

Example 3

A monitoring site samples according to a systematic sampling schedule of one sample every 6 days, for a total of 15 scheduled samples in a quarter out of a total of 92 possible samples. During one 6-day period, potential episode levels of PM_{10} were suspected, so 5 additional samples were taken. One of the regular scheduled samples was missed, so a total of 19 samples in 14 sampling strata were measured. The one 6-day sampling stratum with 6 samples recorded 2 exceedances. The remainder of the quarter with one sample per stratum recorded zero exceedances. Using Equation 3, the estimated number of exceedances for the quarter is:

\[ e_q = (92/14) \times (2/6) = 1.57 \text{ or } 1.6. \]

Since 1.6 exceeds the allowable number of expected exceedances, this monitoring site would fail the attainment test as required by 40 CFR 58.13. According to the episodic sampling schedule, e.g., during episodes of PM_{10} levels, the monitoring site would fail the attainment test.

4.0 Computational Equations for Annual Standards.

4.1 Calculation of the Annual Arithmetic Mean. (a) An annual arithmetic mean value for PM_{10} is determined by averaging the quarterly means for the 4 calendar quarters of the year. The following equation is to be used for calculation of the mean for a calendar quarter:

\[ \bar{x}_q = \left( 1/N_q \right) \times \sum_{i=1}^{n_q} x_i \]

where:

- \( \bar{x}_q \) = the quarterly mean concentration for quarter \( q = 1, 2, 3, \text{ or } 4 \);
- \( n_q \) = the number of samples in the quarter, and
- \( x_i \) = the ith concentration value recorded in the quarter.

(b) The quarterly mean, expressed in \( \mu g/m^3 \), must be rounded to the nearest tenth (fractional values of 0.05 should be rounded up).
The annual mean is calculated by using the following equation:

\[ \bar{x} = \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \times \sum_{q=1}^{4} \bar{x}_q \]

where:
- \( \bar{x} \) = the annual mean; and
- \( \bar{x}_q \) = the mean for calendar quarter \( q \).

(d) The average of quarterly means must be rounded to the nearest tenth (fractional values of 0.05 should be rounded up).

(e) The use of quarterly averages to compute the annual average will not be necessary for monitoring or modeling data which results in a complete record, i.e., 365 days per year.

(f) The expected annual mean is estimated as the average of three or more annual means. This multi-year estimate, expressed in \( \mu g/m^3 \), shall be rounded to the nearest integer for comparison with the annual standard (fractional values of 0.5 should be rounded up).

Example 4

Using Equation 4, the quarterly means are calculated for each calendar quarter. If the quarterly means are 52.4, 75.3, 82.1, and 63.2 \( \mu g/m^3 \), then the annual mean is:

\[ \bar{x} = \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \times (52.4 + 75.3 + 82.1 + 63.2) = 68.25 \text{ or } 68.3. \]

4.2 Adjustments for Non-scheduled Sampling Days. (a) An adjustment in the calculation of the annual mean is needed if sampling is performed on days in addition to the days specified by the systematic sampling schedule. For the same reasons given in the discussion of estimated exceedances, under section 3.2 of this appendix, the quarterly averages would be calculated by using the following equation:

\[ \bar{x}_q = \left( \frac{1}{m_q} \right) \times \sum_{j=1}^{m_q} \frac{k_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{k_j} x_{ij}} \]

where:
- \( \bar{x}_q \) = the quarterly mean concentration for quarter \( q \), \( q = 1, 2, 3, \text{ or } 4 \);
- \( x_{ij} \) = the \( i \)th concentration value recorded in stratum \( j \);
- \( k_j \) = the number of actual samples in stratum \( j \); and
- \( m_q \) = the number of strata with data in the quarter.

(b) If one sample value is recorded in each stratum, Equation 6 reduces to a simple arithmetic average of the observed values as described by Equation 4.

Example 5

a. During one calendar quarter, 9 observations were recorded. These samples were distributed among 7 sampling strata, with 3 observations in one stratum. The concentrations of the 3 observations in the single stratum were 202, 242, and 180 \( \mu g/m^3 \). The remaining 6 observed concentrations were 56, 68, 73, 92, 120, and 156 \( \mu g/m^3 \). Applying the weighting factors specified in Equation 6, the quarterly mean is:

\[ \bar{x}_q = \left( \frac{1}{7} \right) \times [(202 + 242 + 180) = 155 + 68 = 73 + 92 = 120 + 155] = 110.1 \]

b. Although 24-hour measurements are rounded to the nearest 10 \( \mu g/m^3 \) for determinations of exceedances of the 24-hour standard, note that these values are rounded to the nearest 1 \( \mu g/m^3 \) for the calculation of means.

through an inertial particle size separator (impactor) where the suspended particulate matter in the PM$_{2.5}$ size range is separated for collection on a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) filter used, the capacity of the sampler flow rate accuracy and bias of individual sampling period. The air sampler and other aspects of this reference method are specified either explicitly in this appendix or generally with reference to other applicable regulations or quality assurance guidance.

2.2 Each filter is weighed (after moisture and temperature conditioning) before and after sample collection to determine the net gain due to collected PM$_{2.5}$. The total volume of air sampled is determined by the sampler from the measured flow rate at actual ambient temperature and pressure and the sampling time. The mass concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ in the ambient air is computed as the total mass of collected particles in the PM$_{2.5}$ size range divided by the actual volume of air sampled, and is expressed in micrograms per cubic meter of air ($\mu g/m^3$).

3.0 PM$_{2.5}$ Measurement Range.

3.1 Lower concentration limit. The lower detection limit of the mass concentration measurement range is estimated to be approximately 2 $\mu g/m^3$, based on noted mass changes in field blanks in conjunction with the 24 m$^3$ nominal total air sample volume specified for the 24-hour sample.

3.2 Upper concentration limit. The upper limit of the mass concentration range is determined by the filter mass loading beyond which the sampler can no longer maintain the operating flow rate within specified limits due to increased pressure drop across the loaded filter. This upper limit cannot be specified precisely because it is a complex function of the ambient particle size distribution and type, humidity, the individual filter used, the capacity of the sampler flow rate control system, and perhaps other factors. Nevertheless, all samplers are estimated to be capable of measuring 24-hour PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations of at least 290 $\mu g/m^3$, while maintaining the operating flow rate within the specified limits.

3.3 Sample period. The required sample period for PM$_{2.5}$ concentration measurements by this method shall be 1,380 to 1,560 minutes (23 to 25 hours). However, when a sample period is less than 1,380 minutes, the measured concentration (as determined by the collected PM$_{2.5}$ mass divided by the actual sampled air volume), multiplied by the actual number of minutes in the sample period and divided by 1,440, may be used as if it were a valid concentration measurement for the specific purpose of determining a violation of the NAAQS. This value assumes that the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is zero for the remaining portion of the sample period and therefore represents the minimum concentration that could have been measured for the full 24-hour sample period. Accordingly, if the value thus calculated is high enough to be an exceedance, such an exceedance would be a valid exceedance for the sample period. When reported to AIRS, this data value should receive a special code to identify it as not to be used for other purposes or used for other purposes.

4.0 Accuracy.

4.1 Because the size and volatility of the particles making up ambient particulate matter vary over a wide range and the mass concentration of particles varies with particle size, it is difficult to define the accuracy of PM$_{2.5}$ measurements in an absolute sense. The accuracy of PM$_{2.5}$ measurements is therefore defined in a relative sense, referenced to measurements provided by this reference method. Accordingly, accuracy shall be defined as the degree of agreement between a subject field PM$_{2.5}$ sampler and a collocated PM$_{2.5}$ reference method audit sampler operating simultaneously at the monitoring site location of the subject sampler and includes both random (precision) and systematic (bias) errors. The requirements for this field sampler audit procedure are set forth in part 58, appendix A of this chapter.

4.2 Measurement system bias. Results of collocated measurements where the duplicate sampler is a reference method sampler are used to assess a portion of the measurement system bias according to the schedule and procedure specified in part 58, appendix A of this chapter.

4.3 Audits with reference method samplers to determine system accuracy and bias. According to the schedule and procedure specified in part 58, appendix A of this chapter, a reference method sampler is required to be located at each of selected PM$_{2.5}$ SLAMS sites as a duplicate sampler. The results from the primary sampler and the duplicate reference method sampler are used to calculate accuracy of the primary sampler on a quarterly basis, bias of the primary sampler on an annual basis, and bias of a single reporting organization on an annual basis. Reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix provides additional information and guidance on these reference method audits.

4.4 Flow rate accuracy and bias. Part 58, appendix A of this chapter requires that the flow rate accuracy and bias of individual PM$_{2.5}$ samplers used in SLAMS monitoring networks be assessed periodically via audits of each sampler’s operational flow rate. In addition, part 58, appendix A of this chapter requires that flow rate bias for each reference and equivalent method operated by each reporting organization be assessed quarterly and annually. Reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix provides additional information and guidance on flow rate accuracy audits and calculations for accuracy and bias.

5.0 Precision. A data quality objective of 10 percent coefficient of variation or better has
been established for the operational precision of PM$_{2.5}$ monitoring data.

5.1 Tests to establish initial operational precision for each reference method sampler are specified as part of the requirements for designation as a reference method under §53.58 of this chapter.

5.2 Measurement System Precision. Collocated sampler results, where the duplicate sampler is not a reference method sampler but is a sampler of the same designated method as the primary sampler, are used to assess measurement system precision according to the schedule and procedure specified in part 58, appendix A of this chapter. Part 58, appendix A of this chapter requires that these collocated sampler measurements be used to calculate quarterly and annual precision estimates for each primary sampler and for each designated method employed by each reporting organization. Reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix provides additional information and guidance on this requirement.

6.0 Filter for PM$_{2.5}$ Sample Collection. Any filter manufacturer or vendor who sells or offers to sell filters specifically identified for use with this PM$_{2.5}$ reference method shall certify that the required number of filters from each lot of filters offered for sale as such have been tested as specified in this section 6.0 and meet all of the following design and performance specifications.

6.1 Size. Circular, 46.2 mm diameter ±0.25 mm.

6.2 Medium. Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE Teflon), with integral support ring.

6.3 Support ring. Polymethylpentene (PMP) or equivalent inert material, 0.38 ±0.04 mm thick, outer diameter 46.2 mm ±0.25 mm, and width of 3.68 mm (±0.00, -0.51 mm).

6.4 Pore size. 2 µm as measured by ASTM F 516-94.

6.5 Filter thickness. 30 to 50 µm.

6.6 Maximum pressure drop (clean filter). 30 cm H$_2$O column @ 16.67 L/min clean air flow.

6.7 Minimum moisture pickup. Not more than 10 mg weight increase after 24-hour exposure to air of 40 percent relative humidity.

6.8 Collection efficiency. Greater than 99.7 percent, as measured by the DOP test (ASTM D 2366-91) with 0.3 µm particles at the sampler’s operating face velocity.

6.9 Filter weight stability. Filter weight loss shall be less than 20 µg, as measured in each of the following two tests specified in sections 6.9.1 and 6.9.2 of this appendix. The following conditions apply to both of these tests: Filter weight loss shall be the average difference between the initial and the final filter weights of a random sample of test filters selected from each lot prior to sale. The number of filters tested shall be not less than 0.1 percent of the filters of each manufacturing lot, or 10 filters, whichever is greater. The filters shall be weighed under laboratory conditions and shall have had no air sample passed through them, i.e., filter blanks. Each test procedure must include initial conditioning and weighing, the test, and final conditioning and weighing. Conditioning and weighing shall be in accordance with sections 8.0 through 8.2 of this appendix and general guidance provided in reference 2 of section 13.0 of this appendix.

6.9.1 Test for loose, surface particle contamination. After the initial weighing, install each test filter, in turn, in a filter cassette (Figures L-27, L-28, and L-29 of this appendix) and drop the cassette from a height of 25 cm to a flat hard surface, such as a particle-free wood bench. Repeat two times, for a total of three drop tests for each test filter. Remove the test filter from the cassette and weigh the filter. The average change in weight must be less than 20 µg.

6.9.2 Test for temperature stability. After weighing each filter, place the test filters in a drying oven set at 40 °C ±2 °C for not less than 48 hours. Remove, condition, and reweigh each test filter. The average change in weight must be less than 20 µg.

6.10 Alkalinity. Less than 25 microequivalentsgram of filter, as measured by the guidance given in reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix.

6.11 Supplemental requirements. Although not required for determination of PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration under this reference method, additional specifications for the filter must be developed by users who intend to subject PM$_{2.5}$ filter samples to subsequent chemical analysis. These supplemental specifications include background chemical contamination of the filter and any other filter parameters that may be required by the method of chemical analysis. All such supplemental filter specifications must be compatible with and secondary to the primary filter specifications given in this section 6.0 of this appendix.

7.0 PM$_{2.5}$ Sampler.

7.1 Configuration. The sampler shall consist of a sample air inlet, downtube, particle size separator (impactor), filter holder assembly, air pump and flow rate control system, flow rate measurement device, ambient and filter temperature monitoring system, barometric pressure measurement system, timer, outdoor environmental enclosure, and suitable mechanical, electrical, or electronic control capability to meet or exceed the design and functional performance as specified in this section 7.0 of this appendix. The performance specifications require that the sampler:

(a) Provide automatic control of sample volumetric flow rate and other operational parameters.
(b) Monitor these operational parameters as well as ambient temperature and pressure.
(c) Provide this information to the sampler operator at the end of each sample period in
7.2 Nature of specifications. The PM$_{1.0}$ sampler is specified by a combination of design and performance requirements. The sample inlet, downtube, particle size discriminator, filter cassette, and the internal configuration of the filter holder assembly are specified exclusively by design figures and associated mechanical dimensions, tolerances, materials, surface finishes, assembly instructions, and other necessary specifications. All other aspects of the sampler are specified by required operational function and performance, and the design of these other aspects (including the design of the lower portion of the filter holder assembly) is optional, subject to acceptable operational performance. Test procedures to demonstrate compliance with both the design and performance requirements are set forth in subpart E of part 53 of this chapter.

7.3 Design specifications. Except as indicated in this section 7.3, these components must be manufactured or reproduced exactly as specified, in an ISO 9001-certified/registered facility, with registration initially approved and subsequently maintained during the period of manufacture. See §53.11(c) of this chapter for the definition of an ISO-registered facility. Minor modifications or variances to one or more components that clearly would not affect the aerodynamic performance of the inlet, downtube, impactor, or filter cassette will be considered for specific approval. Any such proposed modifications shall be described and submitted to the EPA for specific individual acceptability either as part of a reference or equivalent method application under part 53 of this chapter or in writing in advance of such an intended application under part 53 of this chapter.

7.3.1 Sample inlet assembly. The sample inlet assembly, consisting of the inlet, downtube, and impactor, or filter cassette will be considered for specific approval. Any such proposed modifications shall be described and submitted to the EPA for specific individual acceptability either as part of a reference or equivalent method application under part 53 of this chapter or in writing in advance of such an intended application under part 53 of this chapter.

7.3.2 Inlet. The sample inlet shall be fabricated as indicated in Figures L–2 through L–18 of this appendix and shall meet all associated requirements. A portion of this assembly shall also be subject to the maximum overall sampler leak rate specification under section 7.4.6 of this appendix.

7.3.3 Downtube. The downtube shall be fabricated as indicated in Figure L–19 of this appendix and shall meet all associated requirements.

7.3.4 Impactor. 7.3.4.1 The impactor (particle size separator) shall be fabricated as indicated in Figures L–20 through L–24 of this appendix and shall meet all associated requirements. Following the manufacture and finishing of each upper impactor housing (Figure L–21 of this appendix), the dimension of the impaction jet must be verified by the manufacturer using Class ZZ go/no-go plug gauges that are traceable to NIST.

7.3.4.2 Impactor filter specifications:
   (a) Size. Circular, 35 to 37 mm diameter.
   (b) Medium. Boroisulate glass fiber, without binder.
   (c) Pore size. 1 to 1.5 micrometer, as measured by ASTM F 316-80.
   (d) Thickness. 300 to 500 micrometers.
   (e) Density. 1.06 to 1.07 g/cm$^3$ at 25 °C.
   (f) Viscosity. 36 to 40 centistokes at 25 °C.
   (g) Vapor pressure. Maximum 2 x 10$^{-8}$ mm Hg at 25 °C.
   (h) Density. 1.06 to 1.07 g/cm$^3$ at 25 °C.
   (i) Quantity. 0.1 mL ±0.1 mL.
   (j) Filter holder assembly. The sampler shall have a sample filter holder assembly to adapt and seal to the down tube and to hold and seal the specified filter, under section 6.0 of this appendix, in the sample air stream in a horizontal position below the downtube such that the sample air passes downward through the filter at a uniform face velocity. The upper portion of this assembly shall be fabricated as indicated in Figures L–25 and L–26 of this appendix and shall accept and seal with the filter cassette, which shall be fabricated as indicated in Figures L–27 through L–29 of this appendix.
   (a) The lower portion of the filter holder assembly shall be of a design and construction that:
      (1) Mates with the upper portion of the assembly to complete the filter holder assembly.
      (2) Completes both the external air seal and the internal filter cassette seal such that all seals are reliable over repeated filter changings, and
      (3) Facilitates repeated changing of the filter cassette by the sampler operator.
   (b) Leak-test performance requirements for the filter holder assembly are included in section 7.4.6 of this appendix.
   (c) If additional or multiple filters are stored in the sampler as part of an automatic sequential sample capability, all such filters, unless they are currently and directly installed in a sampling channel or sampling configuration (either active or inactive), shall be covered or (preferably) sealed in such a way as to:
    (1) Preclude significant exposure of the filter to possible contamination or accumulation of dust, insects, or other material that may be present in the ambient air, sampler, or sampler ventilation air during storage periods either before or after sampling; and
    (2) To minimize loss of volatile or semi-volatile PM sample components during storage of the filter following the sample period.
   7.3.6 Flow rate measurement adapter. A flow rate measurement adapter as specified in
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Figure L–30 of this appendix shall be furnished with each sampler.

7.3.7 Surface finish. All internal surfaces exposed to sample air prior to the filter shall be treated electrolytically in a sulfuric acid bath to produce a clear, uniform anodized surface finish of not less than 1000 mg/ft² (1.08 mg/cm²) in accordance with military standard specification (mil. spec.) 8625F, Type II, Class 1 in reference 4 of section 13.0 of this appendix. This anodic surface coating shall not be dyed or pigmented. Following anodization, the surfaces shall be sealed by immersion in boiling deionized water for not less than 15 minutes. Section 53.51(d)(2) of this chapter should also be consulted.

7.3.8 Sampling height. The sampler shall be equipped with legs, a stand, or other means to maintain the sampler in a stable, upright position and such that the center of the sample air entrance to the inlet, during sample collection, is maintained in a horizontal plane and is 2.0 ±0.2 meters above the floor or other horizontal supporting surface. Suitable bolts, holton, brackets, tie-downs, or other means should be provided to facilitate mechanically securing the sample to the supporting surface to prevent toppling of the sampler due to wind.

7.4 Performance specifications.

7.4.1 Sample flow rate. Proper operation of the impactor requires that specific air velocities be maintained through the device. Therefore, the design sample air flow rate through the inlet shall be 16.67 L/min (1.000 m³/hour) measured as actual volumetric flow rate at the temperature and pressure of the sample air entering the inlet.

7.4.2 Sample air flow rate control system. The sampler shall have a sample air flow rate control system which shall be capable of providing a sample air volumetric flow rate within the specified range, under section 7.4.1 of this appendix, for the specified filter, under section 6.0 of this appendix, at any atmospheric conditions specified, under section 7.4.7 of this appendix, at a filter pressure drop equal to that of a clean filter plus up to 75 cm water column (55 mm Hg), and over the specified range of supply line voltage, under section 7.4.15.1 of this appendix. This flow control system shall allow for operator adjustment of the operational flow rate of the sampler over a range of at least ±15 percent of the flow rate specified in section 7.4.1 of this appendix.

7.4.3 Sample flow rate regulation. The sample flow rate shall be regulated such that for the specified filter, under section 6.0 of this appendix, at any atmospheric conditions specified, under section 7.4.7 of this appendix, at a filter pressure drop equal to that of a clean filter plus up to 75 cm water column (55 mm Hg), and over the specified range of supply line voltage, under section 7.4.15.1 of this appendix, the flow rate is regulated as follows:

7.4.3.1 The volumetric flow rate, measured or averaged over intervals of not more than 5 minutes over a 24-hour period, shall not vary more than ±5 percent from the specified 16.67 L/min flow rate over the entire sample period.

7.4.3.2 The coefficient of variation (sample standard deviation divided by the mean) of the flow rate, measured over a 24-hour period, shall not be greater than 2 percent.

7.4.3.3 The amplitude of short-term flow rate pulsations, such as may originate from some types of vacuum pumps, shall be attenuated such that they do not cause significant flow measurement error or affect the collection of particles on the particle collection filter.

7.4.4 Flow rate cut off. The sampler’s sample air flow rate control system shall terminate sample collection and stop all sample flow for the remainder of the sample period in the event that the sample flow rate deviates by more than 10 percent from the sampler design flow rate specified in section 7.4.1 of this appendix for more than 60 seconds. However, this sampler cut-off provision shall not apply during periods when the sampler is inoperative due to a temporary power interruption, and the elapsed time of the inoperative period shall not be included in the total sample time measured and reported by the sampler, under section 7.4.13 of this appendix.

7.4.5 Flow rate measurement.

7.4.5.1 The sampler shall provide a means to measure and indicate the instantaneous sample air flow rate, which shall be measured as volumetric flow rate at the temperature and pressure of the sample air entering the inlet, with an accuracy of ±2 percent. The measured flow rate shall be available for display to the sampler operator at any time in either sampling or standby modes, and the measurement shall be updated at least every 30 seconds. The sampler shall also provide a simple means by which the sampler operator can manually start the sample flow temporarily during non-sampling modes of operation, for the purpose of checking the sample flow rate or the flow rate measurement system.

7.4.5.2 During each sample period, the sampler’s flow rate measurement system shall automatically monitor the sample volumetric flow rate, obtaining flow rate measurements at intervals of not greater than 30 seconds.

(a) Using these interval flow rate measurements, the sampler shall determine or calculate the following flow-related parameters, scaled in the specified engineering units:

1. The instantaneous or interval-average flow rate, in L/min.

2. The value of the average sample flow rate for the sample period, in L/min.

3. The value of the coefficient of variation (sample standard deviation divided by the
average) of the sample flow rate for the sample period, in percent.

(4) The occurrence of any time interval during the sample period in which the measured sample flow rate exceeds a range of ±5 percent of the average flow rate for the sample period for more than 5 minutes, in which case a warning flag indicator shall be set.

(5) The value of the integrated total sample volume for the sample period, in m³.

(b) Determination or calculation of these values shall properly exclude periods when the sampler is inoperative due to temporary interruption of electrical power, under section 7.4.33 of this appendix, or flow rate cut off, under section 7.4.4 of this appendix.

(c) These parameters shall be accessible to the sampler operator as specified in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix. In addition, it is strongly encouraged that the flow rate for each 5-minute interval during the sample period be available to the operator following the end of the sample period.

7.4.6 Leak test capability.

7.4.6.1 External leakage. The sampler shall include an external air leak-test capability consisting of components, accessory hardware, operator interface controls, a written procedure in the associated Operation/Instruction Manual, under section 7.4.18 of this appendix, and all other necessary functional capability to permit and facilitate the sampler operator to conveniently carry out a leak test of the sampler at a field monitoring site without additional equipment. The sampler components to be subjected to this leak test include all components and their interconnections in which external air leakage would or could cause an error in the sampler’s measurement of the total volume of sample air that passes through the sample filter.

(a) The suggested technique for the operator to use for this leak test is as follows:

(1) Remove the sampler inlet and installs the flow rate measurement adapter supplied with the sampler, under section 7.3.6 of this appendix.

(2) Close the valve on the flow rate measurement adapter and use the sampler air pump to draw a partial vacuum in the sampler, including (at least) the impactor, filter holder assembly (filter in place), flow measurement device, and interconnections between these devices, of at least 55 mm Hg (75 cm water column), measured at a location downstream of the filter holder assembly.

(3) Plug the flow system downstream of these components to isolate the components under vacuum from the pump, such as with a built-in valve.

(4) Stop the pump.

(5) Measure the trapped vacuum in the sampler with a built-in pressure measuring device.

(6) (i) Measure the vacuum in the sampler with the built-in pressure measuring device again at a later time at least 10 minutes after the first pressure measurement.

(ii) CAUTION: Following completion of the test, the adaptor valve should be opened slowly to limit the flow rate of air into the sampler. Excessive air flow rate may blow oil out of the impactor.

(7) Upon completion of the test, open the adaptor valve, remove the adaptor and plugs, and restore the sampler to the normal operating configuration.

(b) The associated leak test procedure shall require that for successful passage of this test, the difference between the two pressure measurements shall not be greater than the number of mm of Hg specified for the sampler by the manufacturer, based on the actual internal volume of the sampler, that indicates a leak of less than 80 mL/min.

(c) Variations of the suggested technique or an alternative external leak test technique may be required for samplers whose design or configuration would make the suggested technique impossible or impractical.

The specific proposed external leak test procedure, or particularly an alternative leak test technique, proposed for a particular candidate sampler may be described and submitted to the EPA for specific individual acceptability either as part of a reference or an equivalent method application under part 53 of this chapter or in writing in advance of such an intended application under part 53 of this chapter.

7.4.6.2 Internal, filter bypass leakage. The sampler shall include an internal, filter bypass leak-check capability consisting of components, accessory hardware, operator interface controls, a written procedure in the Operation/Instruction Manual, and all other necessary functional capability to permit and facilitate the sampler operator to conveniently carry out a test for internal filter bypass leakage in the sampler at a field monitoring site without additional equipment. The purpose of the test is to determine that any portion of the sample flow rate that leaks past the sample filter without passing through the filter is insignificant relative to the design flow rate for the sampler.

(a) The suggested technique for the operator to use for this leak test is as follows:

(1) Carry out an external leak test as provided under section 7.4.6.1 of this appendix which indicates successful passage of the prescribed external leak test.

(2) Install a flow-impervious membrane material in the filter cassette, either with or without a filter, as appropriate, which effectively prevents air flow through the filter.

(3) Use the sampler air pump to draw a partial vacuum in the sampler, downstream of the filter holder assembly, of at least 55 mm Hg (75 cm water column).

(4) Plug the flow system downstream of the filter holder to isolate the components under
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vacuum from the pump, such as with a built-in valve.
(5) Stop the pump.
(6) Measure the trapped vacuum in the sampler with a built-in pressure measuring device.
(7) Measure the vacuum in the sampler with the built-in pressure measuring device again at a later time at least 10 minutes after the first pressure measurement.
(b) The associated leak test procedure shall require that for successful passage of this test, the difference between the two pressure measurements shall not be greater than the number of mm of Hg specified for the sampler by the manufacturer, based on the actual internal volume of the portion of the sampler under vacuum, that indicates a leak of less than 80 mL/min.
(c) Variations of the suggested technique or an alternative internal, filter bypass leak test technique may be required for samplers whose design or configuration would make the suggested technique impossible or impractical. The specific proposed internal leak test procedure, or particularly an alternative internal leak test technique proposed for a particular candidate sampler may be described and submitted to the EPA for specific individual acceptability either as part of a reference or equivalent method application under part 53 of this chapter or in writing in advance of such intended application under part 53 of this chapter.

7.4.7 Range of operational conditions. The sampler is required to operate properly and meet all requirements specified in this appendix over the following operational ranges.

7.4.7.1 Ambient temperature. -30 to =+45 °C. (Note: Although for practical reasons, the temperature range over which samplers are required to be tested under part 53 of this chapter is -20 to =+40 °C, the sampler shall be designed to operate properly over this wider temperature range.)
7.4.7.2 Ambient relative humidity. 0 to 100 percent.
7.4.7.3 Barometric pressure range. 600 to 800 mm Hg.
7.4.8 Ambient temperature sensor. The sampler shall have capability to measure the temperature of the ambient air surrounding the sampler over the range of -30 to =+45 °C, with a resolution of 0.1 °C and accuracy of ±2.0 °C. referenced as described in reference 3 in section 13.9 of this appendix, with and without maximum solar insolation.
7.4.8.1 The ambient temperature sensor shall be mounted external to the sampler enclosure and shall have a passive, naturally ventilated sun shield. The sensor shall be located such that the entire sun shield is at least 5 cm above the horizontal plane of the sampler case or enclosure (disregarding the inlet and downtube) and external to the vertical plane of the nearest side or protruberance of the sampler case or enclosure. The maximum temperature measurement error of the ambient temperature sensor system shall be less than 1.6 °C at 1 m/s wind speed and 1000 W/m² solar radiation intensity.
7.4.8.2 The ambient temperature sensor shall be of such a design and mounted in such a way as to facilitate its convenient dismounting and immersion in a liquid for calibration and comparison to the filter temperature sensor, under section 7.4.11 of this appendix.
7.4.8.3 This ambient temperature measurement shall be updated at least every 30 seconds during both sampling and standby (non-sampling) modes of operation. A visual indication of the current (most recent) value of the ambient temperature measurement, updated at least every 30 seconds, shall be available to the sampler operator during both sampling and standby (non-sampling) modes of operation, as specified in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix.
7.4.8.4 This ambient temperature measurement shall be used for the purpose of monitoring filter temperature deviation from ambient temperature, as required by section 7.4.11 of this appendix, and may be used for purposes of effecting filter temperature control, under section 7.4.10 of this appendix, or computation of volumetric flow rate, under sections 7.4.1 to 7.4.5 of this appendix, if appropriate.
7.4.8.5 Following the end of each sample period, the sampler shall report the maximum, minimum, and average temperature for the sample period, as specified in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix.
7.4.9 Ambient barometric sensor. The sampler shall have capability to measure the barometric pressure of the air surrounding the sampler over a range of 600 to 800 mm Hg referenced as described in reference 3 in section 13.9 of this appendix; also see part 53, subpart E of this chapter. This barometric pressure measurement shall have a resolution of 5 mm Hg and an accuracy of ±10 mm Hg and shall be updated at least every 30 seconds. A visual indication of the value of the current (most recent) barometric pressure measurement, updated at least every 30 seconds, shall be available to the sampler operator during both sampling and standby (non-sampling) modes of operation, as specified in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix. This barometric pressure measurement may be used for purposes of computation of volumetric flow rate, under sections 7.4.1 to 7.4.5 of this appendix, if appropriate. Following the end of a sample period, the sampler shall report the maximum, minimum, and mean barometric pressures for the sample period, as specified in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix.
7.4.10 Filter temperature control (sampling and post-sampling). The sampler shall provide a means to limit the temperature rise of the sample filter (all sample filters for sequential samplers) over the range of -30 to +45 °C during both sampling and non-sampling periods. The post-sampling period is the non-sampling period between the end of the active sampling period and the time of retrieval of the sample filter by the sampler operator. For sequential samplers, the post-sampling period is the non-sampling period between the end of the active sampling period and the time of retrieval of the sample filter by the sampler operator, during both sampling and non-sampling modes, as specified in the filter temperature measurement system. The filter temperature measurement system must demonstrate agreement, within 1 °C, with a test temperature sensor located within 1 cm of the center of the filter downstream of the filter during both sampling and non-sampling modes, as specified in part 53, subpart E of this chapter. This filter temperature measurement shall have a resolution of 0.1 °C and accuracy of ±1.0 °C, referenced as described in reference 3 in section 13.0 of this appendix. This temperature sensor shall be of such a design and mounted in such a way as to facilitate its reasonably convenient dismounting and immersion in a liquid for calibration and comparison to the ambient temperature sensor under section 7.4.8 of this appendix.

7.4.11 Filter temperature sensor(s).

7.4.11.1 The sampler shall have the capability to monitor the temperature of the sample filter (all sample filters for sequential samplers) over the range of -30 to +45 °C during both sampling and non-sampling periods. While the exact location of this temperature sensor is not explicitly specified, the filter temperature measurement system must demonstrate agreement, within 1 °C, with a test temperature sensor located within 1 cm of the center of the filter downstream of the filter during both sampling and non-sampling modes, as specified in the filter temperature measurement test described in part 53, subpart E of this chapter. This filter temperature measurement shall have a resolution of 0.1 °C and accuracy of ±1.0 °C, referenced as described in reference 3 in section 13.0 of this appendix. This temperature sensor shall be of such a design and mounted in such a way as to facilitate its reasonably convenient dismounting and immersion in a liquid for calibration and comparison to the ambient temperature sensor under section 7.4.8 of this appendix.

7.4.11.2 The filter temperature measurement shall be updated at least every 30 seconds during both sampling and standby (non-sampling) modes of operation. A visual indication of the current (most recent) value of the filter temperature measurement, updated at least every 30 seconds, shall be available to the sampler operator during both sampling and standby (non-sampling) modes of operation, as specified in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix. For sequential samplers, the temperature of each filter shall be measured individually unless it can be shown, as specified in the filter temperature measurement test described in §53.57 of this chapter, that the temperature of each filter can be represented by fewer temperature sensors.

7.4.11.3 The sampler shall also provide a warning flag indicator following any occurrence in which the filter temperature (any filter temperature for sequential samplers) exceeds the ambient temperature by more than 5 °C for more than 30 consecutive minutes during either the sampling or post-sampling periods of operation, as specified in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix, under section 7.4.12 of this appendix, regarding sample validity when a warning flag occurs. It is further recommended (not required) that the sampler be capable of recording the maximum differential between the measured filter temperature and the ambient temperature and its time and date of occurrence during both sampling and post-sampling (non-sampling) modes of operation and providing for those data to be accessible to the sampler operator following the end of the sample period, as suggested in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix.

7.4.12 Clock/timer system.

(a) The sampler shall have a programmable real-time clock timing/control system that:

1. Is capable of maintaining local time and date, including year, month, day-of-month, hour, minute, and second to an accuracy of ±1.0 minute per month.

2. Provides a visual indication of the current system time, including year, month, day-of-month, hour, and minute, updated at least each minute, for operator verification.

3. Provides appropriate operator controls for setting the correct local time and date.

4. Is capable of starting the sample collection period and sample air flow at a specific, operator-settable time and date, and stopping the sample air flow and terminating the sampler collection period 24 hours (1440 minutes) later, or at a specific, operator-settable time and date.

(b) These start and stop times shall be readily settable by the sampler operator to within ±1.0 minute. The system shall provide a visual indication of the current start and stop time settings, readable to ±1.0 minute, for verification by the operator, and the start and stop times shall also be available via the data output port, as specified in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix. Upon execution of a programmed sample period start, the sampler shall automatically reset all sample period information and warning flag indications pertaining to a previous sample period. Refer also to section 7.4.15.4 of this appendix regarding retention of current date and time and programmed start and stop times during a temporary electrical power interruption.

7.4.13 Sample time determination. The sampler shall be capable of determining the elapsed sample collection time for each PM2.5 sample, accurate to within ±1.0 minute, measured as the time between the start of the sampling period, under section 7.4.12 of this appendix and the termination of the sample period, under section 7.4.12 of this appendix or section 7.4.4 of this appendix. This elapsed sample time shall not include periods when the sampler is inoperative due to a temporary interruption of electrical power, under section 7.4.15.4 of this appendix. In the event that the elapsed sample time determined for the sample period is not within the
7.4.14 Outdoor environmental enclosure. The sampler shall have an outdoor enclosure (or enclosures) suitable to protect the filter and other non-weatherproof components of the sampler from precipitation, wind, dust, extremes of temperature and humidity; to help maintain temperature control of the filter (or filters, for sequential samplers); and to provide reasonable security for sampler components and settings.

7.4.15 Electrical power supply.

7.4.15.1 The sampler shall be operable and function as specified herein when operated on an electrical power supply voltage of 105 to 125 volts AC (RMS) at a frequency of 60 Hz. Optional operation as specified at additional power supply voltages and/or frequencies shall not be precluded by this requirement.

7.4.15.2 The design and construction of the sampler shall comply with all applicable National Electrical Code and Underwriters Laboratories electrical safety requirements.

7.4.15.3 The design of all electrical and electronic controls shall be such as to provide reasonable resistance to interference or malfunction from ordinary or typical levels of stray electromagnetic fields (EMF) as may be found at various monitoring sites and from typical levels of electrical transients or electronic noise as may occur or occasionally be present on various electrical power lines.

7.4.15.4 In the event of temporary loss of electrical supply power to the sampler, the sampler shall not be required to sample or provide other specified functions during such loss of power, except that the internal clock/timer system shall maintain its local time and date setting within ±1 minute per week, and the sampler shall retain all other time and programmable settings and all data required to be available to the sampler operator following each sample period for at least 7 days without electrical supply power. When electrical power is absent at the operator-set time for starting a sample period or is interrupted during a sample period, the sampler shall automatically start or resume sampling when electrical power is restored, if such restoration of power occurs before the operator-set stop time for the sample period.

7.4.15.5 The sampler shall have the capability to record and retain a record of the year, month, day-of-month, hour, and minute of the start of each power interruption of more than 1 minute duration, up to 10 such power interruptions per sample period. (More than 10 such power interruptions shall invalidate the sample, except where an exceedance is measured, under section 3.3 of this appendix.) The sampler shall provide for these power interruption data to be available to the sampler operator following the end of the sample period, as specified in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix.

7.4.16 Control devices and operator interface.

The sampler shall have mechanical, electrical, or electronic controls, control devices, electrical or electronic circuits as necessary to provide the timing, flow rate measurement and control, temperature control, data storage and computation, operator interface, and other functions specified. Operator-accessible controls, data displays, and interface devices shall be designed to be simple, straightforward, reliable, and easy to learn, read, and operate under field conditions. The sampler shall have provision for operator input and storage of up to 64 characters of numeric (or alphanumeric) data for purposes of site, sampler, and sample identification. This information shall be available to the sampler operator for verification and change and for output via the data output port along with other data following the end of a sample period, as specified in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix. All data required to be available to the operator following a sample collection period or obtained during standby mode in a post-sampling period shall be retained by the sampler until reset, either manually by the operator or automatically by the sampler upon initiation of a new sample collection period.

7.4.17 Data output port requirement.

The sampler shall have a standard RS-232C data output connection through which digital data may be exported to an external data storage or transmission device. All information which is required to be available at the end of each sample period shall be accessible through this data output connection. The information that shall be accessible though this output port is summarized in table L-1 of section 7.4.19 of this appendix. Since no specific format for the output data is provided, the sampler manufacturer or vendor shall make available to sampler purchasers appropriate computer software capable of receiving exported sampler data and correctly translating the data into a standard spreadsheet format and optionally any other formats as may be useful to sampler users. This requirement shall not preclude the sampler from offering other types of output connections in addition to the required RS-232C port.

7.4.18 Operation/instruction manual. The sampler shall include an associated comprehensive operation or instruction manual, as required by part 53 of this chapter, which includes detailed operating instructions on
the setup, operation, calibration, and maintenance of the sampler. This manual shall provide complete and detailed descriptions of the operational and calibration procedures prescribed for field use of the sampler and all instruments utilized as part of this reference method. The manual shall include adequate warning of potential safety hazards that may result from normal use or malfunction of the method and a description of necessary safety precautions. The manual shall also include a clear description of all procedures pertaining to installation, operation, periodic and corrective maintenance, and troubleshooting, and shall include parts identification diagrams.

7.4.19 Data reporting requirements. The various information that the sampler is required to provide and how it is to be provided is summarized in the following table L-1.

### TABLE L–1—SUMMARY OF INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED BY THE SAMPLER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information to be provided</th>
<th>Appendix L section reference</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Format</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate, 30-second maximum interval.</td>
<td>7.4.5.1</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate, average for the sample period.</td>
<td>7.4.5.2</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate, CV, for sample period.</td>
<td>7.4.5.2</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate, 5-min., average out of spec. (FLAG±).</td>
<td>7.4.5.2</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample volume, total.</td>
<td>7.4.5.2</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, ambient, 30-second interval.</td>
<td>7.4.8</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baro pressure, ambient, 30-second interval.</td>
<td>7.4.9</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter temperature, 30-second interval.</td>
<td>7.4.11</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter temperature differential, 30-second interval, out of spec. (FLAG±).</td>
<td>7.4.11</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date and time</td>
<td>7.4.12</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample start and stop time settings.</td>
<td>7.4.12</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample period start time.</td>
<td>7.4.12</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elapsed sample time.</td>
<td>7.4.13</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elapsed sample time, out of spec. (FLAG±).</td>
<td>7.4.13</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.0 Filter Weighing. See reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix, for additional, more detailed guidance.

8.1 Analytical balance. The analytical balance used to weigh filters must be suitable for weighing the type and size of filters specified, under section 6.0 of this appendix, and have a readability of ±1 µg. The balance shall be calibrated as specified by the manufacturer at installation and recalibrated immediately prior to each weighing session. See reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix for additional guidance.

8.2 Filter conditioning. All sample filters used shall be conditioned immediately before both the pre- and post-sampling weighings as specified below. See reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix for additional guidance.

8.2.1 Mean temperature. 20 - 23 °C.

8.2.2 Temperature control. ±2 °C over 24 hours.

8.2.3 Mean humidity. Generally, 30-40 percent relative humidity; however, where it can be shown that the mean ambient relative humidity during sampling is less than 30 percent, conditioning is permissible at a mean relative humidity within ±5 relative humidity percent of the mean ambient relative humidity during sampling, but not less than 20 percent.

8.2.4 Humidity control. ±5 relative humidity percent over 24 hours.

8.2.5 Conditioning time. Not less than 24 hours.

8.3 Weighing procedure.

8.3.1 New filters should be placed in the conditioning environment immediately upon arrival and stored there until the pre-sampling weighing. See reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix for additional guidance.

8.3.2 The analytical balance shall be located in the same controlled environment in which the filters are conditioned. The filters shall be weighed immediately following the conditioning period without intermediate or transient exposure to other conditions or environments.

8.3.3 Filters must be conditioned at the same conditions (humidity within ±5 relative humidity percent) before both the pre- and post-sampling weighings.

8.3.4 Both the pre- and post-sampling weighings should be carried out on the same analytical balance, using an effective technique to neutralize static charges on the filter, under reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix. If possible, both weighings should be carried out by the same analyst.

8.3.5 The pre-sampling (tare) weighing shall be within 30 days of the sampling period.

8.3.6 The post-sampling conditioning and weighing shall be completed within 240 hours (10 days) after the end of the sample period, unless the filter sample is maintained at 4 °C or less during the entire time between retrieval from the sampler and the start of the conditioning, in which case the period shall not exceed 30 days. Reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix has additional guidance on transport of cooled filters.
8.3.7 Filter blanks.
8.3.7.1 New field blank filters shall be weighed along with the pre-sampling (tare) weighing of each lot of PM$_{10}$ filters. These blank filters shall be weighed at the sampling site, installed in the sampler, retrieved from the sampler without sampling, and re-weighed as a quality control check.

8.3.7.2 New laboratory blank filters shall be weighed along with the pre-sampling (tare) weighing of each set of PM$_{10}$ filters. These laboratory blank filters should remain in the laboratory in protective containers during the field sampling and should be reweighed as a quality control check.

8.3.8 Additional guidance for proper filter weighing and related quality assurance activities is provided in reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix.

9.0 Calibration. Reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix contains additional guidance.

9.1 General requirements.

9.1.1 Multipoint calibration and single-point verification of the sampler’s flow rate measurement device must be performed periodically to establish and maintain traceability of subsequent flow measurements to a flow rate standard.

9.1.2 An authoritative flow rate standard shall be used for calibrating or verifying the sampler’s flow rate measurement device with an accuracy of ±2 percent. The flow rate standard shall be a separate, stand-alone device designed to connect to the flow rate measurement adapter, Figure L–30 of this appendix. This flow rate standard must have its own certification and be traceable to a National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) primary standard for volume or flow rate. If adjustments to the sampler’s flow rate measurement system calibration are to be made in conjunction with an audit of the sampler’s flow measurement system, such adjustments shall be made following the audit. Reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix contains additional guidance.

9.1.3 The sampler’s flow rate measurement device shall be re-calibrated after electromechanical maintenance or transport of the sampler.

9.2 Flow rate calibration/verification procedure.

9.2.1 PM$_{10}$ samplers may employ various types of flow control and flow measurement devices. The specific procedure used for calibration or verification of the flow rate measurement device will vary depending on the type of flow rate controller and flow rate measurement employed. Calibration shall be in terms of actual ambient volumetric flow rates (Q$_v$), measured at the sampler’s inlet downtube. The generic procedure given here serves to illustrate the general steps involved in the calibration of a PM$_{10}$ sampler. The sampler operation/instruction manual required under section 7.4.18 of this appendix and the Quality Assurance Handbook in reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix provide more specific and detailed guidance for calibration.

9.2.2 The flow rate standard used for flow rate calibration shall have its own certification and be traceable to a NIST primary standard for volume or flow rate. A calibration relationship for the flow rate standard, e.g., an equation, curve, or family of curves relating actual flow rate (Q$_v$) to the flow rate indicator reading, shall be established that is accurate to within 2 percent over the expected range of ambient temperatures and pressures at which the flow rate standard may be used. The flow rate standard must be re-calibrated or re-verified at least annually.

9.2.3 The sampler flow rate measurement device shall be calibrated or verified by re-moving the sampler inlet and connecting the flow rate standard to the sampler’s downtube in accordance with the operation/instruction manual, such that the flow rate standard accurately measures the sampler’s flow rate. The sampler operator shall first carry out a sampler leak check and confirm that the sampler passes the leak test and then verify that no leaks exist between the flow rate standard and the sampler.

9.2.4 The calibration relationship between the flow rate (in actual L/min) indicated by the flow rate standard and by the sampler’s flow rate measurement device shall be established or verified in accordance with the sampler operation/instruction manual. Temperature and pressure corrections to the flow rate indicated by the flow rate standard may be required for certain types of flow rate standards. Calibration of the sampler’s flow rate measurement device shall consist of at least three separate flow rate measurements (multipoint calibration) evenly spaced within the range of ±10 percent to ±10 percent of the sampler’s operational flow rate, section 7.4.1 of this appendix. Verification of the sampler’s flow rate shall consist of one flow rate measurement at the sampler’s operational flow rate. The sampler operation/instruction manual and reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix provide additional guidance.

9.2.5 If during a flow rate verification the reading of the sampler’s flow rate indicator or measurement device differs by ±4 percent or more from the flow rate measured by the flow rate standard, a new multipoint calibration shall be performed and the flow rate verification must then be repeated.

9.2.6 Following the calibration or verification, the flow rate standard shall be removed from the sampler and the sampler inlet shall be reinstalled. Then the sampler’s normal operating flow rate (in L/min) shall be determined with a clean filter in place. If the flow rate indicated by the sampler differs by ±2 percent or more from the required sampler flow rate, the sampler flow rate must be...
adjusted to the required flow rate, under section 7.4.1 of this appendix.

9.3 Periodic calibration or verification of the calibration of the sampler’s ambient temperature, filter temperature, and barometric pressure measurement systems is also required. Reference 3 of section 13.0 of this appendix contains additional guidance.

10.0 PM2.5 Measurement Procedure. The detailed procedure for obtaining valid PM2.5 measurements with each specific sampler designated as part of a reference method for PM2.5 under part 53 of this chapter shall be provided in the sampler-specific operation or instruction manual required by section 7.4.18 of this appendix. Supplemental guidance is provided in section 2.12 of the Quality Assurance Handbook listed in reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix. The generic procedure given here serves to illustrate the general steps involved in the PM2.5 sample collection and measurement, using a PM2.5 reference method sampler.

10.1 The sampler shall be set up, calibrated, and operated in accordance with the specific, detailed guidance provided in the specific sampler’s operation or instruction manual and in accordance with a specific quality assurance program developed and established by the user, based on applicable supplementary guidance provided in reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix.

10.2 Each new sample filter shall be inspected for correct type and size and for pinholes, particles, and other imperfections. Unacceptable filters should be discarded. A unique identification number shall be assigned to each filter, and an information record shall be established for each filter. If the filter identification number is not or cannot be marked directly on the filter, alternative means, such as a number-identified storage container, must be established to maintain positive filter identification.

10.3 Each filter shall be conditioned in the conditioning environment in accordance with the requirements specified in section 8.2 of this appendix.

10.4 Following conditioning, each filter shall be weighed in accordance with the requirements specified in section 8.0 of this appendix and the presampling weight recorded with the filter identification number.

10.5 A numbered and preweighed filter shall be installed in the sampler following the instructions provided in the sampler operation or instruction manual.

10.6 The sampler shall be checked and prepared for sample collection in accordance with instructions provided in the sampler operation or instruction manual and with the specific quality assurance program established for the sampler by the user.

10.7 The sampler’s timer shall be set to start the sample collection at the beginning of the desired sample period and stop the sample collection 24 hours later.

10.8 Information related to the sample collection (site location or identification number, sample date, filter identification number, and sampler model and serial number) shall be recorded and, if appropriate, entered into the sampler.

10.9 The sampler shall be allowed to collect the PM2.5 sample during the set 24-hour time period.

10.10 Within 96 hours of the end of the sample collection period, the filter, while still contained in the filter cassette, shall be carefully removed from the sampler, following the procedure provided in the sampler operation or instruction manual and the quality assurance program, and placed in a protective container. The protective container shall contain no loose material that could be transferred to the filter. The protective container shall hold the filter cassette securely such that the cover shall not come in contact with the filter’s surfaces. Reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix contains additional information.

10.11 The total sample volume in actual m³ for the sampling period and the elapsed sample time shall be obtained from the sampler and recorded in accordance with the instructions provided in the sampler operation or instruction manual. All sampler warning flag indications and other information required by the local quality assurance program shall also be recorded.

10.12 All factors related to the validity or representativeness of the sample, such as sampler tampering or malfunctions, unusual meteorological conditions, construction activity, fires or dust storms, etc., shall be recorded as required by the local quality assurance program. The occurrence of a flag warning during a sample period shall not necessarily indicate an invalid sample but rather shall indicate the need for specific review of the QC data by a quality assurance officer to determine sample validity.

10.13 After retrieval from the sampler, the exposed filter containing the PM2.5 sample should be transported to the filter conditioning environment as soon as possible ideally to arrive at the conditioning environment within 24 hours for conditioning and subsequent weighing. During the period between filter retrieval from the sampler and the start of the conditioning, the filter shall be maintained as cool as practical and continuously protected from exposure to temperatures over 25 °C. See section 8.3.6 of this appendix regarding time limits for completing the post-sampling weighing. See reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix for additional guidance on transporting filter samplers to the conditioning and weighing laboratory.

10.14. The exposed filter containing the PM2.5 sample shall be re-conditioned in the conditioning environment in accordance
with the requirements specified in section 8.2 of this appendix.

10.15. The filter shall be reweighed immediately after conditioning in accordance with the requirements specified in section 8.0 of this appendix, and the postsampling weight shall be recorded with the filter identification number.

10.16 The PM$_{2.5}$ concentration shall be calculated as specified in section 12.0 of this appendix.

11.0 Sampler Maintenance. The sampler shall be maintained as described by the sampler’s manufacturer in the sampler-specific operation or instruction manual required under section 7.4.18 of this appendix and in accordance with the specific quality assurance program developed and established by the user based on applicable supplementary guidance provided in reference 2 in section 13.0 of this appendix.

12.0 Calculations

12.1 (a) The PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is calculated as:

\[ \text{PM}_{2.5} = \frac{(W_f - W_i)}{V} \]

where:

- \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \) = mass concentration of PM$_{2.5}$, \( \mu \text{g/m}^3 \);
- \( W_f \) = final weight, \( \mu \text{g} \);
- \( W_i \) = initial weight, \( \mu \text{g} \);
- \( V \) = total air volume sampled in actual volume units, as provided by the sampler, m$^3$.

13.0 References.


14.0 Figures L-1 through L-30 to Appendix L.
Environmental Protection Agency

Pt. 50, App. L

FIGURE L-1. PM2.5 SAMPLER, ASSEMBLY

ATTACH WATER COLLECTOR HARDWARE

FOR EXAMPLE: 1/4" NPT GLASS JAR
BRASS, LONG NIPPLE, 1/4" MNPT X 2" LONG
BRASS, BUSHING, 1/4" NPT X 3/8" MNPT
BRASS, PLUG, 1/4" MNPT

DOTTED LINE INDICATES TOP OF SAMPLER CASE

TOLERANCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOL.</th>
<th>PLUS</th>
<th>MINUS</th>
<th>ancial</th>
<th>ANGULAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H. 0.010</td>
<td>H. 0.020</td>
<td>H. 0.054</td>
<td>H. 15'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN CHENS.
Environmental Protection Agency
Pt. 50, App. L

Figure L11. 10-micron inlet lower.
FIGURE I-12. 10-MICRON NOZZLE ENTRY SECTION

NOTES:
1) BEND RADIUS TO BE MINIMUM PER COMMERCIAL SPINNING STANDARDS
2) BREAK ALL SHARP EDGES
3) MATERIAL IS SPUN ALUMINUM 5061-T6.
   POST-HEAT TREATED TO -74
4) CLEAR ANODIZE

TOLERANCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIMITS</th>
<th>SPCS</th>
<th>TRIM</th>
<th>NOISE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+0.010</td>
<td>+0.005</td>
<td>+0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE L-15. 10-MICRON OUTER TUBE

NOTES:
(1) BREAK ALL SHARP EDGES
(2) CLEAR ANODIZE
(3) TUBING 2.75 O.D. X 2.38 I.D.
(4) FINISH #63

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOLERANCES</th>
<th>.005</th>
<th>.005</th>
<th>.000</th>
<th>.000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALL DIMENSIONS ARE INCHES</td>
<td>+.002</td>
<td>-.000</td>
<td>+.000</td>
<td>-.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE L-17. 10-MICRON TARGET PLATE

NOTES:
(1) BREAK ALL SHARP EDGES
(2) MATERIAL IS ALUMINUM 6061-T6
(3) CLEAR ANODIZE
(4) FINISH #63

TOLERANCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOL.</th>
<th>HOLE</th>
<th>HOLE</th>
<th>HOLE</th>
<th>HOLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALL DIMENSIONS ARE INCHES
FIGURE L-20. 2.5-MICRON IMPACTOR ASSEMBLY

VIEWS ARE A THREATMENT OF DUPLICATE PARTS; CUSTOMER L.L.C.

USE OF THE SAME PARTS MAY RESULT IN DETERMINATION OF SPA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5 MICRON IMPACTOR ASSEMBLY (PART 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5 MICRON IMPACTOR ASSEMBLY (PART 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5 MICRON IMPACTOR ASSEMBLY (PART 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5 MICRON IMPACTOR ASSEMBLY (PART 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5 MICRON IMPACTOR ASSEMBLY (PART 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.5 MICRON IMPACTOR ASSEMBLY (PART 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5 MICRON IMPACTOR ASSEMBLY (PART 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.5 MICRON IMPACTOR ASSEMBLY (PART 8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOLERANCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VIEW</th>
<th>TOLERANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS.
FIGURE L-24. 2.5-MICRON IMPACTOR HOUSING, LOWER

NOTES:
(1) MATERIAL IS ALUMINUM 6061-T6
(2) CLEAR ANODIZE
(3) BOXED DIMENSIONS ARE OPTIONAL
(4) THIS PART AND FILTER HOLDER, TOP (FIGURE L-26) MAY BE MACHINED AS ONE PIECE. INTERNAL SURFACES AND DIMENSIONS MUST BE AS SHOWN

TOLERANCES

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+/- .010</td>
<td>+/- .001</td>
<td>+/- .004</td>
<td>+/- .005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALL DIMENSIONS ARE INCHES
APPENDIX M TO PART 50—REFERENCE METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF PARTICULATE MATTER AS PM$_{10}$ IN THE ATMOSPHERE

1.0 Applicability.

1.1 This method provides for the measurement of the mass concentration of particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM$_{10}$) in ambient air over a 24-hour period for purposes of determining attainment and maintenance of the primary and secondary national ambient air quality standards for particulate matter specified in §50.6 of this chapter. The measurement process is nondestructive, and the PM$_{10}$ sample can be subjected to subsequent physical or chemical analyses. Quality assurance procedures and guidance are provided in part 58, Appendices A and B of this chapter and in references 1 and 2 of section 12.0 of this appendix.

2.0 Principle.

2.1 An air sampler draws ambient air at a constant flow rate into a specially shaped inlet where the suspended particulate matter is inertially separated into one or more size fractions within the PM$_{10}$ size range. Each size fraction in the PM$_{10}$ size range is then collected on a separate filter over the specified sampling period. The particle size discrimination characteristics (sampling effectiveness and 50 percent cutpoint) of the sampler inlet are prescribed as performance specifications in part 53 of this chapter.

2.2 Each filter is weighed (after moisture equilibration) before and after use to determine the net weight (mass) gain due to collected PM$_{10}$. The total volume of air sampled, measured at the actual ambient temperature and pressure, is determined from the measured flow rate and the sampling time. The mass concentration of PM$_{10}$ in the ambient air is computed as the total mass of collected particles in the PM$_{10}$ size range divided by the volume of air sampled, and is expressed in micrograms per actual cubic meter (µg/m$^3$).

2.3 A method based on this principle will be considered a reference method only if the associated sampler meets the requirements specified in this appendix and the requirements in part 53 of this chapter, and the method has been designated as a reference method in accordance with part 53 of this chapter.

3.0 Range.

3.1 The lower limit of the mass concentration range is determined by the repeatability of filter tare weights, assuming the nominal air sample volume for the sampler. For samplers having an automatic filter-changing mechanism, there may be no upper limit. For samplers that do not have an automatic filter-changing mechanism, the upper limit is determined by the filter mass loading beyond which the sampler no longer maintains the operating flow rate within specified limits due to increased pressure drop across the loaded filter. This upper limit cannot be specified precisely because it is a complex function of the ambient particle size distribution and type, humidity, filter type, and perhaps other factors. Nevertheless, all samplers should be capable of measuring 24-hour PM$_{10}$ mass concentrations of at least 300 µg/m$^3$ while maintaining the operating flow rate within the specified limits.

4.0 Precision.

4.1 The precision of PM$_{10}$ samplers must be 5 µg/m$^3$ for PM$_{10}$ concentrations below 80 µg/m$^3$ and 7 percent for PM$_{10}$ concentrations above 80 µg/m$^3$, as required by part 53 of this chapter, which prescribes a test procedure that determines the variation in the PM$_{10}$ concentration measurements of identical samplers under typical sampling conditions. Continual assessment of precision via colocated samplers is required by part 58 of this chapter for PM$_{10}$ samplers used in certain monitoring networks.

5.0 Accuracy.

5.1 Because the size of the particles making up ambient particulate matter varies over a wide range and the concentration of particles varies with particle size, it is difficult to define the absolute accuracy of PM$_{10}$ samplers. Part 53 of this chapter provides a specification for the sampling effectiveness of PM$_{10}$ samplers. This specification requires that the expected mass concentration calculated for a candidate PM$_{10}$ sampler, when sampling a specified particle size distribution, be within ±10 percent of that calculated for an ideal sampler whose sampling effectiveness is explicitly specified. Also, the particle size for 50 percent sampling effectiveness is required to be 10±0.5 micrometers. Other specifications related to accuracy apply to flow measurement and calibration, filter media, analytical (weighing) procedures, and artifact. The flow rate accuracy of PM$_{10}$ samplers used in certain monitoring networks is required by part 58 of this chapter to be assessed periodically via flow rate audits.

6.0 Potential Sources of Error.

6.1 Volatile Particles. Volatile particles collected on filters are often lost during shipment and/or storage of the filters prior to the post-sampling weighing. Although shipment or storage of loaded filters is sometimes unavoidable, filters should be reweighed as soon as practical to minimize these losses.

6.2 Artifacts. Positive errors in PM$_{10}$ concentration measurements may result from retention of gaseous species on filters. Such errors include the retention of sulfur dioxide and nitric acid. Retention of sulfur...
dioxide on filters, followed by oxidation to sulfate, is referred to as artifact sulfate formation, a phenomenon which increases with increasing filter alkalinity. Little or no artifact sulfate formation should occur using filters that meet the alkalinity specification in section 7.2.4 of this appendix. Artifact nitrate formation, resulting primarily from retention of nitric acid, occurs to varying degrees on many filter types, including glass fiber, cellulose ester, and many quartz fiber filters. Loss of true atmospheric particulate nitrate during or following sampling may also occur due to dissociation or chemical reaction. This phenomenon has been observed on Teflon® filters and inferred for quartz fiber filters. The magnitude of nitrate artifact errors in PM₁₀ mass concentration measurements will vary with location and ambient temperature; however, for most sampling locations, these errors are expected to be small.

6.3 Humidity. The effects of ambient humidity on the sample are unavoidable. The filter equilibration procedure in section 9.0 of this appendix is designed to minimize the effects of moisture on the filter medium.

6.4 Filter Handling. Careful handling of filters between presampling and postsampling weighings is necessary to avoid errors due to damaged filters or loss of collected particles from the filters. Use of a filter cartridge or cassette may reduce the magnitude of these errors. Filters must also meet the integrity specification in section 7.2.3 of this appendix.

6.5 Flow Rate Variation. Variations in the sampler’s operating flow rate may alter the particle size discrimination characteristics of the sampler inlet. The magnitude of this error will depend on the sensitivity of the inlet to variations in flow rate and on the particle distribution in the atmosphere during the sampling period. The use of a flow control device, under section 7.1.6 of this appendix, is required to minimize this error.

6.6 Air Volume Determination. Errors in the air volume determination may result from errors in the flow rate and/or sampling time measurements. The flow control device serves to minimize errors in the flow rate determination, and an elapsed time meter, under section 7.1.5 of this appendix, is required to minimize the error in the sampling time measurement.

7.0 Apparatus.

7.1 PM₁₀ Sampler.

7.1.1 The sampler shall be designed to:

(a) Draw the air sample into the sampler inlet and through the particle collection filter at a uniform face velocity.

(b) Hold and seal the filter in a horizontal position so that sample air is drawn downward through the filter.

(c) Allow the filter to be installed and removed conveniently.

(d) Protect the filter and sampler from precipitation and prevent insects and other debris from being sampled.

(e) Minimize air leaks that would cause error in the measurement of the air volume passing through the filter.

(f) Discharge exhaust air at a sufficient distance from the sampler inlet to minimize the sampling of exhaust air.

(g) Minimize the collection of dust from the supporting surface.

7.1.2 The sampler shall have a sample air inlet system that, when operated within a specified flow rate range, provides particle size discrimination characteristics meeting all of the applicable performance specifications prescribed in part 53 of this chapter. The sampler inlet shall show no significant wind direction dependence. The latter requirement can generally be satisfied by an inlet shape that is circularly symmetrical about a vertical axis.

7.1.3 The sampler shall have a flow control device capable of maintaining the sampler’s operating flow rate within the flow rate limits specified for the sampler inlet over normal variations in line voltage and filter pressure drop.

7.1.4 The sampler shall provide a means to measure the total flow rate during the sampling period. A continuous flow recorder is recommended but not required. The flow measurement device shall be accurate to ±2 percent.

7.1.5 A timing/control device capable of starting and stopping the sampler shall be used to obtain a sample collection period of 24 ± 1 hr (1,440 ± 60 min). An elapsed time meter, accurate to within ±5 minutes, shall be used to measure sampling time. This meter is optional for samplers with continuous flow recorders if the sampling time measurement obtained by means of the recorder meets the ±5 minute accuracy specification.

7.1.6 The sampler shall have an associated operation or instruction manual as required by part 53 of this chapter which includes detailed instructions on the calibration, operation, and maintenance of the sampler.

7.2 Filters.

7.2.1 Filter Medium. No commercially available filter medium is ideal in all respects for all samplers. The user’s goals in sampling determine the relative importance of various filter characteristics, e.g., cost, ease of handling, physical and chemical characteristics, etc., and, consequently, determine the choice among acceptable filters. Furthermore, certain types of filters may not be suitable for use with some samplers, particularly under heavy loading conditions (high mass concentrations), because of high or rapid increase in the filter flow resistance that would exceed the capability of the sampler’s flow control device. However, samplers equipped with automatic filter-changing
mechanisms may allow use of these types of filters. The specifications given below are minimum requirements to ensure acceptability of the filter medium for measurement of \( \text{PM}_{10} \) mass concentrations. Other filter evaluation criteria should be considered to meet individual sampling and analysis objectives.

7.2.2 Collection Efficiency. \( \geq 99 \) percent, as measured by the DOP test (ASTM 2986) with 0.3 \( \mu \)m particles at the sampler’s operating face velocity.

7.2.3 Integrity. \( \leq 5 \) \( \mu \)g/m³ (assuming sampler’s nominal 24-hour air sample volume). Integrity is measured as the \( \text{PM}_{10} \) concentration equivalent corresponding to the average difference between the initial and the final weights of a random sample of test filters that are weighed and handled under actual or simulated sampling conditions, but have no air sample passed through them, i.e., filter blanks. As a minimum, the test procedure must include initial equilibration and weighing, installation on an inoperative sampler, removal from the sampler, and final equilibration and weighing.

7.2.4 Alkalinity. \( \leq 25 \) microequivalents/gram of filter, as measured by the procedure given in reference 13 of section 12.0 of this appendix following at least two months storage in a clean environment (free from contamination by acidic gases) at room temperature and humidity.

7.3 Flow Rate Transfer Standard. The flow rate transfer standard must be suitable for the sampler’s operating flow rate and must be calibrated against a primary flow or volume standard that is traceable to the National Institute of Standard and Technology (NIST). The flow rate transfer standard must be capable of measuring the sampler’s operating flow rate with an accuracy of \( \pm 2 \) percent.

7.4 Filter Conditioning Environment.

7.4.1 Temperature range. 15 to 30 C.

7.4.2 Temperature control. \( \pm 3 \) C.

7.4.3 Humidity range. 20% to 45% RH.

7.4.4 Humidity control. \( \pm 5 \) % RH.

7.5 Analytical Balance. The analytical balance must be suitable for weighing the type and size of filters required by the sampler. The range and sensitivity required will depend on the filter tare weights and mass loadings. Typically, an analytical balance with a sensitivity of 0.1 mg is required for high volume samplers (flow rates >0.5 m³/min). Lower volume samplers (flow rates <0.5 m³/min) will require a more sensitive balance.

8.0 Calibration.

8.1 General Requirements.

8.1.1 Calibration of the sampler’s flow measurement device is required to establish traceability of subsequent flow measurements to a primary standard. A flow rate transfer standard calibrated against a primary flow or volume standard shall be used to calibrate or verify the accuracy of the sampler’s flow measurement device.

8.1.2 Particle size discrimination by inertial separation requires that specific air velocities be maintained in the sampler’s air inlet system. Therefore, the flow rate through the sampler’s inlet must be maintained throughout the sampling period within the design flow rate range specified by the manufacturer. Design flow rates are specified as actual volumetric flow rates, measured at existing conditions of temperature and pressure (Q).

8.2 Flow Rate Calibration Procedure.

8.2.1 \( \text{PM}_{10} \) samplers employ various types of flow control and flow measurement devices. The specific procedure used for flow rate calibration or verification will vary depending on the type of flow controller and flow rate indicator employed. Calibration is in terms of actual volumetric flow rates (Q).

8.2.2 Calibrate the flow rate transfer standard against a primary flow or volume standard traceable to NIST. Establish a calibration relationship, e.g., an equation or family of curves, such that traceability to the primary standard is accurate to within 2 percent over the expected range of ambient conditions, i.e., temperatures and pressures, under which the transfer standard will be used. Recalibrate the transfer standard periodically.

8.2.3 Following the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual, remove the sampler inlet and connect the flow rate transfer standard to the sampler such that the transfer standard accurately measures the sampler’s flow rate. Make sure there are no leaks between the transfer standard and the sampler.

8.2.4 Choose a minimum of three flow rates (actual m³/min), spaced over the acceptable flow rate range specified for the inlet, under section 7.1.2 of the appendix, that can be obtained by suitable adjustment of the sampler flow rate. In accordance with the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual, obtain or verify the calibration relationship between the flow rate (actual m³/min) as indicated by the transfer standard and the sampler’s flow indicator response. Record the ambient temperature and barometric pressure. Temperature and pressure corrections to subsequent flow indicator readings may be required for certain types of flow measurement devices. When such corrections are necessary, correction on an individual or
daily basis is preferable. However, seasonal average temperature and average barometric pressure for the sampling site may be incorporated into the sampler calibration to avoid daily corrections. Consult the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual and reference 2 in section 12.0 of this appendix for additional guidance.

8.5 Following calibration, verify that the sampler is operating at its design flow rate (actual m³/min) with a clean filter in place.

8.6 Replace the sampler inlet.

9.0 Procedure

9.1 The sampler shall be operated in accordance with the specific guidance provided in the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual and in reference 2 in section 12.0 of this appendix. The general procedure given here assumes that the sampler’s flow rate calibration is based on flow rates at ambient conditions (Q₁), and serves to illustrate the steps involved in the operation of a PM₁₀ sampler.

9.2 Inspect each filter for pinholes, particles, and other imperfections. Establish a filter information record and assign an identification number to each filter.

9.3 Equilibrate each filter in the conditioning environment (see 7.4) for at least 24 hours.

9.4 Following equilibration, weigh each filter and record the presampling weight with the filter identification number.

9.5 Install a preweighed filter in the sampler following the instructions provided in the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual.

9.6 (a) Turn on the sampler and allow it to establish run-temperature conditions. Record the flow indicator reading and, if needed, the ambient temperature and barometric pressure. Determine the sampler flow rate (actual m³/min) in accordance with the instructions provided in the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual.

(b) Note: No onsite temperature or pressure measurements are necessary if the sampler’s flow indicator does not require temperature or pressure corrections or if seasonal average temperature and average barometric pressure for the sampling site are incorporated into the sampler calibration, under section 8.2.4 of this appendix. If individual or daily temperature and pressure corrections are required, ambient temperature and barometric pressure can be obtained by on-site measurements or from a nearby weather station. Barometric pressure readings obtained from airports must be station pressure, not corrected to sea level, and may need to be corrected for differences in elevation between the sampling site and the airport.

9.7 If the flow rate is outside the acceptable range specified by the manufacturer, check for leaks, and if necessary, adjust the flow rate to the specified setpoint. Stop the sampler.

9.8 Set the timer to start and stop the sampler at appropriate times. Set the elapsed time meter to zero or record the initial meter reading.

9.9 Record the sample information (site location or identification number, sample date, filter identification number, and sampler model and serial number).

9.10 Sample for 24±1 hours.

9.11 Determine and record the average flow rate (Q₂) in actual m³/min for the sampling period in accordance with the instructions provided in the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual. Record the elapsed time meter final reading and, if needed, the average ambient temperature and barometric pressure for the sampling period, in note following section 9.6 of this appendix.

9.12 Carefully remove the filter from the sampler, following the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual. Touch only the outer edges of the filter.

9.13 Place the filter in a protective holder or container, e.g., petri dish, glassine envelope, or Manila folder.

9.14 Record any factors such as meteorological conditions, construction activity, fires or dust storms, etc., that might be pertinent to the measurement on the filter information record.

9.15 Transport the exposed sample filter to the filter conditioning environment as soon as possible for equilibration and subsequent weighing.

9.16 Equilibrate the exposed filter in the conditioning environment for at least 24 hours under the same temperature and humidity conditions used for presampling filter equilibration (see section 9.3 of this appendix).

9.17 Immediately after equilibration, reweigh the filter and record the postsampling weight with the filter identification number.

10.0 Sampler Maintenance.

10.1 The PM₁₀ sampler shall be maintained in strict accordance with the maintenance procedures specified in the sampler manufacturer’s instruction manual.

11.0 Calculations.

11.1 Calculate the total volume of air sampled as:

\[ V = Q \cdot t \]

where:

- \( V \) = total air sampled, at ambient temperature and pressure, m³
- \( Q \) = average sample flow rate at ambient temperature and pressure, m³/min; and
- \( t \) = sampling time, min.

11.2 (a) Calculate the PM₁₀ concentration as:

\[ \text{PM}_{10} = (W_f - W_i) \times 10^6 / V \]

where:

\( W_f \) = final weight of filter, g
\( W_i \) = initial weight of filter, g
APPENDIX N TO PART 50—INTERPRETATION OF THE NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR PARTICULATE MATTER

1.0 General.

(a) This appendix explains the data handling conventions and computations necessary for determining when the annual and 24-hour primary and secondary national ambient air quality standards for PM specified in §50.7 of this chapter are met. Particulate matter is measured in the ambient air as PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} (particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 and 2.5 micrometers, respectively) by a reference method based on appendix M of this part for PM\textsubscript{10} and on appendix L of this part for PM\textsubscript{2.5}, as applicable, and designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter, or by an equivalent method designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter. Data handling and computation procedures to be used in making comparisons between reported PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations and the levels of the PM standards are specified in the following sections.

(b) Data resulting from uncontrollable or natural events, for example structural fires or high winds, may require special consideration. In some cases, it may be appropriate to exclude these data because they could result in inappropriate values to compare with the levels of the PM standards. In other cases, it may be more appropriate to retain the data for comparison with the level of the PM standards and then allow the EPA to formulate the appropriate regulatory response. Whether to exclude, retain, or make adjustments to the data affected by uncontrollable or natural events, for example structural fires or high winds, may require special consideration. In some cases, it may be appropriate to exclude these data because they could result in inappropriate values to compare with the level of the PM standards.

(c) The terms used in this appendix are defined as follows:

- **Average** and **mean** refer to an arithmetic mean.

- **Daily value** for PM refers to the 24-hour average concentration of PM calculated or measured from midnight to midnight (local time) for PM\textsubscript{10} or PM\textsubscript{2.5}.


98th percentile (used for PM$_{10}$) means the daily value out of a year of monitoring data below which 98 percent of all values in the group fall. 99th percentile (used for PM$_{2.5}$) means the daily value out of a year of monitoring data below which 99 percent of all values in the group fall. Year refers to a calendar year.

d. Sections 2.1 and 2.5 of this appendix contain data handling instructions for the option of using a spatially averaged network of monitors for the annual standard. If spatial averaging is not considered for an area, then the spatial average is equivalent to the annual average of a single site and is treated accordingly in subsequent calculations. For example, paragraph (a)(3) of section 2.1 of this appendix could be eliminated since the spatial average would be equivalent to the annual average.

2.0 Comparisons with the PM$_{2.5}$ Standards.

2.1 Annual PM$_{2.5}$ Standard.

(a) The annual PM$_{2.5}$ standard is met when the 3-year average of the spatially averaged annual means is less than or equal to 15.0 µg/m$^3$. The 3-year average of the spatially averaged annual means is determined by averaging quarterly means at each monitor to obtain the annual mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations at each monitor, then averaging across all designated monitors, and finally averaging for 3 consecutive years. The steps can be summarized as follows:

1. Average 24-hour measurements to obtain quarterly means at each monitor.

2. Average quarterly means to obtain annual means at each monitor.

3. Average across designated monitoring sites to obtain an annual spatial mean for an area (this can be one site in which case the spatial mean is equal to the annual mean).

4. Average 3 years of annual spatial means to obtain a 3-year average of spatially averaged annual means.

(b) In the case of spatial averaging, 3 years of spatial averages are required to demonstrate that the standard has been met. Designated sites with less than 3 years of data shall be included in spatial averages for those years that data completeness requirements are met. For the annual PM$_{2.5}$ standard, a year meets data completeness requirements when at least 75 percent of the scheduled sampling days for each quarter have valid data. However, years with high concentrations and more than a minimal amount of data (at least 11 samples in each quarter) shall not be ignored just because they are comprised of quarters with less than complete data. Thus, in computing annual spatially averaged means, years containing quarters with at least 11 samples but less than 75 percent data completeness shall be included in the computation if the resulting spatially averaged annual mean concentration rounded according to the conventions of section 2.3 of this appendix) is greater than the level of the standard.

(c) Situations may arise in which there are compelling reasons to retain years containing quarters which do not meet the data completeness requirement of 75 percent or the minimum number of 11 samples. The use of less than complete data is subject to the approval of the appropriate Regional Administrator.

(d) The equations for calculating the 3-year average annual mean of the PM$_{2.5}$ are given in section 2.5 of this appendix.

2.2 24-Hour PM$_{2.5}$ Standard.

(a) The 24-hour PM$_{2.5}$ standard is met when the 3-year average of the 98th percentile values at each monitoring site is less than or equal to 65 µg/m$^3$. This comparison shall be based on 3 consecutive, complete years of air quality data. A year meets data completeness requirements when at least 75 percent of the scheduled sampling days for each quarter have valid data. However, years with high concentrations shall not be ignored just because they are comprised of quarters with less than complete data. Thus, in computing the 3-year average 98th percentile value, years containing quarters with less than 75 percent data completeness shall be included in the computation if the annual 98th percentile value (rounded according to the conventions of section 2.3 of this appendix) is greater than the level of the standard.

(b) Situations may arise in which there are compelling reasons to retain years containing quarters which do not meet the data completeness requirement. The use of less than complete data is subject to the approval of the appropriate Regional Administrator.

(c) The equations for calculating the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile values is given in section 2.6 of this appendix.

2.3 Rounding Conventions. For the purposes of comparing calculated values to the applicable level of the standard, it is necessary to round the final results of the calculations described in sections 2.5 and 2.6 of this appendix. For the annual PM$_{2.5}$ standard, the 3-year average of the spatially averaged annual means shall be rounded to the nearest 0.1 µg/m$^3$ (decimals 0.05 and greater are rounded up to the next 0.1, and any decimal lower than 0.05 is rounded down to the nearest 0.1). For the 24-hour PM$_{2.5}$ standard, the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile values shall be rounded to the nearest 1 µg/m$^3$ (decimals 0.5 and greater are rounded up to nearest whole number, and any decimal lower than 0.5 is rounded down to the nearest whole number).

2.4 Monitoring Considerations.

(a) Section 50.123 of this chapter specifies the required minimum frequency of sampling...
for PM$_{2.5}$. Exceptions to the specified sampling frequencies, such as a reduced frequency during a season of expected low concentrations, are subject to the approval of the appropriate Regional Administrator. Section 58.14 of 40 CFR part 58 and section 2.8 of appendix D of 40 CFR part 58, specify which monitors are eligible for making comparisons with the PM standards. In determining a spatial mean using two or more monitoring sites operating in a given year, the annual mean for an individual site may be included in the spatial mean if and only if the mean for that site meets the criterion specified in §2.8 of appendix D of 40 CFR part 58. In the event data from an otherwise eligible site is excluded from being averaged with data from other sites on the basis of this criterion, then the 3-year mean from that site shall be compared directly to the annual standard.

(b) For the annual PM$_{2.5}$ standard, when designated monitors are located at the same site and are reporting PM$_{2.5}$ values for the same time periods, and when spatial averaging has been chosen, their concentrations shall be averaged before an area-wide spatial average is calculated. Such monitors will then be considered as one monitor.

### 2.5 Equations for the Annual PM$_{2.5}$ Standard

(a) An annual mean value for PM$_{2.5}$ is determined by first averaging the daily values of a calendar quarter:

\[ \bar{x}_{q,y,s} = \frac{1}{n_q} \sum_{i=1}^{n_q} x_{i,q,y,s} \]

where:
- $\bar{x}_{q,y,s}$ = the mean for quarter q of year y for site s;
- $n_q$ = the number of monitored values in the quarter; and
- $x_{i,q,y,s}$ = the $i^{th}$ value in quarter q for year y for site s.

(b) The following equation is then to be used for calculation of the annual mean:

\[ \bar{x}_{y,s} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{q=1}^{4} \bar{x}_{q,y,s} \]

where:
- $\bar{x}_{y,s}$ = the annual mean concentration for year y (y = 1, 2, or 3) and for site s; and
- $\bar{x}_{q,y,s}$ = the mean for quarter q of year y for site s.

(c)(1) The spatially averaged annual mean for year y is computed by first calculating the annual mean for each site designated to be included in a spatial average, $\bar{x}_{y,s}$, and then computing the average of these values across sites:

\[ \bar{x}_y = \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \bar{x}_{y,s} \]

where:
- $\bar{x}_y$ = the spatially averaged mean for year y;
- $\bar{x}_{y,s}$ = the annual mean for year y and site s; and
- $n_s$ = the number of sites designated to be averaged.

(2) In the event that an area designated for spatial averaging has two or more sites at the same location producing data for the same time periods, the sites are averaged together before using Equation 3 by:

\[ \bar{x}_{y,s*} = \frac{1}{n_c} \sum_{s=1}^{n_c} \bar{x}_{y,s} \]

where:
- $\bar{x}_{y,s*}$ = the annual mean for year y for the sites at the same location (which will now be considered one site);
- $n_c$ = the number of sites at the same location designated to be included in the spatial average; and
- $\bar{x}_{y,s}$ = the annual mean for year y and site s.

(d) The 3-year average of the spatially averaged annual means is calculated by using the following equation:

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{y=1}^{3} \bar{x}_y \]

where:
- $\bar{x}$ = the 3-year average of the spatially averaged annual means; and
- $\bar{x}_y$ = the spatially averaged annual mean for year y.

### Example 1—Area Designated for Spatial Averaging That Meets the Primary Annual PM$_{2.5}$ Standard

a. In an area designated for spatial averaging, four designated monitors recorded data in at least 1 year of a particular 3-year period. Using Equations 1 and 2, the annual means for PM$_{2.5}$ at each site are calculated for each year. The following table can be created from the results. Data completeness percentages for the quarter with the fewest number of samples are also shown.
TABLE 1—RESULTS FROM EQUATIONS 1 AND 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Site 1 (Annual mean (µg/m³))</th>
<th>Site 2</th>
<th>Site 3</th>
<th>Site 4</th>
<th>Spatial mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>15.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-year mean</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>14.55</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>13.39</td>
<td>12.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. The data from these sites are averaged in the order described in section 2.1 of this appendix. Note that the annual mean from site #3 in year 2 and the annual mean from site #4 in year 3 do not meet the 75 percent data completeness criteria. Assuming the 38 percent data completeness represents a quarter with fewer than 11 samples, site #3 in year 2 does not meet the minimum data completeness requirement of 11 samples in each quarter. The site is therefore excluded from the calculation of the spatial mean for year 2. However, since the spatial mean for year 3 is above the level of the standard and the minimum data requirement of 11 samples in each quarter has been met, the annual mean from site #4 in year 3 is included in the calculation of the spatial mean for year 3 and in the calculation of the 3-year average. The 3-year average is rounded to 14.4 µg/m³, indicating that this area meets the annual PM2.5 standard.

Example 2—Area With Two Monitors at the Same Location That Meets the Primary Annual PM2.5 Standard.

a. In an area designated for spatial averaging, six designated monitors, with two monitors at the same location (#5 and #6), recorded data in a particular 3-year period. Using Equations 1 and 2, the annual means for PM2.5 are calculated for each year. The following table can be created from the results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Site 1</th>
<th>Site 2</th>
<th>Site 3</th>
<th>Site 4</th>
<th>Site 5</th>
<th>Site 6</th>
<th>Spatial mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-year mean</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. The annual means for sites #5 and #6 are averaged together using Equation 4 before the spatial average is calculated using Equation 3 since they are in the same location. The 3-year mean is rounded to 12.6 µg/m³, indicating that this area meets the annual PM2.5 standard.

Example 3—Area With a Single Monitor That Meets the Primary Annual PM2.5 Standard.

a. Given data from a single monitor in an area, the calculations are as follows. Using Equations 1 and 2, the annual means for PM2.5 are calculated for each year. If the annual means are 10.28, 17.38, and 12.25 µg/m³, then the 3-year mean is:

$$\bar{x} = (1/3) \times (10.28 + 17.38 + 12.25) = 13.303 \, \mu g/m^3$$

b. This value is rounded to 13.3, indicating that this area meets the annual PM2.5 standard.

2.6 Equations for the 24-Hour PM2.5 Standard.

(a) When the data for a particular site and year meet the data completeness requirements in section 2.2 of this appendix, calculation of the 98th percentile is accomplished by the following steps. All the daily values from a particular site and year comprise a series of values ($x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n$), that can be sorted into a series where each number is equal to or larger than the preceding number ($x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, x_{(3)}, \ldots, x_{(n)}$). In this case, $x_{(1)}$ is the smallest number and $x_{(n)}$ is the largest value. The 98th percentile is found from the
sorted series of daily values which is ordered from the lowest to the highest number. Compute \((0.98) \times (n)\) as the number “1.4”, where “1” is the integer part of the result and “0.4” is the decimal part of the result. The 98th percentile value for year y, \(P_{0.98,y}\), is given by Equation 6:

\[
P_{0.98,y} = X_{\lfloor i+1 \rfloor}
\]

where:
\(P_{0.98,y}\) = 98th percentile for year y;
\(X_{\lfloor i+1 \rfloor}\) = the \((i+1)\)th number in the ordered series of numbers; and
\(i\) = the integer part of the product of 0.98 and \(n\).

(b) The 3-year average 98th percentile is then calculated by averaging the annual 98th percentiles:

\[
P_{0.98} = \frac{\sum_{y=1}^{3} P_{0.98,y}}{3}
\]

(c) The 3-year average 98th percentile is rounded according to the conventions in section 2.3 of this appendix before a comparison with the standard is made.

Example 4—Ambient Monitoring Site With Every-Day Sampling That Meets the Primary 24-Hour PM2.5 Standard.

b. Using Equation 6, the 98th percentile values for each year are calculated as follows:

\[
0.98 \times 281 = 275.38 \Rightarrow i + 1 = 276 \Rightarrow P_{0.98,1} = X_{\lfloor 276 \rfloor} = 59.0 \mu g / m^3
\]
\[
0.98 \times 304 = 297.92 \Rightarrow i + 1 = 298 \Rightarrow P_{0.98,2} = X_{\lfloor 298 \rfloor} = 63.0 \mu g / m^3
\]
\[
0.98 \times 296 = 290.07 \Rightarrow i + 1 = 291 \Rightarrow P_{0.98,3} = X_{\lfloor 291 \rfloor} = 68.4 \mu g / m^3
\]

c. Using Equation 7, the 3-year average 98th percentile is calculated as follows:

\[
P_{0.98} = \frac{59.0 + 63.0 + 68.4}{3} = 63.46 \mu g / m^3, \text{ which rounds to } 63 \mu g / m^3.
\]
(2) Average quarterly means to obtain an annual mean.

(3) Average annual means to obtain a 3-year mean.

(b) For the annual \( PM_{10} \) standard, a year meets data completeness requirements when at least 75 percent of the scheduled sampling days for each quarter have valid data. However, years with high concentrations and more than a minimal amount of data (at least 11 samples in each quarter) shall not be ignored just because they are comprised of quarters with less than complete data. Thus, in computing the 3-year average annual mean concentration, years containing quarters with at least 11 samples but less than 75 percent data completeness shall be included in the computation if the annual mean concentration (rounded according to the conventions of section 2.3 of this appendix) is greater than the level of the standard.

(c) Situations may arise in which there are compelling reasons to retain years containing quarters which do not meet the data completeness requirement of 75 percent or the minimum number of 11 samples. The use of less than complete data is subject to the approval of the appropriate Regional Administrator.

(d) The equations for calculating the 3-year average annual mean of the \( PM_{10} \) standard are given in section 3.5 of this appendix.

3.2 24-Hour \( PM_{10} \) Standard.

(a) The 24-hour \( PM_{10} \) standard is met when the 3-year average of the annual 95th percentile values at each monitoring site is less than or equal to 150 \( \mu g/m^3 \). This comparison shall be based on 3 consecutive, complete years of air quality data. A year meets data completeness requirements when at least 75 percent of the scheduled sampling days for each quarter have valid data. However, years with high concentrations shall not be ignored just because they are comprised of quarters with less than complete data. Thus, in computing the 3-year average of the annual 95th percentile values, years containing quarters with less than 75 percent data completeness shall be included in the computation if the annual 95th percentile value (rounded according to the conventions of section 2.3 of this appendix) is greater than the level of the standard.

(b) Situations may arise in which there are compelling reasons to retain years containing quarters which do not meet the data completeness requirement. The use of less than complete data is subject to the approval of the appropriate Regional Administrator.

(c) The equation for calculating the 3-year average of the annual 95th percentile values is given in section 2.5 of this appendix.

3.3 Rounding Conventions.

For the annual \( PM_{10} \) standard, the 3-year average of the annual \( PM_{10} \) means shall be rounded to the nearest 1 \( \mu g/m^3 \) (decimals 0.5 and greater are rounded up to the next whole number, and any decimal less than 0.5 is rounded down to the nearest whole number). For the 24-hour \( PM_{10} \) standard, the 3-year average of the annual 95th percentile values of \( PM_{10} \) shall be rounded to the nearest 10 \( \mu g/m^3 \) (155 \( \mu g/m^3 \) and greater would be rounded to 160 \( \mu g/m^3 \) and 154 \( \mu g/m^3 \) and less would be rounded to 150 \( \mu g/m^3 \)).

3.4 Monitoring Considerations. Section 58.13 of this chapter specifies the required minimum frequency of sampling for \( PM_{10} \). Exceptions to the specified sampling frequencies, such as a reduced frequency during a season of expected low concentrations, are subject to the approval of the appropriate Regional Administrator. For making comparisons with the \( PM_{10} \) NAAQS, all sites meeting applicable requirements in part 58 of this chapter would be used.

3.5 Equations for the Annual \( PM_{10} \) Standard.

(a) An annual arithmetic mean value for \( PM_{10} \) is determined by first averaging the 24-hour values of a calendar quarter using the following equation:

\[ \bar{x}_{q,y} = \frac{1}{n_q} \sum_{i=1}^{n_q} x_{i,q,y} \]

where:
- \( \bar{x}_{q,y} \) = the mean for quarter \( q \) of year \( y \);
- \( n_q \) = the number of monitored values in the quarter; and
- \( x_{i,q,y} \) = the \( i \)th value in quarter \( q \) for year \( y \).

(b) The following equation is then to be used for calculation of the annual mean:

\[ \bar{x}_y = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{q=1}^{4} \bar{x}_{q,y} \]

where:
- \( \bar{x}_y \) = the annual mean concentration for year \( y \), \((y=1, 2, \text{or } 3)\); and
- \( x_{i,q,y} \) = the mean for a quarter \( q \) of year \( y \).

(c) The 3-year average of the annual means is calculated by using the following equation:

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{y=1}^{3} \bar{x}_y \]

where:
- \( \bar{x} \) = the 3-year average of the annual means;
- \( \bar{x}_y \) = the annual mean for calendar year \( y \).
Example 5—Ambient Monitoring Site That Does Not Meet the Annual PM$_{10}$ Standard.

a. Given data from a PM$_{10}$ monitor and using Equations 8 and 9, the annual means for PM$_{10}$ are calculated for each year. If the annual means are 52.42, 82.17, and 63.23 µg/m$^3$, then the 3-year average annual mean is:

$$x = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \times (52.42 + 82.17 + 63.23) = 65.94, \text{ which is rounded to } 66 \mu g/m^3.$$

b. Therefore, this site does not meet the annual PM$_{10}$ standard.

3.6 Equation for the 24-Hour PM$_{10}$ Standard.

(a) When the data for a particular site and year meet the data completeness requirements in section 3.2 of this appendix, calculation of the 99$^{th}$ percentile is accomplished by the following steps. All the daily values from a particular site and year comprise a series of values (x$_1$, x$_2$, x$_3$, ..., x$_n$) that can be sorted into a series where each number is equal to or larger than the preceding number (x$_{[1]}$, x$_{[2]}$, x$_{[3]}$, ..., x$_{[n]}$). In this case, x$_{[1]}$ is the smallest number and x$_{[n]}$ is the largest value. The 99$^{th}$ percentile is found from the sorted series of daily values which is ordered from the lowest to the highest number. Compute (0.99) $\times$ (n) as the number “i.d”, where “i” is the integer part of the result and “d” is the decimal part of the result. The 99$^{th}$ percentile value for year y, P$_{0.99,y}$, is given by Equation 11:

$$P_{0.99,y} = X_{[i+1]}$$

where:

P$_{0.99,y}$ = the 99$^{th}$ percentile for year y;

x$_{[i+1]}$ = the (i=1)th number in the ordered series of numbers; and

i = the integer part of the product of 0.99 and n.

(b) The 3-year average 99$^{th}$ percentile value is then calculated by averaging the annual 99$^{th}$ percentiles:

$$P_{0.99} = \frac{\sum_{y=1}^{3} P_{0.99,y}}{3}$$

(c) The 3-year average 99$^{th}$ percentile is rounded according to the conventions in section 3.3 of this appendix before a comparison with the standard is made.

Example 6—Ambient Monitoring Site With Sampling Every Sixth Day That Meets the Primary 24-Hour PM$_{10}$ Standard.

a. In each year of a particular 3 year period, varying numbers of PM$_{10}$ daily values (e.g., 110, 98, and 100) out of a possible 121 daily values were recorded at a particular site with the following ranked values (in µg/m$^3$):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>j rank</td>
<td>x$_j$ value</td>
<td>j rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Using Equation 11, the 99$^{th}$ percentile values for each year are calculated as follows:

$$0.99 \times 110 = 108.9 \Rightarrow i+1 = 109 \Rightarrow P_{0.99,1} = x_{[109]} = 128 \mu g/m^3$$

$$0.99 \times 98 = 97.02 \Rightarrow i+1 = 98 \Rightarrow P_{0.99,2} = x_{[98]} = 150 \mu g/m^3$$
c. 1. Using Equation 12, the 3-year average 99th percentile is calculated as follows:

\[
0.99 \times 100 = 99 \Rightarrow i + 1 = 100 \Rightarrow P_{0.99,3} = X_{[100]} = 147 \mu g / m^3
\]

\[
\frac{128 + 50 + 147}{3} = 141.7 \mu g / m^3 \text{ rounds to } 140 \mu g / m^3.
\]

2. Therefore, this site meets the 24-hour PM10 standard.
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§ 51.100 Definitions.

As used in this part, all terms not defined herein will have the meaning given them in the Act:


(b) Administrator means the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or an authorized representative.

(c) Primary standard means a national primary ambient air quality standard promulgated pursuant to section 109 of the Act.

(d) Secondary standard means a national secondary ambient air quality standard promulgated pursuant to section 109 of the Act.

(e) National standard means either a primary or secondary standard.

(f) Owner or operator means any person who owns, leases, operates, controls, or supervises a facility, building, structure, or installation which directly or indirectly result or may result in emissions of any air pollutant for which a national standard is in effect.

(g) Local agency means any local government agency other than the State agency, which is charged with responsibility for carrying out a portion of the Plan.

(h) Regional Office means one of the ten (10) EPA Regional Offices.

(i) State agency means the air pollution control agency primarily responsible for development and implementation of a plan under the Act.

(j) Plan means an implementation plan approved or promulgated under section 110 of 172 of the Act.

(k) Point source means the following:

(1) For particulate matter, sulfur oxides, carbon monoxide, volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen dioxide—

(i) Any stationary source the actual emissions of which are in excess of 90.7 metric tons (100 tons) per year of the pollutant in a region containing an area whose 1980 urban place population, as defined by the U.S. Bureau of the Census, was equal to or greater than 1 million.

(ii) Any stationary source the actual emissions of which are in excess of 22.7 metric tons (25 tons) per year of the pollutant in a region containing an area whose 1980 urban place population, as defined by the U.S. Bureau of the Census, was less than 1 million; or

(2) For lead or lead compounds measured as elemental lead, any stationary source that actually emits a total of 4.5 metric tons (5 tons) per year or more.

(l) Area source means any small residential, governmental, institutional, commercial, or industrial fuel combustion operations; onsite solid waste disposal facility; motor vehicles, aircraft vessels, or other transportation facilities or other miscellaneous sources identified through inventory techniques similar to those described in the "AEROS Manual series, Vol. II AEROS..."
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(m) Region means an area designated as an air quality control region (AQCR) under section 107(c) of the Act.

(n) Control strategy means a combination of measures designated to achieve the aggregate reduction of emissions necessary for attainment and maintenance of national standards including, but not limited to, measures such as:

1. Emission limitations.
2. Federal or State emission charges or taxes or other economic incentives or disincentives.
3. Closing or relocation of residential, commercial, or industrial facilities.
4. Changes in schedules or methods of operation of commercial or industrial facilities or transportation systems, including, but not limited to, short-term changes made in accordance with standby plans.
5. Periodic inspection and testing of motor vehicle emission control systems, at such time as the Administrator determines that such programs are feasible and practicable.
6. Emission control measures applicable to in-use motor vehicles, including, but not limited to, measures such as mandatory maintenance, installation of emission control devices, and conversion to gaseous fuels.
7. Any transportation control measure including those transportation measures listed in section 108(f) of the Clean Air Act as amended.
8. Any variation of, or alternative to any measure delineated herein.
9. Control or prohibition of a fuel or fuel additive used in motor vehicles, if such control or prohibition is necessary to achieve a national primary or secondary air quality standard and is approved by the Administrator under section 211(c)(4)(C) of the Act.

(o) Reasonably available control technology (RACT) means devices, systems, process modifications, or other apparatus or techniques that are reasonably available taking into account:

1. The necessity of imposing such controls in order to attain and maintain a national ambient air quality standard;
2. The social, environmental, and economic impact of such controls; and
3. Alternative means of providing for attainment and maintenance of such standard. (This provision defines RACT for the purposes of §51.341(b) only.)

(p) Compliance schedule means the date or dates by which a source or category of sources is required to comply with specific emission limitations contained in an implementation plan and with any increments of progress toward such compliance.

(q) Increments of progress means steps toward compliance which will be taken by a specific source, including:

1. Date of submittal of the source’s final control plan to the appropriate air pollution control agency;
2. Date by which contracts for emission control systems or process modifications will be awarded; or date by which orders will be issued for the purchase of component parts to accomplish emission control or process modification;
3. Date of initiation of on-site construction or installation of emission control equipment or process change;
4. Date by which on-site construction or installation of emission control equipment or process modification is to be completed; and
5. Date by which final compliance is to be achieved.

(r) Transportation control measure means any measure that is directed toward reducing emissions of air pollutants from transportation sources. Such measures include, but are not limited to, those listed in section 108(f) of the Clean Air Act.

(s) Volatile organic compounds (VOC) means any compound of carbon, excluding carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, metallic carbides or carbonates, and ammonium carbonate, which participates in atmospheric photochemical reactions.

1. This includes any such organic compound other than the following, which have been determined to have negligible photochemical reactivity: methane; ethane; methylene chloride (dichloromethane); 1,1,1-trichloroethane (methyl chloroform); 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane (CFC-113); trichlorofluoromethane (CFCl-11); dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12); chlorodifluoromethane (HCFC-22); trifluoromethane (HFC-23); 1,2-dichloro
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1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC-114); chloropentafluorooctane (CFC-115); 1,1,1-trifluoro 2,2-dichloroethane (HFC-123); 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane (HFC-134a); 1,1-dichloro 1-fluoroethane (HFC-141b); 1-chloro 1,1,2-difluoroethane (HCFC-142b); 2-chloro-1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane (HCFC-124); pentafluoroethane (HFC-125); 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (HFC-134); 1,1,1-trifluoroethane (HFC-143a); 1,1-difluoroethane (HFC-152a); parachlorobenzotrifluoride (PCBTF); cyclic, branched, or linear completely fluorinated tertiary amines and perfluorocarbon compounds which fall into these classes:

(i) Cyclic, branched, or linear, completely fluorinated alkanes;

(ii) Cyclic, branched, or linear, completely fluorinated ethers with no unsaturations;

(iii) Cyclic, branched, or linear, completely fluorinated tertiary amines with no unsaturations; and

(iv) Sulfur containing perfluorocarbons with no unsaturations and with sulfur bonds only to carbon and fluorine.  

(2) For purposes of determining compliance with emissions limits, VOC will be measured by the test methods in the approved State implementation plan (SIP) or 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, as applicable. Where such a method also measures compounds with negligible photochemical reactivity, these negligibility-reactive compounds may be excluded as VOC if the amount of such compounds is accurately quantified, and such exclusion is approved by the enforcement authority.

(3) As a precondition to excluding these compounds as VOC or at any time thereafter, the enforcement authority may require an owner or operator to provide monitoring or testing methods and results demonstrating, to the satisfaction of the enforcement authority, the amount of negligibly-reactive compounds in the source’s emissions.

(4) For purposes of Federal enforcement for a specific source, the EPA shall use the test methods specified in the applicable EPA-approved SIP, in a permit issued pursuant to a program approved or promulgated under title V of the Act, or under 40 CFR part 51, subpart I or appendix S, or under 40 CFR parts 52 or 60. The EPA shall not be bound by any State determination as to appropriate methods for testing or monitoring negligibly-reactive compounds if such determination is not reflected in any of the above provisions.

(t)-(w) [Reserved]

(x) Time period means any period of time designated by hour, month, season, calendar year, averaging time, or other suitable characteristics, for which ambient air quality is estimated.

(y) Variance means the temporary deferral of a final compliance date for an individual source subject to an approved regulation, or a temporary change to an approved regulation as it applies to an individual source.
which limit the level of opacity, prescribe equipment, set fuel specifications, or prescribe operation or maintenance procedures for a source to assure continuous emission reduction.

(aa) **Capacity factor** means the ratio of the average load on a machine or equipment for the period of time considered to the capacity rating of the machine or equipment.

(bb) **Excess emissions** means emissions of an air pollutant in excess of an emission standard.

(cc) **Nitric acid plant** means any facility producing nitric acid 30 to 70 percent in strength by either the pressure or atmospheric pressure process.

(dd) **Sulfuric acid plant** means any facility producing sulfuric acid by the contact process by burning elemental sulfur, alklylation acid, hydrogen sulfide, or acid sludge, but does not include facilities where conversion to sulfuric acid is utilized primarily as a means of preventing emissions to the atmosphere of sulfur dioxide or other sulfur compounds.

(ee) **Fossil fuel-fired steam generator** means a furnace or boiler used in the process of burning fossil fuel for the primary purpose of producing steam by heat transfer.

(ff) **Stack** means any point in a source designed to emit solids, liquids, or gases into the air, including a pipe or duct but not including flares.

(gg) **A stack in existence** means that the owner or operator had (1) begun, or caused to begin, a continuous program of physical on-site construction of the stack or (2) entered into binding agreements or contractual obligations, which could not be cancelled or modified without substantial loss to the owner or operator, to undertake a program of construction of the stack to be completed within a reasonable time.

(hh)(1) **Dispersion technique** means any technique which attempts to affect the concentration of a pollutant in the ambient air by:

(i) Using that portion of a stack which exceeds good engineering practice stack height;

(ii) Varying the rate of emission of a pollutant according to atmospheric conditions or ambient concentrations of that pollutant; or

(iii) Increasing final exhaust gas plume rise by manipulating source process parameters, exhaust gas parameters, stack parameters, or combining exhaust gases from several existing stacks into one stack; or other selective handling of exhaust gas streams so as to increase the exhaust gas plume rise.

(2) The preceding sentence does not include:

(i) The reheating of a gas stream, following use of a pollution control system, for the purpose of returning the gas to the temperature at which it was originally discharged from the facility generating the gas stream;

(ii) The merging of exhaust gas streams where:

(A) The source owner or operator demonstrates that the facility was originally designed and constructed with such merged gas streams;

(B) After July 8, 1985 such merging is part of a change in operation at the facility that includes the installation of pollution controls and is accompanied by a net reduction in the allowable emissions of a pollutant. This exclusion from the definition of *dispersion techniques* shall apply only to the emission limitation for the pollutant affected by such change in operation; or

(C) Before July 8, 1985, such merging was part of a change in operation at the facility that included the installation of emissions control equipment or was carried out for sound economic or engineering reasons. Where there was an increase in the emission limitation or, in the event that no emission limitation was in existence prior to the merging, an increase in the quantity of pollutants actually emitted prior to the merging, the reviewing agency shall presume that merging was significantly motivated by an intent to gain emissions credit for greater dispersion. Absent a demonstration by the source owner or operator that merging was not significantly motivated by such intent, the reviewing agency shall deny credit for the effects of such merging in calculating the allowable emissions for the source;

(iii) Smoke management in agricultural or silvicultural prescribed burning programs;
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(iv) Episodic restrictions on residential woodburning and open burning; or
(v) Techniques under §51.100(hh)(1)(iii) which increase final exhaust gas plume rise where the resulting allowable emissions of sulfur dioxide from the facility do not exceed 5,000 tons per year.

(ii) Good engineering practice (GEP) stack height means the greater of:

(1) 65 meters, measured from the ground-level elevation at the base of the stack:

(2)(i) For stacks in existence on January 12, 1979, and for which the owner or operator had obtained all applicable permits or approvals required under 40 CFR parts 51 and 52.

\[ H = 2.5H_g \]

provided the owner or operator produces evidence that this equation was actually relied on in establishing an emission limitation:

(ii) For all other stacks,

\[ H_g = H + 1.5L \]

where:

- \( H_g \) = good engineering practice stack height, measured from the ground-level elevation at the base of the stack,
- \( H \) = height of nearby structure(s) measured from the ground-level elevation at the base of the stack,
- \( L \) = lesser dimension, height or projected width, of nearby structure(s)

provided that the EPA, State or local control agency may require the use of a field study or fluid model to verify GEP stack height for the source; or

(3) The height demonstrated by a fluid model or a field study approved by the EPA State or local control agency, which ensures that the emissions from a stack do not result in excessive concentrations of any air pollutant as a result of atmospheric downwash, wakes, or eddy effects created by the source itself, nearby structures or nearby terrain features.

(jj) Nearby as used in §51.100(1) of this part is defined for a specific structure or terrain feature and

(1) For purposes of applying the formulae provided in §51.100(ii)(2) means that distance up to five times the lesser of the height or the width dimension of a structure, but not greater than 0.8 km (1/2 mile), and

(2) For conducting demonstrations under §51.100(ii)(3) means not greater than 0.8 km (1/2 mile), except that the portion of a terrain feature may be considered to be nearby which falls within a distance of up to 10 times the maximum height (\( H_k \)) of the feature, not to exceed 2 miles if such feature achieves a height (\( H_k \)) 0.8 km from the stack that is at least 40 percent of the GEP stack height determined by the formulae provided in §51.100(ii)(2)(ii) of this part or 26 meters, whichever is greater, as measured from the ground-level elevation at the base of the stack.

The height of the structure or terrain feature is measured from the ground-level elevation at the base of the stack.

(kk) Excessive concentration is defined for the purpose of determining good engineering practice stack height under §51.100(ii)(3) and means:

(1) For sources seeking credit for stack height exceeding that established under §51.100(ii)(2) a maximum ground-level concentration due to emissions from a stack due in whole or part to downwash, wakes, and eddy effects produced by nearby structures or nearby terrain features which individually is at least 40 percent in excess of the maximum concentration experienced in the absence of such downwash, wakes, or eddy effects and which contributes to a total concentration due to emissions from all sources that is greater than an ambient air quality standard. For sources subject to the prevention of significant deterioration program (40 CFR 51.166 and 52.21), an excessive concentration alternatively means a maximum ground-level concentration due to emissions from a stack due in whole or part to downwash, wakes, or eddy effects produced by nearby structures or nearby terrain features which individually is at least 40 percent in excess of the maximum concentration experienced in the absence of such downwash, wakes, or eddy effects and greater than a prevention of significant deterioration increment. The allowable emission rate to be used in making demonstrations under this part shall be prescribed by the new source performance standard that is applicable to the source category unless the owner or operator demonstrates that this emission
rate is infeasible. Where such demonstrations are approved by the authority administering the State implementation plan, an alternative emission rate shall be established in consultation with the source owner or operator.

(2) For sources seeking credit after October 11, 1983, for increases in existing stack heights up to the heights established under §51.100(ii)(2), either (i) a maximum ground-level concentration due in whole or part to downwash, wakes or eddy effects as provided in paragraph (kk)(1) of this section, except that the emission rate specified by any applicable State implementation plan (or, in the absence of such a limit, the actual emission rate) shall be used, or (ii) the actual presence of a local nuisance caused by the existing stack, as determined by the authority administering the State implementation plan; and

(3) For sources seeking credit after January 12, 1979 for a stack height determined under §51.100(ii)(2) where the authority administering the State implementation plan requires the use of a field study or fluid model to verify GEP stack height, for sources seeking stack height credit after November 9, 1984 based on the aerodynamic influence of cooling towers, and for sources seeking stack height credit after December 31, 1970 based on the aerodynamic influence of structures not adequately represented by the equations in §51.100(ii)(2), a maximum ground-level concentration due in whole or part to downwash, wakes or eddy effects that is at least 40 percent in excess of the maximum concentration experienced in the absence of such downwash, wakes, or eddy effects.

(ll)-(mm) [Reserved]

(nn) Intermittent control system (ICS) means a dispersion technique which varies the rate at which pollutants are emitted to the atmosphere according to meteorological conditions and/or ambient concentrations of the pollutant, in order to prevent ground-level concentrations in excess of applicable ambient air quality standards. Such a dispersion technique is an ICS whether used alone, used with other dispersion techniques, or used as a supplement to continuous emission controls (i.e., used as a supplemental control system).

(oo) Particulate matter means any airborne finely divided solid or liquid material with an aerodynamic diameter smaller than 100 micrometers.

(pp) Particulate matter emissions means all finely divided solid or liquid material, other than uncombined water, emitted to the ambient air as measured by applicable reference methods, or an equivalent or alternative method, specified in this chapter, or by a test method specified in an approved State implementation plan.

(qq) \(PM_{10}\) means particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers as measured by a reference method based on appendix J of part 50 of this chapter and designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter or by an equivalent method designated in accordance with part 53 of this chapter.

(rr) \(PM_{10}\) emissions means finely divided solid or liquid material, with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers emitted to the ambient air as measured by an applicable reference method, or an equivalent or alternative method, specified in this chapter or by a test method specified in an approved State implementation plan.

(ss) Total suspended particulate means particulate matter as measured by the method described in appendix B of part 50 of this chapter.


§51.101 Stipulations.

Nothing in this part will be construed in any manner:

(a) To encourage a State to prepare, adopt, or submit a plan which does not provide for the protection and enhancement of air quality so as to promote the public health and welfare and productive capacity.

(b) To encourage a State to adopt any particular control strategy without taking into consideration the cost-effectiveness of such control strategy.
in relation to that of alternative control strategies.

(c) To preclude a State from employing techniques other than those specified in this part for purposes of estimating air quality or demonstrating the adequacy of a control strategy, provided that such other techniques are shown to be adequate and appropriate for such purposes.

(d) To encourage a State to prepare, adopt, or submit a plan without taking into consideration the social and economic impact of the control strategy set forth in such plan, including, but not limited to, impact on availability of fuels, energy, transportation, and employment.

(e) To preclude a State from preparing, adopting, or submitting a plan which provides for attainment and maintenance of a national standard through the application of a control strategy not specifically identified or described in this part.

(f) To preclude a State from preparing, adopting, or enforcing any emission limitations or other measures or combinations thereof to attain and maintain air quality better than that required by a national standard.

(g) To encourage a State to adopt a control strategy uniformly applicable throughout a region unless there is no satisfactory alternative way of providing for attainment and maintenance of a national standard throughout such region.

§ 51.102 Public hearings.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (c) of this section, States must conduct one or more public hearings on the following prior to adoption and submission to EPA of:

(1) Any plan or revision of it required by §51.104(a).

(2) Any individual compliance schedule under §51.260.

(3) Any revision under §51.104(d).

(b) Separate hearings may be held for plans to implement primary and secondary standards.

(c) No hearing will be required for any change to an increment of progress in an approved individual compliance schedule unless such change is likely to cause the source to be unable to comply with the final compliance date in the schedule. The requirements of §§51.104 and 51.105 will be applicable to such schedules, however.

(d) Any hearing required by paragraph (a) of this section will be held only after reasonable notice, which will be considered to include, at least 30 days prior to the date of such hearing(s):

(1) Notice given to the public by prominent advertisement in the area affected announcing the date(s), time(s), and place(s) of such hearing(s);

(2) Availability of each proposed plan or revision for public inspection in at least one location in each region to which it will apply, and the availability of each compliance schedule for public inspection in at least one location in the region in which the affected source is located;

(3) Notification to the Administrator (through the appropriate Regional Office);

(4) Notification to each local air pollution control agency which will be significantly impacted by such plan, schedule or revision;

(5) In the case of an interstate region, notification to any other States included, in whole or in part, in the regions which are significantly impacted by such plan or schedule or revision.

(e) The State must prepare and retain, for inspection by the Administrator upon request, a record of each hearing. The record must contain, as a minimum, a list of witnesses together with the text of each presentation.

(f) The State must submit with the plan, revision, or schedule a certification that the hearing required by paragraph (a) of this section was held in accordance with the notice required by paragraph (d) of this section.

(g) Upon written application by a State agency (through the appropriate Regional Office), the Administrator may approve State procedures for public hearings. The following criteria apply:

(1) Procedures approved under this section shall be deemed to satisfy the requirement of this part regarding public hearings.

(2) Procedures different from this part may be approved if they—
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(i) Ensure public participation in matters for which hearings are required; and
(ii) Provide adequate public notification of the opportunity to participate.
(3) The Administrator may impose any conditions on approval he or she deems necessary.

§ 51.103 Submission of plans, preliminary review of plans.

(a) The State makes an official plan submission to EPA only when the submission conforms to the requirements of appendix V to this part, and the State delivers five copies of the plan to the appropriate Regional Office, with a letter giving notice of such action.
(b) Upon request of a State, the Administrator will provide preliminary review of a plan or portion thereof submitted in advance of the date such plan is due. Such requests must be made in writing to the appropriate Regional Office and must be accompanied by five copies of the materials to be reviewed. Requests for preliminary review do not relieve a State of the responsibility of adopting and submitting plans in accordance with prescribed due dates.

§ 51.104 Revisions.

(a) States may revise the plan from time to time consistent with the requirements applicable to implementation plans under this part.
(b) The States must submit any revision of any regulation or any compliance schedule under paragraph (c) of this section to the Administrator no later than 60 days after its adoption.
(c) EPA will approve revisions only after applicable hearing requirements of § 51.102 have been satisfied.
(d) In order for a variance to be considered for approval as a revision to the State implementation plan, the State must submit it in accordance with the requirements of this section.
[51 FR 40661, Nov. 7, 1986, as amended at 61 FR 16060, Apr. 11, 1996]

§ 51.105 Approval of plans.

Revisions of a plan, or any portion thereof, will not be considered part of an applicable plan until such revisions have been approved by the Administrator in accordance with this part.
[51 FR 40661, Nov. 7, 1986, as amended at 60 FR 33922, June 29, 1995]

Subpart G—Control Strategy

SOURCE: 51 FR 40665, Nov. 7, 1986, unless otherwise noted.

§ 51.110 Attainment and maintenance of national standards.

(a) Each plan providing for the attainment of a primary or secondary standard must specify the projected attainment date.
(b)–(f) [Reserved]
(g) During developing of the plan, EPA encourages States to identify alternative control strategies, as well as the costs and benefits of each such alternative for attainment or maintenance of the national standard.

§ 51.111 Description of control measures.

Each plan must set forth a control strategy which includes the following:
(a) A description of enforcement methods including, but not limited to:
(1) Procedures for monitoring compliance with each of the selected control measures,
(2) Procedures for handling violations, and
(3) A designation of agency responsibility for enforcement of implementation.
(b) [Reserved]
[51 FR 40661, Nov. 7, 1986, as amended at 60 FR 33922, June 29, 1995]

§ 51.112 Demonstration of adequacy.

(a) Each plan must demonstrate that the measures, rules, and regulations contained in it are adequate to provide for the timely attainment and maintenance of the national standard that it implements.
(1) The adequacy of a control strategy shall be demonstrated by means of
§ 51.113 Applicable air quality models, data bases, and other requirements specified in appendix W of this part (Guideline on Air Quality Models).

(2) Where an air quality model specified in appendix W of this part (Guideline on Air Quality Models) is inappropriate, the model may be modified or another model substituted. Such a modification or substitution of a model may be made on a case-by-case basis or, where appropriate, on a generic basis for a specific State program. Written approval of the Administrator must be obtained for any modification or substitution. In addition, use of a modified or substituted model must be subject to notice and opportunity for public comment under procedures set forth in § 51.102.

(b) The demonstration must include the following:

(1) A summary of the computations, assumptions, and judgments used to determine the degree of reduction of emissions (or reductions in the growth of emissions) that will result from the implementation of the control strategy.

(2) A presentation of emission levels expected to result from implementation of each measure of the control strategy.

(3) A presentation of the air quality levels expected to result from implementation of the overall control strategy presented either in tabular form or as an isopleth map showing expected maximum pollutant concentrations.

(4) A description of the dispersion models used to project air quality and to evaluate control strategies.

(5) For interstate regions, the analysis from each constituent State must, where practicable, be based upon the same regional emission inventory and air quality baseline.


§ 51.114 Emissions data and projections.

(a) Except for lead, each plan must contain a detailed inventory of emissions from point and area sources. Lead requirements are specified in §51.117.

The inventory must be based upon measured emissions or, where measured emissions are not available, documented emission factors.

(b) Each plan must contain a summary of emission levels projected to result from application of the new control strategy.

(c) Each plan must identify the sources of the data used in the projection of emissions.

§ 51.115 Air quality data and projections.

(a) Each plan must contain a summary of data showing existing air quality.

(b) Each plan must:

(1) Contain a summary of air quality concentrations expected to result from application of the control strategy, and

(2) Identify and describe the dispersion model, other air quality model, or receptor model used.

(c) Actual measurements of air quality must be used where available if made by methods specified in appendix C to part 58 of this chapter. Estimated air quality using appropriate modeling techniques may be used to supplement measurements.

(d) For purposes of developing a control strategy, background concentration shall be taken into consideration with respect to particulate matter. As used in this subpart, background concentration is that portion of the measured ambient levels that cannot be reduced by controlling emissions from man-made sources.

(e) In developing an ozone control strategy for a particular area, background ozone concentrations and ozone transported into an area must be considered. States may assume that the ozone standard will be attained in upwind areas.

§ 51.116 Data availability.

(a) The State must retain all detailed data and calculations used in the preparation of each plan or each plan revision, and make them available for public inspection and submit them to the Administrator at his request.

(b) The detailed data and calculations used in the preparation of plan revisions are not considered a part of the plan.
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§ 51.117 Additional provisions for lead.

In addition to other requirements in §§51.100 through 51.116 the following requirements apply to lead. To the extent they conflict, these requirements are controlling over those of the proceeding sections.

(a) Control strategy demonstration. Each plan must contain a demonstration showing that the plan will attain and maintain the standard in the following areas:
   (1) Areas in the vicinity of the following point sources of lead: Primary lead smelters, Secondary lead smelters, Primary copper smelters, Lead gasoline additive plants, Lead-acid storage battery manufacturing plants that produce 2,000 or more batteries per day. Any other stationary source that actually emits 25 or more tons per year of lead or lead compounds measured as elemental lead.
   (2) Any other area that has lead air concentrations in excess of the national ambient air quality standard, measured since January 1, 1974.

(b) Time period for demonstration of adequacy. The demonstration of adequacy of the control strategy required under §51.112 may cover a longer period if allowed by the appropriate EPA Regional Administrator.

(c) Special modeling provisions. (1) For urbanized areas with measured lead concentrations in excess of 4.0 \(\mu g/m^3\), quarterly mean measured since January 1, 1974, the plan must employ the modified rollback model for the demonstration of attainment as a minimum, but may use an atmospheric dispersion model if desired for the demonstration of attainment, consistent with requirements contained in §51.112(a).
   (2) For each area in the vicinity of an air quality monitor that has recorded lead concentrations in excess of the lead national standard concentration, the plan must employ the modified rollback model as a minimum, but may use an atmospheric dispersion model if desired for the demonstration of attainment, consistent with requirements contained in §51.112(a).

(d) Air quality data and projections. (1) Each State must submit to the appropriate EPA Regional Office with the plan, but not part of the plan, all lead air quality data measured since January 1, 1974. This requirement does not apply if the data has already been submitted.
   (2) The data must be submitted in accordance with the procedures and data forms specified in Chapter 3.4.0 of the "AEROS User’s Manual" concerning storage and retrieval of aerometric data (SAROAD) except where the Regional Administrator waives this requirement.
   (3) If additional lead air quality data are desired to determine lead air concentrations in areas suspected of exceeding the lead national ambient air quality standard, the plan may include data from any previously collected filters from particulate matter high volume samplers. In determining the lead content of the filters for control strategy demonstration purposes, a State may use, in addition to the reference method, X-ray fluorescence or any other method approved by the Regional Administrator.

(e) Emissions data. (1) The point source inventory on which the summary of the baseline lead emissions inventory is based must contain all sources that emit five or more tons of lead per year.
   (2) Each State must submit lead emissions data to the appropriate EPA Regional Office with the original plan.
§ 51.118 Stack height provisions.

(a) The plan must provide that the degree of emission limitation required of any source for control of any air pollutant must not be affected by so much of any source’s stack height that exceeds good engineering practice except as provided in §51.118(b). The plan must provide that before a State submits to EPA a new or revised emission limitation that is based on a good engineering practice stack height that exceeds the height allowed by §51.100(ii) (1) or (2), the State must notify the public of the availability of the demonstration study and must provide opportunity for a public hearing on it. This section does not require the plan to restrict, in any manner, the actual stack height of any source.

(b) The provisions of §51.118(a) shall not apply to (1) stack heights in existence, or dispersion techniques implemented on or before December 31, 1970, except where pollutants are being emitted from such stacks or using such dispersion techniques by sources, as defined in section 111(a)(3) of the Clean Air Act, which were constructed, or reconstructed, or for which major modifications, as defined in §§51.165(a)(1)(v)(A), 51.166(b)(2)(1) and 52.21(b)(2)(i), were carried out after December 31, 1970; or (2) coal-fired steam electric generating units subject to the provisions of section 118 of the Clean Air Act, which commenced operation before July 1, 1957, and whose stacks were constructed under a construction contract awarded before February 8, 1974.

§ 51.119 Intermittent control systems.

(a) The use of an intermittent control system (ICS) may be taken into account in establishing an emission limitation for a pollutant under a State implementation plan, provided:

(1) The ICS was implemented before December 31, 1970, according to the criteria specified in §51.119(b).

(2) The extent to which the ICS is taken into account is limited to reflect emission levels and associated ambient pollutant concentrations that would result if the ICS was the same as it was before December 31, 1970, and was operated as specified by the operating system of the ICS before December 31, 1970.

(3) The plan allows the ICS to compensate only for emissions from a source for which the ICS was implemented before December 31, 1970, and, in the event the source has been modified, only to the extent the emissions correspond to the maximum capacity of the source before December 31, 1970. For purposes of this paragraph, a source for which the ICS was implemented is any particular structure or equipment the emissions from which were subject to the ICS operating procedures.

(4) The plan requires the continued operation of any constant pollution control system which was in use before December 31, 1970, or the equivalent of that system.

(5) The plan clearly defines the emission limits affected by the ICS and the manner in which the ICS is taken into account in establishing those limits.

(6) The plan contains requirements for the operation and maintenance of the qualifying ICS which, together with the emission limitations and any other necessary requirements, will assure that the national ambient air quality standards and any applicable prevention of significant deterioration increments will be attained and maintained. These requirements shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following:

(i) Requirements that a source owner or operator continuously operate and maintain the components of the ICS specified at §51.119(b)(3) (ii)-(v) in a manner which assures that the ICS is
Environmental Protection Agency

§ 51.120

at least as effective as it was before December 31, 1970. The air quality monitors and meteorological instrumentation specified at §51.119(b) may be operated by a local authority or other entity provided the source has ready access to the data from the monitors and instrumentation.

(ii) Requirements which specify the circumstances under which, the extent to which, and the procedures through which, emissions shall be curtailed through the activation of ICS.

(iii) Requirements for recordkeeping which require the owner or operator of the source to keep, for periods of at least 3 years, records of measured ambient air quality data, meteorological information acquired, and production data relating to those processes affected by the ICS.

(iv) Requirements for reporting which require the owner or operator of the source to notify the State and EPA within 30 days of a NAAQS violation pertaining to the pollutant affected by the ICS.

§ 51.120 Requirements for State Implementation Plan revisions relating to new motor vehicles.

(a) The EPA Administrator finds that the State Implementation Plans (SIPs) for the States of Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont, the portion of Virginia included (as of November 15, 1990) within the Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area that includes the District of Columbia, are substantially inadequate to comply with the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D) of the Clean Air
Act, 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2)(D), and to mitigate adequately the interstate pollutant transport described in section 184 of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7511C, to the extent that they do not provide for emission reductions from new motor vehicles in the amount that would be achieved by the Ozone Transport Commission low emission vehicle (OTC LEV) program described in paragraph (c) of this section. This inadequacy will be deemed cured for each of the aforementioned States (including the District of Columbia) in the event that EPA determines through rulemaking that a national LEV-equivalent new motor vehicle emission control program is an acceptable alternative for OTC LEV and finds that such program is in effect. In the event no such finding is made, each of those States must adopt and submit to EPA by February 15, 1996 a SIP revision meeting the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section in order to cure the SIP inadequacy.

(b) If a SIP revision is required under paragraph (a) of this section, it must contain the OTC LEV program described in paragraph (c) of this section unless the State adopts and submits to EPA, as a SIP revision, other emission-reduction measures sufficient to meet the requirements of paragraph (d) of this section. If a State adopts and submits to EPA, as a SIP revision, other emission-reduction measures pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section, then for purposes of determining whether such a SIP revision is complete within the meaning of section 110(k)(1) (and hence is eligible at least for consideration to be approved as satisfying paragraph (d) of this section), such a SIP revision must contain other adopted emission-reduction measures that, together with the identified potentially broadly practicable measures, achieve at least the minimum level of emission reductions that could potentially satisfy the requirements of paragraph (d) of this section. All such measures must be fully adopted and enforceable.

(c) The OTC LEV program is a program adopted pursuant to section 177 of the Clean Air Act.

(i) It shall apply to all new 1999 and later model year passenger cars and light-duty trucks (0-5750 pounds loaded vehicle weight), as defined in Title 13, California Code of Regulations, section 1900(b)(11) and (b)(6), respectively, that are sold, imported, delivered, purchased, leased, rented, acquired, received, or registered in any area of the State that is in the Northeast Ozone Transport Region as of December 19, 1994.

(ii) All vehicles to which the OTC LEV program is applicable shall be required to have a certificate from the California Air Resources Board (CARB) affirming compliance with California standards.

(iii) All vehicles to which this LEV program is applicable shall be required to meet the mass emission standards for Non-Methane Organic Gases (NMOG), Carbon Monoxide (CO), Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx), Formaldehyde (HCHO), and particulate matter (PM) as specified in Title 13, California Code of Regulations, section 1960.1(f)(2) (and formaldehyde standards under section 1960.1(e)(2), as applicable) or as specified by California for certification as a TLEV (Transitional Low-Emission Vehicle), LEV (Low-Emission Vehicle), ULEV (Ultra-Low-Emission Vehicle), or ZEV (Zero-Emission Vehicle) under section 1960.1(g)(1) (and section 1960.1(e)(3), for formaldehyde standards, as applicable).

(iv) All manufacturers of vehicles subject to the OTC LEV program shall be required to meet the fleet average NMOG exhaust emission values for production and delivery for sale of their passenger cars, light-duty trucks 0-3750 pounds loaded vehicle weight, and light-duty trucks 3751-5750 pounds loaded vehicle weight specified in Title 13, California Code of Regulations, section 1960.1(g)(2) for each model year beginning in 1999. A State may determine not to implement the NMOG fleet average in the first model year of the program if the State begins implementation of the program late in a calendar year. However, all States must implement the NMOG fleet average in any full model years of the LEV program.

(v) All manufacturers shall be allowed to average, bank and trade credits in the same manner as allowed
under the program specified in Title 13, California Code of Regulations, section 1960.1(g)(2) footnote 7 for each model year beginning in 1999. States may account for credits banked by manufacturers in California or New York in years immediately preceding model year 1999, in a manner consistent with California banking and discounting procedures.

(vi) The provisions for small volume manufacturers and intermediate volume manufacturers, as applied by Title 13, California Code of Regulations to California’s LEV program, shall apply. Those manufacturers defined as small volume manufacturers and intermediate volume manufacturers in California under California’s regulations shall be considered small volume manufacturers and intermediate volume manufacturers under this program.

(vii) The provisions for hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs), as defined in Title 13 California Code of Regulations, section 1960.1, shall apply for purposes of calculating fleet average NMOG values.


(ix) The provisions for reactivity adjustment factors, as defined by Title 13, California Code of Regulations, shall apply.

(x) The aforementioned State OTC LEV standards shall be identical to the aforementioned California standards as such standards exist on December 19, 1994.

(xi) All States’ OTC LEV programs must contain any other provisions of California’s LEV program specified in Title 13, California Code of Regulations necessary to comply with section 177 of the Clean Air Act.

(2) States are not required to include the mandate for production of ZEVs specified in Title 13, California Code of Regulations, section 1960.1(g)(2) footnote 9.

(3) Except as specified elsewhere in this section, States may implement the OTC LEV program in any manner consistent with the Act that does not decrease the emissions reductions or jeopardize the effectiveness of the program.

(d) The SIP revision that paragraph (b) of this section describes as an alternative to the OTC LEV program described in paragraph (c) of this section must contain a set of State-adopted measures that provides at least the following amount of emission reductions in time to bring serious ozone nonattainment areas into attainment by their 1999 attainment date:

(1) Reductions at least equal to the difference between:

(i) The nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{X}) emission reductions from the 1990 statewide emissions inventory achievable through implementation of all of the Clean Air Act-mandated and potentially broadly practicable control measures throughout all portions of the State that are within the Northeast Ozone Transport Region created under section 184(a) of the Clean Air Act as of December 19, 1994; and

(ii) A reduction in NO\textsubscript{X} emissions from the 1990 statewide inventory in such portions of the State of 50% or whatever greater reduction is necessary to prevent significant contribution to nonattainment in, or interference with maintenance by, any downwind State.

(2) Reductions at least equal to the difference between:

(i) The VOC emission reductions from the 1990 statewide emissions inventory achievable through implementation of all of the Clean Air Act-mandated and potentially broadly practicable control measures in all portions of the State in, or near and upwind of, any of the serious or severe ozone nonattainment areas lying in the series of such areas running northeast from the Washington, DC, ozone nonattainment area to and including the Portsmouth, New Hampshire ozone nonattainment area; and

(ii) A reduction in VOC emissions from the 1990 emissions inventory in all such areas of 50% or whatever greater reduction is necessary to prevent significant contribution to nonattainment in, or interference with maintenance by, any downwind State.

[60 FR 4736, Jan. 24, 1995]
§ 51.121 Findings and requirements for submission of State implementation plan revisions relating to emissions of oxides of nitrogen.

(a)(1) The Administrator finds that the State implementation plan (SIP) for each jurisdiction listed in paragraph (c) of this section is substantially inadequate to comply with the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I), because the SIP does not include adequate provisions to prohibit sources and other activities from emitting nitrogen oxides ("NOX") in amounts that will contribute significantly to nonattainment in one or more other States with respect to the 1-hour ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). Each of the jurisdictions listed in paragraph (c) of this section must submit to EPA a SIP revision that cures the inadequacy.

(2) Under section 110(a)(1) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(1), the Administrator determines that each jurisdiction listed in paragraph (c) of this section must submit a SIP revision to comply with the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I), 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I), through the adoption of adequate provisions prohibiting sources and other activities from emitting NOX in amounts that will contribute significantly to nonattainment in, or interfere with maintenance by, one or more other States with respect to the 8-hour ozone NAAQS.

(b)(1) For each jurisdiction listed in paragraph (c) of this section, the SIP revision required under paragraph (a) of this section will contain adequate provisions, for purposes of complying with section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I), only if the SIP revision:

(i) Contains control measures adequate to prohibit emissions of NOX that would otherwise be projected, in accordance with paragraph (g) of this section, to cause the jurisdiction’s overall NOX emissions to be in excess of the budget for that jurisdiction described in paragraph (e) of this section (except as provided in paragraph (b)(2) of this section),

(ii) Requires full implementation of all such control measures by no later than May 1, 2003, and

(iii) Meets the other requirements of this section. The SIP revision’s compliance with the requirement of paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section shall be considered compliance with the jurisdiction’s budget for purposes of this section.

(2) The requirements of paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section shall be deemed satisfied, for the portion of the budget covered by an interstate trading program, if the SIP revision:

(i) Contains provisions for an interstate trading program that EPA determines will, in conjunction with interstate trading programs for one or more other jurisdictions, prohibit NOX emissions in excess of the sum of the portion of the budgets covered by the trading programs for those jurisdictions; and

(ii) Conforms to the following criteria:

(A) Emissions reductions used to demonstrate compliance with the revision must occur during the ozone season.

(B) Emissions reductions occurring prior to the year 2003 may be used by a source to demonstrate compliance with the SIP revision for the 2003 and 2004 ozone seasons, provided the SIP’s provisions regarding such use comply with the requirements of paragraph (e)(3) of this section.

(C) Emissions reduction credits or emissions allowances held by a source or other person following the 2003 ozone season or any ozone season thereafter that are not required to demonstrate compliance with the SIP for the relevant ozone season may be banked and used to demonstrate compliance with the SIP in a subsequent ozone season.

(D) Early reductions created according to the provisions in paragraph (b)(2)(i)(B) of this section and used in the 2003 ozone season are not subject to the flow control provisions set forth in paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(E) of this section.

(E) Starting with the 2004 ozone season, the SIP shall include provisions to limit the use of banked emissions reduction credits or emissions allowances
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beyond a predetermined amount as calculated by one of the following approaches:

(1) Following the determination of compliance after each ozone season, if the total number of emissions reduction credits or banked allowances held by sources or other persons subject to the trading program exceeds 10 percent of the sum of the allowable ozone season NO\textsubscript{X} emissions for all sources subject to the trading program, then all banked allowances used for compliance for the following ozone season shall be subject to the following:

(i) A ratio will be established according to the following formula: \((0.10) \times (\text{the sum of the allowable ozone season NO\textsubscript{X} emissions for all sources subject to the trading program}) \div (\text{the total number of banked emissions reduction credits or emissions allowances held by all sources or other persons subject to the trading program})\)

(ii) The ratio, determined using the formula specified in paragraph (b)(2)(i)(E)(1)(i) of this section, will be multiplied by the number of banked emissions reduction credits or emissions allowances held in each account at the time of compliance determination. The resulting product is the number of banked emissions reduction credits or emissions allowances which the source shall be subject to the following:

(a) The resulting product either may not be used for compliance at a rate no less than 2 credits or allowances for every 1 ton of emissions.

(b) The source may use an amount of banked emissions reduction credits or emissions allowances not greater than 10 percent of the source’s allowable ozone season NO\textsubscript{X} emissions for compliance at a rate of 1 credit or allowance for every 1 ton of emissions.

(c) The following jurisdictions (hereinafter referred to as “States”) are subject to the requirements of this section: Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

(e)(1) The NO\textsubscript{X} budget for a State listed in paragraph (c) of this section is defined as the total amount of NO\textsubscript{X} emissions from all sources in that State, as indicated in paragraph (e)(2) of this section with respect to that State, which the State must demonstrate that it will not exceed in the 2007 ozone season pursuant to paragraph (g)(1) of this section.

(2) The State-by-State amounts of the NO\textsubscript{X} budget, expressed in tons per ozone season, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>172,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>42,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>22,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>6,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>188,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>270,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>229,965</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(3)(i) Notwithstanding the State’s obligation to comply with the budgets set forth in paragraph (e)(2) of this section, a SIP revision may allow sources required by the revision to implement NO\textsubscript{X} emission control measures by May 1, 2003 to demonstrate compliance in the 2003 and 2004 ozone seasons using credit issued from the State’s compliance supplement pool, as set forth in paragraph (e)(3)(ii) of this section.

(ii) A source may not use credit from the compliance supplement pool to demonstrate compliance after the 2004 ozone season.

(iii) The State-by-State amounts of the compliance supplement pool are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Budget (tons of NO\textsubscript{X})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>11,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>17,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>19,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>13,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>3,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>11,356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>1,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>10,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>2,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>5,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>5,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>10,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>16,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>6,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>83,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>180,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>123,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>135,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,357,786</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iv) The SIP revision may provide for the distribution of the compliance supplement pool to sources that are required to implement control measures using one or both of the following two mechanisms:

(A) The State may issue some or all of the compliance supplement pool to sources that implement emissions reductions during the ozone season beyond all applicable requirements in years prior to the year 2003 according to the following provisions:

(1) The State shall complete the issuance process by no later than May 1, 2003.

(2) The emissions reduction may not be required by the State’s SIP or be otherwise required by the CAA.

(B) The State may issue some or all of the compliance supplement pool to sources that implement emissions reductions during the ozone season must be quantified according to the requirements in paragraph (i)(4) of this section.

(3) The emissions reduction must be verified by the source as actually having occurred during an ozone season between September 30, 1999 and May 1, 2003.

(4) The emissions reduction must be quantified according to procedures set forth in the SIP revision and approved by EPA. Emissions reductions implemented by sources serving electric generators with a nameplate capacity greater than 25 MWe, or boilers, combustion turbines or combined cycle units with a maximum design heat input greater than 250 mmBtu/hr, must be quantified according to the requirements in paragraph (i)(4) of this section.

(5) If the SIP revision contains approved provisions for an emissions trading program, sources that receive credit according to the requirements of this paragraph may trade the credit to other sources or persons according to the provisions in the trading program.

(B) The State may issue some or all of the compliance supplement pool to sources that demonstrate a need for an extension of the May 1, 2003 compliance deadline according to the following provisions:

(1) The State shall initiate the issuance process by the later date of September 30, 2002 or after the State issues credit according to the procedures in paragraph (e)(3)(iv)(A) of this section.

(2) The State shall complete the issuance process by no later than May 1, 2003.
(3) The State shall issue credit to a source only if the source demonstrates the following:

(i) For a source used to generate electricity, compliance with the SIP revision’s applicable control measures by May 1, 2003, would create undue risk for the reliability of the electricity supply. This demonstration must include a showing that it would not be feasible to import electricity from other electricity generation systems during the installation of control technologies necessary to comply with the SIP revision.

(ii) For a source not used to generate electricity, compliance with the SIP revision’s applicable control measures by May 1, 2003, would create undue risk for the source or its associated industry to a degree that is comparable to the risk described in paragraph (e)(3)(iv)(B)(3)(i) of this section.

(iii) For a source subject to an approved SIP revision that allows for early reduction credits in accordance with paragraph (e)(3)(iv)(A) of this section, it was not possible for the source to comply with applicable control measures by generating early reduction credits or acquiring early reduction credits from other sources.

(iv) For a source subject to an approved emissions trading program, it was not possible to comply with applicable control measures by acquiring sufficient credit from other sources or persons subject to the emissions trading program.

(4) The State shall ensure the public an opportunity, through a public hearing process, to comment on the appropriateness of allocating compliance supplement pool credits to a source under paragraph (e)(3)(iv)(B) of this section, then EPA will act on that request no later than April 23, 1999 provided:

(i) The request is submitted in electronic format;

(ii) Information is provided to corroborate and justify the need for the requested modification;

(iii) The request includes the following data information regarding any electricity-generating source at issue:

(A) Federal Information Placement System (FIPS) State Code;

(B) FIPS County Code;

(C) Plant name;

(D) Plant ID numbers (ORIS code preferred, State agency tracking number also or otherwise);

(E) Unit ID numbers (a unit is a boiler or other combustion device);

(F) Unit type;

(G) Primary fuel on a heat input basis;

(H) Maximum rated heat input capacity of unit;

(I) Nameplate capacity of the largest generator the unit serves;

(J) Ozone season heat inputs for the years 1995 and 1996;

(K) 1996 (or most recent) average NOX rate for the ozone season;

(L) Latitude and longitude coordinates;

(M) Stack parameter information;

(N) Operating parameter information;

(O) Identification of specific change to the inventory; and

(P) Reason for the change;

(iv) The request includes the following data information regarding any non-electricity generating point source at issue:

(A) FIPS State Code;

(B) FIPS County Code;

(C) Plant name;

(D) Facility primary standard industrial classification code (SIC);

(E) Plant ID numbers (NEDS, AIRS/AFS, and State agency tracking number also or otherwise);

(F) Unit ID numbers (a unit is a boiler or other combustion device);

(G) Primary source classification code (SCC);

(H) Maximum rated heat input capacity of unit;

(I) 1995 ozone season or typical ozone season daily NOX emissions;
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(J) 1995 existing NO\textsubscript{X} control efficiency;

(K) Latitude and longitude coordinates;

(L) Stack parameter information;

(M) Operating parameter information;

(N) Identification of specific change to the inventory; and

(O) Reason for the change;

(v) The request includes the following data information regarding any stationary area source or nonroad mobile source at issue:

(A) FIPS State Code;

(B) FIPS County Code;

(C) Primary source classification code (SCC);

(D) 1995 ozone season or typical ozone season daily NO\textsubscript{X} emissions;

(E) 1995 existing NO\textsubscript{X} control efficiency;

(F) Identification of specific change to the inventory; and

(G) Reason for the change;

(vi) The request includes the following data information regarding any highway mobile source at issue:

(A) FIPS State Code;

(B) FIPS County Code;

(C) Primary source classification code (SCC) or vehicle type;

(D) 1995 ozone season or typical ozone season daily vehicle miles traveled (VMT);

(E) 1995 existing NO\textsubscript{X} control programs;

(F) Identification of specific change to the inventory; and

(G) Reason for the change.

(f) Each SIP revision must set forth control measures to meet the NO\textsubscript{X} budget in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section, which include the following:

(1) A description of enforcement methods including, but not limited to:

   (i) Procedures for monitoring compliance with each of the selected control measures;

   (ii) Procedures for handling violations; and

   (iii) A designation of agency responsibility for enforcement of implementation.

(2) Should a State elect to impose control measures on fossil fuel-fired NO\textsubscript{X} sources serving electric generators with a nameplate capacity greater than 25 MWe or boilers, combustion turbines or combined cycle units with a maximum design heat input greater than 250 mmBtu/hr as a means of meeting its NO\textsubscript{X} budget, then those measures must:

   (i)(A) Impose a NO\textsubscript{X} mass emissions cap on each source;

   (B) Impose a NO\textsubscript{X} emissions rate limit on each source and assume maximum operating capacity for every such source for purposes of estimating mass NO\textsubscript{X} emissions; or

   (C) Impose any other regulatory requirement which the State has demonstrated to EPA provides equivalent or greater assurance than options in paragraphs (f)(2)(i)(A) or (f)(2)(i)(B) of this section that the State will comply with its NO\textsubscript{X} budget in the 2007 ozone season; and

   (ii) Impose enforceable mechanisms, in accordance with paragraphs (b)(1)(i) and (ii) of this section, to assure that collectively all such sources, including new or modified units, will not exceed in the 2007 ozone season the total NO\textsubscript{X} emissions projected for such sources by the State pursuant to paragraph (g) of this section.

(3) For purposes of paragraph (f)(2) of this section, the term “fossil fuel-fired” means, with regard to a NO\textsubscript{X} source:

   (i) The combustion of fossil fuel, alone or in combination with any other fuel, where fossil fuel actually combusted comprises more than 50 percent of the annual heat input on a Btu basis during any year starting in 1995 or, if a NO\textsubscript{X} source had no heat input starting in 1995, during the last year of operation of the NO\textsubscript{X} source prior to 1995; or

   (ii) The combustion of fossil fuel, alone or in combination with any other fuel, where fossil fuel is projected to comprise more than 50 percent of the annual heat input on a Btu basis during any year; provided that the NO\textsubscript{X} source shall be “fossil fuel-fired” as of the date, during such year, on which the NO\textsubscript{X} source begins combusting fossil fuel.

(g)(1) Each SIP revision must demonstrate that the control measures contained in it are adequate to provide for the timely compliance with the State’s NO\textsubscript{X} budget during the 2007 ozone season.
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(2) The demonstration must include the following:

(i) Each revision must contain a detailed baseline inventory of NOx mass emissions from the following sources in the year 2007, absent the control measures specified in the SIP submission: electric generating units (EGU), non-electric generating units (non-EGU), area, nonroad and highway sources. The State must use the same baseline emissions inventory that EPA used in calculating the State’s NOx budget, as set forth for the State in paragraph (g)(2)(ii) of this section, except that EPA may direct the State to use different baseline inventory information if the State fails to certify that it has implemented all of the control measures assumed in developing the baseline inventory.

(ii) The revised NOx emissions sub-inventories for each State, expressed in tons per ozone season, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>EGU</th>
<th>Non-EGU</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Nonroad</th>
<th>Highway</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>29,022</td>
<td>43,415</td>
<td>28,762</td>
<td>20,146</td>
<td>51,274</td>
<td>172,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>2,652</td>
<td>5,216</td>
<td>4,827</td>
<td>10,736</td>
<td>19,441</td>
<td>42,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>5,250</td>
<td>2,473</td>
<td>1,129</td>
<td>5,651</td>
<td>8,358</td>
<td>22,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>3,135</td>
<td>2,204</td>
<td>6,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>30,402</td>
<td>29,716</td>
<td>13,212</td>
<td>26,467</td>
<td>68,775</td>
<td>188,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>32,372</td>
<td>59,577</td>
<td>9,369</td>
<td>56,724</td>
<td>112,518</td>
<td>270,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>47,731</td>
<td>47,363</td>
<td>29,070</td>
<td>26,494</td>
<td>79,307</td>
<td>229,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>36,503</td>
<td>25,669</td>
<td>31,807</td>
<td>15,025</td>
<td>53,268</td>
<td>162,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>14,656</td>
<td>12,585</td>
<td>4,448</td>
<td>20,026</td>
<td>30,183</td>
<td>81,898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>15,146</td>
<td>10,298</td>
<td>11,048</td>
<td>20,166</td>
<td>28,190</td>
<td>84,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>32,229</td>
<td>60,055</td>
<td>31,721</td>
<td>26,935</td>
<td>78,763</td>
<td>229,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>24,216</td>
<td>21,602</td>
<td>7,341</td>
<td>20,829</td>
<td>51,615</td>
<td>125,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>10,250</td>
<td>15,464</td>
<td>12,431</td>
<td>23,565</td>
<td>35,166</td>
<td>96,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>31,036</td>
<td>27,787</td>
<td>21,860</td>
<td>43,380</td>
<td>94,850</td>
<td>249,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>48,990</td>
<td>40,194</td>
<td>21,860</td>
<td>43,380</td>
<td>94,850</td>
<td>249,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>47,469</td>
<td>70,132</td>
<td>17,842</td>
<td>30,571</td>
<td>91,578</td>
<td>257,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>1,635</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>2,455</td>
<td>3,843</td>
<td>9,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>16,772</td>
<td>27,787</td>
<td>9,415</td>
<td>14,637</td>
<td>54,494</td>
<td>123,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>17,187</td>
<td>35,216</td>
<td>27,738</td>
<td>27,859</td>
<td>72,195</td>
<td>188,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>26,659</td>
<td>20,238</td>
<td>5,459</td>
<td>10,433</td>
<td>20,844</td>
<td>83,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>17,381</td>
<td>19,853</td>
<td>11,253</td>
<td>17,965</td>
<td>69,319</td>
<td>135,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>3,135</td>
<td>2,204</td>
<td>6,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>30,402</td>
<td>29,716</td>
<td>13,212</td>
<td>26,467</td>
<td>68,775</td>
<td>188,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>544,961</td>
<td>640,317</td>
<td>321,827</td>
<td>540,215</td>
<td>1,310,466</td>
<td>3,357,786</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note to paragraph (g)(2)(ii): Totals may not sum due to rounding.

(iii) Each revision must contain a summary of NOx mass emissions in 2007 projected to result from implementation of each of the control measures specified in the SIP submission and from all NOx sources together following implementation of all such control measures, compared to the baseline 2007 NOx emissions inventory for the State described in paragraph (g)(2)(i) of this section. The State must provide EPA with a summary of the computations, assumptions, and judgments used to determine the degree of reduction in projected 2007 NOx emissions that will be achieved from the implementation of the new control measures compared to the baseline emissions inventory.

(iv) Each revision must identify the sources of the data used in the projection of emissions.

(b) Each revision must comply with §51.116 of this part (regarding data availability).

(i) Each revision must provide for monitoring the status of compliance with any control measures adopted to meet the NOx budget. Specifically, the revision must meet the following requirements:

(1) The revision must provide for legally enforceable procedures for requiring owners or operators of stationary sources to maintain records of and periodically report to the State:

(i) Information on the amount of NOx emissions from the stationary sources; and
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(1) Other information as may be necessary to enable the State to determine whether the sources are in compliance with applicable portions of the control measures;

(2) The revision must comply with § 51.212 of this part (regarding testing, inspection, enforcement, and complaints);

(3) If the revision contains any transportation control measures, then the revision must comply with § 51.213 of this part (regarding transportation control measures);

(4) If the revision contains measures to control fossil fuel-fired NOX sources serving electric generators with a nameplate capacity greater than 25 MWe or boilers, combustion turbines or combined cycle units with a maximum design heat input greater than 250 mmBtu/hr, then the revision must require such sources to comply with the monitoring provisions of part 75, subpart H.

(5) For purposes of paragraph (i)(4) of this section, the term "fossil fuel-fired" means, with regard to a NOX source:

(i) The combustion of fossil fuel, alone or in combination with any other fuel, where fossil fuel actually combusted comprises more than 50 percent of the annual heat input on a Btu basis during any year starting in 1995 or, if a NOX source had no heat input starting in 1995, during the last year of operation of the NOX source prior to 1995; or

(ii) The combustion of fossil fuel, alone or in combination with any other fuel, where fossil fuel is projected to comprise more than 50 percent of the annual heat input on a Btu basis during any year, provided that the NOX source shall be "fossil fuel-fired" as of the date, during such year, on which the NOX source begins combusting fossil fuel.

(j) Each revision must show that the State has legal authority to carry out the revision, including authority to:

(1) Adopt emissions standards and limitations and any other measures necessary for attainment and maintenance of the State's NOX budget specified in paragraph (e) of this section;

(2) Enforce applicable laws, regulations, and standards, and seek injunctive relief;

(3) Obtain information necessary to determine whether air pollution sources are in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and standards, including authority to require recordkeeping and to make inspections and conduct tests of air pollution sources;

(4) Require owners or operators of stationary sources to install, maintain, and use emissions monitoring devices and to make periodic reports to the State on the nature and amounts of emissions from such stationary sources; also authority for the State to make such data available to the public as reported and as correlated with any applicable emissions standards or limitations.

(k)(1) The provisions of law or regulation which the State determines provide the authorities required under this section must be specifically identified, and copies of such laws or regulations must be submitted with the SIP revision.

(2) Legal authority adequate to fulfill the requirements of paragraphs (j)(3) and (4) of this section may be delegated to the State under section 114 of the CAA.

(l)(1) The provisions of law or regulation which the State determines provide the authorities required under this section must be specifically identified, and copies of such laws or regulations must be submitted with the SIP revision.

(2) Each revision must comply with § 51.240 of this part (regarding general plan requirements).

(m) Each revision must comply with § 51.280 of this part (regarding resources).

(n) For purposes of the SIP revisions required by this section, EPA may make a finding as applicable under section 179(a)(1)–(4) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7509(a)(1)–(4), starting the sanctions process set forth in section 179(a) of the CAA. Any such finding will be deemed a finding under § 52.31(c) of this part and sanctions will be imposed in accordance with the order of sanctions and the terms for such sanctions established in § 52.31 of this part.

(o) Each revision must provide for State compliance with the reporting requirements set forth in § 51.122 of this part.

(p)(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, if a State adopts regulations substantively identical to 40 CFR part 96 (the model NOX budget...
trading program for SIPs), incorporates such part by reference into its regulations, or adopts regulations that differ substantively from such part only as set forth in paragraph (p)(2) of this section, then that portion of the State’s SIP revision is automatically approved as satisfying the same portion of the State’s NO\textsubscript{X} emission reduction obligations as the State projects such regulations will satisfy, provided that:

(i) The State has the legal authority to take such action and to implement its responsibilities under such regulations, and

(ii) The SIP revision accurately reflects the NO\textsubscript{X} emissions reductions to be expected from the State’s implementation of such regulations.

(2) If a State adopts an emissions trading program that differs substantively from 40 CFR part 96 in only the following respects, then such portion of the State’s SIP revision is approved as set forth in paragraph (p)(1) of this section:

(i) The State may expand the applicability provisions of the trading program to include units (as defined in 40 CFR 96.2) that are smaller than the size criteria thresholds set forth in 40 CFR 96.4(a);

(ii) The State may decline to adopt the exemption provisions set forth in 40 CFR 96.4(b);

(iii) The State may decline to adopt the opt-in provisions set forth in subpart I of 40 CFR part 96;

(iv) The State may decline to adopt the allocation provisions set forth in subpart E of 40 CFR part 96 and may instead adopt any methodology for allocating NO\textsubscript{X} allowances to individual sources, provided that:

(A) The State’s methodology does not allow the State to allocate NO\textsubscript{X} allowances in excess of the total amount of NO\textsubscript{X} emissions which the State has assigned to its trading program; and

(B) The State’s methodology conforms with the timing requirements for submission of allocations to the Administrator set forth in 40 CFR 96.41; and

(v) The State may decline to adopt the early reduction credit provisions set forth in 40 CFR 96.55(c) and may instead adopt any methodology for issuing credit from the State’s compliance supplement pool that complies with paragraph (e)(3) of this section.

(3) If a State adopts an emissions trading program that differs substantively from 40 CFR part 96 other than as set forth in paragraph (p)(2) of this section, then such portion of the State’s SIP revision is not automatically approved as set forth in paragraph (p)(1) of this section but will be reviewed by the Administrator for approvability in accordance with the other provisions of this section.

(q) Stay of Findings of Significant Contribution with respect to the 8-hour standard. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this subpart, the effectiveness of paragraph (a)(2) of this section is stayed.


§ 51.122 Emissions reporting requirements for SIP revisions relating to budgets for NO\textsubscript{X} emissions.

(a) For its transport SIP revision under §51.121 of this part, each State must submit to EPA NO\textsubscript{X} emissions data as described in this section.

(b) Each revision must provide for periodic reporting by the State of NO\textsubscript{X} emissions data to demonstrate whether the State’s emissions are consistent with the projections contained in its approved SIP submission.

(1) Annual reporting. Each revision must provide for annual reporting of NO\textsubscript{X} emissions data as follows:

(i) The State must report to EPA emissions data from all NO\textsubscript{X} sources within the State for which the State specified control measures in its SIP submission under §51.121(g) of this part.

(ii) If sources report NO\textsubscript{X} emissions data to EPA annually pursuant to a trading program approved under §51.121(p) of this part or pursuant to the monitoring and reporting requirements of subpart H of 40 CFR part 75, [VerDate 11<MAY>2000 11:52 Jul 20, 2001 Jkt 194138 PO 00000 Frm 00151 Fmt 8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194138T.XXX pfrm06 PsN: 194138T]
then the State need not provide annual reporting to EPA for such sources.

(2) Triennial reporting. Each plan must provide for triennial (i.e., every third year) reporting of NO\textsubscript{X} emissions data from all sources within the State.

(3) Year 2007 reporting. Each plan must provide for reporting of year 2007 NO\textsubscript{X} emissions data from all sources within the State.

(4) The data availability requirements in §51.116 of this part must be followed for all data submitted to meet the requirements of paragraphs (b)(1), (2) and (3) of this section.

(c) The data reported in paragraph (b) of this section for stationary point sources must meet the following minimum criteria:

(1) For annual data reporting purposes the data must include the following minimum elements:
   (i) Inventory year.
   (ii) State Federal Information Placement System code.
   (iii) County Federal Information Placement System code.
   (iv) Federal ID code (plant).
   (v) Federal ID code (point).
   (vi) Federal ID code (process).
   (vii) Site name.
   (viii) Physical address.
   (ix) SCC.
   (x) Pollutant code.
   (xi) Ozone season emissions.
   (xii) Area designation.

(2) In addition, the annual data must include the following minimum elements as applicable to the emissions estimation methodology.
   (i) Fuel heat content (annual).
   (ii) Fuel heat content (seasonal).
   (iii) Source of fuel heat content data.
   (iv) Activity throughput (annual).
   (v) Activity throughput (seasonal).
   (vi) Source of activity/throughput data.
   (vii) Spring throughput (%).
   (viii) Summer throughput (%).
   (ix) Fall throughput (%).
   (x) Work weekday emissions.
   (xi) Emission factor.
   (xii) Source of emission factor.
   (xiii) Hour/day in operation.
   (xiv) Operations Start time (hour).
   (xv) Day/week in operation.
   (xvi) Week/year in operation.

(3) The triennial and 2007 inventories must include the following data elements:
   (i) The data required in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this section.
   (ii) X coordinate (latitude).
   (iii) Y coordinate (longitude).
   (iv) Stack height.
   (v) Stack diameter.
   (vi) Exit gas temperature.
   (vii) Exit gas velocity.
   (viii) Exit gas flow rate.
   (ix) SIC.
   (x) Boiler/process throughput design capacity.
   (xi) Maximum design rate.
   (xii) Maximum capacity.
   (xiii) Primary control efficiency.
   (xiv) Secondary control efficiency.
   (xv) Control device type.

(d) The data reported in paragraph (b) of this section for area sources must include the following minimum elements:

(1) For annual inventories it must include:
   (i) Inventory year.
   (ii) State FIPS code.
   (iii) County FIPS code.
   (iv) SCC.
   (v) Emission factor.
   (vi) Source of emission factor.
   (vii) Activity/throughput level (annual).
   (viii) Activity throughput level (seasonal).
   (ix) Source of activity/throughput data.
   (x) Spring throughput (%).
   (xi) Summer throughput (%).
   (xii) Fall throughput (%).
   (xiii) Control efficiency (%).
   (xiv) Pollutant code.
   (xv) Ozone season emissions.
   (xvi) Source of emissions data.
   (xvii) Hour/day in operation.
   (xviii) Day/week in operation.
   (xix) Week/year in operation.

(2) The triennial and 2007 inventories must contain, at a minimum, all the data required in paragraph (d)(1) of this section.

(e) The data reported in paragraph (b) of this section for mobile sources must meet the following minimum criteria:

(1) For the annual, triennial, and 2007 inventory purposes, the following data must be reported:
   (i) Inventory year.
(ii) State FIPS code.
(iii) County FIPS code.
(iv) SCC.
(v) Emission factor.
(vi) Source of emission factor.
(vii) Activity (this must be reported for both highway and nonroad activity. Submit nonroad activity in the form of hours of activity at standard load (either full load or average load) for each engine type, application, and horsepower range. Submit highway activity in the form of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) by vehicle class on each roadway type. Report both highway and nonroad activity for a typical ozone season weekday day, if the State uses EPA's default weekday/weekend activity ratio. If the State uses a different weekday/weekend activity ratio, submit separate activity level information for weekday days and weekend days).
(viii) Source of activity data.
(ix) Pollutant code.
(x) Summer work weekday emissions.
(xi) Ozone season emissions.
(xii) Source of emissions data.
(2) [Reserved]
(f) Approval of ozone season calculation by EPA. Each State must submit for EPA approval an example of the calculation procedure used to calculate ozone season emissions along with sufficient information for EPA to verify the calculated value of ozone season emissions.

(g) Reporting schedules. (1) Annual reports are to begin with data for emissions occurring in the year 2003.
(2) Triennial reports are to begin with data for emissions occurring in the year 2002.
(3) Year 2007 data are to be submitted for emissions occurring in the year 2007.
(4) States must submit data for a required year no later than 12 months after the end of the calendar year for which the data are collected.

(h) Data reporting procedures. When submitting a formal NOX budget emissions report and associated data, States shall notify the appropriate EPA Regional Office.
(1) States are required to report emissions data in an electronic format to one of the locations listed in this paragraph (h). Several options are available for data reporting.
(2) An agency may choose to continue reporting to the EPA Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS) system using the AIRS facility sub-system (AFS) format for point sources. (This option will continue for point sources for some period of time after AIRS is reengineered (before 2002), at which time this choice may be discontinued or modified.)
(3) An agency may convert its emissions data into the Emission Inventory Improvement Program/Electronic Data Interchange (EIIP/EDI) format. This file can then be made available to any requestor, either using E-mail, floppy disk, or value added network (VAN), or can be placed on a file transfer protocol (FTP) site.
(4) An agency may submit its emissions data in a proprietary format based on the EIIP data model.
(5) For options in paragraphs (h)(3) and (4) of this section, the terms submitting and reporting data are defined as either providing the data in the EIIP/EDI format or the EIIP based data model proprietary format to EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Emission Factors and Inventory Group, directly or notifying this group that the data are available in the specified format and at a specific electronic location (e.g., FTP site).
(6) For annual reporting (not for triennial reports), a State may have sources submit the data directly to EPA to the extent the sources are subject to a trading program that qualifies for approval under §51.121(q) of this part, and the State has agreed to accept data in this format. The EPA will make both the raw data submitted in this format and summary data available to any State that chooses this option.

(i) Definitions. As used in this section, the following words and terms shall have the meanings set forth below:

(1) Annual emissions. Actual emissions for a plant, point, or process, either measured or calculated.
(2) Ash content. Inert residual portion of a fuel.
(3) Area designation. The designation of the area in which the reporting source is located with regard to the
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ozone NAAQS. This would include attainment or nonattainment designations. For nonattainment designations, the classification of the nonattainment area must be specified, i.e., transitional, marginal, moderate, serious, severe, or extreme.

(4) **Boiler design capacity.** A measure of the size of a boiler, based on the reported maximum continuous steam flow. Capacity is calculated in units of MMBtu/hr.

(5) **Control device type.** The name of the type of control device (e.g., wet scrubber, flaring, or process change).

(6) **Control efficiency.** The emissions reduction efficiency of a primary control device, which shows the amount of reductions of a particular pollutant from a process’ emissions due to controls or material change. Control efficiency is usually expressed as a percentage or in tenths.

(7) **Day/week in operations.** Days per week that the emitting process operates.

(8) **Emission factor.** Ratio relating emissions of a specific pollutant to an activity or material throughput level.

(9) **Exit gas flow rate.** Numeric value of stack gas flow rate.

(10) **Exit gas temperature.** Numeric value of an exit gas stream temperature.

(11) **Exit gas velocity.** Numeric value of an exit gas stream velocity.

(12) **Fall throughput (%).** Portion of throughput for the 3 fall months (September, October, November). This represents the expression of annual activity information on the basis of four seasons, typically spring, summer, fall, and winter. It can be represented either as a percentage of the annual activity (e.g., production in summer is 40 percent of the year’s production), or in terms of the units of the activity (e.g., out of 600 units produced, spring = 150 units, summer = 250 units, fall = 150 units, and winter = 50 units).

(13) **Federal ID code (plant).** Unique codes for a plant or facility, containing one or more pollutant-emitting sources.

(14) **Federal ID code (point).** Unique codes for the point of generation of emissions, typically a physical piece of equipment.

(15) **Federal ID code (stack number).** Unique codes for the point where emissions from one or more processes are released into the atmosphere.

(16) **Federal Information Placement System (FIPS).** The system of unique numeric codes developed by the government to identify States, counties, towns, and townships for the entire United States, Puerto Rico, and Guam.

(17) **Heat content.** The thermal heat energy content of a solid, liquid, or gaseous fuel. Fuel heat content is typically expressed in units of Btu/lb of fuel, Btu/gal of fuel, joules/kg of fuel, etc.

(18) **Hr/day in operations.** Hours per day that the emitting process operates.

(19) **Maximum design rate.** Maximum fuel use rate based on the equipment’s or process’ physical size or operational capabilities.

(20) **Maximum nameplate capacity.** A measure of the size of a generator which is put on the unit’s nameplate by the manufacturer. The data element is reported in megawatts (MW) or kilowatts (KW).

(21) **Mobile source.** A motor vehicle, nonroad engine or nonroad vehicle, where:

(i) **Motor vehicle** means any self-propelled vehicle designed for transporting persons or property on a street or highway;

(ii) **Nonroad engine** means an internal combustion engine (including the fuel system) that is not used in a motor vehicle or a vehicle used solely for competition, or that is not subject to standards promulgated under section 111 or section 202 of the CAA;

(iii) **Nonroad vehicle** means a vehicle that is powered by a nonroad engine and that is not a motor vehicle or a vehicle used solely for competition.

(22) **Ozone season.** The period May 1 through September 30 of a year.

(23) **Physical address.** Street address of facility.

(24) **Point source.** A non-mobile source which emits 100 tons of NOX or more per year unless the State designates as a point source a non-mobile source emitting at a specified level lower than 100 tons of NOX per year. A non-mobile source which emits less NOX per year than the point source threshold is an area source.
(25) **Pollutant code.** A unique code for each reported pollutant that has been assigned in the EIIP Data Model. Character names are used for criteria pollutants, while Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) numbers are used for all other pollutants. Some States may be using storage and retrieval of aerometric data (SAROAD) codes for pollutants, but these should be able to be mapped to the EIIP Data Model pollutant codes.

(26) **Process rate/throughput.** A measurable factor or parameter that is directly or indirectly related to the emissions of an air pollution source. Depending on the type of source category, activity information may refer to the amount of fuel combusted, the amount of a raw material processed, the amount of a product that is manufactured, the amount of a material that is handled or processed, population, employment, number of units, or miles traveled. Activity information is typically the value that is multiplied against an emission factor to generate an emissions estimate.

(27) **SCC. Source category code.** A process-level code that describes the equipment or operation emitting pollutants.

(28) **Secondary control efficiency (%).** The emissions reductions efficiency of a secondary control device, which shows the amount of reductions of a particular pollutant from a process' emissions due to controls or material change. Control efficiency is usually expressed as a percentage or in tenths.

(29) **SIC.** Standard Industrial Classification code. U.S. Department of Commerce's categorization of businesses by their products or services.

(30) **Site name.** The name of the facility.

(31) **Spring throughput (%).** Portion of throughput or activity for the 3 spring months (March, April, May). See the definition of Fall Throughput.

(32) **Stack diameter.** Stack physical diameter.

(33) **Stack height.** Stack physical height above the surrounding terrain.

(34) **Start date (inventory year).** The calendar year that the emissions estimates were calculated for and are applicable to.

(35) **Start time (hour).** Start time (if available) that was applicable and used for calculations of emissions estimates.

(36) **Summer throughput (%).** Portion of throughput or activity for the 3 summer months (June, July, August). See the definition of Fall Throughput.

(37) **Summer work weekday emissions.** Average day's emissions for a typical day.

(38) **VMT by Roadway Class.** This is an expression of vehicle activity that is used with emission factors. The emission factors are usually expressed in terms of grams per mile of travel. Since VMT does not directly correlate to emissions that occur while the vehicle is not moving, these non-moving emissions are incorporated into EPA's MOBILE model emission factors.

(39) **Week/year in operation.** Weeks per year that the emitting process operates.

(40) **Work Weekday.** Any day of the week except Saturday or Sunday.

(41) **X coordinate (latitude).** East-west geographic coordinate of an object.

(42) **Y coordinate (longitude).** North-south geographic coordinate of an object.

[63 FR 57496, Oct. 27, 1998]

### Subpart H—Prevention of Air Pollution Emergency Episodes

**§ 51.150 Classification of regions for episode plans.**

(a) This section continues the classification system for episode plans. Each region is classified separately with respect to each of the following pollutants: Sulfur oxides, particulate matter, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, and ozone.

(b) **Priority I Regions** means any area with greater ambient concentrations than the following:

1. Sulfur dioxide—100 µg/m³ (0.04 ppm) annual arithmetic mean; 455 µg/m³ (0.17 ppm) 24-hour maximum.
2. Particulate matter—95 µg/m³ annual geometric mean; 325 µg/m³ 24-hour maximum.
§51.151 Significant harm levels.

Each plan for a Priority I region must include a contingency plan which must, as a minimum, provide for taking action necessary to prevent ambient pollutant concentrations at any location in such region from reaching the following levels:

- **Sulfur dioxide**—2.620 µg/m³ (1.0 ppm) 24-hour average.
- **PM₁₀**—600 micrograms/cubic meter; 24-hour average.
- **Carbon monoxide**—57.5 mg/m³ (50 ppm) 8-hour average; 86.3 mg/m³ (75 ppm) 4-hour average; 144 mg/m³ (125 ppm) 1-hour average.
- **Ozone**—1,200 µg/m³ (0.6 ppm) 2-hour average.
- **Nitrogen dioxide**—3.750 µg/m³ (2.0 ppm) 1-hour average; 938 µg/m³ (0.5 ppm) 24-hour average.

§51.152 Contingency plans.

(a) Each contingency plan must—

1. Specify two or more stages of episode criteria such as those set forth in appendix L to this part, or their equivalent;
2. Provide for public announcement whenever any episode stage has been determined to exist; and
3. Specify adequate emission control actions to be taken at each episode stage. (Examples of emission control actions are set forth in appendix L.)

(b) Each contingency plan for a Priority I region must provide for the following:

1. Prompt acquisition of forecasts of atmospheric stagnation conditions and of updates of such forecasts as frequently as they are issued by the National Weather Service.
2. Inspection of sources to ascertain compliance with applicable emission control action requirements.
3. Communications procedures for transmitting status reports and orders as to emission control actions to be taken during an episode stage, including procedures for contact with public officials, major emission sources, public health, safety, and emergency agencies and news media.

(c) Each plan for a Priority IA and II region must include a contingency plan that meets, as a minimum, the requirements of paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this section. Areas classified Priority III do not need to develop episode plans.

(d) Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, the Administrator may, at his discretion—

1. Exempt from the requirements of this section those portions of Priority I, IA, or II regions which have been designated as attainment or unclassifiable for national primary and secondary standards under section 107 of the Act; or
2. Limit the requirements pertaining to emission control actions in Priority I regions to—
   - (i) Urbanized areas as identified in the most recent United States Census, and
   - (ii) Major emitting facilities, as defined by section 169(1) of the Act, outside the urbanized areas.

[51 FR 40668, Nov. 7, 1986, as amended at 52 FR 24713, July 1, 1987]
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§ 51.153 Reevaluation of episode plans.
(a) States should periodically re-evaluate priority classifications of all Regions or portion of Regions within their borders. The reevaluation must consider the three most recent years of air quality data. If the evaluation indicates a change to a higher priority classification, appropriate changes in the episode plan must be made as expeditiously as practicable.

(b) [Reserved]

Subpart I—Review of New Sources and Modifications

SOURCE: 51 FR 40669, Nov. 7, 1986, unless otherwise noted.

§ 51.160 Legally enforceable procedures.
(a) Each plan must set forth legally enforceable procedures that enable the State or local agency to determine whether the construction or modification of a facility, building, structure or installation, or combination of these will result in—
(1) A violation of applicable portions of the control strategy; or
(2) Interference with attainment or maintenance of a national standard in the State in which the proposed source (or modification) is located or in a neighboring State.

(b) Such procedures must include means by which the State or local agency responsible for final decision-making on an application for approval to construct or modify will prevent such construction or modification if—
(1) It will result in a violation of applicable portions of the control strategy; or
(2) It will interfere with the attainment or maintenance of a national standard.

(c) The procedures must provide for the submission, by the owner or operator of the building, facility, structure, or installation to be constructed or modified, of such information on—
(1) The nature and amounts of emissions to be emitted by it or emitted by associated mobile sources;
(2) The location, design, construction, and operation of such facility, building, structure, or installation as may be necessary to permit the State or local agency to make the determination referred to in paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) The procedures must provide that approval of any construction or modification must not affect the responsibility to the owner or operator to comply with applicable portions of the control strategy.

(e) The procedures must identify types and sizes of facilities, buildings, structures, or installations which will be subject to review under this section. The plan must discuss the basis for determining which facilities will be subject to review.

(f) The procedures must discuss the air quality data and the dispersion or other air quality modeling used to meet the requirements of this subpart.

(1) All applications of air quality modeling involved in this subpart shall be based on the applicable models, data bases, and other requirements specified in appendix W of this part (Guideline on Air Quality Models).

(2) Where an air quality model specified in appendix W of this part (Guideline on Air Quality Models) is inappropriate, the model may be modified or another model substituted. Such a modification or substitution of a model may be made on a case-by-case basis or, where appropriate, on a generic basis for a specific State program. Written approval of the Administrator must be obtained for any modification or substitution. In addition, use of a modified or substituted model must be subject to notice and opportunity for public comment under procedures set forth in §51.102.


§ 51.161 Public availability of information.
(a) The legally enforceable procedures in §51.160 must also require the State or local agency to provide opportunity for public comment on information submitted by owners and operators. The public information must include the agency’s analysis of the effect of construction or modification on ambient air quality, including the
§ 51.162 Identification of responsible agency.

Each plan must identify the State or local agency which will be responsible for meeting the requirements of this subpart in each area of the State. Where such responsibility rests with an agency other than an air pollution control agency, such agency will consult with the appropriate State or local air pollution control agency in carrying out the provisions of this subpart.

§ 51.163 Administrative procedures.

The plan must include the administrative procedures, which will be followed in making the determination specified in paragraph (a) of §51.160.

§ 51.164 Stack height procedures.

Such procedures must provide that the degree of emission limitation required of any source for control of any air pollutant must not be affected by so much of any source's stack height that exceeds good engineering practice or by any other dispersion technique, except as provided in §51.118(b). Such procedures must provide that before a State issues a permit to a source based on a good engineering practice stack height that exceeds the height allowed by §51.100(i) (1) or (2), the State must notify the public of the availability of the demonstration study and must provide opportunity for public hearing on it. This section does not require such procedures to restrict in any manner the actual stack height of any source.

§ 51.165 Permit requirements.

(a) State Implementation Plan provisions satisfying sections 172(b)(6) and 173 of the Act shall meet the following conditions:

(1) All such plans shall use the specific definitions. Deviations from the following wording will be approved only if the State specifically demonstrates that the submitted definition is more stringent, or at least as stringent, in all respects as the corresponding definition below:

(i) Stationary source means any building, structure, facility, or installation which emits or may emit any air pollutant subject to regulation under the Act.

(ii) Building, structure, facility, or installation means all of the pollutant-emitting activities which belong to the same industrial grouping, are located on one or more contiguous or adjacent properties, and are under the control of the same person (or persons under common control) except the activities of any vessel. Pollutant-emitting activities shall be considered as part of the same industrial grouping if they belong to the same Major Group (i.e., which have the same two-digit code) as described in the Standard Industrial Classification Manual, 1972, as amended by the 1977 Supplement (U.S. Government
(iii) Potential to emit means the maximum capacity of a stationary source to emit a pollutant under its physical and operational design. Any physical or operational limitation on the capacity of the source to emit a pollutant, including air pollution control equipment and restrictions on hours of operation or on the type or amount of material combusted, stored, or processed, shall be treated as part of its design only if the limitation or the effect it would have on emissions is federally enforceable. Secondary emissions do not count in determining the potential to emit of a stationary source.

(iv)(A) Major stationary source means:
(1) Any stationary source of air pollutants which emits, or has the potential to emit 100 tons per year or more of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act, or
(2) Any physical change that would occur at a stationary source not qualifying under paragraph (a)(1)(iv)(A)(1) as a major stationary source, if the change would constitute a major stationary source by itself.

(B) A major stationary source that is major for volatile organic compounds shall be considered major for ozone.

(C) The fugitive emissions of a stationary source shall not be included in determining for any of the purposes of this paragraph whether it is a major stationary source, unless the source belongs to one of the following categories of stationary sources:
(1) Coal cleaning plants (with thermal dryers);
(2) Kraft pulp mills;
(3) Portland cement plants;
(4) Primary zinc smelters;
(5) Iron and steel mills;
(6) Primary aluminum ore reduction plants;
(7) Primary copper smelters;
(8) Municipal incinerators capable of charring more than 250 tons of refuse per day;
(9) Hydrofluoric, sulfuric, or nitric acid plants;
(10) Petroleum refineries;
(11) Lime plants;
(12) Phosphate rock processing plants;
(13) Coke oven batteries;
(14) Sulfur recovery plants;
(15) Carbon black plants (furnace process);
(16) Primary lead smelters;
(17) Fuel conversion plants;
(18) Sintering plants;
(19) Secondary metal production plants;
(20) Chemical process plants;
(21) Fossil-fuel boilers (or combination thereof) totaling more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input;
(22) Petroleum storage and transfer units with a total storage capacity exceeding 300,000 barrels;
(23) Taconite ore processing plants;
(24) Glass fiber processing plants;
(25) Charcoal production plants;
(26) Fossil fuel-fired steam electric plants of more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input; and
(27) Any other stationary source category which, as of August 7, 1980, is being regulated under section 111 or 112 of the Act.

(v)(A) Major modification means any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act.

(B) Any net emissions increase that is considered significant for volatile organic compounds shall be considered significant for ozone.

(C) A physical change or change in the method of operation shall not include:
(1) Routine maintenance, repair and replacement;
(2) Use of an alternative fuel or raw material by reason of an order under sections 2 (a) and (b) of the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974 (or any superseding legislation) or by reason of a natural gas curtailment plan pursuant to the Federal Power Act;
(3) Use of an alternative fuel by reason of an order or rule section 125 of the Act;
(4) Use of an alternative fuel at a steam generating unit to the extent that the fuel is generated from municipal solid waste;
(5) Use of an alternative fuel or raw material by a stationary source which;
(i) The source was capable of accommodating before December 21, 1976, unless such change would be prohibited under any federally enforceable permit condition which was established after December 12, 1976 pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR subpart I or §51.166, or
(ii) The source is approved to use under any permit issued under regulations approved pursuant to this section;

(6) An increase in the hours of operation or in the production rate, unless such change is prohibited under any federally enforceable permit condition which was established after December 21, 1976 pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21 or regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR part 51 subpart I or 40 CFR 51.166.

(7) Any change in ownership at a stationary source.

(8) The addition, replacement or use of a pollution control project at an existing electric utility steam generating unit, unless the reviewing authority determines that such addition, replacement, or use renders the unit less environmentally beneficial, or except:

(i) When the reviewing authority has reason to believe that the pollution control project would result in a significant net increase in representative actual annual emissions of any criteria pollutant over levels used for that source in the most recent air quality impact analysis in the area conducted for the purpose of title I, if any, and

(ii) The reviewing authority determines that the increase will cause or contribute to a violation of any national ambient air quality standard or PSD increment, or visibility limitation.

(9) The installation, operation, cessation, or removal of a temporary clean coal technology demonstration project, provided that the project complies with:

(i) The State Implementation Plan for the State in which the project is located, and

(ii) Other requirements necessary to attain and maintain the national ambient air quality standard during the project and after it is terminated.

(vi)(A) Net emissions increase means the amount by which the sum of the following exceeds zero:

(1) Any increase in actual emissions from a particular physical change or change in the method of operation at a stationary source; and

(2) Any other increases and decreases in actual emissions at the source that are contemporaneous with the particular change and are otherwise creditable.

(B) An increase or decrease in actual emissions is contemporaneous with the increase from the particular change only if it occurs before the date that the increase from the particular change occurs:

(C) An increase or decrease in actual emissions is creditable only if:

(1) It occurs within a reasonable period to be specified by the reviewing authority; and

(2) The reviewing authority has not relied on it in issuing a permit for the source under regulations approved pursuant to this section which permit is in effect when the increase in actual emissions from the particular change occurs.

(D) An increase in actual emissions is creditable only to the extent that the new level of actual emissions exceeds the old level.

(E) A decrease in actual emissions is creditable only to the extent that:

(1) The old level of actual emission or the old level of allowable emissions whichever is lower, exceeds the new level of actual emissions;

(2) It is federally enforceable at and after the time that actual construction on the particular change begins; and

(3) The reviewing authority has not relied on it in issuing any permit under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR part 51 subpart I or the State has not relied on it in demonstrating attainment or reasonable further progress;

(4) It has approximately the same qualitative significance for public health and welfare as that attributed to the increase from the particular change.

(F) An increase that results from a physical change at a source occurs
when the emissions unit on which construction occurred becomes operational and begins to emit a particular pollutant. Any replacement unit that requires shakedown becomes operational only after a reasonable shakedown period, not to exceed 180 days.

(vii) Emissions unit means any part of a stationary source which emits or would have the potential to emit any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act.

(viii) Secondary emissions means emissions which would occur as a result of the construction or operation of a major stationary source or major modification, but do not come from the major stationary source or major modification itself. For the purpose of this section, secondary emissions must be specific, well defined, quantifiable, and impact the same general area as the stationary source or modification which causes the secondary emissions. Secondary emissions include emissions from any offsite support facility which would not be constructed or increase its emissions except as a result of the construction of operation of the major stationary source of major modification. Secondary emissions do not include any emissions which come directly from a mobile source such as emissions from the tailpipe of a motor vehicle, from a train, or from a vessel.

(ix) Fugitive emissions means those emissions which could not reasonably pass through a stack, chimney, vent or other functionally equivalent opening.

(x) Significant means, in reference to a net emissions increase or the potential of a source to emit any of the following pollutants, as rate of emissions that would equal or exceed any of the following rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLLUTANT EMISSION RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide: 100 tons per year (tpy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen oxides: 40 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur dioxide: 40 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone: 40 tpy of volatile organic compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead: 0.6 tpy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(xii) Allowable emissions means the emissions rate of a stationary source calculated using the maximum rated capacity of the source (unless the source is subject to federally enforceable limits which restrict the operating rate, or hours of operation, or both) and the most stringent of the following:

(A) The applicable standards set forth in 40 CFR part 60 or 61;

(B) Any applicable State Implementation Plan emissions limitation including those with a future compliance date; or

(C) The emissions rate specified as a federally enforceable permit condition, including those with a future compliance date.

(xiii) Actual emissions means the actual rate of emissions of a pollutant from an emissions unit as determined in accordance with paragraphs (a)(1)(xii) (B) through (D) of this section.

(B) In general, actual emissions as of a particular date shall equal the average rate, in tons per year, at which the unit actually emitted the pollutant during a two-year period which precedes the particular date and which is representative of normal source operation. The reviewing authority shall allow the use of a different time period upon a determination that it is more representative of normal source operation. Actual emissions shall be calculated using the unit’s actual operating hours, production rates, and types of materials processed, stored, or combusted during the selected time period.

(C) The reviewing authority may presume that the source-specific allowable emissions for the unit are equivalent to the actual emissions of the unit.

(D) For any emissions unit (other than an electric utility steam generating unit specified in paragraph (a)(1)(xii)(E) of this section) which has not begun normal operations on the particular date, actual emissions shall equal the potential to emit of the unit on that date.

(E) For an electric utility steam generating unit (other than a new unit or the replacement of an existing unit) actual emissions of the unit following the physical or operational change shall equal the representative actual annual emissions of the unit, provided the source owner or operator maintains and submits to the reviewing authority, on an annual basis for a period of 5 years from the date the unit resumes
§ 51.165  

regular operation, information demonstrating that the physical or operational change did not result in an emissions increase. A longer period, not to exceed 10 years, may be required by the reviewing authority if it determines such a period to be more representative of normal source post-change operations.

(xiii) Lowest achievable emission rate means, for any source, the more stringent rate of emissions based on the following:

(A) The most stringent emissions limitation which is contained in the implementation plan of any State for such class or category of stationary source, unless the owner or operator of the proposed stationary source demonstrates that such limitations are not achievable; or

(B) The most stringent emissions limitation which is achieved in practice by such class or category of stationary sources. This limitation, when applied to a modification, means the lowest achievable emissions rate for the new or modified emissions units within or stationary source. In no event shall the application of the term permit a proposed new or modified stationary source to emit any pollutant in excess of the amount allowable under an applicable new source standard of performance.

(xiv) Federally enforceable means all limitations and conditions which are enforceable by the Administrator, including those requirements developed pursuant to 40 CFR parts 60 and 61, requirements within any applicable State implementation plan, any permit requirements established pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR part 51, subpart I, including operating permits issued under an EPA-approved program that is incorporated into the State implementation plan and expressly requires adherence to any permit issued under such program.

(xv) Begin actual construction means in general, initiation of physical on-site construction activities on an emissions unit which are of a permanent nature. Such activities include, but are not limited to, installation of building supports and foundations, laying of underground pipework, and construction of permanent storage structures. With respect to a change in method of operating this term refers to those on-site activities other than preparatory activities which mark the initiation of the change.

(xvi) Commence as applied to construction of a major stationary source or major modification means that the owner or operator has all necessary preconstruction approvals or permits and either has:

(A) Begun, or caused to begin, a continuous program of actual on-site construction of the source, to be completed within a reasonable time; or

(B) Entered into binding agreements or contractual obligations, which cannot be canceled or modified without substantial loss to the owner or operator, to undertake a program of actual construction of the source to be completed within a reasonable time.

(xvii) Necessary preconstruction approvals or permits means those Federal air quality control laws and regulations and those air quality control laws and regulations which are part of the applicable State Implementation Plan.

(xviii) Construction means any physical change or change in the method of operation (including fabrication, erection, installation, demolition, or modification of an emissions unit) which would result in a change in actual emissions.

(xix) Volatile organic compounds (VOC) is as defined in §51.100(s) of this part.

(xx) Electric utility steam generating unit means any steam electric generating unit that is constructed for the purpose of supplying more than one-third of its potential electric output capacity and more than 25 MW electrical output to any utility power distribution system for sale. Any steam supplied to a steam distribution system for the purpose of providing steam to a steam-electric generator that would produce electrical energy for sale is also considered in determining the electrical energy output capacity of the affected facility.

(xxii) Representative actual annual emissions means the average rate, in tons per year, at which the source is projected to emit a pollutant for the two-year period after a physical change or change in the method of operation of
Environmental Protection Agency

§ 51.165

a unit, (or a different consecutive two-year period within 10 years after that change, where the reviewing authority determines that such period is more representative of source operations), considering the effect any such change will have on increasing or decreasing the hourly emissions rate and on projected capacity utilization. In projecting future emissions the reviewing authority shall:

(A) Consider all relevant information, including but not limited to, historical operational data, the company’s own representations, filings with the State or Federal regulatory authorities, and compliance plans under title IV of the Clean Air Act; and

(B) Exclude, in calculating any increase in emissions that results from the particular physical change or change in the method of operation at an electric utility steam generating unit, that portion of the unit’s emissions following the change that could have been accommodated during the representative baseline period and is attributable to an increase in projected capacity utilization at the unit that is unrelated to the particular change, including any increased utilization due to the rate of electricity demand growth for the utility system as a whole.

(xxii) Temporary clean coal technology demonstration project means a clean coal technology demonstration project that is operated for a period of 5 years or less, and which complies with the State Implementation Plan for the State in which the project is located and other requirements necessary to attain and maintain the national ambient air quality standards during the project and after it is terminated.

(xxiii) Clean coal technology means any technology, including technologies applied at the precombustion, combustion, or post combustion stage, at a new or existing facility which will achieve significant reductions in air emissions of sulfur dioxide or oxides of nitrogen associated with the utilization of coal in the generation of electricity, or process steam which was not in widespread use as of November 15, 1980.

(xxiv) Clean coal technology demonstration project means a project using funds appropriated under the heading “Department of Energy-Clean Coal Technology,” up to a total amount of $2,500,000,000 for commercial demonstration of clean coal technology, or similar projects funded through appropriations for the Environmental Protection Agency. The Federal contribution for a qualifying project shall be at least 20 percent of the total cost of the demonstration project.

(xxv) Pollution control project means any activity or project at an existing electric utility steam generating unit for purposes of reducing emissions from such unit. Such activities or projects are limited to:

(A) The installation of conventional or innovative pollution control technology, including but not limited to advanced flue gas desulfurization, sorbent injection for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides controls and electrostatic precipitators;

(B) An activity or project to accommodate switching to a fuel which is less polluting than the fuel used prior to the activity or project, including, but not limited to natural gas or coal reburning, or the cofiring of natural gas and other fuels for the purpose of controlling emissions;

(C) A permanent clean coal technology demonstration project conducted under title II, sec. 101(d) of the Further Continuing Appropriations Act of 1985 (sec. 5903(d) of title 42 of the United States Code), or subsequent appropriations, up to a total amount of $2,500,000,000 for commercial demonstration of clean coal technology, or similar projects funded through appropriations for the Environmental Protection Agency; or

(D) A permanent clean coal technology demonstration project that constitutes a repowering project.

(2) Each plan shall adopt a preconstruction review program to satisfy the requirements of sections 172(b)(6) and 173 of the Act for any area designated nonattainment for any national ambient air quality standard under 40 CFR 81.300 et seq. Such a program shall apply to any new major stationary source or major modification that is major for the pollutant for
which the area is designated nonattainment, if the stationary source or modification would locate anywhere in the designated nonattainment area.

(3)(i) Each plan shall provide that for sources and modifications subject to any preconstruction review program adopted pursuant to this subsection the baseline for determining credit for emissions reductions is the emissions limit under the applicable State Implementation Plan in effect at the time the application to construct is filed, except that the offset baseline shall be the actual emissions of the source from which offset credit is obtained where;

(A) The demonstration of reasonable further progress and attainment of ambient air quality standards is based upon the actual emissions of sources located within a designated nonattainment area for which the preconstruction review program was adopted; or

(B) The applicable State Implementation Plan does not contain an emissions limitation for that source or source category.

(ii) The plan shall further provide that:

(A) Where the emissions limit under the applicable State Implementation Plan allows greater emissions than the potential to emit of the source, emissions offset credit will be allowed only for control below this potential;

(B) For an existing fuel combustion source, credit shall be based on the allowable emissions under the applicable State Implementation Plan for the type of fuel being burned at the time the application to construct is filed. If the existing source commits to switch to a cleaner fuel at some future date, emissions offset credit based on the allowable (or actual) emissions for the fuels involved is not acceptable, unless the permit is conditioned to switch back to a dirtier fuel at some later date. The reviewing authority should ensure that adequate long-term supplies of the new fuel are available before granting emissions offset credit for fuel switches;

(C)(i) Emissions reductions achieved by shutting down an existing source or curtailing production or operating hours below baseline levels may be generally credited if such reductions are permanent, quantifiable, and federally enforceable, and if the area has an EPA-approved attainment plan. In addition, the shutdown or curtailment is creditable only if it occurred on or after the date specified for this purpose in the plan, and if such date is on or after the date of the most recent emissions inventory used in the plan’s demonstration of attainment. Where the plan does not specify a cutoff date for shutdown credits, the date of the most recent emissions inventory or attainment demonstration, as the case may be, shall apply. However, in no event may credit be given for shutdowns which occurred prior to August 7, 1977. For purposes of this paragraph, a permitting authority may choose to consider a prior shutdown or curtailment to have occurred after the date of its most recent emissions inventory, if the inventory explicitly includes as current existing emissions the emissions from such previously shutdown or curtailed sources.

(E) All emission reductions claimed as offset credit shall be federally enforceable;

(F) Procedures relating to the permissible location of offsetting emissions shall be followed which are at least as stringent as those set out in 40 CFR part 51 appendix S section IV.D.

(4) (This document is also available from Mr. Ted Creekmore, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, (MD–15) Research Triangle Park, NC 27711.)
(G) Credit for an emissions reduction can be claimed to the extent that the reviewing authority has not relied on it in issuing any permit under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR part 51 subpart I or the State has not relied on it in demonstration attainment or reasonable further progress.

(4) Each plan may provide that the provisions of this paragraph do not apply to a source or modification that would be a major stationary source or major modification only if fugitive emission to the extent quantifiable are considered in calculating the potential to emit of the stationary source or modification and the source does not belong to any of the following categories:

(i) Coal cleaning plants (with thermal dryers);
(ii) Kraft pulp mills;
(iii) Portland cement plants;
(iv) Primary zinc smelters;
(v) Iron and steel mills;
(vi) Primary aluminum ore reduction plants;
(vii) Primary copper smelters;
(viii) Municipal incinerators capable of charging more than 250 tons of refuse per day;
(ix) Hydrofluoric, sulfuric, or citric acid plants;
(x) Petroleum refineries;
(xi) Lime plants;
(xii) Phosphate rock processing plants;
(xiii) Coke oven batteries;
(xiv) Sulfur recovery plants;
(xv) Carbon black plants (furnace process);
(xvi) Primary lead smelters;
(xvii) Fuel conversion plants;
(xviii) Sintering plants;
(xix) Secondary metal production plants;
(xx) Chemical process plants;
(xxi) Fossil-fuel boilers (or combination thereof) totaling more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input;
(xxii) Petroleum storage and transfer units with a total storage capacity exceeding 300,000 barrels;
(xxiii) Taconite ore processing plants;
(xxiv) Glass fiber processing plants;
(xxv) Charcoal production plants;
(xxvi) Fossil fuel-fired steam electric plants of more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input;
(xxvii) Any other stationary source category which, as of August 7, 1980, is being regulated under section 111 or 112 of the Act.

(5) Each plan shall include enforceable procedures to provide that:

(i) Approval to construct shall not relieve any owner or operator of the responsibility to comply fully with applicable provision of the plan and any other requirements under local, State or Federal law.

(ii) At such time that a particular source or modification becomes a major stationary source or major modification solely by virtue of a relaxation in any enforcement limitation which was established after August 7, 1980, on the capacity of the source or modification otherwise to emit a pollutant, such as a restriction on hours of operation, then the requirements of regulations approved pursuant to this section shall apply to the source or modification as though construction had not yet commenced on the source or modification;

(b)(1) Each plan shall include a preconstruction review permit program or its equivalent to satisfy the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) of the Act for any new major stationary source or major modification as defined in paragraphs (a)(1) (iv) and (v) of this section. Such a program shall apply to any such source or modification that would locate in any area designated as attainment or unclassifiable for any national ambient air quality standard pursuant to section 107 of the Act, when it would cause or contribute to a violation of any national ambient air quality standard.

(2) A major source or major modification will be considered to cause or contribute to a violation of a national ambient air quality standard when such source or modification would, at a minimum, exceed the following significance levels at any locality that does not or would not meet the applicable national standard:
(3) Such a program may include a provision which allows a proposed major source or major modification subject to paragraph (b) of this section to reduce the impact of its emissions upon air quality by obtaining sufficient emission reductions to, at a minimum, compensate for its adverse ambient impact where the major source or major modification would otherwise cause or contribute to a violation of any national ambient air quality standard. The plan shall require that, in the absence of such emission reductions, the State or local agency shall deny the proposed construction.

(4) The requirements of paragraph (b) of this section shall not apply to a major stationary source or major modification with respect to a particular pollutant if the owner or operator demonstrates that, as to that pollutant, the source or modification is located in an area designated as non-attainment pursuant to section 107 of the Act.

§51.166 Prevention of significant deterioration of air quality.

(a)(1) Plan requirements. In accordance with the policy of section 101(b)(1) of the act and the purposes of section 160 of the Act, each applicable State implementation plan shall contain emission limitations and such other measures as may be necessary to prevent significant deterioration of air quality.

(2) Plan revisions. If a State implementation Plan revision would result in increased air quality deterioration over any baseline concentration, the plan revision shall include a demonstration that it will not cause or contribute to a violation of the applicable increment(s). If a plan revision proposing less restrictive requirements was submitted after August 7, 1977 but on or before any applicable baseline date and was pending action by the Administrator on that date, no such demonstration is necessary with respect to the area for which a baseline date would be established before final action is taken on the plan revision. Instead, the assessment described in paragraph (a)(4) of this section, shall review the expected impact to the applicable increment(s).

(3) Required plan revision. If the State or the Administrator determines that a plan is substantially inadequate to prevent significant deterioration or that an applicable increment is being violated, the plan shall be revised to correct the inadequacy or the violation. The plan shall be revised within 60 days of such a finding by a State or within 60 days following notification by the Administrator, or by such later date as prescribed by the Administrator after consultation with the State.

(4) Plan assessment. The State shall review the adequacy of a plan on a periodic basis and within 60 days of such time as information becomes available that an applicable increment is being violated.

(5) Public participation. Any State action taken under this paragraph shall be subject to the opportunity for public hearing in accordance with procedures equivalent to those established in §51.102.

§51.166 Amendments.

(i) Any State required to revise its implementation plan by reason of an amendment to this section, including any amendment adopted simultaneously with this paragraph, shall adopt and submit such plan revision to the Administrator for approval within 9 months after the effective date of the new amendments.

(ii) Any revision to an implementation plan that would amend the provisions for the prevention of significant air quality deterioration in the plan shall specify when and as to what
sources and modifications the revision is to take effect.

(iii) Any revision to an implementation plan that an amendment to this section required shall take effect no later than the date of its approval and may operate prospectively.

(b) Definitions. All State plans shall use the following definitions for the purposes of this section. Deviations from the following wording will be approved only if the State specifically demonstrates that the submitted definition is more stringent, or at least as stringent, in all respects as the corresponding definitions below:

(1)(i) Major stationary source means:
   (a) Any of the following stationary sources of air pollutants which emits, or has the potential to emit, 100 tons per year or more of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act: Fossil fuel-fired steam electric plants of more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input, coal cleaning plants (with thermal dryers), kraft pulp mills, portland cement plants, primary zinc smelters, iron and steel mill plants, primary aluminum ore reduction plants, primary copper smelters, iron and steel mill plants, primary aluminum ore reduction plants, primary copper smelters, municipal incinerators capable of charging more than 250 tons of refuse per day; (i) Hydrofluoric, sulfuric, or nitric acid plants;
   (j) Petroleum refineries;
   (k) Lime plants;
   (l) Phosphate rock processing plants;
   (m) Coke oven batteries;
   (n) Sulfur recovery plants;
   (o) Carbon black plants (furnace process);
   (p) Primary lead smelters;
   (q) Fuel conversion plants;
   (r) Sintering plants;
   (s) Secondary metal production plants;
   (t) Chemical process plants;
   (u) Fossil-fuel boilers (or combination thereof) totaling more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input;
   (v) Petroleum storage and transfer units with a total storage capacity exceeding 300,000 barrels;
   (w) Taconite ore processing plants;
   (x) Glass fiber processing plants;
   (y) Charcoal production plants;
   (z) Fossil fuel-fired steam electric plants of more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input; (aa) Any other stationary source category which, as of August 7, 1980, is being regulated under section 111 or 112 of the Act.
   (ii) Major modification means any physical change in or change in the
method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act.

(ii) Any net emissions increase that is significant for volatile organic compounds shall be considered significant for ozone.

(iii) A physical change or change in the method of operation shall not include:

   (a) Routine maintenance, repair, and replacement;
   (b) Use of an alternative fuel or raw material by reason of any order under section 2 (a) and (b) of the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974 (or any superseding legislation) or by reason of a natural gas curtailment plan pursuant to the Federal Power Act;
   (c) Use of an alternative fuel by reason of an order or rule under section 125 of the Act;
   (d) Use of an alternative fuel at a steam generating unit to the extent that the fuel is generated from municipal solid waste;
   (e) Use of an alternative fuel or raw material by a stationary source which:
      (1) The source was capable of accommodating before January 6, 1975, unless such change would be prohibited under any federally enforceable permit condition which was established after January 6, 1975 pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR subpart I or §51.166; or
      (2) The source is approved to use under any permit issued under 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR §51.166; or
   (f) An increase in the hours of operation or in the production rate, unless such change would be prohibited under any federally enforceable permit condition which was established after January 6, 1975, pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR subpart I or §51.166.
   (g) Any change in ownership at a stationary source.
   (h) The addition, replacement or use of a pollution control project at an existing electric utility steam generating unit, unless the Administrator determines that such addition, replacement, or use renders the unit less environmentally beneficial, or except:
      (1) When the reviewing authority has reason to believe that the pollution control project would result in a significant net increase in representative actual annual emissions of any criteria pollutant over levels used for that source in the most recent air quality impact analysis in the area conducted for the purpose of title I, if any, and
      (2) The reviewing authority determines that the increase will cause or contribute to a violation of any national ambient air quality standard or PSD increment, or visibility limitation.
   (i) The installation, operation, cessation, or removal of a temporary clean coal technology demonstration project, provided that the project complies with:
      (1) The State implementation plan for the State in which the project is located; and
      (2) Other requirements necessary to attain and maintain the national ambient air quality standards during the project and after it is terminated.
   (j) The installation or operation of a permanent clean coal technology demonstration project that constitutes repowering, provided that the project does not result in an increase in the potential to emit of any regulated pollutant emitted by the unit. This exemption shall apply on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis.
   (k) The reactivation of a very clean coal-fired electric utility steam generating unit.

(3)(i) Net emissions increase means the amount by which the sum of the following exceeds zero:

   (a) Any increase in actual emissions from a particular physical change or change in the method of operation at a stationary source; and
   (b) Any other increases and decreases in actual emissions at the source that are contemporaneous with the particular change and are otherwise creditable.

   (ii) An increase or decrease in actual emissions is contemporaneous with the increase from the particular change only if it occurs within a reasonable
period (to be specified by the State) before the date that the increase from the particular change occurs.

(iii) An increase or decrease in actual emissions is creditable only if the reviewing authority has not relied on it in issuing a permit for the source under regulations approved pursuant to this section, which permit is in effect when the increase in actual emissions from the particular change occurs.

(iv) An increase or decrease in actual emissions of sulfur dioxide, particulate matter, or nitrogen oxides, which occurs before the applicable minor source baseline date is creditable only if it is required to be considered in calculating the amount of maximum allowable increases remaining available. With respect to particulate matter, only PM–10 emissions can be used to evaluate the net emissions increase for PM–10.

(v) An increase in actual emissions is creditable only to the extent that the new level of actual emissions exceeds the old level.

(vi) A decrease in actual emissions is creditable only to the extent that:

(a) The old level of actual emissions or the old level of allowable emissions, whichever is lower, exceeds the new level of actual emissions;

(b) It is federally enforceable at and after the time that actual construction on the particular change begins; and

(c) It has approximately the same qualitative significance for public health and welfare as that attributed to the increase from the particular change.

(vii) An increase that results from a physical change at a source occurs when the emissions unit on which construction occurred becomes operational and begins to emit a particular pollutant. Any replacement unit that requires shakedown becomes operational only after a reasonable shakedown period, not to exceed 180 days.

(4) Potential to emit means the maximum capacity of a stationary source to emit a pollutant under its physical and operational design. Any physical or operational limitation on the capacity of the source to emit a pollutant, including air pollution control equipment and restrictions on hours of operation or on the type or amount of material combusted, stored, or processed, shall be treated as part of its design if the limitation or the effect it would have on emissions is federally enforceable. Secondary emissions do not count in determining the potential to emit of a stationary source.

(5) Stationary source means any building, structure, facility, or installation which emits or may emit any air pollutant subject to regulation under the Act.

(6) Building, structure, facility, or installation means all of the pollutant-emitting activities which belong to the same industrial grouping, are located on one or more contiguous or adjacent properties, and are under the control of the same person (or persons under common control) except the activities of any vessel. Pollutant-emitting activities shall be considered as part of the same industrial grouping if they belong to the same Major Group (i.e., which have the same two-digit code) as described in the Standard Industrial Classification Manual, 1972, as amended by the 1977 Supplement (U.S. Government Printing Office stock numbers 4101–0066 and 003–005–00176–0, respectively).

(7) Emissions unit means any part of a stationary source which emits or would have the potential to emit any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act.

(8) Construction means any physical change or change in the method of operation (including fabrication, erection, installation, demolition, or modification of an emissions unit) which would result in a change in actual emissions.

(9) Commence as applied to construction of a major stationary source or major modification means that the owner or operator has all necessary preconstruction approvals or permits and either has:

(i) Begun, or caused to begin, a continuous program of actual on-site construction of the source, to be completed within a reasonable time; or

(ii) Entered into binding agreements or contractual obligations, which cannot be cancelled or modified without substantial loss to the owner or operator, to undertake a program of actual construction of the source to be completed within a reasonable time.
(10) **Necessary preconstruction approvals or permits** means those permits or approvals required under Federal air quality control laws and regulations and those air quality control laws and regulations which are part of the applicable State Implementation Plan.

(11) **Begin actual construction** means, in general, initiation of physical on-site construction activities on an emissions unit which are of a permanent nature. Such activities include, but are not limited to, installation of building supports and foundations, laying of underground pipework, and construction of permanent storage structures. With respect to a change in method of operation this term refers to those on-site activities, other than preparatory activities, which mark the initiation of the change.

(12) **Best available control technology** means an emissions limitation (including a visible emissions standard) based on the maximum degree of reduction for each pollutant subject to regulation under the Act which would be emitted from any proposed major stationary source or major modification which the reviewing authority, on a case-by-case basis, taking into account energy, environmental, and economic impacts and other costs, determines is achievable for such source or modification through application of production processes or available methods, systems, and techniques, including fuel cleaning or treatment or innovative fuel combination techniques for control of such pollutant. In no event shall application of best available control technology result in emissions of any pollutant which would exceed the emissions allowed by any applicable standard under 40 CFR parts 60 and 61. If the reviewing authority determines that technological or economic limitations on the application of measurement methodology to a particular emissions unit would make the imposition of an emissions standard infeasible, a design, equipment, work practice, operational standard or combination thereof, may be prescribed instead to satisfy the requirement for the application of best available control technology. Such standard shall, to the degree possible, set forth the emissions reduction achievable by implementation of such design, equipment, work practice or operation, and shall provide for compliance by means which achieve equivalent results.

(13)(i) **Baseline concentration** means that ambient concentration level which exists in the baseline area at the time of the applicable minor source baseline date. A baseline concentration is determined for each pollutant for which a minor source baseline date is established and shall include:

(a) The actual emissions representative of sources in existence on the applicable minor source baseline date, except as provided in paragraph (b)(13)(ii) of this section;

(b) The allowable emissions of major stationary sources which commenced construction before the major source baseline date, but were not in operation by the applicable minor source baseline date.

(ii) The following will not be included in the baseline concentration and will affect the applicable maximum allowable increase(s):

(a) Actual emissions from any major stationary source on which construction commenced after the major source baseline date; and

(b) Actual emissions increases and decreases at any stationary source occurring after the minor source baseline date.

(14)(i) **Major source baseline date** means:

(a) In the case of particulate matter and sulfur dioxide, January 6, 1975, and

(b) In the case of nitrogen dioxide, February 8, 1988.

(ii) **Minor source baseline date** means the earliest date after the trigger date on which a major stationary source or a major modification subject to 40 CFR 52.21 or to regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR 51.166 submits a complete application under the relevant regulations. The trigger date is:

(a) In the case of particulate matter and sulfur dioxide, August 7, 1977, and

(b) In the case of nitrogen dioxide, February 8, 1988.

(iii) The baseline date is established for each pollutant for which increments or other equivalent measures have been established if:

(a) The area in which the proposed source or modification would construct
is designated as attainment or unclassifiable under section 107(d)(1)(D) or (E) of the Act for the pollutant on the date of its complete application under 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR 51.166; and
(b) In the case of a major stationary source, the pollutant would be emitted in significant amounts, or, in the case of a major modification, there would be a significant net emissions increase of the pollutant.

(iv) Any minor source baseline date established originally for the TSP increments shall remain in effect and shall apply for purposes of determining the amount of available PM−10 increments, except that the reviewing authority may rescind any such minor source baseline date where it can be shown, to the satisfaction of the reviewing authority, that the emissions increase from the major stationary source, or the net emissions increase from the major modification, responsible for triggering that date did not result in a significant amount of PM−10 emissions.

(15)(i) Baseline area means any intra-state area (and every part thereof) designated as attainment or unclassifiable under section 107(d)(1)(D) or (E) of the Act in which the major source or major modification establishing the minor source baseline date would construct or would have an air quality impact equal to or greater than 1 \( \mu g/m^3 \) (annual average) of the pollutant for which the minor source baseline date is established.

(ii) Area redesignations under section 107(d)(1)(D) or (E) of the Act cannot intersect or be smaller than the area of impact of any major stationary source or major modification which:

(a) Establishes a minor source baseline date; or
(b) Is subject to 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR 51.166, and would be constructed in the same State as the State proposing the redesignation.

(iii) Any baseline area established originally for the TSP increments shall remain in effect and shall apply for purposes of determining the amount of available PM−10 increments, except that such baseline area shall not remain in effect if the permit authority rescinds the corresponding minor source baseline date in accordance with paragraph (b)(14)(iv) of this section.

(16) Allowable emissions means the emissions rate of a stationary source calculated using the maximum rated capacity of the source (unless the source is subject to federally enforceable limits which restrict the operating rate, or hours of operation, or both) and the most stringent of the following:

(i) The applicable standards as set forth in 40 CFR parts 60 and 61;
(ii) The applicable State Implementation Plan emissions limitation, including those with a future compliance date; or
(iii) The emissions rate specified as a federally enforceable permit condition.

(17) Federally enforceable means all limitations and conditions which are enforceable by the Administrator, including those requirements developed pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR part 51, subpart I, including operating permits issued under an EPA-approved program that is incorporated into the State implementation plan and expressly requires adherence to any permit issued under such program.

(18) Secondary emissions means emissions which occur as a result of the construction or operation of a major stationary source or major modification, but do not come from the major stationary source or major modification itself. For the purposes of this section, secondary emissions must be specific, well defined, quantifiable, and impact the same general areas the stationary source modification which causes the secondary emissions. Secondary emissions include emissions from any offsite support facility which would not be constructed or increase its emissions except as a result of the construction or operation of the major stationary source or major modification. Secondary emissions do not include any emissions which come directly from a mobile source, such as emissions from the tailpipe of a motor vehicle, from a train, or from a vessel.
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(19) **Innovative control technology** means any system of air pollution control that has not been adequately demonstrated in practice, but would have a substantial likelihood of achieving greater continuous emissions reduction than any control system in current practice or of achieving at least comparable reductions at lower cost in terms of energy, economics, or nonair quality environmental impacts.

(20) **Fugitive emissions** means those emissions which could not reasonably pass through a stack, chimney, vent, or other functionally equivalent opening.

(21)(i) **Actual emissions** means the actual rate of emissions of a pollutant from an emissions unit, as determined in accordance with paragraphs (b)(21)(ii) through (iv) of this section.

(ii) In general, actual emissions as of a particular date shall equal the average rate, in tons per year, at which the unit actually emitted the pollutant during a two-year period which precedes the particular date and which is representative of normal source operation. The reviewing authority may allow the use of a different time period upon a determination that it is more representative of normal source operation. Actual emissions shall be calculated using the unit’s actual operating hours, production rates, and types of materials processed, stored, or combusted during the selected time period.

(iii) The reviewing authority may presume that source-specific allowable emissions for the unit are equivalent to the actual emissions of the unit.

(iv) For any emissions unit (other than an electric utility steam generating unit specified in paragraph (b)(21)(v) of this section) which has not begun normal operations on the particular date, actual emissions shall equal the potential to emit of the unit on that date.

(v) For an electric utility steam generating unit (other than a new unit or the replacement of an existing unit) actual emissions of the unit following the physical or operational change shall equal the representative actual annual emissions of the unit following the physical or operational change, provided the source owner or operator maintains and submits to the reviewing authority, on an annual basis for a period of 5 years from the date the unit resumes regular operation, information demonstrating that the physical or operational change did not result in an emissions increase. A longer period, not to exceed 10 years, may be required by the reviewing authority if it determines such a period to be more representative of normal source post-change operations.

(22) **Complete** means, in reference to an application for a permit, that the application contains all the information necessary for processing the application. Designating an application complete for purposes of permit processing does not preclude the reviewing authority from requesting or accepting any additional information.

(23)(i) **Significant** means, in reference to a net emissions increase or the potential of a source to emit any of the following pollutants, a rate of emissions that would equal or exceed any of the following rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLLUTANT AND EMISSIONS RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide: 100 tons per year (tpy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen oxides: 40 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur dioxide: 40 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter: 25 tpy of particulate matter emissions, 15 tpy of PM(_{10}) emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone: 40 tpy of volatile organic compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead: 0.6 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos: 0.007 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium: 0.0004 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl chloride: 1 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury: 0.1 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorides: 3 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfuric acid mist: 7 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen sulfide (H(_2)S): 10 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reduced sulfur (including H(_2)S): 10 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced sulfur compounds (including H(_2)S): 10 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal waste combustor organics (measured as total tetra- through octachlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans): 3.2 (\times 10^{-6}) megagrams per year (3.5 (\times 10^{-6}) tons per year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal waste combustor metals (measured as articulate matter): 14 megagrams per year (15 tons per year) Municipal waste combustor acid gases (measured as sulfur dioxide and hydrogen chloride): 36 megagrams per year (40 tons per year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal solid waste landfill emissions (measured as nonmethane organic compounds): 45 megagrams per year (50 tons per year)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(ii) Significant means, in reference to a net emissions increase or the potential of a source to emit a pollutant subject to regulation under the Act that paragraph (b)(23)(i) of this section, does not list, any emissions rate.

(iii) Notwithstanding paragraph (b)(23)(i) of this section, significant means any emissions rate or any net emissions increase associated with a major stationary source or major modification, which would construct within 10 kilometers of a Class I area, and have an impact on such area equal to or greater than 1 µg/m³ (24-hour average).

(24) Federal Land Manager means, with respect to any lands in the United States, the Secretary of the department with authority over such lands.

(25) High terrain means any area having an elevation 900 feet or more above the base of the stack of a source.

(26) Low terrain means any area other than high terrain.

(27) Indian Reservation means any federally recognized reservation established by Treaty, Agreement, Executive Order, or Act of Congress.

(28) Indian Governing Body means the governing body of any tribe, band, or group of Indians subject to the jurisdiction of the United States and recognized by the United States as possessing power of self-government.

(29) Volatile organic compounds (VOC) is as defined in §51.100(s) of this part.

(30) Electric utility steam generating unit means any steam electric generating unit that is constructed for the purpose of supplying more than one-third of its potential electric output capacity and more than 25 MW electrical output to any utility power distribution system for sale. Any steam supplied to a steam distribution system for the purpose of providing steam to a steam-electric generator that would produce electrical energy for sale is also considered in determining the electrical energy output capacity of the affected facility.

(31) Pollution control project means any activity or project undertaken at an existing electric utility steam generating unit for purposes of reducing emissions from such unit. Such activities or projects are limited to:

(i) The installation of conventional or innovative pollution control technology, including but not limited to advanced flue gas desulfurization, sorbent injection for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides controls and electrostatic precipitators;

(ii) An activity or project to accommodate switching to a fuel which is less polluting than the fuel used prior to the activity or project, including but not limited to natural gas or coal re-burning, or the co-firing of natural gas and other fuels for the purpose of controlling emissions;

(iii) A permanent clean coal technology demonstration project conducted under title II, section 101(d) of the Further Continuing Appropriations Act of 1985 (section 5903(d) of title 42 of the United States Code), or subsequent appropriations, up to a total amount of $2,500,000,000 for commercial demonstration of clean coal technology, or similar projects funded through appropriations for the Environmental Protection Agency, or

(iv) A permanent clean coal technology demonstration project that constitutes a repowering project.

(32) Representative actual annual emissions means the average rate, in tons per year, at which the source is projected to emit a pollutant for the two-year period after a physical change or change in the method of operation of a unit, (or a different consecutive two-year period within 10 years after that change, where the reviewing authority determines that such period is more representative of normal source operations), considering the effect any such change will have on increasing or decreasing the hourly emissions rate and on projected capacity utilization. In projecting future emissions the reviewing authority shall:

(i) Consider all relevant information, including but not limited to, historical operational data, the company’s own representations, filings with the State or Federal regulatory authorities, and compliance plans under title IV of the Clean Air Act; and

(ii) Exclude, in calculating any increase in emissions that results from the particular physical change or change in the method of operation at an electric utility steam generating
unit, that portion of the unit's emissions following the change that could have been accommodated during the representative baseline period and is attributable to an increase in projected capacity utilization at the unit that is unrelated to the particular change, including any increased utilization due to the rate of electricity demand growth for the utility system as a whole.

(33) **Clean coal technology** means any technology, including technologies applied at the precombustion, combustion, or post combustion stage, at a new or existing facility which will achieve significant reductions in air emissions of sulfur dioxide or oxides of nitrogen associated with the utilization of coal in the generation of electricity, or process steam which was not in widespread use as of November 15, 1990.

(34) **Clean coal technology demonstration project** means a project using funds appropriated under the heading “Department of Energy—Clean Coal Technology”, up to a total amount of $2,500,000,000 for commercial demonstration of clean coal technology, or similar projects funded through appropriations for the Environmental Protection Agency. The Federal contribution for a qualifying project shall be at least 20 percent of the total cost of the demonstration project.

(35) **Temporary clean coal technology demonstration project** means a clean coal technology demonstration project that is operated for a period of 5 years or less, and which complies with the State implementation plan for the State in which the project is located and other requirements necessary to attain and maintain the national ambient air quality standards during and after the project is terminated.

(ii) Repowering shall also include any oil and/or gas-fired unit which has been awarded clean coal technology demonstration funding as of January 1, 1991, by the Department of Energy.

(iii) The reviewing authority shall give expedited consideration to permit applications for any source that satisfies the requirements of this subsection and is granted an extension under section 409 of the Clean Air Act.

(c) **Reactivation of a very clean coal-fired electric utility steam generating unit** means any physical change or change in the method of operation associated with the commencement of commercial operations by a coal-fired utility unit after a period of discontinued operation where the unit:

(i) Has not been in operation for the two-year period prior to the enactment of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, and the emissions from such unit continue to be carried in the permitting authority’s emissions inventory at the time of enactment;

(ii) Was equipped prior to shutdown with a continuous system of emissions control that achieves a removal efficiency for sulfur dioxide of no less than 85 percent and a removal efficiency for particulates of no less than 98 percent;

(iii) Is equipped with low-NOX burners prior to the time of commencement of operations following reactivation; and

(iv) Is otherwise in compliance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(c) **Ambient air increments.** The plan shall contain emission limitations and such other measures as may be necessary to assure that in areas designated as Class I, II, or III, increases in pollutant concentration over the baseline concentration shall be limited to the following:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Maximum allowable increase (micrograms per cubic meter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Class I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particulate matter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM–10, annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM–10, 24-hr maximum</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfur dioxide:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24-hr maximum</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-hr maximum</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrogen dioxide:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Class II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particulate matter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM–10, annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM–10, 24-hr maximum</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfur dioxide:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24-hr maximum</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-hr maximum</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrogen dioxide:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Class III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particulate matter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM–10, annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM–10, 24-hr maximum</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfur dioxide:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24-hr maximum</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-hr maximum</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrogen dioxide:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For any period other than an annual period, the applicable maximum allowable increase may be exceeded during one such period per year at any one location.

(d) Ambient air ceilings. The plan shall provide that no concentration of a pollutant shall exceed:

(1) The concentration permitted under the national secondary ambient air quality standard, or

(2) The concentration permitted under the national primary ambient air quality standard, whichever concentration is lowest for the pollutant for a period of exposure.

(e) Restrictions on area classifications.

The plan shall provide that—

(1) All of the following areas which were in existence on August 7, 1977, shall be Class I areas and may not be redesignated:

(i) International parks,

(ii) National wilderness areas which exceed 5,000 acres in size.

(2) Areas which were redesignated as Class I under regulations promulgated before August 7, 1977, shall remain Class I, but may be redesignated as provided in this section.

(3) Any other area, unless otherwise specified in the legislation creating such an area, is initially designated Class II, but may be redesignated as provided in this section.

(4) The following areas may be redesignated only as Class I or II:

(i) An area which as of August 7, 1977, exceeded 10,000 acres in size and was a national monument, a national primitive area, a national preserve, a national recreational area, a national wild and scenic river, a national wildlife refuge, a national lakeshore or seashore; and

(ii) A national park or national wilderness area established after August 7, 1977, which exceeds 10,000 acres in size.

(f) Exclusions from increment consumption. The plan may provide that the following concentrations shall be excluded in determining compliance with a maximum allowable increase:

(1) Concentrations attributable to the increase in emissions from stationary sources which have converted from the use of petroleum products, natural gas, or both by reason of an order in effect under section 2 (a) and (b) of the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974 (or any superseding legislation) over the emissions from such sources before the effective date of such an order;

(2) Concentrations attributable to the increase in emissions from sources which have converted from using natural gas by reason of natural gas curtailment plan in effect pursuant to the Federal Power Act over the emissions from such sources before the effective date of such plan;

(3) Concentrations of particulate matter attributable to the increase in emissions from construction or other temporary emission-related activities of new or modified sources;

(iv) The increase in concentrations attributable to new sources outside the United States over the concentrations
attributable to existing sources which are included in the baseline concentration; and

(v) Concentrations attributable to the temporary increase in emissions of sulfur dioxide, particulate matter, or nitrogen oxides from stationary sources which are affected by plan revisions approved by the Administrator as meeting the criteria specified in paragraph (f)(4) of this section.

(2) If the plan provides that the concentrations to which paragraph (f)(1)(i) or (ii) of this section, refers shall be excluded, it shall also provide that no exclusion of such concentrations shall apply more than five years after the effective date of the order to which paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this section, refers or the plan to which paragraph (f)(1)(ii) of this section, refers, whichever is applicable. If both such order and plan are applicable, no such exclusion shall apply more than five years after the later of such effective dates.

(3) [Reserved]

(4) For purposes of excluding concentrations pursuant to paragraph (f)(1)(v) of this section, the Administrator may approve a plan revision that:

(i) Specifies the time over which the temporary emissions increase of sulfur dioxide, particulate matter, or nitrogen oxides would occur. Such time is not to exceed 2 years in duration unless a longer time is approved by the Administrator.

(ii) Specifies that the time period for excluding certain contributions in accordance with paragraph (f)(4)(i) of this section, is not renewable;

(iii) Allows no emissions increase from a stationary source which would:

(a) Impact a Class I area or an area where an applicable increment is known to be violated; or

(b) Cause or contribute to the violation of a national ambient air quality standard;

(iv) Requires limitations to be in effect the end of the time period specified in accordance with paragraph (f)(4)(i) of this section, which would ensure that the emissions levels from stationary sources affected by the plan revision would not exceed those levels occurring from such sources before the plan revision was approved.

(g) Redesignation. (1) The plan shall provide that all areas of the State (except as otherwise provided under paragraph (e) of this section) shall be designated either Class I, Class II, or Class III. Any designation other than Class II shall be subject to the redesignation procedures of this paragraph. Redesignation (except as otherwise precluded by paragraph (e) of this section) may be proposed by the respective States or Indian Governing Bodies, as provided below, subject to approval by the Administrator as a revision to the applicable State implementation plan.

(2) The plan may provide that the State may submit to the Administrator a proposal to redesignate areas of the State Class I or Class II: Provided, That:

(i) At least one public hearing has been held in accordance with procedures established in §51.102.

(ii) Other States, Indian Governing Bodies, and Federal Land Managers whose lands may be affected by the proposed redesignation were notified at least 30 days prior to the public hearing;

(iii) A discussion of the reasons for the proposed redesignation, including a satisfactory description and analysis of the health, environmental, economic, social, and energy effects of the proposed redesignation, was prepared and made available for public inspection at least 30 days prior to the hearing and the notice announcing the hearing contained appropriate notification of the availability of such discussion;

(iv) Prior to the issuance of notice respecting the redesignation of an area that includes any Federal lands, the State has provided written notice to the appropriate Federal Land Manager and afforded adequate opportunity (not in excess of 60 days) to confer with the State respecting the redesignation and to submit written comments and recommendations. In redesignating any area with respect to which any Federal Land Manager had submitted written comments and recommendations, the State shall have published a list of any inconsistency between such redesignation and such comments and recommendations (together with the reasons for making such redesignation
against the recommendation of the Federal Land Manager; and

(v) The State has proposed the redesignation after consultation with the elected leadership of local and other substate general purpose governments in the area covered by the proposed redesignation.

(3) The plan may provide that any area other than an area to which paragraph (e) of this section refers may be redesignated as Class III if—

(i) The redesignation would meet the requirements of provisions established in accordance with paragraph (g)(2) of this section;

(ii) The redesignation, except any established by an Indian Governing Body, has been specifically approved by the Governor of the State, after consultation with the appropriate committees of the legislature, if it is in session, or with the leadership of the legislature, if it is not in session (unless State law provides that such redesignation must be specifically approved by State legislation) and if general purpose units of local government representing a majority of the residents of the area to be redesignated enact legislation (including resolutions where appropriate) concurring in the redesignation;

(iii) The redesignation would not cause, or contribute to, a concentration of any air pollutant which would exceed any maximum allowable increase permitted under the classification of any other area or any national ambient air quality standard; and

(iv) Any permit application for any major stationary source or major modification subject to provisions established in accordance with paragraph (i) of this section which could receive a permit only if the area in question were redesignated as Class III, and any material submitted as part of that application, were available, insofar as was practicable, for public inspection prior to any public hearing on redesignation of any area as Class III.

(4) The plan shall provide that lands within the exterior boundaries of Indian Reservations may be redesignated only by the appropriate Indian Governing Body. The appropriate Indian Governing Body may submit to the Administrator a proposal to redesignate areas Class I, Class II, or Class III: Provided, That:

(i) The Indian Governing Body has followed procedures equivalent to those required of a State under paragraphs (g)(2), (3)(iii), and (3)(iv) of this section; and

(ii) Such redesignation is proposed after consultation with the State(s) in which the Indian Reservation is located and which border the Indian Reservation.

(5) The Administrator shall disapprove, within 90 days of submission, a proposed redesignation of any area only if he finds, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, that such redesignation does not meet the procedural requirements of this section or is inconsistent with paragraph (e) of this section. If any such disapproval occurs, the classification of the area shall be that which was in effect prior to the redesignation which was disapproved.

(6) If the Administrator disapproves any proposed area designation, the State or Indian Governing Body, as appropriate, may resubmit the proposal after correcting the deficiencies noted by the Administrator.

(h) Stack heights. The plan shall provide, as a minimum, that the degree of emission limitation required for control of any air pollutant under the plan shall not be affected in any manner by—

(1) So much of a stack height, not in existence before December 31, 1970, as exceeds good engineering practice, or

(2) Any other dispersion technique not implemented before then.

(i) Review of major stationary sources and major modifications—source applicability and exemptions.

(1) The plan shall provide that no major stationary source or major modification shall begin actual construction unless, as a minimum, requirements equivalent to those contained in paragraphs (j) through (r) of this section have been met.

(2) The plan shall provide that the requirements equivalent to those contained in paragraphs (j) through (r) of this section shall apply to any major stationary source and any major modification with respect to each pollutant subject to regulation under the Act
that it would emit, except as this section would otherwise allow.

(3) The plan shall provide that requirements equivalent to those contained in paragraphs (j) through (r) of this section apply only to any major stationary source or major modification that would be constructed in an area which is designated as attainment or unclassifiable under section 107(a)(1)(D) or (E) of the Act; and

(4) The plan may provide that requirements equivalent to those contained in paragraphs (j) through (r) of this section do not apply to a particular major stationary source or major modification if:
   (i) The major stationary source would be a nonprofit health or nonprofit educational institution or a major modification that would occur at such an institution; or
   (ii) The source or modification would be a major stationary source or major modification only if fugitive emissions, to the extent quantifiable, are considered in calculating the potential to emit of the stationary source or modification and such source does not belong to any following categories:
      (a) Coal cleaning plants (with thermal dryers);
      (b) Kraft pulp mills;
      (c) Portland cement plants;
      (d) Primary zinc smelters;
      (e) Iron and steel mills;
      (f) Primary aluminum ore reduction plants;
      (g) Primary copper smelters;
      (h) Municipal incinerators capable of charging more than 250 tons of refuse per day;
      (i) Hydrofluoric, sulfuric, or nitric acid plants;
      (j) Petroleum refineries;
      (k) Lime plants;
      (l) Phosphate rock processing plants;
      (m) Coke oven batteries;
      (n) Sulfur recovery plants;
      (o) Carbon black plants (furnace process);
      (p) Primary lead smelters;
      (q) Fuel conversion plants;
      (r) Sintering plants;
      (s) Secondary metal production plants;
      (t) Chemical process plants;
      (u) Fossil-fuel boilers (or combination thereof) totaling more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input;
      (v) Petroleum storage and transfer units with a total storage capacity exceeding 300,000 barrels;
      (w) Taconite ore processing plants;
      (x) Glass fiber processing plants;
      (y) Charcoal production plants;
      (z) Fossil fuel-fired steam electric plants of more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input;
      (aa) Any other stationary source category which, as of August 7, 1980, is being regulated under section 111 or 112 of the Act; or
      (iii) The source or modification is a portable stationary source which has previously received a permit under requirements equivalent to those contained in paragraphs (j) through (r) of this section, if:
         (a) The source proposes to relocate and emissions of the source at the new location would be temporary; and
         (b) The emissions from the source would not exceed its allowable emissions; and
         (c) The emissions from the source would impact no Class I area and no area where an applicable increment is known to be violated; and
         (d) Reasonable notice is given to the reviewing authority prior to the relocation identifying the proposed new location and the probable duration of operation at the new location. Such notice shall be given to the reviewing authority not less than 10 days in advance of the proposed relocation unless a different time duration is previously approved by the reviewing authority.

(5) The plan may provide that requirements equivalent to those contained in paragraphs (j) through (r) of this section do not apply to a major stationary source or major modification with respect to a particular pollutant if the owner or operator demonstrates that, as to that pollutant, the source or modification is located in an area designated as nonattainment under section 107 of the Act.

(6) The plan may provide that requirements equivalent to those contained in paragraphs (k), (m), and (o) of this section do not apply to a proposed major stationary source or major modification with respect to a particular pollutant, if the allowable...
emissions of that pollutant from a new source, or the net emissions increase of that pollutant from a modification, would be temporary and impact no Class I area and no area where an applicable increment is known to be violated.

(7) The plan may provide that requirements equivalent to those contained in paragraphs (k), (m), and (o) of this section as they relate to any maximum allowable increase for a Class II area do not apply to a modification of a major stationary source that was in existence on March 1, 1978, if the net increase in allowable emissions of each pollutant subject to regulation under the Act from the modification after the application of best available control technology would be less than 50 tons per year.

(8) The plan may provide that the reviewing authority may exempt a proposed major stationary source or major modification from the requirements of paragraph (m) of this section, with respect to monitoring for a particular pollutant, if:

(i) The emissions increase of the pollutant from a new stationary source or the net emissions increase of the pollutant from a modification would cause, in any area, air quality impacts less than the following amounts:

(a) Carbon monoxide—575 ug/m³, 8-hour average;
(b) Nitrogen dioxide—14 ug/m³, annual average;
(c) Particulate matter—10 µg/m³ of PM–10, 24-hour average.
(d) Sulfur dioxide—13 ug/m³, 24-hour average;
(e) Ozone;¹
(f) Lead—0.1 µg/m³, 3-month average.
(g) Mercury—0.25 ug/m³, 24-hour average;
(h) Beryllium—0.001 µg/m³, 24-hour average;
(i) Fluorides—0.25 ug/m³, 24-hour average;
(j) Vinyl chloride—15 ug/m³, 24-hour average;
(k) Total reduced sulfur—10 ug/m³, 1-hour average;
(l) Hydrogen sulfide—0.2 µg/m³, 1-hour average;
(m) Reduced sulfur compounds—10 ug/m³, 1-hour average;

(ii) The concentrations of the pollutant in the area that the source or modification would affect are less than the concentrations listed in (i)(8)(i) of this section; or

(iii) The pollutants is not listed in paragraph (i)(8)(i) of this section.

(9) If EPA approves a plan revision under 40 CFR 51.166 as in effect before August 7, 1980, any subsequent revision which meets the requirements of this section may contain transition provisions which parallel the transition provisions of 40 CFR 52.21(i)(9), (i)(10) and (m)(1)(v) as in effect on that date, which provisions relate to requirements for best available control technology and air quality analyses. Any such subsequent revision may not contain any transition provision which in the context of the revision would operate any less stringently than would its counterpart in 40 CFR 52.21.

(10) If EPA approves a plan revision under §51.166 as in effect [before July 31, 1987], any subsequent revision which meets the requirements of this section may contain transition provisions which parallel the transition provisions of §52.21 (i)(11), and (m)(1) (vii) and (viii) of this chapter as in effect on that date, these provisions being related to monitoring requirements for particulate matter. Any such subsequent revision may not contain any transition provision which in the context of the revision would operate any less stringently than would its counterpart in §52.21 of this chapter.

(11) The plan may provide that the permitting requirements equivalent to those contained in paragraph (k)(2) of this section do not apply to a stationary source or modification with respect to any maximum allowable increase for nitrogen oxides if the owner or operator of the source or modification submitted an application for a permit under the applicable permit program approved or promulgated under the Act before the provisions embodying the maximum allowable increase took effect as part of the plan and the

¹No de minimis air quality level is provided for ozone. However, any net increase of 100 tons per year or more of volatile organic compounds subject to PSD would be required to perform and ambient impact analysis, including the gathering of ambient air quality data.
permitting authority subsequently determined that the application as submitted before that date was complete.

(12) The plan may provide that the permitting requirements equivalent to those contained in paragraph (k)(2) of this section shall not apply to a stationary source or modification with respect to any maximum allowable increase for PM–10 if (i) the owner or operator of the source or modification submitted an application for a permit under the applicable permit program approved under the Act before the provisions embodying the maximum allowable increases for PM–10 took effect as part of the plan, and (ii) the permitting authority subsequently determined that the application as submitted before that date was complete. Instead, the applicable requirements equivalent to paragraph (k)(2) shall apply with respect to the maximum allowable increases for TSP as in effect on the date the application was submitted.

(j) Control technology review. The plan shall provide that:

(1) A major stationary source or major modification shall meet each applicable emissions limitation under the State Implementation Plan and each applicable emission standards and standard of performance under 40 CFR parts 60 and 61.

(2) A new major stationary source shall apply best available control technology for each pollutant subject to regulation under the Act that it would have the potential to emit in significant amounts.

(3) A major modification shall apply best available control technology for each pollutant subject to regulation under the Act for which it would be a significant net emissions increase at the source. This requirement applies to each proposed emissions unit at which a net emissions increase in the pollutant would occur as a result of a physical change or change in the method of operation in the unit.

(4) For phased construction projects, the determination of best available control technology shall be reviewed and modified as appropriate at the least reasonable time which occurs no later than 18 months prior to commencement of construction of each independent phase of the project. At such time, the owner or operator of the applicable stationary source may be required to demonstrate the adequacy of any previous determination of best available control technology for the source.

(k) Source impact analysis. The plan shall provide that the owner or operator of the proposed source or modification shall demonstrate that allowable emission increases from the proposed source or modification, in conjunction with all other applicable emissions increases or reduction (including secondary emissions) would not cause or contribute to air pollution in violation of:

(1) Any national ambient air quality standard in any air quality control region; or

(2) Any applicable maximum allowable increase over the baseline concentration in any area.

(l) Air quality models. The plan shall provide for procedures which specify that—

(1) All applications of air quality modeling involved in this subpart shall be based on the applicable models, databases, and other requirements specified in appendix W of this part (Guideline on Air Quality Models).

(2) Where an air quality model specified in appendix W of this part (Guideline on Air Quality Models) is inappropriate, the model may be modified or another model substituted. Such a modification or substitution of a model may be made on a case-by-case basis or, where appropriate, on a generic basis for a specific State program. Written approval of the Administrator must be obtained for any modification or substitution. In addition, use of a modified or substituted model must be subject to notice and opportunity for public comment under procedures set forth in §51.102.

(m) Air quality analysis—(1) Pre-application analysis. The plan shall provide that any application for a permit under regulations approved pursuant to this section shall contain an analysis of ambient air quality in the area that the major stationary source or major modification would affect for each of the following pollutants:
(a) For the source, each pollutant that it would have the potential to emit in a significant amount;

(b) For the modification, each pollutant for which it would result in a significant net emissions increase.

(ii) The plan shall provide that, with respect to any such pollutant for which no National Ambient Air Quality Standard exists, the analysis shall contain such air quality monitoring data as the reviewing authority determines is necessary to assess ambient air quality for that pollutant in any area that the emissions of that pollutant would affect.

(iii) The plan shall provide that with respect to any such pollutant (other than nonmethane hydrocarbons) for which such a standard does exist, the analysis shall contain continuous air quality monitoring data gathered for purposes of determining whether emissions of that pollutant would cause or contribute to a violation of the standard or any maximum allowable increase.

(iv) The plan shall provide that, in general, the continuous air monitoring data that is required shall have been gathered over a period of one year and shall represent the year preceding receipt of the application, except that, if the reviewing authority determines that a complete and adequate analysis can be accomplished with monitoring data gathered over a period shorter than one year (but not to be less than four months), the data that is required shall have been gathered over at least that shorter period.

(v) The plan may provide that the owner or operator of a proposed major stationary source or major modification shall also provide information on:

(i) The air quality impact of the source or modification, including meteorological and topographical data necessary to estimate such impact; and

(ii) The nature and extent of any or all general commercial, residential, industrial, and other growth which has occurred since August 7, 1977, in the area the source or modification would affect.

(o) Additional impact analyses. The plan shall provide that—

(1) The owner or operator shall provide an analysis of the impairment to visibility, soils, and vegetation that would occur as a result of the source or modification and general commercial,
residential, industrial, and other growth associated with the source or modification. The owner or operator need not provide an analysis of the impact on vegetation having no significant commercial or recreational value.

(2) The owner or operator shall provide an analysis of the air quality impact projected for the area as a result of general commercial, residential, industrial, and other growth associated with the source or modification.

(p) Sources impacting Federal Class I areas—additional requirements—(1) Notice to EPA. The plan shall provide that the reviewing authority shall transmit to the Administrator a copy of each permit application relating to a major stationary source or major modification and provide notice to the Administrator of every action related to the consideration of such permit.

(2) Federal Land Manager. The Federal Land Manager and the Federal official charged with direct responsibility for management of Class I lands have an affirmative responsibility to protect the air quality related values (including visibility) of any such lands and to consider, in consultation with the Administrator, whether a proposed source or modification would have an adverse impact on such values.

(3) Denial—impact on air quality related values. The plan shall provide a mechanism whereby a Federal Land Manager of any such lands may present to the State, after the reviewing authority’s preliminary determination required under procedures developed in accordance with paragraph (r) of this section, a demonstration that the emissions from the proposed source or modification would have an adverse impact on the air quality related values (including visibility) of any Federal mandatory Class I lands, notwithstanding that the change in air quality resulting from emissions from such source or modification would not cause or contribute to concentrations which would exceed the maximum allowable increases for a Class I area. If the Federal land manager concurs with such demonstration and so certifies to the State, the reviewing authority may: Provided, that applicable requirements are otherwise met, issue the permit with such emission limitations as may be necessary to assure that emissions of sulfur dioxide, particulate matter, and nitrogen oxides would not exceed the following maximum allowable increases over minor source baseline concentration for such pollutants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Maximum allowable increase (micrograms per cubic meter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-10, annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-10, 24-hour maximum</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur dioxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hr maximum</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-hr maximum</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen dioxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual arithmetic mean</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(5) Sulfur dioxide variance by Governor with Federal Land Manager’s concurrence. The plan may provide that—

(i) The owner or operator of a proposed source or modification which cannot be approved under procedures developed pursuant to paragraph (q)(4) of this section may demonstrate to the Governor that the source or modification cannot be constructed by reason of any maximum allowable increase for sulfur dioxide for periods of twenty-four hours or less applicable to any Class I area and, in the case of Federal mandatory Class I areas, that a variance under this clause would not adversely affect the air quality related values of the area (including visibility);

(ii) The Governor, after consideration of the Federal Land Manager’s recommendation (if any) and subject to
his concurrence, may grant, after notice and an opportunity for a public hearing, a variance from such maximum allowable increase; and

(iii) If such variance is granted, the reviewing authority may issue a permit to such source or modification in accordance with provisions developed pursuant to paragraph (q)(7) of this section: Provided, That the applicable requirements of the plan are otherwise met.

(6) Variance by the Governor with the President's concurrence. The plan may provide that—

(i) The recommendations of the Governor and the Federal Land Manager shall be transferred to the President in any case where the Governor recommends a variance in which the Federal Land Manager does not concur;

(ii) The President may approve the Governor's recommendation if he finds that such variance is in the national interest; and

(iii) If such a variance is approved, the reviewing authority may issue a permit in accordance with provisions developed pursuant to the requirements of paragraph (q)(7) of this section: Provided, That the applicable requirements of the plan are otherwise met.

(7) Emission limitations for Presidential or gubernatorial variance. The plan shall provide that in the case of a permit issued under procedures developed pursuant to paragraph (q)(5) or (6) of this section, the source or modification shall comply with emission limitations as may be necessary to assure that emissions of sulfur dioxide from the source or modification would not (during any day on which the otherwise applicable maximum allowable increases are exceeded) cause or contribute to concentrations which would exceed the following maximum allowable increases over the baseline concentration and to assure that such emissions would not cause or contribute to concentrations which exceed the otherwise applicable maximum allowable increases for periods of exposure of 24 hours or less for more than 18 days, not necessarily consecutive, during any annual period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of exposure</th>
<th>Terrain areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hr maximum</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-hr maximum</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(q) Public participation. The plan shall provide that—

(1) The reviewing authority shall notify all applicants within a specified time period as to the completeness of the application or any deficiencies in the application or information submitted.

In the event of such a deficiency, the date of receipt of the application shall be the date on which the reviewing authority received all required information.

(2) Within one year after receipt of a complete application, the reviewing authority shall:

(i) Make a preliminary determination whether construction should be approved, approved with conditions, or disapproved.

(ii) Make available in at least one location in each region in which the proposed source would be constructed a copy of all materials the applicant submitted, a copy of the preliminary determination, and a copy or summary of other materials, if any, considered in making the preliminary determination.

(iii) Notify the public, by advertisement in a newspaper of general circulation in each region in which the proposed source would be constructed, of the application, the preliminary determination, the degree of increment consumption that is expected from the source or modification, and of the opportunity for comment at a public hearing as well as written public comment.

(iv) Send a copy of the notice of public comment to the applicant, the Administrator and to officials and agencies having cognizance over the location where the proposed construction would occur as follows: Any other State or local air pollution control agencies, the chief executives of the city and county where the source would be located; any comprehensive regional land use planning agency, and any State, Federal Land Manager, or
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Indian Governing body whose lands may be affected by emissions from the source or modification.

(v) Provide opportunity for a public hearing for interested persons to appear and submit written or oral comments on the air quality impact of the source, alternatives to it, the control technology required, and other appropriate considerations.

(vi) Consider all written comments submitted within a time specified in the notice of public comment and all comments received at any public hearing(s) in making a final decision on the approvability of the application. The reviewing authority shall make all comments available for public inspection in the same locations where the reviewing authority made available preconstruction information relating to the proposed source or modification.

(vii) Make a final determination whether construction should be approved, approved with conditions, or disapproved.

(viii) Notify the applicant in writing of the final determination and make such notification available for public inspection at the same location where the reviewing authority made available preconstruction information and public comments relating to the source.

(r) Source obligation. (1) The plan shall include enforceable procedures to provide that approval to construct shall not relieve any owner or operator of the responsibility to comply fully with applicable provisions of the plan and any other requirements under local, State or Federal law.

(2) The plan shall provide that at such time that a particular source or modification becomes a major stationary source or major modification solely by virtue of a relaxation in any enforceable limitation which was established after August 7, 1980, on the capacity of the source or modification otherwise to emit a pollutant, such as a restriction on hours of operation, then the requirements of paragraphs (j) through (s) of this section shall apply to the source or modification as though construction had not yet commenced on the source or modification.

(s) Innovative control technology. (1) The plan may provide that an owner or operator of a proposed major stationary source or major modification may request the reviewing authority to approve a system of innovative control technology.

(2) The plan may provide that the reviewing authority may, with the consent of the Governor(s) of other affected State(s), determine that the source or modification may employ a system of innovative control technology, if:

(i) The proposed control system would not cause or contribute to an unreasonable risk to public health, welfare, or safety in its operation or function;

(ii) The owner or operator agrees to achieve a level of continuous emissions reduction equivalent to that which would have been required under paragraph (j)(2) of this section, by a date specified by the reviewing authority.

Such date shall not be later than 4 years from the time of startup or 7 years from permit issuance;

(iii) The source or modification would meet the requirements equivalent to those in paragraphs (j) and (k) of this section, based on the emissions rate that the stationary source employing the system of innovative control technology would be required to meet on the date specified by the reviewing authority;

(iv) The source or modification would not before the date specified by the reviewing authority:

(a) Cause or contribute to any violation of an applicable national ambient air quality standard; or

(b) Impact any area where an applicable increment is known to be violated;

(v) All other applicable requirements including those for public participation have been met.

(vi) The provisions of paragraph (p) of this section (relating to Class I areas) have been satisfied with respect to all periods during the life of the source or modification.

(3) The plan shall provide that the reviewing authority shall withdraw any approval to employ a system of innovative control technology made under this section, if:

(i) The proposed system fails by the specified date to achieve the required continuous emissions reduction rate; or
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(i) The proposed system fails before the specified date so as to contribute to an unreasonable risk to public health, welfare, or safety; or

(ii) The reviewing authority decides at any time that the proposed system is unlikely to achieve the required level of control or to protect the public health, welfare, or safety.

(4) The plan may provide that if a source or modification fails to meet the required level of continuous emissions reduction within the specified time period, or if the approval is withdrawn in accordance with paragraph (s)(3) of this section, the reviewing authority may allow the source or modification up to an additional 3 years to meet the requirement for the application of best available control technology through use of a demonstrated system of control.


[43 FR 26382, June 19, 1978]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting § 51.166, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and on GPO Access.

Subpart J—Ambient Air Quality Surveillance

AUTHORITY: Secs. 110, 301(a), 313, 319, Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7410, 7601(a), 7613, 7619).

§ 51.190 Ambient air quality monitoring requirements.

The requirements for monitoring ambient air quality for purposes of the plan are located in subpart C of part 58 of this chapter.

[44 FR 27569, May 10, 1979]

Subpart K—Source Surveillance

SOURCE: 51 FR 40673, Nov. 7, 1986, unless otherwise noted.

§ 51.210 General.

Each plan must provide for monitoring the status of compliance with any rules and regulations that set forth any portion of the control strategy. Specifically, the plan must meet the requirements of this subpart.

§ 51.211 Emission reports and record-keeping.

The plan must provide for legally enforceable procedures for requiring owners or operators of stationary sources to maintain records of and periodically report to the State—

(a) Information on the nature and amount of emissions from the stationary sources; and

(b) Other information as may be necessary to enable the State to determine whether the sources are in compliance with applicable portions of the control strategy.

§ 51.212 Testing, inspection, enforcement, and complaints.

The plan must provide for—

(a) Periodic testing and inspection of stationary sources; and

(b) Establishment of a system for detecting violations of any rules and regulations through the enforcement of appropriate visible emission limitations and for investigating complaints.

(c) Enforceable test methods for each emission limit specified in the plan. For the purpose of submitting compliance certifications or establishing whether or not a person has violated or is in violation of any standard in this part, the plan must not preclude the use, including the exclusive use, of any credible evidence or information, relevant to whether a source would have been in compliance with applicable requirements if the appropriate performance or compliance test or procedure had been performed. As an enforceable method, States may use:

(1) Any of the appropriate methods in appendix M to this part, Recommended Test Methods for State Implementation Plans; or

(2) An alternative method following review and approval of that method by the Administrator; or

(3) Any appropriate method in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60.

§ 51.213 Transportation control measures.

(a) The plan must contain procedures for obtaining and maintaining data on actual emissions reductions achieved as a result of implementing transportation control measures.

(b) In the case of measures based on traffic flow changes or reductions in vehicle use, the data must include observed changes in vehicle miles traveled and average speeds.

(c) The data must be maintained in such a way as to facilitate comparison of the planned and actual efficacy of the transportation control measures.

[61 FR 30163, June 14, 1996]

§ 51.214 Continuous emission monitoring.

(a) The plan must contain legally enforceable procedures to—

(1) Require stationary sources subject to emission standards as part of an applicable plan to install, calibrate, maintain, and operate equipment for continuously monitoring and recording emissions; and

(2) Provide other information as specified in appendix P of this part.

(b) The procedures must—

(1) Identify the types of sources, by source category and capacity, that must install the equipment; and

(2) Identify for each source category the pollutants which must be monitored.

(c) The procedures must, as a minimum, require the types of sources set forth in appendix P of this part to meet the applicable requirements set forth therein.

(d)(1) The procedures must contain provisions that require the owner or operator of each source subject to continuous emission monitoring and recording requirements to maintain a file of all pertinent information for at least two years following the date of collection of that information.

(2) The information must include emission measurements, continuous monitoring system performance testing measurements, performance evaluations, calibration checks, and adjustments and maintenance performed on such monitoring systems and other reports and records required by appendix P of this part.

(e) The procedures must require the source owner or operator to submit information relating to emissions and operation of the emission monitors to the State to the extent described in appendix P at least as frequently as described therein.

(f)(1) The procedures must provide that sources subject to the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section must have installed all necessary equipment and shall have begun monitoring and recording within 18 months after either—

(i) The approval of a State plan requiring monitoring for that source; or

(ii) Promulgation by the Agency of monitoring requirements for that source.

(2) The State may grant reasonable extensions of this period to sources that—

(i) Have made good faith efforts to purchase, install, and begin the monitoring and recording of emission data; and

(ii) Have been unable to complete the installation within the period.

Subpart L—Legal Authority

SOURCE: 51 FR 40673, Nov. 7, 1986, unless otherwise noted.

§ 51.230 Requirements for all plans.

Each plan must show that the State has legal authority to carry out the plan, including authority to:

(a) Adopt emission standards and limitations and any other measures necessary for attainment and maintenance of national standards.

(b) Enforce applicable laws, regulations, and standards, and seek injunctive relief.

(c) Abate pollutant emissions on an emergency basis to prevent substantial endangerment to the health of persons, i.e., authority comparable to that available to the Administrator under section 305 of the Act.

(d) Prevent construction, modification, or operation of a facility, building, structure, or installation, or combination thereof, which directly or indirectly results or may result in emissions of any air pollutant at any location which will prevent the attainment or maintenance of a national standard.
(e) Obtain information necessary to determine whether air pollution sources are in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and standards, including authority to require record-keeping and to make inspections and conduct tests of air pollution sources.

(f) Require owners or operators of stationary sources to install, maintain, and use emission monitoring devices and to make periodic reports to the State on the nature and amounts of emissions from such stationary sources; also authority for the State to make such data available to the public as reported and as correlated with any applicable emission standards or limitations.

§ 51.231 Identification of legal authority.

(a) The provisions of law or regulation which the State determines provide the authorities required under this section must be specifically identified, and copies of such laws or regulations be submitted with the plan.

(b) The plan must show that the legal authorities specified in this subpart are available to the State at the time of submission of the plan.

(c) Legal authority adequate to fulfill the requirements of §51.230 (e) and (f) of this subpart may be delegated to the State under section 114 of the Act.

§ 51.232 Assignment of legal authority to local agencies.

(a) A State government agency other than the State air pollution control agency may be assigned responsibility for carrying out a portion of a plan if the plan demonstrates to the Administrator’s satisfaction that the State governmental agency has the legal authority necessary to carry out the portion of plan.

(b) The State may authorize a local agency to carry out a plan, or portion thereof, within such local agency’s jurisdiction if—

(1) The plan demonstrates to the Administrator’s satisfaction that the local agency has the legal authority necessary to implement the plan or portion of it; and

(2) This authorization does not relieve the State of responsibility under the Act for carrying out such plan, or portion thereof.

Subpart M—Intergovernmental Consultation

AUTHORITY: Secs. 110, 121, 174(a), 301(a), Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7410, 7421, 7504, and 7601(a)).

SOURCE: 44 FR 35179, June 18, 1979, unless otherwise noted.

AGENCY DESIGNATION

§ 51.240 General plan requirements.

Each State implementation plan must identify organizations, by official title, that will participate in developing, implementing, and enforcing the plan and the responsibilities of such organizations. The plan shall include any related agreements or memoranda of understanding among the organizations.

§ 51.241 Nonattainment areas for carbon monoxide and ozone.

(a) For each AQCR or portion of an AQCR in which the national primary standard for carbon monoxide or ozone will not be attained by July 1, 1979, the Governor (or Governors for interstate areas) shall certify, after consultation with local officials, the organization responsible for developing the revised implementation plan or portions thereof for such AQCR.

(b)–(f) [Reserved]

[44 FR 35179, June 18, 1979, as amended at 48 FR 29302, June 24, 1983; 60 FR 33922, June 29, 1995; 61 FR 16060, Apr. 11, 1996]

§ 51.242 [Reserved]

Subpart N—Compliance Schedules

SOURCE: 51 FR 40673, Nov. 7, 1986, unless otherwise noted.
§ 51.260 Legally enforceable compliance schedules.

(a) Each plan shall contain legally enforceable compliance schedules setting forth the dates by which all stationary and mobile sources or categories of such sources must be in compliance with any applicable requirement of the plan.

(b) The compliance schedules must contain increments of progress required by § 51.262 of this subpart.

§ 51.261 Final compliance schedules.

(a) Unless EPA grants an extension under subpart R, compliance schedules designed to provide for attainment of a primary standard must—

(1) Provide for compliance with the applicable plan requirements as soon as practicable; or

(2) Provide for compliance no later than the date specified for attainment of the primary standard under;

(b) Unless EPA grants an extension under subpart R, compliance schedules designed to provide for attainment of a secondary standard must—

(1) Provide for compliance with the applicable plan requirements in a reasonable time; or

(2) Provide for compliance no later than the date specified for the attainment of the secondary standard under § 51.110(c).

§ 51.262 Extension beyond one year.

(a) Any compliance schedule or revision of it extending over a period of more than one year from the date of its adoption by the State agency must provide for legally enforceable increments of progress toward compliance by each affected source or category of sources. The increments of progress must include—

(1) Each increment of progress specified in § 51.100(q); and

(2) Additional increments of progress as may be necessary to permit close and effective supervision of progress toward timely compliance.

(b) [Reserved]
Environmental Protection Agency

§ 51.301 Definitions.

For purposes of this subpart:

**Adverse impact on visibility** means, for purposes of section 307, visibility impairment which interferes with the management, protection, preservation, or enjoyment of the visitor’s visual experience of the Federal Class I area. This determination must be made on a case-by-case basis taking into account the geographic extent, intensity, duration, frequency and timing of natural conditions that reduce visibility. This term does not include effects on integral vistas.

**Agency** means the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

**BART-eligible source** means an existing stationary facility as defined in this section.

**Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART)** means an emission limitation based on the degree of reduction achievable through the application of the best system of continuous emission reduction for each pollutant which is emitted by an existing stationary facility. The emission limitation must be established, on a case-by-case basis,
taking into consideration the technology available, the costs of compliance, the energy and nonair quality environmental impacts of compliance, any pollution control equipment in use or in existence at the source, the remaining useful life of the source, and the degree of improvement in visibility which may reasonably be anticipated to result from the use of such technology.

**Building, structure, or facility** means all of the pollutant-emitting activities which belong to the same industrial grouping if they are located on one or more contiguous or adjacent properties, and are under the control of the same person (or persons under common control). Pollutant-emitting activities must be considered as part of the same industrial grouping if they belong to the same Major Group (i.e., which have the same two-digit code) as described in the Standard Industrial Classification Manual, 1972 as amended by the 1977 Supplement (U.S. Government Printing Office stock numbers 4101–0066 and 003–005–00176–0 respectively).

**Deciview** means a measurement of visibility impairment. A deciview is a haze index derived from calculated light extinction, such that uniform changes in haziness correspond to uniform incremental changes in perception across the entire range of conditions, from pristine to highly impaired. The deciview haze index is calculated based on the following equation (for the purposes of calculating deciview, the atmospheric light extinction coefficient must be calculated from aerosol measurements):

\[
\text{Deciview haze index} = 10 \ln \left( \frac{b_{\text{ext}}}{10 \text{ Mm}^{-1}} \right)
\]

Where \( b_{\text{ext}} \) = the atmospheric light extinction coefficient, expressed in inverse megameters (\text{Mm}^{-1}).

**Existing stationary facility** means any of the following stationary sources of air pollutants, including any reconstructed source, which was not in operation prior to August 7, 1962, and was in existence on August 7, 1977, and has the potential to emit 250 tons per year or more of any air pollutant. In determining potential to emit, fugitive emissions, to the extent quantifiable, must be counted.

Fossil-fuel fired steam electric plants of more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input,

Coal cleaning plants (thermal dryers),

Kraft pulp mills,

Portland cement plants,

Primary zinc smelters,

Iron and steel mill plants,

Primary aluminum ore reduction plants,

Primary copper smelters,

Municipal incinerators capable of charging more than 250 tons of refuse per day,

Hydrofluoric, sulfuric, and nitric acid plants,

Petroleum refineries,

Lime plants,

Phosphate rock processing plants,

Coke oven batteries,

Sulfur recovery plants,

Carbon black plants (furnace process),

Primary lead smelters,

Fuel conversion plants,

Sintering plants,

Secondary metal production facilities,

Chemical process plants,

Fossil-fuel boilers of more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input,

Petroleum storage and transfer facilities with a capacity exceeding 300,000 barrels,

Taconite ore processing facilities,

Glass fiber processing plants, and

Charcoal production facilities.

**Federal Class I area** means any Federal land that is classified or reclassified Class I.

**Federal Land Manager** means the Secretary of the department with authority over the Federal Class I area (or the Secretary’s designee) or, with respect to Roosevelt-Campobello International Park, the Chairman of the Roosevelt-Campobello International Park Commission.

**Federally enforceable** means all limitations and conditions which are enforceable by the Administrator under the Clean Air Act including those requirements developed pursuant to parts 60 and 61 of this title, requirements within any applicable State Implementation Plan, and any permit requirements established pursuant to
§ 51.301

Fixed capital cost means the capital needed to provide all of the depreciable components.

Fugitive Emissions means those emissions which could not reasonably pass through a stack, chimney, vent, or other functionally equivalent opening.

Geographic enhancement for the purpose of § 51.308 means a method, procedure, or process to allow a broad regional strategy, such as an emissions trading program designed to achieve greater reasonable progress than BART for regional haze, to accommodate BART for reasonably attributable impairment.

Implementation plan means, for the purposes of this part, any State Implementation Plan, Federal Implementation Plan, or Tribal Implementation Plan.

Indian tribe or tribe means any Indian tribe, band, nation, or other organized group or community, including any Alaska Native village, which is federally recognized as eligible for the special programs and services provided by the United States to Indians because of their status as Indians.

In existence means that the owner or operator has obtained all necessary preconstruction approvals or permits required by Federal, State, or local air pollution emissions and air quality laws or regulations and either has (1) begun, or caused to begin, a continuous program of physical on-site construction of the facility or (2) entered into binding agreements or contractual obligations, which cannot be cancelled or modified without substantial loss to the owner or operator, to undertake a program of construction of the facility to be completed in a reasonable time.

In operation means engaged in activity related to the primary design function of the source.

Installation means an identifiable piece of process equipment.

Integral vista means a view perceived from within the mandatory Class I Federal area of a specific landmark or panorama located outside the boundary of the mandatory Class I Federal area.

Least impaired days means the average visibility impairment (measured in deciviews) for the twenty percent of monitored days in a calendar year with the lowest amount of visibility impairment.

Major stationary source and major modification mean major stationary source and major modification, respectively, as defined in § 51.166.

Mandatory Class I Federal Area means any area identified in part 81, subpart D of this title.

Most impaired days means the average visibility impairment (measured in deciviews) for the twenty percent of monitored days in a calendar year with the highest amount of visibility impairment.

Natural conditions includes naturally occurring phenomena that reduce visibility as measured in terms of light extinction, visual range, contrast, or coloration.

Potential to emit means the maximum capacity of a stationary source to emit a pollutant under its physical and operational design. Any physical or operational limitation on the capacity of the source to emit a pollutant including air pollution control equipment and restrictions on hours of operation or on the type or amount of material combusted, stored, or processed, shall be treated as part of its design if the limitation or the effect it would have on emissions is federally enforceable. Secondary emissions do not count in determining the potential to emit of a stationary source.

Reasonably attributable means attributable by visual observation or any other technique the State deems appropriate.

Reasonably attributable visibility impairment means visibility impairment that is caused by the emission of air pollutants from one, or a small number of sources.

Reconstruction will be presumed to have taken place where the fixed capital cost of the new component exceeds 50 percent of the fixed capital cost of a comparable entirely new source. Any final decision as to whether reconstruction has occurred must be made in accordance with the provisions of § 60.15 (f) (1) through (3) of this title.

Regional haze means visibility impairment that is caused by the emission of air pollutants from numerous
§ 51.302 Implementation control strategies for reasonably attributable visibility impairment.

(a) Plan Revision Procedures. (1) Each State identified in §51.300(b)(2) must have submitted, not later than September 2, 1981, an implementation plan meeting the requirements of this subpart pertaining to reasonably attributable visibility impairment.

(2)(i) The State, prior to adoption of any implementation plan to address reasonably attributable visibility impairment required by this subpart, must conduct one or more public hearings on such plan in accordance with §51.302.

(ii) In addition to the requirements in §51.302, the State must provide written notification of such hearings to each affected Federal Land Manager, and other affected States, and must state where the public can inspect a summary prepared by the Federal Land Managers of their conclusions and recommendations, if any, on the proposed plan revision.

(3) Submission of plans as required by this subpart must be conducted in accordance with the procedures in §51.103.

(b) State and Federal Land Manager Coordination. (1) The State must identify to the Federal Land Managers, in writing and within 30 days of the date of promulgation of these regulations, the title of the official to which the Federal Land Manager of any mandatory Class I Federal area can submit a recommendation on the implementation of this subpart including, but not limited to:

(i) A list of integral vistas that are to be listed by the State for the purpose of implementing section 304,

(ii) Identification of impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area(s), and

(iii) Identification of elements for inclusion in the visibility monitoring strategy required by section 305.

(2) The State must provide opportunity for consultation, in person and at least 60 days prior to holding any public hearing on the plan, with the Federal Land Manager on the proposed SIP revision required by this subpart. This consultation must include the opportunity for the affected Federal Land Managers to discuss their:

(i) Assessment of impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area, and

(ii) Recommendations on the development of the long-term strategy.

(3) The plan must provide procedures for continuing consultation between the State and Federal Land Manager on the implementation of the visibility

Secondary emissions means emissions which occur as a result of the construction or operation of an existing stationary facility but do not come from the existing stationary facility. Secondary emissions may include, but are not limited to, emissions from ships or trains coming to or from the existing stationary facility.

Significant impairment means, for purposes of §51.303, visibility impairment which, in the judgment of the Administrator, interferes with the management, protection, preservation, or enjoyment of the visitor’s visual experience of the mandatory Class I Federal area. This determination must be made on a case-by-case basis taking into account the geographic extent, intensity, duration, frequency and time of the visibility impairment, and how these factors correlate with (1) times of visitor use of the mandatory Class I Federal area, and (2) the frequency and timing of natural conditions that reduce visibility.

State means “State” as defined in section 302(d) of the CAA.

Stationary Source means any building, structure, facility, or installation which emits or may emit any air pollutant.

Visibility impairment means any humanly perceptible change in visibility (light extinction, visual range, contrast, coloration) from that which would have existed under natural conditions.

Visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area includes any integral vista associated with that area.

[45 FR 80089, Dec. 2, 1980, as amended at 64 FR 35763, 35774, July 1, 1999]
(c) General plan requirements for reasonably attributable visibility impairment.

(1) The affected Federal Land Manager may certify to the State, at any time, that there exists reasonably attributable impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area.

(2) The plan must contain the following to address reasonably attributable impairment:

(i) A long-term (10-15 years) strategy, as specified in §51.305 and §51.306, including such emission limitations, schedules of compliance, and such other measures including schedules for the implementation of the elements of the long-term strategy as may be necessary to make reasonable progress toward the national goal specified in §51.300(a).

(ii) An assessment of visibility impairment and a discussion of how each element of the plan relates to the preventing of future or remedying of existing impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area within the State.

(iii) Emission limitations representing BART and schedules for compliance with BART for each existing stationary facility identified according to paragraph (c)(4) of this section.

(3) The plan must require each source to maintain control equipment required by this subpart and establish procedures to ensure such control equipment is properly operated and maintained.

(4) For any existing reasonably attributable visibility impairment the Federal Land Manager certifies to the State under paragraph (c)(1) of this section, at least 6 months prior to plan submission or revision:

(i) The State must identify and analyze for BART each existing stationary facility which may reasonably be anticipated to cause or contribute to impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area where the impairment in the mandatory Class I Federal area is reasonably attributable to that existing stationary facility. The State need not consider any integral vista the Federal Land Manager did not identify pursuant to §51.304(b) at least 6 months before plan submission.

(ii) If the State determines that technological or economic limitations on the applicability of measurement methodology to a particular existing stationary facility would make the imposition of an emission standard infeasible it may instead prescribe a design, equipment, work practice, or other operational standard, or combination thereof, to require the application of BART. Such standard, to the degree possible, is to set forth the emission reduction to be achieved by implementation of such design, equipment, work practice or operation, and must provide for compliance by means which achieve equivalent results.

(iii) BART must be determined for fossil-fuel fired generating plants having a total generating capacity in excess of 750 megawatts pursuant to "Guidelines for Determining Best Available Retrofit Technology for Coal-fired Power Plants and Other Existing Stationary Facilities" (1980), which is incorporated by reference, exclusive of appendix E, which was published in the Federal Register on February 6, 1980 (45 FR 8210). It is EPA publication No. 4503–80–009b and is for sale from the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. It is also available for inspection at the Office of the Federal Register Information Center, 800 North Capitol NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(iv) The plan must require that each existing stationary facility required to install and operate BART do so as expeditiously as practicable but in no case later than five years after plan approval.

(v) The plan must provide for a BART analysis of any existing stationary facility that might cause or contribute to impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area identified under this paragraph (c)(4) at such times, as determined by the Administrator, as new technology for control of the pollutant becomes reasonably available if:

(A) The pollutant is emitted by that existing stationary facility.

(B) Controls representing BART for the pollutant have not previously been required under this subpart, and
§ 51.303 Exemptions from control.

(a)(1) Any existing stationary facility subject to the requirement under §51.302 to install, operate, and maintain BART may apply to the Administrator for an exemption from that requirement.

(2) An application under this section must include all available documentation relevant to the impact of the source’s emissions on visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area and a demonstration by the existing stationary facility that it does not or will not, by itself or in combination with other sources, emit any air pollutant which may be reasonably anticipated to cause or contribute to a significant impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area.

(b) Any fossil-fuel fired power plant with a total generating capacity of 750 megawatts or more may receive an exemption from BART only if the owner or operator of such power plant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Administrator that such power plant is located at such a distance from all mandatory Class I Federal areas that such power plant does not or will not, by itself or in combination with other sources, emit any air pollutant which may reasonably be anticipated to cause or contribute to a significant impairment of visibility in any such mandatory Class I Federal area.

(c) Application under this §51.303 must be accompanied by a written concurrence from the State with regulatory authority over the source.

(d) The existing stationary facility must give prior written notice to all affected Federal Land Managers of any application for exemption under this §51.303.

(e) The Federal Land Manager may provide an initial recommendation or comment on the disposition of such application. Such recommendation, where provided, must be part of the exemption application. This recommendation is not to be construed as the concurrence required under paragraph (h) of this section.

(f) The Administrator, within 90 days of receipt of an application for exemption from control, will provide notice of receipt of an exemption application and notice of opportunity for public hearing on the application.

(g) After notice and opportunity for public hearing, the Administrator may grant or deny the exemption. For purposes of judicial review, final EPA action on an application for an exemption under this §51.303 will not occur until EPA approves or disapproves the State Implementation Plan revision.

(h) An exemption granted by the Administrator under this §51.303 will be effective only upon concurrence by all affected Federal Land Managers with the Administrator’s determination.

[45 FR 80089, Dec. 2, 1980, as amended by 64 FR 35774, July 1, 1999]

§ 51.304 Identification of integral vistas.

(a) On or before December 31, 1985 the Federal Land Manager may identify any integral vista. The integral vista must be identified according to criteria the Federal Land Manager develops. These criteria must include, but are not limited to, whether the integral vista is important to the visitor’s visual experience of the mandatory Class I Federal area. Adoption of criteria must be preceded by reasonable notice and opportunity for public comment on the proposed criteria.

(b) The Federal Land Manager must notify the State of any integral vistas identified under paragraph (a) of this section, and the reasons therefor.

(c) The State must list in its implementation plan any integral vista the Federal Land Manager identifies at least six months prior to plan submission, and must list in its implementation plan at its earliest opportunity, and in no case later than at the time of the periodic review of the SIP required by §51.306(c), any integral vista the Federal Land Manager identifies after that time.

(d) The State need not in its implementation plan list any integral vista the identification of which was not made in accordance with the criteria in
paragraph (a) of this section. In making this finding, the State must carefully consider the expertise of the Federal Land Manager in making the judgments called for by the criteria for identification. Where the State and the Federal Land Manager disagree on the identification of any integral vista, the State must give the Federal Land Manager an opportunity to consult with the Governor of the State.

§ 51.305 Monitoring for reasonably attributable visibility impairment.

(a) For the purposes of addressing reasonably attributable visibility impairment, each State containing a mandatory Class I Federal area must include in the plan a strategy for evaluating reasonably attributable visibility impairment in any mandatory Class I Federal area by visual observation or other appropriate monitoring techniques. Such strategy must take into account current and anticipated visibility monitoring research, the availability of appropriate monitoring techniques, and such guidance as is provided by the Agency.

(b) The plan must provide for the consideration of available visibility data and must provide a mechanism for its use in decisions required by this subpart.

[45 FR 80089, Dec. 2, 1980, as amended at 64 FR 35764, July 1, 1999]

§ 51.306 Long-term strategy requirements for reasonably attributable visibility impairment.

(a)(1) For the purposes of addressing reasonably attributable visibility impairment, each plan must include a long-term (10–15 years) strategy for making reasonable progress toward the national goal specified in § 51.300(a). This strategy must cover any existing impairment the Federal Land Manager certifies to the State at least 6 months prior to plan submission, and any integral vista of which the Federal Land Manager notifies the State at least 6 months prior to plan submission.

(2) A long-term strategy must be developed for each mandatory Class I Federal area located within the State and each mandatory Class I Federal area located outside the State which may be affected by sources within the State. This does not preclude the development of a single comprehensive plan for all such areas.

(3) The plan must set forth with reasonable specificity why the long-term strategy is adequate for making reasonable progress toward the national visibility goal, including remedying existing and preventing future impairment.

(b) The State must coordinate its long-term strategy for an area with existing plans and goals, including those provided by the affected Federal Land Managers, that may affect impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area.

(c) The plan must provide for periodic review and revision, as appropriate, of the long-term strategy for addressing reasonably attributable visibility impairment. The plan must provide for such periodic review and revision not less frequently than every 3 years until the date of submission of the State’s first plan addressing regional haze visibility impairment in accordance with § 51.308(b) and (c). On or before this date, the State must revise its plan to provide for review and revision of a coordinated long-term strategy for addressing reasonably attributable and regional haze visibility impairment, and the State must submit the first such coordinated long-term strategy. Future coordinated long-term strategies must be submitted consistent with the schedule for periodic progress reports set forth in § 51.308(g). Until the State revises its plan to meet this requirement, the State must continue to comply with existing requirements for plan review and revision, and with all emission management requirements in the plan to address reasonably attributable impairment. This requirement does not affect any preexisting deadlines for State submittal of a long-term strategy review (or element thereof) between August 30, 1999, and the date required for submission of the State’s first regional haze plan. In addition, the plan must provide for review of the long-term strategy as it applies to reasonably attributable impairment, and revision as appropriate, within 3 years.
§ 51.307 New source review.

(a) For purposes of new source review of any new major stationary source or major modification that would be constructed in an area that is designated attainment or unclassified under section 107(d)(1)(D) or (E) of the CAA, the State plan must, in any review under §51.166 with respect to visibility protection and analyses, provide for:

(1) Written notification of all affected Federal Land Managers of any proposed new major stationary source or major modification that may affect visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area. Such notification must be in accordance with §51.307, §51.166, §51.160, and any other binding guidance provided by the Agency insofar as these provisions pertain to protection of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal areas.

(e) The State must consider, at a minimum, the following factors during the development of its long-term strategy:

(1) Emission reductions due to ongoing air pollution control programs,

(2) Additional emission limitations and schedules for compliance,

(3) Measures to mitigate the impacts of construction activities,

(4) Source retirement and replacement schedules,

(5) Smoke management techniques for agricultural and forestry management purposes including such plans as currently exist within the State for these purposes, and

(6) Enforceability of emission limitations and control measures.

(f) The plan must discuss the reasons why the above and other reasonable measures considered in the development of the long-term strategy were or were not adopted as part of the long-term strategy.

(g) The State, in developing the long-term strategy, must take into account the effect of new sources, and the costs of compliance, the time necessary for compliance, the energy and nonair quality environmental impacts of compliance, and the remaining useful life of any affected existing source and equipment therein.

[45 FR 80089, Dec. 2, 1980, as amended at 64 FR 35764, 35774, July 1, 1999]
State must notify all affected Federal Land Managers within 30 days of such advance notification, and

(3) Consideration of any analysis performed by the Federal Land Manager, provided within 30 days of the notification and analysis required by paragraph (a)(1) of this section, that such proposed new major stationary source or major modification may have an adverse impact on visibility in any Federal Class I area. Where the State finds that such an analysis does not demonstrate to the satisfaction of the State that an adverse impact will result in the Federal Class I area, the State must, in the notice of public hearing, either explain its decision or give notice as to where the explanation can be obtained.

(b) The plan shall also provide for the review of any new major stationary source or major modification:

(1) That may have an impact on any integral vista of a mandatory Class I Federal area, if it is identified in accordance with §51.304 by the Federal Land Manager at least 12 months before submission of a complete permit application, except where the Federal Land Manager has provided notice and opportunity for public comment on the integral vista in which case the review must include impacts on any integral vista identified at least 6 months prior to submission of a complete permit application, unless the State determines under §51.304(d) that the identification was not in accordance with the identification criteria, or

(2) That proposes to locate in an area classified as nonattainment under section 107(d)(1)(A), (B), or (C) of the Clean Air Act that may have an impact on visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area.

(c) Review of any major stationary source or major modification under paragraph (b) of this section, shall be conducted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, and §51.166(e), (p)(1) through (2), and (q). In conducting such reviews the State must ensure that the source’s emissions will be consistent with making reasonable progress toward the national visibility goal referred to in §51.300(a). The State may take into account the costs of compliance, the energy and nonair quality environmental impacts of compliance, and the useful life of the source.

(d) The State may require monitoring of visibility in any Federal Class I area near the proposed new stationary source or major modification for such purposes and by such means as the State deems necessary and appropriate.

[45 FR 80089, Dec. 2, 1980, as amended at 64 FR 35765, 35774, July 1, 1999]

§ 51.308 Regional haze program requirements.

(a) What is the purpose of this section? This section establishes requirements for implementation plans, plan revisions, and periodic progress reviews to address regional haze.

(b) When are the first implementation plans due under the regional haze program? Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section and §51.309(c), each State identified in §51.300(b)(3) must submit an implementation plan for regional haze meeting the requirements of paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section by the following dates:

(1) For any area designated as attainment or unclassifiable for the national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), the State must submit a regional haze implementation plan to EPA within 12 months after the date of designation.

(2) For any area designated as nonattainment for the PM_{2.5} NAAQS, the State must submit a regional haze implementation plan to EPA at the same time that the State’s plan for implementation of the PM_{2.5} NAAQS must be submitted under section 172 of the CAA, that is, within 3 years after the area is designated as nonattainment, but not later than December 31, 2008.

(c) Options for regional planning. If at the time the SIP for regional haze would otherwise be due, a State is working with other States to develop a coordinated approach to regional haze by participating in a regional planning process, the State may choose to defer addressing the core requirements for regional haze in paragraph (d) of this section and the requirements for BART in paragraph (e) of this section. If a
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State opts to do this, it must meet the following requirements:

(1) The State must submit an implementation plan by the earliest date by which an implementation plan would be due for any area of the State under paragraph (b) of this section. This implementation plan must contain the following:

(i) A demonstration of ongoing participation in a regional planning process to address regional haze, and an agreement by the State to continue participating with one or more other States in such a process for the development of this and future implementation plan revisions;

(ii) A showing, based on available inventory, monitoring, or modeling information, that emissions from within the State contribute to visibility impairment in a mandatory Class I Federal Area outside the State, or that emissions from another State contribute to visibility impairment in any mandatory Class I Federal area within the State.

(iii) A description of the regional planning process, including a list of the States which have agreed to work together to address regional haze in a region (i.e., the regional planning group), the goals, objectives, management, and decisionmaking structure of the regional planning group, deadlines for completing significant technical analyses and developing emission management strategies, and a schedule for State review and adoption of regulations implementing the recommendations of the regional group;

(iv) A commitment by the State to submit an implementation plan revision addressing the requirements in paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section by the date specified in paragraph (c)(2) of this section. In addition, the State must commit to develop its plan revision in coordination with the other States participating in the regional planning process, and to fully address the recommendations of the regional planning group.

(v) A list of all BART-eligible sources within the State.

(2) The State must submit an implementation plan revision addressing the requirements in paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section by the latest date an area within the planning region would be required to submit an implementation plan under paragraph (b) of this section, but in any event, no later than December 31, 2008.

(d) What are the core requirements for the implementation plan for regional haze? The State must address regional haze in each mandatory Class I Federal area located within the State and in each mandatory Class I Federal area located outside the State which may be affected by emissions from within the State. To meet the core requirements for regional haze for these areas, the State must submit an implementation plan containing the following plan elements and supporting documentation for all required analyses:

(1) Reasonable progress goals. For each mandatory Class I Federal area located within the State, the State must establish goals (expressed in deciviews) that provide for reasonable progress towards achieving natural visibility conditions. The reasonable progress goals must provide for an improvement in visibility for the most impaired days over the period of the implementation plan and ensure no degradation in visibility for the least impaired days over the same period.

(A) Consider the costs of compliance, the time necessary for compliance, the energy and non-air quality environmental impacts of compliance, and the remaining useful life of any potentially affected sources, and include a demonstration showing how these factors were taken into consideration in selecting the goal.

(B) Analyze and determine the rate of progress needed to attain natural visibility conditions by the year 2064. To calculate this rate of progress, the State must compare baseline visibility conditions to natural visibility conditions in the mandatory Federal Class I area and determine the uniform rate of visibility improvement (measured in deciviews) that would need to be maintained during each implementation period in order to attain natural visibility conditions by 2064. In establishing the reasonable progress goal,
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the State must consider the uniform rate of improvement in visibility and the emission reduction measures needed to achieve it for the period covered by the implementation plan.

(ii) For the period of the implementation plan, if the State establishes a reasonable progress goal that provides for a slower rate of improvement in visibility than the rate that would be needed to attain natural conditions by 2064, the State must demonstrate, based on the factors in paragraph (d)(1)(i)(A) of this section, that the rate of progress for the implementation plan to attain natural conditions by 2064 is not reasonable; and that the progress goal adopted by the State is reasonable. The State must provide to the public for review as part of its implementation plan an assessment of the number of years it would take to attain natural conditions if visibility improvement continues at the rate of progress selected by the State as reasonable.

(iii) In determining whether the State’s goal for visibility improvement provides for reasonable progress towards natural visibility conditions, the Administrator will evaluate the demonstrations developed by the State pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1)(i) and (d)(1)(ii) of this section.

(iv) In developing each reasonable progress goal, the State must consult with those States which may reasonably be anticipated to cause or contribute to visibility impairment in the mandatory Class I Federal area. In any situation in which the State cannot agree with another such State or group of States that a goal provides for reasonable progress, the State must describe in its submittal the actions taken to resolve the disagreement. In reviewing the State’s implementation plan submittal, the Administrator will take this information into account in determining whether the State’s goal for visibility improvement provides for reasonable progress towards natural visibility conditions.

(v) The reasonable progress goals established by the State are not directly enforceable but will be considered by the Administrator in evaluating the adequacy of the measures in the implementation plan to achieve the progress goal adopted by the State.

(vi) The State may not adopt a reasonable progress goal that represents less visibility improvement than is expected to result from implementation of other requirements of the CAA during the applicable planning period.

(2) Calculations of baseline and natural visibility conditions. For each mandatory Class I Federal area located within the State, the State must determine the following visibility conditions (expressed in deciviews):

(i) Baseline visibility conditions for the most impaired and least impaired days. The period for establishing baseline visibility conditions is 2000 to 2004. Baseline visibility conditions must be calculated, using available monitoring data, by establishing the average degree of visibility impairment for the most and least impaired days for each calendar year from 2000 to 2004. The baseline visibility conditions are the average of these annual values. For mandatory Class I Federal areas without onsite monitoring data for 2000–2004, the State must establish baseline values using the most representative available monitoring data for 2000–2004, in consultation with the Administrator or his or her designee;

(ii) For an implementation plan that is submitted by 2003, the period for establishing baseline visibility conditions for the period of the first long-term strategy is the most recent 5-year period for which visibility monitoring data are available for the mandatory Class I Federal areas addressed by the plan. For mandatory Class I Federal areas without onsite monitoring data, the State must establish baseline values using the most representative available monitoring data, in consultation with the Administrator or his or her designee;

(iii) Natural visibility conditions for the most impaired and least impaired days. Natural visibility conditions must be calculated by estimating the degree of visibility impairment existing under natural conditions for the most impaired and least impaired days, based on available monitoring information and appropriate data analysis techniques; and
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(A) For the first implementation plan addressing the requirements of paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section, the number of deciviews by which baseline conditions exceed natural visibility conditions for the most impaired and least impaired days; or

(B) For all future implementation plan revisions, the number of deciviews by which current conditions, as calculated under paragraph (f)(1) of this section, exceed natural visibility conditions for the most impaired and least impaired days.

(3) Long-term strategy for regional haze. Each State listed in §51.300(b)(3) must submit a long-term strategy that addresses regional haze visibility impairment for each mandatory Class I Federal area within the State and for each mandatory Class I Federal area located outside the State which may be affected by emissions from the State. The long-term strategy must include enforceable emissions limitations, compliance schedules, and other measures as necessary to achieve the reasonable progress goals established by States having mandatory Class I Federal areas. In establishing its long-term strategy for regional haze, the State must meet the following requirements:

(i) Where the State has emissions that are reasonably anticipated to contribute to visibility impairment in any mandatory Class I Federal area located in another State or States, the State must consult with the other State(s) in order to develop coordinated emission management strategies. The State must consult with any other State having emissions that are reasonably anticipated to contribute to visibility impairment in any mandatory Class I Federal area within the State.

(ii) Where other States cause or contribute to impairment in a mandatory Class I Federal area, the State must demonstrate that it has included in its implementation plan all measures necessary to obtain its share of the emission reductions needed to meet the progress goal for the area. If the State has participated in a regional planning process, the State must ensure it has included all measures needed to achieve its apportionment of emission reduction obligations agreed upon through that process.

(iii) The State must document the technical basis, including modeling, monitoring and emissions information, on which the State is relying to determine its apportionment of emission reduction obligations necessary for achieving reasonable progress in each mandatory Class I Federal area it affects. The State may meet this requirement by relying on technical analyses developed by the regional planning organization and approved by all State participants. The State must identify the baseline emissions inventory on which its strategies are based. The baseline emissions inventory year is presumed to be the most recent year of the consolidate periodic emissions inventory.

(iv) The State must identify all anthropogenic sources of visibility impairment considered by the State in developing its long-term strategy. The State should consider major and minor stationary sources, mobile sources, and area sources.

(v) The State must consider, at a minimum, the following factors in developing its long-term strategy:

(A) Emission reductions due to ongoing air pollution control programs, including measures to address reasonably attributable visibility impairment;

(B) Measures to mitigate the impacts of construction activities;

(C) Emissions limitations and schedules for compliance to achieve the reasonable progress goal;

(D) Source retirement and replacement schedules;

(E) Smoke management techniques for agricultural and forestry management purposes including plans as currently exist within the State for these purposes;

(F) Enforceability of emissions limitations and control measures; and

(G) The anticipated net effect on visibility due to projected changes in point, area, and mobile source emissions over the period addressed by the long-term strategy.

(4) Monitoring strategy and other implementation plan requirements. The State must submit with the implementation plan a monitoring strategy for measuring, characterizing, and reporting of
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regional haze visibility impairment that is representative of all mandatory Class I Federal areas within the State. This monitoring strategy must be coordinated with the monitoring strategy required in §51.305 for reasonably attributable visibility impairment. Compliance with this requirement may be met through participation in the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments network. The implementation plan must also provide for the following:

(i) The establishment of any additional monitoring sites or equipment needed to assess whether reasonable progress goals to address regional haze for all mandatory Class I Federal areas within the State are being achieved.

(ii) Procedures by which monitoring data and other information are used in determining the contribution of emissions from within the State to regional haze visibility impairment at mandatory Class I Federal areas both within and outside the State.

(iii) For a State with no mandatory Class I Federal areas, procedures by which monitoring data and other information are used in determining the contribution of emissions from within the State to regional haze visibility impairment at mandatory Class I Federal areas in other States.

(iv) The implementation plan must provide for the reporting of all visibility monitoring data to the Administrator at least annually for each mandatory Class I Federal area in the State. To the extent possible, the State should report visibility monitoring data electronically.

(v) A statewide inventory of emissions of pollutants that are reasonably anticipated to cause or contribute to visibility impairment in any mandatory Class I Federal area. The inventory must include emissions for a baseline year for which data are available, and estimates of future projected emissions. The State must also include a commitment to update the inventory periodically.

(vi) Other elements, including reporting, recordkeeping, and other measures necessary to assess and report on visibility.

(e) Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) requirements for regional haze visibility impairment. The State must submit an implementation plan containing emission limitations representing BART and schedules for compliance with BART for each BART-eligible source that may reasonably be anticipated to cause or contribute to any impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area, unless the State demonstrates that an emissions trading program or other alternative will achieve greater reasonable progress toward natural visibility conditions.

(1) To address the requirements for BART, the State must submit an implementation plan containing the following plan elements and include documentation for all required analyses:

(i) A list of all BART-eligible sources within the State.

(ii) A determination of BART for each BART-eligible source in the State that emits any air pollutant which may reasonably be anticipated to cause or contribute to any impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area. All such sources are subject to BART. This determination must be based on the following analyses:

(A) An analysis of the best system of continuous emission control technology available and associated emission reductions achievable for each BART-eligible source within the State subject to BART. In this analysis, the State must take into consideration the technology available, the costs of compliance, the energy and nonair quality environmental impacts of compliance, any pollution control equipment in use at the source, and the remaining useful life of the source; and

(B) An analysis of the degree of visibility improvement that would be achieved in each mandatory Class I Federal area as a result of the emission reductions achievable from all sources subject to BART located within the region that contributes to visibility impairment in the Class I area, based on the analysis conducted under paragraph (e)(1)(ii)(A) of this section.

(iii) If the State determines in establishing BART that technological or economic limitations on the applicability of measurement methodology to
a particular source would make the imposition of an emission standard infeasible, it may instead prescribe a design, equipment, work practice, or other operational standard, or combination thereof, to require the application of BART. Such standard, to the degree possible, is to set forth the emission reduction to be achieved by implementation of such design, equipment, work practice or operation, and must provide for compliance by means which achieve equivalent results.

(iv) A requirement that each source subject to BART be required to install and operate BART as expeditiously as practicable, but in no event later than 5 years after approval of the implementation plan revision.

(v) A requirement that each source subject to BART maintain the control equipment required by this subpart and establish procedures to ensure such equipment is properly operated and maintained.

(2) A State may opt to implement an emissions trading program or other alternative measure rather than to require sources subject to BART to install, operate, and maintain BART. To do so, the State must demonstrate that this emissions trading program or other alternative measure will achieve greater reasonable progress than would be achieved through the installation and operation of BART. To make this demonstration, the State must submit an implementation plan containing the following plan elements and include documentation for all required analyses:

(i) A demonstration that the emissions trading program or other alternative measure will apply, at a minimum, to all BART-eligible sources in the State. Those sources having a federally enforceable emission limitation determined by the State and approved by EPA as meeting BART in accordance with §51.302(c) or paragraph (e)(1) of this section do not need to meet the requirements of the emissions trading program or alternative measure, but may choose to participate if they meet the requirements of the emissions trading program or alternative measure.

(ii) A demonstration that the emissions trading program or alternative measure will be surplus to those reductions resulting from measures adopted to meet requirements of the CAA as of the baseline date of the SIP.
(v) At the State’s option, a provision that the emissions trading program or other alternative measure may include a geographic enhancement to the program to address the requirement under §51.302(c) related to BART for reasonably attributable impairment from the pollutants covered under the emissions trading program or other alternative measure.

(3) After a State has met the requirements for BART or implemented emissions trading program or other alternative measure that achieve more reasonable progress than the installation and operation of BART, BART-eligible sources will be subject to the requirements of paragraph (d) of this section in the same manner as other sources.

(4) Any BART-eligible facility subject to the requirement under paragraph (e) of this section to install, operate, and maintain BART may apply to the Administrator for an exemption from that requirement. An application for an exemption will be subject to the requirements of §51.303 (a)(2) through (h).

(f) Requirements for comprehensive periodic revisions of implementation plans for regional haze. Each State identified in §51.300(b)(3) must revise and submit its regional haze implementation plan revision to EPA by July 31, 2018 and every ten years thereafter. In each plan revision, the State must evaluate and readdress all of the elements required in paragraph (d) of this section, taking into account improvements in monitoring data collection and analysis techniques, control technologies, and other relevant factors. In evaluating and reassessing these elements, the State must address the following:

(1) Current visibility conditions for the most impaired and least impaired days, and actual progress made towards natural conditions during the previous implementation period. The period for calculating current visibility conditions is the most recent five year period preceding the required date of the implementation plan submittal for which data are available. Current visibility conditions must be calculated based on the annual average level of visibility impairment for the most and least impaired days for each of these five years. Current visibility conditions are the average of these annual values.

(2) The effectiveness of the long-term strategy for achieving reasonable progress goals over the prior implementation period(s); and

(3) Affirmation of, or revision to, the reasonable progress goal in accordance with the procedures set forth in paragraph (d)(1) of this section. If the State established a reasonable progress goal for the prior period which provided a slower rate of progress than that needed to attain natural conditions by the year 2064, the State must evaluate and determine the reasonableness, based on the factors in paragraph (d)(1)(i)(A) of this section, of additional measures that could be adopted to achieve the degree of visibility improvement projected by the analysis contained in the first implementation plan described in paragraph (d)(1)(i)(B) of this section.

(g) Requirements for periodic reports describing progress towards the reasonable progress goals. Each State identified in §51.300(b)(3) must submit a report to the Administrator every 5 years evaluating progress towards the reasonable progress goal for each mandatory Class I Federal area located within the State and in each mandatory Class I Federal area located outside the State which may be affected by emissions from within the State. The first progress report is due 5 years from submittal of the initial implementation plan addressing paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section. The progress reports must be in the form of implementation plan revisions that comply with the procedural requirements of §51.102 and §51.103. Periodic progress reports must contain at a minimum the following elements:

(1) A description of the status of implementation of all measures included in the implementation plan for achieving reasonable progress goals for mandatory Class I Federal areas both within and outside the State.

(2) A summary of the emissions reductions achieved throughout the State through implementation of the measures described in paragraph (g)(1) of this section.

(3) For each mandatory Class I Federal area within the State, the State must assess the following visibility
conditions and changes, with values for most impaired and least impaired days expressed in terms of 5-year averages of these annual values.

(i) The current visibility conditions for the most impaired and least impaired days;

(ii) The difference between current visibility conditions for the most impaired and least impaired days and baseline visibility conditions;

(iii) The change in visibility impairment for the most impaired and least impaired days over the past 5 years;

(4) An analysis tracking the change over the past 5 years in emissions of pollutants contributing to visibility impairment from all sources and activities within the State. Emissions changes should be identified by type of source or activity. The analysis must be based on the most recent updated emissions inventory, with estimates projected forward as necessary and appropriate, to account for emissions changes during the applicable 5-year period.

(5) An assessment of any significant changes in anthropogenic emissions within or outside the State that have occurred over the past 5 years that have limited or impeded progress in reducing pollutant emissions and improving visibility.

(6) An assessment of whether the current implementation plan elements and strategies are sufficient to enable the State, or other States with mandatory Federal Class I areas affected by emissions from the State, to meet all established reasonable progress goals.

(7) A review of the State’s visibility monitoring strategy and any modifications to the strategy as necessary.

(b) Determination of the adequacy of existing implementation plan. At the same time the State is required to submit any 5-year progress report to EPA in accordance with paragraph (g) of this section, the State must also take one of the following actions based upon the information presented in the progress report:

(1) If the State determines that the existing implementation plan requires no further substantive revision at this time in order to achieve established goals for visibility improvement and emissions reductions, the State must provide to the Administrator a negative declaration that further revision of the existing implementation plan is not needed at this time.

(2) If the State determines that the implementation plan is or may be inadequate to ensure reasonable progress due to emissions from sources in another State(s) which participated in a regional planning process, the State must provide notification to the Administrator and to the other State(s) which participated in the regional planning process with the States. The State must also collaborate with the other State(s) through the regional planning process for the purpose of developing additional strategies to address the plan’s deficiencies.

(3) Where the State determines that the implementation plan is or may be inadequate to ensure reasonable progress due to emissions from sources in another country, the State shall provide notification, along with available information, to the Administrator.

(4) Where the State determines that the implementation plan is or may be inadequate to ensure reasonable progress due to emissions from sources within the State, the State shall revise its implementation plan to address the plan’s deficiencies within one year.

(i) What are the requirements for State and Federal Land Manager coordination?

(1) By November 29, 1999, the State must identify in writing to the Federal Land Managers the title of the official to which the Federal Land Manager of any mandatory Class I Federal area can submit any recommendations on the implementation of this subpart including, but not limited to:

(i) Identification of impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area(s); and

(ii) Identification of elements for inclusion in the visibility monitoring strategy required by §51.305 and this section.

(2) The State must provide the Federal Land Manager with an opportunity for consultation, in person and at least 60 days prior to holding any public hearing on an implementation plan (or plan revision) for regional haze required by this subpart. This consultation must include the opportunity
for the affected Federal Land Managers to discuss their:

(i) Assessment of impairment of visibility in any mandatory Class I Federal area; and

(ii) Recommendations on the development of the reasonable progress goal and on the development and implementation of strategies to address visibility impairment.

(3) In developing any implementation plan (or plan revision), the State must include a description of how it addressed any comments provided by the Federal Land Managers.

(4) The plan (or plan revision) must provide procedures for continuing consultation between the State and Federal Land Manager on the implementation of the visibility protection program required by this subpart, including development and review of implementation plan revisions and 5-year progress reports, and on the implementation of other programs having the potential to contribute to impairment of visibility in mandatory Class I Federal areas.

[64 FR 35765, July 1, 1999]

§ 51.309 Requirements related to the Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission.

(a) What is the purpose of this section? This section establishes the requirements for the first regional haze implementation plan to address regional haze visibility impairment in the 16 Class I areas covered by the Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission Report. For the years 2003 to 2018, certain States (defined in paragraph (b) of this section as Transport Region States) may choose to implement the Commission’s recommendations within the framework of the national regional haze program and applicable requirements of the Act by complying with the provisions of this section, as supplemented by an approvable Annex to the Commission Report as required by paragraph (f) of this section. If a transport region State submits an implementation plan which is approved by EPA as meeting the requirements of this section, it will be deemed to comply with the requirements for reasonable progress for the period from approval of the plan to 2018.

(b) Definitions. For the purposes of this section:


(2) Transport Region State means one of the States that is included within the Transport Region addressed by the Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission (Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, and Wyoming).


(4) Fire means wildfire, wildland fire (including prescribed natural fire), prescribed fire, and agricultural burning conducted and occurring on Federal, State, and private wildlands and farmlands.

(5) Milestone means an average percentage reduction in emissions, expressed in tons per year, for a given year or for a period of up to 5 years ending in that year, compared to a 1990 actual emissions baseline.

(6) Mobile Source Emission Budget means the lowest level of VOC, NOX, SO2, elemental and organic carbon, and fine particles which are projected to occur in any area within the transport region from which mobile source emissions are determined to contribute significantly to visibility impairment in any of the 16 Class I areas.

(7) Geographic enhancement means a method, procedure, or process to allow a broad regional strategy, such as a milestone or backstop market trading program designed to achieve greater reasonable progress than BART for regional haze, to accommodate BART for reasonably attributable impairment.
§ 51.309  Implementation Plan Schedule.

(c) Implementation Plan Schedule. Each Transport Region State may meet the requirements of §51.308(b) through (e) by electing to submit an implementation plan that complies with the requirements of this section. Each Transport Region State must submit an implementation plan addressing regional haze visibility impairment in the 16 Class I areas no later than December 31, 2003. A Transport Region State that elects not to submit an implementation plan that complies with the requirements of this section (or whose plan does not comply with all of the requirements of this section) is subject to the requirements of §51.308 in the same manner and to the same extent as any State not included within the Transport Region.

(d) Requirements of the first implementation plan for States electing to adopt all of the recommendations of the Commission Report. Except as provided for in paragraph (e) of this section, each Transport Region State must submit an implementation plan that meets the following requirements:

(1) Time period covered. The implementation plan must be effective for the entire time period between December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2018.

(2) Projection of visibility improvement. For each of the 16 mandatory Class I areas located within the Transport Region State, the plan must include a projection of the improvement in visibility conditions (expressed in deciviews, and in any additional ambient visibility metrics deemed appropriate by the State) expected through the year 2018 for the most impaired and least impaired days, based on the implementation of all measures as required in the Commission report and the provisions in this section. The projection may be based on a satisfactory regional analysis.

(3) Treatment of clean-air corridors. The plan must describe and provide for implementation of comprehensive emission tracking strategies for clean-air corridors to ensure that the visibility does not degrade on the least-impaired days at any of the 16 Class I areas. The strategy must include:

(i) An identification of clean-air corridors. The EPA will evaluate the State’s identification of such corridors based upon the reports of the Commission’s Meteorology Subcommittee and any future updates by a successor organization;

(ii) Within areas that are clean-air corridors, an identification of patterns of growth or specific sites of growth that could cause, or are causing, significant emissions increases that could have, or are having, visibility impairment at one or more of the 16 Class I areas.

(iii) In areas outside of clean-air corridors, an identification of significant emissions growth that could begin, or is beginning, to impair the quality of air in the corridor and thereby lead to visibility degradation for the least-impaired days in one or more of the 16 Class I areas.

(iv) If impairment of air quality in clean air corridors is identified pursuant to paragraphs (d)(3)(ii) and (iii) of this section, an analysis of the effects of increased emissions, including provisions for the identification of the need for additional emission reductions measures, and implementation of the additional measures where necessary.

(v) A determination of whether other clean air corridors exist for any of the 16 Class I areas. For any such clean air corridors, an identification of the necessary measures to protect against future degradation of air quality in any of the 16 Class I areas.

(4) Implementation of stationary source reductions. The first implementation plan submission must include:

(i) Monitoring and reporting of sulfur dioxide emissions. The plan submission must include provisions requiring the monitoring and reporting of actual stationary source sulfur dioxide emissions within an area. The plan must be based on a satisfactory regional analysis.

(2) Project of visibility improvement. For each of the 16 mandatory Class I areas located within the Transport Region State, the plan must include a projection of the improvement in visibility conditions (expressed in deciviews, and in any additional ambient visibility metrics deemed appropriate by the State) expected through the year 2018 for the most impaired and least impaired days, based on the implementation of all measures as required in the Commission report and the provisions in this section. The projection may be based on a satisfactory regional analysis.

(3) Treatment of clean-air corridors. The plan must describe and provide for implementation of comprehensive emission tracking strategies for clean-air corridors to ensure that the visibility does not degrade on the least-impaired days at any of the 16 Class I areas. The strategy must include:

(i) An identification of clean-air corridors. The EPA will evaluate the State’s identification of such corridors based upon the reports of the Commission’s Meteorology Subcommittee and any future updates by a successor organization;

(ii) Within areas that are clean-air corridors, an identification of patterns of growth or specific sites of growth that could cause, or are causing, significant emissions increases that could have, or are having, visibility impairment at one or more of the 16 Class I areas.

(iii) In areas outside of clean-air corridors, an identification of significant emissions growth that could begin, or is beginning, to impair the quality of air in the corridor and thereby lead to visibility degradation for the least-impaired days in one or more of the 16 Class I areas.

(iv) If impairment of air quality in clean air corridors is identified pursuant to paragraphs (d)(3)(ii) and (iii) of this section, an analysis of the effects of increased emissions, including provisions for the identification of the need for additional emission reductions measures, and implementation of the additional measures where necessary.

(v) A determination of whether other clean air corridors exist for any of the 16 Class I areas. For any such clean air corridors, an identification of the necessary measures to protect against future degradation of air quality in any of the 16 Class I areas.

(4) Implementation of stationary source reductions. The first implementation plan submission must include:

(i) Monitoring and reporting of sulfur dioxide emissions. The plan submission must include provisions requiring the monitoring and reporting of actual stationary source sulfur dioxide emissions within the State. The monitoring and reporting data must be sufficient to determine whether a 13 percent reduction in actual stationary source sulfur dioxide emissions has occurred between the years 1990 and 2000, and whether milestones required by paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this section have been achieved for the transport region. The plan submission must provide for reporting of these
data by the State to the Administrator. Where procedures developed under paragraph (f)(1)(ii) of this section and agreed upon by the State include reporting to a regional planning organization, the plan submission must provide for reporting to the regional planning body in addition to the Administrator.

(ii) Criteria and procedures for a market trading program. The plan must include the criteria and procedures for activating a market trading program or other program consistent with paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this section if an applicable regional milestone is exceeded, procedures for operation of the program, and implementation plan assessments and provisions for implementation plan assessments of the program in the years 2008, 2013, and 2018.

(iii) Provisions for activating a market trading program. Provisions to activate the market trading program or other program within 12 months after the emissions for the region are determined to exceed the applicable emission reduction milestone, and to assure that all affected sources are in compliance with allocation and other requirements within 5 years after the emissions for the region are determined to exceed the applicable emission reduction milestone.

(iv) Provisions for market trading program compliance reporting. If the market trading program has been activated, the plan submission must include provisions requiring the State to provide annual reports assuring that all sources are in compliance with applicable requirements of the market trading program.

(v) Provisions for stationary source NO\textsubscript{X} and PM. The plan submission must include a report which assesses emissions control strategies for stationary source NO\textsubscript{X} and PM, and the degree of visibility improvement that would result from such strategies. In the report, the State must evaluate and discuss the need to establish emission milestones for NO\textsubscript{X} and PM to avoid any net increase in these pollutants from stationary sources within the transport region, and to support potential future development and implementation of a multipollutant and possibly multisource market-based program. The plan submission must provide for an implementation plan revision, containing any necessary long-term strategies and BART requirements for stationary source PM and NO\textsubscript{X} (including enforceable limitations, compliance schedules, and other measures) by no later than December 31, 2008.

(5) Mobile sources. The plan submission must provide for:

(i) Statewide inventories of current annual emissions and projected future annual emissions of VOC, NO\textsubscript{X}, SO\textsubscript{2}, elemental carbon, organic carbon, and fine particles from mobile sources for the years 2003 to 2018. The future year inventories must include projections for the year 2005, or an alternative year that is determined by the State to represent the year during which mobile source emissions will be at their lowest levels within the State.

(ii) A determination whether mobile source emissions in any areas of the State contribute significantly to visibility impairment in any of the 16 Class I Areas, based on the statewide inventory of current and projected mobile source emissions.

(iii) For States with areas in which mobile source emissions are found to contribute significantly to visibility impairment in any of the 16 Class I areas:

(A) The establishment and documentation of a mobile source emissions budget for any such area, including provisions requiring the State to restrict the annual VOC, NO\textsubscript{X}, SO\textsubscript{2}, elemental and organic carbon, and/or fine particle mobile source emissions to their projected lowest levels, to implement measures to achieve the budget or cap, and to demonstrate compliance with the budget.

(B) An emission tracking system providing for reporting of annual mobile source emissions from the State in the periodic implementation plan revisions required by paragraph (d)(10) of this section. The emission tracking system must be sufficient to determine the States’ contribution toward the Commission’s objective of reducing emissions from mobile sources by 2005 or an alternate year that is determined by the State to represent the year during which mobile source emissions will be
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at their lowest levels within the State, and to ensure that mobile source emissions do not increase thereafter.

(iv) Interim reports to EPA and the public in years 2003, 2008, 2013, and 2018 on the implementation status of the regional and local strategies recommended by the Commission Report to address mobile source emissions.

(6) Programs related to fire. The plan must provide for:

(i) Documentation that all Federal, State, and private prescribed fire programs within the State evaluate and address the degree visibility impairment from smoke in their planning and application. In addition the plan must include smoke management programs that include all necessary components including, but not limited to, actions to minimize emissions, evaluation of smoke dispersion, alternatives to fire, public notification, air quality monitoring, surveillance and enforcement, and program evaluation.

(ii) A statewide inventory and emissions tracking system (spatial and temporal) of VOC, NO_x, elemental and organic carbon, and fine particle emissions from fire. In reporting and tracking emissions from fire from within the State, States may use information from regional data-gathering and tracking initiatives.

(iii) Identification and removal wherever feasible of any administrative barriers to the use of alternatives to burning in Federal, State, and private prescribed fire programs within the State.

(iv) Enhanced smoke management programs for fire that consider visibility effects, not only health and nuisance objectives, and that are based on the criteria of efficiency, economics, law, emission reduction opportunities, land management objectives, and reduction of visibility impact.

(v) Establishment of annual emission goals for fire, excluding wildfire, that will minimize emission increases from fire to the maximum extent feasible and that are established in cooperation with States, tribes, Federal land management agencies, and private entities.

(7) Area sources of dust emissions from paved and unpaved roads. The plan must include an assessment of the impact of dust emissions from paved and unpaved roads on visibility conditions in the 16 Class I Areas. If such dust emissions are determined to be a significant contributor to visibility impairment in the 16 Class I areas, the State must implement emissions management strategies to address the impact as necessary and appropriate.

(8) Pollution prevention. The plan must provide for:

(i) An initial summary of all pollution prevention programs currently in place, an inventory of all renewable energy generation capacity and production in use, or planned as of the year 2002 (expressed in megawatts and megawatt-hours), the total energy generation capacity and production for the State, the percent of the total that is renewable energy, and the State’s anticipated contribution toward the renewable energy goals for 2005 and 2015, as provided in paragraph (d)(8)(vi) of this section.

(ii) Programs to provide incentives that reward efforts that go beyond compliance and/or achieve early compliance with air-pollution related requirements.

(iii) Programs to preserve and expand energy conservation efforts.

(iv) The identification of specific areas where renewable energy has the potential to supply power where it is now lacking and where renewable energy is most cost-effective.

(v) Projections of the short- and long-term emissions reductions, visibility improvements, cost savings, and secondary benefits associated with the renewable energy goals, energy efficiency and pollution prevention activities.

(vi) A description of the programs relied on to achieve the State’s contribution toward the Commission’s goal that renewable energy will comprise 10 percent of the regional power needs by 2005 and 20 percent by 2015, and a demonstration of the progress toward achievement of the renewable energy goals in the years 2003, 2008, 2013, and 2018. This description must include documentation of the potential for renewable energy resources, the percentage of renewable energy associated with new power generation projects implemented or planned, and the renewable energy generation capacity and production in use and planned in the State. To the extent that it is not feasible for
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a State to meet its contribution to the regional renewable energy goals, the State must identify in the progress reports the measures implemented to achieve its contribution and explain why meeting the State’s contribution was not feasible.

(9) Implementation of additional recommendations. The plan must provide for implementation of all other recommendations in the Commission report that can be practicably included as enforceable emission limits, schedules of compliance, or other enforceable measures (including economic incentives) to make reasonable progress toward remedying existing and preventing future regional haze in the 16 Class I areas. The State must provide a report to EPA and the public in 2003, 2008, 2013, and 2018 on the progress toward developing and implementing policy or strategy options recommended in the Commission Report.

(10) Periodic implementation plan revisions. Each Transport Region State must submit to the Administrator periodic reports in the years 2008, 2013, and 2018. The progress reports must be in the form of implementation plan revisions that comply with the procedural requirements of §51.102 and §51.103.

(i) The report will assess the area for reasonable progress as provided in this section for mandatory Class I Federal area(s) located within the State and for mandatory Class I Federal area(s) located outside the State which may be affected by emissions from within the State. This demonstration may be based on assessments conducted by the States and/or a regional planning body. The progress reports must contain at a minimum the following elements:

(A) A description of the status of implementation of all measures included in the implementation plan for achieving reasonable progress goals for mandatory Class I Federal areas both within and outside the State.

(B) A summary of the emissions reductions achieved throughout the State through implementation of the measures described in paragraph (d)(10)(i)(A) of this section.

(C) For each mandatory Class I Federal area within the State, an assessment of the following: the current visibility conditions for the most impaired and least impaired days; the difference between current visibility conditions for the most impaired and least impaired days and baseline visibility conditions; the change in visibility impairment for the most impaired and least impaired days over the past 5 years.

(D) An analysis tracking the change over the past 5 years in emissions of pollutants contributing to visibility impairment from all sources and activities within the State. Emissions changes should be identified by type of source or activity. The analysis must be based on the most recent updated emissions inventory, with estimates projected forward as necessary and appropriate, to account for emissions changes during the applicable 5-year period.

(E) An assessment of any significant changes in anthropogenic emissions within or outside the State that have occurred over the past 5 years that have limited or impeded progress in reducing pollutant emissions and improving visibility.

(F) An assessment of whether the current implementation plan elements and strategies are sufficient to enable the State, or other States with mandatory Federal Class I areas affected by emissions from the State, to meet all established reasonable progress goals.

(G) A review of the State’s visibility monitoring strategy and any modifications to the strategy as necessary.

(ii) At the same time the State is required to submit any 5-year progress report to EPA in accordance with paragraph (d)(10)(i) of this section, the State must also take one of the following actions based upon the information presented in the progress report:

(A) If the State determines that the existing implementation plan requires no further substantive revision at this time in order to achieve established goals for visibility improvement and emissions reductions, the State must provide to the Administrator a negative declaration that further revision of the existing implementation plan is not needed at this time.

(B) If the State determines that the implementation plan is or may be inadequate to ensure reasonable progress due to emissions from sources in another State(s) which participated in a
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regional planning process, the State must provide notification to the Administrator and to the other State(s) which participated in the regional planning process with the States. The State must also collaborate with the other State(s) through the regional planning process for the purpose of developing additional strategies to address the plan’s deficiencies.

(C) Where the State determines that the implementation plan is or may be inadequate to ensure reasonable progress due to emissions from sources in another country, the State shall provide notification, along with available information, to the Administrator.

(D) Where the State determines that the implementation plan is or may be inadequate to ensure reasonable progress due to emissions from within the State, the State shall develop additional strategies to address the plan deficiencies and revise the implementation plan no later than one year from the date that the progress report was due.

(11) State planning and interstate coordination. In complying with the requirements of this section, States may include emission reductions strategies that are based on coordinated implementation with other States. Examples of these strategies include economic incentive programs and transboundary emissions trading programs. The implementation plan must include documentation of the technical and policy basis for the individual State apportionment (or the procedures for apportionment throughout the trans-boundary region), the contribution addressed by the State’s plan, how it coordinates with other State plans, and compliance with any other appropriate implementation plan approvability criteria. States may rely on the relevant technical, policy and other analyses developed by a regional entity (such as the Western Regional Air Partnership) in providing such documentation. Conversely, States may elect to develop their own programs without relying on work products from a regional entity.

(12) Tribal implementation. Consistent with 40 CFR Part 49, tribes within the Transport Region may implement the required visibility programs for the 16 Class I areas, in the same manner as States, regardless of whether such tribes have participated as members of a visibility transport commission.

(e) States electing not to implement the commission recommendations. Any Transport Region State may elect not to implement the Commission recommendations set forth in paragraph (d) of this section. Such States are required to comply with the timelines and requirements of §51.308. Any Transport Region State electing not to implement the Commission recommendations must advise the other States in the Transport Region of the nature of the program and the effect of the program on visibility-impairing emissions, so that other States can take this information into account in developing programs under this section.

(f) Annex to the Commission Report. (1) A Transport Region State may choose to comply with the provisions of this section and by doing so shall satisfy the requirements of §51.308(b) through (e) only if the Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission (or a regional planning body formed to implement the Commission recommendations) submits a satisfactory annex to the Commission Report no later than October 1, 2000. To be satisfactory, the Annex must contain the following elements:

(i) The annex must contain quantitative emission reduction milestones for stationary source sulfur dioxide emissions for the reporting years 2003, 2008, 2013 and 2018. The milestones must provide for steady and continuing emission reductions for the 2003–2018 time period consistent with the Commission’s definition of reasonable progress, its goal of 50 to 70 percent reduction in sulfur dioxide emissions from 1990 actual emission levels by 2040, applicable requirements under the CAA, and the timing of implementation plan assessments of progress and identification of deficiencies which will be due in the years 2008, 2013, and 2018. The emission reduction milestones must be shown to provide for greater reasonable progress than would be achieved by application of best available retrofit technology (BART) pursuant to §51.308(e)(2) and would be approvable in lieu of BART.
(ii) The annex must contain documentation of the market trading program or other programs to be implemented pursuant to paragraph (d)(4) of this section if current programs and voluntary measures are not sufficient to meet the required emission reduction milestones. This documentation must include model rules, memoranda of understanding, and other documentation describing in detail how emission reduction progress will be monitored, what conditions will require the market trading program to be activated, how allocations will be performed, and how the program will operate.

(2) The Commission may elect, at the same time it submits the annex, to make recommendations intended to demonstrate reasonable progress for other mandatory Class I areas (beyond the original 16) within the Transport Region States, including the technical and policy justification for these additional mandatory Class I Federal areas in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (g) of this section.

(3) The EPA will publish the annex upon receipt. If EPA finds that the annex meets the requirements of paragraph (f)(1) of this section and assures reasonable progress, then, after public notice and comment, will amend the requirements of paragraph (d)(4) of this section to incorporate the provisions of the annex within 1 year after EPA receives the annex. If EPA finds that the annex does not meet the requirements of paragraph (f)(1) of this section, or does not assure reasonable progress, or if EPA finds that the annex is not received, then each Transport Region State must submit an implementation plan for regional haze meeting all of the requirements of §51.308.

(4) In accordance with the provisions under paragraph (f)(1) of this section, the annex may include a geographic enhancement to the program provided for in paragraph (d)(4) of this section to address the requirement under §51.302(c) related to Best Available Retrofit Technology for reasonably attributable impairment from the pollutants covered by the milestones or the backstop market trading program. The geographic enhancement program may include an appropriate level of reason-
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take full credit for the visibility improvement achieved through these strategies.

(ii) The requirement under §51.308(e) related to Best Available Retrofit Technology for regional haze is deemed to be satisfied for pollutants addressed by the milestones and backstop trading program if, in establishing the emission reductions milestones under paragraph (f) of this section, it is shown that greater reasonable progress will be achieved for these Class I areas than would be achieved through the application of source-specific BART emission limitations under §51.308(e)(1).

(iii) The Transport Region State may consider whether any strategies necessary to achieve the reasonable progress goals required by paragraph (g)(3) of this section are incompatible with the strategies implemented under paragraph (d) of this section to the extent the State adequately demonstrates that the incompatibility is related to the costs of the compliance, the time necessary for compliance, the energy and no air quality environmental impacts of compliance, or the remaining useful life of any existing source subject to such requirements.

[64 FR 35769, July 1, 1999]

Subpart Q—Reports

AUTHORITY: Secs. 110, 301(a), 313, 319, Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7410, 7601(a), 7613, 7619).

SOURCE: 44 FR 27569, May 10, 1979, unless otherwise noted.

AIR QUALITY DATA REPORTING

§ 51.320 Annual air quality data report.

The requirements for reporting air quality data collected for purposes of the plan are located in subpart C of part 58 of this chapter.

SOURCE EMISSIONS AND STATE ACTION REPORTING

§ 51.321 Annual source emissions and State action report.

On an annual (calendar year) basis beginning with calendar year 1979, the State agency shall report to the Administrator (through the appropriate Regional Office) information as specified in §§51.323 through 51.326. Reports must be submitted by July 1 of each year for data collected and actions which took place during the period January 1 to December 31 of the previous year.

§ 51.322 Sources subject to emissions reporting.

(a) Point sources subject to the annual emissions reporting requirements of §51.321 are defined as follows:

(1) For particulate matter, PM<sub>10</sub>, sulfur oxides, VOC and nitrogen oxides, any facility that actually emits a total of 181.4 metric tons (200 tons) per year or more of any one pollutant. For particulate matter emissions, the reporting requirement begins with the reporting of calendar year 1988 emissions.

(2) For carbon monoxide, any facility that actually emits a total of 1814 metric tons (2000 tons) per year or more.

(3) For lead or lead compounds measured as elemental lead, any facility that actually emits a total of 4.5 metric tons (5 tons) per year or more.

(b) Annual emissions reporting requirements apply only to emissions of each pollutant from any individual emission point within the facility that emits:

(1) For particulate matter, PM<sub>10</sub>, sulfur oxides, VOC and nitrogen oxides, 22.7 metric tons (25 tons) per year or more. For particulate matter, the reporting requirement begins with the reporting of calendar year 1988 emissions. For PM<sub>10</sub> emissions, the reporting requirement ends with the reporting of calendar year 1987 emissions.

(2) For carbon monoxide, 227 metric tons (250 tons) per year or more.

(3) For lead or lead compounds measured as elemental lead, 4.5 metric tons (5 tons) per year or more.

[44 FR 27569, May 10, 1979, as amended at 44 FR 65070, Nov. 9, 1979; 52 FR 24714, July 1, 1987; 64 FR 7462, Feb. 12, 1999]

§ 51.323 Reportable emissions data and information.

(a) The State shall submit in the annual report the following emissions data and information:
Environmental Protection Agency

§ 51.341 Request for 18-month extension.

(a) Upon request of the State made in accordance with this section, the Administrator may, whenever he determines necessary, extend, for a period not to exceed 18 months, the deadline for submitting that portion of a plan that implements a secondary standard.

(b) For each point source, the State shall report any enforcement action taken during the reporting period and not submitted under §51.327 which results in civil or criminal penalties.

§ 51.326 Reportable revisions.

The State shall identify and describe all substantive plan revisions during the reporting period of the applicable plan other than revisions to rules and regulations or compliance schedules submitted in accordance with §51.8(d). Substantive revisions shall include but are not limited to changes in stack-test procedures for determining compliance with applicable regulations, modifications in the projected total manpower needs to carry out the approved plan, and all changes in responsibilities given to local agencies to carry out various portions of the plan.

§ 51.327 Enforcement orders and other State actions.

(a) Any State enforcement order, including any State court order, must be submitted to the Administrator within 60 days of its issuance or adoption by the State.

(b) A State enforcement order or other State action must be submitted as a revision to the applicable implementation plan pursuant to §51.104 and approved by the Administrator in order to be considered a revision to such plan.

§ 51.328 [Reserved]

Subpart R—Extensions

§ 51.341 Request for 18-month extension.

(a) Upon request of the State made in accordance with this section, the Administrator may, whenever he determines necessary, extend, for a period not to exceed 18 months, the deadline for submitting that portion of a plan that implements a secondary standard.

(b) Any such request must show that attainment of the secondary standards will require emission reductions exceeding those which can be achieved through the application of reasonably available control technology.
§ 51.350 Application of I/M Programs

(a) Nonattainment area classification and population criteria. (1) States or areas within an ozone transport region shall implement enhanced I/M programs in any metropolitan statistical area (MSA), or portion of an MSA, within the State or area with a 1990 population of 100,000 or more as defined by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regardless of the area’s attainment classification. In the case of a multi-state MSA, enhanced I/M shall be implemented in all ozone transport region portions if the sum of these portions has a population of 100,000 or more, irrespective of the population of the portion in the individual ozone transport region State or area.

(2) Apart from those areas described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, any area classified as serious or worse ozone nonattainment, or as moderate or serious CO nonattainment with a design value of 12.7 ppm or less shall continue operating I/M programs that were part of an approved State Implementation Plan (SIP) as of November 15, 1990, and shall update those programs as necessary to meet the basic I/M program requirements of this subpart. Any such area required by the Clean Air Act, as in effect prior to November 15, 1990, as interpreted in EPA guidance, to have an I/M program shall also implement a basic I/M program. Serious, severe and extreme ozone areas and CO areas over 12.7 ppm shall also continue operating existing I/M programs and shall upgrade such programs, as appropriate, pursuant to this subpart.

(3) Any area classified as moderate ozone nonattainment and not required to implement enhanced I/M under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, shall implement basic I/M in any 1990 Census-defined urbanized area with a population of 200,000 or more.

(5) [Reserved]

(6) If the boundaries of a moderate ozone nonattainment area are changed pursuant to section 107(d)(4)(A)(i)-(ii) of the Clean Air Act, such that the area includes additional urbanized areas with a population of 200,000 or more, then a basic I/M program shall be implemented in these additional urbanized areas.

(7) If the boundaries of a serious or worse ozone nonattainment area or of a moderate or serious CO nonattainment area with a design value greater than 12.7 ppm are changed any time after enactment pursuant to section 107(d)(4)(A) such that the area includes additional urbanized areas, then an enhanced I/M program shall be implemented in the newly included 1990 Census-defined urbanized areas, if the 1980 Census-defined urban area population is 200,000 or more.

(8) If a marginal ozone nonattainment area, not required to implement enhanced I/M under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, is reclassified to moderate, a basic I/M program shall be implemented in the 1990 Census-defined urbanized area(s) with a population of...
200,000 or more. If the area is reclassified to serious or worse, an enhanced I/M program shall be implemented in the 1990 Census-defined urbanized area, if the 1980 Census-defined urban area population is 200,000 or more.

(9) If a moderate ozone or CO non-attainment area is reclassified to serious or worse, an enhanced I/M program shall be implemented in the 1990 Census-defined urbanized area, if the 1980 Census-defined population is 200,000 or more.

(b) Extent of area coverage. (1) In an ozone transport region, the program shall cover all counties within subject MSAs or subject portions of MSAs, as defined by OMB in 1990, except largely rural counties having a population density of less than 200 persons per square mile based on the 1990 Census and counties with less than 1% of the population in the MSA may be excluded provided that at least 50% of the MSA population is included in the program. This provision does not preclude the voluntary inclusion of portions of an excluded county. Non-urbanized islands not connected to the mainland by roads, bridges, or tunnels may be excluded without regard to population.

(2) Outside of ozone transport regions, programs shall nominally cover at least the entire urbanized area, based on the 1990 census. Exclusion of some urban population is allowed as long as an equal number of non-urban residents of the MSA containing the subject urbanized area are included to compensate for the exclusion.

(3) Emission reduction benefits from expanding coverage beyond the minimum required urban area boundaries can be applied toward the reasonable further progress requirements or can be used for offsets, provided the covered vehicles are operated in the non-attainment area, but not toward the enhanced I/M performance standard requirement.

(4) In a multi-state urbanized area with a population of 200,000 or more that is required under paragraph (a) of this section to implement I/M, any State with a portion of the area having a 1990 Census-defined population of 50,000 or more shall implement an I/M program. The other coverage requirements in paragraph (b) of this section shall apply in multi-state areas as well.

(5) Notwithstanding the limitation in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, in an ozone transport region, States which opt for a program which meets the performance standard described in §51.351(h) and claim in their SIP less emission reduction credit than the basic performance standard for one or more pollutants, may apply a geographic bubble covering areas in the State not otherwise subject to an I/M requirement to achieve emission reductions from other measures equal to or greater than what would have been achieved if the low enhanced performance standard were met in the subject I/M areas. Emissions reductions from non-I/M measures shall not be counted towards the OTR low enhanced performance standard.

(c) Requirements after attainment. All I/M programs shall provide that the program will remain effective, even if the area is redesignated to attainment status or the standard is otherwise rendered no longer applicable, until the State submits and EPA approves a SIP revision which convincingly demonstrates that the area can maintain the relevant standard(s) without benefit of the emission reductions attributable to the I/M program. The State shall commit to fully implement and enforce the program until such a demonstration can be made and approved by EPA. At a minimum, for the purposes of SIP approval, legislation authorizing the program shall not sunset prior to the attainment deadline for the applicable National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

(d) SIP requirements. The SIP shall describe the applicable areas in detail and, consistent with §51.372 of this subpart, shall include the legal authority or rules necessary to establish program boundaries.
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case of confirmed failures) of at least 0.5% of the subject vehicle population, or 20,000 vehicles whichever is less, as a supplement to the periodic inspection required in paragraphs (f), (g), and (h) of this section. Specific requirements are listed in §51.371 of this subpart.

(c) On-board diagnostics (OBD). The performance standard shall include inspection of all 1996 and later light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks equipped with certified on-board diagnostic systems, and repair of malfunctions or system deterioration identified by or affecting OBD systems as specified in §51.357. For States using some version of MOBILE5 prior to mandated use of the MOBILE6 and subsequent versions of EPA’s mobile source emission factor model, the OBD–I/M portion of the State’s program as well as the applicable enhanced I/M performance standard may be assumed to be equivalent to performing the evaporative system purge test, the evaporative system fill-neck pressure test, and the IM240 using grams-per-mile (gpm) cutpoints of 0.60 gpm HC, 10.0 gpm CO, and 1.50 gpm NOx on MY 1996 and newer vehicles and assuming a start date of January 1, 2002 for the OBD–I/M portion of the performance standard. This interim credit assessment does not add to but rather replaces credit for any other test(s) that may be performed on MY 1996 and newer vehicles, with the exception of the gas-cap-only evaporative system test, which may be added to the State’s program to generate additional HC reduction credit. This interim assumption shall apply even in the event that the State opts to discontinue its current I/M tests on MY 1996 and newer vehicles in favor of an OBD–I/M check on those same vehicles, with the exception of the gas-cap evaporative system test. If a State currently claiming the gas-cap test in its I/M SIP decides to discontinue that test on some segment of its subject fleet previously covered, then the State will need to revise its SIP and I/M modeling to quantify the resulting loss in credit, per established modeling policy for the gas-cap pressure test. Once MOBILE6 is released and its use required, the interim, MOBILE5-based modeling methodology described in this section will be replaced by the OBD–I/M credit available from the MOBILE6 and subsequent mobile source emission factor models.

(d) Modeling requirements. Equivalency of the emission levels which will be achieved by the I/M program design in the SIP to those of the model program described in this section shall be demonstrated using the most current version of EPA’s mobile source emission model, or an alternative approved by the Administrator, using EPA guidance to aid in the estimation of input parameters. States may adopt alternative approaches that meet this performance standard. States may do so through program design changes that affect normal I/M input parameters to the mobile source emission factor model, or through program changes (such as the accelerated retirement of high emitting vehicles) that reduce in-use mobile source emissions. If the Administrator finds, under section 182(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act pertaining to reasonable further progress demonstration or section 182(f)(1) of the Act pertaining to provisions for major stationary sources, that NOx emission reductions are not beneficial in a given ozone nonattainment area, then NOx emission reductions are not required of the enhanced I/M program, but the program shall be designed to offset NOx increases resulting from the repair of HC and CO failures.

(e) [Reserved]

(f) High Enhanced Performance Standard. Enhanced I/M programs shall be designed and implemented to meet or exceed a minimum performance standard, which is expressed as emission levels in area-wide average grams per mile (gpm), achieved from highway mobile sources as a result of the program. The emission levels achieved by the State’s program design shall be calculated using the most current version, at the time of submittal, of the EPA mobile source emission factor model or an alternative model approved by the Administrator, and shall meet the minimum performance standard both in operation and for SIP approval. Areas shall meet the performance standard for the pollutants which cause them to be subject to enhanced I/M requirements. In the case of ozone nonattainment areas subject to enhanced I/M and subject areas in the Ozone Transport
Region, the performance standard must be met for both oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section. Except as provided in paragraphs (g) and (h) of this section, the model program elements for the enhanced I/M performance standard shall be as follows:

1. **Network type.** Centralized testing.
2. **Start date.** For areas with existing I/M programs, 1983. For areas newly subject, 1995.
3. **Test frequency.** Annual testing.
4. **Model year coverage.** Testing of 1968 and later vehicles.
5. **Vehicle type coverage.** Light duty vehicles, and light duty trucks, rated up to 8,500 pounds Gross Vehicle Weight Rating (GVWR).
6. **Emission test type.** Transient mass-emission testing on 1986 and later model year vehicles using the IM240 driving cycle, two-speed testing (as described in appendix B of this subpart S) of 1981–1985 vehicles, and idle testing (as described in appendix B of this subpart S) of pre-1981 vehicles is assumed.
7. **Emission standards.** (i) Emission standards for 1986 through 1993 model year light duty vehicles, and 1994 and 1995 light-duty vehicles not meeting Tier 1 emission standards, of 0.80 gpm hydrocarbons (HC), 20 gpm CO, and 2.0 gpm NOx;
   (ii) Emission standards for 1986 through 1993 light duty trucks less than 6000 pounds gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR), and 1994 and 1995 trucks not meeting Tier 1 emission standards, of 1.2 gpm HC, 20 gpm CO, and 3.5 gpm NOx;
   (iii) Emission standards for 1986 through 1993 light duty trucks greater than 6000 pounds GVWR, and 1994 and 1995 trucks not meeting the Tier 1 emission standards, of 1.2 gpm HC, 20 gpm CO, and 3.5 gpm NOx;
   (iv) Emission standards for 1994 and later light duty vehicles meeting Tier 1 emission standards of 0.70 gpm HC, 15 gpm CO, and 1.4 gpm NOx;
   (v) Emission standards for 1994 and later light duty trucks under 6000 pounds GVWR and meeting Tier 1 emission standards of 0.70 gpm HC, 15 gpm CO, and 2.0 gpm NOx;
   (vi) Emission standards for 1994 and later light duty trucks greater than 6000 pounds GVWR and meeting Tier 1 emission standards of 0.80 gpm HC, 15 gpm CO and 2.5 gpm NOx;
   (vii) Emission standards for 1981–1985 model year vehicles of 1.2% CO, and 220 gpm HC for the idle, two-speed tests and loaded steady-state tests (as described in appendix B of this subpart S); and
   (viii) Maximum exhaust dilution measured as no less than 6% CO plus carbon dioxide (CO2) on vehicles subject to a steady-state test (as described in appendix B of this subpart S); and
   (ix) Maximum exhaust dilution measured as no less than 6% CO plus carbon dioxide (CO2) on vehicles subject to a steady-state test (as described in appendix B of this subpart S).
8. **Emission control device inspections.** (i) Visual inspection of the catalyst and fuel inlet restrictor on all 1984 and later model year vehicles.
9. **Evaporative system function checks.** Evaporative system integrity (pressure) test on 1983 and later model year vehicles and an evaporative system transient purge test on 1986 and later model year vehicles.
10. **Stringency.** A 20% emission test failure rate among pre-1981 model year vehicles.
11. **Waiver rate.** A 3% waiver rate, as a percentage of failed vehicles.
12. **Compliance rate.** A 96% compliance rate.
13. **Evaluation date.** Enhanced I/M program areas subject to the provisions of this paragraph shall be shown to obtain the same or lower emission levels as the model program described in this paragraph by January 1, 2002 to within +/- 0.02 gpm. Subject programs shall demonstrate through modeling the ability to maintain this level of emission reduction (or better) through their attainment deadline for the applicable NAAQS standard(s).
14. **Alternate Low Enhanced I/M Performance Standard.** An enhanced I/M area which is either not subject to or
§ 51.351 has an approved State Implementation Plan pursuant to the requirements of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 for Reasonable Further Progress in 1996, and does not have a disapproved plan for Reasonable Further Progress for the period after 1996 or a disapproved plan for attainment of the air quality standards for ozone or CO, may select the alternate low enhanced I/M performance standard described below in lieu of the standard described in paragraph (f) of this section. The model program elements for this alternate low enhanced I/M performance standard are:

1. **Network type.** Centralized testing.
2. **Start date.** For areas with existing I/M programs, 1983. For areas newly subject, 1995.
3. **Test frequency.** Annual testing.
4. **Model year coverage.** Testing of 1968 and newer vehicles.
5. **Vehicle type coverage.** Light duty vehicles, and light duty trucks, rated up to 8,500 pounds GVWR.
6. **Exhaust emission test type.** Idle testing of all covered vehicles (as described in appendix B of subpart S).
7. **Emission standards.** Those specified in 40 CFR part 85, subpart W.
8. **Emission control device inspections.** Visual inspection of the positive crankcase ventilation valve on all 1968 through 1971 model year vehicles, inclusive, and of the exhaust gas recirculation valve on all 1972 and newer model year vehicles.
9. **Evaporative system function checks.** None.
10. **Stringency.** A 20% emission test failure rate among pre-1981 model year vehicles.
11. **Waiver rate.** A 3% waiver rate, as a percentage of failed vehicles.
12. **Compliance rate.** A 96% compliance rate.
13. **Evaluation date.** Enhanced I/M program areas subject to the provisions of this paragraph (g) shall be shown to obtain the same or lower emission levels as the model program described in this paragraph by January 1, 2002 to within $+/-0.02$ gpm. Subject programs shall demonstrate through modeling the ability to maintain this level of emission reduction (or better) through their attainment deadline for the applicable NAAQS standard(s).

(h) **Ozone Transport Region Low-Enhanced Performance Standard.** An attainment area, marginal ozone area, or moderate ozone area with a 1980 Census population of less than 200,000 in the urbanized area, in an ozone transport region, that is required to implement enhanced I/M under section 184(b)(1)(A) of the Clean Air Act, but was not previously required to or did not in fact implement basic I/M under the Clean Air Act as enacted prior to 1990 and is not subject to the requirements for basic I/M programs in this subpart, may select the performance standard described below in lieu of the standard described in paragraph (f) or (g) of this section as long as the difference in emission reductions between the program described in paragraph (g) and this paragraph are made up with other measures, as provided in §51.350(b)(5). Offsetting measures shall not include those otherwise required by the Clean Air Act in the areas from which credit is bubbled. The program elements for this alternate OTR enhanced I/M performance standard are:

1. **Network type.** Centralized testing.
2. **Start date.** January 1, 1999.
3. **Test frequency.** Annual testing.
4. **Model year coverage.** Testing of 1968 and newer vehicles.
5. **Vehicle type coverage.** Light duty vehicles, and light duty trucks, rated up to 8,500 pounds GVWR.
7. **Emission standards.** For remote sensing measurements, a carbon monoxide standard of 7.5% (with at least two separate readings above this level to establish a failure).
9. **Waiver rate.** A 3% waiver rate, as a percentage of failed vehicles.
10. **Compliance rate.** A 96% compliance rate.
11. **Evaluation date.** Enhanced I/M program areas subject to the provisions of this paragraph shall be shown to obtain the same or lower VOC and NOx
emission levels as the model program described in this paragraph (h) by January 1, 2002 to within +/-0.02 gpm. Subject programs shall demonstrate through modeling the ability to maintain this level of emission reduction (or better) through their attainment deadline for the applicable NAAQS standard(s). Equality of substituted emission reductions to the benefits of the low enhanced performance standard must be demonstrated for the same evaluation date.

§51.352 Basic I/M performance standard.

(a) Basic I/M programs shall be designed and implemented to meet or exceed a minimum performance standard, which is expressed as emission levels achieved from highway mobile sources as a result of the program. The performance standard shall be established using the following model I/M program inputs and local characteristics, such as vehicle mix and local fuel controls. Similarly, the emission reduction benefits of the State’s program design shall be estimated using the most current version of the EPA mobile source emission model, and shall meet the minimum performance standard both in operation and for SIP approval.

(1) Network type. Centralized testing.

(2) Start date. For areas with existing I/M programs, 1983. For areas newly subject, 1994.

(3) Test frequency. Annual testing.


(5) Vehicle type coverage. Light duty vehicles.

(6) Exhaust emission test type. Idle test.

(7) Emission standards. No weaker than specified in 40 CFR part 85, subpart W.

(8) Emission control device inspections. None.

(9) Stringency. A 20% emission test failure rate among pre-1981 model year vehicles.

(10) Waiver rate. A 0% waiver rate.

(11) Compliance rate. A 100% compliance rate.

(12) Evaluation date. Basic I/M programs shall be shown to obtain the same or lower emission levels as the model inputs by 1997 for ozone non-attainment areas and 1996 for CO non-attainment areas; and, for serious or worse ozone nonattainment areas, on each applicable milestone and attainment deadline, thereafter.

(11) Oxides of nitrogen. Basic I/M testing in ozone nonattainment areas shall be designed such that no increase in NOx emissions occurs as a result of the program. If the Administrator finds, under section 182(b)(1)(A)(ii) of the Act pertaining to reasonable further progress demonstrations or section 182(f)(1) of the Act pertaining to provisions for major stationary sources, that NOx emission reductions are not beneficial in a given ozone nonattainment area, then the basic I/M NOx requirement may be omitted. States shall implement any required NOx controls within 12 months of implementation of the program deadlines required in §51.373 of this subpart, except that newly implemented I/M programs shall include NOx controls from the start.

(c) On-board diagnostics (OBD). The performance standard shall include inspection of all 1996 and later light-duty vehicles equipped with certified on-board diagnostic systems, and repair of malfunctions or system deterioration identified by or affecting OBD systems as specified in §51.357. For States using some version of MOBILE5 prior to mandated use of the MOBILE6 and subsequent versions of EPA’s mobile source emission factor model, the OBD-I/M portion of the State’s program as well as the applicable I/M performance standard may be assumed to be equivalent to performing the evaporative system purge test, the evaporative system fill-neck pressure test, and the IM240 using grams-per-mile (gpm) cutpoints of 0.60 gpm HC, 10.0 gpm CO, and 1.50 gpm NOx on MY 1996 and newer vehicles and assuming a start date of January 1, 2002 for the OBD-I/M portion of the performance standard. This interim credit assessment does not add to but rather replaces credit for any other test(s) that may be performed on MY 1996 and 219
§ 51.353 Network type and program evaluation.

Basic and enhanced I/M programs can be centralized, decentralized, or a hybrid of the two at the State’s discretion, but shall be demonstrated to achieve the same (or better) level of emission reduction as the applicable performance standard described in either §51.351 or 51.352 of this subpart. For decentralized programs other than those meeting the design characteristics described in paragraph (a) of this section, the State must demonstrate that the program is achieving the level of effectiveness claimed in the plan within 12 months of the plan’s final conditional approval before EPA can convert that approval to a final full approval. The adequacy of these demonstrations will be judged by the Administrator on a case-by-case basis through notice-and-comment rulemaking.

(a) Presumptive equivalency. A decentralized network consisting of stations that only perform official I/M testing (which may include safety-related inspections) and in which owners and employees of those stations, or companies owning those stations, are contractually or legally barred from engaging in motor vehicle repair or service, motor vehicle parts sales, and motor vehicle sale and leasing, either directly or indirectly, and are barred from referring vehicle owners to particular providers of motor vehicle repair services (except as provided in §51.369(b)(1) of this subpart) shall be considered presumptively equivalent to a centralized, test-only system including comparable test elements. States may allow such stations to engage in the full range of sales not covered by the above prohibitions, including self-serve gasoline, prepackaged oil, or other, non-automotive, convenience store items. At the State’s discretion, such stations may also fulfill other functions typically carried out by the State such as renewal of vehicle registration and driver’s licenses, or tax and fee collections.

(b) [Reserved]

(c) Program evaluation. Enhanced I/M programs shall include an ongoing evaluation to quantify the emission reduction benefits of the program, and to determine if the program is meeting the requirements of the Clean Air Act and this subpart.

(1) The State shall report the results of the program evaluation on a biennial basis, starting two years after the initial start date of mandatory testing as required in §51.373 of this subpart.
(2) The evaluation shall be considered in establishing actual emission reductions achieved from I/M for the purposes of satisfying the requirements of sections 182(g)(1) and 182(g)(2) of the Clean Air Act, relating to reductions in emissions and compliance demonstration.

(3) The evaluation program shall consist, at a minimum, of those items described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section and program evaluation data using a sound evaluation methodology, as approved by EPA, and evaporative system checks, specified in §51.357(a)(9) and (10) of this subpart, for model years subject to those evaporative system test procedures. The test data shall be obtained from a representative, random sample, taken at the time of initial inspection (before repair) on a minimum of 0.1 percent of the vehicles subject to inspection in a given year. Such vehicles shall receive a State administered or monitored test, as specified in this paragraph (c)(3), prior to the performance of I/M-triggered repairs during the inspection cycle under consideration.

(4) The program evaluation test data shall be submitted to EPA and shall be capable of providing accurate information about the overall effectiveness of an I/M program, such evaluation to begin no later than November 30, 1998.

(5) Areas that qualify for and choose to implement an OTR low enhanced I/M program, as established in §51.351(h), and that claim in their SIP less emission reduction credit than the basic performance standard for one or more pollutants, are exempt from the requirements of paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(4) of this section. The reports required under §51.366 of this part shall be sufficient in these areas to satisfy the requirements of Clean Air Act for program reporting.

(d) SIP requirements. (1) The SIP shall include a description of the network to be employed, the required legal authority, and, in the case of areas making claims under paragraph (b) of this section, the required demonstration.

(2) The SIP shall include a description of the evaluation schedule and protocol, the sampling methodology, the data collection and analysis system, the resources and personnel for evaluation, and related details of the evaluation program, and the legal authority enabling the evaluation program.

§51.354 Adequate tools and resources.

(a) Administrative resources. The program shall maintain the administrative resources necessary to perform all of the program functions including quality assurance, data analysis and reporting, and the holding of hearings and adjudication of cases. A portion of the test fee or a separately assessed per vehicle fee shall be collected, placed in a dedicated fund and retained, to be used to finance program oversight, management, and capital expenditures. Alternatives to this approach shall be acceptable if the State can demonstrate that adequate funding of the program can be maintained in some other fashion (e.g., through contractual obligation along with demonstrated past performance). Reliance on future uncommitted annual or biennial appropriations from the State or local General Fund is not acceptable, unless doing otherwise would be a violation of the State’s constitution. This section shall in no way require the establishment of a test fee if the State chooses to fund the program in some other manner.

(b) Personnel. The program shall employ sufficient personnel to effectively carry out the duties related to the program, including but not limited to administrative audits, inspector audits, data analysis, program oversight, program evaluation, public education and assistance, and enforcement against stations and inspectors as well as against motorists who are out of compliance with program regulations and requirements.

(c) Equipment. The program shall possess equipment necessary to achieve the objectives of the program and meet program requirements, including but not limited to a steady supply of vehicles for covert auditing, test equipment and facilities for program evaluation,
§ 51.355 Test frequency and convenience.

(a) The performance standards for I/M programs assume an annual test frequency; other schedules may be approved if the required emission targets are achieved. The SIP shall describe the test schedule in detail, including the test year selection scheme if testing is other than annual. The SIP shall include the legal authority necessary to implement and enforce the test frequency requirement and explain how the test frequency will be integrated with the enforcement process.

(b) In enhanced I/M programs, test systems shall be designed in such a way as to provide convenient service to motorists required to get their vehicles tested. The SIP shall demonstrate that the network of stations providing test services is sufficient to insure short waiting times to get a test and short driving distances. Stations shall be required to adhere to regular testing hours and to test any subject vehicle presented for a test during its test period.

§ 51.356 Vehicle coverage.

The performance standard for enhanced I/M programs assumes coverage of all 1968 and later model year light duty vehicles and light duty trucks up to 8,500 pounds GVWR, and includes vehicles operating on all fuel types. The standard for basic I/M programs does not include light duty trucks. Other levels of coverage may be approved if the necessary emission reductions are achieved. Vehicles registered or required to be registered within the I/M program area boundaries and fleets primarily operated within the I/M program area boundaries and belonging to the covered model years and vehicle classes comprise the subject vehicles.

(a) Subject vehicles. (1) All vehicles of a covered model year and vehicle type shall be tested according to the applicable test schedule, including leased vehicles whose registration or titling is in the name of an equity owner other than the lessee or user.

(2) All subject fleet vehicles shall be inspected. Fleets may be officially inspected outside of the normal I/M program test facilities, if such alternatives are approved by the program administration, but shall be subject to the same test requirements using the same quality control standards as non-fleet vehicles. If all vehicles in a particular fleet are tested during one part of the cycle, then the quality control requirements shall be met during the time of testing only. Any vehicle available for rent in the I/M area or for use in the I/M area shall be subject. Fleet vehicles not being tested in normal I/M test facilities in enhanced I/M programs, however, shall be inspected in independent, test-only facilities, according to the requirements of §51.353(a) of this subpart.

(3) Subject vehicles which are registered in the program area but are primarily operated in another I/M area shall be tested, either in the area of primary operation, or in the area of registration. Alternate schedules may be established to permit convenient testing of these vehicles (e.g., vehicles belonging to students away at college should be rescheduled for testing during a visit home). I/M programs shall make provisions for providing official
testing to vehicles registered elsewhere.

(4) Vehicles which are operated on Federal installations located within an I/M program area shall be tested, regardless of whether the vehicles are registered in the State or local I/M area. This requirement applies to all employee-owned or leased vehicles (including vehicles owned, leased, or operated by civilian and military personnel on Federal installations) as well as agency-owned or operated vehicles, except tactical military vehicles, operated on the installation. This requirement shall not apply to visiting agency, employee, or military personnel vehicles as long as such visits do not exceed 60 calendar days per year. In areas without test fees collected in the lane, arrangements shall be made by the installation with the I/M program for reimbursement of the costs of tests provided for agency vehicles, at the discretion of the I/M agency. The installation shall provide documentation of proof of compliance to the I/M agency. The documentation shall include a list of subject vehicles and shall be updated periodically, as determined by the I/M program administrator, but no less frequently than each inspection cycle. The installation shall use one of the following methods to establish proof of compliance:

(i) Presentation of a valid certificate of compliance from the local I/M program, from any other I/M program at least as stringent as the local program, or from any program deemed acceptable by the I/M program administrator.

(ii) Presentation of proof of vehicle registration within the geographic area covered by the I/M program, except for any program whose enforcement is not through registration denial.

(iii) Another method approved by the State or local I/M program administrator.

(5) Special exemptions may be permitted for certain subject vehicles provided a demonstration is made that the performance standard will be met.

(6) States may also exempt MY 1996 and newer OBD-equipped vehicles that receive an OBD-I/M inspection from the tailpipe, purge, and fill-neck pressure tests (where applicable) without any loss of emission reduction credit.

(b) SIP requirements. (1) The SIP shall include a detailed description of the number and types of vehicles to be covered by the program, and a plan for how those vehicles are to be identified, including vehicles that are routinely operated in the area but may not be registered in the area.

(2) The SIP shall include a description of any special exemptions which will be granted by the program, and an estimate of the percentage and number of subject vehicles which will be impacted. Such exemptions shall be accounted for in the emission reduction analysis.

(3) The SIP shall include the legal authority or rule necessary to implement and enforce the vehicle coverage requirement.

[57 FR 52987, Nov. 5, 1992, as amended at 66 FR 18177, Apr. 5, 2001]

§51.357 Test procedures and standards.

Written test procedures and pass/fail standards shall be established and followed for each model year and vehicle type included in the program.

(a) Test procedure requirements. Emission tests and functional tests shall be conducted according to good engineering practices to assure test accuracy.

(1) Initial tests (i.e., those occurring for the first time in a test cycle) shall be performed without repair or adjustment at the inspection facility, prior to the test, except as provided in paragraph (a)(10)(i) of this section.

(2) The vehicle owner or driver shall have access to the test area such that observation of the entire official inspection process on the vehicle is permitted. Such access may be limited but shall in no way prevent full observation.

(3) An official test, once initiated, shall be performed in its entirety regardless of intermediate outcomes except in the case of invalid test condition, unsafe conditions, fast pass/fail algorithms, or, in the case of the on-board diagnostic (OBD) system check, unset readiness codes.
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(4) Tests involving measurement shall be performed with program-approved equipment that has been calibrated according to the quality procedures contained in appendix A to this subpart.

(5) Vehicles shall be rejected from testing if the exhaust system is missing or leaking, or if the vehicle is in an unsafe condition for testing. Coincident with mandatory OBD-I/M testing and repair of vehicles so equipped, MY 1996 and newer vehicles shall be rejected from testing if a scan of the OBD system reveals a “not ready” code for any component of the OBD system. At a state’s option it may choose alternatively to reject MY 1996–2000 vehicles only if three or more “not ready” codes are present and to reject MY 2001 and later model years only if two or more “not ready” codes are present. This provision does not release manufacturers from the obligations regarding readiness status set forth in 40 CFR 86.094–17(e)(1): “Control of Air Pollution From New Motor Vehicles and New Motor Vehicle Engines: Regulations Requiring On-Board Diagnostic Systems on 1994 and Later Model Year Light-Duty Vehicles and Light-Duty Trucks.” Once the cause for rejection has been corrected, the vehicle must return for testing to continue the testing process. Failure to return for testing in a timely manner after rejection shall be considered non-compliance with the program, unless the motorist can prove that the vehicle has been sold, scrapped, or is otherwise no longer in operation within the program area.

(6) Vehicles shall be retested after repair for any portion of the inspection that is failed on the previous test to determine if repairs were effective. To the extent that repair to correct a previous failure could lead to failure of another portion of the test, that portion shall also be retested. Evaporative system repairs shall trigger an exhaust emissions retest (in programs which conduct an exhaust emission test as part of the initial inspection).

(7) Steady-state testing. Steady-state tests shall be performed in accordance with the procedures contained in appendix B to this subpart.

(8) Emission control device inspection. Visual emission control device checks shall be performed through direct observation or through indirect observation using a mirror, video camera or other visual aid. These inspections shall include a determination as to whether each subject device is present and appears to be properly connected and appears to be the correct type for the certified vehicle configuration.

(9) Evaporative system purge test procedure. The purge test procedure shall consist of measuring the total purge flow (in standard liters) occurring in the vehicle’s evaporative system during the transient dynamometer emission test specified in paragraph (a)(11) of this section. The purge flow measurement system shall be connected to the purge portion of the evaporative system in series between the canister and the engine, preferably near the canister. The inspector shall be responsible for ensuring that all items that are disconnected in the conduct of the test procedure are properly re-connected at the conclusion of the test procedure. Alternative procedures may be used if they are shown to be equivalent or better to the satisfaction of the Administrator. Except in the case of government-run test facilities claiming sovereign immunity, any damage done to the evaporative emission control system during this test shall be repaired at the expense of the inspection facility.

(10) Evaporative system integrity test procedure. The test sequence shall consist of the following steps:

(i) Test equipment shall be connected to the fuel tank canister hose at the canister end. The gas cap shall be checked to ensure that it is properly, but not excessively tightened, and shall be tightened if necessary.

(ii) The system shall be pressurized to 14±0.5 inches of water without exceeding 26 inches of water system pressure.

(iii) Close off the pressure source, seal the evaporative system and monitor pressure decay for up to two minutes.

(iv) Loosen the gas cap after a maximum of two minutes and monitor for a sudden pressure drop, indicating that the fuel tank was pressurized.
The inspector shall be responsible for ensuring that all items that are disconnected in the conduct of the test procedure are properly re-connected at the conclusion of the test procedure.

Alternative procedures may be used if they are shown to be equivalent or better to the satisfaction of the Administrator. Except in the case of government-run test facilities claiming sovereign immunity, any damage done to the evaporative emission control system during this test shall be repaired at the expense of the inspection facility.

(11) Transient emission test. The transient emission test shall consist of mass emission measurement using a constant volume sampler (or an Administrator-approved alternative methodology for accounting for exhaust volume) while the vehicle is driven through a computer-monitored driving cycle on a dynamometer. The driving cycle shall include acceleration, deceleration, and idle operating modes as specified in appendix E to this subpart (or an approved alternative). The driving cycle may be ended earlier using approved fast pass or fast fail algorithms and multiple pass/fail algorithms may be used during the test cycle to eliminate false failures. The transient test procedure, including algorithms and other procedural details, shall be approved by the Administrator prior to use in an I/M program.

(12) On-board diagnostic checks. Beginning January 1, 2002, inspection of the on-board diagnostic (OBD) system on MY 1996 and newer light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks shall be conducted according to the procedure described in 40 CFR 85.2222, at a minimum. This inspection may be used in lieu of tailpipe, purge, and fill-neck pressure testing. Alternatively, states may elect to phase-in OBD-I/M testing for one test cycle by using the OBD-I/M check to screen clean vehicles from tailpipe testing and require repair and retest for only those vehicles which proceed to fail the tailpipe test. An additional alternative is also available to states with regard to the deadline for mandatory testing, repair, and retesting of vehicles based upon the OBD-I/M check. Under this third option, if a state can show good cause (and the Administrator takes notice-and-comment action to approve this good cause showing as a revision to the State’s Implementation Plan), up to an additional 12 months’ extension may be granted, establishing an alternative start date for such states of no later than January 1, 2003. States choosing to make this showing will also have available to them the phase-in approach described in this section, with the one-cycle time limit to begin coincident with the alternative start date established by Administrator approval of the showing, but no later than January 1, 2003. The showing of good cause (and its approval or disapproval) will be addressed on a case-by-case basis by the Administrator.

(b) Test standards—(1) Emissions standards. HC, CO, and CO+CO\textsubscript{2} (or CO\textsubscript{2} alone) emission standards shall be applicable to all vehicles subject to the program with the exception of MY 1996 and newer OBD-equipped light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks, which will be held to the requirements of 40 CFR 85.2207, at a minimum. Repairs shall be required for failure of any standard regardless of the attainment status of the area. NO\textsubscript{x} emission standards shall be applied to vehicles subject to a loaded mode test in ozone nonattainment areas and in an ozone transport region, unless a waiver of NO\textsubscript{x} controls is provided to the State under 51.351(d).

(i) Steady-state short tests. The steady-state short test emission standards for 1981 and later model year light duty vehicles and light duty trucks shall be at least as stringent as those in appendix C to this subpart.

(ii) Transient test. Transient test emission standards shall be established for HC, CO, CO\textsubscript{2}, and NO\textsubscript{x} for subject vehicles based on model year and vehicle type.
(2) Visual equipment inspection standards. (i) Vehicles shall fail visual inspections of subject emission control devices if such devices are part of the original certified configuration and are found to be missing, modified, disconnected, or improperly connected.

(ii) Vehicles shall fail visual inspections of subject emission control devices if such devices are found to be incorrect for the certified vehicle configuration under inspection. Aftermarket parts, as well as original equipment manufacture parts, may be considered correct if they are proper for the certified vehicle configuration. Where an EPA aftermarket approval or self-certification program exists for a particular class of subject parts, vehicles shall fail visual equipment inspections if the part is neither original equipment manufacture nor from an approved or self-certified aftermarket manufacturer.

(3) Functional test standards—(1) Evaporative system integrity test. Vehicles shall fail the evaporative system pressure test if the system cannot maintain a system pressure above eight inches of water for up to two minutes after being pressurized to 14±0.5 inches of water or if no pressure drop is detected when the gas cap is loosened as described in paragraph (a)(10)(iv) of this section. Additionally, vehicles shall fail the evaporative test if the canister is missing or obviously damaged, if hoses are missing or obviously disconnected, or if the gas cap is missing.

(ii) Evaporative canister purge test. Vehicles with a total purge system flow measuring less than one liter, over the course of the transient test required in paragraph (a)(9) of this section, shall fail the evaporative purge test.

(4) On-board diagnostic test standards. Vehicles shall fail the on-board diagnostic test if they fail to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 85.2207, at a minimum. Failure of the on-board diagnostic test need not result in failure of the vehicle inspection/maintenance test until January 1, 2002. Alternatively, states may elect to phase-in OBD–I/M testing for one test cycle by using the OBD–I/M check to screen clean vehicles from tailpipe testing and require repair and retest for only those vehicles which proceed to fail the tailpipe test. An additional alternative is also available to states with regard to the deadline for mandatory testing, repair, and retesting of vehicles based upon the OBD–I/M check. Under this third option, if a state can show good cause (and the Administrator takes notice-and-comment action to approve this good cause showing), up to an additional 12 months’ extension may be granted, establishing an alternative start date for such states of no later than January 1, 2003. States choosing to make this showing will also have available to them the phase-in approach described in this section, with the one-cycle time limit to begin coincident with the alternative start date established by Administrator approval of the showing, but no later than January 1, 2003. The showing of good cause (and its approval or disapproval) will be addressed on a case-by-case basis.

(c) Fast test algorithms and standards. Special test algorithms and pass/fail algorithms may be employed to reduce test time when the test outcome is predictable with near certainty, if the Administrator approves by letter the equivalency to full procedure testing.

(d) Applicability. In general, section 203(a)(9)(A) of the Clean Air Act prohibits altering a vehicle’s configuration such that it changes from a certified to a non-certified configuration. In the inspection process, vehicles that have been altered from their original certified configuration are to be tested in the same manner as other subject vehicles with the exception of MY 1996 and newer, OBD-equipped vehicles on which the data link connector is missing, has been tampered with or which has been altered in such a way as to make OBD system testing impossible. Such vehicles shall be failed for the on-board diagnostics portion of the test and are expected to be repaired so that the vehicle is testable. Failure to return for retesting in a timely manner after failure and repair shall be considered non-compliance with the program, unless the motorist can prove that the vehicle has been sold, scrapped, or is otherwise no longer in operation within the program area.

(1) Vehicles with engines other than the engine originally installed by the
§ 51.358  Test equipment.

Computerized emission test systems are required for performing an official emissions test on subject vehicles.

(a) Performance features of computerized emission test systems. The emission test equipment shall be certified by the program, and newly acquired emission test systems shall be subjected to acceptance test procedures to ensure compliance with program specifications.

(1) Emission test equipment shall be capable of testing all subject vehicles and shall be updated from time to time to accommodate new technology vehicles as well as changes to the program. In the case of OBD-based testing, the equipment used to access the onboard computer shall be capable of testing all MY 1996 and newer, OBD-equipped light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks.

(2) At a minimum, emission test equipment:

   (i) Shall make automatic pass/fail decisions;

   (ii) Shall be secured from tampering and/or abuse;

   (iii) Shall be based upon written specifications; and

   (iv) Shall be capable of simultaneously sampling dual exhaust vehicles in the case of tailpipe-based emission test equipment.

(3) The vehicle owner or driver shall be provided with a record of test results, including all of the items listed in 40 CFR part 85, subpart W as being required on the test record (as applicable). The test report shall include:

   (i) A vehicle description, including license plate number, vehicle identification number, and odometer reading;

   (ii) The date and time of test;

   (iii) The name or identification number of the individual(s) performing the tests and the location of the test station and lane;

   (iv) The type(s) of test(s) performed;

   (v) The applicable test standards;

   (vi) The test results, by test, and, where applicable, by pollutant;

   (vii) A statement indicating the availability of warranty coverage as required in section 207 of the Clean Air Act;

   (viii) Certification that tests were performed in accordance with the regulations and, in the case of decentralized programs, the signature of the individual who performed the test; and

   (ix) For vehicles that fail the emission test, information on the possible cause(s) of the failure.

(b) Functional characteristics of computerized emission test systems. The test system is composed of motor vehicle
§ 51.359 Quality control.

Quality control measures shall ensure that emission testing equipment is calibrated and maintained properly, and that inspection, calibration records, and control charts are accurately created, recorded and maintained (where applicable).

(a) General requirements. (1) The practices described in this section and in appendix A to this subpart shall be followed for those tests (or portions of tests) which fall into the testing categories identified. Alternatively or exceptions to these procedures or frequencies may be approved by the Administrator based on a demonstration of comparable performance.

(2) Preventive maintenance on all inspection equipment necessary to insure accurate and repeatable operation shall be performed on a periodic basis.

(b) Requirements for steady-state emissions testing equipment. (1) Equipment shall be maintained according to demonstrated good engineering practices to assure test accuracy. The calibration and adjustment requirements in appendix A to this subpart shall apply to all steady-state test equipment. States may adjust calibration schedules and other quality control frequencies by using statistical process control to monitor equipment performance on an ongoing basis.

(2) For analyzers that use ambient air as zero air, provision shall be made to draw the air from outside the inspection bay or lane in which the analyzer is situated.

(3) The analyzer housing shall be constructed to protect the analyzer bench and electrical components from ambient temperature and humidity fluctuations that exceed the range of the analyzer’s design specifications.

(4) Analyzers shall automatically purge the analytical system after each test.

(c) Requirements for transient exhaust emission test equipment. Equipment shall be maintained according to demonstrated good engineering practices to assure test accuracy. Computer control of quality assurance checks and quality control charts shall be used whenever possible. Exceptions to the procedures and the frequency of the checks described in appendix A of this subpart may be approved by the Administrator based on a demonstration of comparable performance.

(d) Requirements for evaporative system functional test equipment. Equipment shall be maintained according to demonstrated good engineering practices to assure test accuracy. Computer control of quality assurance checks and quality control charts shall be used whenever possible. Exceptions to the procedures and the frequency of the checks described in appendix A of this subpart may be approved by the Administrator based on a demonstration of comparable performance.
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§ 51.360 Waivers and compliance via diagnostic inspection.

The program may allow the issuance of a waiver, which is a form of compliance with the program requirements that allows a motorist to comply without meeting the applicable test standards, as long as the prescribed criteria described below are met.

(a) Waiver issuance criteria. The waiver criteria shall include the following at a minimum.

(1) Waivers shall be issued only after a vehicle has failed a retest performed after all qualifying repairs have been completed. Qualifying repairs include repairs of the emission control components, listed in paragraph (a)(5) of this section, performed within 60 days of the test date.

(2) Any available warranty coverage shall be used to obtain needed repairs before expenditures can be counted towards the cost limits in paragraphs (a)(5) and (a)(6) of this section. The operator of a vehicle within the statutory age and mileage coverage under section 207(b) of the Clean Air Act shall present a written denial of warranty coverage from the manufacturer or authorized dealer for this provision to be waived for approved tests applicable to the vehicle.

(3) Waivers shall not be issued to vehicles for tampering-related repairs. The cost of tampering-related repairs shall not be applicable to the minimum expenditure in paragraphs (a)(5) and (a)(6) of this section. States may issue exemptions for tampering-related repairs if it can be verified that the part in question or one similar to it is no longer available for sale.

(4) Repairs shall be appropriate to the cause of the test failure, and a visual check shall be made to determine if repairs were actually made if, given the nature of the repair, it can be visually confirmed. Receipts shall be submitted for review to further verify that qualifying repairs were performed.

(5) General repairs shall be performed by a recognized repair technician (i.e., one professionally engaged in vehicle repair, employed by a going concern whose purpose is vehicle repair, or possessing nationally recognized certification for emission-related diagnosis and repair) in order to qualify for a waiver. I/M programs may allow the cost of parts (not labor) utilized by non-technicians (e.g., owners) to apply toward the waiver limit. The waiver would apply to the cost of parts for the repair or replacement of the following list of emission control components: oxygen sensor, catalytic converter, thermal reactor, EGR valve, fuel filler cap, evaporative canister, PCV valve, air pump, distributor, ignition wires, coil, and spark plugs. The cost of any hoses, gaskets, belts, clamps, brackets or other accessories directly associated with these components may also be applied to the waiver limit.

(6) In basic programs, a minimum of $75 for pre-81 vehicles and $200 for 1981 and newer vehicles shall be spent in order to qualify for a waiver. These
model year cutoffs and the associated dollar limits shall be in full effect no later than January 1, 1998. Prior to January 1, 1998, States may adopt any minimum expenditure commensurate with the waiver rate committed to for the purposes of modeling compliance with the basic I/M performance standard.

(7) Beginning on January 1, 1998, enhanced I/M programs shall require the motorist to make an expenditure of at least $450 in repairs to qualify for a waiver. The I/M program shall provide that the $450 minimum expenditure shall be adjusted in January of each year by the percentage, if any, by which the Consumer Price Index for the preceding calendar year differs from the Consumer Price Index of 1989. Prior to January 1, 1998, States may adopt any minimum expenditure commensurate with the waiver rate committed to for the purposes of modeling compliance with the relevant enhanced I/M performance standard.

(i) The Consumer Price Index for any calendar year is the average of the Consumer Price Index for all-urban consumers published by the Department of Labor, as of the close of the 12-month period ending on August 31 of each calendar year. A copy of the current Consumer Price Index may be obtained from the Emission Planning and Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2565 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105.

(ii) The revision of the Consumer Price Index which is most consistent with the Consumer Price Index for calendar year 1989 shall be used.

(8) States may establish lower minimum expenditures if a program is established to scrap vehicles that do not meet standards after the lower expenditure is made.

(9) A time extension, not to exceed the period of the inspection frequency, may be granted to obtain needed repairs on a vehicle in the case of economic hardship when waiver requirements have not been met. After having received a time extension, a vehicle must fully pass the applicable test standards before becoming eligible for another time extension. The extension for a vehicle shall be tracked and reported by the program.

(b) Compliance via diagnostic inspection. Vehicles subject to a transient IM240 emission test at the cutpoints established in §§51.351(f)(7) and (g)(7) of this subpart may be issued a certificate of compliance without meeting the prescribed emission cutpoints, if, after failing a retest on emissions, a complete, documented physical and functional diagnosis and inspection performed by the I/M agency or a contractor to the I/M agency show that no additional emission-related repairs are needed. Any such exemption policy and procedures shall be subject to approval by the Administrator.

(c) Quality control of waiver issuance. (1) Enhanced programs shall control waiver issuance and processing by establishing a system of agency-issued waivers. The State may delegate this authority to a single contractor but inspectors in stations and lanes shall not issue waivers. Basic programs may permit inspector-issued waivers as long as quality assurance efforts include a comprehensive review of waiver issuance.

(2) The program shall include methods of informing vehicle owners or lessors of potential warranty coverage, and ways to obtain warranty repairs.

(3) The program shall insure that repair receipts are authentic and cannot be revised or reused.

(4) The program shall insure that waivers are only valid for one test cycle.

(5) The program shall track, manage, and account for time extensions or exemptions so that owners or lessors cannot receive or retain a waiver improperly.

(d) SIP requirements. (1) The SIP shall include a maximum waiver rate expressed as a percentage of initially failed vehicles. This waiver rate shall be used for estimating emission reduction benefits in the modeling analysis.

(2) The State shall take corrective action if the waiver rate exceeds that committed to in the SIP or revise the SIP and the emission reductions claimed.

(3) The SIP shall describe the waiver criteria and procedures, including cost limits, quality assurance methods and measures, and administration.
(4) The SIP shall include the necessary legal authority, ordinance, or rules to issue waivers, set and adjust cost limits as required in paragraph (a)(5) of this section, and carry out any other functions necessary to administer the waiver system, including enforcement of the waiver provisions.

§ 51.361 Motorist compliance enforcement.

Compliance shall be ensured through the denial of motor vehicle registration in enhanced I/M programs unless an exception for use of an existing alternative is approved. An enhanced I/M area may use an existing alternative if it demonstrates that the alternative has been more effective than registration denial. An enforcement mechanism may be considered an “existing alternative” only in States that, for some area in the State, had an I/M program with that mechanism in operation prior to passage of the 1990 Amendments to the Act. A basic I/M area may use an alternative enforcement mechanism if it demonstrates that the alternative will be as effective as registration denial. Two other types of enforcement programs may qualify for enhanced I/M programs if demonstrated to have been more effective than enforcement of the registration requirement in the past: Sticker-based enforcement programs and computer-matching programs. States that did not adopt an I/M program for any area of the State before November 15, 1990, may not use an enforcement alternative in connection with an enhanced I/M program required to be adopted after that date.

(a) Registration denial. Registration denial enforcement is defined as rejecting an application for initial registration or reregistration of a used vehicle (i.e., a vehicle being registered after the initial retail sale and associated registration) unless the vehicle has complied with the I/M requirement prior to granting the application. Pursuant to section 207(g)(3) of the Act, nothing in this subpart shall be construed to require that new vehicles shall receive emission testing prior to initial retail sale. In designing its enforcement program, the State shall:

(1) Provide an external, readily visible means of determining vehicle compliance with the registration requirement to facilitate enforcement of the program;

(2) Adopt a schedule of testing (either annual or biennial) that clearly determines when a vehicle shall comply prior to registration;

(3) Design a testing certification mechanism (either paper-based or electronic) that shall be used for registration purposes and clearly indicates whether the certification is valid for purposes of registration, including:

(i) Expiration date of the certificate;

(ii) Unambiguous vehicle identification information; and

(iii) Whether the vehicle passed or received a waiver;

(4) Routinely issue citations to motorists with expired or missing license plates, with either no registration or an expired registration, and with no license plate decals or expired decals, and provide for enforcement officials other than police to issue citations (e.g., parking meter attendants) to parked vehicles in noncompliance;

(5) Structure the penalty system to deter non-compliance with the registration requirement through the use of mandatory minimum fines (meaning civil, monetary penalties, in this subpart) constituting a meaningful deterrent and through a requirement that compliance be demonstrated before a case can be closed;

(6) Ensure that evidence of testing is available and checked for validity at the time of a new registration of a used vehicle or registration renewal;

(7) Prevent owners or lessors from avoiding testing through manipulation of the title or registration system; title transfers may re-start the clock on the inspection cycle only if proof of current compliance is required at title transfer;

(8) Prevent the fraudulent initial classification or reclassification of a vehicle from subject to non-subject or exempt by requiring proof of address changes prior to registration record modification, and documentation from
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(9) Limit and track the use of time extensions of the registration requirement to prevent repeated extensions;
(10) Provide for meaningful penalties for cases of registration fraud;
(11) Limit and track exemptions to prevent abuse of the exemption policy for vehicles claimed to be out-of-state; and
(12) Encourage enforcement of vehicle registration transfer requirements when vehicle owners move into the I/M area by coordinating with local and State enforcement agencies and structuring other activities (e.g., drivers license issuance) to effect registration transfers.

(b) Alternative enforcement mechanisms—(1) General requirements. The program shall demonstrate that a non-registration-based enforcement program is currently more effective than registration-denial enforcement in enhanced I/M programs or, prospectively, as effective as registration denial in basic programs. The following general requirements shall apply:

(i) For enhanced I/M programs, the area in question shall have had an operating I/M program using the alternative mechanism prior to enactment of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. While modifications to improve compliance may be made to the program that was in effect at the time of enactment, the expected change in effectiveness cannot be considered in determining acceptability;

(ii) The State shall assess the alternative program’s effectiveness, as well as the current effectiveness of the registration system, including the following:

(A) Determine the number and percentage of vehicles subject to the I/M program that were in compliance with the program over the course of at least one test cycle; and

(B) Determine the number and fraction of the same group of vehicles as in paragraph (b)(1)(ii)(A) of this section that were in compliance with the registration requirement over the same period. Late registration shall not be considered non-compliance for the purposes of this determination. The precise definition of late registration versus a non-complying vehicle shall be explained and justified in the SIP;

(iii) An alternative mechanism shall be considered more effective if the fraction of vehicles complying with the existing program, as determined according to the requirements of this section, is greater than the fraction of vehicles complying with the registration requirement. An alternative mechanism is as effective if the fraction complying with the program is at least equal to the fraction complying with the registration requirement.

(2) Sticker-based enforcement. In addition to the general requirements, a sticker-based enforcement program shall demonstrate that the enforcement mechanism will swiftly and effectively prevent operation of subject vehicles that fail to comply. Such demonstration shall include the following:

(i) An assessment of the current extent of the following forms of non-compliance and demonstration that mechanisms exist to keep such non-compliance within acceptable limits:

(A) Use of stolen, counterfeit, or fraudulently obtained stickers;

(B) In States with safety inspections, the use of “Safety Inspection Only” stickers on vehicles that should be subject to the I/M requirement as well; and

(C) Operation of vehicles with expired stickers, including a stratification of non-compliance by length of non-compliance and model year.

(ii) The program as currently implemented or as proposed to be improved shall also:

(A) Require an easily observed external identifier such as the county name on the license plate, an obviously unique license plate tab, or other means that shows whether or not a vehicle is subject to the I/M requirement;

(B) Require an easily observed external identifier, such as a windshield sticker or license plate tab that shows whether a subject vehicle is in compliance with the inspection requirement;

(C) Impose monetary fines at least as great as the estimated cost of compliance with I/M requirements (e.g., test fee plus minimum waiver expenditure) for the absence of such identifiers;

(D) Require that such identifiers be of a quality that makes them difficult
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to counterfeit, difficult to remove without destroying once installed, and durable enough to last until the next inspection without fading, peeling, or other deterioration;

(E) Perform surveys in a variety of locations and at different times for the presence of the required identifiers such that at least 10% of the vehicles or 10,000 vehicles (whichever is less) in the subject vehicle population are sampled each year;

(F) Track missing identifiers for all inspections performed at each station, with stations being held accountable for all such identifiers they are issued; and

(G) Assess and collect significant fines for each identifier that is unaccounted for by a station.

(3) Computer matching. In addition to the general requirements, computer-matching programs shall demonstrate that the enforcement mechanism will swiftly and effectively prevent operation of subject vehicles that fail to comply. Such demonstration shall:

(i) Require an expeditious system that results in at least 90% of the subject vehicles in compliance within 4 months of the compliance deadline;

(ii) Require that subject vehicles be given compliance deadlines based on the regularly scheduled test date, not the date of previous compliance;

(iii) Require that motorists pay monetary fines at least as great as the estimated cost of compliance with I/M requirements (e.g., test fee plus minimum waiver expenditure) for the continued operation of a noncomplying vehicle beyond 4 months of the deadline;

(iv) Require that continued non-compliance will eventually result in preventing operation of the non-complying vehicle (no later than the date of the next test cycle) through, at a minimum, suspension of vehicle registration and subsequent denial of re-registration;

(v) Demonstrate that the computer system currently in use is adequate to store and manipulate the I/M vehicle database, generate computerized notices, and provide regular backup to said system while maintaining auxiliary storage devices to insure ongoing operation of the system and prevent data losses;

(vi) Track each vehicle through the steps taken to ensure compliance, including:

(A) The compliance deadline;

(B) The date of initial notification;

(C) The dates warning letters are sent to non-complying vehicle owners;

(D) The dates notices of violation or other penalty notices are sent; and

(E) The dates and outcomes of other steps in the process, including the final compliance date;

(vii) Compile and report monthly summaries including statistics on the percentage of vehicles at each stage in the enforcement process; and

(viii) Track the number and percentage of vehicles initially identified as requiring testing but which are never tested as a result of being junked, sold to a motorist in a non-I/M program area, or for some other reason.

(c) SIP requirements. (1) The SIP shall provide information concerning the enforcement process, including:

(i) A description of the existing compliance mechanism if it is to be used in the future and the demonstration that it is as effective or more effective than registration-denial enforcement;

(ii) An identification of the agencies responsible for performing each of the applicable activities in this section;

(iii) A description of and accounting for all classes of exempt vehicles; and

(iv) A description of the plan for testing fleet vehicles, rental car fleets, leased vehicles, and any other subject vehicles, e.g., those operated in (but not necessarily registered in) the program area.

(2) The SIP shall include a determination of the current compliance rate based on a study of the system that includes an estimate of compliance losses due to loopholes, counterfeiting, and unregistered vehicles. Estimates of the effect of closing such loopholes and otherwise improving the enforcement mechanism shall be supported with detailed analyses.

(3) The SIP shall include the legal authority to implement and enforce the program.

(4) The SIP shall include a commitment to an enforcement level to be
§ 51.362 Motorist compliance enforcement program oversight.

The enforcement program shall be audited regularly and shall follow effective program management practices, including adjustments to improve operation when necessary.

(a) Quality assurance and quality control. A quality assurance program shall be implemented to insure effective overall performance of the enforcement system. Quality control procedures are required to instruct individuals in the enforcement process regarding how to properly conduct their activities. At a minimum, the quality control and quality assurance program shall include:

(1) Verification of exempt vehicle status by inspecting and confirming such vehicles by the program or its delegate;

(2) Facilitation of accurate critical test data and vehicle identifier collection through the use of automatic data capture systems such as bar-code scanners or optical character readers, or through redundant data entry (where applicable);

(3) Maintenance of an audit trail to allow for the assessment of enforcement effectiveness;

(4) Establishment of written procedures for personnel directly engaged in I/M enforcement activities;

(5) Establishment of written procedures for personnel engaged in I/M document handling and processing, such as registration clerks or personnel involved in sticker dispensing and waiver processing, as well as written procedures for the auditing of their performance;

(6) Follow-up validity checks on out-of-area or exemption-triggering registration changes;

(7) Analysis of registration-change applications to target potential violators;

(8) A determination of enforcement program effectiveness through periodic audits of test records and program compliance documentation;

(9) Enforcement procedures for disciplining, retraining, or removing enforcement personnel who deviate from established requirements, or in the case of non-government entities that process registrations, for defranchising, revoking or otherwise discontinuing the activity of the entity issuing registrations; and

(10) The prevention of fraudulent procurement or use of inspection documents by controlling and tracking document distribution and handling, and making stations financially liable for missing or unaccounted for documents by assessing monetary fines reflecting the “street value” of these documents (i.e., the test fee plus the minimum waiver expenditure).

(b) Information management. In establishing an information base to be used in characterizing, evaluating, and enforcing the program, the State shall:

(1) Determine the subject vehicle population;

(2) Permit EPA audits of the enforcement process;

(3) Assure the accuracy of registration and other program document files;

(4) Maintain and ensure the accuracy of the testing database through periodic internal and/or third-party review;

(5) Compare the testing database to the registration database to determine program effectiveness, establish compliance rates, and to trigger potential enforcement action against non-complying motorists; and

(6) Sample the fleet as a determination of compliance through parking lot surveys, road-side pull-overs, or other in-use vehicle measurements.

(c) SIP requirements. The SIP shall include a description of enforcement program oversight and information management activities.

§ 51.363 Quality assurance.

An ongoing quality assurance program shall be implemented to discover, correct and prevent fraud, waste, and abuse and to determine whether procedures are being followed, are adequate, whether equipment is measuring accurately, and whether other problems
might exist which would impede program performance. The quality assurance and quality control procedures shall be periodically evaluated to assess their effectiveness and relevance in achieving program goals.

(a) Performance audits. Performance audits shall be conducted on a regular basis to determine whether inspectors are correctly performing all tests and other required functions. Performance audits shall be of two types: overt and covert, and shall include:

(1) Performance audits based upon written procedures and results shall be reported using either electronic or written forms to be retained in the inspector and station history files, with sufficient detail to support either an administrative or civil hearing;

(2) Performance audits in addition to regularly programmed audits for stations employing inspectors suspected of violating regulations as a result of audits, data analysis, or consumer complaints;

(3) Overt performance audits shall be performed at least twice per year for each lane or test bay and shall include:

(i) A check for the observance of appropriate document security;

(ii) A check to see that required record keeping practices are being followed;

(iii) A check for licenses or certificates and other required display information; and

(iv) Observation and written evaluation of each inspector's ability to properly perform an inspection;

(4) Covert performance audits shall include:

(i) Remote visual observation of inspector performance, which may include the use of aids such as binoculars or video cameras, at least once per year per inspector in high-volume stations (i.e., those performing more than 4000 tests per year);

(ii) Site visits at least once per year per number of inspectors using covert vehicles set to fail (this requirement sets a minimum level of activity, not a requirement that each inspector be involved in a covert audit);

(iii) For stations that conduct both testing and repairs, at least one covert vehicle visit per station per year including the purchase of repairs and subsequent retesting if the vehicle is initially failed for tailpipe emissions (this activity may be accomplished in conjunction with paragraph (a)(4)(ii) of this section but must involve each station at least once per year);

(iv) Documentation of the audit, including vehicle condition and preparation, sufficient for building a legal case and establishing a performance record;

(v) Covert vehicles covering the range of vehicle technology groups (e.g., carbureted and fuel-injected vehicles) included in the program, including a full range of introduced malfunctions covering the emission test, the evaporative system tests, and emission control component checks (as applicable);

(vi) Sufficient numbers of covert vehicles and auditors to allow for frequent rotation of both to prevent detection by station personnel; and

(vii) Where applicable, access to online inspection databases by State personnel to permit the creation and maintenance of covert vehicle records.

(b) Record audits. Station and inspector records shall be reviewed or screened at least monthly to assess station performance and identify problems that may indicate potential fraud or incompetence. Such review shall include:

(1) Automated record analysis to identify statistical inconsistencies, unusual patterns, and other discrepancies;

(2) Visits to inspection stations to review records not already covered in the electronic analysis (if any); and

(3) Comprehensive accounting for all official forms that can be used to demonstrate compliance with the program.

(c) Equipment audits. During overt site visits, auditors shall conduct quality control evaluations of the required test equipment, including (where applicable):

(1) A gas audit using gases of known concentrations at least as accurate as those required for regular equipment quality control and comparing these concentrations to actual readings;

(2) A check for tampering, worn instrumentation, blocked filters, and other conditions that would impede accurate sampling;
§ 51.364 Enforcement against contractors, stations and inspectors.

Enforcement against licensed stations or contractors, and inspectors shall include swift, sure, effective, and consistent penalties for violation of program requirements.

(a) Imposition of penalties. A penalty schedule shall be developed that establishes minimum penalties for violations of program rules and procedures.

(1) The schedule shall categorize and list violations and the minimum penalties to be imposed for first, second, and subsequent violations and for multiple violation of different requirements. In the case of contracted systems, the State may use compensation retainage in lieu of penalties.

(2) Substantial penalties or retainage shall be imposed on the first offense for violations that directly affect emission reduction benefits. At a minimum, in test-and-repair programs inspector and station license suspension shall be imposed for at least 6 months whenever a vehicle is intentionally improperly passed for any required portion of the test. In test-only programs, inspectors shall be removed from inspector duty for at least 6 months (or a retainage penalty equivalent to the inspector’s salary for that period shall be imposed).

(3) All findings of serious violations of rules or procedural requirements shall result in mandatory fines or retainage. In the case of gross neglect, a first offense shall result in a fine or retainage of no less than $100 or 5 times the inspection fee, whichever is greater, for the contractor or the licensed station, and the inspector if involved.

(4) Any finding of inspector incompetence shall result in mandatory training before inspection privileges are restored.

(5) License or certificate suspension or revocation shall mean the individual is barred from direct or indirect involvement in any inspection operation during the term of the suspension or revocation.
(b) Legal authority. (1) The quality assurance officer shall have the authority to temporarily suspend station and inspector licenses or certificates (after approval of a superior) immediately upon finding a violation or equipment failure that directly affects emission reduction benefits, pending a hearing when requested. In the case of immediate suspension, a hearing shall be held within fourteen calendar days of a written request by the station licensee or the inspector. Failure to hold a hearing within 14 days when requested shall cause the suspension to lapse. In the event that a State’s constitution precludes such a temporary license suspension, the enforcement system shall be designed with adequate resources and mechanisms to hold a hearing to suspend or revoke the station or inspector license within three station business days of the finding.

(2) The oversight agency shall have the authority to impose penalties against the licensed station or contractor, as well as the inspector, even if the licensee or contractor had no direct knowledge of the violation but was found to be careless in oversight of inspectors or has a history of violations. Contractors and licensees shall be held fully responsible for inspector performance in the course of duty.

(c) Recordkeeping. The oversight agency shall maintain records of all warnings, civil fines, suspensions, revocations, and violations and shall compile statistics on violations and penalties on an annual basis.

(d) SIP requirements. (1) The SIP shall include the penalty schedule and the legal authority for establishing and imposing penalties, civil fines, license suspension, and revocations.

(2) In the case of State constitutional impediments to immediate suspension authority, the State Attorney General shall furnish an official opinion for the SIP explaining the constitutional impediment as well as relevant case law.

(3) The SIP shall describe the administrative and judicial procedures and responsibilities relevant to the enforcement process, including which agencies, courts, and jurisdictions are involved; who will prosecute and adjudicate cases; and other aspects of the enforcement of the program requirements, the resources to be allocated to this function, and the source of those funds. In States without immediate suspension authority, the SIP shall demonstrate that sufficient resources, personnel, and systems are in place to meet the three day case management requirement for violations that directly affect emission reductions.

(e) Alternative quality assurance procedures or frequencies that achieve equivalent or better results may be approved by the Administrator. Statistical process control shall be used whenever possible to demonstrate the efficacy of alternatives.

(f) Areas that qualify for and choose to implement an OTR low enhanced I/M program, as established in §51.351(h), and that claim in their SIP less emission reduction credit than the basic performance standard for one or more pollutants, are not required to meet the oversight specifications of this section.


§ 51.365 Data collection.

Accurate data collection is essential to the management, evaluation, and enforcement of an I/M program. The program shall gather test data on individual vehicles, as well as quality control data on test equipment (with the exception of test procedures for which either no testing equipment is required or those test procedures relying upon a vehicle’s OBD system).

(a) Test data. The goal of gathering test data is to unambiguously link specific test results to a specific vehicle, I/M program registrant, test site, and inspector, and to determine whether or not the correct testing parameters were observed for the specific vehicle in question. In turn, these data can be used to distinguish complying and non-complying vehicles as a result of analyzing the data collected and comparing it to the registration database, to screen inspection stations and inspectors for investigation as to possible irregularities, and to help establish the overall effectiveness of the program. At a minimum, the program shall collect the following with respect to each test conducted:

(1) Test record number;
§ 51.366 Data analysis and reporting.

Data analysis and reporting are required to allow for monitoring and evaluation of the program by program management and EPA, and shall provide information regarding the types of program activities performed and their final outcomes, including summary statistics and effectiveness evaluations of the enforcement mechanism, the quality assurance system, the quality control program, and the testing element. Initial submission of the following annual reports shall commence within 18 months of initial implementation of the program as required by §51.373 of this subpart. The biennial report shall commence within 30 months of initial implementation of the program as required by §51.373 of this subpart.

(a) Test data report. The program shall submit to EPA by July of each year a report providing basic statistics on the testing program for January through December of the previous year, including:

1. The number of vehicles tested by model year and vehicle type;
2. By model year and vehicle type, the number and percentage of vehicles: (i) Failing initially, per test type; (ii) Failing the first retest per test type; (iii) Passing the first retest per test type; (iv) Initially failed vehicles passing the second or subsequent retest per test type; (v) Initially failed vehicles receiving a waiver; and (vi) Vehicles with no known final outcome (regardless of reason).
(vii)–(x) [Reserved]

(xi) Passing the on-board diagnostic check;

(xii) Failing the on-board diagnostic check;

(xiii) Failing the on-board diagnostic check and passing the tailpipe test (if applicable);

(xiv) Failing the on-board diagnostic check and failing the tailpipe test (if applicable);

(xv) Passing the on-board diagnostic check and failing the I/M gas cap evaporative system test (if applicable);

(xvi) Failing the on-board diagnostic check and passing the I/M gas cap evaporative system test (if applicable);

(xvii) Passing both the on-board diagnostic check and I/M gas cap evaporative system test (if applicable);

(xviii) Failing both the on-board diagnostic check and I/M gas cap evaporative system test (if applicable);

(xix) MIL is commanded on and no codes are stored;

(xx) MIL is not commanded on and codes are stored;

(xxi) MIL is commanded on and codes are stored;

(xxii) MIL is not commanded on and codes are not stored;

(xxiii) Readiness status indicates that the evaluation is not complete for any module supported by on-board diagnostic systems;

(3) The initial test volume by model year and test station;

(4) The initial test failure rate by model year and test station; and

(5) The average increase or decrease in tailpipe emission levels for HC, CO, and NOX (if applicable) after repairs by model year and vehicle type for vehicles receiving a mass emissions test.

(b) Quality assurance report. The program shall submit to EPA by July of each year a report providing basic statistics on the quality assurance program for January through December of the previous year, including:

(i) The number of inspection stations and lanes:

(1) Operating throughout the year; and

(2) Operating for only part of the year;

(i) Receiving overt performance audits in the year;

(ii) Not receiving overt performance audits in the year;

(iii) Receiving covert performance audits in the year;

(iv) Not receiving covert performance audits in the year; and

(v) That have been shut down as a result of overt performance audits;

(3) The number of covert audits:

(i) Conducted with the vehicle set to fail per test type;

(ii) Conducted with the vehicle set to fail any combination of two or more test types;

(iii) Resulting in a false pass per test type;

(iv) Resulting in a false pass for any combination of two or more test types;

(v)–(viii) [Reserved]

(4) The number of inspectors and stations:

(i) That were suspended, fired, or otherwise prohibited from testing as a result of covert audits;

(ii) That were suspended, fired, or otherwise prohibited from testing for other causes; and

(iii) That received fines;

(5) The number of inspectors licensed or certified to conduct testing;

(6) The number of hearings:

(i) Held to consider adverse actions against inspectors and stations; and

(ii) Resulting in adverse actions against inspectors and stations;

(7) The total amount collected in fines from inspectors and stations by type of violation;

(8) The total number of covert vehicles available for undercover audits over the year; and

(9) The number of covert auditors available for undercover audits.

(c) Quality control report. The program shall submit to EPA by July of each year a report providing basic statistics on the quality control program for January through December of the previous year, including:

(i) The number of emission testing sites and lanes in use in the program;

(ii) The number of equipment audits by station and lane;

(iii) The number and percentage of stations that have failed equipment audits; and
(4) Number and percentage of stations and lanes shut down as a result of equipment audits.

(d) Enforcement report. (1) All varieties of enforcement programs shall, at a minimum, submit to EPA by July of each year a report providing basic statistics on the enforcement program for January through December of the previous year, including:

(i) An estimate of the number of vehicles subject to the inspection program, including the results of an analysis of the registration data base;

(ii) The percentage of motorist compliance based upon a comparison of the number of valid final tests with the number of subject vehicles;

(iii) The total number of compliance documents issued to inspection stations;

(iv) The number of missing compliance documents;

(v) The number of time extensions and other exemptions granted to motorists; and

(vi) The number of compliance surveys conducted, number of vehicles surveyed in each, and the compliance rates found.

(2) Registration denial based enforcement programs shall provide the following additional information:

(i) A report of the program’s efforts to prevent motorists from falsely registering vehicles out of the program area or falsely changing fuel type or weight class on the vehicle registration, and the results of special studies to investigate the frequency of such activity; and

(ii) The number of registration file audits conducted, the number of registrations reviewed, and compliance rates found in such audits.

(3) Computer-matching based enforcement programs shall provide the following additional information:

(i) The number and percentage of subject vehicles that were tested by the initial deadline, and by other milestones in the cycle;

(ii) A report on the program’s efforts to detect and enforce against motorists falsely changing vehicle classifications to circumvent program requirements, and the frequency of this type of activity; and

(iii) The number of enforcement system audits, and the error rate found during those audits.

(4) Sticker-based enforcement systems shall provide the following additional information:

(i) A report on the program’s efforts to prevent, detect, and enforce against sticker theft and counterfeiting, and the frequency of this type of activity;

(ii) A report on the program’s efforts to detect and enforce against motorists falsely changing vehicle classifications to circumvent program requirements, and the frequency of this type of activity; and

(iii) The number of parking lot sticker audits conducted, the number of vehicles surveyed in each, and the non-compliance rate found during those audits.

(e) Additional reporting requirements. In addition to the annual reports in paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section, programs shall submit to EPA by July of every other year, biennial reports addressing:

(1) Any changes made in program design, funding, personnel levels, procedures, regulations, and legal authority, with detailed discussion and evaluation of the impact on the program of all such changes; and

(2) Any weaknesses or problems identified in the program within the two-year reporting period, what steps have already been taken to correct those problems, the results of those steps, and any future efforts planned.

(f) SIP requirements. The SIP shall describe the types of data to be collected. [57 FR 52987, Nov. 5, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 40945, Aug. 6, 1996; 65 FR 45534, July 24, 2000; 66 FR 18178, Apr. 5, 2001]

§ 51.367 Inspector training and licensing or certification.

All inspectors shall receive formal training and be licensed or certified to perform inspections.

(a) Training. (1) Inspector training shall impart knowledge of the following:

(i) The air pollution problem, its causes and effects;

(ii) The purpose, function, and goal of the inspection program;

(iii) Inspection regulations and procedures;
§ 51.368 Public information and consumer protection.

(a) Public awareness. The SIP shall include a plan for informing the public on an ongoing basis throughout the life of the I/M program of the air quality problem, the requirements of Federal and State law, the role of motor vehicles in the air quality problem, the need for and benefits of an inspection program, how to maintain a vehicle in a low-emission condition, how to find a qualified repair technician, and the requirements of the I/M program. Motorists that fail the I/M test in enhanced I/M areas shall be offered a list of repair facilities in the area and information on the results of repairs performed by repair facilities in the area, as described in §51.369(b)(1) of this subpart. Motorists that fail the I/M test shall also be provided with information concerning the possible cause(s) for failing the particular portions of the test that were failed.

(b) Consumer protection. The oversight agency shall institute procedures and mechanisms to protect the public from fraud and abuse by inspectors, mechanics, and others involved in the I/M program. This shall include a challenge mechanism by which a vehicle owner can contest the results of an inspection. It shall include mechanisms for protecting whistle blowers and following up on complaints by the public or others involved in the process. It shall include a program to assist owners in obtaining warranty covered repairs for eligible vehicles that fail a test. The SIP shall include a detailed consumer protection plan.

[57 FR 52987, Nov. 5, 1992, as amended at 65 FR 45534, July 24, 2000]
§ 51.369 Improving repair effectiveness.

Effective repairs are the key to achieving program goals and the State shall take steps to ensure the capability exists in the repair industry to repair vehicles that fail I/M tests.

(a) Technical assistance. The oversight agency shall provide the repair industry with information and assistance related to vehicle inspection diagnosis and repair.

(1) The agency shall regularly inform repair facilities of changes in the inspection program, training course schedules, common problems being found with particular engine families, diagnostic tips and the like.

(2) The agency shall provide a hot line service to assist repair technicians with specific repair problems, answer technical questions that arise in the repair process, and answer questions related to the legal requirements of State and Federal law with regard to emission control device tampering, engine switching, or similar issues.

(b) Performance monitoring. (1) In enhanced I/M program areas, the oversight agency shall monitor the performance of individual motor vehicle repair facilities, and provide to the public at the time of initial failure, a summary of the performance of local repair facilities that have repaired vehicles for retest. Performance monitoring shall include statistics on the number of vehicles submitted for a retest after repair by the repair facility, the percentage passing on first retest, the percentage requiring more than one repair/retest trip before passing, and the percentage receiving a waiver. Programs may provide motorists with alternative statistics that convey similar information on the relative ability of repair facilities in providing effective and convenient repair, in light of the age and other characteristics of vehicles presented for repair at each facility.

(2) Programs shall provide feedback, including statistical and qualitative information to individual repair facilities on a regular basis (at least annually) regarding their success in repairing failed vehicles.

(3) A prerequisite for a retest shall be a completed repair form that indicates which repairs were performed, as well as any technician recommended repairs that were not performed, and identification of the facility that performed the repairs.

(c) Repair technician training. The State shall assess the availability of adequate repair technician training in the I/M area and, if the types of training described in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) of this section are not currently available, shall insure that training is made available to all interested individuals in the community either through private or public facilities. This may involve working with local community colleges or vocational schools to add curricula to existing programs or start new programs or it might involve attracting private training providers to offer classes in the area. The training available shall include:

(1) Diagnosis and repair of malfunctions in computer controlled, close-loop vehicles;

(2) The application of emission control theory and diagnostic data to the diagnosis and repair of failures on the transient emission test and the evaporative system functional checks (where applicable);

(3) Utilization of diagnostic information on systematic or repeated failures observed in the transient emission test and the evaporative system functional checks (where applicable); and

(4) General training on the various subsystems related to engine emission control.

(d) SIP requirements. The SIP shall include a description of the technical assistance program to be implemented, a description of the procedures and criteria to be used in meeting the performance monitoring requirements of this section, and a description of the repair technician training resources available in the community.

[57 FR 52987, Nov. 5, 1992, as amended at 65 FR 45535, July 24, 2000]

§ 51.370 Compliance with recall notices.

States shall establish methods to ensure that vehicles subject to enhanced I/M and that are included in either a
“Voluntary Emissions Recall” as defined at 40 CFR 85.1902(d), or in a remedial plan determination made pursuant to section 207(c) of the Act, receive the required repairs. States shall require that owners of recalled vehicles have the necessary recall repairs completed, either in order to complete an annual or biennial inspection process or to obtain vehicle registration renewal. All recalls for which owner notification occurs after January 1, 1995 shall be included in the enhanced I/M recall requirement.

(a) General requirements. (1) The State shall have an electronic means to identify recalled vehicles based on lists of VINs with unresolved recalls made available by EPA, the vehicle manufacturers, or a third party supplier approved by the Administrator. The State shall update its list of unresolved recalls on a quarterly basis at a minimum.

(2) The State shall require owners or lessees of vehicles with unresolved recalls to show proof of compliance with recall notices in order to complete either the inspection or registration cycle.

(3) Compliance shall be required on the next registration or inspection date, allowing a reasonable period to comply, after notification of recall was received by the State.

(b) Enforcement. (1) A vehicle shall either fail inspection or be denied vehicle registration if the required recall repairs have not been completed.

(2) In the case of vehicles obtaining recall repairs but remaining on the updated list provided in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, the State shall have a means of verifying completion of the required repairs; electronic records or paper receipts provided by the authorized repair facility shall be required. The vehicle inspection or registration record shall be modified to include (or be supplemented with other VIN-linked records which include) the recall campaign number(s) and the date(s) repairs were performed. Documentation verifying required repairs shall include the following:

(i) The VIN, make, and model year of the vehicle; and

(ii) The recall campaign number and the date repairs were completed.

c) Reporting requirements. The State shall submit to EPA, by July of each year for the previous calendar year, an annual report providing the following information:

(1) The number of vehicles in the I/M area initially listed as having unresolved recalls, segregated by recall campaign number;

(2) The number of recalled vehicles brought into compliance by owners;

(3) The number of listed vehicles with unresolved recalls that, as of the end of the calendar year, were not yet due for inspection or registration;

(4) The number of recalled vehicles still in non-compliance that have either failed inspection or been denied registration on the basis of non-compliance with recall; and

(5) The number of recalled vehicles that are otherwise not in compliance.

d) SIP submittals. The SIP shall describe the procedures used to incorporate the vehicle lists provided in paragraph (a)(1) of this section into the inspection or registration database, the quality control methods used to insure that recall repairs are properly documented and tracked, and the method (inspection failure or registration denial) used to enforce the recall requirements.

§ 51.371 On-road testing.

On-road testing is defined as testing of vehicles for conditions impacting the emission of HC, CO, NO\textsubscript{x} and/or CO\textsubscript{2} emissions on any road or roadside in the nonattainment area or the I/M program area. On-road testing is required in enhanced I/M areas and is an option for basic I/M areas.

(a) General requirements. (1) On-road testing is to be part of the emission testing system, but is to be a complement to testing otherwise required.

(2) On-road testing is not required in every season or on every vehicle but shall evaluate the emission performance of 0.5% of the subject fleet statewide or 20,000 vehicles, whichever is less, per inspection cycle.

(3) The on-road testing program shall provide information about the performance of in-use vehicles, by measuring on-road emissions through the use of remote sensing devices or by assessing vehicle emission performance through
§ 51.372 State Implementation Plan submissions.

(a) SIP submittals. The SIP shall address each of the elements covered in this subpart, including, but not limited to:

(1) A schedule of implementation of the program including interim milestones leading to mandatory testing. The milestones shall include, at a minimum:
   (i) Passage of enabling statutory or other legal authority;
   (ii) Proposal of draft regulations and promulgation of final regulations;
   (iii) Issuance of final specifications and procedures;
   (iv) Issuance of final Request for Proposals (if applicable);
   (v) Licensing or certifications of stations and inspectors;
   (vi) The date mandatory testing will begin for each model year to be covered by the program;
   (vii) The date full-stringency cutpoints will take effect;
   (viii) All other relevant dates;
   (2) An analysis of emission level targets for the program using the most current EPA mobile source emission model or an alternative approved by the Administrator showing that the program meets the performance standard described in § 51.351 or § 51.352 of this subpart, as applicable;
   (3) A description of the geographic coverage of the program, including ZIP codes if the program is not county-wide;
   (4) A detailed discussion of each of the required design elements, including provisions for Federal facility compliance;
   (5) Legal authority requiring or allowing implementation of the I/M program and providing either broad or specific authority to perform all required elements of the program;
   (6) Legal authority for I/M program operation until such time as it is no longer necessary (i.e., until a Section 175 maintenance plan without an I/M program is approved by EPA);
   (7) Implementing regulations, interagency agreements, and memoranda of understanding; and
   (8) Evidence of adequate funding and resources to implement all aspects of the program.

(b) Submittal schedule. The SIP shall be submitted to EPA according to the following schedule—

(1) States shall submit a SIP revision by November 15, 1992 which includes the schedule required in paragraph...
(a)(1) of this section and a formal commitment from the Governor to the adoption and implementation of an I/M program meeting all requirements of this subpart.

(2) A SIP revision, including all necessary legal authority and the items specified in (a)(1) through (a)(8) of this section, shall be submitted no later than November 15, 1993.

(3) States shall revise SIPs as EPA develops further regulations. Revisions to incorporate on-board diagnostic checks in the I/M program shall be submitted by August 6, 1998.

(c) Redesignation requests. Any non-attainment area that EPA determines would otherwise qualify for redesignation from nonattainment to attainment shall receive full approval of a State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal under Sections 182(a)(2)(B) or 182(b)(4) if the submittal contains the following elements:

(1) Legal authority to implement a basic I/M program (or enhanced if the State chooses to opt up) as required by this subpart. The legislative authority for an I/M program shall allow the adoption of implementing regulations without requiring further legislation.

(2) A request to place the I/M plan (if no I/M program is currently in place or if an I/M program has been terminated,) or the I/M upgrade (if the existing I/M program is to continue without being upgraded) into the contingency measures portion of the maintenance plan upon redesignation.

(3) A contingency measure consisting of a commitment by the Governor or the Governor's designee to adopt or consider adopting regulations to implement an I/M program to correct a violation of the ozone or CO standard or other air quality problem, in accordance with the provisions of the maintenance plan.

(4) A contingency commitment that includes an enforceable schedule for adoption and implementation of the I/M program, and appropriate milestones. The schedule shall include the date for submission of a SIP meeting all of the requirements of this subpart. Schedule milestones shall be listed in months from the date EPA notifies the State that it is in violation of the ozone or CO standard or any earlier date specified in the State plan. Unless the State, in accordance with the provisions of the maintenance plan, chooses not to implement I/M, it must submit a SIP revision containing an I/M program no more than 18 months after notification by EPA.

(d) Basic areas continuing operation of I/M programs as part of their maintenance plan without implemented upgrades shall be assumed to be 80% as effective as an implemented, upgraded version of the same I/M program design, unless a State can demonstrate using operating information that the I/M program is more effective than the 80% level.

(e) SIP submittals to correct violations. SIP submissions required pursuant to a violation of the ambient ozone or CO standard (as discussed in paragraph (c) of this section) shall address all of the requirements of this subpart. The SIP shall demonstrate that performance standards in either §51.351 or §51.352 shall be met using an evaluation date (rounded to the nearest January for carbon monoxide and July for hydrocarbons) seven years after the date EPA notifies the State that it is in violation of the ozone or CO standard or any earlier date specified in the State plan. Emission standards for vehicles subject to an IM240 test may be phased in during the program but full standards must be in effect for at least one complete test cycle before the end of the 5-year period. All other requirements shall take effect within 24 months of the date EPA notifies the State that it is in violation of the ozone or CO standard or any earlier date specified in the State plan. The phase-in allowances of §51.373(c) of this subpart shall not apply.


§51.373 Implementation deadlines.

I/M programs shall be implemented as expeditiously as practicable.

(a) Decentralized basic programs shall be fully implemented by January 1, 1994, and centralized basic programs shall be fully implemented by July 1, 1994. More implementation time may
§ 51.373

be approved by the Administrator if an enhanced I/M program is implemented.

(b) For areas newly required to implement basic I/M after promulgation of this subpart (as a result of failure to attain, reclassification, or redesignation) decentralized programs shall be fully implemented within one year of obtaining legal authority. Centralized programs shall be fully implemented within two years of obtaining legal authority. More implementation time may be approved by the Administrator if an enhanced I/M program is implemented.

(c) All requirements related to enhanced I/M programs shall be implemented by January 1, 1995, with the following exceptions.

(1) Areas switching from an existing test-and-repair network to a test-only network may phase in the change between January of 1995 and January of 1996. Starting in January of 1995 at least 30% of the subject vehicles shall participate in the test-only system (in States with multiple I/M areas, implementation is not required in every area by January 1995 as long as statewide, 30% of the subject vehicles are involved in testing) and shall be subject to the new test procedures (including the evaporative system checks, visual inspections, and tailpipe emission tests). By January 1, 1996, all applicable vehicle model years and types shall be included in the test-only system. During the phase-in period, all requirements of this subpart shall be applied to the test-only portion of the program; existing requirements may continue to apply for the test-and-repair portion of the program until it is phased out by January 1, 1996.

(2) Areas starting new test-only programs and those with existing test-only programs may also phase in the new test procedures between January 1, 1995 and January 1, 1996. Other program requirements shall be fully implemented by January 1, 1995.

(d) In the case of areas newly required to implement enhanced I/M after promulgation of this subpart (as a result of failure to attain, reclassification, or nonattainment designation) enhanced I/M shall be implemented within 24 months of obtaining legal authority.

(e) Legal authority for the implementing agency or agencies to implement and enforce an I/M program consistent with this subpart shall be obtained from the State legislature or local governing body in the first legislative session after November 5, 1992, or after being newly required to implement or upgrade an I/M program as in paragraph (b) or (c) of this section, including sessions already in progress if at least 21 days remain before the final bill submittal deadline.

(f) Areas that choose to implement an enhanced I/M program only meeting the requirements of § 51.351(h) shall fully implement the program no later than July 1, 1999. The availability and use of this late start date does not relieve the area of the obligation to meet the requirements of § 51.351(h)(11) by the end of 1999.

(g) On-Board Diagnostic checks shall be implemented in all basic, low enhanced and high enhanced areas as part of the I/M program by January 1, 2002. Alternatively, states may elect to phase-in OBD-I/M testing for one test cycle by using the OBD-I/M check to screen clean vehicles from tailpipe testing and require repair and retest for only those vehicles which proceed to fail the tailpipe test. An additional alternative is also available to states with regard to the deadline for mandatory testing, repair, and retesting of vehicles based upon the OBD-I/M check. Under this third option, if a state can show good cause (and the Administrator takes notice-and-comment action to approve this good cause showing), up to an additional 12 months’ extension may be granted, establishing an alternative startdate for such states of no later than January 1, 2003. States choosing to make this showing will also have available to them the phase-in approach described in this section, with the one-cycle time limit to begin coincident with the alternative start date established by Administrator approval of the showing, but no later than January 1, 2003. The showing of good cause (and its approval
or disapproval) will be addressed on a case-by-case basis.


APPENDIX A TO SUBPART S—CALIBRATION, ADJUSTMENTS AND QUALITY CONTROL

(I) Steady-State Test Equipment

States may opt to use transient emission test equipment for steady-state tests and follow the quality control requirements in paragraph (II) of this appendix instead of the following requirements.

(a) Equipment shall be calibrated in accordance with the manufacturers’ instructions.

(b) Prior to each test. (1) Hydrocarbon hang-up check. Immediately prior to each test the analyzer shall automatically perform a hydrocarbon hang-up check. If the HC reading, when the probe is sampling ambient air, exceeds 20 ppm, the system shall be purged with clean air or zero gas. The analyzer shall be inhibited from continuing the test until HC levels drop below 20 ppm.

(2) Automatic zero and span. The analyzer shall conduct an automatic zero and span check prior to each test. The span check shall include the HC, CO, and CO2 channels, and the NO and O2 channels, if present. If zero and/or span drift cause the signal levels to move beyond the adjustment range of the analyzer, it shall lock out from testing.

(3) Low flow. The system shall lock out from testing if sample flow is below the acceptable level as defined in paragraph (I)(b)(6) of appendix D to this subpart.

(4) Leak check. A system leak check shall be performed within twenty-four hours before the test in low volume stations (those performing less than the 4,000 inspections per year) and within four hours in high-volume stations (4,000 or more inspections per year) and may be performed in conjunction with the gas calibration described in paragraph (I)(d)(1) of this appendix. If a leak check is not performed within the preceding twenty-four hours in low volume stations and within four hours in high-volume stations or if the analyzer fails the leak check, the analyzer shall lock out from testing. The leak check shall be a procedure demonstrated to effectively check the sample hose and probe for leaks and shall be performed in accordance with good engineering practices. An error of more than ±2% of the reading using low range span gas shall cause the analyzer to lock out from testing and shall require repair of leaks.

(d) Gas calibration. (1) On each operating day in high-volume stations, analyzers shall automatically require and successfully pass a two-point gas calibration for HC, CO, and CO2 and shall continually compensate for changes in barometric pressure. Calibration shall be checked within four hours before the test and the analyzer adjusted if the reading is more than 2% different from the span gas value. In low-volume stations, analyzers shall undergo a two-point calibration within seventy-two hours before each test, unless changes in barometric pressure are compensated for automatically and statistical process control demonstrates equal or better quality control using different frequencies. Gas calibration shall be accomplished by introducing span gas that meets the requirements of paragraph (I)(d)(3) of this appendix into the analyzer through the calibration port. If the analyzer reads the span gas within the allowable tolerance range (i.e., the square root of sum of the squares of the span gas tolerance described in paragraph (I)(d)(3) of this appendix and the calibration tolerance, which shall be equal to 2%), no adjustment of the analyzer is necessary. The gas calibration procedure shall correct readings that exceed the allowable tolerance range to the center of the allowable tolerance range. The pressure in the sample cell shall be the same with the calibration gas flowing during calibration as with the sample gas flowing during sampling. If the system is not calibrated, or the system fails the calibration check, the analyzer shall lock out from testing.

(2) Span points. A two point gas calibration procedure shall be followed. The span shall be accomplished at one of the following pairs of span points:

(A) 300—ppm propane (HC)
   1.0—% carbon monoxide (CO)
   6.0—% carbon dioxide (CO2)
   1000—ppm nitric oxide (if equipped with NO)
   1200—ppm propane (HC)
   4.0—% carbon monoxide (CO)
   12.0—% carbon dioxide (CO2)
   3000—ppm nitric oxide (if equipped with NO)

(B) 300—ppm propane
   0.0—% carbon monoxide
   0.0—% carbon dioxide
   0—ppm nitric oxide (if equipped with NO)
   600—ppm propane (HC)
   1.6—% carbon monoxide (CO)
   11.0—% carbon dioxide (CO2)
   1200—ppm nitric oxide (if equipped with NO)

(3) Span gases. The span gases used for the gas calibration shall be traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards ±2%, and shall be within two percent of the span points specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this appendix. Zero gases shall conform to the specifications given in §86.114-79(a)(5) of this chapter.

(e) Dynamometer checks—(1) Monthly check. Within one month preceding each loaded test, the accuracy of the roll speed indicator-
shall be verified and the dynamometer shall be checked for proper power absorber settings.

(2) *Semi-annual check.* Within six months preceding each loaded test, the road-load response of the variable-curve dynamometer or the frictional power absorption of the dynamometer shall be checked by a coast down procedure similar to that described in §86.118-78 of this chapter. The check shall be done at 30 mph, and a power absorption load setting to generate a total horsepower (hp) of 4.1 hp. The coast down time from 45 mph to 15 mph shall be within ±1 second of the time calculated by the following equation:

\[
\text{Coast Down Time} = \frac{0.0508 \times W}{\text{HP}}
\]

where W is the total inertia weight as represented by the weight of the rollers (excluding free rollers), and any inertia flywheels used, measured in pounds. If the coast down time is not within the specified tolerance the dynamometer shall be taken out of service and corrective action shall be taken.

(I) *Other checks.* In addition to the above periodic checks, these shall also be used to verify system performance under the following special circumstances.

(1) *Gas Calibration.* (A) Each time the analyzer electronic or optical systems are re-paired or replaced, a gas calibration shall be performed prior to returning the unit to service.

(B) In high-volume stations, monthly multi-point calibrations shall be performed. Low-volume stations shall perform multi-point calibrations every six months. The calibration curve shall be checked at 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80% of full scale and adjusted or repaired if the specifications in appendix D(1)(b)(1) to this subpart are not met.

(2) *Leak checks.* Each time the sample line integrity is broken, a leak check shall be performed prior to testing.

(II) *Transient Test Equipment*

(a) *Dynamometer.* Once per week, the calibration of each dynamometer and each fly wheel shall be checked by a dynamometer coast-down procedure comparable to that in §86.118-78 of this chapter between the speeds of 65 to 45 mph, and between 30 to 20 mph. All rotating dynamometer components shall be included in the coast-down check for the inertia weight selected. For dynamometers with uncoupled rolls, the uncoupled rollers may undergo a separate coast-down check. If a vehicle is used to motor the dynamometer to the beginning coast-down speed, the vehicle shall be lifted off the dynamometer rolls before the coast-down test begins. If the difference between the measured coast-down time and the theoretical coast-down time is greater than ±1 second, the system shall lock out, until corrective action brings the dynamometer into calibration.

(b) *Constant volume sampler.* (1) The constant volume sampler (CVS) flow calibration shall be checked daily by a procedure that identifies deviations in flow from the true value. Deviations greater than ±2% shall be corrected.

(2) The sample probe shall be cleaned and checked at least once per month. The main CVS venturi shall be cleaned and checked at least once per year.

(3) Verification that flow through the sample probe is adequate for the design shall be done daily. Deviations greater than the design tolerances shall be corrected.

(c) *Analyzer system—(1) Calibration checks.*

(A) Upon initial operation, calibration curves shall be generated for each analyzer. The calibration curve shall consider the entire range of the analyzer as one curve. At least 6 calibration points plus zero shall be used in the lower portion of the range corresponding to an average concentration of approximately 2 gpm for HC, 30 gpm for NOx and 400 gpm for CO2. For the case where a low and a high range analyzer is used, the high range analyzer shall use at least 6 calibration points plus zero in the lower portion of the high range scale corresponding to approximately 100% of the full-scale value of the low range analyzer. For all analyzers, at least 6 calibration points shall also be used to define the calibration curve in the region above the 6 lower calibration points. Gas dividers may be used to obtain the intermediate points for the general range classifications specified. The calibration curves generated shall be a polynomial of no greater order than 4th order, and shall fit the data within 0.5% at each calibration point.

(B) For all calibration curves, curve checks, span adjustments, and span checks, the zero gas shall be considered a down-scale reference gas, and the analyzer zero shall be set at the trace concentration value of the specific zero gas used.
(B) After the up-scale span check, each analyzer in a given facility shall analyze a sample of a random concentration corresponding to approximately 0.5 to 3 times the cut point (in gpm) for the constituent. The value of the random sample may be determined by a gas blender. The deviation in analysis from the sample concentration for each analyzer shall be recorded and compared to the historical mean and standard deviation for the analyzers at the facility and at all facilities. Any reading exceeding 3 sigma shall cause the analyzer to lock out.

(4) Flame ionization detector check. Upon initial operation, and after maintenance to the detector, each Flame Ionization Detector (FID) shall be checked, and adjusted if necessary, for proper peaking and characterization. Procedures described in SAE Paper No. 770141 are recommended for this purpose. A copy of this paper may be obtained from the Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc. (SAE), 400 Commonwealth Drive, Warrendale, Pennsylvania, 15096-0001. Additionally, every month the response of each FID to a methane concentration of approximately 50 ppm CH₄ shall be checked. If the response is outside of the range of 1.10 to 1.20, corrective action shall be taken to bring the FID response within this range. The response shall be computed by the following formula:

\[
\text{Ratio of Methane Response} = \frac{\text{FID response in ppmC}}{\text{ppm methane in cylinder}}
\]

(5) Spanning frequency. The zero and up-scale span point shall be checked, and adjusted if necessary, at 2 hour intervals following the daily mid-scale curve check. If the zero or the up-scale span point drifts by more than 2% for the previous check (except for the first check of the day), the system shall lock out, and corrective action shall be taken to bring the system into compliance.

(6) Spanning limit checks. The tolerance on the adjustment of the up-scale span point is 0.4% of point. A software algorithm to perform the span adjustment and subsequent calibration curve adjustment shall be used. However, software up-scale span adjustments greater than ±10% shall cause the system to lock out, requiring system maintenance.

(7) Integrator checks. Upon initial operation, and every three months thereafter, emissions from a randomly selected vehicle with official test value greater than 60% of the standard (determined retrospectively) shall be simultaneously sampled by the normal integration method and by the bag method in each lane. The data from each method shall be put into a historical database for determining normal and deviant performance for each test lane, facility, and all facilities combined. Specific deviations exceeding 5% shall require corrective action.

(8) Interference. CO and CO₂ analyzers shall be checked prior to initial service, and on a yearly basis thereafter, for water interference. The specifications and procedures used shall generally comply with either §86.122-78 or §86.321-79 of this chapter.

(9) NOₓ converter check. The converter efficiency of the NOₓ to NO converter shall be checked on a weekly basis. The check shall generally conform to §86.123-78 of this chapter, or EPA MVEL Form 305-01. Equivalent methods may be approved by the Administrator.

(10) NO, NOₓ flow balance. The flow balance between the NO and NOₓ test modes shall be checked weekly. The check may be combined with the NOₓ converter check as illustrated in EPA MVEL Form 305-01.

(11) Additional checks. Additional checks shall be performed on the HC, CO, CO₂ and NOₓ analyzers according to best engineering practices for the measurement technology used to ensure that measurements meet specified accuracy requirements.

(12) System artifacts (hang-up). Prior to each test a comparison shall be made between the background HC reading, the HC reading measured through the sample probe (if different), and the zero gas. Deviations from the zero gas greater than 10 parts per million carbon (ppmC) shall cause the analyzer to lock out.

(13) Ambient background. The average of the pre-test and post-test ambient background levels shall be compared to the permissible levels of 10 ppm HC, 20 ppm CO, and 1 ppm NOₓ. If the permissible levels are exceeded, the test shall be voided and corrective action taken to lower the ambient background concentrations.

(14) Analytical gases. Zero gases shall meet the requirements of §86.114-79(a)(5) of this chapter. NOₓ calibration gas shall be a single blend of nitrogen as the diluent. Calibration gas for the flame ionization detector shall be a single blend of propane with a diluent of air. Calibration gases for CO and CO₂ shall be single blends using nitrogen or air as a diluent. Multiple blends of HC, CO, and CO₂ in air may be used if shown to be stable and accurate.
APPENDIX B TO SUBPART S—TEST PROCEDURES

(I) Idle test

(a) General requirements.—(1) Exhaust gas sampling algorithms. The analysis of exhaust gas concentrations shall begin 10 seconds after the applicable test mode begins. Exhaust gas concentrations shall be analyzed at a minimum rate of two times per second. The measured value for pass/fail determinations shall be a simple running average of the measurements taken over five seconds.

(b) Pass/fail determination. A pass or fail determination shall be made for each applicable test mode based on a comparison of the short test standards contained in appendix C to this subpart, and the measured value for HC and CO as described in paragraph (I)(a)(1) of this appendix. A vehicle shall pass the test mode if any pair of simultaneous measured values for HC and CO are below or equal to the applicable short test standards. A vehicle shall fail the test mode if the values for either HC or CO, or both, in all simultaneous pairs of values are above the applicable standards.

(II) Evaporative System Integrity Test

(A) Systems that monitor evaporative system leaks shall be checked for integrity on a daily basis by sealing and pressurizing.

(B) Systems that monitor evaporative system leaks shall be checked for proper rate and total flow with three equally spaced points across the flow rate and the totalized flow range. Deviations exceeding the specified accuracy shall be corrected. The dynamometer quality assurance checks required under paragraph (II) of this appendix shall also apply to the dynamometer used for purge tests.

(III) Purge Analysis System

On a daily basis each purge flow meter shall be checked with a simulated purge flow against a reference flow measuring device with performance specifications equal to or better than those specified for the purge meter. The check shall include a mid-scale rate check, and a total flow check between 10 and 20 liters. Deviations greater than ±5% shall be corrected. On a monthly basis, the calibration of purge meters shall be checked for proper rate and total flow with three equally spaced points across the flow rate and the totalized flow range. Deviations exceeding the specified accuracy shall be corrected. The dynamometer quality assurance checks required under paragraph (II) of this appendix shall also apply to the dynamometer used for purge tests.

(IV) Evaporative System Integrity Test Equipment

(a) On a weekly basis pressure measurement devices shall be checked against a reference device with performance specifications equal to or better than those specified for the measurement device. Deviations exceeding the specified accuracy shall be corrected. Flow measurement devices, if any, shall be checked according to paragraph III of this appendix.

(b) Systems that monitor evaporative system leaks shall be checked for integrity on a daily basis by sealing and pressurizing.
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(3) Void test conditions. The test shall immediately end and any exhaust gas measurements shall be voided if the measured concentration of CO plus CO₂ falls below six percent or the vehicle’s engine stalls at any time during the test sequence.

(4) Multiple exhaust pipes. Exhaust gas concentrations from vehicle engines equipped with multiple exhaust pipes shall be sampled simultaneously.

(5) This test shall be immediately terminated upon reaching the overall maximum test time.

(b) Test sequence. (1) The test sequence shall consist of a first-chance test and a second-chance test as follows:

(i) The first-chance test, as described under paragraph (c) of this section, shall consist of an idle mode.

(ii) The second-chance test as described under paragraph (d) of this appendix shall be performed only if the vehicle fails the first-chance test.

(c) Test sequence.

(1) The test sequence shall begin only after the following requirements are met:

(i) The vehicle shall be tested in as-received condition with the transmission in neutral or park and all accessories turned off. The engine shall be at normal operating temperature (as indicated by a temperature gauge, temperature lamp, touch test on the radiator hose, or other visual observation for overheating).

(ii) For all pre-1996 model year vehicles, a tachometer shall be attached to the vehicle in accordance with the analyzer manufacturer’s instructions. For 1996 and newer model year vehicles the OBD data link connector will be used to monitor RPM. In the event that an OBD data link connector is not available or that an RPM signal is not available over the data link connector, a tachometer shall be used instead.

(iii) The sample probe shall be inserted into the vehicle’s tailpipe to a minimum depth of 10 inches. If the vehicle’s exhaust system prevents insertion to this depth, a tailpipe extension shall be used.

(iv) The measured concentration of CO plus CO₂ shall be greater than or equal to six percent.

(c) First-chance test. The test timer shall start (tt=0) when the conditions specified in paragraph (I)(b)(2) of this appendix are met. The first-chance test shall have an overall maximum test time of 145 seconds (tt=145). The first-chance test shall consist of an idle mode only.

(1) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the vehicle engine speed is between 350 and 1100 rpm. If engine speed exceeds 1100 rpm or falls below 350 rpm, the mode timer shall reset zero and resume timing. The minimum mode length shall be determined as described under paragraph (I)(c)(2) of this appendix. The maximum mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time (mt=90).
General requirements—(1) Exhaust gas sampling algorithm. The analysis of exhaust gas concentrations shall begin 10 seconds after the applicable test mode begins. Exhaust gas concentrations shall be analyzed at a rate of two times per second. The measured values for pass/fail determinations shall be a simple running average of the measurements taken over five seconds.

(2) Pass/fail determination. A pass or fail determination shall be made for each applicable test mode based on a comparison of the short test standards contained in appendix C to this subpart, and the measured value for HC and CO as described in paragraph (II)(a)(1) of this appendix. A vehicle shall pass the test mode if any pair of simultaneous values for HC and CO are below or equal to the applicable short test standards.

(II) Two Speed Idle Test
(a) General requirements—

(1) Exhaust gas sampling algorithm. The analysis of exhaust gas concentrations shall begin 10 seconds after the applicable test mode begins. Exhaust gas concentrations shall be analyzed at a rate of two times per second. The measured values for pass/fail determinations shall be a simple running average of the measurements taken over five seconds.

(2) Pass/fail determination. A pass or fail determination shall be made for each applicable test mode based on a comparison of the short test standards contained in appendix C to this subpart, and the measured value for HC and CO as described in paragraph (II)(a)(1) of this appendix. A vehicle shall pass the test mode if any pair of simultaneous values for HC and CO are below or equal to the applicable short test standards.

(2) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(i) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO. (ii) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), if prior to that time the criteria of paragraph (I)(c)(2)(i) of this appendix are not satisfied and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (I)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(iii) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (I)(c)(2)(i) and (ii) of this appendix are not met within an elapsed time of 30 seconds.

(iv) The vehicle shall fail the idle mode and the test shall be terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (I)(c)(2)(i) and (ii) of this appendix are not met within an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90). Alternatively, the vehicle may be failed if the provisions of paragraphs (I)(c)(2)(i) and (ii) of this appendix are not met within an elapsed time of 30 seconds.

(v) Optional. The vehicle may fail the first-chance test and the second-chance test shall be omitted if no exhaust gas concentration lower than 1800 ppm HC is found by an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30).

(d) Second-chance test. If the vehicle fails the first-chance test, the test timer shall reset to zero (tt=0) and a second-chance test shall be performed. The second-chance test shall have an overall maximum test time of 425 seconds (tt=425). The test shall consist of a preconditioning mode followed immediately by an idle mode.

(1) Preconditioning mode. The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the engine speed is between 2200 and 2800 rpm. The mode shall continue for an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180). If engine speed falls below 2200 rpm or exceeds 2800 rpm for more than five seconds in any one excursion, or 15 seconds over all excursions, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing.

(2) Idle mode. The engines of 1981–1987 Ford Motor Company and Honda vehicles. The engines of 1981–1987 Ford Motor Company vehicles and 1984–1985 Honda Preludes shall be shut off for not more than 10 seconds and restarted. This procedure may also be used for 1988–1989 Ford Motor Company vehicles but should not be used for other vehicles. The probe may be removed from the tailpipe or the sample pump turned off if necessary to reduce analyzer fouling during the restart procedure.

(ii) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the vehicle engine speed is between 350 and 1100 rpm. If engine speed exceeds 1100 rpm or falls below 350 rpm, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing. The minimum idle mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (I)(d)(2)(iii) of this appendix. The maximum idle mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time (mt=90).

(iii) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the idle mode shall be terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, at any point between an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (I)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(B) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), if prior to that time the criteria of paragraph (I)(d)(2)(iii)(A) of this appendix are not satisfied and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (I)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(C) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, at any point between an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (I)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(D) The vehicle shall fail the idle mode and the test shall be terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (I)(d)(2)(i)(A), (d)(2)(i)(B), and (d)(2)(i)(C) of this appendix are satisfied by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90).
A vehicle shall fail the test mode if the values for either HC or CO, or both, in all simultaneous pairs of values are above the applicable standards.

(b) Void test conditions. The test shall immediately end and any exhaust gas measurements shall be voided if the measured concentration of CO plus CO₂ falls below six percent or the vehicle’s engine stalls at any time during the test sequence.

(4) Multiple exhaust pipes. Exhaust gas concentrations from vehicle engines equipped with multiple exhaust pipes shall be sampled simultaneously.

(5) The test shall be immediately terminated upon reaching the overall maximum test time.

(b) Test sequence. (1) The test sequence shall consist of a first-chance test and a second-chance test as follows:

(i) The first-chance test, as described under paragraph (II)(c) of this appendix, shall consist of an idle mode followed by a high-speed mode.

(ii) The second-chance high-speed mode, as described under paragraph (II)(c) of this appendix, shall immediately follow the first-chance high-speed mode. It shall be performed only if the vehicle fails the first-chance test. The second-chance idle mode, as described in paragraph (II)(d) of this appendix, shall follow the second-chance high-speed mode and be performed only if the vehicle fails the idle mode of the first-chance test.

(ii) The test sequence shall begin only after the following requirements are met:

(i) The vehicle shall be tested in as-received condition with the transmission in neutral or park and all accessories turned off. The engine shall be at normal operating temperature (as indicated by a temperature gauge, temperature lamp, touch test on the radiator hose, or other visual observation for overheating).

(ii) For all pre-1996 model year vehicles, a tachometer shall be attached to the vehicle in accordance with the analyzer manufacturer’s instructions. For 1996 and newer model year vehicles the OBD data link connector will be used to monitor RPM. In the event that an OBD data link connector is not available or that an RPM signal is not available over the data link connector, a tachometer shall be used instead.

(iii) The sample probe shall be inserted into the vehicle’s tailpipe to a minimum depth of 10 inches. If the vehicle’s exhaust system prevents insertion to this depth, a tailpipe extension shall be used.

(iv) The measured concentration of CO plus CO₂ shall be greater than or equal to six percent.

(c) First-chance test and second-chance high-speed mode. The test timer shall start (tt=0) when the conditions specified in paragraph (b)(2) of this section are met. The first-chance test and second-chance high-speed mode shall have an overall maximum test time of 425 seconds (tt=425). The first-chance test shall consist of an idle mode followed immediately by a high-speed mode. This is followed immediately by an additional second-chance high-speed mode, if necessary.

(1) First-chance idle mode. (i) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the vehicle engine speed is between 350 and 1100 rpm. If engine speed exceeds 1100 rpm or falls below 350 rpm, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing. The minimum idle mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(ii) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the mode shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(B) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the mode shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix are satisfactory and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(C) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the mode shall be immediately terminated if, at any point between an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(D) The vehicle shall fail the idle mode and the mode shall be terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (II)(c)(1)(ii)(A), (B), and (C) of this appendix is satisfied by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90). Alternatively, the vehicle may be failed if the provisions of paragraphs (II)(c)(2)(i) and (ii) of this appendix are not met within an elapsed time of 30 seconds.

(E) Optional. The vehicle may fail the first-chance test and the second-chance test shall be omitted if no exhaust gas concentration less than 1800 ppm HC is found by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90).

(2) First-chance and second-chance high-speed modes. This mode includes both the first-chance and second-chance high-speed modes, and follows immediately upon termination of the first-chance idle mode.

(i) The mode timer shall reset (mt=0) when the vehicle engine speed is between 2200 and 2600 rpm. If engine speed falls below 2200 rpm
or exceeds 2800 rpm for more than two seconds in one excursion, or more than six seconds over all excursions within 30 seconds of the final measured value used in the pass/fail determination, the measured value shall be invalidated and the mode continued. If any excursion lasts for more than ten seconds, the mode timer shall reset to zero (mt=0) and timing resumed. The minimum high-speed mode length shall be determined as described under paragraphs (II)(c)(2)(i) and (ii) of this appendix. The maximum high-speed mode length shall be 180 seconds elapsed time (mt=180).

(ii) Ford Motor Company and Honda vehicles. For 1981–1987 model year Ford Motor Company vehicles and 1984–1985 model year Honda Preludes, the pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10) using the following procedure. This procedure may also be used for 1988–1989 Ford Motor Company vehicles but should not be used for other vehicles.

(A) A pass or fail determination, as described below, shall be used, for vehicles that passed the idle mode, to determine whether the high-speed test should be terminated prior to or at the end of an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180).

(i) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), the measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(ii) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (II)(c)(2)(ii)(A) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(iii) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180) if any measured values of HC and CO exhaust gas concentrations during the high-speed mode are greater than the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(B) A pass or fail determination shall be made for vehicles that failed the idle mode and the high-speed mode terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180) as follows:

(i) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the mode shall be terminated at an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180) if any measured values of HC and CO exhaust gas concentrations during the high-speed mode are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(ii) The vehicle shall fail the high-speed mode and the test shall be terminated if paragraph (II)(c)(2)(ii)(A) of this appendix is not satisfied by an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180).

(iii) All other light-duty motor vehicles. The pass/fail analysis for vehicles not specified in paragraph (II)(c)(2)(ii) of this appendix shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10) using the following procedure.

(A) A pass or fail determination, as described below, shall be used for vehicles that passed the idle mode, to determine whether the high-speed mode should be terminated prior to or at the end of an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180).

(1) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), any measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(2) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (II)(c)(2)(ii)(A) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(3) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the second-chance idle mode shall be terminated as follows:

(1) The vehicle shall pass the second-chance idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), any measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(II) Loaded Test

(a) General requirements—(1) Exhaust gas sampling algorithm. The analysis of exhaust gas concentrations shall begin 10 seconds after the applicable test mode begins. Exhaust gas concentrations shall be analyzed at a minimum rate of two times per second. The measured value for pass/fail determination shall be a simple running average of the measurements taken over five seconds.

(2) Pass/fail determination. A pass or fail determination shall be made for each applicable test mode based on a comparison of the short test standards contained in appendix C to this subpart and the measured value for HC and CO as described in paragraph (III)(a)(1) of this appendix. A vehicle shall pass the test mode if any pair of simultaneous values for HC and CO are below or equal to the applicable short test standards.

(i) The vehicle shall pass the second-chance idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (II)(d)(3)(i) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(ii) The vehicle shall pass the second-chance idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (II)(d)(3)(ii) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(iii) The vehicle shall pass the second-chance idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (II)(d)(3)(iii) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(iv) The vehicle shall pass the second-chance idle mode and the test shall be terminated if, at any point between an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (II)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(b) Measurements taken over five seconds. The analysis of simultaneous pairs of values shall be a simple running average of the measurements taken over five seconds.

(c) Second-chance idle mode. If the vehicle fails the first-chance idle mode and passes the high-speed mode, the test timer shall reset to zero (tt=0) and a second-chance idle mode shall commence. The second-chance idle mode shall have an overall maximum test time of 145 seconds (tt=145). The test shall consist of an idle mode only.

(1) The engines of 1981–1987 Ford Motor Company vehicles and 1984–1985 Honda Preludes shall be shut off for not more than 10 seconds and restarted. The probe may be removed from the tailpipe or the sample pump turned off if necessary to reduce analyzer fouling during the restart procedure. This procedure may also be used for 1988–1989 Ford Motor Company vehicles but should not be used for other vehicles.

(2) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the vehicle engine speed is between 350 and 1100 rpm. If the engine speed exceeds 1100 rpm or falls below 350 rpm the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing. The minimum second-chance idle mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (II)(d)(3) of this appendix. The maximum second-chance idle mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time (mt=90).

(3) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the second-chance idle mode shall be terminated as follows:

(i) The vehicle shall pass the second-chance idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), any measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(ii) The vehicle shall pass the second-chance idle mode and the test shall be terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10), the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards.
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(3) Void test conditions. The test shall immediately end and any exhaust gas measurements shall be voided if the measured concentration of CO plus CO\(_2\) falls below six percent or the vehicle engine stalls at any time during the test sequence.

(4) Multiple exhaust pipes. Exhaust gas concentrations from vehicle engines equipped with multiple exhaust pipes shall be sampled simultaneously.

(5) The test shall be immediately terminated upon reaching the overall maximum test time.

(b) Test sequence. (1) The test sequence shall consist of a loaded mode using a chassis dynamometer followed immediately by an idle mode as described under paragraphs (III)(c)(1) and (2) of this appendix.

(2) The test sequence shall begin only after the following requirements are met:

(i) The dynamometer shall be warmed up, in stabilized operating condition, adjusted, and calibrated in accordance with the procedures of appendix A to this subpart. Prior to each test, variable-curve dynamometers shall be checked for proper setting of the road-load indicator or road-load controller.

(ii) The vehicle shall be tested in as-received condition with all accessories turned off. The engine shall be at normal operating temperature (as indicated by a temperature gauge, temperature lamp, touch test on the radiator hose, or other visual observation for overheating).

(iii) The vehicle shall be operated during each mode of the test with the gear selector in the following position:

(A) In drive for automatic transmissions and in second (or third if more appropriate) for manual transmissions for the loaded mode;

(B) In park or neutral for the idle mode.

(iv) For all pre-1996 model year vehicles, a tachometer shall be attached to the vehicle in accordance with the analyzer manufacturer’s instructions. For 1996 and newer model year vehicles the OBD data link connector will be used to monitor RPM. In the event that an OBD data link connector is not available or that an RPM signal is not available over the data link connector, a tachometer shall be used instead.

(v) The sample probe shall be inserted into the vehicle’s tailpipe to a minimum depth of 10 inches. If the vehicle’s exhaust system prevents insertion to this depth, a tailpipe extension shall be used.

(vi) The measured concentration of CO plus CO\(_2\) shall be greater than or equal to six percent.

(c) Overall test procedure. The test timer shall start (tt=0) when the conditions specified in paragraph (III)(b)(2) of this appendix are met and the mode timer initiates as specified in paragraph (III)(c)(1) of this appendix. The test sequence shall have an overall maximum test time of 240 seconds (tt=240). The test shall be immediately terminated upon reaching the overall maximum test time.

(1) Loaded mode—(i) Ford Motor Company and Honda vehicles. (Optional) The engines of 1981–1987 Ford Motor Company vehicles and 1984–1985 Honda Preludes shall be shut off for not more than 10 seconds and restarted. This procedure may also be used for 1989–1992 Ford Motor Company vehicles but should not be used for other vehicles. The probe may be removed from the tailpipe or the sample pump turned off if necessary to reduce analyzer fouling during the restart procedure.

(ii) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the dynamometer speed is within the limits specified for the vehicle engine size according to the following schedule. If the dynamometer speed falls outside the limits for more than five seconds in one excursion, or 15 seconds over all excursions, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing. The minimum mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (III)(c)(1)(ii)(A) of this appendix. The maximum mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time (mt=90).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gasoline engine size (cylinders)</th>
<th>Roll speed (mph)</th>
<th>Normal loading (brake horsepower)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 or less</td>
<td>22–25</td>
<td>2.8–4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>29–32</td>
<td>6.8–8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 or more</td>
<td>32–35</td>
<td>8.4–10.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iii) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the loaded mode and the mode shall be immediately terminated if, at any point between an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

(B) The vehicle shall fail the loaded mode and the mode shall be terminated if paragraph (III)(c)(1)(ii)(A) of this appendix is not satisfied by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90).

(C) Optional. The vehicle may fail the loaded mode and any subsequent idle mode shall be omitted if no exhaust gas concentration less than 1800 ppm HC is found by an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30).

(2) Idle mode—(i) Ford Motor Company and Honda vehicles. (Optional) The engines of 1983–1987 Ford Motor Company vehicles and 1984–1985 Honda Preludes shall be shut off for not more than 10 seconds and restarted. This procedure may also be used for 1989–1992 Ford Motor Company vehicles but should not be
used for other vehicles. The probe may be removed from the tailpipe or the sample pump turned off if necessary to reduce analyzer fouling during the restart procedure.

(ii) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the dynamometer speed is zero and the vehicle engine speed is between 350 and 1100 rpm. If engine speed exceeds 1100 rpm or falls below 350 rpm, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing. The minimum idle mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (II)(c)(2)(ii)(i) of this appendix. The maximum idle mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time (mt=90).

(iii) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(B) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (III)(c)(2)(iii)(A) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (III)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(C) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, at any point between an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards described in paragraph (III)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(D) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (III)(c)(2)(iii)(A), (c)(2)(iii)(B), and (c)(2)(iii)(C) of this appendix is satisfied by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90).

(IV) Preconditioned IDLE TEST

(a) General requirements—(1) Exhaust gas concentrations shall begin 10 seconds after the applicable test mode begins. Exhaust gas concentrations shall be analyzed at a minimum rate of two times per second. The measured value for pass/fail determinations shall be a simple running average of the measurements taken over five seconds.

(2) Pass/fail determination. A pass or fail determination shall be made for each applicable test mode based on a comparison of the short test standards contained in appendix C to this subpart, and the measured value for HC and CO as described in paragraph (IV)(a)(1) of this appendix. A vehicle shall pass the test mode if any pair of simultaneous values for HC and CO are below or equal to the applicable short test standards. A vehicle shall fail the test mode if the values for either HC or CO, or both, in all simultaneous pairs of values are above the applicable standards.

(3) Void test conditions. The test shall immediately end and any exhaust gas measurements shall be voided if the measured concentration of CO plus CO2 falls below six percent or the vehicle’s engine stalls at any time during the test sequence.

(4) Multiple exhaust pipes. Exhaust gas concentrations from vehicle engines equipped with multiple exhaust pipes shall be sampled simultaneously.

(5) The test shall be immediately terminated upon reaching the overall maximum test time.

(b) Test sequence. (1) The test sequence shall consist of a first-chance test and a second-chance test as follows:

(i) The first-chance test, as described under paragraph (IV)(c) of this appendix, shall consist of a preconditioning mode followed by an idle mode.

(ii) The second-chance test, as described under paragraph (IV)(d) of this appendix, shall be performed only if the vehicle fails the first-chance test.

(2) The test sequence shall begin only after the following requirements are met:

(i) The vehicle shall be tested in as-received condition with the transmission in neutral or park and all accessories turned off. The engine shall be at normal operating temperature (as indicated by a temperature gauge, temperature lamp, touch test on the radiator hose, or other visual observation for overheating).

(ii) For all pre-1996 model year vehicles, a tachometer shall be attached to the vehicle in accordance with the analyzer manufacturer’s instructions. For 1996 and newer model year vehicles the OBD data link connector will be used to monitor RPM. In the event that an OBD data link connector is not available or that an RPM signal is not available over the data link connector, a tachometer shall be used instead.

(iii) The sample probe shall be inserted into the vehicle’s tailpipe to a minimum depth of 10 inches. If the vehicle’s exhaust system prevents insertion to this depth, a tailpipe extension shall be used.

(c) First-chance test. The test timer shall start (tt=0) when the conditions specified in paragraph (IV)(b)(2) of this appendix are met. The test shall have an overall maximum test time of 200 seconds (tt=200). The first-chance test shall consist of a preconditioning mode followed immediately by an idle mode.

(1) Preconditioning mode. The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the engine speed is between 2200 and 2800 rpm. The mode shall
Environmental Protection Agency

Pt. 51, Subpt. S, App. B

257

continue for an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30). If engine speed falls below 2200 rpm or exceeds 2800 rpm for more than five seconds in any one excursion, or 15 seconds over all excursions, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing.

(2) Idle mode. (i) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the vehicle engine speed is between 350 and 1100 rpm. If engine speed exceeds 1100 rpm or falls below 350 rpm, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing. The minimum idle mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (IV)(c)(2)(ii) of this appendix. The maximum idle mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time.

(ii) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(B) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (IV)(c)(2)(ii)(A) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (IV)(a)(2) of this section.

(C) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, at any point between an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (IV)(a)(2) of this section.

(D) The vehicle shall fail the idle mode and the test shall be terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (IV)(c)(2)(ii)(A), (B), and (C) of this appendix are satisfied by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90). Alternatively, the vehicle may be failed if the provisions of paragraphs (IV)(c)(2)(i)(A) and (B) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (IV)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(E) Optional. The vehicle may fail the first-chance test and the second-chance test shall be omitted if no exhaust gas concentration less than 1800 ppm HC is found at an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30).

(3) Second-chance test. If the vehicle fails the first-chance test, the test timer shall reset to zero and a second-chance test shall be performed. The second-chance test shall have an overall maximum test time of 425 seconds. The test shall consist of a preconditioning mode followed immediately by an idle mode.

(i) Preconditioning mode. The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when engine speed is between 2200 and 2800 rpm. The mode shall continue for an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180). If the engine speed falls below 2200 rpm or exceeds 2800 rpm for more than five seconds in any one excursion, or 15 seconds over all excursions, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing.

(ii) Idle mode—(i) Ford Motor Company and Honda vehicles. The engines of 1981–1987 Ford Motor Company vehicles and 1984–1985 Honda Preludes shall be shut off for not more than 10 seconds and then shall be restarted. The probe may be removed from the tailpipe or the sample pump turned off if necessary to reduce analyzer fouling during the restart procedure. This procedure may also be used for 1988–1989 Ford Motor Company vehicles but should not be used for other vehicles.

(ii) The vehicle shall start (mt=0) when the engine speed is between 350 and 1100 rpm. If the engine speed exceeds 1100 rpm or falls below 350 rpm, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing. The minimum idle mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (IV)(d)(2)(ii)(II) of this appendix. The maximum idle mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time.

(iii) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(B) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (IV)(d)(2)(ii)(A) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (IV)(a)(2) of this appendix. The maximum idle mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (IV)(d)(2)(ii)(II) of this appendix.

(C) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, at any point between an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (IV)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(D) The vehicle shall fail the idle mode and the test shall be terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (IV)(d)(2)(ii)(A), (B), and (C) of this appendix are satisfied by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90).

(V) Idle Test With Loaded Preconditioning

(a) General requirements—(1) Exhaust gas sampling algorithm. The analysis of exhaust gas concentrations shall begin 10 seconds after the applicable test mode begins. Exhaust gas concentrations shall be analyzed...
at a minimum rate of two times per second. The measured value for pass/fail determinations shall be a simple running average of the measurements taken over five seconds.

(2) **Pass/fail determination.** A pass or fail determination shall be made for each applicable test mode based on a comparison of the short test standards contained in appendix C to the applicable test mode, and the measured value for HC and CO as described in paragraph (V)(a)(1) of this appendix. A vehicle shall pass the test mode if any pair of simultaneous values for HC and CO are below or equal to the applicable short test standards. A vehicle shall fail the test mode if the values for either HC or CO, or both, in all simultaneous pairs of values are above the applicable standards.

(3) **Void test conditions.** The test shall immediately end and any exhaust gas measurements shall be voided if the measured concentration of CO plus CO\textsubscript{2} falls below six percent or the vehicle’s engine stalls at any time during the test sequence.

(4) **Multiple exhaust pipes.** Exhaust gas concentrations from vehicle engines equipped with multiple exhaust pipes shall be sampled simultaneously.

(5) The test shall be immediately terminated upon reaching the overall maximum test time.

(b) **Test sequence.** (1) The test sequence shall consist of a first-chance test and a second-chance test as follows:

(i) The first-chance test, as described under paragraph (V(c) of this appendix, shall consist of an idle mode.

(ii) The second-chance test as described under paragraph (V(d) of this appendix shall be performed only if the vehicle fails the first-chance test.

(2) The test sequence shall begin only after the following requirements are met:

(i) The dynamometer shall be warmed up, in stabilized operating condition, adjusted, and calibrated in accordance with the procedures of appendix A to this subpart. Prior to each test, variable-curve dynamometers shall be checked for proper setting of the road-load indicator or road-load controller.

(ii) The vehicle shall be tested in as-received condition with all accessories turned off. The engine shall be at normal operating temperature (as indicated by a temperature gauge, temperature lamp, touch test on the radiator hose, or other visual observation for overheating).

(iii) The vehicle shall be operated during each mode of the test with the gear selector in the following position:

(A) In drive for automatic transmissions and in second (or third if more appropriate) for manual transmissions for the loaded preconditioning mode;

(B) In park or neutral for the idle mode.

(iv) For all pre-1996 model year vehicles, a tachometer shall be attached to the vehicle in accordance with the analyzer manufacturer’s instructions. For 1996 and newer model year vehicles the OBD data link connector will be used to monitor RPM. In the event that an OBD data link connector is not available or that an RPM signal is not available over the data link connector, a tachometer shall be used instead.

(6) The sample probe shall be inserted into the vehicle’s tailpipe to a minimum depth of 10 inches. If the vehicle’s exhaust system prevents insertion to this depth, a tailpipe extension shall be used.

(v) **The measured concentration of CO plus CO\textsubscript{2} shall be greater than or equal to six percent.**

(c) **First-chance test.** The test timer shall start (tt=0) when the conditions specified in paragraph (V)(b)(2) of this appendix are met. The test shall have an overall maximum test time of 155 seconds (tt=155). The first-chance test shall consist of an idle mode only.

(i) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the vehicle engine speed is between 350 and 1100 rpm. If the engine speed exceeds 1100 rpm or falls below 350 rpm, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing. The minimum mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (V)(c)(2) of this appendix. The maximum mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time (mt=90).

(ii) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(i) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(ii) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (V)(c)(2)(i) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (V)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(iii) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, at any point between an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (V)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(iv) The vehicle shall fail the idle mode and the test shall be terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (V)(c)(2)(i), (ii), and (iii) of this appendix is satisfied by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90). Alternatively, the vehicle may be failed if the provisions of paragraphs (V)(c)(2) (i) and (ii) of this appendix are not met within an elapsed time of 30 seconds.
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(v) Optional. The vehicle may fail the first-chance test and the second-chance test shall be omitted if no exhaust gas concentration less than 1800 ppm HC is found at an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30).

(d) Second-chance test. If the vehicle fails the first-chance test, the test timer shall reset to zero (tt=0) and a second-chance test shall be performed. The second-chance test shall have an overall maximum test time of 200 seconds (tt=200). The test shall consist of a preconditioning mode using a chassis dynamometer, followed immediately by an idle mode.

(1) Preconditioning mode. The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the dynamometer speed is within the limits specified for the vehicle engine size in accordance with the following schedule. The mode shall continue for a minimum elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30). If the dynamometer speed falls outside the limits for more than five seconds in one excursion, or 15 seconds over all excursions, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing.

(2) Idle mode. (i) Ford Motor Company and Honda vehicles. (Optional) The engines of 1961–1967 Ford Motor Company vehicles and 1964–1969 Honda Preludes shall be shut off for not more than 10 seconds and restarted. This procedure may also be used for 1988–1989 Ford Motor Company vehicles but should not be used for other vehicles. The probe may be removed from the tailpipe or the sample pump turned off if necessary to reduce analyzer fouling during the restart procedure.

(ii) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the dynamometer speed is zero and the vehicle engine speed is between 350 and 1100 rpm. If the engine speed exceeds 1100 rpm or falls below 350 rpm, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing. The minimum idle mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (V)(d)(2)(ii)(A) of this appendix. The maximum idle mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time (mt=90).

(iii) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(B) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (V)(d)(2)(ii)(A) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (V)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(C) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (V)(d)(2)(ii)(A), (B), and (C) of this appendix is satisfied by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90).

(VI) Preconditioned Two Speed Idle Test

(a) General requirements. (1) Exhaust gas sampling algorithm. The analysis of exhaust gas concentrations shall begin 10 seconds after the applicable test mode begins. Exhaust gas concentrations shall be sampled at a minimum rate of two times per second. The measured value for pass/fail determinations shall be a simple running average of the measurements taken over five seconds.

(2) Pass/fail determination. A pass or fail determination shall be made for each applicable test mode based on a comparison of the short test standards contained in appendix C to this subpart, and the measured value for HC and CO are below or equal to the applicable short test standards. A vehicle shall fail the test mode if the values for either HC or CO, or both, in all simultaneous pairs of values are above the applicable standards.

(3) Void test conditions. The test shall immediately end and any exhaust gas measurements shall be voided if the measured concentration of CO plus CO₂ falls below six percent or the vehicle’s engine stalls at any time during the test sequence.

(4) Multiple exhaust pipes. Exhaust gas concentrations from vehicle engines equipped with multiple exhaust pipes shall be sampled simultaneously.

(5) The test shall be immediately terminated upon reaching the overall maximum test time.

(b) Test sequence. (1) The test sequence shall consist of a first-chance test and a second-chance test as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gasoline engine size (cylinders)</th>
<th>Roll speed (mph)</th>
<th>Normal loading (brake horse-power)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 or less</td>
<td>22-25</td>
<td>2.8-4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>29-32</td>
<td>6.8-8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 or more</td>
<td>32-35</td>
<td>8.4-10.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(i) The first-chance test, as described under paragraph (VI)(c) of this appendix, shall consist of a first-chance high-speed mode followed immediately by a first-chance idle mode.

(ii) The second-chance test as described under paragraph (VI)(d) of this appendix shall be performed only if the vehicle fails the first-chance test.

(2) The test sequence shall begin only after the following requirements are met:

(i) The vehicle shall be tested in as-received condition with the transmission in neutral or park and all accessories turned off. The engine shall be at normal operating temperature (as indicated by a temperature gauge, temperature lamp, touch test on the radiator hose, or other visual observation for overheating).

(ii) For all pre-1996 model year vehicles, a tachometer shall be attached to the vehicle in accordance with the analyzer manufacturer's instructions. For 1996 and newer model year vehicles the OBD data link connector will be used to monitor rpm. In the event that an OBD data link connector is not available or that an rpm signal is not available over the data link connector, a tachometer shall be used instead.

(iii) The sample probe shall be inserted into the vehicle's tailpipe to a minimum depth of 10 inches. If the vehicle's exhaust system prevents insertion to this depth, a tailpipe extension shall be used.

(iv) The measured concentration of CO plus CH\textsubscript{4} shall be greater than or equal to six percent.

(c) First-chance test. The test timer shall start (tt=0) when the conditions specified in paragraph (VI)(b)(2) of this appendix are met. The test shall have an overall maximum test time of 250 seconds (tt=250). The first-chance test shall consist of a high-speed mode followed immediately by an idle mode.

(1) First-chance high-speed mode. (i) The mode shall be tested between 2200 and 2800 rpm. If the engine speed falls below 2200 rpm or exceeds 2800 rpm for more than two seconds in one excursion, or more than six seconds over all excursions within 30 seconds of the final measured value used in the pass/fail determination, the measured value shall be invalidated and the mode continued. If any excursion lasts for more than ten seconds, the mode shall be terminated at zero (mt=0) and timing resumed. The high-speed mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time (mt=90).

(ii) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the mode shall be terminated at an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90) if any measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (VI)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(B) The vehicle shall fail the high-speed mode and the mode shall be terminated if either of the provisions of paragraphs (VI)(c)(1)(i)(A) of this appendix are not satisfied by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90).

(C) Optional. The vehicle shall fail the first-chance test and any subsequent test shall be omitted if no exhaust gas concentration lower than 1800 ppm HC is found at an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30).

(2) First-chance idle mode. (i) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the vehicle engine speed is between 350 and 1100 rpm. If the engine speed exceeds 1100 rpm or falls below 350 rpm, the mode timer shall reset to zero and resume timing. The minimum first-chance idle mode length shall be determined as described in paragraph (VI)(c)(2)(ii) of this appendix. The maximum first-chance idle mode length shall be 90 seconds elapsed time (mt=90).

(ii) The pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.3 percent CO.

(B) The vehicle shall fail the idle mode and the test shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (VI)(c)(2)(ii)(A) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (VI)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(C) The vehicle shall pass the idle mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, any point between an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) and 90 seconds (mt=90), the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (VI)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(D) The vehicle shall fail the idle mode and the test shall be terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (VI)(c)(2)(ii) (A), (B), and (C) of this appendix is satisfied by an elapsed time of 90 seconds (mt=90). Alternatively, the vehicle may be failed if the provisions of paragraphs (VI)(c)(2)(i) and (ii) of this appendix are not met within the elapsed time of 30 seconds.

(d) Second-chance test. (1) If the vehicle fails either mode of the first-chance test, the test timer shall reset to zero (tt=0) and a second-chance test shall commence. The second-chance test shall be performed based on the first-chance test failure mode or modes as follows:
(A) If the vehicle failed only the first-chance high-speed mode, the second-chance test shall consist of a second-chance high-speed mode as described in paragraph (VI)(d)(2) of this appendix. The overall maximum test time shall be 280 seconds (tt=280).

(B) If the vehicle failed only the first-chance idle mode, the second-chance test shall consist of a second-chance preconditioning mode followed immediately by a second-chance idle mode as described in paragraphs (VI)(d)(3) and (4) of this appendix. The overall maximum test time shall be 425 seconds (tt=425).

(C) If both the first-chance high-speed mode and first-chance idle mode were failed, the second-chance test shall consist of the second-chance high-speed mode followed immediately by the second-chance idle mode as described in paragraphs (VI)(d)(2) and (4) of this appendix. However, if during this second-chance procedure the vehicle fails the second-chance high-speed mode, then the second-chance idle mode may be eliminated. The overall maximum test time shall be 425 seconds (tt=425).

(2) Second-chance high-speed mode—(i) Ford Motor Company and Honda vehicles. The engines of 1981–1987 Ford Motor Company vehicles and 1984–1985 Honda Preludes shall be shut off for not more than 10 seconds and then shall be restarted. The probe may be removed from the tailpipe or the sample pump turned off if necessary to reduce analyzer fouling during the restart procedure. This procedure may also be used for 1988–1989 Ford Motor Company vehicles but should not be used for other vehicles.

(ii) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the vehicle engine speed is between 2200 and 2800 rpm. If the engine speed falls below 2200 rpm or exceeds 2800 rpm for more than two seconds in one excursion, or more than six seconds over all excursions within 30 seconds of the final measured value used in the pass/fail determination, the measured value shall be invalidated and the mode continued. The minimum second-chance high-speed mode length shall be determined as described in paragraphs (VI)(d)(2) (iii) and (iv) of this appendix. If any excursion lasts for more than ten seconds, the mode timer shall reset to zero (mt=0) and timing resumed. The maximum second-chance high-speed mode length shall be 180 seconds elapsed time (mt=180).

(iii) In the case where the second-chance high-speed mode is not followed by the second-chance idle mode, the pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the test shall be immediately terminated if, prior to an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30), measured values are less than or equal to 100 ppm HC and 0.5 percent CO.

(B) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the test shall be terminated if at the end of an elapsed time of 30 seconds (mt=30) if, prior to that time, the criteria of paragraph (VI)(d)(2)(iii)(A) of this appendix are not satisfied, and the measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (VI)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(C) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the test shall be terminated if none of the provisions of paragraphs (VI)(d)(2)(iii) (A), (B), and (C) of this appendix is satisfied by an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180).

(iv) In the case where the second-chance high-speed mode is followed by the second-chance idle mode, the pass/fail analysis shall begin after an elapsed time of 10 seconds (mt=10). A pass or fail determination shall be made for the vehicle and the mode shall be terminated as follows:

(A) The vehicle shall pass the high-speed mode and the mode shall be terminated at the end of an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180) if any measured values are less than or equal to the applicable short test standards as described in paragraph (VI)(a)(2) of this appendix.

(B) The vehicle shall fail the high-speed mode and the mode shall be terminated if paragraph (VI)(d)(2)(iv)(A) of this appendix is not satisfied by an elapsed time of 180 seconds (mt=180).

(3) Second-chance preconditioning mode. The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the engine speed is between 2200 and 2800 rpm. If the engine speed falls below 2200 rpm or exceeds 2800 rpm for more than five seconds in any one excursion, or 15 seconds over all excursions, the mode timer shall reset to zero and timing resumed.

(4) Second-chance idle mode—(i) Ford Motor Company and Honda vehicles. The engines of 1981–1987 Ford Motor Company vehicles and 1984–1985 Honda Preludes shall be shut off for not more than 10 seconds and then shall be restarted. The probe may be removed from the tailpipe or the sample pump turned off if necessary to reduce analyzer fouling during the restart procedure. This procedure may also be used for 1988–1989 Ford Motor Company vehicles but should not be used for other vehicles.

(ii) The mode timer shall start (mt=0) when the vehicle engine speed is between 350 rpm and 1800 rpm.
(II) Short Test Standards for 1981 and Later Model Year Light-Duty Trucks

For 1981 and later model year light-duty trucks for which any of the test procedures described in appendix B to this subpart are utilized to establish Emissions Performance Warranty eligibility (i.e., 1981 and later model year light-duty trucks at low altitude and 1982 and later model year trucks at high altitude to which high altitude certification standards of 2.6 gpm HC and 26 gpm CO or less apply), short test emissions for all tests and test modes shall not exceed:

(a) Hydrocarbons: 220 ppm as hexane.
(b) Carbon monoxide: 1.2%.

APPENDIX D TO SUBPART S—STEADY-STATE SHORT TEST EQUIPMENT

(1) Steady-State Test Exhaust Analysis System

(a) Sampling system—(1) General requirements. The sampling system for steady-state short tests shall, at a minimum, consist of a tailpipe probe, a flexible sample line, a water removal system, particulate trap, sample pump, flow control components, tachometer or dynamometer, analyzers for HC, CO, and CO$_2$, and digital displays for exhaust concentrations of HC, CO, and CO$_2$ and engine rpm. The probe shall be capable of being inserted to a depth of at least ten inches into the tailpipe of the vehicle being tested, or into an extension boot if one is used. A digital display for dynamometer speed and load shall be included if the test procedures described in appendix B to this subpart, paragraphs (III) and (V), are conducted. Minimum specifications for optional NO analyzers are also described in this appendix. The analyzer system shall be able to test, as specified in at least one section in appendix B to this subpart, all model vehicles in service at the time of sale of the analyzer.

(2) Temperature operating range. The sampling system and all associated hardware shall be of a design certified to operate within the performance specifications described in paragraph (1)(b) of this appendix in ambient air temperatures ranging from 41 to 110 degrees Fahrenheit. The analyzer system shall, where necessary, include features to keep the sampling system within the specified range.

(3) Humidity operating range. The sampling system and all associated hardware shall be of a design certified to operate within the performance specifications described in paragraph (1)(b) of this appendix at a minimum of 80 percent relative humidity throughout the required temperature range.
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(4) Barometric pressure compensation. Barometric pressure compensation shall be provided. Compensation shall be made for elevations up to 6,000 feet (above mean sea level). At any given altitude and ambient conditions specified in paragraph (1)(b) of this appendix, errors due to barometric pressure changes of ±2 inches of mercury shall not exceed the accuracy limits specified in paragraph (1)(b) of this appendix.

(5) Dual sample probe requirements. When testing a vehicle with dual exhaust pipes, a dual sample probe of a design certified by the analyzer manufacturer to provide equal flow in each leg shall be used. The equal flow requirement is considered to be met if the flow rate in each leg of the probe has been measured under two sample pump flow rates (the normal rate and a rate equal to the onset of low flow), and if the flow rates in each of the legs are found to be equal to each other (within 15% of the flow rate in the leg having lower flow).

(6) System lockout during warm-up. Functional operation of the gas sampling unit shall remain disabled through a system lockout until the instrument meets stability and shall remain disabled through a system lockout of the gas sampling unit and span readings for HC, CO, and CO\(_2\) lower flow).

(7) Electromagnetic isolation and interference. Electromagnetic signals found in an automotive service environment shall not cause malfunctions or changes in the accuracy in the electronics of the analyzer system. The instrument design shall ensure that readings do not vary as a result of electromagnetic radiation and induction devices normally found in the automotive service environment, including high energy vehicle ignition systems, radio frequency transmission radiators, and building electrical systems.

(8) Vibration and shock protection. System operation shall be unaffected by the vibration and shock encountered under the normal operating conditions encountered in an automotive service environment.

(9) Propane equivalency factor. The propane equivalency factor shall be displayed in a manner that enables it to be viewed conveniently, while permitting it to be altered only by personnel specifically authorized to do so.

(a) Analyzers—(1) Accuracy. The analyzers shall be of a design certified to meet the following accuracy requirements when calibrated to the span points specified in appendix A to this subpart:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Noise</th>
<th>Repeatability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HC, ppm</td>
<td>0–400</td>
<td>±12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as hexane</td>
<td>401–1000</td>
<td>±30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1001–2000</td>
<td>±80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, %</td>
<td>0–2.00</td>
<td>±0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2), %</td>
<td>0–4.0</td>
<td>±0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.1–14.0</td>
<td>±0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.1–40.0</td>
<td>±0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.1–100.0</td>
<td>±0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.1–200.0</td>
<td>±0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200.1–400.0</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400.1–1000.0</td>
<td>±0.08</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000.1–2000.0</td>
<td>±0.06</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000.1–4000.0</td>
<td>±0.04</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Minimum analyzer display resolution. The analyzer electronics shall have sufficient resolution to achieve the following:

- HC: 1 ppm as hexane.
- CO: 0.01% CO.
- CO\(_2\): 0.1% CO\(_2\).
- NO: 1 ppm NO.
- RPM: 1 rpm.

(3) Response time. The response time from the probe to the display for HC, CO, and CO\(_2\) analyzers shall not exceed eight seconds to 90% of a step change in input. For NO analyzers, the response time shall not exceed twelve seconds to 90% of a step change in input.

(4) Display refresh rate. Dynamic information being displayed shall be refreshed at a minimum rate of twice per second.

(5) Interference effects. The interference effects for non-interest gases shall not exceed ±10 ppm for hydrocarbons, ±0.05 percent for carbon monoxide, ±0.20 percent for carbon dioxide, and ±0 pm for oxides of nitrogen.

(6) Low flow indication. The analyzer shall provide an indication when the sample flow is below the acceptable level. The sampling system shall be equipped with a flow meter (or equivalent) that shall indicate sample flow degradation when meter error exceeds three percent of full scale, or causes system response time to exceed 13 seconds to 90 percent of a step change in input, whichever is less.

(7) Engine speed detection. The analyzer shall utilize a tachometer capable of detecting engine speed in revolutions per minute (rpm) with a 0.5 second response time and an accuracy of ±3% of the true rpm.

(8) Test and mode timers. The analyzer shall be capable of simultaneously determining the amount of time elapsed in a test, and in a mode within that test.

(9) Sample rate. The analyzer shall be capable of measuring exhaust concentrations of gases specified in this section at a minimum rate of twice per second.

(c) Demonstration of conformity. The analyzer shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the inspection program manager, through acceptance testing procedures, to meet the requirements of this section and that it is capable of being maintained as required in appendix A to this subpart.
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(II) Steady-State Test Dynamometer

(a) The chassis dynamometer for steady-state short tests shall provide the following capabilities:

(1) Power absorption. The dynamometer shall be capable of applying a load to the vehicle’s driving tire surfaces at the horsepower and speed levels specified in paragraph (II)(b) of this appendix.

(2) Short-term stability. Power absorption at constant speed shall not drift more than ±0.5 horsepower (hp) during any single test mode.

(3) Roll weight capacity. The dynamometer shall be capable of supporting a driving axle weight up to four thousand (4,000) pounds or greater.

(4) Between roll wheel lifts. These shall be controllable and capable of lifting a minimum of four thousand (4,000) pounds.

(5) Roll brakes. Both rolls shall be locked when the wheel lift is up.

(6) Speed indications. The dynamometer speed display shall have a range of 0-60 mph, and a resolution and accuracy of at least 1 mph.

(7) Safety interlock. A roll speed sensor and safety interlock circuit shall be provided which prevents the application of the roll brakes and upward lift movement at any roll speed above 0.5 mph.

(b) The dynamometer shall produce the load speed relationships specified in paragraphs (III) and (V) of appendix B to this subpart.

(III) Transient Emission Test Equipment

[Reserved]

(IV) Evaporative System Purge Test Equipment

[Reserved]

(V) Evaporative System Integrity Test Equipment

[Reserved]

[57 FR 52987, Nov. 5, 1992, as amended at 58 FR 59367, Nov. 9, 1993]

APPENDIX E TO SUBPART S—TRANSIENT TEST DRIVING CYCLE

(I) Driver’s trace. All excursions in the transient driving cycle shall be evaluated by the procedures defined in §86.115-78(b)(1) and §86.118(c) of this chapter. Excursions exceeding these limits shall cause a test to be void. In addition, provisions shall be available to utilize cycle validation criteria, as described in §86.1341-90 of this chapter, for trace speed versus actual speed as a means to determine a valid test.

(II) Driving cycle. The following table shows the time speed relationship for the transient IM240 test procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second</th>
<th>MPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second</th>
<th>MPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>54.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>54.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>55.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>56.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>54.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subpart T—Conformity to State or Federal Implementation Plans of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects Developed, Funded or Approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Laws

§ 51.390 Implementation plan revision.

(a) States with areas subject to this subpart and part 93, subpart A, of this chapter must submit to the EPA and DOT a revision to their implementation plan which contains criteria and procedures for DOT, MPOs and other State or local agencies to assess the conformity of transportation plans, programs, and projects, consistent with this subpart and part 93, subpart A, of this chapter. This revision is to be submitted by November 25, 1994 (or within 12 months of an area’s redesignation from attainment to nonattainment, if the State has not previously submitted such a revision). Further revisions to the implementation plan required by amendments to part 93, subpart A, of this chapter must be submitted within 12 months of the date of publication of such final amendments. EPA will provide DOT with a 30-day comment period before taking action to approve or disapprove the submission. A State’s conformity provisions may contain criteria and procedures more stringent than the requirements described in this subpart and part 93, subpart A, of this chapter only if the State’s conformity provisions apply equally to non-federal as well as Federal entities.

(b) The Federal conformity rules under part 93, subpart A, of this chapter, in addition to any existing applicable State requirements, establish the conformity criteria and procedures necessary to meet the requirements of Clean Air Act section 176(c) until such time as EPA approves the conformity implementation plan revision required by this subpart. Following EPA approval of the State conformity provisions (or a portion thereof) in a revision to the applicable implementation plan, conformity determinations would be governed by the approved (or approved portion of the) State criteria and procedures. The Federal conformity regulations contained in part 93, subpart A, of this chapter would apply only for the portion, if any, of the State’s conformity provisions that is not approved by EPA. In addition, any previously applicable implementation plan conformity requirements remain enforceable until the State submits a revision to its applicable implementation plan to specifically remove them and that revision is approved by EPA.

(c) The implementation plan revision required by this section must meet all of the requirements of part 93, subpart A, of this chapter.

(d) In order for EPA to approve the implementation plan revision submitted to EPA and DOT under this subpart, the plan must address all requirements of part 93, subpart A, of this chapter in a manner which gives them full legal effect. In particular, the revision shall incorporate the provisions of the following sections of part 93, subpart A, of this chapter, in their entirety, in the order listed:

93.101, 93.102, 93.103, 93.104, 93.106, 93.109, 93.110, 93.111, 93.112, 93.113, 93.114, 93.115, 93.116, 93.117, 93.118, 93.119, 93.120, 93.121, 93.126, and 93.127.

Environmental Protection Agency

Subpart U—Economic Incentive Programs

Source: 59 FR 16710, Apr. 7, 1994, unless otherwise noted.

§ 51.490 Applicability.

(a) The rules in this subpart apply to any statutory economic incentive program (EIP) submitted to the EPA as an implementation plan revision to comply with sections 182(g)(3), 182(g)(5), 187(d)(3), or 187(g) of the Act. Such programs may be submitted by any authorized governmental organization, including States, local governments, and Indian governing bodies.

(b) The provisions contained in these rules, except as explicitly exempted, shall also serve as the EPA’s policy guidance on discretionary EIP’s submitted as implementation plan revisions for any purpose other than to comply with the statutory requirements specified in paragraph (a) of this section.

§ 51.491 Definitions.

Act means the Clean Air Act as amended November 15, 1990.

Actual emissions means the emissions of a pollutant from an affected source determined by taking into account actual emission rates associated with normal source operation and actual or representative production rates (i.e., capacity utilization and hours of operation).

Affected source means any stationary, area, or mobile source of a criteria pollutant(s) to which an EIP applies. This term applies to sources explicitly included at the start of a program, as well as sources that voluntarily enter (i.e., opt into) the program.

Allowable emissions means the emissions of a pollutant from an affected source determined by taking into account the most stringent of all applicable SIP emissions limits and the level of emissions consistent with source compliance with all Federal requirements related to attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS and the production rate associated with the maximum rated capacity and hours of operation (unless the source is subject to federally enforceable limits which restrict the operating rate, or hours of operation, or both).

Area sources means stationary and nonroad sources that are too small and/or too numerous to be individually included in a stationary source emissions inventory.

Attainment area means any area of the country designated or redesignated by the EPA at 40 CFR part 81 in accordance with section 107(d) as having attained the relevant NAAQS for a given criteria pollutant. An area can be an attainment area for some pollutants and a nonattainment area for other pollutants.

Attainment demonstration means the requirement in section 182(b)(1)(A) of the Act to demonstrate that the specific annual emissions reductions included in a SIP are sufficient to attain the primary NAAQS by the date applicable to the area.

Directionally-sound strategies are strategies for which adequate procedures to quantify emissions reductions or specify a program baseline are not defined as part of the EIP.

Discretionary economic incentive program means any EIP submitted to the EPA as an implementation plan revision for purposes other than to comply with the statutory requirements of sections 182(g)(3), 182(g)(5), 187(d)(3), or 187(g) of the Act.

Economic incentive program (EIP) means a program which may include State established emission fees or a system of marketable permits, or a system of State fees on sale or manufacture of products the use of which contributes to O₃ formation, or any combination of the foregoing or other similar measures, as well as incentives and requirements to reduce vehicle emissions and vehicle miles traveled in the area, including any of the transportation control measures identified in section 108(f). Such programs may be directed toward stationary, area, and/or mobile sources, to achieve emissions reductions milestones, to attain and maintain ambient air quality standards, and/or to provide more flexible, lower-cost approaches to meeting environmental goals. Such programs are categorized into the following three categories: Emission-limiting, market-
response, and directionally-sound strategies.

Emission-limiting strategies are strategies that directly specify limits on total mass emissions, emission-related parameters (e.g., emission rates per unit of production, product content limits), or levels of emissions reductions relative to a program baseline that are required to be met by affected sources, while providing flexibility to sources to reduce the cost of meeting program requirements.

Indian governing body means the governing body of any tribe, band, or group of Indians subject to the jurisdiction of the U.S. and recognized by the U.S. as possessing power of self-government.

Maintenance plan means an implementation plan for an area for which the State is currently seeking designation or has previously sought redesignation to attainment, under section 107(d) of the Act, which provides for the continued attainment of the NAAQS.

Market-response strategies are strategies that create one or more incentives for affected sources to reduce emissions, without directly specifying limits on emissions or emission-related parameters that individual sources or even all sources in the aggregate are required to meet.

Milestones means the reductions in emissions required to be achieved pursuant to section 182(b)(1) and the corresponding requirements in section 182(c)(2)(B) and (C), 182(d), and 182(e) of the Act for \( \text{O}_3 \) nonattainment areas, as well as the reduction in emissions of CO equivalent to the total of the specified annual emissions reductions required by December 31, 1995, pursuant to section 187(d)(1).

Mobile sources means on-road (highway) vehicles (e.g., automobiles, trucks and motorcycles) and nonroad vehicles (e.g., trains, airplanes, agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, construction vehicles, off-road motorcycles, and marine vessels).

National ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) means a standard set by the EPA at 40 CFR part 50 under section 109 of the Act.

Nonattainment area means any area of the country designated by the EPA at 40 CFR part 81 in accordance with section 107(d) of the Act as nonattainment for one or more criteria pollutants. An area could be a nonattainment area for some pollutants and an attainment area for other pollutants.

Nondiscriminatory means that a program in one State does not result in discriminatory effects on other States or sources outside the State with regard to interstate commerce.

Program baseline means the level of emissions, or emission-related parameter(s), for each affected source or group of affected sources, from which program results (e.g., quantifiable emissions reductions) shall be determined.

Program uncertainty factor means a factor applied to discount the amount of emissions reductions credited in an implementation plan demonstration to account for any strategy-specific uncertainties in an EIP.

Reasonable further progress (RFP) plan means any incremental emissions reductions required by the CAA (e.g., section 182(b)) and approved by the EPA as meeting these requirements.

Replicable refers to methods which are sufficiently unambiguous such that the same or equivalent results would be obtained by the application of the methods by different users.

RFP baseline means the total of actual volatile organic compounds or nitrogen oxides emissions from all anthropogenic sources in an \( \text{O}_3 \) nonattainment area during the calendar year 1990 (net of growth and adjusted pursuant to section 182(b)(1)(B) of the Act), expressed as typical \( \text{O}_3 \) season, weekday emissions.

Rule compliance factor means a factor applied to discount the amount of emissions reductions credited in an implementation plan demonstration to account for less-than-complete compliance by the affected sources in an EIP.

Shortfall means the difference between the amount of emissions reductions credited in an implementation plan for a particular EIP and those that are actually achieved by that EIP, as determined through an approved reconciliation process.

State means State, local government, or Indian-governing body.
State implementation plan (SIP) means a plan developed by an authorized governing body, including States, local governments, and Indian-governing bodies, in a nonattainment area, as required under titles I & II of the Clean Air Act, and approved by the EPA as meeting these same requirements.

Stationary source means any building, structure, facility or installation, other than an area or mobile source, which emits or may emit any criteria air pollutant or precursor subject to regulation under the Act.

Statutory economic incentive program means any EIP submitted to the EPA as an implementation plan revision to comply with sections 182(g)(3), 182(g)(5), 187(d)(3), or 187(g) of the Act.

Surplus means, at a minimum, emission reductions in excess of an established program baseline which are not required by SIP requirements or State regulations, relied upon in any applicable attainment plan or demonstration, or credited in any RFP or milestone demonstration, so as to prevent the double-counting of emissions reductions.

Transportation control measure (TCM) is any measure of the types listed in section 108(F) of the Act, or any measure in an applicable implementation plan directed toward reducing emissions of air pollutants from transportation sources by a reduction in vehicle use or changes in traffic conditions.

§ 51.492 State program election and submittal.

(a) Extreme O₃ nonattainment areas. (1) A State or authorized governing body for any extreme O₃ nonattainment area shall submit a plan revision to implement an EIP, in accordance with the requirements of this part, pursuant to section 182(g)(5) of the Act, if:

(i) A required milestone compliance demonstration is not submitted within the required period.

(ii) The Administrator determines that the area has not met any applicable milestone.

(2) The plan revision in paragraph (a)(1) of this section shall be submitted within 9 months after such failure or determination, and shall be sufficient, in combination with other elements of the SIP, to achieve the next milestone.

(b) Serious CO nonattainment areas. (1) A State or authorized governing body for any serious CO nonattainment area shall submit a plan revision to implement an EIP, in accordance with the requirements of this part, if:

(i) A milestone demonstration is not submitted within the required period, pursuant to section 187(d) of the Act.

(ii) The Administrator notifies the State, pursuant to section 187(d) of the Act, that a milestone has not been met.

(iii) The Administrator determines, pursuant to section 186(b)(2) of the Act that the NAAQS for CO has not been attained by the applicable date for that area. Such revision shall be submitted within 9 months after such failure or determination.

(2) Submittals made pursuant to paragraphs (b)(1) (i) and (ii) of this section shall be sufficient, together with a transportation control program, to achieve the specific annual reductions in CO emissions set forth in the implementation plan by the attainment date. Submittals made pursuant to paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section shall be adequate, in combination with other elements of the revised plan, to reduce the total tonnage of emissions of CO in the area by at least 5 percent per year in each year after approval of the plan revision and before attainment of the NAAQS for CO.

(c) Serious and severe O₃ nonattainment areas. If a State, for any serious or severe O₃ nonattainment area, elects to implement an EIP in the circumstances set out in section 182(g)(3) of the Act, the State shall submit a plan revision to implement the program in accordance with the requirements of this part. If the option to implement an EIP is elected, a plan revision shall be submitted within 12 months after the date required for election, and shall be sufficient, in combination with other elements of the SIP, to achieve the next milestone.

(d) Any nonattainment or attainment area. Any State may at any time submit a plan or plan revision to implement a discretionary EIP, in accordance with the requirements of this part, pursuant to sections 110(a)(2)(A)
§ 51.493 State program requirements.

Economic incentive programs shall be State and federally enforceable, nondiscriminatory, and consistent with the timely attainment of NAAQS, all applicable RFP and visibility requirements, applicable PSD increments, and all other applicable requirements of the Act. Programs in nonattainment areas for which credit is taken in attainment and RFP demonstrations shall be designed to ensure that the effects of the program are quantifiable and permanent over the entire duration of the program, and that the credit taken is limited to that which is surplus. Statutory programs shall be designed to result in quantifiable, significant reductions in actual emissions. The EIP’s shall include the following elements, as applicable:

(a) Statement of goals and rationale. This element shall include a clear statement as to the environmental problem being addressed, the intended environmental and economic goals of the program, and the rationale relating the incentive-based strategy to the program goals.

(1) The statement of goals must include the goal that the program will benefit both the environment and the regulated entities. The program shall be designed so as to meaningfully meet this goal either directly, through increased or more rapid emissions reductions beyond those that would be achieved through a traditional regulatory program, or, alternatively, through other approaches that will result in real environmental benefits. Such alternative approaches include, but are not limited to, improved administrative mechanisms, reduced administrative burdens on regulatory agencies, improved emissions inventories, and the adoption of emission caps which over time constrain or reduce growth-related emissions beyond traditional regulatory approaches.

(2) The incentive-based strategy shall be described in terms of one of the following three strategies:

(i) Emission-limiting strategies, which directly specify limits on total mass emissions, emission-related parameters (e.g., emission rates per unit of production, product content limits), or levels of emissions reductions relative to a program baseline that affected sources are required to meet, while providing flexibility to sources to reduce the cost of meeting program requirements.

(ii) Market-response strategies, which create one or more incentives for affected sources to reduce emissions, without directly specifying limits on emissions or emission-related parameters that individual sources or even all sources in the aggregate are required to meet.

(iii) Directionally-sound strategies, for which adequate procedures to quantify emissions reductions are not defined.

(b) Program scope. (1) This element shall contain a clear definition of the sources affected by the program. This definition shall address:

(i) The extent to which the program is mandatory or voluntary for the affected sources.

(ii) Provisions, if any, by which sources that are not required to be in the program may voluntarily enter the program.

(iii) Provisions, if any, by which sources covered by the program may voluntarily leave the program.

(2) Any opt-in or opt-out provisions in paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall be designed to provide mechanisms by which such program changes are reflected in an area’s attainment and RFP demonstrations, thus ensuring that there will not be an increase in the emissions inventory for the area caused by voluntary entry or exit from the program.

(3) The program scope shall be defined so as not to interfere with any other Federal requirements which apply to the affected sources.

(c) Program baseline. A program baseline shall be defined as a basis for projecting program results and, if applicable, for initializing the incentive mechanism (e.g., for marketable permits.
programs). The program baseline shall be consistent with, and adequately reflected in, the assumptions and inputs used to develop an area’s RFP plans and attainment and maintenance demonstrations, as applicable. The State shall provide sufficient supporting information from the areawide emissions inventory and other sources to justify the baseline used in the EIP.

(1) For EIP’s submitted in conjunction with, or subsequent to, the submission of any areawide progress plan due at the time of EIP submission (e.g., the 15 percent RFP plan and/or subsequent 3 percent plans) or an attainment demonstration, a State may exercise flexibility in setting a program baseline provided the program baseline is consistent with and reflected in all relevant progress plans or attainment demonstration. A flexible program baseline may be based on the lower of actual, allowable, or some other intermediate or lower level of emissions.

(2) Except as provided for in paragraph (c)(4) of this section, for EIP’s submitted during a time period when any progress plans are required but not yet submitted (e.g., the 15 percent RFP plan and/or the subsequent 3 percent plans), the program baseline shall be based on the lower-of-actual-or-allowable emissions. In such cases, actual emissions shall be taken from the most appropriate inventory, such as the 1990 actual emission inventory (due for submission in November 1992), and allowable emissions are the lower of SIP-allowable emissions or the level of emissions consistent with source compliance with all Federal requirements related to attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS.

(3) For EIP’s that are designed to implement new and/or previously existing RACT requirements through emissions trading and are submitted in conjunction with, or subsequent to, the submission of an associated RACT rule, a State may exercise flexibility in setting a program baseline provided the program baseline is consistent with and reflected in the associated RACT rule, and any applicable progress plans and attainment demonstrations.

(4) For EIP’s that are designed to implement new and/or previously existing RACT requirements through emissions trading and are submitted prior to the submission of a required RFP plan or attainment demonstration, States also have flexibility in determining the program baseline, provided the following conditions are met.

(i) For EIP’s that implement new RACT requirements for previously unregulated source categories through emissions trading, the new RACT requirements must reflect, to the extent practicable, increased emissions reductions beyond those that would be achieved through a traditional RACT program.

(ii) For EIP’s that impose new RACT requirements on previously unregulated sources in a previously regulated source category (e.g., RACT ’catch-up’ programs), the new incentive-based RACT rule shall, in the aggregate, yield reductions in actual emissions at least equivalent to that which would result from source-by-source compliance with the existing RACT limit for that source category.

(5) A program baseline for individual sources shall, as appropriate, be contained or incorporated by reference in federally-enforceable operating permits or a federally-enforceable SIP.

(6) An initial baseline for TCM’s shall be calculated by establishing the pre-existing conditions in the areas of interest. This may include establishing to what extent TCM’s have already been implemented, what average vehicle occupancy (AVO) levels have been achieved during peak and off-peak periods, what types of trips occur in the region, and what mode choices have been
made in making these trips. In addition, the extent to which travel options are currently available within the region of interest shall be determined. These travel options may include, but are not limited to, the degree of dispersion of transit services, the current ridership rates, and the availability and usage of parking facilities.

(7) Information used in setting a program baseline shall be of sufficient quality to provide for at least as high a degree of accountability as currently exists for traditional control requirements for the categories of sources affected by the program.

(d) Replicable emission quantification methods. This program element, for programs other than those which are categorized as directionally-sound, shall include credible, workable, and replicable methods for projecting program results from affected sources and, where necessary, for quantifying emissions from individual sources subject to the EIP. Such methods, if used to determine credit taken in attainment, RFP, and maintenance demonstrations, as applicable, shall yield results which can be shown to have a level of certainty comparable to that for source-specific standards and traditional methods of control strategy development. Such methods include, as applicable, the following elements:

(1) Specification of quantification methods. This element shall specify the approach or the combination or range of approaches that are acceptable for each source category affected by the program. Acceptable approaches may include, but are not limited to:

(i) Test methods for the direct measurement of emissions, either continuously or periodically.

(ii) Calculation equations which are a function of process or control system parameters, ambient conditions, activity levels, and/or throughput or production rates.

(iii) Mass balance calculations which are a function of inventory, usage, and/or disposal records.

(iv) EPA-approved emission factors, where appropriate and adequate.

(v) Any combination of these approaches.

(2) Specification of averaging times.

(i) The averaging time for any specified mass emissions caps or emission rate limits shall be consistent with: attaining and maintaining all applicable NAAQS, meeting RFP requirements, and ensuring equivalency with all applicable RACT requirements.

(ii) If the averaging time for any specified VOC or NOX mass emissions caps or emission rate limits for stationary sources (and for other sources, as appropriate) is longer than 24 hours, the State shall provide, in support of the SIP submittal, a statistical showing that the specified averaging time is consistent with attaining the O3 NAAQS and satisfying RFP requirements, as applicable, on the basis of typical summer day emissions; and, if applicable, a statistical showing that the longer averaging time will produce emissions reductions that are equivalent on a daily basis to source-specific RACT requirements.

(3) Accounting for shutdowns and production curtailments. This accounting shall include provisions which ensure that:

(i) Emissions reductions associated with shutdowns and production curtailments are not double-counted in attainment or RFP demonstrations.

(ii) Any resultant “shifting demand” which increases emissions from other sources is accounted for in such demonstrations.

(4) Accounting for batch, seasonal, and cyclical operations. This accounting shall include provisions which ensure that the approaches used to account for such variable operations are consistent with attainment and RFP plans.

(5) Accounting for travel mode choice options, as appropriate, for TCM’s. This accounting shall consider the factors or attributes of the different forms of travel modes (e.g., bus, ridesharing) which determine which type of travel an individual will choose. Such factors include, but are not limited to, time, cost, reliability, and convenience of the mode.

(e) Source requirements. This program element shall include all source-specific requirements that constitute
compliance with the program. Such requirements shall be appropriate, readily ascertainable, and State and federally enforceable, including, as applicable:

(1) Emission limits.
   (i) For programs that impose limits on total mass emissions, emission rates, or other emission-related parameter(s), there must be an appropriate tracking system so that a facility’s limits are readily ascertainable at all times.
   (ii) For emission-limiting EIP’s that authorize RACT sources to meet their RACT requirements through RACT/non-RACT trading, such trading shall result in an exceptional environmental benefit. Demonstration of an exceptional environmental benefit shall require either the use of the statutory offset ratios for nonattainment areas as the determinant of the amount of emissions reductions that would be required from non-RAFT sources generating credits for RACT sources or, alternatively, a trading ratio of 1.1 to 1, at a minimum, may be authorized, provided exceptional environmental benefits are otherwise demonstrated.

(2) Monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements.
   (i) An EIP (or the SIP as a whole) must contain test methods and, where necessary, emission quantification methodologies, appropriate to the emission limits established in the SIP. EIP sources must be subject to clearly specified MRR requirements appropriate to the test methods and any applicable quantification methodologies, and consistent with the EPA’s title V rules, where applicable. Such MRR requirements shall provide sufficiently reliable and timely information to determine compliance with emission limits and other applicable strategy-specific requirements, and to provide for State and Federal enforceability of such limits and requirements. Methods for MRR may include, but are not limited to:
      (A) The continuous monitoring of mass emissions, emission rates, or process or control parameters.
      (B) In situ or portable measurement devices to verify control system operating conditions.

(C) Periodic measurement of mass emissions or emission rates using reference test methods.
(D) Operation and maintenance procedures and/or other work practices designed to prevent, identify, or remedy noncomplying conditions.
(E) Manual or automated recordkeeping of material usage, inventories, throughput, production, or levels of required activities.
(F) Any combination of these methods. EIP’s shall require that responsible parties at each facility in the EIP program certify reported information.

(ii) Procedures for determining required data, including the emissions contribution from affected sources, for periods for which required data monitoring is not performed, data are otherwise missing, or data have been demonstrated to have been inaccurately determined.

(3) Any other applicable strategy-specific requirements.

(f) Projected results and audit/reconciliation procedures. (1) The SIP submittal shall include projections of the emissions reductions associated with the implementation of the program. These projected results shall be related to and consistent with the assumptions used to develop the area’s attainment demonstration and maintenance plan, as applicable. For programs designed to produce emissions reductions creditable towards RFP milestones, projected emissions reductions shall be related to the RFP baseline and consistent with the area’s RFP compliance demonstration. The State shall provide sufficient supporting information that shows how affected sources are or will be addressed in the emissions inventory, RFP plan, and attainment demonstration or maintenance plan, as applicable.

(i) For emission-limiting programs, the projected results shall be consistent with the reductions in mass emissions or emissions-related parameters specified in the program design.
(ii) For market-response programs, the projected results shall be based on market analyses relating levels of targeted emissions and/or emission-related activities to program design parameters.
§ 51.493  

(iii) For directionally-sound programs, the projected results may be descriptive and shall be consistent with the area’s attainment demonstration or maintenance plan.  

(2) Quantitative projected results shall be adjusted through the use of two uncertainty factors, as appropriate, to reflect uncertainties inherent in both the extent to which sources will comply with program requirements and the overall program design.  

(i) Uncertainty resulting from incomplete compliance shall be addressed through the use of a rule compliance factor.  

(ii) Programmatic uncertainty shall be addressed through the use of a program uncertainty factor. Any presumptive norms set by the EPA shall be used unless an adequate justification for an alternative factor is included in supporting information to be supplied with the SIP submittal. In the absence of any EPA-specified presumptive norms, the State shall provide an adequate justification for the selected factors as part of the supporting information to be supplied with the SIP submittal.  

(3) Unless otherwise provided in program-specific guidance issued by the EPA, EIP’s for which SIP credit is taken shall include audit procedures to evaluate program implementation and track program results in terms of both actual emissions reductions, and, to the extent practicable, cost savings relative to traditional regulatory program requirements realized during program implementation. Such audits shall be conducted at specified time intervals, not to exceed three years. The State shall provide timely post-audit reports to the EPA.  

(i) For emission-limiting EIP’s, the State shall commit to ensure the timely implementation of programmatic revisions or other measures which the State, in response to the audit, deems necessary for the successful operation of the program in the context of overall RFP and attainment requirements.  

(ii) For market-response EIP’s, reconciliation procedures that identify a range of appropriate actions or revisions to program requirements that will make up for any shortfall between credited results (i.e., projected results, as adjusted by the two uncertainty factors described above) and actual results obtained during program implementation shall be submitted together with the program audit provisions. Such measures must be federally enforceable, as appropriate, and automatically executing to the extent necessary to make up the shortfall within a specified period of time, consistent with relevant RFP and attainment requirements.  

(g) Implementation schedule. The program shall contain a schedule for the adoption and implementation of all State commitments and source requirements included in the program design.  

(h) Administrative procedures. The program shall contain a description of State commitments which are integral to the implementation of the program, and the administrative system to be used to implement the program, addressing the adequacy of the personnel, funding, and legislative authority.  

(1) States shall furnish adequate documentation of existing legal authority and demonstrated administrative capacity to implement and enforce the provisions of the EIP.  

(2) For programs which require private and/or public entities to establish emission-related economic incentives (e.g., programs requiring employers to exempt carpoolers/multiple occupancy vehicles from paying for parking), States shall furnish adequate documentation of State authority and administrative capacity to implement and enforce the underlying program.  

(1) Enforcement mechanisms. The program shall contain a compliance instrument(s) for all program requirements, which is legally binding and State and federally enforceable. This program element shall also include a State enforcement program which defines violations, and specifies auditing and inspections plans and provisions for enforcement actions. The program shall contain effective penalties for noncompliance which preserve the level of deterrence in traditional programs. For all such programs, the manner of collection of penalties must be specified.
§ 51.850 Prohibition.

(a) No department, agency or instrumentality of the Federal Government shall engage in, support in any way or provide financial assistance for, license or permit, or approve any activity which does not conform to an applicable implementation plan.

(b) A Federal agency must make a determination that a Federal action conforms to the applicable implementation plan in accordance with the requirements of this subpart before the action is taken.

(c) Paragraph (b) of this section does not include Federal actions where either:

(1) A National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis was completed as evidenced by a final environmental assessment (EA), environmental impact statement (EIS), or finding of no significant impact (FONSI) that was prepared prior to January 31, 1994;

(2) Prior to January 31, 1994, an EA was commenced or a contract was awarded to develop the specific environmental analysis; sufficient environmental analysis is completed by March 15, 1994 so that the Federal agency may determine that the Federal action is in conformance with the specific requirements and purposes of the applicable SIP pursuant to the agency’s affirmative obligation under section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (Act); and

(iii) A written determination of conformance under section 176(c) of the Act has been made by the Federal agency responsible for the Federal action by March 15, 1994.

(d) Notwithstanding any provision of this subpart, a determination that an action is in conformance with the applicable implementation plan does not exempt the action from any other requirements of the applicable implementation plan, the NEPA, or the Act.
§ 51.851 State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision.

(a) Each State must submit to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) a revision to its applicable implementation plan which contains criteria and procedures for assessing the conformity of Federal actions to the applicable implementation plan, consistent with this subpart. The State must submit the conformity provisions within 12 months after November 30, 1993 or within 12 months of an area’s designation to nonattainment, whichever date is later.

(b) The Federal conformity rules under this subpart and 40 CFR part 93, in addition to any existing applicable State requirements, establish the conformity criteria and procedures necessary to meet the Act requirements until such time as the required conformity SIP revision is approved by EPA. A State’s conformity provisions must contain criteria and procedures that are no less stringent than the requirements described in this subpart. A State may establish more stringent conformity criteria and procedures only if they apply equally to non-Federal as well as Federal entities. Following EPA approval of the State conformity provisions (or a portion thereof) in a revision to the applicable SIP, the approved (or approved portion of the) State criteria and procedures would govern conformity determinations and the Federal conformity regulations contained in 40 CFR part 93 would apply only for the portion, if any, of the State’s conformity provisions that is not approved by EPA. In addition, any previously applicable SIP requirements relating to conformity remain enforceable until the State revises its SIP to specifically remove them from the SIP and that revision is approved by EPA.

§ 51.852 Definitions.

Terms used but not defined in this part shall have the meaning given them by the Act and EPA’s regulations, (40 CFR chapter I), in that order of priority.

Affected Federal land manager means the Federal agency or the Federal official charged with direct responsibility for management of an area designated as Class I under the Act (42 U.S.C. 7472) that is located within 100 km of the proposed Federal action.

Applicable implementation plan or applicable SIP means the portion (or portions) of the SIP or most recent revision thereof, which has been approved under section 110 of the Act, or promulgated under section 110(c) of the Act (Federal implementation plan), or promulgated or approved pursuant to regulations promulgated under section 301(d) of the Act and which implements the relevant requirements of the Act.

Area wide air quality modeling analysis means an assessment on a scale that includes the entire nonattainment or maintenance area which uses an air quality dispersion model to determine the effects of emissions on air quality.

Cause or contribute to a new violation means a Federal action that:

(1) Causes a new violation of a national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) at a location in a nonattainment or maintenance area which would otherwise not be in violation of the standard during the future period in question if the Federal action were not taken; or

(2) Contributes, in conjunction with other reasonably foreseeable actions, to a new violation of a NAAQS at a location in a nonattainment or maintenance area in a manner that would increase the frequency or severity of the new violation.

Caused by, as used in the terms “direct emissions” and “indirect emissions,” means emissions that would not otherwise occur in the absence of the Federal action.

Criteria pollutant or standard means any pollutant for which there is established a NAAQS at 40 CFR part 50.

Direct emissions means those emissions of a criteria pollutant or its precursors that are caused or initiated by the Federal action and occur at the same time and place as the action.

Emergency means a situation where extremely quick action on the part of the Federal agencies involved is needed and where the timing of such Federal activities makes it impractical to meet the requirements of this subpart, such as natural disasters like hurricanes or earthquakes, civil disturbances such as
terrorist acts, and military mobilizations.

Emissions budgets are those portions of the applicable SIP’s projected emissions inventories that describe the levels of emissions (mobile, stationary, area, etc.) that provide for meeting reasonable further progress milestones, attainment, and/or maintenance for any criteria pollutant or its precursors.

Emissions offsets, for purposes of §51.858, are emissions reductions which are quantifiable, consistent with the applicable SIP attainment and reasonable further progress demonstrations, surplus to reductions required by, and credited to, other applicable SIP provisions, enforceable at both the State and Federal levels, and permanent within the timeframe specified by the program.

Emissions that a Federal agency has a continuing program responsibility for means emissions that are specifically caused by an agency carrying out its authorities, and does not include emissions that occur due to subsequent activities, unless such activities are required by the Federal agency. Where an agency, in performing its normal program responsibilities, takes actions itself or imposes conditions that result in air pollutant emissions by a non-Federal entity taking subsequent actions, such emissions are covered by the meaning of a continuing program responsibility.

EPA means the Environmental Protection Agency.

Federal agency means, for purposes of this subpart, a Federal department, agency, or instrumentality of the Federal Government.

Increase the frequency or severity of any existing violation of any standard in any area means to cause a nonattainment area to exceed a standard more often or to cause a violation at a greater concentration than previously existed and/or would otherwise exist during the future period in question, if the project were not implemented.

Indirect emissions means those emissions of a criteria pollutant or its precursors that:

(1) Are caused by the Federal action, but may occur later in time and/or may be farther removed in distance from the action itself but are still reasonably foreseeable; and

(2) The Federal agency can practically control and will maintain control over due to a continuing program responsibility of the Federal agency.

Local air quality modeling analysis means an assessment of localized impacts on a scale smaller than the entire nonattainment or maintenance area, including, for example, congested roadway intersections and highways or transit terminals, which uses an air quality dispersion model to determine the effects of emissions on air quality.

Maintenance area means an area with a maintenance plan approved under section 175A of the Act.

Maintenance plan means a revision to the applicable SIP, meeting the requirements of section 175A of the Act.

Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is that organization designated as being responsible, together with the State, for conducting the continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive planning process under 23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 1607.

Milestone has the meaning given in sections 182(g)(1) and 189(c)(1) of the Act.

National ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) are those standards established pursuant to section 109 of the Act and include standards for carbon monoxide (CO), lead (Pb), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), ozone, particulate matter (PM–10), and sulfur dioxide (SO₂).
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NEPA is the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et.seq.).

Nonattainment Area (NAA) means an area designated as nonattainment under section 107 of the Act and described in 40 CFR part 51.

Precursors of a criteria pollutant are:
(1) For ozone, nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{X}), unless an area is exempted from NO\textsubscript{X} requirements under section 182(f) of the Act, and volatile organic compounds (VOC); and
(2) For PM-10, those pollutants described in the PM-10 nonattainment area applicable SIP as significant contributors to the PM-10 levels.

Reasonably foreseeable emissions are projected future indirect emissions that are identified at the time the conformity determination is made; the location of such emissions is known and the emissions are quantifiable, as described and documented by the Federal agency based on its own information and after reviewing any information presented to the Federal agency.

Regional water and/or wastewater projects include construction, operation, and maintenance of water or wastewater conveyances, water or wastewater treatment facilities, and water storage reservoirs which affect a large portion of a nonattainment or maintenance area.

Regionally significant action means a Federal action for which the direct and indirect emissions of any pollutant represent 10 percent or more of a nonattainment or maintenance area's emissions inventory for that pollutant.

Total of direct and indirect emissions means the sum of direct and indirect emissions increases and decreases caused by the Federal action; i.e., the "net" emissions considering all direct and indirect emissions. The portion of emissions which are exempt or presumed to conform under §51.853, (c), (d), (e), or (f) are not included in the "total of direct and indirect emissions." The "total of direct and indirect emissions" includes emissions of criteria pollutants and emissions of precursors of criteria pollutants.

§ 51.853 Applicability.

(a) Conformity determinations for Federal actions related to transpor-
tation plans, programs, and projects developed, funded, or approved under title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act (49 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.) must meet the procedures and criteria of 40 CFR part 51, subpart T, in lieu of the procedures set forth in this subpart.

(b) For Federal actions not covered by paragraph (a) of this section, a conformity determination is required for each pollutant where the total of direct and indirect emissions in a nonattainment or maintenance area caused by a Federal action would equal or exceed any of the rates in paragraphs (b)(1) or (2) of this section.

(1) For purposes of paragraph (b) of this section, the following rates apply in nonattainment areas (NAAs):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Marginal and moderate NAA's inside an ozone transport region</th>
<th>Serious NAA's</th>
<th>Extreme NAA's</th>
<th>Other ozone NAA's outside an ozone transport region</th>
<th>Severe NAA's</th>
<th>Moderate NAA's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (VOC's or NO\textsubscript{X})</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide: All NAA's</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{2} or NO\textsubscript{2}: All NAA's</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-10: Moderate NAA's</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb: All NAA's</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) For purposes of paragraph (b) of this section, the following rates apply in maintenance areas:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Tons/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (NO\textsubscript{X}), SO\textsubscript{2} or NO\textsubscript{2}: All maintenance areas</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (VOC's): Maintenance areas outside an ozone transport region</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance areas inside an ozone transport region</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide: All maintenance areas</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM-10: All maintenance areas</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb: All maintenance areas</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) The requirements of this subpart shall not apply to:
(1) Actions where the total of direct and indirect emissions are below the emissions levels specified in paragraph (b) of this section.
(2) The following actions which would result in no emissions increase or an increase in emissions that is clearly de minimis:
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(i) Judicial and legislative proceedings.
(ii) Continuing and recurring activities such as permit renewals where activities conducted will be similar in scope and operation to activities currently being conducted.
(iii) Rulemaking and policy development and issuance.
(iv) Routine maintenance and repair activities, including repair and maintenance of administrative sites, roads, trails, and facilities.
(v) Civil and criminal enforcement activities, such as investigations, audits, inspections, examinations, prosecutions, and the training of law enforcement personnel.
(vi) Administrative actions such as personnel actions, organizational changes, debt management or collection, cash management, internal agency audits, program budget proposals, and matters relating to the administration and collection of taxes, duties and fees.
(vii) The routine, recurring transportation of materiel and personnel.
(viii) Routine movement of mobile assets, such as ships and aircraft, in home port reassignments and stations (when no new support facilities or personnel are required) to perform as operational groups and/or for repair or overhaul.
(ix) Maintenance dredging and debris disposal where no new depths are required, applicable permits are secured, and disposal will be at an approved disposal site.
(x) Actions, such as the following, with respect to existing structures, properties, facilities and lands where future activities conducted will be similar in scope and operation to activities currently being conducted at the existing structures, properties, facilities, and lands; for example, relocation of personnel, disposition of federally-owned existing structures, properties, facilities, and lands, rent subsidies, operation and maintenance cost subsidies, the exercise of receivership or conservatorship authority, assistance in purchasing structures, and the production of coins and currency.
(xi) The granting of leases, licenses such as for exports and trade, permits, and easements where activities conducted will be similar in scope and operation to activities currently being conducted.
(xii) Planning, studies, and provision of technical assistance.
(xiii) Routine operation of facilities, mobile assets and equipment.
(xiv) Transfers of ownership, interests, and titles in land, facilities, and real and personal properties, regardless of the form or method of the transfer.
(xv) The designation of empowerment zones, enterprise communities, or viticultural areas.
(xvi) Actions by any of the Federal banking agencies or the Federal Reserve Banks, including actions regarding charters, applications, notices, licenses, the supervision or examination of depository institutions or depository institution holding companies, access to the discount window, or the provision of financial services to banking organizations or to any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States.
(xvii) Actions by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or any Federal Reserve Bank to effect monetary or exchange rate policy.
(xviii) Actions that implement a foreign affairs function of the United States.
(xix) Actions (or portions thereof) associated with transfers of land, facilities, title, and real properties through an enforceable contract or lease agreement where the delivery of the deed is required to occur promptly after a specific, reasonable condition is met, such as promptly after the land is certified as meeting the requirements of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), and where the Federal agency does not retain continuing authority to control emissions associated with the lands, facilities, title, or real properties.
(xx) Transfers of real property, including land, facilities, and related personal property from a Federal entity to another Federal entity and assignments of real property, including land, facilities, and related personal property from a Federal entity to subsequent deeding to eligible applicants.
(xxi) Actions by the Department of the Treasury to effect fiscal policy and to exercise the borrowing authority of the United States.

(3) The following actions where the emissions are not reasonably foreseeable:

(i) Initial Outer Continental Shelf lease sales which are made on a broad scale and are followed by exploration and development plans on a project level.

(ii) Electric power marketing activities that involve the acquisition, sale and transmission of electric energy.

(4) Actions which implement a decision to conduct or carry out a conforming program such as prescribed burning actions which are consistent with a conforming land management plan.

(d) Notwithstanding the other requirements of this subpart, a conformity determination is not required for the following Federal actions (or portion thereof):

(1) The portion of an action that includes major new or modified stationary sources that require a permit under the new source review (NSR) program (section 173 of the Act) or the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) program (title I, part C of the Act).

(2) Actions in response to emergencies or natural disasters such as hurricanes, earthquakes, etc., which are commenced on the order of hours or days after the emergency or disaster and, if applicable, which meet the requirements of paragraph (e) of this section.

(3) Research, investigations, studies, demonstrations, or training (other than those exempted under paragraph (c)(2) of this section), where no environmental detriment is incurred and/or, the particular action furthers air quality research, as determined by the State agency primarily responsible for the applicable SIP.

(4) Alteration and additions of existing structures as specifically required by new or existing applicable environmental legislation or environmental regulations (e.g., hush houses for aircraft engines and scrubbers for air emissions).

(5) Direct emissions from remedial and removal actions carried out under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) and associated regulations to the extent such emissions either comply with the substantive requirements of the PSD/NSR permitting program or are exempted from other environmental regulation under the provisions of CERCLA and applicable regulations issued under CERCLA.

(e) Federal actions which are part of a continuing response to an emergency or disaster under paragraph (d)(2) of this section and which are to be taken more than 6 months after the commencement of the response to the emergency or disaster under paragraph (d)(2) of this section are exempt from the requirements of this subpart only if:

(1) The Federal agency taking the actions makes a written determination that, for a specified period not to exceed an additional 6 months, it is impractical to prepare the conformity analyses which would otherwise be required and the actions cannot be delayed due to overriding concerns for public health and welfare, national security interests and foreign policy commitments; or

(2) For actions which are to be taken after those actions covered by paragraph (e)(1) of this section, the Federal agency makes a new determination as provided in paragraph (e)(1) of this section.

(f) Notwithstanding other requirements of this subpart, actions specified by individual Federal agencies that have met the criteria set forth in either paragraph (g)(1) or (g)(2) of this section and the procedures set forth in paragraph (h) of this section are presumed to conform, except as provided in paragraph (j) of this section.

(g) The Federal agency must meet the criteria for establishing activities that are presumed to conform by fulfilling the requirements set forth in either paragraph (g)(1) or (g)(2) of this section:

(1) The Federal agency must clearly demonstrate using methods consistent with this subpart that the total of direct and indirect emissions from the
type of activities which would be presumed to conform would not:

(i) Cause or contribute to any new violation of any standard in any area;
(ii) Interfere with provisions in the applicable SIP for maintenance of any standard;
(iii) Increase the frequency or severity of any existing violation of any standard in any area; or
(iv) Delay timely attainment of any standard or any required interim emission reductions or other milestones in any area including, where applicable, emission levels specified in the applicable SIP for purposes of:
(A) A demonstration of reasonable further progress;
(B) A demonstration of attainment; or
(C) A maintenance plan; or
(2) The Federal agency must provide documentation that the total of direct and indirect emissions from such future actions would be below the emission rates for a conformity determination that are established in paragraph (b) of this section, based, for example, on similar actions taken over recent years.

(h) In addition to meeting the criteria for establishing exemptions set forth in paragraphs (g)(1) or (g)(2) of this section, the following procedures must also be complied with to presume that activities will conform:
(1) The Federal agency must identify through publication in the Federal Register its list of proposed activities that are presumed to conform and the basis for the presumptions;
(2) The Federal agency must notify the appropriate EPA Regional Office(s), State and local air quality agencies and, where applicable, the agency designated under section 174 of the Act and the MPO and provide at least 30 days for the public to comment on the list of proposed activities presumed to conform;
(3) The Federal agency must document its response to all the comments received and make the comments, response, and final list of activities available to the public upon request; and
(4) The Federal agency must publish the final list of such activities in the Federal Register.

(i) Notwithstanding the other requirements of this subpart, when the total of direct and indirect emissions of any pollutant from a Federal action does not equal or exceed the rates specified in paragraph (b) of this section, but represents 10 percent or more of a nonattainment or maintenance area’s total emissions of that pollutant, the action is defined as a regionally significant action and the requirements of §51.850 and §§51.855 through 51.860 shall apply for the Federal action.

(j) Where an action otherwise presumed to conform under paragraph (f) of this section is a regionally significant action or does not in fact meet one of the criteria in paragraph (g)(1) of this section, that action shall not be presumed to conform and the requirements of §51.850 and §§51.855 through 51.860 shall apply for the Federal action.

(k) The provisions of this subpart shall apply in all nonattainment and maintenance areas.

§ 51.854 Conformity analysis.
Any Federal department, agency, or instrumentality of the Federal Government taking an action subject to this subpart must make its own conformity determination consistent with the requirements of this subpart. In making its conformity determination, a Federal agency must consider comments from any interested parties. Where multiple Federal agencies have jurisdiction for various aspects of a project, a Federal agency may choose to adopt the analysis of another Federal agency or develop its own analysis in order to make its conformity determination.

§ 51.855 Reporting requirements.
(a) A Federal agency making a conformity determination under §51.858 must provide to the appropriate EPA Regional Office(s), State and local air quality agencies and, where applicable, affected Federal land managers, the agency designated under section 174 of the Act and the MPO a 30 day notice which describes the proposed action and the Federal agency’s draft conformity determination on the action.

(b) A Federal agency must notify the appropriate EPA Regional Office(s), State and local air quality agencies
and, where applicable, affected Federal land managers, the agency designated under section 174 of the Clean Air Act and the MPO within 30 days after making a final conformity determination under §51.858.

§ 51.856 Public participation.
(a) Upon request by any person regarding a specific Federal action, a Federal agency must make available for review its draft conformity determination under §51.858 with supporting materials which describe the analytical methods and conclusions relied upon in making the applicability analysis and draft conformity determination.
(b) A Federal agency must make public its draft conformity determination under §51.858 by placing a notice by prominent advertisement in a daily newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the action and by providing 30 days for written public comment prior to taking any formal action on the draft determination. This comment period may be concurrent with any other public involvement, such as occurs in the NEPA process.
(c) A Federal agency must document its response to all comments received on its draft conformity determination under §51.858 and make the comments and responses available, upon request by any person regarding a specific Federal action, within 30 days of the final conformity determination.
(d) A Federal agency must make public its final conformity determination under §51.858 for a Federal action by placing a notice by prominent advertisement in a daily newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the action within 30 days of the final conformity determination.

§ 51.857 Frequency of conformity determinations.
(a) The conformity status of a Federal action automatically lapses 5 years from the date a final conformity determination is reported under §51.855, unless the Federal action has been completed or a continuous program has been commenced to implement that Federal action within a reasonable time.
(b) Ongoing Federal activities at a given site showing continuous progress are not new actions and do not require periodic redeterminations so long as such activities are within the scope of the final conformity determination reported under §51.855.
(c) If, after the conformity determination is made, the Federal action is changed so that there is an increase in the total of direct and indirect emissions above the levels in §51.853(b), a new conformity determination is required.

§ 51.858 Criteria for determining conformity of general Federal actions.
(a) An action required under §51.853 to have a conformity determination for a specific pollutant, will be determined to conform to the applicable SIP if, for each pollutant that exceeds the rates in §51.853(b), or otherwise requires a conformity determination due to the total of direct and indirect emissions from the action, the action meets the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section, and meets any of the following requirements:
(1) For any criteria pollutant, the total of direct and indirect emissions from the action are specifically identified and accounted for in the applicable SIP's attainment or maintenance demonstration;
(2) For ozone or nitrogen dioxide, the total of direct and indirect emissions from the action are fully offset within the same nonattainment or maintenance area through a revision to the applicable SIP or a similarly enforceable measure that affects emission reductions so that there is no net increase in emissions of that pollutant;
(3) For any criteria pollutant, except ozone and nitrogen dioxide, the total of direct and indirect emissions from the action meet the requirements:
(i) Specified in paragraph (b) of this section, based on areawide air quality modeling analysis and local air quality modeling analysis; or
(ii) Meet the requirements of paragraph (a)(5) of this section and, for local air quality modeling analysis, the requirement of paragraph (b) of this section;
(4) For CO or PM—10—
(i) Where the State agency primarily responsible for the applicable SIP determines that an areawide air quality modeling analysis is not needed, the total of direct and indirect emissions from the action meet the requirements specified in paragraph (b) of this section, based on local air quality modeling analysis; or

(ii) Where the State agency primarily responsible for the applicable SIP determines that an areawide air quality modeling analysis is appropriate and that a local air quality modeling analysis is not needed, the total of direct and indirect emissions from the action meet the requirements specified in paragraph (b) of this section, based on areawide modeling, or meet the requirements of paragraph (a)(5) of this section; or

(5) For ozone or nitrogen dioxide, and for purposes of paragraphs (a)(3)(ii) and (a)(4)(ii) of this section, each portion of the action or the action as a whole meets any of the following requirements:

(i) Where EPA has approved a revision to an area’s attainment or maintenance demonstration after 1990 and the State makes a determination as provided in paragraph (a)(5)(i)(A) of this section or where the State makes a commitment as provided in paragraph (a)(5)(i)(B) of this section:

(A) The total of direct and indirect emissions from the action (or portion thereof) is determined and documented by the State agency primarily responsible for the applicable SIP to result in a level of emissions which, together with all other emissions in the nonattainment (or maintenance) area, would not exceed the emissions budgets specified in the applicable SIP;

(B) The total of direct and indirect emissions from the action (or portion thereof) is determined by the State agency responsible for the applicable SIP to result in a level of emissions which, together with all other emissions in the nonattainment (or maintenance) area, would not exceed the emissions budgets specified in the applicable SIP and the State Governor or the Governor’s designee for SIP actions makes a written commitment to EPA which includes the following:

(1) A specific schedule for adoption and submittal of a revision to the SIP which would achieve the needed emission reductions prior to the time emissions from the Federal action would occur;

(2) Identification of specific measures for incorporation into the SIP which would result in a level of emissions which, together with all other emissions in the nonattainment or maintenance area, would not exceed any emissions budget specified in the applicable SIP;

(3) A demonstration that all existing applicable SIP requirements are being implemented in the area for the pollutants affected by the Federal action, and that local authority to implement additional requirements has been fully pursued;

(4) A determination that the responsible Federal agencies have required all reasonable mitigation measures associated with their action; and

(5) Written documentation including all air quality analyses supporting the conformity determination;

(C) Where a Federal agency made a conformity determination based on a State commitment under paragraph (a)(5)(i)(B) of this section, such a State commitment is automatically deemed a call for a SIP revision by EPA under section 110(k)(5) of the Act, effective on the date of the Federal conformity determination and requiring response within 18 months or any shorter time within which the State commits to revise the applicable SIP;

(ii) The action (or portion thereof), as determined by the MPO, is specifically included in a current transportation plan and transportation improvement program which have been found to conform to the applicable SIP under 40 CFR part 51, subpart T, or 40 CFR part 93, subpart A;

(iii) The action (or portion thereof) fully offsets its emissions within the same nonattainment or maintenance area through a revision to the applicable SIP or an equally enforceable measure that effects emission reductions equal to or greater than the total of direct and indirect emissions from the action so that there is no net increase in emissions of that pollutant;
§ 51.859 Procedures for conformity determinations of general Federal actions.

(a) The analyses required under this subpart must be based on the latest planning assumptions.

(1) All planning assumptions must be derived from the estimates of population, employment, travel, and congestion most recently approved by the MPO, or other agency authorized to make such estimates, where available.

(2) Any revisions to these estimates used as part of the conformity determination, including projected shifts in geographic location or level of population, employment, travel, and congestion, must be approved by the MPO or other agency authorized to make such estimates for the urban area.

(b) The analyses required under this subpart must be based on the latest and most accurate emission estimation techniques available as described below, unless such techniques are inappropriate. If such techniques are inappropriate and written approval of the EPA Regional Administrator is obtained for any modification or substitution, they may be modified or another technique substituted on a case-by-case basis or, where appropriate, on a generic basis for a specific Federal agency program.

(1) For motor vehicle emissions, the most current version of the motor vehicle emissions model specified by EPA and available for use in the preparation or revision of SIPs in that State must be used for the conformity analysis as specified in paragraphs (b)(1)(i) and (ii) of this section:

(i) The EPA must publish in the Federal Register a notice of availability of any new motor vehicle emissions model; and

(ii) A grace period of three months shall apply during which the motor vehicle emissions model previously specified by EPA as the most current...
version may be used. Conformity analyses for which the analysis was begun during the grace period or no more than 3 years before the FEDERAL REGISTER notice of availability of the latest emission model may continue to use the previous version of the model specified by EPA.

(2) For non-motor vehicle sources, including stationary and area source emissions, the latest emission factors specified by EPA in the “Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors (AP-42)” must be used for the conformity analysis unless more accurate emission data are available, such as actual stack test data from stationary sources which are part of the conformity analysis.

(c) The air quality modeling analyses required under this subpart must be based on the applicable air quality models, data bases, and other requirements specified in the most recent version of the “Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised)” (1986), including supplements (EPA publication no. 450/2-78-027R), unless:

(1) The guideline techniques are inappropriate, in which case the model may be modified or another model substituted on a case-by-case basis or, where appropriate, on a generic basis for a specific Federal agency program; and

(2) Written approval of the EPA Regional Administrator is obtained for any modification or substitution.

(d) The analyses required under this subpart, except §51.858(a)(1), must be based on the total of direct and indirect emissions from the action and must reflect emission scenarios that are expected to occur under each of the following cases:

(1) The Act mandated attainment year or, if applicable, the farthest year for which emissions are projected in the maintenance plan;

(2) The year during which the total of direct and indirect emissions from the action is expected to be the greatest on an annual basis; and

(3) Any year for which the applicable SIP specifies an emissions budget.

§51.860 Mitigation of air quality impacts.

(a) Any measures that are intended to mitigate air quality impacts must be identified and the process for implementation and enforcement of such measures must be described, including an implementation schedule containing explicit timelines for implementation.

(b) Prior to determining that a Federal action is in conformity, the Federal agency making the conformity determination must obtain written commitments from the appropriate persons or agencies to implement any mitigation measures which are identified as conditions for making conformity determinations.

(c) Persons or agencies voluntarily committing to mitigation measures to facilitate positive conformity determinations must comply with the obligations of such commitments.

(d) In instances where the Federal agency is licensing, permitting or otherwise approving the action of another governmental or private entity, approval by the Federal agency must be conditioned on the other entity meeting the mitigation measures set forth in the conformity determination.

(e) When necessary because of changed circumstances, mitigation measures may be modified so long as the new mitigation measures continue to support the conformity determination. Any proposed change in the mitigation measures is subject to the reporting requirements of §51.856 and the public participation requirements of §51.857.

(f) The implementation plan revision required in §51.851 shall provide that written commitments to mitigation measures must be obtained prior to a positive conformity determination and that such commitments must be fulfilled.

(g) After a State revises its SIP to adopt its general conformity rules and EPA approves that SIP revision, any agreements, including mitigation measures, necessary for a conformity determination will be both State and federally enforceable. Enforceability through the applicable SIP will apply to all persons who agree to mitigate direct and indirect emissions associated

1Copies may be obtained from the Technical Support Division of OAQPS, EPA, MD-14, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711.

2See footnote 1 at §51.858(b)(2).
with a Federal action for a conformity determination.

APPENDIXES A–K [RESERVED]

APPENDIX L TO PART 51—EXAMPLE REGULATIONS FOR PREVENTION OF AIR POLLUTION EMERGENCY EPISODES

The example regulations presented herein reflect generally recognized ways of preventing air pollution from reaching levels that would cause imminent and substantial endangerment to the health of persons. States are required under subpart H to have emergency episodes plans but they are not required to adopt the regulations presented herein.

1.0 Air pollution emergency. This regulation is designed to prevent the excessive buildup of air pollutants during air pollution episodes, thereby preventing the occurrence of an emergency due to the effects of these pollutants on the health of persons.

1.1 Episode criteria. Conditions justifying the proclamation of an air pollution alert, air pollution warning, or air pollution emergency shall be deemed to exist whenever the Director determines that the accumulation of air pollutants in any place is attaining or has attained levels which could, if such levels are sustained or exceeded, lead to a substantial threat to the health of persons.

In making this determination, the Director will be guided by the following criteria:

(a) Air Pollution Forecast: An internal watch by the Department of Air Pollution Control shall be actuated by a National Weather Service advisory that Atmospheric Stagnation Advisory is in effect or the equivalent local forecast of stagnant atmospheric condition.

(b) Alert: The Alert level is that concentration of pollutants at which first stage control actions is to begin. An Alert will be declared when any one of the following levels is reached at any monitoring site:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>1.0 µg/m³ (0.6 p.p.m.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>420 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>34 mg/m³ (30 p.p.m.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (O₃)</td>
<td>600 µg/m³ (0.4 p.p.m.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Pollution Warning: When the Director declares an Air Pollution Warning, any person responsible for the operation of a source of air pollutants as set forth in Table I shall take all Air Pollution Warning actions as required for such source of air pollutants and shall put into effect the preplanned abatement strategy for an Air Pollution Alert.

(d) Air Pollution Emergency—When the Director declares an Air Pollution Emergency, any person responsible for the operation of a source of air pollutants as described in Table III shall take all Air Pollution Emergency actions as required for such source of air pollutants and shall put into effect the preplanned abatement strategy for an Air Pollution Warning.

1.2 Emission reduction plans. (a) Air Pollution Alert—When the Director declares an Air Pollution Alert, any person responsible for the operation of a source of air pollutants as set forth in Table I shall take all Air Pollution Alert actions as required for such source of air pollutants and shall put into effect the preplanned abatement strategy for an Air Pollution Alert.

(b) Air Pollution Warning—When the Director declares an Air Pollution Warning, any person responsible for the operation of a source of air pollutants as set forth in Table II shall take all Air Pollution Warning actions as required for such source of air pollutants and shall put into effect the preplanned abatement strategy for an Air Pollution Warning.

(c) Air Pollution Emergency—When the Director declares an Air Pollution Emergency, any person responsible for the operation of a source of air pollutants as described in Table III shall take all Air Pollution Emergency actions as required for such source of air pollutants and shall put into effect the preplanned abatement strategy for an Air Pollution Emergency.
actions as required for such source of air pollutants and shall put into effect the preplanned abatement strategy for an Air Pollution Emergency.

(d) When the Director determines that a specified criteria level has been reached at one or more monitoring sites solely because of emissions from a limited number of sources, he shall notify such source(s) that the preplanned abatement strategies of Tables I, II, and III or the standby plans are required, insofar as it applies to such source(s), and shall be put into effect until the criteria of the specified level are no longer met.

1.3 Preplanned abatement strategies, (a) Any person responsible for the operation of a source of air pollutants as set forth in Tables I–III shall prepare standby plans for reducing the emission of air pollutants during periods of an Air Pollution Alert, Air Pollution Warning, and Air Pollution Emergency. Standby plans shall be designed to reduce or eliminate emissions of air pollutants in accordance with the objectives set forth in Tables I–III which are made a part of this section.

(b) Any person responsible for the operation of a source of air pollutants not set forth under section 1.3(a) shall, when requested by the Director in writing, prepare standby plans for reducing the emission of air pollutants during periods of an Air Pollution Alert, Air Pollution Warning, and Air Pollution Emergency. Standby plans shall be designed to reduce or eliminate emissions of air pollutants in accordance with the objectives set forth in Tables I–III.

(c) Standby plans as required under section 1.3(a) and (b) shall be in writing and identify the sources of air pollutants, the approximate amount of reduction of pollutants and a brief description of the manner in which the reduction will be achieved during an Air Pollution Alert, Air Pollution Warning, and Air Pollution Emergency.

(d) During a condition of Air Pollution Alert, Air Pollution Warning, and Air Pollution Emergency, standby plans as required by this section shall be made available on the premises to any person authorized to enforce the provisions of applicable rules and regulations.

(e) Standby plans as required by this section shall be submitted to the Director upon request within thirty (30) days of the receipt of such request; such standby plans shall be subject to review and approval by the Director. If, in the opinion of the Director, a standby plan does not effectively carry out the objectives as set forth in Table I–III, the Director may disapprove it, state his reason for disapproval and order the preparation of an amended standby plan within the time period specified in the order.

### TABLE I—ABATEMENT STRATEGIES EMISSION REDUCTION PLANS ALERT LEVEL

#### Part A. General

1. There shall be no open burning by any persons of tree waste, vegetation, refuse, or debris in any form.

2. The use of incinerators for the disposal of any form of solid waste shall be limited to the hours between 12 noon and 4 p.m.

3. Persons operating fuel-burning equipment which required boiler lancing or soot blowing shall perform such operations only between the hours of 12 noon and 4 p.m.

4. Persons operating motor vehicles should eliminate all unnecessary operations.

#### Part B. Source curtailment

Any person responsible for the operation of a source of air pollutants listed below shall take all required control actions for this Alert Level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of air pollution</th>
<th>Control action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Coal or oil-fired electric power generating facilities</td>
<td>a. Substantial reduction by utilization of fuels having low ash and sulfur content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Maximum utilization of mid-day (12 noon to 4 p.m.) atmospheric turbulence for boiler lancing and soot blowing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Substantial reduction by diverting electric power generation to facilities outside of Alert Area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Coal and oil-fired process steam generating facilities</td>
<td>a. Substantial reduction by utilization of fuels having low ash and sulfur content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Maximum utilization of mid-day (12 noon to 4 p.m.) atmospheric turbulence for boiler lancing and soot blowing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Substantial reduction of steam load demands consistent with continuing plant operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Maximum reduction by deferring trade waste disposal operations which emit solid particles, gas vapors or malodorous substances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Maximum reduction of heat load demands for processing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Maximum utilization of mid-day (12 noon to 4 p.m.) atmospheric turbulence for boiler lancing and soot blowing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE II—EMISSION REDUCTION PLANS

WARNING LEVEL

Part A. General
1. There shall be no open burning by any persons of tree waste, vegetation, refuse, or debris in any form.
2. The use of incinerators for the disposal of any form of solid waste or liquid waste shall be prohibited.
3. Persons operating fuel-burning equipment which requires boiler lancing or soot blowing shall perform such operations only between the hours of 12 noon and 4 p.m.
4. Persons operating motor vehicles must reduce operations by the use of car pools and increased use of public transportation and elimination of unnecessary operation.

Part B. Source curtailment
Any person responsible for the operation of a source of air pollutants listed below shall take all required control actions for this Warning Level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of air pollution</th>
<th>Control action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Coal or oil-fired process steam generating facilities</td>
<td>a. Maximum reduction by utilization of fuels having lowest ash and sulfur content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Maximum utilization of mid-day (12 noon to 4 p.m.) atmospheric turbulence for boiler lancing and soot blowing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Maximum reduction by diverting electric power generation to facilities outside of Warning Area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Oil and oil-fired process steam generating facilities</td>
<td>a. Maximum reduction by utilization of fuels having the lowest available ash and sulfur content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Maximum utilization of mid-day (12 noon to 4 p.m.) atmospheric turbulence for boiler lancing and soot blowing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Making ready for use a plan of action to be taken if an emergency develops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Maximum reduction by deferring trade waste disposal operations which emit solid particles, gases, vapors or malodorous substances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Maximum reduction of heat load demands for processing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Manufacturing industries require relatively short lead times for shut-down including the following classifications: Primary Metals Industries. Chemical Industries. Mineral Processing Industries. Grain Industry.</td>
<td>a. Elimination of air pollutants from manufacturing operations by ceasing, curtailing, postponing or deferring production and allied operations to the extent possible without causing injury to persons or damage to equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Elimination of air pollutants from trade waste disposal processes which emit solid particles, gases, vapors or malodorous substances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Maximum reduction of heat load demands for processing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Maximum utilization of mid-day (12 noon to 4 p.m.) atmospheric turbulence for boiler lancing or soot blowing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III—EMISSION REDUCTION PLANS

EMERGENCY LEVEL

Part A. General
1. There shall be no open burning by any persons of tree waste, vegetation, refuse, or debris in any form.
2. The use of incinerators for the disposal of any form of solid or liquid waste shall be prohibited.
3. All places of employment described below shall immediately cease operations.
   a. Mining and quarrying of nonmetallic minerals.
   b. All construction work except that which must proceed to avoid emergent physical harm.
   c. All manufacturing establishments except those required to have in force an air pollution emergency plan.
   d. All wholesale trade establishments; i.e., places of business primarily engaged in selling merchandise to retailers, or industrial, commercial, institutional or professional users, or to other wholesalers, or acting as agents in buying merchandise for or selling merchandise to such persons or companies, except those engaged in the distribution of drugs, surgical supplies and food.
   e. All offices of local, county and State government including authorities, joint meetings, and other public bodies excepting such agencies which are determined by the chief administrative officer of local, county, or State government, authorities, joint meetings and other public bodies to be vital for public safety and welfare and the enforcement of the provisions of this order.
   f. All retail trade establishments except pharmacies, surgical supply distributors, and stores primarily engaged in the sale of food.
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1. Elementary and secondary schools, colleges, universities, professional schools, junior colleges, vocational schools, and public and private libraries.

2. All commercial and manufacturing establishments not included in this order will institute such actions as will result in maximum reduction of air pollutants from their operation by ceasing, curtailing, or postponing operations which emit air pollutants to the extent possible without causing injury to persons or damage to equipment.

3. The use of motor vehicles is prohibited except in emergencies with the approval of local or State police.

Part B. Source curtailment

Any person responsible for the operation of a source of air pollutants listed below shall take all required control actions for this Emergency Level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of air pollution</th>
<th>Control action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Coal or oil-fired electric power generating facilities</td>
<td>a. Maximum reduction by utilization of fuels having lowest ash and sulfur content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Coal and oil-fired process steam generating facilities</td>
<td>b. Maximum utilization of mid-day (12 noon to 4 p.m.) atmospheric turbulence for boiler lancing or soot blowing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Appends 110, 301(a), 313, 319, Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7410, 7601(a), 7613, 7619))


APPENDIX M TO PART 51—RECOMMENDED TEST METHODS FOR STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Method 201—Determination of PM₁₀ Emissions (Exhaust Gas Recycle Procedure).
Method 201A—Determination of PM₁₀ Emissions (Constant Sampling Rate Procedure).
Method 202—Determination of Condensable Particulate Emissions From Stationary Sources.
Method 204—Criteria for and Verification of a Permanent or Temporary Total Enclosure.

Method 204A—Volatile Organic Compounds Content in Liquid Input Stream.
Method 204C—Volatile Organic Compounds Emissions in Captured Stream (Dilution Technique).
Method 204D—Volatile Organic Compounds Emissions in Uncaptured Stream from Temporary Total Enclosure.
Method 204F—Volatile Organic Compounds Content in Liquid Input Stream (Distillation Approach).
Method 206—Verification of Gas Dilution Systems for Field Instrument Calibrations

Presented herein are recommended test methods for measuring air pollutant emanating from an emission source. They are provided for States to use in their plans to meet the requirements of subpart K—Source Surveillance.

The State may also choose to adopt other methods to meet the requirements of subpart K of this part by adopting, again subject to the normal plan review process.

The State may also meet the requirements of subpart K of this part by adopting, again subject to the normal plan review process, any of the relevant methods in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60.

METHOD 201—DETERMINATION OF PM₁₀ EMISSIONS

(EXHAUST GAS RECYCLE PROCEDURE)

1. Applicability and Principle

1.1 Applicability. This method applies to the in-stack measurement of particulate matter (PM) emissions equal to or less than an aerodynamic diameter of nominally 10 μm (PM₁₀) from stationary sources. The EPA recognizes that condensible emissions not collected by an in-stack method are also PM₁₀ and that emissions that contribute to ambient PM₁₀ levels are the sum of condensible emissions and emissions measured by an in-stack PM₁₀ method, such as this method or Method 201A. Therefore, for establishing source contributions to ambient levels of PM₁₀ such as for emission inventory purposes, EPA suggests that source PM₁₀ measurement include both in-stack PM₁₀ and condensible emissions. Condensible missions may be measured by an impinger analysis in combination with this method.

1.2 Principle. A gas sample is isokinetically extracted from the source. An in-stack cyclone is used to separate PM greater than PM₁₀ and an in-stack glass fiber filter is used to collect the PM₁₀. To maintain isokinetic flow rate conditions at the tip of the probe and a constant flow rate through the cyclone, a clean, dried portion of the sample gas at stack temperature is recycled into the nozzle. The particulate mass is determined gravimetrically after removal of uncombined water.

2. Apparatus

NOTE: Method 5 as cited in this method refers to the method in 40 CFR part 60, appendix A.

2.1 Sampling Train. A schematic of the exhaust gas recycle (EGR) system is shown in Figure 1 of this method.

2.1.1 Nozzle with Recycle Attachment. Stainless steel (316 or equivalent) with a sharp tapered leading edge, and recycle attachment welded directly on the side of the nozzle (see schematic in Figure 1 of this method). The angle of the taper shall be on the outside. Use only straight sampling nozzles. “Gooseneck” or other nozzle extensions designed to turn the sample gas flow 90°, as in Method 5 are not acceptable. Locate a thermocouple in the recycle attachment to measure the temperature of the recycle gas as shown in Figure 3 of this method. The recycle attachment shall be made of stainless steel and shall be connected to the probe and nozzle with stainless steel fittings. Two nozzle sizes, e.g., 0.125 and 0.160 in., should be available to allow isokinetic sampling to be conducted over a range of flow rates. Calibrate each nozzle as described in Method 5, Section 5.1.

2.1.2 PM₁₀ Sizer. Cyclone, meeting the specifications in Section 5.7 of this method.

2.1.3 Filter Holder. 63mm, stainless steel. An Andersen filter, part number SE274, has been found to be acceptable for the in-stack filter.

2.1.4 Pitot Tube. Same as in Method 5, Section 2.1.3. Attach the pitot to the pitot lines with stainless steel fittings and to the cyclone in a configuration similar to that shown in Figure 3 of this method. The pitot lines shall be made of heat resistant material and attached to the probe with stainless steel fittings.

2.1.5 EGR Probe. Stainless steel, 15.9-mm (%-in.) ID tubing with a probe liner, stainless steel 9.53-mm (%-in.) ID stainless steel recycle tubing, two 6.35-mm (%-in.) ID stainless steel tubing for the pitot tube extensions, three thermocouple leads, and one power lead, all contained by stainless steel tubing with a diameter of approximately 51 mm (2.0 in.). Design considerations should include minimum weight construction materials sufficient for probe structural strength. Wrap the sample and recycle tubes with a heating tape to heat the sample and recycle gases to stack temperature.

2.1.6 Condenser. Same as in Method 5, Section 2.1.7.

2.1.7 Umbilical Connector. Flexible tubing with thermocouple and power leads of sufficient length to connect probe to meter and flow control console.

2.1.8 Vacuum Pump. Leak-tight, oil-less, noncontaminating, with an absolute filter, HEPA type, at the pump exit. A Gast Model 0522–V103 G16DX pump has been found to be satisfactory.

2.1.9 Meter and Flow Control Console. System consisting of a dry gas meter and calibrated orifice for measuring sample flow rate and capable of measuring volume to ±2 percent, calibrated laminar flow elements (LFE’s) or equivalent for measuring total and sample flow rates, probe heater control,
and manometers and magnehelic gauges (as shown in Figures 4 and 5 of this method), or equivalent. Temperatures needed for calculations include stack, recycle, probe, dry gas meter, filter, and total flow. Flow measurements include velocity head ($\Delta v$), total flow, recycle flow, and total back-pressure through the system.

2.1.10 Barometer. Same as in Method 5, Section 2.1.9.

2.1.11 Rubber Tubing. 6.35-mm (1/4-in.) ID flexible rubber tubing.

2.2 Sample Recovery.

2.2.1 Nozzle, Cyclone, and Filter Holder Brushes. Nylon bristle brushes property sized and shaped for cleaning the nozzle, cyclone, filter holder, and probe or probe liner, with stainless steel wire shafts and handles.

2.2.2 Wash Bottles, Glass Sample Storage Containers, Petri Dishes, Graduated Cylinder, and Balance, Plastic Storage Containers, and Funnels. Same as Method 5, Sections 2.2.2 through 2.2.6 and 2.2.8, respectively.

2.3 Analysis. Same as in Method 5, Section 2.3.

3. Reagents

The reagents used in sampling, sample recovery, and analysis are the same as that specified in Method 5, Sections 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, respectively.

4. Procedure

4.1 Sampling. The complexity of this method is such that, in order to obtain reliable results, testers should be trained and experienced with the test procedures.

4.1.1 Pretest Preparation. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.1.1.

4.1.2 Preliminary Determinations. Same as Method 5, Section 4.1.2, except use the directions on nozzle size selection in this section. The use of the EGR method may require a minimum sampling port diameter of 0.2 m (6 in.). Also, the required maximum number of sample traverse points at any location shall be 12.

4.1.2.1 The cyclone and filter holder must be in-stack or at stack temperature during sampling. The blockage effects of the EGR sampling assembly will be minimal if the cross-sectional area of the sampling assembly is 3 percent or less of the cross-sectional area of the duct and a pitot coefficient of 0.84 may be assigned to the pitot. If the cross-sectional area of the assembly is greater than 3 percent of the cross-sectional area of the duct, then either determine the pitot coefficient at sampling conditions or use a standard pitot with a known coefficient in a combination with the EGR sampling assembly such that flow disturbances are minimized.

4.1.2.2 Construct a setup of pressure drops for various $\Delta p$’s and temperatures. A computer is useful for these calculations. An example of the output of the EGR setup program is shown in Figure 6 of this method, and directions on its use are in section 4.1.5.2 of this method. Computer programs, written in IBM BASIC computer language, to do these types of setup and reduction calculations for the EGR procedure, are available through the National Technical Information Services (NTIS). Accession number PB90–500000, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

4.1.2.3 The EGR setup program allows the tester to select the nozzle size based on anticipated average stack conditions and prints a setup sheet for field use. The amount of recycle through the nozzle shall be between 10 and 80 percent. Inputs for the EGR setup program are stack temperature (minimum, maximum, and average), stack velocity (minimum, maximum, and average), atmospheric pressure, stack static pressure, meter box temperature, stack moisture, percent CO$_2$ in the stack gas, pitot coefficient ($C_p$), orifice $\Delta H$, flow rate measurement calibration values (slope ($m$) and y-intercept ($b$) of the calibration curve), and the number of nozzles available and their diameters.

4.1.2.4 A less rigorous calculation for the setup sheet can be done manually using the equations on the example worksheets in Figures 7, 8, and 9 of this method, or by a Hewlett-Packard HP41 calculator using the program provided in appendix D of the EGR operators manual, entitled Applications Guide for Source PM$_{10}$ Exhaust Gas Recycle Sampling System. This calculation uses an approximation of the total flow rate and agrees within 1 percent of the exact solution for pressure drops at stack temperatures from 38 to 260 °C (100 to 500 °F) and stack moisture up to 50 percent. Also, the example worksheets use a constant stack temperature in the calculation, ignoring the complicated temperature dependence from all three pressure drop equations. Errors for this at stack temperatures ±28 °C (±50 °F) of the temperature used in the setup calculations are within 5 percent for flow rate and within 5 percent for cyclone cut size.

4.1.2.5 The pressure upstream of the LFE’s is assumed to be constant at 0.6 in. Hg in the EGR setup calculations.

4.1.2.6 The setup sheet constructed using this procedure shall be similar to Figure 6 of this method. Inputs needed for the calculation are the same as for the setup computer except that stack velocities are not needed.

4.1.3 Preparation of Collection Train. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.1.3, except use the following directions to set up the train.

4.1.3.1 Assemble the EGR sampling device, and attach it to probe as shown in Figure 3 of this method. If stack temperatures exceed
260 °C (500 °F), then assemble the EGR cyclone without the O-ring and reduce the vacuum requirement to 130 mm Hg (5.0 in. Hg) in the leak-check procedure in Section 4.1.4.3.2 of this method.

4.1.3.2 Connect the probe directly to the filter holder and condenser as in Method 5. Connect the condenser and probe to the meter and flow control console with the umbilical connector. Plug in the pump and attach pump lines to the meter and flow control console.

4.1.4 Leak-Check Procedure. The leak-check for the EGR Method consists of two parts: the sample-side and the recycle-side. The sample-side leak-check is required at the beginning of the run with the cyclone attached, and after the run with the cyclone removed. The cyclone is removed before the post-test leak-check to prevent any disturbance of the collected sample prior to analysis. The recycle-side leak-check tests the leak tight integrity of the recycle components and is required prior to the first test run and after each shipment.

4.1.4.1 Pretest Leak-Check. A pretest leak-check of the entire sample-side, including the cyclone and nozzle, is required. Use the leak-check procedure in Section 4.1.4.3 of this method to conduct a pretest leak-check.

4.1.4.2 Leak-Checks During Sample Run. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.1.4.1.

4.1.5 Leak-Checks During Post-Test. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.1.5, except omit references to nomographs and recommendations about changing the filter assembly during a run.

4.1.5.1 Record the data required on a data sheet such as the one shown in Figure 10 of this method. Make periodic checks of the manometer level and zero to ensure correct ΔH and Δp values. An acceptable procedure for checking the zero is to equalize the pressure at both ends of the manometer by pulling off the tubing, allowing the fluid to equilibrate and, if necessary, to re-zero. Maintain the probe temperature to within 11 °C (20 °F) of stack temperature.

4.1.5.2 The procedure for using the example EGR setup sheet is as follows: Obtain a stack velocity reading from the pitot manometer (Ap), and find this value on the ordinate axis of the setup sheet. Find the stack temperature on the abscissa. Where these two values intersect are the differential pressures necessary to achieve isokineticity and 10 μm cut size (interpolation may be necessary).

4.1.5.3 The top three numbers are differential pressures (in. Hg or Pa), and the bottom number is the percent recycle at these flow settings. Adjust the total flow rate valves, coarse and fine, to the sample value (ΔH) on the setup sheet, and the recycle flow rate valves, coarse and fine, to the recycle flow on the setup sheet.

4.1.5.4 For startup of the EGR sample train, the following procedure is recommended. Preheat the cyclone in the stack for 30 minutes. Close both the sample and recycle coarse valves. Open the fine total, fine recycle, and sample back pressure valves half-way. Ensure that the nozzle is properly aligned with the sample stream. After noting the Δp and stack temperature, select the appropriate ΔH and recycle from the EGR setup sheet. Start the pump and timing device simultaneously. Immediately open both the coarse total and the coarse recycle valves slowly to obtain the approximate desired values. Adjust both the fine total and the fine recycle valves to achieve more precisely the desired values. In the EGR flow system, adjustment of either valve will result in a
change in both total and recycle flow rates, and a slight iteration between the total and recycle valves may be necessary. Because the sample back pressure valve controls the total flow rate through the system, it may be necessary to adjust this valve in order to obtain the correct flow rate.

Note: Isokinetic sampling and proper operation of the cyclone are not achieved unless the correct ΔH and recycle flow rates are maintained.

4.1.5.5 During the test run, monitor the probe and filter temperatures periodically, and make adjustments as necessary to maintain the desired temperatures. If the sample loading is high, the filter may begin to blind or the cyclone may clog. The filter or the cyclone may be replaced during the sample run. Before changing the filter or cyclone, conduct a leak-check (Section 4.1.4.2 of this method). The total particulate mass shall be the sum of all cyclone and the filter catch during the run. Monitor stack temperature and Δp periodically, and make the necessary adjustments in sampling and recycle flow rates to maintain isokinetic sampling and the proper flow rate through the cyclone. At the end of the run, turn off the pump, close the coarse total valve, and record the final dry gas meter reading. Remove the probe from the stack, and conduct a post-test leak-check as outlined in Section 4.1.4.3 of this method.

4.2 Sample Recovery. Allow the probe to cool. When the probe can be safely handled, wipe off all external PM adhering to the outside of the nozzle, cyclone, and nozzle attachment, and place a cap over the nozzle to prevent losing or gaining PM. Do not cap the nozzle tip tightly while the sampling train is cooling, as this action would create a vacuum in the filter holder. Disconnect the probe from the umbilical connector, and take the probe to the cleanup site. Sample recovery should be conducted in a dry indoor area or, if outside, in an area protected from wind and free of dust. Cap the ends of the impingers and carry them to the cleanup site. Inspect the components of the train prior to and during disassembly to note any abnormal conditions. Disconnect the pitot from the cyclone. Remove the cyclone from the probe. Recover the sample as follows:

4.2.1 Container Number 1 (Filter). The recovery shall be the same as that for Container Number 1 in Method 5, Section 4.2.

4.2.2 Container Number 2 (Cyclone or Large PM Catch). The cyclone must be disassembled and the nozzle removed in order to recover the large PM catch. Quantitatively recover the PM from all of the surfaces from cyclone exit to the front half of the in-stack filter holder, including the “turn around” cup and the interior of the exit tube. The recovery shall be the same as that for Container Number 2 in Method 5, Section 4.2.

4.2.3 Container Number 3 (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). Quantitatively recover the PM from all of the surfaces from cyclone exit to the front half of the in-stack filter holder, including the “turn around” cup and the interior of the exit tube. The recovery shall be the same as that for Container Number 2 in Method 5, Section 4.2.

4.2.4 Container Number 4 (Silica Gel). Same as that for Container Number 3 in Method 5, Section 4.2.

4.2.5 Impinger Water. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.2, under “Impinger Water.”

5. Analysis.

5.1 Probe Nozzle. Same as in Method 5, Section 5.1.

5.2 Pitot Tube. Same as in Method 5, Section 5.2.

5.3 Meter and Flow Control Console.

5.3.1 Dry Gas Meter. Same as in Method 5, Section 5.3.

5.3.2 LFE Gauges. Calibrate the recycle, total, and inlet total LFE gauges with a manometer. Read and record flow rates at 10, 50, and 90 percent of full scale on the total and recycle pressure gauges. Read and record flow rates at 10, 20, and 30 percent of full scale on the inlet total LFE pressure gauge. Record the total and recycle readings to the nearest 0.3 mm (0.01 in.). Record the total LFE readings to the nearest 3 mm (0.1 in.). Make three separate measurements at each setting and calculate the average. The maximum difference between the average pressure reading and the average manometer reading shall not exceed 1 mm (0.05 in.). If the differences exceed the limit specified, adjust or replace the pressure gauge. After each field use, check the calibration of the pressure gauges.

5.3.3 Total LFE. Same as the metering system in Method 5, Section 5.3.

5.3.4 Recycle LFE. Same as the metering system in Method 5, Section 5.3, except completely close both the coarse and fine recycle valves.
5.4 Probe Heater. Connect the probe to the meter and flow control console with the umbilical connector. Insert a thermocouple into the probe sample line approximately half the length from the line end. Calibrate the probe heater at 60°C (140°F), 121°C (250°F), and 177°C (350°F). Turn on the power, and set the probe heater to the specified temperature to equilibrate, and record the thermocouple temperature and the meter and flow control console temperature to the nearest 0.5°C (1°F). The two temperatures should agree within 5.5°C (10°F). If this agreement is not met, adjust or replace the probe heater controller.

5.5 Temperature Gauges. Connect all thermocouples, and let the meter and flow control console equilibrate to ambient temperature. All thermocouples shall agree to within 1.1°C (2.0°F) with a standard mercury-in-glass thermometer. Replace defective thermocouples.

5.6 Barometer. Calibrate against a standard mercury-in-glass barometer.

5.7 Probe Cyclone and Nozzle Combinations. The probe cyclone and nozzle combinations need not be calibrated if the cyclone meets the design specifications in Figure 12 of this method and the nozzle meets the design specifications in appendix B of the Application Guide for the Source PM$_{10}$ Exhaust Gas Recycle Sampling System, EPA-600/3-88-058. This document may be obtained from Roy Huntley at (919) 541-1060. If the nozzles do not meet the design specifications, then test the cyclone and nozzle combination for conformance with the performance specifications (PS’s) in Table 1 of this method. The purpose of the PS tests is to determine if the cyclone’s sharpness of cut meets minimum performance criteria. If the cyclone does not meet design specifications, then, in addition to the cyclone and nozzle combination conforming to the PS’s, calibrate the cyclone and determine the relationship between flow rate, gas viscosity, and gas density. Use the procedures in Section 5.7.5 of this method to conduct PS tests and the procedures in Section 5.8 of this method to calibrate the cyclone. Conduct the PS tests in a wind tunnel described in Section 5.7.1 of this method and using a particle generation system described in Section 5.7.2 of this method. Use five particle sizes and three wind velocities as listed in Table 2 of this method. Perform a minimum of three replicate measurements of collection efficiency for each of the 15 conditions listed, for a minimum of 45 measurements.

5.7.1 Wind Tunnel. Perform calibration and PS tests in a wind tunnel (or equivalent test apparatus) capable of establishing and maintaining the required gas stream velocities within 10 percent.

5.7.2 Particle Generation System. The particle generation system shall be capable of producing solid monodispersed dye particles with the mass median aerodynamic diameter specified in Table 2 of this method. The particle size distribution verification should be performed on an integrated sample obtained during the sampling period of each test. An acceptable alternative is to verify the size distribution of samples obtained before and after each test, with both samples required to meet the minimum and monodispersity requirements for an acceptable test run.

5.7.2.1 Establish the size of the solid dye particles delivered to the test section of the wind tunnel using the operating parameters of the particle generation system, and verify the size during the tests by microscopic examination of samples of the particles collected on a membrane filter. The particle size, as established by the operating parameters of the generation system, shall be within the tolerance specified in Table 2 of this method. The precision of the particle size verification technique shall be at least ±0.5 μm, and the particle size determined by the verification technique shall not differ by more than 10 percent from that established by the operating parameters of the particle generation system.

5.7.2.2 Certify the monodispersity of the particles for each test either by microscopic inspection of collected particles on filters or by other suitable monitoring techniques such as an optical particle counter followed by a multichannel pulse height analyzer. If the proportion of multiplets and satellites in an aerosol exceeds 10 percent by mass, the particle generation system is unacceptable for purposes of this test. Multiplets are particles that are agglomerated, and satellites are particles that are smaller than the specified size range.

5.7.3 Schematic Drawings. Schematic drawings of the wind tunnel and blower system and other information showing complete procedural details of the test atmosphere generation, verification, and delivery techniques shall be furnished with calibration data to the reviewing agency.

5.7.4 Flow Rate Measurement. Determine the cyclone flow rates with a dry gas meter and a stopwatch, or a calibrated orifice system capable of measuring flow rates to within 2 percent.

5.7.5 Performance Specification Procedure. Establish the test particle generator operation and verify the particle size microscopically. If monodispersity is to be verified by measurements at the beginning and the end of the run rather than by an integrated sample, these measurements may be made at this time.

5.7.5.1 The cyclone cut size (D$_{50}$) is defined as the aerodynamic diameter of a particle having a 50 percent probability of penetration. Determine the required cyclone flow rate at which D$_{50}$ is 10 μm. A suggested procedure is to vary the cyclone flow rate while...
keeping a constant particle size of 10 µm. Measure the PM collected in the cyclone (m_c), exit tube (m_t), and filter (m_f). Compute the cyclone efficiency (E_c) as follows:

\[
E_c = \frac{m_c}{m_c + m_1 + m_1} \times 100
\]

5.7.5.2 Perform three replicates and calculate the average cyclone efficiency as follows:

\[
E_{avg} = \frac{E_1 + E_2 + E_3}{3}
\]

where E_1, E_2, and E_3 are replicate measurements of E_c.

5.7.5.3 Calculate the standard deviation (σ) for the replicate measurements of E_c as follows:

\[
σ = \left[ \frac{(E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2) - \left( \frac{E_1 + E_2 + E_3}{3} \right)^2}{2} \right]^{1/2}
\]

If σ exceeds 0.10, repeat the replicate runs.

5.7.5.4 Using the cyclone flow rate that produces D_{50} for 10 µm, measure the overall efficiency of the cyclone and nozzle, E_o, at the particle sizes and nominal gas velocities in Table 2 of this method. Establish isokinetic sampling conditions and the correct flow rate through the sampler (cyclone and nozzle) using recycle capacity so that the D_{50} is 10 µm. Sample long enough to obtain 25 percent precision on the total collected mass as determined by the precision and sensitivity of the measuring technique. Determine separately the nozzle catch (m_n), cyclone catch (m_c), cyclone exit tube catch (m_t), and collection filter catch (m_f).

5.7.5.6 Calculate the overall efficiency (E_o) as follows:

\[
E_o = \frac{(m_n + m_c)}{(m_n + m_c + m_t + m_f)} \times 100
\]

5.7.5.7 Do three replicates for each combination of gas velocities and particle sizes in Table 2 of this method. Calculate E_o for each particle size following the procedures described in this section for determining efficiency. Calculate the standard deviation (σ) for the replicate measurements. If σ exceeds 0.10, repeat the replicate runs.

5.7.6 Criteria for Acceptance. For each of the three gas stream velocities, plot the average E_o as a function of particle size on Figure 13 of this method. Draw a smooth curve for each velocity through all particle sizes. The curve shall be within the banded region for all sizes, and the average E_c for a D_{50} for 10 µm shall be 50 ± 0.5 percent.

5.8 Cyclone Calibration Procedure. The purpose of this section is to develop the relationship between flow rate, gas viscosity, gas density, and D_{50}. This procedure only needs to be done on those cyclones that do not meet the design specifications in Figure 12 of this method.

5.8.1 Calculate cyclone flow rate. Determine the flow rates and D_{50}'s for three different particle sizes between 5 µm and 15 µm, one of which shall be 10 µm. All sizes must be within 0.5 µm. For each size, use a different temperature within 60 °C (108 °F) of the temperature at which the cyclone is to be used and conduct triplicate runs. A suggested procedure is to keep the particle size constant and vary the flow rate. Some of the values obtained in the PS tests in Section 5.7.5 may be used.

5.8.1.1 On log-log graph paper, plot the Reynolds number (Re) on the abscissa, and the square root of the Stokes 50 number ((STK_{50})^{1/2}) on the ordinate for each temperature. Use the following equations:

\[
Re = \frac{4ρQ_{cyc}}{d_{cyc} μ_{cyc}}
\]

\[
(STK_{50})^{1/2} = \left[ \frac{4Q_{cyc} (D_{50})^2}{9π \mu_{cyc} (d_{cyc})^3} \right]^{1/2}
\]

where:
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6.2 PM<sub>10</sub> Particulate Weight. Determine the weight of PM<sub>10</sub> by summing the weights obtained from Container Numbers 1 and 3, less the acetone blank.

6.3 Total Particulate Weight. Determine the particulate catch for PM greater than PM<sub>10</sub> from the weight obtained from Container Number 2 less the acetone blank, and add it to the PM<sub>10</sub> particulate weight.

6.4 PM<sub>10</sub> Fraction. Determine the PM<sub>10</sub> fraction of the total particulate weight by dividing the PM<sub>10</sub> particulate weight by the total particulate weight.

6.5 Total Cyclone Flow Rate. The average flow rate at standard conditions is determined from the average pressure drop across the total LFE and is calculated as follows:

\[ Q = \frac{\pi \mu_{\text{cyc}}}{4} \left[ 3000(1.5)^b \right] - (0.5 - m) \left[ \frac{T_s}{M_p P_s} \right] m/(m - 0.5)^{(m-1.5)/(m-0.5)} \]

where:

- \( Q \) = Cyclone flow rate for a cut size of 10 \( \mu \text{m} \), cm\(^3\)/sec.
- \( \rho \) = Gas density, g/cm\(^3\).
- \( d_{\text{std}} \) = Diameter of cyclone inlet, cm.
- \( \mu_{\text{std}} \) = Viscosity constant, 0.372 micropoise/cm\(^2\).8
- \( P_{\text{bar}} \) = Barometer pressure at sampling site, mm Hg (in. Hg).
- \( P_{\text{in}} \) = Gauge pressure at inlet to total LFE, mm Hg (in. Hg).
- \( T_{\text{in}} \) = Average temperature of dry gas meter, °K (°R).
- \( T_w \) = Average stack gas temperature, °K (°R).
- \( V_{\text{water}} \) = Volume of water vapor in gas sample (standard conditions), scm (scf).
- \( X_r \) = Total LFE linear calibration constant, m\(^3\)/[min][mm H\(2\),O]) \( \times \) \[ 1505/[\text{in. H}\_2\_O] \].
- \( Y_r \) = Total LFE linear calibration constant, dscm/min (dscf/min).
- \( \Delta P \) = Pressure differential across total LFE, mm H\(2\),O, (in. H\_2\_O).
- \( \theta \) = Total sampling time, min.
- \( \mu_{\text{cyc}} \) = Viscosity of mixed cyclone gas, micropoise.
- \( \mu_{\text{lfe}} \) = Viscosity of gas laminar flow elements, micropoise.
- \( \mu_{\text{std}} \) = Viscosity of standard air, 180.1 micropoise.
- \( \theta \) = Total sampling time, min.

M<sub>d</sub> = Dry molecular weight of stack gas, g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).

5.8.2. Directions for Using Q. Refer to Section 5 of the EGR operators manual for directions in using this expression for Q in the setup calculations.

6.1 The EGR data reduction calculations are performed by the EGR reduction computer program, which is written in IBM BASIC computer language and is available through NTIS, Accession number PB90-500000, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. Examples of program inputs and outputs are shown in Figure 14 of this method.

6.1.1 Calculations can also be done manually, as specified in Method 5, Sections 6.3 through 6.7, and 6.9 through 6.12, with the addition of the following:

6.1.2 Nomenclature.

- \( B_r \) = Moisture fraction of mixed cyclone gas, by volume, dimensionless.
- \( C_1 \) = Viscosity constant, 0.12 micropoise for \( K = (6.05 \text{ micropoise for } K_0) \).
- \( C_2 \) = Viscosity constant, 0.372 micropoise/K (0.207 micropoise/R).
- \( C_3 \) = Viscosity constant, 1.05 X 10\(^{-4}\) micropoise/\( K^2 \) (3.24 X 10\(^{-5}\) micropoise/R\(^2\)).
- \( C_4 \) = Viscosity constant, 53.147 micropoise/fraction O\(_2\).
- \( C_5 \) = Viscosity constant, 74.143 micropoise/ fraction H\(_2\).O.
- \( D_{\text{std}} \) = Diameter of particles having a 50 percent probability of penetration, \( \mu \text{m} \).
- \( I_{\text{act}} \) = Stack gas fraction O\(_2\) by volume, dry basis.
- \( K_0 \) = 0.3858 * K/mm Hg (17.64 °R/in. Hg).
- \( M_c \) = Wet molecular weight of mixed gas through the PM<sub>10</sub> cyclone, g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).

5.8.1.2 Use a linear regression analysis to determine the slope (m), and the y-intercept (b). Use the following formula to determine Q, the cyclone flow rate required for a cut size of 10 \( \mu \text{m} \):

\[ Q = \frac{\pi \mu_{\text{cyc}}}{4} \left[ 3000(1.5)^b \right] - (0.5 - m) \left[ \frac{T_s}{M_p P_s} \right] m/(m - 0.5)^{(m-1.5)/(m-0.5)} \]
The flow rate, at actual cyclone conditions, is calculated as follows:

\[ Q_s = \frac{T_s}{K_1 P_s} \left[ Q_{s(\text{std})} + \frac{V_{m(\text{std})}}{\theta} \right] \]

The flow rate, at actual cyclone conditions, is calculated as follows:

\[ Q_s = \frac{T_s}{K_1 P_s} \left[ Q_{s(\text{std})} + \frac{V_{m(\text{std})}}{\theta} \right] \]

6.6 Aerodynamic Cut Size. Use the following procedure to determine the aerodynamic cut size \(D_{50}\).

6.6.1 Determine the water fraction of the mixed gas through the cyclone by using the equation below.

\[ B_c = \frac{V_w}{Q_{s(\text{std})} \theta + V_{w(\text{std})}} \]

6.6.2 Calculate the cyclone gas viscosity as follows:

\[ \mu_{\text{cyc}} = C_1 + C_2 T_s + C_3 T_s^2 + C_4 f_0^2 - C_5 B_c \]

6.6.3 Calculate the molecular weight on a wet basis of the cyclone gas as follows:

\[ M_c = M_d (1 - B_c) + 18.0 (B_c) \]

6.6.4 If the cyclone meets the design specification in Figure 12 of this method, calculate the actual \(D_{50}\) of the cyclone for the run as follows:

\[ D_{50} = \beta_1 \left[ \frac{T_s}{M_c P_s} \right] \frac{0.2091}{Q_s} \frac{\mu_{\text{cyc}}}{0.7091} \]

where \(\beta_1 = 0.1562\).

6.6.5 If the cyclone does not meet the design specifications in Figure 12 of this method, then use the following equation to calculate \(D_{50}\).

\[ D_{50} = (3) (10)^b \left( 7,376 \times 10^{-4} \right)^m \left[ \frac{M_c P_s}{T_s} \right] \frac{4 Q_s}{\pi \mu_{\text{cyc}}} d^{(1.5-m)} \]

where:

- \(m\) = Slope of the calibration curve obtained in Section 5.8.2.
- \(b\) = y-intercept of the calibration curve obtained in Section 5.8.2.

6.7 Acceptable Results. Acceptability of anisokinetic variation is the same as Method 5, Section 6.12.

6.7.1 If 9.0 \(\mu m \leq D_{50} \leq 11 \mu m\) and \(90 \leq I \leq 110\), the results are acceptable. If \(D_{50}\) is greater than 11 \(\mu m\), the Administrator may accept the results. If \(D_{50}\) is less than 9.0 \(\mu m\), reject the results and repeat the test.

7. Bibliography

1. Same as Bibliography in Method 5.


Figure 1. Schematic of the exhaust gas recycle train.
Figure 2. Schematic of EGR nozzle assembly.

- **SAMPLE GAS**
- **RECYCLE GAS**
- **MIXED GAS TO CYCLONE**
Figure 3. EGR PM$_{10}$ cyclone sampling device.
Environmental Protection Agency

EXAMPLE EMISSION GAS RECYCLE
SETUP SHEET

VERSION 3.1 MAY 1986

TEST I.D.: SAMPLE SETUP
RUN DATE: 11/24/86
LOCATION: SOURCE SIM
OPERATOR(S): RH JB
NOZZLE DIAMETER (IN): .25

STACK CONDITIONS:
AVERAGE TEMPERATURE (F): 200.0
AVERAGE VELOCITY (FT/SEC): 15.0
AMBIENT PRESSURE (IN Hg): 29.92
STACK PRESSURE (IN Hg): .10

GAS COMPOSITION:
H₂O=10.0% ....................................... MD=28.84
O₂=20.9% ....................................... MW=27.75
CO₂=0% ................................

TARGET PRESSURE DROPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DP(PTO)</th>
<th>.026</th>
<th>.031</th>
<th>.035</th>
<th>.039</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAMPLE</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECYCLE</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% RCL</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Barometric pressure, \( P_{bar} \), in. Hg.
Stack static pressure, \( P_s \), in. H₂O.
Average stack temperature, \( t_s \), °F.
Meter temperature, \( t_m \), °F.

Gas analysis:
\( \%CO₂ \)............
\( \%O₂ \)..............
\( \%N₂+\%CO \)............

Fraction moisture content, \( B_{ws} \).

Calibration data:
Nozzle diameter, \( D_n \), in.
Pitot coefficient, \( C_p \).

\[
K = 846.72 \ D_n ^4 \ \Delta H @ C_p ^2 \ (1-B_{ws}) ^2 \ M_d \ (t_m + 460) \ P_i \ = \ M_w \ (t_s + 460) \ P_{bar}
\]

Desired meter orifice pressure (\( \Delta H \)) for velocity head of stack gas (\( \Delta p \)):

\[
\Delta H = K \ \Delta p = \text{in. } H_2O
\]

Figure 6. Example EGR setup sheet.
Figure 7. Example worksheet 1, meter orifice pressure head calculation.

Barometric pressure, \( P_{\text{bar}} \), in. Hg. = \\
Absolute stack pressure, \( P_s \), in. Hg. = \\
Average stack temperature, \( T_s \), °R. = \\
Meter temperature, \( T_m \), °R. = \\
Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis, \( M_d \), lb/lb mole. = \\
Pressure upstream of LFE, in. Hg. = 0.6 \\
Gas analysis: %O\(_2\) = \\
Fraction moisture content, \( B_{\text{ws}} \). = \\
Calibration data: Nozzle diameter, \( D_n \), in. = \\
Pitot coefficient, \( C_p \), ... = \\
Total LFE calibration constant, \( X_t \). = \\
Viscosity of gas in total LFE: \( \mu_{\text{LFE}} = 152.418 + 0.2552 \times T_{s} + 3.2355 \times 10^{-5} T_{s}^2 + 0.53147 \% \text{O}_2 \). = \\
Viscosity of dry stack gas: \( \mu_d = 152.418 + 0.2552 \times T_{s} + 3.2355 \times 10^{-5} T_{s}^2 + 0.53147 \% \text{O}_2 \). = \\
Constants: 

\[
K_1 = 1.5752 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\mu_{\text{LFE}} T_m D_n^2 C_p}{P_{\text{LFE}} M_d} \frac{0.7051}{0.2949} \frac{\mu_d}{T_s} = \\
K_2 = 0.1539 \frac{\mu_{\text{LFE}} T_m D_n^2 C_p}{P_{\text{LFE}}} \left[ \frac{P_s}{T_s} \right]^{1/2} = \\
K_3 = \frac{B_{\text{ws}} \mu_d \left[ 1 - 0.2949 \left( 1 - 18 / M_d \right) \right] + 74.143 B_{\text{ws}} \left( 1 - B_{\text{ws}} \right)}{\mu_d - 74.143 B_{\text{ws}}} = \\
A_1 = \frac{K_1 \mu_{\text{LFE}} Y_i}{X_i} \frac{1}{180.1 X_i} = \\
B_i = \frac{K_2 K_3}{(M_d)^{1.2} X_i} = \\
\Delta p_i = A_1 - B_1 (\Delta p)^{1/2} = \text{in. H}_2\text{O}
\]

Total LFE pressure head:

Figure 8. Example worksheet 1, meter orifice pressure head calculation.

Barometric pressure, \( P_{\text{bar}} \), in. Hg. = \\
Absolute stack pressure, \( P_s \), in. Hg. = \\
Average stack temperature, \( T_s \), °R. = \\
Meter temperature, \( T_m \), °R. = \\
Molecular weight of stack gas, dry basis, \( M_d \), lb/lb mole. = \\
Viscosity of LFE gas \( \mu_{\text{LFE,poise}} \). = \\
Absolute pressure upstream of LFE, \( P_{\text{LFE,up}} \), in. Hg. = \\
Calibration data: Nozzle diameter, \( D_n \), in. = \\
Pitot coefficient, \( C_p \), ... = 

304
Recycle LFE calibration constant, $X_r$ = 
Recycle LFE calibration constant, $Y_r$ = 

\[ K_1 = 1.5752 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\mu_{\text{LFE}}}{P_{\text{LFE}} M_d^{0.7051}} = \]

\[ K_2 = 0.1539 \frac{M_{\text{LFE}} D_n^2 C_p}{P_{\text{LFE}}} \left[ \frac{P_2}{T_s} \right]^\frac{1}{2} = \]

\[ K_4 = \frac{\mu_d}{M_w^{0.2051} M_d^{0.2949} (\mu_d - 74.143 B_{ws})} = \]

\[ A_2 = \frac{K_4}{X_r} = \frac{\mu_{\text{LFE}} Y_r}{180.1 X_r} = \]

Pressure head for recycle LFE:
\[ \Delta P_r = A_2 - B_2 (\Delta p)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \]

\[ B_2 = \frac{K_4 K_2}{X_r} = \]

Figure 9. Example worksheet 3, recycle LFE pressure head.
### Example EGR Procedure data sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Run no.</th>
<th>Filter no.</th>
<th>Amount liquid lost during transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Container number</th>
<th>Weight of particulate matter, mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 .....</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 .....</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 .....</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less acetone blank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less acetone blank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Container number</th>
<th>Weight of particulate matter, mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total particulate weight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. EGR method analysis sheet.

Cyclone Interior Dimensions

![Cyclone diagram]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions (±0.02 cm, ±0.01 in.)</th>
<th>cm</th>
<th>inches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>D&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>D&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12. Cyclone design specifications.
TABLE 1—PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS FOR SOURCE PM$_{10}$ CYCLONES AND NOZZLE COMBINATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Collection efficiency.</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Such that collection efficiency falls within envelope specified by Section 5.7.6 and Figure 13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cyclone cut size (D$_{50}$)</td>
<td>µm</td>
<td>10±1 µm aerodynamic diameter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2—PARTICLE SIZES AND NOMINAL GAS VELOCITIES FOR EFFICIENCY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size ($\mu$m)</th>
<th>Target gas velocities (m/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5±0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7±0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10±0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14±1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20±1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Mass median aerodynamic diameter.

Figure 13. Efficiency envelope for the PM$_{10}$ cyclone.
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P(INL) ...................... 12.15 INWG
DP(RCL) .................... 2.21 INWG
DP(PTO) .................... 0.06 INWG
Miscellaneous:
P(RAR) .................... 29.99 INWG
DP(STK) .................... 0.10 INWG
V(DGM) .................... 13.74 FT3
TIME ....................... 60.00 MIN
% CO2 ...................... 8.00
% O2 ....................... 20.00
NOZ (IN) .................. 0.2500
Water Content:
Estimate ................. 0.0%
Condenser ............... 7.0 ML
Column .................... 0.0 GM
Raw Masses:
Cyclone 1 ............... 21.7 MG
Filter ..................... 11.7 MG
Impinger Residue ........ 0.0 MG
Blank Values:
CYC Rinse ............... 0.0 MG

Filter Holder Rinse ...... 0.0 MG
Filter Blank .............. 0.0 MG
Impinger Rinse .......... 0.0 MG

Calibration Values:
CP(PITOT) ................ 0.840
DH@(ORI) ................. 10.980
M(TOT LFE) .............. 0.2298
B(TOT LFE) ............... -0.0058
M(RCL LFE) .............. 0.0948
B(RCL LFE) .............. -0.0007
DGM GAMMA ............. 0.9940

Reduced Data
Stack Velocity (FT/SEC) .... 15.95
Stack Gas Moisture (%) .... 2.4
Sample Flow Rate (ACFM) ... 0.3104
Total Flow Rate (ACFM) .... 0.5819
Recycle Flow Rate (ACFM) .. 0.2760
Percent Recycle ........... 46.7
Isokinetic Ratio (%) ....... 95.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Particulate)</th>
<th>(MG/DNCM)</th>
<th>(GR/ACF)</th>
<th>(GR/DCF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclone 1 ...</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>0.01794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backup Filter</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.00668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate Total</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.02762</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Figure 14. Example inputs and outputs of the EGR reduction program.

METHOD 201A—DETERMINATION OF PM10 EMISSIONS (CONSTANT SAMPLING RATE PROCEDURE)

1. Applicability and Principle

1.1 Applicability. This method applies to the in-stack measurement of particulate matter (PM) emissions equal to or less than an aerodynamic diameter of nominally 10 \( \mu \text{m} \) (PM10) from stationary sources. The EPA recognizes that condensible emissions not collected by an in-stack method are also PM10, and that emissions that contribute to ambient, PM10 levels are the sum of condensible emissions and emissions measured by an in-stack PM10 method, such as this method or Method 201. Therefore, for establishing source contributions to ambient levels of PM10, such as for emission inventory purposes, EPA suggests that source PM10 measurement include both in-stack PM10 and condensible emissions. Condensible emissions may be measured by an impinger analysis in combination with this method.

1.2 Principle. A gas sample is extracted at a constant flow rate through an in-stack sizing device, which separates PM greater than PM10. Variations from isokinetic sampling conditions are maintained within well-defined limits. The particulate mass is determined gravimetrically after removal of uncombined water.

2. Apparatus

Note: Methods cited in this method are part of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A.

2.1 Sampling Train. A schematic of the Method 201A sampling train is shown in Figure 1 of this method. With the exception of the PM10 sizing device and in-stack filter, this train is the same as an EPA Method 17 train.

2.1.1 Nozzle. Stainless steel (316 or equivalent) with a sharp tapered leading edge. Eleven nozzles that meet the design specification in Figure 2 of this method are recommended. A larger number of nozzles with small nozzle increments increase the likelihood that a single nozzle can be used for the entire traverse. If the nozzles do not meet the design specifications in Figure 2 of this method, then the nozzles must meet the criteria in Section 5.2 of this method and a cascade impactor that has been calibrated using the procedure in Section 5.4 of this method shall be either a cyclone that meets the specifications in Section 5.2 of this method or a cascade impactor that has been calibrated using the procedure in Section 5.4 of this method.

2.1.2 PM10 Sizer. Stainless steel (316 or equivalent), capable of determining the PM10 fraction. The sizing device shall be either a cyclone that meets the specifications in Section 5.2 of this method or a cascade impactor that has been calibrated using the procedure in Section 5.4 of this method.

2.1.3 Filter Holder. 63-mm, stainless steel. An Andersen filter, part number SE274, has been found to be acceptable for the in-stack filter. Note: Mention of trade names or specific products does not constitute endorsement by the Environmental Protection Agency.
2.1.4 Pitot Tube. Same as in Method 5, Section 2.1.3. The pitot lines shall be made of heat resistant tubing and attached to the probe with stainless steel fittings.

2.1.5 Probe Liner. Optional, same as in Method 5, Section 2.1.2.

2.1.6 Differential Pressure Gauge, Condenser, Metering System, Barometer, and Gas Density Determination Equipment. Same as in Method 5, Sections 2.1.4, and 2.1.7 through 2.1.10, respectively.

2.2 Sample Recovery. 2.2.1 Nozzle, Sizing Device, Probe, and Filter Holder Brushes. Nylon bristle brushes with stainless steel wire shafts and handles, properly sized and shaped for cleaning the nozzle, sizing device, probe or probe liner, and filter holders.

2.2.2 Wash Bottles, Glass Sample Storage Containers, Petri Dishes, Graduated Cylinder and Balance, Plastic Storage Containers, Funnel and Rubber Policeman, and Funnel. Same as in Method 5, Sections 2.2.2 through 2.2.8, respectively.

2.3 Analysis. Same as in Method 5, Section 2.3.

3. Reagents
The reagents for sampling, sample recovery, and analysis are the same as that specified in Method 5, Sections 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, respectively.

4. Procedure
4.1 Sampling. The complexity of this method is such that, in order to obtain reliable results, testers should be trained and experienced with the test procedures.

4.1.1 Pretest Preparation. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.1.1.

4.1.2 Preliminary Determinations. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.1.2, except use the directions on nozzle size selection and sampling time in this method. Use of any nozzle greater than 0.16 in. in diameter requires a sampling port diameter of 6 inches. Also, the required maximum number of traverse points at any location shall be 12.

4.1.2.1 The sizing device must be in-stack or maintained at stack temperature during sampling. The blockage effect of the CSR sampling assembly will be minimal if the cross-sectional area of the sampling assembly is 3 percent or less of the cross-sectional area of the duct. If the cross-sectional area of the assembly is greater than 3 percent of the cross-sectional area of the duct, then either determine the pitot coefficient at sampling conditions or use a standard pitot with a known coefficient in a configuration with the CSR sampling assembly such that flow disturbances are minimized.

4.1.2.2 The setup calculations can be performed by using the following procedures.

4.1.2.2.1 In order to maintain a cut size of 10 μm in the sizing device, the flow rate through the sizing device must be maintained at a constant, discrete value during the run. If the sizing device is a cyclone that meets the design specifications in Figure 3 of this method, use the equations in Figure 4 of this method to calculate three orifice heads (ΔH): one at the average stack temperature, and the other two at temperatures ±28 °C (±50 °F) of the average stack temperature. Use ΔH calculated at the average stack temperature as the pressure head for the sample flow rate as long as the stack temperature during the run is within 28 °C (50 °F) of the average stack temperature. If the stack temperature varies by more than 28 °C (50 °F), then use the appropriate ΔH.

4.1.2.2.2 If the sizing device is a cyclone that does not meet the design specifications in Figure 3 of this method, use the equations in Figure 4 of this method, except use the procedures in Section 5.3 of this method to determine Q, the correct cyclone flow rate for a 10 μm size.

4.1.2.2.3 To select a nozzle, use the equations in Figure 5 of this method to calculate Δpmax and Δpmin for each nozzle at all three temperatures. If the sizing device is a cyclone that does not meet the design specifications in Figure 3 of this method, the example worksheets can be used.

4.1.2.2.4 Correct the Method 2 pitot readings to Method 201A pitot readings by multiplying the Method 2 pitot readings by the square of a ratio of the Method 201A pitot coefficient to the Method 2 pitot coefficient. Select the nozzle for which Δpmax and Δpmin bracket all of the corrected Method 2 pitot readings. If more than one nozzle meets this requirement, select the nozzle giving the greatest symmetry. Note that if the expected pitot reading for one or more points is near a limit for a chosen nozzle, it may be outside the limits at the time of the run.

4.1.2.2.5 Vary the dwell time, or sampling time, at each traverse point proportionately with the point velocity. Use the equations in Figure 6 of this method to calculate the dwell time at the first point and at each subsequent point. It is recommended that the number of minutes sampled at each point be rounded to the nearest 15 seconds.

4.1.3 Preparation of Collection Train. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.1.3, except omit directions about a glass cyclone.

4.1.4 Leak-Check Procedure. The sizing device is removed before the post-test leak-check to prevent any disturbance of the collected sample prior to analysis.

4.1.4.1 Pretest Leak-Check. A pretest leak-check of the entire sampling train, including the sizing device, is required. Use the leak-check procedure in Method 5, Section 4.1.4.1 to conduct a pretest leak-check.

4.1.5 Leak-Checks During Sample Run. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.1.4.1.
4.1.4.3 Post-Test Leak-Check. A leak-check is required at the conclusion of each sampling run. Remove the cyclone before the leak-check to prevent the vacuum created by the cooling of the probe from disturbing the collected sample and use the procedure in Method 5, Section 4.1.4.3 to conduct a post-test leak-check.

4.1.5 Method 201A Train Operation. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.1.5, except use the procedures in this section for isokinetic sampling and flow rate adjustment. Maintain the flow rate calculated in Section 4.1.2.2.1 of this method throughout the run provided the stack temperature is within 28 °C (50 °F) of the temperature used to calculate ∆H. If stack temperatures vary by more than 28 °C (50 °F), use the appropriate ∆H value calculated in Section 4.1.2.2.1 of this method. Calculate the dwell time at each traverse point as in Figure 6 of this method.

4.2 Sample Recovery. If a cascade impactor is used, use the manufacturer's recommended procedures for sample recovery. If a cyclone is used, use the same sample recovery as that in Method 5, Section 4.2, except an increased number of sample recovery containers is required.

4.2.1 Container Number 1 (In-Stack Filter). The recovery shall be the same as that for Container Number 1 in Method 5, Section 4.2.

4.2.2 Container Number 2 (Cyclone or Large PM Catch). This step is optional. The anisokinetic error for the cyclone PM is theoretically larger than the error for the PM ten catch. Therefore, adding all the fractions to get a total PM catch is not as accurate as Method 5 or Method 201. Disassemble the cyclone and remove the nozzle to recover the large PM catch. Quantitatively recover the PM from the interior surfaces of the nozzle and cyclone, excluding the “turn around” cup and the interior surfaces of the exit tube. The recovery shall be the same as that for Container Number 2 in Method 5, Section 4.2.

4.2.3 Container Number 3 (PM ten). Quantitatively recover the PM from all of the surfaces from the cyclone exit to the front half of the in-stack filter holder, including the "turn around" cup inside the cyclone and the interior surfaces of the exit tube. The recovery shall be the same as that for Container Number 2 in Method 5, Section 4.2.

4.2.4 Container Number 4 (Silica Gel). The recovery shall be the same as that for Container Number 3 in Method 5, Section 4.2.

4.2.5 Impinger Water. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.2, under "Impinger Water."

4.3 Analysis. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.3, except handle Method 201A Container Number 1 like Container Number 1, Method 201A Container Numbers 2 and 3 like Container Number 2, and Method 201A Container Number 4 like Container Number 3. Use Figure 7 of this method to record the weights of PM collected. Use Figure 5-3 in Method 5, Section 4.3, to record the volume of water collected.

4.4 Quality Control Procedures. Same as in Method 5, Section 4.4.

4.5 PM ten Emission Calculation and Acceptability of Results. Use the procedures in section 6 to calculate PM ten emissions and the criteria in section 6.3.5 to determine the acceptability of the results.

5. Calibration

Maintain an accurate laboratory log of all calibrations.

5.1 Probe Nozzle, Pitot Tube, Metering System, Probe Heater Calibration, Temperature Gauges, Leak-check of Metering System, and Barometer. Same as in Method 5, Section 5.1 through 5.7, respectively.

5.2 Probe Cyclone and Nozzle Combinations. The probe cyclone and nozzle combinations need not be calibrated if both meet design specifications in Figures 2 and 3 of this method. If the nozzle does not meet design specifications, then test the cyclone and nozzle combinations for conformity with performance specifications (PS's) in Table 1 of this method. If the cyclone does not meet design specifications, then test the cyclone and nozzle combination shall conform to the PS's and calibrate the cyclone to determine the relationship between flow rate, gas viscosity, and gas density. Use the procedures in Section 5.2 of this method to conduct PS tests and the procedures in Section 5.3 of this method to calibrate the cyclone. The purpose of the PS tests are to confirm that the cyclone and nozzle combination has the desired sharpness of cut. Conduct the PS tests in a wind tunnel described in Section 5.2.1 of this method and particle generation system described in Section 5.2.2 of this method. Use five particle sizes and three wind velocities as listed in Table 2 of this method. A minimum of three replicate measurements of collection efficiency shall be performed for each of the 15 conditions listed, for a minimum of 45 measurements.

5.2.1 Wind Tunnel. Perform the calibration and PS tests in a wind tunnel (or equivalent test apparatus) capable of establishing and maintaining the required gas stream velocities within 10 percent.

5.2.2 Particle Generation System. The particle generation system shall be capable of producing solid monodispersed dye particles with the mass median aerodynamic diameters specified in Table 2 of this method. Perform the particle size distribution verification on an integrated sample obtained during the sampling period of each test. An acceptable alternative is to verify the size distribution of samples obtained before and after each test, with both samples required to meet the diameter and monodispersity requirements for an acceptable test run.
5.2.2.1 Establish the size of the solid dye particles delivered to the test section of the wind tunnel by using the operating parameters of the particle generation system, and verify them during the tests by microscopic examination of samples of the particles collected on a membrane filter. The particle size, as established by the operating parameters of the generation system, shall be within the tolerance specified in Table 2 of this method. The precision of the particle size verification technique shall be at least ±0.5, µm, and particle size determined by the verification technique shall not differ by more than 10 percent from that established by the operating parameters of the particle generation system.

5.2.2.2 Certify the monodispersity of the particles for each test either by microscopicinspection of collected particles on filters or by other suitable monitoring techniques such as an optical particle counter followed by a multichannel pulse height analyzer. If the proportion of multiplets and satellites in an aerosol exceeds 10 percent by mass, the particle generation system is unacceptable for the purpose of this test. Multiplets are particles that are agglomerated, and satellites are particles that are smaller than the specified size range.

5.2.3 Schematic Drawings. Schematic drawings of the wind tunnel and blower system and other information showing complete procedural details of the test atmosphere generation, verification, and delivery techniques shall be furnished with calibration data to the reviewing agency.

5.2.4 Flow Measurements. Measure the cyclone air flow rates with a dry gas meter and stopwatch, or a calibrated orifice system capable of measuring flow rates to within 2 percent.

5.2.5 Performance Specification Procedure. Establish test particle generator operation and verify particle size microscopically. If monodispersity is to be verified by measurements at the beginning and the end of the run rather than by an integrated sample, these measurements may be made at this time.

5.2.5.1 The cyclone cut size, or D_{50}, of a cyclone is defined here as the particle size having a 50 percent probability of penetration. Determine the cyclone flow rate at which D_{50} is 10 µm. A suggested procedure is to vary the cyclone flow rate while keeping a constant particle size of 10 µm. Measure the PM collected in the cyclone (m_c), the exit tube (m_t), and the filter (m_f). Calculate cyclone efficiency (E_c) for each flow rate as follows:

\[ E_c = \frac{m_c}{(m_c + m_t + m_f)} \times 100 \]

5.2.5.2 Do three replicates and calculate the average cyclone efficiency \( E_{c(avg)} \) as follows:

\[ E_{c(avg)} = (E_1 + E_2 + E_3)/3 \]

Where E_1, E_2, and E_3 are replicate measurements of E_c.

5.2.5.3 Calculate the standard deviation (σ) for the replicate measurements of E_c as follows:

\[ \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{(E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2) - (E_1 + E_2 + E_3)^2}{3}} \]

If σ exceeds 0.10, repeat the replicated runs.

5.2.5.4 Measure the overall efficiency of the cyclone and nozzle, E_o, at the particle sizes and nominal gas velocities in Table 2 of this method using the following procedure.

5.2.5.5 Set the air velocity and particle size from one of the conditions in Table 2 of this method. Establish isokinetic sampling conditions and the correct flow rate in the cyclone (obtained by procedures in this section) such that the D_{50} is 10 µm. Sample long enough to obtain ±5 percent precision on total collected mass as determined by the precision and the sensitivity of measuring technique. Determine separately the nozzle catch (m_n), cyclone catch (m_c), cyclone exit tube (m_t), and collection filter catch (m_f) for each particle size and nominal gas velocity in Table 2 of this method. Calculate overall efficiency (E_o) as follows:

\[ E_o = \frac{(m_n + m_c)}{(m_n + m_c + m_t + m_f)} \times 100 \]

5.2.5.6 Do three replicates for each combination of gas velocity and particle size in Table 2 of this method. Use the equation...
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below to calculate the average overall efficiency (E_{avg}) for each combination following the procedures described in this section for determining efficiency.

\[ E_{\text{avg}} = \frac{E_1 + E_2 + E_3}{3} \]

Where E₁, E₂, and E₃ are replicate measurements of Eᵣ.

5.2.5.7 Use the formula in Section 5.2.5.3 to calculate σ for the replicate measurements. If σ exceeds 0.10 or if the particle sizes and nominal gas velocities are not within the limits specified in Table 2 of this method, repeat the replicate runs.

5.2.6 Criteria for Acceptance. For each of the three gas stream velocities, plot the E_{avg} as a function of particle size on Figure 8 of this method. Draw smooth curves through all particle sizes. E_{avg} shall be within the banded region for all sizes, and the E_{avg} shall be 50±0.5 percent at 10 µm.

5.3 Cyclone Calibration Procedure. The purpose of this procedure is to develop the relationship between flow rate, gas viscosity, gas density, and D_{avg}.

5.3.1 Calculate Cyclone Flow Rate. Determine flow rates and D_{avg}'s for three different particle sizes between 5 µm and 15 µm, one of which shall be 10 µm. All sizes must be determined within 0.5 µm. For each size, use a different temperature within 60 °C (108 °F) of the temperature at which the cyclone is to be used and conduct triplicate runs. A suggested procedure is to keep the particle size constant and vary the flow rate.

5.3.1.1 On log-log graph paper, plot the Reynolds number (Re) on the abscissa, and the square root of the Stokes 50 number ([Stk_{50}]^{1/2}) on the ordinate for each temperature. Use the following equations to compute both values:

\[ Re = \frac{4 \rho Q_{\text{cyc}}}{d_{\text{cyc}} \pi \mu_s} \]

\[ (\text{Stk}_{50})^{1/2} = \left[ \frac{4 Q_{\text{cyc}} (D_{50})^2}{(9 \pi \mu_s)^3 (d_{\text{cyc}})^3} \right]^{1/2} \]

where:

Q_{\text{cyc}} = Cyclone flow rate, cm³/sec.

ρ = Gas density, g/cm³.

d_{\text{cyc}} = Diameter of cyclone inlet, cm.

μ_s = Viscosity of stack gas, micropoise.

D_{50} = Aerodynamic diameter of a particle having a 50 percent probability of penetration, cm.

5.3.1.2 Use a linear regression analysis to determine the slope (m) and the Y-intercept (b). Use the following formula to determine Q, the cyclone flow rate required for a cut size of 10 µm.

\[ Q_s = \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{3000}{K_1} \right) - b \right]^{-(0.5-m)} \left[ \frac{T_s}{M_w P_s} \right]^{m/(m-0.5)} d^{(m-1.5)/(m-0.5)} \]

where:

m = Slope of the calibration line.

b = Y-intercept of the calibration line.

Q_s = Cyclone flow rate for a cut size of 10 µm, cm³/sec.

d = Diameter of nozzle, cm.

T_s = Stack gas temperature, °R.

P_s = Absolute stack pressure, in. Hg.

M_w = Wet molecular weight of the stack gas, lb/mol.

K_1 = 4.077×10⁻⁴.

5.3.1.3 Refer to the Method 201A operators manual, entitled Application Guide for Source PM_{10} Measurement with Constant Sampling Rate, for directions in the use of this equation for Q in the setup calculations.

5.4 Cascade Impactor. The purpose of calibrating a cascade impactor is to determine the empirical constant (STK_{c0}), which is specific to the impactor and which permits the accurate determination of the cut size of the impactor stages at field conditions. It is not necessary to calibrate each individual impactor. Once an impactor has been calibrated, the calibration data can be applied to other impactors of identical design.

5.4.1 Wind Tunnel. Same as in Section 5.2.1 of this method.

5.4.2 Particle Generation System. Same as in Section 5.2.2 of this method.

5.4.3 Hardware Configuration for Calibrations. An impaction stage constrains an aerosol to form circular or rectangular jets, which are directed toward a suitable substrate where the larger aerosol particles are collected. For calibration purposes, three stages of the cascade impactor shall be discussed and designated calibration stages 1, 2, and 3. The first calibration stage consists of the collection substrate of an impaction stage and all upstream surfaces up to and including the nozzle. This may include other preceding impactor stages. The second and
third calibration stages consist of each respective collection substrate and all upstream surfaces up to but excluding the collection substrate of the preceding calibration stage. This may include intervening impactor stages which are not designated as calibration stages. The cut size, or \( D_{50} \), of the adjacent calibration stages shall differ by a factor of not less than 1.5 and not more than 2.0. For example, if the first calibration stage has a \( D_{50} \) of 12 \( \mu m \), then the \( D_{50} \) of the downstream stage shall be between 6 and 8 \( \mu m \).

5.4.3.1 It is expected, but not necessary, that the complete hardware assembly will be used in each of the sampling runs of the calibration and performance determinations. On the first calibration stage, this assembly must be tested under isokinetic sampling conditions. The second and third calibration stages must be calibrated with the collection substrate of the preceding calibration stage in place, so that gas flow patterns existing in field operation will be simulated.

5.4.3.2 Each of the \( PM_{10} \) stages should be calibrated with the type of collection substrate, viscous material (such as grease) or glass fiber, used in \( PM_{10} \) measurements. Note that most materials used as substrates at elevated temperatures are not viscous at normal laboratory conditions. The substrate material used for calibrations should minimize particle bounce, yet be viscous enough to withstand erosion or deformation by the impactor jets and not interfere with the procedure for measuring the collected PM.

5.4.4 Calibration Procedure. Establish test particle generator operation and verify particle size microscopically. If monodispersity is to be verified by measurements at the beginning and the end of the run rather than by an integrated sample, these measurements shall be made at this time. Measure in triplicate the PM collected by the calibration stage (m) and the PM on all surfaces downstream of the respective calibration stage (m) for all of the flow rates and particle size combinations shown in Table 2 of this method. Techniques of mass measurement may include the use of a dye and spectrophotometer. Particles on the upstream side of a jet plate shall be included with the substrate downstream, except agglomerates of particles, which shall be included with the preceding or upstream substrate. Use the following formula to calculate the collection efficiency (\( E \)) for each stage.

5.4.4.1 Use the formula in Section 5.2.5.3 of this method to calculate the standard deviation (\( \sigma \)) for the replicate measurements. If \( \sigma \) exceeds 0.10, repeat the replicate runs.

5.4.4.2 Use the following formula to calculate the average collection efficiency (\( E_{avg} \)) for each set of replicate measurements.

\[
E_{avg} = \frac{E_1 + E_2 + E_3}{3}
\]

where, \( E_1 \), \( E_2 \), and \( E_3 \) are replicate measurements of \( E \).

5.4.4.3 Use the following formula to calculate \( Stk \) for each \( E_{avg} \).

\[
Stk = \frac{D^2 Q}{9 \mu A_d j}
\]

where:
- \( D = \) Aerodynamic diameter of the test particle, \( \text{cm} \) (\( \text{g cm}^{-3} \))^1/2.
- \( Q = \) Gas flow rate through the calibration stage at inlet conditions, \( \text{cm}^3 \text{sec}^{-1} \).
- \( \mu = \) Gas viscosity, micropoise.
- \( A = \) Total cross-sectional area of the jets of the calibration stage, \( \text{cm}^2 \).
- \( d_j = \) Diameter of one jet of the calibration stage, \( \text{cm} \).

5.4.4.4 Determine \( Stk_{50} \) for each calibration stage by plotting \( E_{avg} \) versus \( Stk \) on log-log paper. \( Stk_{50} \) is the \( Stk \) number at 50 percent efficiency. Note that particle bounce can cause efficiency to decrease at high values of \( Stk \). Thus, 50 percent efficiency can occur at multiple values of \( Stk \). The calibration data should clearly indicate the value of \( Stk_{50} \) for minimum particle bounce. Impactor efficiency versus \( Stk \) with minimal particle bounce is characterized by a monotonically increasing function with constant or increasing slope with increasing \( Stk \).

5.4.4.5 The \( Stk_{50} \) of the first calibration stage can potentially decrease with decreasing nozzle size. Therefore, calibrations should be performed with enough nozzle sizes to provide a measured value within 25 percent of any nozzle size used in \( PM_{10} \) measurements.

5.4.5 Criteria For Acceptance. Plot \( E_{avg} \) for the first calibration stage versus the square root of the ratio of \( Stk \) to \( Stk_{50} \) on Figure 9 of this method. Draw a smooth curve through all of the points. The curve shall be within the banded region.

6. Calculations

Calculations are as specified in Method 5, sections 6.3 through 6.7, and 6.9 through 6.11, with the addition of the following:

6.1 Nomenclature.
- \( B_s = \) Moisture fraction of stack, by volume, dimensionless.
- \( C_V = \) Viscosity constant, 51.12 micropoise for \( ^\circ \text{K} \) \(( 51.05 \text{ micropoise for } ^\circ \text{R}) \).
- \( C_T = \) Viscosity constant, 0.372 micropoise/\( ^\circ \text{K} \) \(( 0.207 \text{ micropoise}/^\circ \text{R}) \).
- \( C_{V1} = \) Viscosity constant, 1.05x10^-4 micropoise/\( ^\circ \text{K} \) \(( 3.24 \times 10^{-4} \text{ micropoise}/^\circ \text{R}) \).
- \( C_{V2} = \) Viscosity constant, 53.147 micropoise/\( ^\circ \text{O} \).
- \( C_{V3} = \) Viscosity constant, 74.143 micropoise/\( ^\circ \text{H} \text{O} \).
- \( D_{50} = \) Diameter of particles having a 50 percent probability of penetration, \( \mu m \).
Environmental Protection Agency

Pt. 51, App. M

L=sum of weights of stack gases in a given fraction of volume, dry basis.
K=factor to convert barometric pressure to stack gas pressure, mm Hg (in. Hg).
Mw=wet molecular weight of stack gas, g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).
Md=dry molecular weight of stack gas, g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).
Pbar=barometric pressure at sampling site, mm Hg (in. Hg).
P_abs=absolute stack pressure, mm Hg (in. Hg).
Qs=total cyclone flow rate at wet conditions, m³/min (ft³/min).
Qs_(std)=total cyclone flow rate at standard conditions, dscm/min (dscf/min).
Tm=average absolute temperature of dry meter, °K (°R).
Ts=average absolute stack gas temperature, °K (°R).
Vw_(std)=volume of water vapor in gas sample (standard conditions), scm (scf).
θ=total sampling time, min.
µs=viscosity of stack gas, micropoise.

6.2 Analysis of Cascade Impactor Data. Use the manufacturer’s recommended procedures to analyze data from cascade impactors.

6.3 Analysis of Cyclone Data. Use the following procedures to analyze data from a single stage cyclone.

6.3.1 PM₁₀ Weight. Determine the PM catch in the PM₁₀ range from the sum of the weights obtained from Container Numbers 1 and 3 less the acetone blank.

6.3.2 Total PM Weight (optional). Determine the PM catch for greater than PM₁₀ from the weight obtained from Container Number 2 less the acetone blank, and add it to the PM₁₀ weight.

6.3.3 PM₁₀ Fraction. Determine the PM₁₀ fraction of the total particulate weight by dividing the PM₁₀ particulate weight by the total particulate weight.

6.3.4 Aerodynamic Cut Size. Calculate the stack gas viscosity as follows:

\[ \mu_s = C_1 + C_2 T + C_3 T^2 + C_4 f O_2 - C_5 B_w \]

6.3.4.1 The PM₁₀ flow rate, at actual cyclone conditions, is calculated as follows:

\[ Q_s = \frac{T_s}{K} \left[ \frac{Q_s_(std)^+}{\theta} + \frac{V_w_(std)}{\theta} \right] \]

6.3.4.2 Calculate the molecular weight on a wet basis of the stack gas as follows:

\[ M_w = M_d (1 - B_w) + 18.0 (B_w) \]

6.3.4.3 Calculate the actual D₅₀ of the cyclone for the given conditions as follows:

\[ D_{50} = \beta_1 \left[ \frac{T_s}{M_w P_s} \right]^{0.2091} \left[ \frac{\mu_s}{Q_s} \right]^{-0.7091} \]

where \( \beta_1 = 0.027754 \) for metric units (0.15625 for English units).

6.3.5 Acceptable Results. The results are acceptable if two conditions are met. The first is that 9.0 μm ≤ D₅₀ ≤ 11.0 μm. The second is that no sampling points are outside Δp_min and Δp_max, or that 80 percent ≤ I ≤ 120 percent and no more than one sampling point is outside Δp_min and Δp_max. If D₅₀ is less than 9.0 μm, reject the results and repeat the test.

7. Bibliography

1. Same as Bibliography in Method 5.
Figure 2. Nozzle design specifications.
Barometric pressure, $P_{\text{bar}}$, in. Hg = ____

Stack static pressure, $P_{\text{g}}$, in. H$_2$O = ____

Average stack temperature, $t_s$, °F = ____

Meter temperature, $t_m$, °F = ____

Orifice $\Delta H_{\text{in}}$, in. H$_2$O = ____

Gas analysis:

$\%$CO$_2$ = ____

$\%$O$_2$ = ____

$\%$N$_2$ + $\%$CO = ____

Fraction moisture content, $B_{\text{ws}}$ = ____

Molecular weight of stack gas, dry basis:

$M_d = 0.44 (\%$CO$_2$) + 0.32 (\%O$_2$) + 0.28 (\%N$_2$ + $\%$CO) = ____ lb/lb mole

Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis:

$M_w = M_d (1 - B_{\text{ws}}) + 18 (B_{\text{ws}}) = ____$ lb/lb mole

Absolute stack pressure:

$$P_s = P_{\text{bar}} + \frac{P_{\text{g}}}{13.6} = ____$$ in. Hg

Viscosity of stack gas:

$\mu = 152.418 + 0.2552 t_s + 3.2355 \times 10^{-5} t_s^2 + 0.53147 (\%$O$_2$) - 74.143 $B_{\text{ws}} = ____$ micropoise

Cyclone flow rate:
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\[ Q_s = 0.002837\mu_s \left( \frac{t_s + 460}{M_w P_s} \right)^{-0.2949} \] \[ = \text{ft}^3/\text{min} \]

Figure 4. Example worksheet 1, cyclone flow rate and \( \Delta H \). Orifice pressure head \( (\Delta H) \) needed for cyclone flow rate:

\[ \Delta H = \frac{Q_s \left( 1 - B_{ws} \right) P_s}{t_s + 460} \left( \frac{t_{m+460} M_d}{P_{bar}} \right)^{1.083} \Delta H_\theta \]

\[ = \text{in. H}_2\text{O} \]

Calculate \( \Delta H \) for three temperatures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature, ( t_s ), °F</th>
<th>( \Delta H ), in. H(_2)O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stack viscosity, \( \mu_s \), micropoise =
Absolute stack pressure, \( P_s \), in. H\(_g\) =
Average stack temperature, \( t_s \), °F =
Meter temperature, \( t_m \), °F =
Method 201A pitot coefficient, \( C_p \) =
Cyclone flow rate, \( Q_s \), ft\(^3\)/min =
Method 2 pitot coefficient, \( C_{p'} \) =
Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis, \( M_w \) =
Nozzle diameter, \( D_n \), in. =
Nozzle velocity:

\[ v_n = \frac{3.056Q_s}{D_n^2} = \text{ft/} \text{sec} \]

\[ v_{\text{min}} = v_n \left[ 0.2457 + \left( 0.3072 - \frac{0.2603Q_s^{1/5}}{v_n^{1/5}} \right)^{1/2} \right] = \text{ft/} \text{sec} \]

\[ v_{\text{max}} = v_n \left[ 0.4457 + \left( 0.5690 - \frac{0.2603Q_s^{1/5}}{v_n^{1/5}} \right)^{1/2} \right] = \text{ft/} \text{sec} \]

Maximum and minimum velocities:
Calculate \( R_{\text{min}} \)

\[ R_{\text{min}} = 0.2457 + 0.3072 - \frac{0.2603\left( \sqrt[5]{Q_s} \right)\mu_s}{v_n^{1/5}} \]

If \( R_{\text{min}} \) is less than 0.5, or if an imaginary number occurs when calculating \( R_{\text{min}} \), use Equation 1 to calculate \( v_{\text{min}} \). Otherwise, use Equation 2.

\[ \text{Eq. 1 } v_{\text{min}} = v_n (0.5) = \text{ft/} \text{sec} \]
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Eq. 2 \( v_{\text{min}} = v_n R_{\text{max}} = \) ____ ft/sec

Calculate \( R_{\text{max}} \).

\[
R_{\text{max}} = 0.4457 + 0.5690 + \frac{0.2603}{v_n \sqrt{Q_n}} = __
\]

If \( R_{\text{max}} \) is greater than 1.5, use Equation 3 to calculate \( v_{\text{max}} \). Otherwise, use Equation 4.

Eq. 3 \( v_{\text{max}} = v_n (1.5) = \) ____ ft/sec

Eq. 4 \( v_{\text{max}} = v_n R_{\text{max}} = \) ____ ft/sec

Figure 5. Example worksheet 2, nozzle selection.

Maximum and minimum velocity head values:

\[
\Delta p_{\text{min}} = 1.3686 \times 10^{-2} \frac{P M_w (v_{\text{min}})^2}{(t_s + 460) C_p^2} = ____ \text{ in. H}_2\text{O}
\]

\[
\Delta p_{\text{max}} = 1.3686 \times 10^{-2} \frac{P M_w (v_{\text{max}})^2}{(t_s + 460) C_p^2} = ____ \text{ in. H}_2\text{O}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nozzle No.</th>
<th>( D_n ), in.</th>
<th>( v_n ), ft/sec</th>
<th>( v_{\text{min}} ), ft/sec</th>
<th>( v_{\text{max}} ), ft/sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta p_{\text{min}} ), in. H$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta p_{\text{max}} ), in. H$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Velocity traverse data:

\[
\Delta p(\text{Method 201A}) = \Delta p(\text{Method 2}) \left[ \frac{C_p}{C_p'} \right]^{\frac{2}{2}}
\]

Total run time, minutes = ____

Number of traverse points = ____

\[
t_1 = \left[ \frac{\Delta p_1'}{\Delta p_{\text{avg}}'} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\text{Total run time}}{\text{Number of points}}
\]

\( t_1 \) = dwell time at first traverse point, minutes.
\( \Delta p_1' \) = the velocity head at the first traverse point (from a previous traverse), in. H$_2$O.
\( \Delta p_{\text{avg}}' \) = the square of the average square root of the \( \Delta p \)'s (from a previous velocity traverse), in. H$_2$O.

At subsequent traverse points, measure the velocity \( \Delta p \) and calculate the dwell time by using the following equation:
where:

\[ t_n = \frac{t_1}{\left(\Delta p_1\right)^2} \left(\Delta p_n\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \], \text{ } n = 2, 3, \ldots, \text{ total number of sampling points}

\( t_n \) = dwell time at traverse point \( n \), minutes.

\( \Delta p_n \) = measured velocity head at point \( n \), in.

\( \Delta p_1 \) = measured velocity head at point 1 in.

\( H_20 \).

Figure 6. Example worksheet 3, dwell time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Port</th>
<th>( \Delta p )</th>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( \Delta p )</th>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( \Delta p )</th>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( \Delta p )</th>
<th>( t )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plant

Date

Run no.

Filter no.

Amount of liquid lost during transport

Acetone blank volume, ml

Acetone wash volume, ml (4)

Acetone blank conc., mg/mg (Equation 5-4, Method 5)

Acetone wash blank, mg (Equation 5-5, Method 5)

Figure 7. Method 201A analysis sheet.

TABLE 1—PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS FOR SOURCE \( PM_{10} \) CYCLONES AND NOZZLE COMBINATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Collection efficiency.</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Such that collection efficiency falls within envelope specified by Section 5.2.6 and Figure 8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cyclone cut size ( (D_{50}) ).</td>
<td>( \mu m )</td>
<td>10±1 ( \mu m ) aerodynamic diameter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2—PARTICLE SIZES AND NOMINAL GAS VELOCITIES FOR EFFICIENCY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size ( (\mu m) )</th>
<th>Target gas velocities ((\text{m/sec}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5±0.5</td>
<td>7±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7±0.5</td>
<td>7±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10±0.5</td>
<td>10±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14±1.0</td>
<td>14±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20±1.0</td>
<td>20±1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \ast \) Mass median aerodynamic diameter.
Figure 8. Efficiency envelope for the PM$_{10}$ cyclone.
1. Applicability and Principle

1.1 Applicability.

1.1.1 This method applies to the determination of condensible particulate matter (CPM) emissions from stationary sources. It is intended to represent condensible matter as material that condenses after passing through a filter and as measured by this method (Note: The filter catch can be analyzed according to the appropriate method).

1.1.2 This method may be used in conjunction with Method 201 or 201A if the probes are glass-lined. Using Method 202 in conjunction with Method 201 or 201A, only the impinger train configuration and analysis is addressed by this method. The sample train operation and front end recovery and analysis shall be conducted according to Method 201 or 201A.

1.1.3 This method may also be modified to measure material that condenses at other temperatures by specifying the filter and probe temperature. A heated Method 5 out-of-stack filter may be used instead of the in-stack filter to determine condensible emissions at wet sources.

1.2 Principle.

1.2.1 The CPM is collected in the impinger portion of a Method 17 (appendix A, 40 CFR part 60) type sampling train. The impinger contents are immediately purged after the run with nitrogen (N\textsubscript{2}) to remove dissolved sulfur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}) gases from the impinger contents. The impinger solution is then extracted with methylene chloride (MeCl\textsubscript{2}). The organic and aqueous fractions are then taken to dryness and the residues weighed. The total of both fractions represents the CPM.

1.2.2 The potential for low collection efficiency exist at oil-fired boilers. To improve the collection efficiency at these type of sources, an additional filter placed between the second and third impinger is recommended.
2. Precision and Interference

2.1 Precision. The precision based on method development tests at an oil-fired boiler and a catalytic cracker were 11.7 and 4.8 percent, respectively.

2.2 Interference. Ammonia. In sources that use ammonia injection as a control technique for hydrogen chloride (HCl), the ammonia interferes by reacting with HCl in the gas stream to form ammonium chloride (NH\textsubscript{4}Cl) which would be measured as CPM. The sample may be analyzed for chloride and the equivalent amount of NH\textsubscript{4}Cl can be subtracted from the CPM weight. However, if NH\textsubscript{4}Cl is to be counted as CPM, the inorganic fraction should be taken to near dryness (less than 1 ml liquid) in the oven and then allowed to air dry at ambient temperature to prevent any NH\textsubscript{4}Cl from vaporizing.

3. Apparatus

3.1 Sampling Train. Same as in Method 17, section 2.1, with the following exceptions noted below (see Figure 202-1). Note: Mention of trade names or specific products does not constitute endorsement by EPA.

3.1.1 The probe extension shall be glass-lined or Teflon.

3.1.2 Both the first and second impingers shall be of the Greenburg-Smith design with the standard tip.

3.1.3 All sampling train glassware shall be cleaned prior to the test with soap and tap water, water, and rinsed using tap water, water, acetone, and finally, MeCl\textsubscript{2}. It is important to completely remove all silicone grease from areas that will be exposed to the MeCl\textsubscript{2} during sample recovery.

3.2 Sample Recovery. Same as in Method 17, section 2.2, with the following additions: 3.2.1 N\textsubscript{2} Purge Line. Inert tubing and fittings capable of delivering 0 to 28 liters/min of N\textsubscript{2} gas to the impinger train from a standard gas cylinder (see Figure 202-2). Standard 0.95 cm (3/8-inch) plastic tubing and compression fittings in conjunction with an adjustable pressure regulator and needle valve may be used.

3.2.2 Rotameter. Capable of measuring gas flow at 20 liters/min.

3.3 Analysis. The following equipment is necessary in addition to that listed in Method 17, section 2.3:

3.3.1 Separatory Funnel. Glass, 1-liter.

3.3.2 Weighing Tins. 350-ml.

3.3.3 Dry Equipment. Hot plate and oven with temperature control.

3.3.4 Pipets. 5-ml.

3.3.5 Ion Chromatograph. Same as in Method 5F, Section 2.1.6.

4. Reagents

Unless otherwise indicated, all reagents must conform to the specifications established by the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are not available, use the best available grade.

4.1 Sampling. Same as in Method 17, section 3.1, with the addition of deionized distilled water to conform to the American Society for Testing and Materials Specification D 1193-74, Type II and the omission of section 3.1.4.

4.2 Sample Recovery. Same as in Method 17, section 3.2, with the following additions:

4.2.1 N\textsubscript{2} Gas. Zero N\textsubscript{2} gas at delivery pressures high enough to provide a flow of 20 liters/min for 1 hour through the sampling train.

4.2.2 Methylene Chloride, ACS grade. Blanks shall be run prior to use and only methylene chloride with low blank values (0.001 percent) shall be used.

4.2.3 Water. Same as in section 4.1.

4.3 Analysis. Same as in Method 17, section 3.3, with the following additions:

4.3.1 Methylene Chloride. Same as section 4.2.2.

4.3.2 Ammonium Hydroxide. Concentrated (14.8 M) NH\textsubscript{4}OH.

4.3.3 Water. Same as in section 4.1.

4.3.4 Phenolphthalein. The pH indicator solution, 0.05 percent in 50 percent alcohol.

5. Procedure

5.1 Sampling. Same as in Method 17, section 4.1, with the following exceptions:

5.1.1 Place 100 ml of water in the first three impingers.

5.1.2 The use of silicone grease in train assembly is not recommended because it is very soluble in MeCl\textsubscript{2} which may result in sample contamination. Teflon tape or similar means may be used to provide leak-free connections between glassware.

5.2 Sample Recovery. Same as in Method 17, section 4.2 with the addition of a post-test N\textsubscript{2} purge and specific changes in handling of individual samples as described below.

5.2.1 Post-test N\textsubscript{2} Purge for Sources Emitting SO\textsubscript{2}. (Note: This step is recommended, but is optional. With little or no SO\textsubscript{2} present in the gas stream, i.e., the pH of the impinger solution is greater than 4.5, purging has been found to be unnecessary.) As soon as possible after the post-test leak check, detach the probe and filter from the impinger train. Leave the ice in the impinger box to prevent removal of moisture during the purge. If necessary, add more ice during the purge to maintain the gas temperature below 20 °C. With no flow of gas through the clean purge line and fittings, attach it to the input of the impinger train (see Figure 202-2). To avoid over- or underpressurizing the impinger array, slowly commence the N\textsubscript{2} gas flow through the line while simultaneously opening the meter box pump valve(s). When using the gas cylinder pressure to push the purge gas through the sample train, adjust the flow rate to 20 liters/min through the rotameter. When pulling the
purge gas through the sample train using the meter box vacuum pump, set the orifice pressure differential to \( \Delta H_{0} \) and maintain an overflow rate through the rotometer of less than 2 liters/min. This will guarantee that the \( N_{0} \) delivery system is operating at greater than ambient pressure and prevents the possibility of passing ambient air (rather than \( N_{2} \)) through the impingers. Continue the purge under these conditions for 1 hour, checking the rotometer and \( \Delta H \) value(s) periodically. After 1 hour, simultaneously turn off the delivery and pumping systems.

5.2.2 Sample Handling.
5.2.2.1 Container Nos. 1, 2, and 3. If filter catch is to be determined, as detailed in Method 17, section 4.2.
5.2.2.2 Container No. 4 (Impinger Contents). Measure the liquid in the first three impingers to within 1 ml using a clean graduated cylinder or by weighing it to within 0.5 g using a balance. Record the volume or weight of liquid present to be used to calculate the moisture content of the effluent gas. Quantitatively transfer this liquid into a clean sample bottle (glass or plastic); rinse each impinger and the connecting glassware, including probe extension, twice with water, recover the rinse water, and add it to the same sample bottle. Mark the liquid level on the bottle.
5.2.2.3 Container No. 5 (MeCl\(_2\) Rinse). Follow the water rinses of each impinger and the connecting glassware, including the probe extension with two rinses of MeCl\(_2\); save the rinse products in a clean, glass sample jar. Mark the liquid level on the jar.
5.2.2.4 Container No. 6 (Water Blank). Once during each field test, place 500 ml of water in a separate sample container.
5.2.2.5 Container No. 7 (MeCl\(_2\) Blank). Once during each field test, place in a separate glass sample jar a volume of MeCl\(_2\) approximately equivalent to the volume used to conduct the MeCl\(_2\) rinse of the impingers.

5.3 Analysis. Record the data required on a sheet such as the one shown in Figure 202-3. Handle each sample container as follows:
5.3.1 Container Nos. 1, 2, and 3. If filter catch is to be determined, as detailed in Method 17, section 4.2.
5.3.2 Container Nos. 4 and 5. Note the level of liquid in the containers and confirm on the analytical data sheet whether leakage occurred during transport. If a noticeable amount of leakage has occurred, either void the sample or use methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, to correct the final results. Measure the liquid in Container No. 4 either volumetrically to ±1 ml or gravimetrically to ±0.5 g. Remove a 5-ml aliquot and set aside for later ion chromatographic (IC) analysis of sulfates. (Note: Do not use this aliquot to determine chlorides since the HCl will be evaporated during the first drying step; Section 8.2 details a procedure for this analysis.)
5.3.2.1 Extraction. Separate the organic fraction of the sample by adding the contents of Container No. 4 (MeCl\(_2\)) to the contents of Container No. 4 in a 1800-ml separatory funnel. After mixing, allow the aqueous and organic phases to fully separate, and drain off most of the organic/MeCl\(_2\) phase. Then add 75 ml of MeCl\(_2\) to the funnel, mix well, and drain off the lower organic phase. Repeat with another 75 ml of MeCl\(_2\). This extraction should yield about 250 ml of organic extract. Each time, leave a small amount of the organic/MeCl\(_2\) phase in the separatory funnel ensuring that no water is collected in the organic phase. Place the organic extract in a tared 350-ml weighing tin.
5.3.2.2 Organic Fraction Weight Determination (Organic Phase from Container Nos. 4 and 5). Evaporate the organic extract at room temperature and pressure in a laboratory hood. Following evaporation, desiccate the organic fraction for 24 hours in a desiccator containing anhydrous calcium sulfate. Weigh to a constant weight and report the results to the nearest 0.1 mg.
5.3.2.3 Inorganic Fraction Weight Determination. (Note: If NH\(_4\)Cl is to be counted as CPM, the inorganic fraction should be taken to near dryness (less than 1 ml liquid) in the oven and then allow to air dry at ambient temperature. If multiple acid emissions are suspected, the ammonia titration procedure in section 8.1 may be preferred.) Using a hot plate, or equivalent, evaporate the aqueous phase to approximately 50 ml; then, evaporate to dryness in a 105 °C oven. Redissolve the residue in 100 ml of water. Add five drops of phenolphthalein to this solution; then, add concentrated (14.8 M) NH\(_4\)OH until the sample turns pink. Any excess NH\(_2\)OH will precipitate chloride. After the suspension has settled, siphon off most of the organic/MeCl\(_2\) phase. Each time, leave a small amount of the MeCl\(_2\) phase in the separatory funnel ensuring that no water is collected in the organic phase and that no organic extract is present in the gas stream, i.e., when the pH of the impinger solution is greater than 4.5, the addition of NH\(_4\)OH is not necessary.)
5.3.2.4 Analysis of Sulfate by IC to Determine Ammonium Ion (NH\(_4^+\)) Retained in the Sample. (Note: If NH\(_4\)OH is not added, omit this step.) Determine the amount of sulfate in the aliquot taken from Container No. 4 earlier as described in Method 5F (appendix A, 40 CFR part 60). Based on the IC SO\(_4^2-\) analysis of the aliquot, calculate the correction factor to subtract the NH\(_4^+\) retained in the sample and to add the combined water removed by the acid-base reaction (see section 7.2).
5.3.3 Analysis of Water and MeCl\(_2\) Blanks (Container Nos. 6 and 7). Analyze these sample blanks as described above in sections 5.3.2.3 and 5.3.2.2, respectively.
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5.3.4 Analysis of Acetone Blank (Container No. 8). Same as in Method 17, section 4.3.

6. Calibration

Same as in Method 17, section 5, except for the following:

6.1 IC Calibration. Same as Method 5F, section 5.

6.2 Audit Procedure. Concurrently, analyze the audit sample and a set of compliance samples in the same manner for evaluation of the technique of the analyst and the standards preparation. The same analyst, analytical reagents, and analytical system shall be used both for compliance samples and the EPA audit sample. If this condition is met, auditing of subsequent compliance analyses for the same enforcement agency within 30 days is not required. An audit sample set may not be used to validate different sets of compliance samples under the jurisdiction of different enforcement agencies, unless prior arrangements are made with both enforcement agencies.

6.3 Audit Samples. Audit Sample Availability. Audit samples will be supplied only to enforcement agencies for compliance tests. The availability of audit samples may be obtained by writing:

Source Test Audit Coordinator (MD-77B),
Quality Assurance Division, Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle, Park, NC 27711 or by calling the Source Test Audit Coordinator (STAC) at (919) 541–7834. The request for the audit sample must be made at least 30 days prior to the scheduled compliance sample analysis.

6.4 Audit Results. Calculate the audit sample concentration according to the calculation procedure described in the audit instructions included with the audit sample. Fill in the audit sample concentration and the analyst’s name on the audit response form included with the audit instructions. Send one copy to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency and a second copy to the STAC. The EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency will report the results of the audit to the laboratory being audited. Include this response with the results of the compliance samples in relevant reports to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency.

7. Calculations

Same as in Method 17, section 6, with the following additions:

7.1 Nomenclature. Same as in Method 17, section 6.1 with the following additions.

\( C_{\text{so}} \) = Concentration of the CPM in the stack gas, dry basis, corrected to standard conditions, g/dscm (g/dscf).

\( \text{CSO}_4 \) = Concentration of \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) in the sample, mg/ml.

\( m_a \) = Sum of the mass of the water and \( \text{MeCl}_2 \) blanks, mg.

\( m_b \) = Mass of the \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) added to sample to form ammonium sulfate, mg.

\( m_o \) = Mass of organic CPM matter, mg.

\( m_i \) = Mass of dried sample from inorganic fraction, mg.

\( V_o \) = Volume of aliquot taken for IC analysis, ml.

\( V_m \) = Volume of impinger contents sample, ml.

7.2 Correction for \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) Present in the Sample.

\[ m_i = m_i - m_c = \frac{V_i}{V_i - V_b} \quad \text{Eq. 202–2} \]

7.3 Mass of Inorganic CPM.

\[ C_{\text{cpm}} = \frac{m_o + m_i - m_b}{V_{\text{std}}} \quad \text{Eq. 202–3} \]

8. Alternative Procedures

8.1 Determination of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) Retained in Sample by Titration.

8.1.1 An alternative procedure to determine the amount of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) added to the inorganic fraction by titration may be used. After dissolving the inorganic residue in 100 ml of water, titrate the solution with 0.1 N \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) to a pH of 7.0, as indicated by a pH meter. The 0.1 N \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) OH is made as follows: Add 7 ml of concentrated (14.8 M) \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) to 1 liter of water. Standardize against standardized 0.1 N \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) and calculate the exact normality using a procedure parallel to that described in section 5.3 of Method 6 (appendix A, 40 CFR part 60). Alternatively, purchase 0.1 N \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) that has been standardized against a National Institute of Standards and Technology reference material.

8.1.2 Calculate the concentration of \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) in the sample using the following equation.

\[ \text{CSO}_4 = \frac{48.03 \cdot V_i \cdot N}{100} \quad \text{Eq. 202–4} \]

where

\( N \) = Normality of the \( \text{NH}_4\text{OH} \), mg/ml.

\( V_i \) = Volume of \( \text{NH}_4\text{OH} \) titrant, ml.

48.03 = mg/meq.

100 = Volume of solution, ml.
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8.3.1 Calculate the CPM as described in section 7.
8.2 Analysis of Chlorides by IC. At the conclusion of the final weighing as described in section 5.3.2.3, redissolve the inorganic fraction in 100 ml of water. Analyze an aliquot of the redisolved sample for chlorides by IC using techniques similar to those described in Method 8 for sulfates. Previous drying of the sample should have removed all HCl. Therefore, the remaining chlorides measured by IC can be assumed to be NH₄Cl, and this weight can be subtracted from the weight determined for CPM.

8.3 Air Purge to Remove SO₂ from Impinger Contents. As an alternative to the post-test N₂ purge described in section 5.2.1, the tester may opt to conduct the post-test purge with air at 20 liter/min. Note: The use of an air purge is not as effective as a N₂ purge.

8.4 Chloroform-ether Extraction. As an alternative to the methylene chloride extraction described in section 5.3.2.1, the tester may opt to conduct a chloroform-ether extraction. Note: The Chloroform-ether was not as effective as the MeCl₂ in removing the organics, but it was found to be an acceptable organic extractant. Chloroform and diethyl ether of ACS grade, with low blank values (0.001 percent), shall be used. Analysis of the chloroform and diethyl ether blanks shall be conducted according to Section 5.3.3 for MeCl₂.

8.4.1 Add the contents of Container No. 4 to a 1000-ml separatory funnel. Then add 75 ml of chloroform to the funnel, mix well, and drain off the lower organic phase. Repeat two more times with 75 ml of chloroform. Then perform three extractions with 75 ml of diethyl ether. This extraction should yield approximately 450 ml of organic extraction. Each time, leave a small amount of the organic extract evaporates, add the remaining extract to the weighing tin.

8.4.2 Add the contents of Container No. 5 to the organic extraction. Place approximately 300 ml of the organic extract in a tared 350-ml weighing tin while storing the remaining organic extract in a sample container. As the organic extract evaporates, add the remaining extract to the weighing tin.

8.4.3 Determine the weight of the organic phase as described in Section 5.3.2.2.

8.5 Improving Collection Efficiency. If low impinger collection efficiency is suspected, the following procedure may be used.

8.5.1 Place an out-of-stack filter as described in Method 8 between the second and third impingers.

8.5.2 Recover and analyze the filter according to Method 17, Section 4.2. Include the filter holder as part of the connecting glassware and handle as described in sections 5.2.2.2 and 5.2.2.3.

8.5.3 Calculate the Concentration of CPM as follows:

\[
C_{\text{rpm}} = \frac{m_i + m_l - m_b}{VM} \text{ Eq. 202-5}
\]

where:
- \(m_i\) = amount of CPM collected on out-of-stack filter, mg.

8.6 Wet Source Testing. When testing at a wet source, use a heated out-of-stack filter as described in Method 5.

9. Bibliography


Environmental Protection Agency

Pt. 51, App. M

Moisture Determination

Volume or weight of liquid in impingers: __ ml or g
Weight of moisture in silica gel: __ g

Sample Preparation (Container No. 4)

Amount of liquid lost during transport: __ ml
Final volume: __ ml
pH of sample prior to analysis: __
Addition of NH₄OH required:
Sample extracted 2X with 75 ml MeCl₂:

For Titration of Sulfate
Normality of NH₄OH: _____ N
Volume of sample titrated: _____ ml
Volume of titrant: _____ ml

Sample Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Container number</th>
<th>Weight of condensible particulate, mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final weight</td>
<td>Tare weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight gain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 4 (inorganic)    |                                      |
| 4 & 5 (Organic)  |                                      |

Total:
Less Blank:  
Weight of Condensible Particulate:
Figure 202-3. Analytical data sheet.

METHOD 204—CRITERIA FOR AND VERIFICATION OF A PERMANENT OR TEMPORARY TOTAL ENCLOSURE

1. Scope and Application

This procedure is used to determine whether a permanent or temporary enclosure meets the criteria for a total enclosure. An existing building may be used as a temporary or permanent enclosure as long as it meets the appropriate criteria described in this method.

2. Summary of Method

An enclosure is evaluated against a set of criteria. If the criteria are met and if all the exhaust gases from the enclosure are ducted to a control device, then the volatile organic compounds (VOC) capture efficiency (CE) is assumed to be 100 percent, and CE need not be measured. However, if part of the exhaust gas stream is not ducted to a control device, CE must be determined.

3. Definitions

3.1 Natural Draft Opening (NDO). Any permanent opening in the enclosure that remains open during operation of the facility and is not connected to a duct in which a fan is installed.

3.2 Permanent Total Enclosure (PE). A permanently installed enclosure that completely surrounds a source of emissions such that all VOC emissions are captured and contained for discharge to a control device.

3.3 Temporary Total Enclosure (TTE). A temporarily installed enclosure that completely surrounds a source of emissions such that all VOC emissions that are not directed through the control device (i.e., uncaptured) are captured by the enclosure and contained for discharge through ducts that allow for the accurate measurement of the uncaptured VOC emissions.

3.4 Building Enclosure (BE). An existing building that is used as a TTE.

4. Safety

An evaluation of the proposed building materials and the design for the enclosure is recommended to minimize any potential hazards.

5. Criteria for Temporary Total Enclosure

5.1 Any NDO shall be at least four equivalent diameters from each VOC emitting point unless otherwise specified by the Administrator.

5.2 Any exhaust point from the enclosure shall be at least four equivalent duct or hood diameters from each NDO.

5.3 The total area of all NDO’s shall not exceed 5 percent of the surface area of the enclosure’s four walls, floor, and ceiling.

5.4 The average facial velocity (FV) of air through all NDO’s shall be at least 3,600 m/hr (200 fpm). The direction of air flow through all NDO’s shall be into the enclosure.

5.5 All access doors and windows whose areas are not included in section 5.3 and are not included in the calculation in section 5.4 shall be closed during routine operation of the process.

6. Criteria for a Permanent Total Enclosure

6.1 Same as sections 5.1 and 5.3 through 5.5.

6.2 All VOC emissions must be captured and contained for discharge through a control device.

7. Quality Control

7.1 The success of this method lies in designing the TTE to simulate the conditions that exist without the TTE (i.e., the effect of the TTE on the normal flow patterns around the affected facility or the amount of uncapture VOC emissions should be minimal). The TTE must enclose the application stations, coating reservoirs, and all areas from the application station to the oven. The oven does not have to be enclosed if it is under negative pressure. The NDO’s of the temporary enclosure and an exhaust fan must be properly sized and placed.

7.2 Estimate the ventilation rate of the TTE that best simulates the conditions that exist without the TTE (i.e., the effect of the TTE on the normal flow patterns around the affected facility or the amount of uncapture VOC emissions should be minimal). Figure 204-1 or the following equation may be used as an aid.

\[ CE = \frac{Q_G C_G}{Q_G C_G + Q_F C_F} \] Eq. 204-1
Measure the concentration \((C_G)\) and flow rate \((Q_G)\) of the captured gas stream, specify a safe concentration \((C_F)\) for the uncaptured gas stream, estimate the CE, and then use the plot in Figure 204-1 or Equation 204-1 to determine the volumetric flow rate of the uncaptured gas stream \((Q_F)\). An exhaust fan that has a variable flow control is desirable.

7.3 Monitor the VOC concentration of the captured gas steam in the duct before the capture device without the TTE. To minimize the effect of temporal variation on the captured emissions, the baseline measurement should be made over as long a time period as practical. However, the process conditions must be the same for the measurement in section 7.5 as they are for this baseline measurement. This may require short measuring times for this quality control check before and after the construction of the TTE.

7.4 After the TTE is constructed, monitor the VOC concentration inside the TTE. This concentration should not continue to increase, and must not exceed the safe level according to Occupational Safety and Health Administration requirements for permissible exposure limits. An increase in VOC concentration indicates poor TTE design.

7.5 Monitor the VOC concentration of the captured gas steam in the duct before the capture device with the TTE. To limit the effect of the TTE on the process, the VOC concentration with and without the TTE must be within 10 percent. If the measurements do not agree, adjust the ventilation rate from the TTE until they agree within 10 percent.

8. Procedure

8.1 Determine the equivalent diameters of the NDO’s and determine the distances from each VOC emitting point to all NDO’s. Determine the equivalent diameter of each exhaust duct or hood and its distance to all NDO’s. Calculate the distances in terms of equivalent diameters. The number of equivalent diameters shall be at least four.

8.2 Measure the total surface area \((A_T)\) of the enclosure and the total area \((A_N)\) of all NDO’s in the enclosure. Calculate the NDO to enclosure area ratio (NEAR) as follows:

\[
\text{NEAR} = \frac{A_N}{A_T} \quad \text{Eq. 204-2}
\]

The NEAR must be ≤ 10.05.

8.3 Measure the volumetric flow rate, corrected to standard conditions, of each gas stream exiting the enclosure through an exhaust duct or hood using EPA Method 2. In some cases (e.g., when the building is the enclosure), it may be necessary to measure the volumetric flow rate, corrected to standard conditions, of each gas stream entering the enclosure through a forced makeup air duct using Method 2. Calculate FV using the following equation:

\[
FV = \frac{Q_O - Q_I}{A_N} \quad \text{Eq. 204-3}
\]

where:

- \(Q_O\) = the sum of the volumetric flow from all gas streams exiting the enclosure through an exhaust duct or hood.
- \(Q_I\) = the sum of the volumetric flow from all gas streams into the enclosure through a forced makeup air duct; zero, if there is no forced makeup air into the enclosure.
- \(A_N\) = total area of all NDO’s in enclosure.

The FV shall be at least 3,600 m/hr (200 fpm). Alternatively, measure the pressure differential across the enclosure. A pressure drop of 0.913 mm Hg (0.007 in. H₂O) corresponds to an FV of 3,600 m/hr (200 fpm).

8.4 Verify that the direction of air flow through all NDO’s is inward. If FV is less than 9,000 m/hr (500 fpm), the continuous inward flow of air shall be verified using streamers, smoke tubes, or tracer gases. Monitor the direction of air flow for at least 1 hour, with checks made no more than 10 minutes apart. If FV is greater than 9,000 m/hr (500 fpm), the direction of air flow through the NDO’s shall be presumed to be inward at all times without verification.

9. Diagrams
METHOD 204A—VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS
CONTENT IN LIQUID INPUT STREAM

1. Scope and Application

1.1 Scope of Method. This procedure is applicable for determining the input of volatile organic compounds (VOC). It is intended to be used in the development of liquid/gas protocols for determining VOC capture efficiency (CE) for surface coating and printing operations.

1.2 Principle. The amount of VOC introduced to the process (L) is the sum of the products of the weight (W) of each VOC-containing liquid (ink, paint, solvent, etc.) used and its VOC content (V).
Environmental Protection Agency

1.3 Sampling Requirements. A CE test shall consist of at least three sampling runs. Each run shall cover at least one complete production cycle, but shall be at least 3 hours long. The sampling time for each run need not exceed 8 hours, even if the production cycle has not been completed. Alternative sampling times may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

2. Summary of Method

The amount of VOC containing liquid introduced to the process is determined as the weight difference of the feed material before and after each sampling run. The VOC content of the liquid input material is determined by volatilizing a small aliquot of the material and analyzing the volatile material using a flame ionization analyzer (FIA). A sample of each VOC containing liquid is analyzed with an FIA to determine V.

3. Safety

Because this procedure is often applied in highly explosive areas, caution and care should be exercised in choosing, installing, and using the appropriate equipment.

4. Equipment and Supplies

Mention of trade names or company products does not constitute endorsement. All gas concentrations (percent, ppm) are by volume, unless otherwise noted.

4.1 Liquid Weight

4.1.1 Balances/Digital Scales. To weigh drums of VOC containing liquids to within 0.2 lb or 1.0 percent of the total weight of VOC liquid used.

4.1.2 Volume Measurement Apparatus (Alternative). Volume meters, flow meters, density measurement equipment, etc., as needed to achieve the same accuracy as direct weight measurements.

4.2 VOC Content (FIA Technique). The liquid sample analysis system is shown in Figures 204A–1 and 204A–2. The following equipment is required:

4.2.1 Sample Collection Can. An appropriately-sized metal can to be used to collect VOC containing materials. The can must be constructed in such a way that it can be grounded to the coating container.

4.2.2 Needle Valves. To control gas flow.

4.2.3 Regulators. For carrier gas and calibration gas cylinders.

4.2.4 Tubing. Teflon or stainless steel tubing with diameters and lengths determined by connection requirements of equipment. The tubing between the sample oven outlet and the FIA shall be heated to maintain a temperature of 120±5 °C.

4.2.5 Atmospheric Vent. A tee and 0- to 30-in. Hg U-Tube manometer or vacuum gauge.

4.2.6 Thermometer. Capable of measuring the temperature of the hot water bath to within 1 °C.

4.2.7 Sample Oven. Heated enclosure, containing calibration gas coil heaters, critical orifice, aspirator, and other liquid sample analysis components, capable of maintaining a temperature of 120±5 °C.

4.2.8 Gas Coil Heaters. Sufficient lengths of stainless steel or Teflon tubing to allow zero and calibration gases to be heated to the sample oven temperature before entering the critical orifice or aspirator.

4.2.9 Water Bath. Capable of heating and maintaining a sample vessel temperature of 100±5 °C.

4.2.10 Analytical Balance. To measure ±0.001 g.

4.2.11 Disposable Syringes. 2-cc or 5-cc.

4.2.12 Sample Vessel. Glass, 40-ml septum vial. A separate vessel is needed for each sample.

4.2.13 Rubber Stopper. Two-hole stopper to accommodate 3.2-mm (½-in.) Teflon tubing, appropriately sized to fit the opening of the sample vessel. The rubber stopper should be wrapped in Teflon tape to provide a tight seal and to prevent any reaction of the sample with the rubber stopper. Alternatively, any leak-free closure fabricated of nonreactive materials and accommodating the necessary tubing fittings may be used.

4.2.14 Critical Orifices. Calibrated critical orifices capable of providing constant flow rates from 50 to 250 ml/min at known pressure drops. Sapphire orifice assemblies (available from O’Keefe Controls Company) and glass capillary tubing have been found to be adequate for this application.

4.2.15 Vacuum Gauge. Zero to 760-mm (0- to 30-in.) Hg U-Tube manometer or vacuum gauge.

4.2.16 Pressure Gauge. Bourdon gauge capable of measuring the maximum air pressure at the aspirator inlet (e.g., 100 psig).

4.2.17 Aspirator. A device capable of generating sufficient vacuum at the sample vessel to create critical flow through the calibrated orifice when sufficient air pressure is present at the aspirator inlet. The aspirator must also provide sufficient sample pressure to operate the FIA. The sample is also mixed with the dilution gas within the aspirator.

4.2.18 Soap Bubble Meter. Of an appropriate size to calibrate the critical orifices in the system.

4.2.19 Organic Concentration Analyzer. An FIA with a span value of 1.5 times the expected concentration as propane; however, other span values may be used if it can be demonstrated that they would provide more accurate measurements. The FIA instrument should be the same instrument used in the gaseous analyses adjusted with the same
fuel, combustion air, and sample back-pressure (flow rate) settings. The system shall be capable of meeting or exceeding the following specifications:

4.2.19.1 Zero Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.

4.2.19.2 Calibration Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.

4.2.19.3 Calibration Error. Less than ±5.0 percent of the calibration gas value.

4.2.20 Integrator/Data Acquisition System. An analog or digital device or computerized data acquisition system used to integrate the FIA response or compute the average response and record measurement data. The minimum data sampling frequency for computing average or integrated values is one measurement value every 5 seconds. The device shall be capable of recording average values at least once per minute.

4.2.21 Chart Recorder (Optional). A chart recorder or similar device is recommended to provide a continuous analog display of the measurement results during the liquid sample analysis.

5. Reagents and Standards

5.1 Calibration and Other Gases. Gases used for calibration, fuel, and combustion air (if required) are contained in compressed gas cylinders. All calibration gases shall be traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology standards and shall be certified by the manufacturer to ±1 percent of the tag value. Additionally, the manufacturer of the cylinder should provide a recommended shelf life for each calibration gas cylinder over which the concentration does not change more than ±2 percent from the certified value. For calibration gas values not generally available, dilution systems certified by the manufacturer to ±1 percent of the calibration gas value may be used. Alternative methods for preparing calibration gas mixtures may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

5.1.1 Fuel. The FIA manufacturer’s recommended fuel should be used. A 40 percent H2/60 percent He or 40 percent H2/60 percent N2 gas mixture is recommended to avoid an oxygen synergism effect that reportedly occurs when oxygen concentration varies significantly from a mean value. Other mixtures may be used provided the tester can demonstrate to the Administrator that there is no oxygen synergism effect.

5.1.2 Carrier Gas. High purity air with less than 1 ppm of organic material (as propane) or less than 0.1 percent of the span value, whichever is greater.

5.1.3 FIA Linearity Calibration Gases. Low-, mid-, and high-range gas mixture standards with nominal propane concentrations of 20–30, 45–55, and 70–80 percent of the span value in air, respectively. Other calibration values and other span values may be used if it can be shown to the Administrator’s satisfaction that equally accurate measurements would be achieved.

5.1.4 System Calibration Gas. Gas mixture standard containing propane in air, approximating the undiluted VOC concentration expected for the liquid samples.

6. Sample Collection, Preservation and Storage

6.1 Samples must be collected in a manner that prevents or minimizes loss of volatile components and that does not contaminate the coating reservoir.

6.2 Collect a 100-ml or larger sample of the VOC containing liquid mixture at each application location at the beginning and end of each test run. A separate sample should be taken of each VOC containing liquid added to the application mixture during the test run. If a fresh drum is needed during the sampling run, then obtain a sample from the fresh drum.

6.3 When collecting the sample, ground the sample container to the coating drum. Fill the sample container as close to the rim as possible to minimize the amount of headspace.

6.4 After the sample is collected, seal the container so the sample cannot leak out or evaporate.

6.5 Label the container to clearly identify the contents.

7. Quality Control

7.1 Required instrument quality control parameters are found in the following sections:

7.1.1 The FIA system must be calibrated as specified in section 8.1.

7.1.2 The system drift check must be performed as specified in section 8.2.

7.2 Audits.

7.2.1 Audit Procedure. Concurrently, analyze the audit sample and a set of compliance samples in the same manner to evaluate the technique of the analyst and the standards preparation. The same analyst, analytical reagents, and analytical system shall be used both for compliance samples and the EPA audit sample. If this condition is met, auditing of subsequent compliance analyses for the same enforcement agency within 30 days is not required. An audit sample set may not be used to validate different sets of compliance samples under the jurisdiction of different enforcement agencies, unless prior arrangements are made with both enforcement agencies.

7.2.2 Audit Samples and Audit Sample Availability. Audit samples will be supplied only to enforcement agencies for compliance tests. The availability of audit samples may be obtained by writing: Source Test Audit Coordinator (STAC) (MD–77B), Quality Assurance Division, Atmospheric Research and
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Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 or by calling the STAC at (919) 541-7834. The request for the audit sample must be made at least 30 days prior to the scheduled compliance sample analysis.

7.2.3 Audit Results. Calculate the audit sample concentration according to the calculation procedure described in the audit instructions included with the audit sample. Fill in the audit sample concentration and the analyst’s name on the audit response form included with the audit instructions. Send one copy to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency, and a second copy to the STAC. The EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency will report the results of the audit to the laboratory being audited. Include this response with the results of the compliance samples in relevant reports to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency.

8. Calibration and Standardization

8.1 FIA Calibration and Linearity Check. Make necessary adjustments to the air and fuel supplies for the FIA and ignite the burner. Allow the FIA to warm up for the period recommended by the manufacturer. Inject a calibration gas into the measurement system and adjust the back-pressure regulator to the value required to achieve the flow rates specified by the manufacturer. Inject the zero- and the high-range calibration gases and adjust the analyzer calibration to provide the proper responses. Inject the low- and mid-range gases and record the responses of the measurement system. The calibration and linearity of the system are acceptable if the responses for all four gases are within 5 percent of the respective gas values. If the performance of the system is not acceptable, repair or adjust the system and repeat the linearity check. Conduct a calibration and linearity check after assembling the analysis system and after a major change is made to the system.

8.2 Systems Drift Checks. After each sample, repeat the system calibration checks in section 9.2.7 before any adjustments to the FIA or measurement system are made. If the zero- or calibration drift exceeds ±3 percent of the span value, discard the result and repeat the analysis.

Alternatively, recalibrate the FIA as in section 8.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the lowest CE value shall be reported as the results for the test run.

8.3 Critical Orifice Calibration.

8.3.1 Each critical orifice must be calibrated at the specific operating conditions under which it will be used. Therefore, assemble all components of the liquid sample analysis system as shown in Figure 204A–3. A stopwatch is also required.

8.3.2 Turn on the sample oven, sample line, and water bath heaters, and allow the system to reach the proper operating temperature. Adjust the aspirator to a vacuum of 380 mm (15 in.) Hg vacuum. Measure the time required for one soap bubble to move a known distance and record barometric pressure.

8.3.3 Repeat the calibration procedure at a vacuum of 406 mm (16 in.) Hg, and ±25 mm (1-in.) Hg intervals until three consecutive determinations provide the same flow rate. Calculate the critical flow rate for the orifice in ml/min at standard conditions. Record the vacuum necessary to achieve critical flow.

9. Procedure

9.1 Determination of Liquid Input Weight.

9.1.1 Weight Difference. Determine the amount of material introduced to the process as the weight difference of the feed material before and after each sampling run. In determining the total VOC containing liquid usage, account for:

(a) The total VOC containing liquid mixture.
(b) Any solvent added during the test run.
(c) Any coating added during the test run.
(d) Any residual VOC containing liquid mixture remaining at the end of the sample run.

9.1.1.1 Identify all points where VOC containing liquids are introduced to the process. To obtain an accurate measurement of VOC containing liquids, start with an empty fountain (if applicable). After completing the run, drain the liquid in the fountain back into the liquid drum (if possible) and weigh the drum again. Weigh the VOC containing liquids to ±0.5 percent of the total weight (full) or ±1.0 percent of the total weight of VOC containing liquid used during the sample run, whichever is less. If the residual liquid cannot be returned to the drum, drain the fountain into a preweighed empty drum to determine the final weight of the liquid.

9.1.1.2 If it is not possible to measure a single representative mixture, then weigh the various components separately (e.g., if solvent is added during the sampling run, weigh the solvent before it is added to the mixture). If a fresh drum of VOC containing liquid is needed during the run, then weigh both the empty drum and fresh drum.

9.1.2 Volume Measurement (Alternative).

If direct weight measurements are not feasible, the tester may use volume meters or flow rate meters and density measurements to determine the weight of liquids used if it can be demonstrated that the technique produces results equivalent to the direct weight measurements. If a single representative
mixture cannot be measured, measure the components separately.

9.2 Determination of VOC Content in Input Liquids

9.2.1 Assemble the liquid VOC content analysis system as shown in Figure 204A-1.

9.2.2 Permanently identify all of the critical orifices that may be used. Calibrate each critical orifice under the expected operating conditions (i.e., sample vacuum and temperature) against a volume meter as described in section 8.3.

9.2.3 Label and tare the sample vessels (including the stoppers and caps) and the syringes.

9.2.4 Install an empty sample vessel and perform a leak test of the system. Close the carrier gas valve and atmospheric vent and evacuate the sample vessel to 250 mm (10 in.) Hg absolute or less using the aspirator. Close the toggle valve at the inlet to the aspirator and observe the vacuum for at least 1 minute. If there is any change in the sample pressure, release the vacuum, adjust or repair the apparatus as necessary, and repeat the leak test.

9.2.5 Perform the analyzer calibration and linearity checks according to the procedure in section 9.2.1. Record the responses to each of the calibration gases and the back-pressure setting of the FIA.

9.2.6 Establish the appropriate dilution ratio by adjusting the aspirator air supply or substituting critical orifices. Operate the aspirator at a vacuum of at least 25 mm (1 in.) Hg greater than the vacuum necessary to achieve critical flow. Select the dilution ratio so that the maximum response of the FIA to the sample does not exceed the high-range calibration gas.

9.2.7 Perform system calibration checks at two levels by introducing compressed gases at the inlet to the sample vessel while the aspirator and dilution devices are operating. Perform these checks using the carrier gas (zero concentration) and the system calibration gas. If the response to the carrier gas exceeds ±0.5 percent of span, clean or repair the apparatus and repeat the check. Adjust the dilution ratio as necessary to achieve the correct response to the upscale check, but do not adjust the analyzer calibration. Record the identification of the orifice, aspirator air supply pressure, FIA back-pressure, and the responses of the FIA to the carrier and system calibration gases.

9.2.8 After completing the above checks, inject the system calibration gas for approximately 10 minutes. Time the exact duration of the gas injection using a stopwatch. Determine the area under the FIA response curve and calculate the system response factor based on the sample gas flow rate, gas concentration, and the duration of the injection as compared to the integrated response using Equations 204A-2 and 204A-3.

9.2.9 Verify that the sample oven and sample line temperatures are 120±5 °C and that the water bath temperature is 100±5 °C.

9.2.10 Fill a tared syringe with approximately 1 g of the VOC containing liquid and weigh it. Transfer the liquid to a tared sample vessel. Plug the sample vessel to minimize sample loss. Weigh the sample vessel containing the liquid to determine the amount of sample actually received. Also, as a quality control check, weigh the empty syringe to determine the amount of material delivered. The two coating sample weights should agree within 0.02 g. If not, repeat the procedure until an acceptable sample is obtained.

9.2.11 Connect the vessel to the analysis system. Adjust the aspirator supply pressure to the correct value. Open the valve on the carrier gas supply to the sample vessel and adjust it to provide a slight excess flow to the atmospheric vent. As soon as the initial response of the FIA begins to decrease, immerse the sample vessel in the water bath. (Applying heat to the sample vessel too soon may cause the FIA response to exceed the calibrated range of the instrument and, thus, invalidate the analysis.)

9.2.12 Continuously measure and record the response of the FIA until all of the volatile material has been evaporated from the sample and the instrument response has returned to the baseline (i.e., response less than 0.5 percent of the span value). Observe the aspirator supply pressure, FIA back-pressure, atmospheric vent, and other system operating parameters during the run; repeat the analysis procedure if any of these parameters deviate from the values established during the system calibration checks in section 9.2.7. After each sample, perform the drift check described in section 8.2. If the drift check results are acceptable, calculate the VOC content of the sample using the equations in section 11.2. Alternatively, re-calibrate the FIA as in section 8.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the lowest CE value shall be reported as the results for the test run. Integrate the area under the FIA response curve, or determine the average concentration response and the duration of sample analysis.

10. Data Analysis and Calculations

10.1 Nomenclature.

\[ A_s = \text{area under the response curve of the liquid sample, area count.} \]

\[ A_w = \text{area under the response curve of the calibration gas, area count.} \]

\[ C_s = \text{actual concentration of system calibration gas, ppm propane.} \]

\[ K = 1.830 \times 10^{-6} \text{ g/(ml-ppm).} \]

\[ L = \text{total VOC content of liquid input, kg.} \]
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\[ M_l = \text{mass of liquid sample delivered to the sample vessel, g.} \]
\[ q = \text{flow rate through critical orifice, ml/min.} \]
\[ RF = \text{liquid analysis system response factor, g/area count.} \]
\[ \theta = \text{total gas injection time for system calibration gas during integrator calibration, min.} \]
\[ V_F = \text{final VOC fraction of VOC containing liquid j.} \]
\[ V_I = \text{initial VOC fraction of VOC containing liquid j.} \]
\[ V_A = \text{VOC fraction of VOC containing liquid j added during the run.} \]
\[ V = \text{VOC fraction of liquid sample.} \]
\[ W_F = \text{weight of VOC containing liquid j remaining at end of the run, kg.} \]
\[ W_I = \text{weight of VOC containing liquid j at beginning of the run, kg.} \]
\[ W_A = \text{weight of VOC containing liquid j added during the run, kg.} \]

10.2 Calculations

10.2.1 Total VOC Content of the Input VOC Containing Liquid.

\[ L = \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_F W_{Fj} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_I W_{Ij} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} V_A W_{Aj} \quad \text{Eq. 204A-1} \]

10.2.2 Liquid Sample Analysis System Response Factor for Systems Using Integrators, Grams/Area Count.

\[ RF = \frac{C_S q \theta S K}{A_S} \quad \text{Eq. 204A-2} \]

10.2.3 VOC Content of the Liquid Sample.

\[ V = \frac{A_l RF}{M_l} \quad \text{Eq. 204A-3} \]

11. Method Performance

The measurement uncertainties are estimated for each VOC containing liquid as follows: \( W = \pm 2.0 \) percent and \( V = \pm 4.0 \) percent. Based on these numbers, the probable uncertainty for \( L \) is estimated at about \( \pm 4.5 \) percent for each VOC containing liquid.

12. Diagrams
Figure 2344.1. Liquid analysis sample system.

Legend:
- Needle Valve
- Toggle Valve
- Sample Line
- Signal Line
- Atmospheric Vent
- Air
- Fuel
- High Range
- Mid Range
- Low Range
- Pressure Gage
- Sample Oven Thermometer
- Water Bath Thermometer
- Critical Office
- Sample Trim
- 0.05 LPM Rotameter
- 0.01 LPM Rotameter
- Integrator/DATA Acquisition System
- Recorder (Optional)
- Flame Ionization Detector
- Chart Recorder
- 0-37 IN U Tube Manometer
- Vacuum Gage
Figure 204A-2. VOC sampling vessel.
1. Scope and Application

1.1 Applicability. This procedure is applicable for determining the volatile organic compounds (VOC) content of captured gas streams. It is intended to be used in the development of a gas/gas protocol for determining VOC capture efficiency (CE) for surface coating and printing operations. The procedure may not be acceptable in certain site-specific situations (e.g., when: (1) direct-fired heaters or other circumstances affect the quantity of VOC at the control device inlet; and (2) particulate organic aerosols are formed in the process and are present in the captured emissions).

1.2 Principle. The amount of VOC captured (G) is calculated as the sum of the products of the VOC content (Cv), the flow rate (Qv), and the sample time (Tv) from each captured emissions point.

1.3 Sampling Requirements. A CE test shall consist of at least three sampling runs. Each run shall cover at least one complete production cycle, but shall be at least 3 hours long. The sampling time for each run need not exceed 8 hours, even if the production cycle has not been completed. Alternative sampling times may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

2. Summary of Method

A gas sample is extracted from the source though a heated sample line and, if necessary, a glass fiber filter to a flame ionization analyzer (FIA). Because this procedure is often applied in highly explosive areas, caution and care should be exercised in choosing, installing, and using the appropriate equipment.

3. Safety

Because this procedure is often applied in highly explosive areas, caution and care should be exercised in choosing, installing, and using the appropriate equipment.

4. Equipment and Supplies

Mention of trade names or company products does not constitute endorsement. All gas concentrations (percent, ppm) are by volume, unless otherwise noted.

4.1 Gas VOC Concentration. A schematic of the measurement system is shown in Figure 204B-1. The main components are as follows:

- Sample Probe. Stainless steel or equivalent. The probe shall be heated to prevent VOC condensation.
- Calibration Valve Assembly. Three-way valve assembly at the outlet of the sample probe to direct the zero and calibration gases to the analyzer. Other methods, such as quick-connect lines, to route calibration gases to the outlet of the sample probe are acceptable.
- Sample Line. Stainless steel or Teflon tubing to transport the sample gas to the analyzer. The sample line must be heated to prevent condensation.

4.1.4 Sample Pump. A leak-free pump, to pull the sample gas through the system at a flow rate sufficient to minimize the response time of the measurement system. The components of the pump that contact the gas stream shall be constructed of stainless steel or Teflon. The sample pump must be heated to prevent condensation.

4.1.5 Sample Flow Rate Control. A sample flow rate control valve and rotameter, or equivalent, to maintain a constant sampling rate within 10 percent. The flow rate control valve and rotameter must be heated to prevent condensation. A control valve may also be located on the sample pump bypass loop to assist in controlling the sample pressure and flow rate.

4.1.6 Organic Concentration Analyzer. An FIA with a span value of 1.5 times the expected concentration as propane; however, other span values may be used if it can be demonstrated to the Administrator's satisfaction that they would provide equally accurate measurements. The system shall be capable of meeting or exceeding the following specifications:

- Zero Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.
- Calibration Drift. Less than ±5.0 percent of the span value.
- Response Time. Less than 30 seconds.

4.1.7 Integrator/Data Acquisition System. An analog or digital device, or computerized data acquisition system used to integrate the FIA response or compute the average response and record measurement data. The minimum data sampling frequency for computing average or integrated values is one measurement value every 5 seconds. The device shall be capable of recording average values at least once per minute.

4.2 Captured Emissions Volumetric Flow Rate.

4.2.1 Method 2 or 2A Apparatus. For determining volumetric flow rate.

4.2.2 Method 3 Apparatus and Reagents. For determining molecular weight of the gas stream. An estimate of the molecular weight of the gas stream may be used if approved by the Administrator.

4.2.3 Method 4 Apparatus and Reagents. For determining moisture content, if necessary.

5. Reagents and Standards

5.1 Calibration and Other Gases. Gases used for calibration, fuel, and combustion air (if required) are contained in compressed gas cylinders. All calibration gases shall be traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology standards and shall be certified by the manufacturer to ±1 percent of the span value.

5.2 Calibration Methane Gas.
the tag value. Additionally, the manufacturer of the cylinder should provide a recommended shelf life for each calibration gas cylinder over which the concentration does not change more than 2 percent from the certified value. For calibration gas values not generally available, dilution systems calibrated using Method 205 may be used. Alternatively, preparing calibration gas mixtures may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

6. Required instrument quality control parameters are found in the following sections:

6.1 The FIA system must be calibrated as specified in section 7.1.

6.1.1 The system check must be performed as specified in section 7.2.

6.1.2 The system drift check must be conducted as specified in section 7.3.

6.2 Audits.

6.2.1 Analysis Audit Procedure. Immediately before each test, analyze an audit cylinder as described in section 7.2. The analysis audit must agree with the audit cylinder concentration within 10 percent.

6.2.2 Audit Samples and Audit Sample Availability. Audit samples will be supplied only to enforcement agencies for compliance tests. The availability of audit samples may be obtained by writing: Source Test Audit Coordinator (STAC) (MD-77B), Quality Assurance Division, Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 or by calling the STAC at (919) 541–7834. The request for the audit sample must be made at least 30 days prior to the scheduled compliance sample analysis.

6.2.3 Audit Results. Calculate the audit sample concentration according to the calculation procedure described in the audit instructions included with the audit samples. Fill in the audit sample concentration and the analyst’s name on the audit response form included with the audit instructions. Send one copy to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency, and a second copy to the STAC. The EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency will report the results of the audit to the laboratory being audited. Include this response with the results of the compliance samples in relevant reports to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency.

7. Calibration and Standardization

7.1 FIA Calibration and Linearity Check. Make necessary adjustments to the air and fuel supplies for the FIA and ignite the burner. Allow the FIA to warm up for the period recommended by the manufacturer. Inject a calibration gas into the measurement system and adjust the back-pressure regulator to the value required to achieve the flow rates specified by the manufacturer. Inject the zero- and high-range calibration gases and adjust the analyzer calibration to provide the proper responses. Inject the low- and mid-range gases and record the responses of the measurement system. The calibration and linearity of the system are acceptable if the responses for all four gases are within 5 percent of the respective gas values. If the performance of the system is not acceptable, repair or adjust the system and repeat the linearity check. Conduct a calibration and linearity check after assembling the analysis system and after a major change is made to the system.

7.2 Systems Drift Checks. Select the calibration gas that most closely approximates the concentration of the captured emissions for conducting the drift checks. Introduce the zero and calibration gases at the calibration valve assembly and verify that the appropriate gas flow rate and pressure are present at the FIA. Record the measurement system responses to the zero and calibration gases. The performance of the system is acceptable if the difference between the drift check measurement and the value obtained in section 7.1 is less than 3 percent of the span value. Alternatively, recalibrate the FIA as in section 7.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the lowest CE value.
shall be reported as the results for the test run. Conduct the system drift checks at the end of each run.

7.3 System Check. Inject the high-range calibration gas at the inlet of the sampling probe and record the response. The performance of the system is acceptable if the measurement system response is within 5 percent of the value obtained in section 7.1 for the high-range calibration gas. Conduct a system check before and after each test run.

8. Procedure

8.1. Determination of Volumetric Flow Rate of Captured Emissions.

8.1.1. Locate all points where emissions are captured from the affected facility. Using Method 1, determine the sampling points. Be sure to check each site for cyclonic or swirling flow.

8.1.2. Measure the velocity at each sampling point at least once every hour during each sampling run using Method 2 or 2A.

8.2. Determination of VOC Content of Captured Emissions.

8.2.1. Assemble the sample train as shown in Figure 204B.1. Calibrate the FIA according to the procedure in section 7.1.

8.2.2. Conduct a system check according to the procedure in section 7.3.

8.2.3. Install the sample probe so that the probe is centrally located in the stack, pipe, or duct, and is sealed tightly at the stack port connection.

8.2.4. Inject zero gas at the calibration valve assembly. Allow the measurement system response time as the time required for the system to reach the effluent concentration after the calibration valve has been returned to the effluent sampling position.

8.2.5. Conduct a system check before, and a system drift check after, each sampling run according to the procedures in sections 7.2 and 7.3. If the drift check following a run indicates unacceptable performance (see section 7.3), the run is not valid. Alternatively, recalibrate the FIA as in section 7.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the lowest CE value shall be reported as the results for the test run. The tester may elect to perform system drift checks during the run not to exceed one drift check per hour.

8.2.6. Verify that the sample lines, filter, and pump temperatures are 120±3 °C.

8.2.7. Begin sampling at the start of the test period and continue to sample during the entire run. Record the starting and ending times and any required process information as appropriate. If multiple captured emission locations are sampled using a single FIA, sample at each location for the same amount of time (e.g., 2 minutes) and continue to switch from one location to another for the entire test run. Be sure that total sampling time at each location is the same at the end of the test run. Collect at least four separate measurements from each sample point during each hour of testing. Disregard the measurements at each sampling location until two times the response time of the measurement system has elapsed. Continue sampling for at least 1 minute and record the concentration measurements.

8.2.3. Background Concentration.

NOTE: Not applicable when the building is used as the temporary total enclosure (TTE).

8.2.3.1. Locate all natural draft openings (NDOs) of the TTE. A sampling point shall be at the center of each NDO, unless otherwise specified by the Administrator. If there are more than six NDOs, choose six sampling points evenly spaced among the NDOs.

8.2.3.2. Assemble the sample train as shown in Figure 204B.2. Calibrate the FIA and conduct a system check according to the procedures in sections 7.1 and 7.3.

NOTE: This sample train shall be separate from the sample train used to measure the captured emissions.

8.2.3.3. Position the probe at the sampling location.

8.2.3.4. Determine the response time, conduct the system check, and sample according to the procedures described in sections 8.2.2.4 through 8.2.2.7.

8.2.4. Alternative Procedure. The direct interface sampling and analysis procedure described in section 7.2 of Method 18 may be used to determine the gas VOC concentration. The system must be designed to collect and analyze at least one sample every 10 minutes. If the alternative procedure is used to determine the VOC concentration of the captured emissions, it must also be used to determine the VOC concentration of the uncaptured emissions.

9. Data Analysis and Calculations

9.1. Nomenclature.

A

=area of NDO 1, ft².

A

=total area of all NDOs in the enclosure, ft².

C

=corrected average VOC concentration of background emissions at point i, ppm propane.

C

=average background concentration, ppm propane.
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\( C_{Gj} \) = corrected average VOC concentration of captured emissions at point \( j \), ppm propane. 

\( C_{DH} \) = average measured concentration for the drift check calibration gas, ppm propane. 

\( C_{DO} \) = average system drift check concentration for zero concentration gas, ppm propane. 

\( C_i \) = actual concentration of the drift check calibration gas, ppm propane. 

\( C_j \) = uncorrected average VOC concentration measured at point \( j \), ppm propane. 

\( G \) = total VOC content of captured emissions, kg. 

\( K_1 = 1.830 \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/(m}^3\text{-ppm)} \). 

\( n \) = number of measurement points. 

\( Q_{Gj} \) = average effluent volumetric flow rate corrected to standard conditions at captured emissions point \( j \), m\(^3\)/min. 

\( \Theta_C \) = total duration of captured emissions. 

9.2 Calculations. 

9.2.1 Total VOC Captured Emissions. 

\[
G = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (C_{Gj} - C_B)Q_{Gj}\Theta_C K_1 \quad \text{Eq. 204B-1}
\]

9.2.2 VOC Concentration of the Captured Emissions at Point \( j \). 

\[
C_{Gj} = \left( C_j - C_{DO} \right) \frac{C_H}{C_{DH} - C_{DO}} \quad \text{Eq. 204B-2}
\]

9.2.3 Background VOC Concentration at Point \( i \). 

\[
C_{Bi} = \left( C_i - C_{DO} \right) \frac{C_H}{C_{DH} - C_{DO}} \quad \text{Eq. 204B-3}
\]

9.2.4 Average Background Concentration. 

\[
C_B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{Bi}A_i}{A_N} \quad \text{Eq. 204B-4}
\]

Note: If the concentration at each point is within 20 percent of the average concentration of all points, then use the arithmetic average.

10. Method Performance 

The measurement uncertainties are estimated for each captured or uncaptured emissions point as follows: \( Q_{Gj} \leq \pm 5.5 \text{ percent} \) and \( C_{Gj} \leq \pm 5.0 \text{ percent} \). Based on these numbers, the probable uncertainty for \( G \) is estimated at about \( \pm 7.4 \text{ percent} \). 

11. Diagrams
EMISSIONS IN CAPTURED STREAM (DILUTION TECHNIQUE)

1. Scope and Application

1.1 Applicability. This procedure is applicable for determining the volatile organic compounds (VOC) content of captured gas streams. It is intended to be used in the development of a gas/gas protocol in which uncaptured emissions are also measured for determining VOC capture efficiency (CE) for surface coating and printing operations. A dilution system is used to reduce the VOC concentration of the captured emissions to about the same concentration as the uncontrolled emissions. The procedure may not be acceptable in certain site-specific situations [e.g., when: (1) direct-fired heaters or other circumstances affect the quantity of VOC at the control device inlet; and (2) particulate organic aerosols are formed in the surface coating and printing operations. A gas sample is extracted from the source using an in-stack dilution probe through a heated sample line and, if necessary, a glass fiber filter to a flame ionization analyzer (FIA). The sample train contains a sample gas manifold which allows multiple sampling points and their location, it may not be possible to use only one FIA. However to reduce the effect of calibration error, the number of FIA’s used during a test should be kept as small as possible.

1.2 Principle. The amount of VOC captured (G) is calculated as the sum of the products of the VOC content (C<sub>G</sub>), the flow rate (Q<sub>B</sub>), and the sampling time (t<sub>B</sub>) from each captured emissions point.

1.3 Sampling Requirements. A CE test shall consist of at least three sampling runs. Each run shall cover at least one complete production cycle, but shall be at least 3 hours long. The sampling time for each run need not exceed 8 hours, even if the production cycle has not been completed. Alternative sampling times may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

2. Summary of Method

A gas sample is extracted from the source using an in-stack dilution probe through a heated sample line and, if necessary, a glass fiber filter to a flame ionization analyzer (FIA). The sample train contains a sample gas manifold which allows multiple points to be sampled using a single FIA.

3. Safety

Because this procedure is often applied in highly explosive areas, caution and care should be exercised in choosing, installing, and using the appropriate equipment.

4. Equipment and Supplies

Mention of trade names or company products does not constitute endorsement. All gas concentrations (percent, ppm) are by volume, unless otherwise noted.

4.1 Gas VOC Concentration. A schematic of the measurement system is shown in Figure 204C–1. The main components are as follows:

4.1.1 Dilution System. A Kipp in-stack dilution probe and controller or similar device may be used. The dilution rate may be changed by substituting different critical orifices or adjustments of the aspirator supply pressure. The dilution system shall be heated to prevent VOC condensation. Note: An out-of-stack dilution device may be used.

4.1.2 Calibration Valve Assembly. Threeway valve assembly at the outlet of the sample probe to direct the zero and calibration gases to the analyzer. Other methods, such as quick-connect lines, to route calibration gases to the outlet of the sample probe are acceptable.

4.1.3 Sample Line. Stainless steel or Teflon tubing to transport the sample gas to the analyzer. The sample line must be heated to prevent condensation.

4.1.4 Sample Pump. A leak-free pump, to pull the sample gas through the system at a flow rate sufficient to minimize the response time of the measurement system. The components of the pump that contact the gas stream shall be constructed of stainless steel or Teflon. The sample pump must be heated to prevent condensation.

4.1.5 Sample Flow Rate Control. A sample flow rate control valve and rotameter, or equivalent, to maintain a constant sampling rate within 10 percent. The flow control valve and rotameter must be heated to prevent condensation. A control valve may also be located on the sample pump bypass loop to assist in controlling the sample pressure and flow rate.

4.1.6 Sample Gas Manifold. Capable of diverting a portion of the sample gas stream to the FIA, and the remainder to the bypass discharge vent. The manifold components shall be constructed of stainless steel or Teflon. If captured or uncaptured emissions are to be measured at multiple locations, the measurement system shall be designed to use separate sampling probes, lines, and pumps for each measurement location and a common sample gas manifold and FIA. The sample gas manifold and connecting lines to the FIA must be heated to prevent condensation.

Note: Depending on the number of sampling points and their location, it may not be possible to use only one FIA. However to reduce the effect of calibration error, the number of FIA’s used during a test should be kept as small as possible.

4.1.7 Organic Concentration Analyzer. An FIA with a span value of 1.5 times the expected concentration as propane; however, other span values may be used if it can be demonstrated to the Administrator’s satisfaction that they would provide equally accurate measurements. The system shall be capable of meeting or exceeding the following specifications:

4.1.7.1 Zero Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.

4.1.7.2 Calibration Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.
4.1.7.3 Calibration Error. Less than ±5.0 percent of the calibration gas value.

4.1.7.4 Response Time. Less than 30 seconds.

4.1.8 Integrator/Data Acquisition System. An analog or digital device or computerized data acquisition system used to integrate the FIA response or compute the average response and record measurement data. The minimum data sampling frequency for computing average or integrated values is one measurement value every 5 seconds. The device shall be capable of recording average values at least once per minute.

4.2 Captured Emissions Volumetric Flow Rate.

4.2.1 Method 2 or 2A Apparatus. For determining volumetric flow rate.

4.2.2 Method 3 Apparatus and Reagents. For determining molecular weight of the gas stream. An estimate of the molecular weight of the gas stream may be used if approved by the Administrator.

4.2.3 Method 4 Apparatus and Reagents. For determining moisture content, if necessary.

5. Reagents and Standards

5.1 Calibration and Other Gases. Gases used for calibration, fuel, and combustion air (if required) are contained in compressed gas cylinders. All calibration gases shall be traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology standards and shall be certified by the manufacturer to ±1 percent of the tag value. Additionally, the manufacturer of the cylinder should provide a recommended shelf life for each calibration gas cylinder over which the concentration does not change more than 2 percent from the certified value. For calibration gas values not generally available, dilution systems calibrated using Method 205 may be used. Alternative methods for preparing calibration gas mixtures may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

5.1.1 Fuel. The FIA manufacturer’s recommended fuel should be used. A 40 percent H2/60 percent He or 40 percent H2/60 percent N2 gas mixture is recommended to avoid an oxygen synergism effect that reportedly occurs when oxygen concentration varies significantly from a mean value. Other mixtures may be used provided the tester can demonstrate to the Administrator that there is no oxygen synergism effect.

5.1.2 Carrier Gas and Dilution Air Supply. High purity air with less than 1 ppm of organic material (as propane or carbon equivalent), or less than 0.1 percent of the span value, whichever is greater.

5.1.3 FIA Linearity Calibration Gases. Low-, mid-, and high-range gas mixture standards with nominal propane concentrations of 20–30, 45–55, and 70–80 percent of the span value in air, respectively. Other calibration values and other span values may be used if it can be shown to the Administrator’s satisfaction that equally accurate measurements would be achieved.

5.1.4 Dilution Check Gas. Gas mixture standard containing propane in air, approximately half the span value after dilution.

5.2 Particulate Filter. An in-stack or an out-of-stack glass fiber filter is recommended if exhaust gas particulate loading is significant. An out-of-stack filter must be heated to prevent any condensation unless it can be demonstrated that no condensation occurs.

6. Quality Control

6.1 Required instrument quality control parameters are found in the following sections:

6.1.1 The FIA system must be calibrated as specified in section 7.1.

6.1.2 The system drift check must be performed as specified in section 7.2.

6.1.3 The dilution factor must be determined as specified in section 7.3.

6.1.4 The system check must be conducted as specified in section 7.4.

6.2 Audits.

6.2.1 Analysis Audit Procedure. Immediately before each test, analyze an audit cylinder as described in section 7.2. The analysis audit must agree with the audit cylinder concentration within 10 percent.

6.2.2 Audit Samples and Audit Sample Availability. Audit samples will be supplied only to enforcement agencies for compliance tests. The availability of audit samples may be obtained by writing: Source Test Audit Coordinator (STAC) (MD-77B), Quality Assurance Division, Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 or by calling the STAC at (919) 541-7834. The request for the audit sample must be made at least 30 days prior to the scheduled compliance sample analysis.

6.2.3 Audit Results. Calculate the audit sample concentration according to the calculation procedure described in the audit instructions included with the audit sample. Fill in the audit sample concentration and the analyst’s name on the audit response form included with the audit instructions. Send one copy to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency, and a second copy to the STAC. The EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency will report the results of the audit to the laboratory being audited. Include this response with the results of the compliance samples in relevant reports to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency.
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7. Calibration and Standardization

7.1 FIA Calibration and Linearity Check. Make necessary adjustments to the air and fuel supplies for the FIA and ignite the burner. Allow the FIA to warm up for the period recommended by the manufacturer. Inject a calibration gas into the measurement system after the dilution system and adjust the back-pressure regulator to the value required to achieve the flow rates specified by the manufacturer. Inject the zero-and the high-range calibration gases and adjust the analyzer calibration to provide the proper responses. The low- and mid-range gases and record the responses of the measurement system. The calibration and linearity of the system is acceptable if the responses for all four gases are within 5 percent of the respective gas values. If the performance of the system is not acceptable, repair or adjust the system and repeat the linearity check. Conduct a calibration and linearity check after assembling the analysis system and after a major change is made to the system.

7.2 Systems Drift Checks. Select the calibration gas that most closely approximates the concentration of the diluted captured emissions for conducting the drift checks. Introduce the zero and calibration gases at the calibration valve assembly, and verify that the appropriate gas flow rate and pressure are present at the FIA. Record the measurement system responses to the zero and calibration gases. The performance of the system is acceptable if the difference between the drift check measurement and the value obtained in section 7.1 is less than 3 percent of the span value. Alternatively, recalibrate the FIA as in section 7.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the lowest CE value shall be reported as the results for the test run. Conduct the system drift check at the end of each run.

7.3 Determination of Dilution Factor. Inject the dilution check gas into the measurement system before the dilution system and record the response. Calculate the dilution factor using Equation 204C-3.

7.4 System Check. Inject the high-range calibration gas at the inlet to the sampling probe while the dilution air is turned off. Record the response. The performance of the system is acceptable if the measurement system response is within 5 percent of the value obtained in section 7.1 for the high-range calibration gas. Conduct a system check before and after each test run.

8. Procedure

8.1 Determination of Volumetric Flow Rate of Captured Emissions

8.1.1 Locate all points where emissions are captured from the affected facility. Using Method 1, determine the sampling points. Be sure to check each site for clyclic or swirling flow.

8.2 Determination of VOC Content of Captured Emissions

8.2.1 Analysis Duration. Measure the VOC responses at each captured emissions point during the entire test run or, if applicable, while the process is operating. If there are multiple captured emissions locations, design a sampling system to allow a single FIA to be used to determine the VOC responses at all sampling locations.

8.2.2 Gas VOC Concentration.

8.2.2.1 Assemble the sample train as shown in Figure 204C-1. Calibrate the FIA according to the procedure in section 7.1.

8.2.2.2 Set the dilution ratio and determine the dilution factor according to the procedure in section 7.3.

8.2.2.3 Conduct a system check according to the procedure in section 7.4.

8.2.2.4 Install the sample probe so that the probe is centrally located in the stack, pipe, or duct, and is sealed tightly at the stack port connection.

8.2.2.5 Inject zero gas at the calibration valve assembly. Measure the system response time as the time required for the system to reach the effluent concentration after the calibration valve has been returned to the effluent sampling position.

8.2.2.6 Conduct a system check before, and a system drift check after, each sampling run according to the procedures in sections 7.2 and 7.4. If the drift check following a run indicates unacceptable performance (see section 7.4), the run is not valid. Alternatively, recalibrate the FIA as in section 7.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the lowest CE value shall be reported as the results for the test run. The tester may elect to perform system drift checks during the run not to exceed one drift check per hour.

8.2.2.7 Verify that the sample lines, filter, and pump temperatures are 120 ±5 °C.

8.2.2.8 Begin sampling at the start of the test period and continue to sample during the entire run. Record the starting and ending times and any required process information as appropriate. If multiple captured emission locations are sampled using a single FIA, sample at each location for the same amount of time (e.g., 2 min.) and continue to switch from one location to another for the entire test run. Be sure that total sampling time at each location is the same at the end of the test run. Collect at least four separate measurements from each sample point during each hour of testing. Disregard the measurements at each sampling
location until two times the response time of the measurement system has elapsed. Continue sampling for at least 1 minute and record the concentration measurements.

8.2.3 Background Concentration.

Note: Not applicable when the building is used as the temporary total enclosure (TTE).

8.2.3.1 Locate all natural draft openings (NDO’s) of the TTE. A sampling point shall be at the center of each NDO, unless otherwise approved by the Administrator. If there are more than six NDO’s, choose six sampling points evenly spaced among the NDO’s.

8.2.3.2 Assemble the sample train as shown in Figure 204C-2. Calibrate the FIA and conduct a system check according to the procedures in sections 7.1 and 7.4.

8.2.3.3 Position the probe at the sampling location.

8.2.3.4 Determine the response time, conduct the system check, and sample according to the procedures described in sections 8.2.2.4 through 8.2.2.8.

8.2.4 Alternative Procedure. The direct interface sampling and analysis procedure described in section 7.2 of Method 18 may be used to determine the gas VOC concentration. The system must be designed to collect and analyze at least one sample every 10 minutes. If the alternative procedure is used to determine the VOC concentration of the captured emissions, it must also be used to determine the VOC concentration of the uncaptured emissions.

9. Data Analysis and Calculations

9.1 Nomenclature.

\[ A_i = \text{area of NDO}_i, \text{ft}^2. \]

\[ A_N = \text{total area of all NDO’s in the enclosure, ft}^2. \]

\[ C_a = \text{actual concentration of the dilution check gas, ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_{am} = \text{corrected average VOC concentration of background emissions at point i, ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_{bm} = \text{average background concentration, ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_{dm} = \text{average measured concentration for the drift check calibration gas, ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_{d1} = \text{average system drift check concentration for zero concentration gas, ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_i = \text{actual concentration of the drift check calibration gas, ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_{bj} = \text{uncorrected average background VOC concentration measured at point j, ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_m = \text{measured concentration of the dilution check gas, ppm propane.} \]

\[ DF = \text{dilution factor.} \]

\[ G = \text{total VOC content of captured emissions, kg.} \]

\[ K_i = 1.83 \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/(m}^3 \times \text{ppm).} \]

\[ n = \text{number of measurement points.} \]

\[ Q_{j0} = \text{average effluent volumetric flow rate corrected to standard conditions at captured emissions point j, m}^3/\text{min.} \]

\[ \theta_C = \text{total duration of CE sampling run, min.} \]

9.2 Calculations.

9.2.1 Total VOC Captured Emissions.

\[ G = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (C_{Gj} - C_{Bj}) Q_{j0} \theta_C K_j \quad \text{Eq. 204C-1} \]

9.2.2 VOC Concentration of the Captured Emissions at Point j.

\[ C_{Gj} = \frac{DF (C_j - C_{DO})}{C_{DH} - C_{DO}} \cdot C_h \quad \text{Eq. 204C-2} \]

9.2.3 Dilution Factor.

\[ DF = \frac{C_A}{C_M} \quad \text{Eq. 204C-3} \]

9.2.4 Background VOC Concentration at Point i.

\[ C_{Bi} = \frac{(C_i - C_{DO})}{C_{DH} - C_{DO}} \cdot C_h \quad \text{Eq. 204C-4} \]

9.2.5 Average Background Concentration.

\[ C_B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{Bi} A_i}{A_N} \quad \text{Eq. 204C-5} \]

Note: If the concentration at each point is within 20 percent of the average concentration of all points, then use the arithmetic average.

10. Method Performance

The measurement uncertainties are estimated for each captured or uncaptured emissions point as follows: \( Q_{j0} = \pm 5.5 \) percent and \( C_{Gj} = \pm 5 \) percent. Based on these numbers, the probable uncertainty for \( G \) is estimated at about \( \pm 7.4 \) percent.

11. Diagrams
METHOD 204D—VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS
EMISSIONS IN UNCAPTURED STREAM FROM
TEMPORARY TOTAL ENCLOSURE

1. Scope and Application

1.1 Applicability. This procedure is applicable for determining the uncaptured volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions from a temporary total enclosure (TTE). It is intended to be used as a segment in the development of liquid/gas or gas/gas protocols for determining VOC capture efficiency (CE) for surface coating and printing operations.
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1.2 Principle. The amount of uncaptured VOC emissions (F) from the TTE is calculated as the sum of the products of the VOC content (C) from each uncaptured emissions point, and the sampling time (θ).

1.3 Sampling Requirements. A CE test shall consist of at least three sampling runs. Each run shall cover at least one complete production cycle, but shall be at least 3 hours long. The sampling time for each run shall not exceed 8 hours, even if the production cycle has not been completed. Alternative sampling times may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

2. Summary of Method
A gas sample is extracted from the uncaptured exhaust duct of a TTE through a heated sample line and, if necessary, a glass fiber filter to a flame ionization analyzer (FIA).

3. Safety
Because this procedure is often applied in highly explosive areas, caution and care should be exercised in choosing, installing, and using the appropriate equipment.

4. Equipment and Supplies
Mention of trade names or company products does not constitute endorsement. All gas concentrations (percent, ppm) are by volume, unless otherwise noted.

4.1 Gas VOC Concentration. A schematic of the measurement system is shown in Figure 204D-1. The main components are as follows:
4.1.1 Sample Probe. Stainless steel or equivalent. The probe shall be heated to prevent VOC condensation.
4.1.2 Calibration Valve Assembly. Three-way valve assembly at the outlet of the sample probe to direct the zero and calibration gases to the analyzer. Other methods, such as quick-connect lines, to route calibration gases to the outlet of the sample probe are acceptable.
4.1.3 Sample Line. Stainless steel or Teflon tubing to transport the sample gas to the analyzer. The sample line must be heated to prevent condensation.
4.1.4 Sample Pump. A leak-free pump, to pull the sample gas through the system at a flow rate sufficient to minimize the response time of the measurement system. The components of the pump that contact the gas stream shall be constructed of stainless steel or Teflon. The sample pump must be heated to prevent condensation.
4.1.5 Sample Flow Rate Control. A sample flow rate control valve and rotameter, or equivalent, to maintain a constant sampling rate within 10 percent. The flow control valve and rotameter must be heated to prevent condensation. A control valve may also be located on the sample pump bypass loop to assist in controlling the sample pressure and flow rate.
4.1.6 Sample Gas Manifold. Capable of diverting a portion of the sample gas stream to the FIA, and the remainder to the bypass discharge vent. The manifold components shall be constructed of stainless steel or Teflon. If emissions are to be measured at multiple locations, the measurement system shall be designed to use separate sampling probes, lines, and pumps for each measurement location and a common sample gas manifold and FIA. The sample gas manifold and connecting lines to the FIA must be heated to prevent condensation.
4.1.7 Organic Concentration Analyzer. An FIA with a span value of 1.5 times the expected concentration as propane; however, other span values may be used if it can be demonstrated to the Administrator's satisfaction that they would provide more accurate measurements. The system shall be capable of meeting or exceeding the following specifications:
4.1.7.1 Zero Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.
4.1.7.2 Calibration Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.
4.1.7.3 Calibration Error. Less than ±5.0 percent of the calibration gas value.
4.1.7.4 Response Time. Less than 30 seconds.
4.1.8 Integrator/Data Acquisition System. An analog or digital device or computerized data acquisition system used to integrate the FIA response or compute the average response and record measurement data. The minimum data sampling frequency for computing average or integrated values is one measurement value every 5 seconds. The device shall be capable of recording average values at least once per minute.

4.2 Uncaptured Emissions Volumetric Flow Rate.
4.2.1 Method 2 or 2A Apparatus. For determining volumetric flow rate.
4.2.2 Method 3 Apparatus and Reagents. For determining molecular weight of the gas stream. An estimate of the molecular weight of the gas stream may be used if approved by the Administrator.
4.2.3 Method 4 Apparatus and Reagents. For determining moisture content, if necessary.

4.3 Temporary Total Enclosure. The criteria for designing an acceptable TTE are specified in Method 204.

5. Reagents and Standards
5.1 Calibration and Other Gases. Gases used for calibration, fuel, and combustion air (if required) are contained in compressed gas cylinders. All calibration gases shall be traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology standards and shall be certified by the manufacturer to ±1 percent of...
the tag value. Additionally, the manufacturer of the cylinder should provide a recommended shelf life for each calibration gas cylinder over which the concentration does not change more than 2 percent from the certified value. For calibration gas values not generally available, dilution systems calibrated using Method 206 may be used. Alternatively, the manufacturer preparing calibration gas mixtures may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

5.1 Fuel. The FIA manufacturer’s recommended fuel should be used. A 40 percent H\(_2\)/60 percent He or 40 percent H\(_2\)/60 percent N\(_2\) gas mixture is recommended to avoid an oxygen synergism effect that reportedly occurs when oxygen concentration varies significantly from a mean value. Other mixtures may be used provided the tester can demonstrate to the Administrator that there is no oxygen synergism effect.

5.2 Carrier Gas. High purity air with less than 1 ppm of organic material (as propane or carbon equivalent) or less than 0.1 percent of the span value, whichever is greater.

5.3 FIA Linearity Calibration Gases. Low-, mid-, and high-range gas mixture standards with nominal propane concentrations of 20–30, 45–55, and 70–80 percent of the span value in air, respectively. Other calibration values and other span values may be used if it can be shown to the Administrator’s satisfaction that equally accurate measurements would be achieved.

5.4 Particulate Filter. An in-stack or an out-of-stack glass fiber filter is recommended if exhaust gas particulate loading is significant. An out-of-stack filter must be heated to prevent any condensation unless it can be demonstrated that no condensation occurs.

6. Quality Control

6.1 Required instrument quality control parameters are found in the following sections:

6.1.1 The FIA system must be calibrated as specified in section 7.1.

6.1.2 The system drift check must be performed as specified in section 7.2.

6.1.3 The system check must be conducted as specified in section 7.3.

6.2 Audits.

6.2.1 Analysis Audit Procedure. Immediately before each test, analyze an audit cylinder as described in section 7.2. The analysis audit must agree with the audit cylinder concentration within 10 percent.

6.2.2 Audit Samples and Audit Sample Availability. Audit samples will be supplied only to enforcement agencies for compliance tests. The availability of audit samples may be obtained by writing: Source Test Audit Coordinator (STAC) (MD-77B) Quality Assurance Division, Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 or by calling the STAC at (919) 541–7834. The request for the audit sample must be made at least 30 days prior to the scheduled compliance sample analysis.

6.2.3 Audit Results. Calculate the audit sample concentration according to the calculation procedure described in the audit instructions included with the audit sample. Fill in the audit sample concentration and the analyst’s name on the audit response form included with the audit instructions. Send one copy to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency, and a second copy to the STAC. The EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency will report the results of the audit to the laboratory being audited. Include this response with the results of the compliance samples in relevant reports to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency.

7. Calibration and Standardization

7.1 FIA Calibration and Linearity Check. Make necessary adjustments to the air and fuel supplies for the FIA and ignite the burner. Allow the FIA to warm up for the period recommended by the manufacturer. Inject a calibration gas into the measurement system and adjust the back-pressure regulator to the value required to achieve the flow rates specified by the manufacturer. Inject the zero-and the high-range calibration gases and adjust the analyzer calibration to provide the proper responses. Inject the low-and mid-range gases and record the responses of the measurement system. The calibration and linearity of the system are acceptable if the responses for all four gases are within 5 percent of the respective gas values. If the performance of the system is not acceptable, repair or adjust the system and repeat the linearity check. Conduct a calibration and linearity check after assembling the analysis system and after a major change is made to the system.

7.2 Systems Drift Checks. Select the calibration gas concentration that most closely approximates that of the ununcaptured gas emissions concentration to conduct the drift checks. Introduce the zero and calibration gases at the calibration valve assembly and verify that the appropriate gas flow rate and pressure are present at the FIA. Record the measurement system responses to the zero and calibration gases. The performance of the system is acceptable if the difference between the drift check measurement and the value obtained in section 7.1 is less than 3 percent of the span value. Alternatively, recalibrate the FIA as in section 7.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the
8. Procedure

8.1 Determination of Volumetric Flow Rate of Uncaptured Emissions

8.1.1 Locate all points where uncaptured emissions are exhausted from the TTE. Using Method 1, determine the sampling points. Be sure to check each site for cyclonic or swirling flow.

8.1.2 Measure the velocity at each sampling port at least once every hour during each sampling run using Method 2 or 2A.

8.1.3 Obtain the volumetric flow rate of the captured emissions from the sampling line or duct, and is sealed tightly at the stack port connection.

8.1.4 Position the probe at the sampling point. The probe is centrally located in the stack, pipe, or duct, and is sealed tightly at the stack port connection.

8.1.5 Inject zero gas at the calibration valve assembly. Allow the measurement system to reach zero. Measure the system response time as the time required for the system to reach the effluent concentration after the calibration valve has been returned to the effluent sampling position.

8.1.6 Conduct a system check before, and after the system drift check. Each sampling run according to the procedures in sections 7.2 and 7.3. If the drift check following a run indicates unacceptable performance (see section 7.3), the run is not valid. Alternatively, recalibrate the FIA as in section 7.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the lowest CE value shall be reported as the results for the test run. The tester may elect to perform system drift checks during the run not to exceed one drift check per hour.

8.2 Analysis Duration. Measure the VOC concentrations at each uncaptured emission point during the entire test run if, while the process is operating. If there are multiple emission locations, design a sampling system to allow a single FIA to be used to determine the VOC responses at all sampling locations.

8.2.1 Assemble the sample train as shown in Figure 204D–1. Calibrate the FIA and conduct a system check according to the procedures in sections 7.1 and 7.3, respectively.

8.2.2 Install the sample probe so that the probe is centrally located in the stack, pipe, or duct, and is sealed tightly at the stack port connection.

8.2.3 Inject zero gas at the calibration valve assembly. Allow the measurement system to reach zero. Measure the system response time as the time required for the system to reach the effluent concentration after the calibration valve has been returned to the effluent sampling position.

8.2.4 Conduct a system check before, and after the system drift check. Each sampling run according to the procedures in sections 7.2 and 7.3. If the drift check following a run indicates unacceptable performance (see section 7.3), the run is not valid. Alternatively, recalibrate the FIA as in section 7.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the lowest CE value shall be reported as the results for the test run. The tester may elect to perform system drift checks during the run not to exceed one drift check per hour.

8.2.5 Verify that the sample lines, filter, and pump temperatures are 120±5 °C.

8.2.6 Begin sampling at the start of the test period and continue to sample during the entire run. Record the starting and ending times and any required process information, as appropriate. If multiple emission locations are sampled using a single FIA, sample at each location for the same amount of time (e.g., 2 min.) and continue to switch from one location to another for the entire test run. Be sure that total sampling time at each location is the same at the end of the test run. Collect at least four separate measurements from each sample point during each hour of testing. Disregard the response measurements at each sampling location until 2 times the response time of the measurement system has elapsed. Continue sampling for at least 1 minute and record the concentration measurements.

9. Data Analysis and Calculations

9.1 Nomenclature.

- $A_i$: area of NDO $i$, ft$^2$
- $A_{en}$: total area of all NDO's in the enclosure, ft$^2$
- $C_{bk}$: corrected average VOC concentration of background emissions at point $i$, ppm propane
- $C_{av}$: average background concentration, ppm propane
- $C_{op}$: average measured concentration for the drift check calibration gas, ppm propane
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\( C_{DO} \) = average system drift check concentration for zero concentration gas, ppm propane.

\( C_F \) = corrected average VOC concentration of uncaptured emissions at point j, ppm propane.

\( C_H \) = actual concentration of the drift check calibration gas, ppm propane.

\( C_i \) = uncorrected average background VOC concentration at point i, ppm propane.

\( C_j \) = uncorrected average VOC concentration measured at point j, ppm propane.

\( F \) = total VOC content of uncaptured emissions, kg.

\( K_1 \) = 1.830 \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg/(m}^3\text{-ppm)}.

\( n \) = number of measurement points.

\( Q_{Fj} \) = average effluent volumetric flow rate corrected to standard conditions at uncaptured emissions point j, m\(^3\)/min.

\( \Theta \) = total duration of uncaptured emissions sampling run, min.

9.2 Calculations.

9.2.1 Total Uncaptured VOC Emissions.

\[
F = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( C_j - C_{DO} \right) Q_{Fj} \Theta F K_1 \quad \text{Eq. 204D-1}
\]

9.2.2 VOC Concentration of the Uncaptured Emissions at Point j.

\[
C_{Fj} = \left( C_j - C_{DO} \right) \frac{C_H}{C_{DH} - C_{DO}} \quad \text{Eq. 204D-2}
\]

9.2.3 Background VOC Concentration at Point i.

\[
C_{Bi} = \left( C_i - C_{DO} \right) \frac{C_H}{C_{DH} - C_{DO}} \quad \text{Eq. 204D-3}
\]

9.2.4 Average Background Concentration.

\[
C_B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{Bi} A_i}{A_N} \quad \text{Eq. 204D-4}
\]

NOTE: If the concentration at each point is within 20 percent of the average concentration of all points, use the arithmetic average.

10. Method Performance

The measurement uncertainties are estimated for each uncaptured emission point as follows: \( Q_{Fj} = \pm 5.5 \) percent and \( C_F = \pm 5.0 \) percent. Based on these numbers, the probable uncertainty for \( F \) is estimated at about \( \pm 7.4 \) percent.

11. Diagrams
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Figure 2000.1. Fugitive emissions measurement system.
Figure 2010-2. Background measurement system.
METHOD 204E—VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS EMISSIONS IN UNCAPTURED STREAM FROM BUILDING ENCLOSURE

1. Scope and Application

1.1 Applicability. This procedure is applicable for determining the uncaptured volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions from a building enclosure (BE). It is intended to be used in the development of liquid/gas or gas/gas protocols for determining VOC capture efficiency (CE) for surface coating and printing operations.

1.2 Principle. The total amount of uncaptured VOC emissions (F_i) from the BE is calculated as the sum of the products of the VOC content (C_i) of each uncaptured emissions point, the flow rate (Q_i) at each uncaptured emissions point, and time (\Theta_i).

1.3 Sampling Requirements. A CE test shall consist of at least three sampling runs. Each run shall cover at least one complete production cycle, but shall be at least 3 hours long. The sampling time for each run need not exceed 8 hours, even if the production cycle has not been completed. Alternative sampling times may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

2. Summary of Method

A gas sample is extracted from the uncaptured exhaust duct of a BE through a heated sample line and, if necessary, a glass fiber filter to a flame ionization analyzer (FIA).

3. Safety

Because this procedure is often applied in highly explosive areas, caution and care should be exercised in choosing, installing, and using the appropriate equipment.

4. Equipment and Supplies

Mention of trade names or company products does not constitute endorsement. All gas concentrations (percent, ppm) are by volume, unless otherwise noted.

4.1 Gas VOC Concentration. A schematic of the measurement system is shown in Figure 204E-1. The main components are as follows:

4.1.1 Sample Probe. Stainless steel or equivalent. The probe shall be heated to prevent VOC condensation.

4.1.2 Calibration Valve Assembly. Three-way valve assembly at the outlet of the sample probe to direct the zero and calibration gases to the analyzer. Other methods, such as quick-connect lines, to route calibration gases to the outlet of the sample probe are acceptable.

4.1.3 Sample Line. Stainless steel or Teflon tubing to transport the sample gas to the analyzer. The sample line must be heated to prevent condensation.

4.1.4 Sample Pump. A leak-free pump, to pull the sample gas through the system at a flow rate sufficient to minimize the response time of the measurement system. The components of the pump that contact the gas stream shall be constructed of stainless steel or Teflon. The sample pump must be heated to prevent condensation.

4.1.5 Sample Flow Rate Control. A sample flow rate control valve and rotameter, or equivalent, to maintain a constant sampling rate within 10 percent. The flow rate control valve and rotameter must be heated to prevent condensation. A control valve may also be located on the sample pump bypass loop to assist in controlling the sample pressure and flow rate.

4.1.6 Sample Gas Manifold. Capable of diverting a portion of the sample gas stream to the FIA, and the remainder to the bypass discharge vent. The manifold components shall be constructed of stainless steel or Teflon. If emissions are to be measured at multiple locations, the measurement system shall be designed to use separate sampling probes, lines, and pumps for each measurement location, and a common sample gas manifold and FIA. The sample gas manifold must be heated to prevent condensation.

4.1.7 Organic Concentration Analyzer. An FIA with a span value of 1.5 times the expected concentration as propane; however, other span values may be used if it can be demonstrated to the Administrator's satisfaction that they would provide equally accurate measurements. The system shall be capable of meeting or exceeding the following specifications:

4.1.7.1 Zero Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.

4.1.7.2 Calibration Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.

4.1.7.3 Calibration Error. Less than ±5.0 percent of the calibration gas value.

4.1.7.4 Response Time. Less than 30 seconds.

4.1.8 Integrator/Data Acquisition System. An analog or digital device or computerized data acquisition system used to integrate the FIA response or compute the average response and record measurement data. The minimum data sampling frequency for computing average or integrated values is one measurement value every 5 seconds. The device shall be capable of recording average values at least once per minute.

4.2 Uncaptured Emissions Volumetric Flow Rate

4.2.1 Flow Direction Indicators. Any means of indicating inward or outward flow, such as light plastic film or paper streamers, smoke tubes, filaments, and sensory perception.

4.2.2 Method 2 or 2A Apparatus. For determining volumetric flow rate. Anemometers or similar devices calibrated according to the manufacturer's instructions may be used.
when low velocities are present. Vane anemometers (Young-maximum response propeller), specialized pitots with electronic manometers (e.g., Shortridge Instruments Inc., Amidor Multimeter 890) are commercially available with measurement thresholds of 15 and 8 mpm (50 and 25 fpm), respectively.

4.2.2 Method 3 Apparatus and Reagents. For determining molecular weight of the gas stream. An estimate of the molecular weight of the gas stream may be used if approved by the Administrator.

4.2.4 Method 4 Apparatus and Reagents. For determining moisture content, if necessary.

4.3 Building Enclosure. The criteria for an acceptable BE are specified in Method 204.

5. Reagents and Standards

5.1 Calibration and Other Gases. Gases used for calibration, fuel, and combustion air (if required) are contained in compressed gas cylinders. All calibration gases shall be traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology standards and shall be certified by the manufacturer to ±1 percent of the tag value. Additionally, the manufacturer of the cylinder should provide a recommended shelf life for each calibration gas cylinder over which the concentration does not change more than ±2 percent from the certified value. For calibration gas values not generally available, dilution systems calibrated using Method 205 may be used. Alternative methods for preparing calibration gas mixtures may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

5.1.1 Fuel. The FIA manufacturer’s recommended fuel should be used. A 40 percent H₂/60 percent He or 40 percent H₂/50 percent N₂ gas mixture is recommended to avoid an oxygen synergism effect that reportedly occurs when oxygen concentration varies significantly from a mean value. Other mixtures may be used provided the tester can demonstrate to the Administrator that there is no oxygen synergism effect.

5.1.2 Carrier Gas. High purity air with less than 1 ppm of organic material (propane or carbon equivalent) or less than 0.1 percent of the span value, whichever is greater.

5.1.3 FIA Linearity Calibration Gases. Low-, mid-, and high-range gas mixture standards with nominal propane concentrations of 20–30, 45–55, and 70–80 percent of the span value in air, respectively. Other calibration values and other span values may be used if it can be shown to the Administrator’s satisfaction that equally accurate measurements would be achieved.

5.2 Particulate Filter. An in-stack or an out-of-stack glass fiber filter is recommended if exhaust gas particulate loading is significant. An out-of-stack filter must be heated to prevent any condensation unless it can be demonstrated that no condensation occurs.

6. Quality Control

6.1 Required instrument quality control parameters are found in the following sections:

6.1.1 The FIA system must be calibrated as specified in section 7.1.

6.1.2 The system drift check must be performed as specified in section 7.2.

6.1.3 The system check must be conducted as specified in section 7.3.

6.2 Audits.

6.2.1 Analysis Audit Procedure. Immediately before each test, analyze an audit cylinder as described in section 7.2. The analysis audit must agree with the audit cylinder concentration within 10 percent.

6.2.2 Audit Samples and Audit Sample Availability. Audit samples will be supplied only to enforcement agencies for compliance tests. The availability of audit samples may be obtained by writing: Source Test Audit Coordinator (STAC) (MD-77B), Quality Assurance Division, Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 or by calling the STAC at (919) 541-7834. The request for the audit sample must be made at least 30 days prior to the scheduled compliance sample analysis.

6.2.3 Audit Results. Calculate the audit sample concentration according to the calculation procedure described in the audit instructions included with the audit sample. Fill in the audit sample concentration and the analyst’s name on the audit response form included with the audit instructions. Send one copy to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency, and a second copy to the STAC. The EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency will report the results of the audit to the laboratory being audited. Include this response with the results of the compliance samples in relevant reports to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency.

7. Calibration and Standardization

7.1 FIA Calibration and Linearity Check. Make necessary adjustments to the air and fuel supplies for the FIA and ignite the burner. Allow the FIA to warm up for the period recommended by the manufacturer. Inject a calibration gas into the measurement system and adjust the back-pressure regulator to the value required to achieve the flow rates specified by the manufacturer. Inject the zero- and the high-range calibration gases, and adjust the analyzer calibration to provide the proper responses. Inject the low- and mid-range gases and record the responses of the measurement system. The
calibration and linearity of the system are acceptable if the responses for all four gases are within 5 percent of the respective gas values. If the performance of the system is not acceptable, repair or adjust the system and repeat the linearity check. Conduct a calibration and linearity check after assembling the analysis system and after a major change is made to the system.

7.2 Systems Drift Checks. Select the calibration gas that most closely approximates the concentration of the captured emissions for conducting the drift checks. Introduce the zero and calibration gases at the calibration valve assembly and verify that the appropriate gas flow rate and pressure are present at the FIA. Record the measurement system responses to the zero and calibration gases. The performance of the system is acceptable if the difference between the drift check measurement and the value obtained in section 7.1 is less than 3 percent of the span value. Alternatively, recalibrate the FIA as in section 7.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the lowest CE value shall be reported as the results for the test run. Conduct a system drift check at the end of each run.

7.3 System Check. Inject the high-range calibration gas at the inlet of the sampling probe and record the response. The performance of the system is acceptable if the measurement system response is within 5 percent of the value obtained in section 7.1 for the high-range calibration gas. Conduct a system check before each test run.

8. Procedure

8.1 Preliminary Determinations. The following points are considered exhaust points and should be measured for volumetric flow rates and VOC concentrations:

8.1.1 Forced Draft Openings. Any opening in the facility with an exhaust fan. Determine the volumetric flow rate according to Method 2.

8.1.2 Roof Openings. Any openings in the roof of a facility which does not contain fans are considered to be exhaust points. Determine volumetric flow rate from these openings. Use the appropriate velocity measurement devices (e.g., propeller anemometers).

8.2 Determination of Flow Rates.

8.2.1 Measure the volumetric flow rate at all locations identified as exhaust points in section 8.1. Divide each exhaust opening into nine equal areas for rectangular openings and into eight equal areas for circular openings.

8.2.2 Measure the velocity at each site at least once every hour during each sampling run using Method 2 or 2A, if applicable, or using the low velocity instruments in section 4.2.2.

8.3 Determination of VOC Content of Uncaptured Emissions.

8.3.1 Analysis Duration. Measure the VOC responses at each uncaptured emissions point during the entire test run or, if applicable, while the process is operating. If there are multiple emissions locations, design a sampling system to allow a single FIA to be used to determine the VOC responses at all sampling locations.

8.3.2 Gas VOC Concentration.

8.3.2.1 Assemble the sample train as shown in Figure 204E-1. Calibrate the FIA and conduct a system check according to the procedures in sections 7.1 and 7.3, respectively.

8.3.2.2 Install the sample probe so that the probe is centrally located in the stack, pipe, or duct, and is sealed tightly at the stack port connection.

8.3.2.3 Inject zero gas at the calibration valve assembly. Allow the measurement system response to reach zero. Measure the system response time as the time required for the system to reach the effluent concentration after the calibration valve has been turned to the effluent sampling position.

8.3.2.4 Conduct a system check before, and a system drift check after, each sampling run according to the procedures in sections 7.2 and 7.3. If the drift check following a run indicates unacceptable performance (see section 7.3), the run is not valid. Alternatively, recalibrate the FIA as in section 7.1 and report the results using both sets of calibration data (i.e., data determined prior to the test period and data determined following the test period). The data that results in the lowest CE value shall be reported as the results for the test run. The tester may elect to perform drift checks during the run, not to exceed one drift check per hour.

8.3.2.5 Verify that the sample lines, filter, and pump temperatures are 120 ± 5 °C.

8.3.2.6 Begin sampling at the start of the test period and continue to sample during the entire run. Record the starting and ending times, and any required process information, as appropriate. If multiple emission locations are sampled using a single FIA, sample at each location for the same amount of time (e.g., 2 minutes) and continue to switch from one location to another for the entire test run. Be sure that total sampling time at each location is the same at the end of the test run. Collect at least four separate measurements from each sample point during each hour of testing. Disregard the response measurements at each sampling location until 2 times the response time of the measurement system has elapsed. Continue sampling for at least 1 minute, and record the concentration measurements.

8.4 Alternative Procedure. The direct interface sampling and analysis procedure described in section 7.2 of Method 18 may be
used to determine the gas VOC concentration. The system must be designed to collect and analyze at least one sample every 10 minutes. If the alternative procedure is used to determine the VOC concentration of the captured emissions in a gas/gas protocol, it must also be used to determine the VOC concentration of the captured emissions. If a tester wishes to conduct a liquid/gas protocol using a gas chromatograph, the tester must use Method 204F for the liquid steam. A gas chromatograph is not an acceptable alternative to the FIA in Method 204A.

9. Data Analysis and Calculations

9.1 Nomenclature.

\[ C_{DH} = \text{average measured concentration for the drift check calibration gas, ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_{DO} = \text{average system drift check concentration for zero concentration gas, ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_{Fj} = \text{corrected average VOC concentration of uncaptured emissions at point } j, \text{ ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_H = \text{actual concentration of the drift check calibration gas, ppm propane.} \]

\[ C_j = \text{uncorrected average VOC concentration measured at point } j, \text{ ppm propane.} \]

\[ F_B = \text{total VOC content of uncaptured emissions from the building, kg.} \]

\[ F_B = \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{Fj} Q_{Fj} \theta_F K_1 \quad \text{Eq. 204E-1} \]

\[ F_B = \frac{C_H}{C_{DH} - C_{DO}} \quad \text{Eq. 204E-2} \]

10. Method Performance

The measurement uncertainties are estimated for each uncaptured emissions point as follows: \( Q_{Fj} = \pm 10.0 \text{ percent and } C_{Fj} = \pm 5.0 \text{ percent.} \) Based on these numbers, the probable uncertainty for \( F_B \) is estimated at about \( \pm 11.2 \text{ percent.} \)

11. Diagrams
METHOD 204F—VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS
CONTENT IN LIQUID INPUT STREAM (DISTILLATION APPROACH)

1. Introduction

1.1 Applicability. This procedure is applicable for determining the input of volatile organic compounds (VOC). It is intended to be used as a segment in the development of liquid/gas protocols for determining VOC capture efficiency (CE) for surface coating and printing operations.

1.2 Principle. The amount of VOC introduced to the process (L) is the sum of the products of the weight (W) of each VOC containing liquid (ink, paint, solvent, etc.) used,
4.2.19 Tedlar Gas Bag. Capable of holding 30 liters of gas, flushed clean with zero air, leak tested, and evacuated.

4.2.20 Organic Concentration Analyzer. An FIA technique. The VOC distillation system and Tedlar gas bag generation system apparatuses are shown in Figures 204F–1 and 204F–2, respectively. The following equipment is required:

4.2.20.1 Zero Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.

4.2.20.2 Calibration Drift. Less than ±3.0 percent of the span value.

4.2.20.3 Calibration Error. Less than ±3.0 percent of the calibration gas value.

4.2.21 Integrator/Data Acquisition System. An analog or digital device or computerized data acquisition system used to integrate the FIA response or compute the average response and record measurement data.
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The minimum data sampling frequency for computing average or integrated values is one measurement value every 5 seconds. The device shall be capable of recording average values at least once per minute.

4.2.22 Chart Recorder (Optional). A chart recorder or similar device is recommended to provide a continuous analog display of the measurement results during the liquid sample analysis.

5. Reagents and Standards

5.1 Zero Air. High purity air with less than 1 ppm of organic material (as propane) or less than 0.1 percent of the span value, whichever is greater. Used to supply dilution air for making the Tedlar bag gas samples.

5.2 THC Free N₂. High purity N₂ with less than 1 ppm THC. Used as sweep gas in the rotary evaporator system.

5.3 Calibration and Other Gases. Gases used for calibration, fuel, and combustion air (if required) are contained in compressed gas cylinders. All calibration gases shall be traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology standards and shall be certified by the manufacturer to ±1 percent of the tag value. Additionally, the manufacturer of the cylinder should provide a recommended shelf life for each calibration gas cylinder over which the concentration does not change more than ±2 percent from the certified value. For calibration gas values not generally available, dilution systems calibrated using Method 265 may be used. Alternative methods for preparing calibration gas mixtures may be used with the approval of the Administrator.

5.3.1 Fuel. The FIA manufacturer’s recommended fuel should be used. A 40 percent H₂/60 percent He, or 40 percent H₂/60 percent N₂ mixture is recommended to avoid fuels with oxygen to avoid an oxygen synergism effect that reportedly occurs when oxygen concentration varies significantly from a mean value. Other mixtures may be used provided the tester can demonstrate to the Administrator that there is no oxygen synergism effect.

5.3.2 Combustion Air. High purity air with less than 1 ppm of organic material (as propane) or less than 0.1 percent of the span value, whichever is greater.

5.3.3 FIA Linearity Calibration Gases. Low-, mid-, and high-range gas mixture standards with nominal propane concentration of 20–30, 45–55, and 70–80 percent of the span value in air, respectively. Other calibration values and other span values may be used if it can be shown that equally accurate measurements would be achieved.

5.3.4 System Calibration Gas. Gas mixture standard containing propane in air, approximating the VOC concentration expected for the Tedlar gas bag samples.

6. Quality Control

6.1 Required instrument quality control parameters are found in the following sections:

6.1.1 The FIA system must be calibrated as specified in section 7.1.

6.1.2 The system drift check must be performed as specified in section 7.2.

6.2 Precision Control. A minimum of one sample in each batch must be distilled and analyzed in duplicate as a precision control. If the results of the two analyses differ by more than ±10 percent of the mean, then the system must be reevaluated and the entire batch must be redistilled and analyzed.

6.3 Audits.

6.3.1 Audit Procedure. Concurrently, analyze the audit sample and a set of compliance samples in the same manner to evaluate the technique of the analyst and the standards preparation. The same analyst, analytical reagents, and analytical system shall be used both for compliance samples and the EPA audit sample. If this condition is met, auditing of subsequent compliance analyses for the same enforcement agency within 30 days is not required. An audit sample set may not be used to validate different sets of compliance samples under the jurisdiction of different enforcement agencies, unless prior arrangements are made with both enforcement agencies.

6.3.2 Audit Samples. Audit Sample Availability. Audit samples will be supplied only to enforcement agencies for compliance testing. The availability of audit samples may be obtained by writing: Source Test Audit Coordinator (STAC) (MD–77B), Quality Assurance Division, Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 or by calling the STAC at (919) 541–7834. The request for the audit sample must be made at least 30 days prior to the scheduled compliance sample analysis.

6.3.3 Audit Results. Calculate the audit sample concentration according to the calculation procedure described in the audit instructions included with the audit sample. Fill in the audit sample concentration and the analyst’s name on the audit response form included with the audit instructions. Send one copy to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency, and a second copy to the STAC. The EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency will report the results of the audit to the laboratory being audited. Include this response with the results of the compliance samples in relevant reports to the EPA Regional Office or the appropriate enforcement agency.
7. Calibration and Standardization

7.1 FIA Calibration and Linearity Check. Make necessary adjustments to the air and fuel supplies for the FIA and ignite the burner. Allow the FIA to warm up for the period recommended by the manufacturer. Inject a calibration gas into the measurement system and adjust the back-pressure regulator to the value required to achieve the flow rates specified by the manufacturer. Inject the zero- and the high-range calibration gases and adjust the analyzer calibration to provide the proper responses. Inject the low- and mid-range gases and record the responses of the measurement system. The calibration and linearity of the system are acceptable if the responses for all four gases are within 5 percent of the respective gas values. If the performance of the system is not acceptable, repair or adjust the system and repeat the linearity check. Conduct a calibration and linearity check after assembling the analysis system and after a major change is made to the system. A calibration curve consisting of zero gas and two calibration levels must be performed at the beginning and end of each batch of samples.

7.2 Systems Drift Checks. After each sample, repeat the system calibration checks in section 7.1 before any adjustments to the FIA or measurement system are made. If the zero or calibration drift exceeds ±0.5 percent of the total weight of fuel supplies for the FIA and ignite the burner. After completing the run, drain the liquid in the fountain back into the liquid drum (if possible), and weigh the drum again. Weigh the VOC containing liquid before it is added to the mixture. If a fresh drum of VOC containing liquid is needed during the run, then weigh both the empty drum and fresh drum.

8. Procedures

8.1 Determination of Liquid Input Weight

8.1.1 Weight Difference. Determine the amount of material introduced to the process as the weight difference of the feed material before and after each sampling run. In determining the total VOC containing liquid usage, account for: (a) The initial (beginning) VOC containing liquid mixture; (b) any solvent added during the test run; (c) any coating added during the test run; and (d) any residual VOC containing liquid mixture remaining at the end of the sample run.

8.1.1.1 Identify all points where VOC containing liquids are introduced to the process. To obtain an accurate measurement of VOC containing liquids, start with an empty drum (if possible), and weigh the drum again. Weigh the VOC containing liquid to ±0.5 percent of the total weight (full) or ±1.0 percent of the total weight of VOC containing liquid used during the sample run, whichever is less. If the residual liquid cannot be returned to the drum, drain the fountain into a preweighed empty drum to determine the final weight of the liquid.

8.1.1.2 If it is not possible to measure a single representative mixture, then weigh the various components separately (e.g., if solvent is added during the sampling run, weigh the solvent before it is added to the mixture). If a fresh drum of VOC containing liquid is needed during the run, then weigh both the empty drum and fresh drum.

8.1.2 Volume Measurement (Alternative). If direct weight measurements are not feasible, the tester may use volume meters and flow rate meters (and density measurements) to determine the weight of liquids used if it can be demonstrated that the technique produces results equivalent to the direct weight measurements. If a single representative mixture cannot be measured, measure the components separately.

8.2 Determination of VOC Content in Input Liquids

8.2.1 Collection of Liquid Samples

8.2.1.1 Collect a 1-pint or larger sample of the VOC containing liquid mixture at each application location at the beginning and end of each test run. A separate sample should be taken of each VOC containing liquid added to the application mixture during the test run. If a fresh drum is needed during the sampling run, then obtain a sample from the fresh drum.

8.2.1.2 When collecting the sample, ground the sample container to the coating drum. Fill the sample container as close to the rim as possible to minimize the amount of headspace.

8.2.1.3 After the sample is collected, seal the container so the sample cannot leak out or evaporate.

8.2.1.4 Label the container to identify clearly the contents.

8.2.2 Distillation of VOC

8.2.2.1 Assemble the rotary evaporator as shown in Figure 204F-1.

8.2.2.2 Leak check the rotary evaporation system by aspirating a vacuum of approximately 20 mm Hg from absolute. Close up the system and monitor the vacuum for approximately 1 minute. If the vacuum falls more than 25 mm Hg in 1 minute, repair leaks and repeat. Turn off the aspirator and vent vacuum.

8.2.2.3 Deposit approximately 20 ml of sample (inks, paints, etc.) into the rotary evaporation distillation flask.

8.2.2.4 Install the distillation flask on the rotary evaporator.

8.2.2.5 Immerse the distillate collection flask into the ice water bath.

8.2.2.6 Start rotating the distillation flask at a speed of approximately 30 rpm.

8.2.2.7 Begin heating the vessel at a rate of 2 to 3 °C per minute.
8.2.2.8 After the hot oil bath has reached a temperature of 50 °C or pressure is evident on the mercury manometer, turn on the aspirator and gradually apply a vacuum to the evaporator to within 20 mm Hg of absolute. Care should be taken to prevent material burping from the distillation flask.

8.2.2.9 Continue heating until a temperature of 110 °C is achieved and maintain this temperature for at least 2 minutes, or until the sample has dried in the distillation flask.

8.2.2.10 Slowly introduce the N₂ sweep gas through the purge tube and into the distillation flask, taking care to maintain a vacuum of approximately 400-mm Hg from absolute.

8.2.2.11 Continue sweeping the remaining solvent VOC from the distillation flask and condenser assembly for 2 minutes, or until all traces of condensed solvent are gone from the vessel. Some distillate may remain in the still head. This will not affect solvent recovery ratios.

8.2.2.12 Release the vacuum, disassemble the apparatus and transfer the distillate to a labeled, sealed vial.

8.2.3 Preparation of VOC standard bag sample.

8.2.3.1 Assemble the bag sample generation system as shown in Figure 204F-2 and bring the water bath up to near boiling temperature.

8.2.3.2 Inflate the Tedlar bag and perform a leak check on the bag.

8.2.3.3 Evacuate the bag and close the bag inlet valve.

8.2.3.4 Record the current barometric pressure.

8.2.3.5 Record the starting reading on the dry gas meter, open the bag inlet valve, and start the dilution zero air flowing into the Tedlar bag at approximately 2 liters per minute.

8.2.3.6 The bag sample VOC concentration should be similar to the gaseous VOC concentration measured in the gas streams. The amount of liquid VOC required can be approximated using equations in section 9.2. Using Equation 204F-4, calculate \( C_{VOC} \) by assuming RF is 1.0 and selecting the desired gas concentration in terms of propane. \( C_{C3} \). Assuming \( B_v \) is 20 liters, \( M_{LV} \) the approximate amount of liquid to be used to prepare the bag gas sample, can be calculated using Equation 204F-2.

8.2.3.7 Quickly withdraw an aliquot of the approximate amount calculated in section 8.2.3.6 from the distillate vial with the microliter syringe and record its weight from the analytical balance to the nearest 0.01 mg.

8.2.3.8 Inject the contents of the syringe through the septum of the volatilization vessel into the glass wool inside the vessel.

8.2.3.9 Reweigh and record the tare weight of the now empty syringe.

8.2.3.10 Record the pressure and temperature of the dilution gas as it is passed through the dry gas meter.

8.2.3.11 After approximately 20 liters of dilution gas have passed into the Tedlar bag, close the valve to the dilution air source and record the exact final reading on the dry gas meter.

8.2.3.12 The gas bag is then analyzed by FIA within 1 hour of bag preparation in accordance with the procedure in section 8.2.4.

8.2.4 Determination of VOC response factor.

8.2.4.1 Start up the FIA instrument using the same settings as used for the gaseous VOC measurements.

8.2.4.2 Perform the FIA analyzer calibration and linearity checks according to the procedure in section 7.1. Record the responses to each of the calibration gases and the back-pressure setting of the FIA.

8.2.4.3 Connect the Tedlar bag sample to the FIA sample inlet and record the bag concentration in terms of propane. Continue the analyzes until a steady reading is obtained for at least 30 seconds. Record the final reading and calculate the RF.

8.2.5 Determination of coating VOC content as VOC (\( V_{OC} \)).

8.2.5.1 Determine the VOC content of the coatings used in the process using EPA Method 24 or 24A as applicable.

9. Data Analysis and Calculations

9.1. Nomenclature.

\( B_v \)=Volume of bag sample volume, liters.

\( C_{cv} \)=Concentration of bag sample as propane, mg/liter.

\( C_{VOC} \)=Concentration of bag sample as VOC, mg/liter.

\( K \)=0.00183 mg propane/(liter-ppm propane)

\( L \)=Total VOC content of liquid input, kg propane.

\( M_v \)=Mass of VOC liquid injected into the bag, mg.

\( M_{LV} \)=Volume of gas measured by DGM, liters.

\( P_w \)=Absolute DGM gas pressure, mm Hg.

\( P_{STD} \)=Standard absolute pressure, 760 mm Hg.

\( R_{FIA} \)=FIA reading for bag gas sample, ppm propane.

\( RF \)=Response factor for VOC in liquid, weight VOC/weight propane.

\( RF_J \)=Response factor for VOC in liquid J, weight VOC/weight propane.

\( T_\text{Amb} \)=DGM temperature, °K.

\( T_{STD} \)=Standard absolute temperature, 293 °K.

\( V_{IJ} \)=Initial VOC weight fraction of VOC liquid J.

\( V_{fJ} \)=Final VOC weight fraction of VOC liquid J.

\( W_{IJ} \)=Weight of VOC containing liquid J at beginning of run, kg.

\( W_{fJ} \)=Weight of VOC containing liquid J at end of run, kg.
9.2 Calculations.

9.2.1 Bag sample volume.

\[ B_V = \frac{M_V T_{STD} P_M}{T_M P_{STD}} \]  
Eq. 204F-1

9.2.2 Bag sample VOC concentration.

\[ C_{VOC} = \frac{M_L}{B_V} \]  
Eq. 204F-2

9.2.3 Bag sample VOC concentration as propane.

\[ C_{C_3} = R_{C_3} K \]  
Eq. 204F-3

9.2.4 Response Factor.

\[ RF = \frac{C_{VOC}}{C_{C_3}} \]  
Eq. 204F-4

9.2.5 Total VOC Content of the Input VOC Containing Liquid.

\[
L = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{V_{ij} W_{ij}}{RF_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{V_{Fj} W_{Fj}}{RF_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{V_{Aj} W_{Aj}}{RF_j}
\]  
Eq. 204F-5

10. Diagrams
Figure 2048-2. Tedlar gas bag generation system apparatus.
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Method 205—Verification of Gas Dilution Systems for Field Instrument Calibrations

1. Introduction

1.1 Applicability. A gas dilution system can provide known values of calibration gases through controlled dilution of high-level calibration gases with an appropriate dilution gas. The instrumental test methods in 40 CFR part 60—e.g., Methods 3A, 6C, 7E, 10, 15, 16, 20, 25A and 25B—require on-site, multi-point calibration using gases of known concentrations. A gas dilution system that produces known low-level calibration gases from high-level calibration gases, with a degree of confidence similar to that for Protocol1 gases, may be used for compliance tests in lieu of multiple calibration gases when the gas dilution system is demonstrated to meet the requirements of this method. The Administrator may also use a gas dilution system in order to produce a wide range of Cylinder Gas Audit concentrations when conducting performance specifications according to appendix F. 40 CFR part 60. As long as the acceptance criteria of this method are met, this method is applicable to gas dilution systems using any type of dilution technology, not solely the ones mentioned in this method.

1.2 Principle. The gas dilution system shall be evaluated on one analyzer during each field test. A precalibrated analyzer is chosen, at the discretion of the source owner or operator, to demonstrate that the gas dilution system produces predictable gas concentrations spanning a range of concentrations. After meeting the requirements of this method, the remaining analyzers may be calibrated with the dilution system in accordance to the requirements of the applicable method for the duration of the field test. In Methods 15 and 16, 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, reactive compounds may be lost in the gas dilution system. Also, in Methods 25A and 25B, 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, calibration with target compounds other than propane is allowed. In these cases, a laboratory evaluation is required once per year in order to assure the Administrator that the system will dilute these reactive gases without significant loss.

NOTE: The laboratory evaluation is required only if the source owner or operator plans to utilize the dilution system to prepare gases mentioned above as being reactive.

2. Specifications

2.1 Gas Dilution System. The gas dilution system shall produce calibration gases whose measured values are within ±2 percent of the predicted values. The predicted values are calculated based on the certified concentration of the supply gas (Protocol) gases, when available, are recommended for their accuracy and the gas flow rates (or dilution ratios) through the gas dilution system.

2.1.1 The gas dilution system shall be re-calibrated once per calendar year using NIST-traceable primary flow standards with an uncertainty ≤0.25 percent. A label shall be affixed at all times to the gas dilution system listing the date of the most recent calibration, the due date for the next calibration, and the person or manufacturer who carried out the calibration. Follow the manufacturer’s instructions for the operation and use of the gas dilution system. A copy of the manufacturer’s instructions for the operation of the instrument, as well as the most recent recalibration documentation shall be made available for the Administrator’s inspection upon request.

2.1.2 Some manufacturers of mass flow controllers recommend that flow rates below 10 percent of flow controller capacity be avoided; check for this recommendation and follow the manufacturer’s instructions. One study has indicated that silicone oil from a positive displacement pump produces an interference in SO2 analyzers utilizing ultraviolet fluorescence; follow laboratory procedures similar to those outlined in Section 3.1 in order to demonstrate the significance of any resulting effect on instrument performance.

2.2 High-Level Supply Gas. An EPA Protocol calibration gas is recommended, due to its accuracy, as the high-level supply gas.

2.3 Mid-Level Supply Gas. An EPA Protocol gas shall be used as an independent check of the dilution system. The concentration of the mid-level supply gas shall be within 10 percent of one of the dilution levels tested in Section 3.2.

3. Performance Tests

3.1 Laboratory Evaluation (Optional). If the gas dilution system is to be used to formulate calibration gases with reactive compounds (Test Methods 15, 16, and 25A/25B only if using a calibration gas other than propane during the field test) in 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, a laboratory certification must be conducted once per calendar year for each reactive compound to be diluted. In the laboratory, carry out the procedures in Section 3.2 on the analyzer required in each respective test method to be laboratory certified (15, 16, or 25A and 25B for compounds other than propane). For each compound in which the gas dilution system meets the requirements in Section 3.2, the source must provide the laboratory certification data for the field test and in the test report.

3.2 Field Evaluation (Required). The gas dilution system shall be evaluated at the test site with an analyzer or monitor chosen by the source owner or operator. It is recommended that the source owner or operator choose a precalibrated instrument with a...
high level of precision and accuracy for the purposes of this test. This method is not meant to replace the calibration requirements of test methods. In addition to the requirements in this method, all the calibration requirements of the applicable test method must also be met.

3.2 Prepare the gas dilution system according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Using the high-level supply gas, prepare at a minimum, two dilutions within the range of each dilution device utilized in the dilution system (unless, as in critical orifice systems, each dilution device is used to make only one dilution; in that case, prepare one dilution for each dilution device). Dilution device in this method refers to each mass flow controller, critical orifice, capillary tube, positive displacement pump, or any other device which is used to achieve gas dilution.

3.2.2 Calculate the predicted concentration for each of the dilutions based on the flow rates through the gas dilution system (or the dilution ratio) and the certified concentration of the high-level supply gas.

3.2.3 Introduce each of the dilutions from Section 3.2.1 into the analyzer or monitor one at a time and determine the instrument response for each of the dilutions.

3.2.4 Repeat the procedure in Section 3.2.3 two times, i.e., until three injections are made at each dilution level. Calculate the average instrument response for each triplicate injection at each dilution level. No single injection shall differ by more than ±2 percent from the average instrument response for that dilution.

3.2.5 For each level of dilution, calculate the difference between the average concentration output recorded by the analyzer and the predicted concentration calculated in Section 3.2.2. The average concentration output from the analyzer shall be within ±2 percent of the predicted value.

3.2.6 Introduce the mid-level supply gas directly into the analyzer, bypassing the gas dilution system. Repeat the procedure twice more, for a total of three mid-level supply gas injections. Calculate the average analyzer output concentration for the mid-level supply gas. The difference between the certified concentration of the mid-level supply gas and the average instrument response shall be within ±2 percent.

3.3 If the gas dilution system meets the criteria listed in Section 3.2, the gas dilution system may be used throughout that field test. If the gas dilution system fails any of the criteria listed in Section 3.2, and the tester corrects the problem with the gas dilution system, the procedure in Section 3.2 must be repeated in its entirety and all the criteria in Section 3.2 must be met in order for the gas dilution system to be utilized in the test.
1.1.3 Sulfuric acid plants, as specified in paragraph 2.3 of this appendix, shall be monitored for sulfur dioxide emissions.

1.1.4 Nitric acid plants, as specified in paragraph 2.2 of this appendix, shall be monitored for nitrogen oxides emissions.

1.2 Exemptions. The States may include provisions within their regulations to grant exemptions from the monitoring requirements of paragraph 1.1 of this appendix for any source which is:

1.2.1 Subject to a new source performance standard promulgated in 40 CFR part 60 pursuant to section 111 of the Clean Air Act; or

1.2.2 Not subject to an applicable emission standard of an approved plan; or

1.2.3 Scheduled for retirement within 5 years after inclusion of monitoring requirements for the source in appendix P, provided that adequate evidence and guarantees are provided that clearly show that the source will cease operations prior to such date.

1.3 Extensions. States may allow reasonable extensions of the time provided for installation of monitors for facilities unable to meet the prescribed timeframe (i.e., 18 months from plan approval or promulgation) provided the owner or operator of such facility demonstrates that good faith efforts have been made to obtain and install such devices within such prescribed timeframe.

1.4 Monitoring System Malfunction. The State plan may provide a temporary exemption from the monitoring and reporting requirements of this appendix during any period of monitoring system malfunction, provided the owner or operator shows, to the satisfaction of the State, that the malfunction was unavoidable and is being repaired as expeditiously as practicable.

2.0 Minimum Monitoring Requirement. States must, as a minimum, require the sources listed in paragraph 1.1 of this appendix to meet the following basic requirements.

2.1 Fossil fuel-fired steam generators. Each fossil fuel-fired steam generator, except as provided in the following subparagraphs, with an annual average capacity factor of greater than 30 percent, as reported to the Federal Power Commission for calendar year 1974, or as otherwise demonstrated to the State by the owner or operator, shall conform with the following monitoring requirements when such facility is subject to an emission standard of an applicable plan for the pollutant in question.

2.1.1 A continuous monitoring system for the measurement of nitrogen oxides which meets the performance specifications of paragraph 1.2.2 of this appendix shall be installed, calibrated, maintained, and operated on any fossil fuel-fired steam generator of greater than 1000 million BTU per hour heat input which is located in an Air Quality Control Region where the Administrator has specifically determined that a control strategy for nitrogen dioxide is necessary to attain the national standards, unless the source owner or operator demonstrates during source compliance tests as required by the State that such a source emits nitrogen oxides at levels 30 percent or more below the emission standard within the applicable plan.

2.1.2 A continuous monitoring system for the measurement of nitrogen oxides which meets the performance specifications of paragraph 1.2.2 of this appendix shall be installed, calibrated, maintained, and operated on any fossil fuel-fired steam generator of greater than 250 million BTU per hour heat input which has installed sulfur dioxide pollutant control equipment.

2.1.3 A continuous monitoring system for the measurement of sulfur dioxide which meets the performance specification of paragraph 1.2.3 of this appendix shall be installed, calibrated, maintained, and operated on any fossil fuel-fired steam generator of greater than 300 tons per day production capacity.

2.1.4 A continuous monitoring system for the measurement of sulfur dioxide which meets the performance specifications of paragraphs 1.2.2 or 1.2.3 of this appendix shall be installed, calibrated, maintained, and operated on any fossil fuel-fired steam generator of greater than 1000 million BTU per hour heat input which is located in an Air Quality Control Region where the Administrator has specifically determined that a control strategy for nitrogen dioxide is necessary to attain the national standards, unless the source owner or operator demonstrates during source compliance tests as required by the State that such a source emits nitrogen oxides at levels 30 percent or more below the emission standard within the applicable plan.

2.2 Nitric acid plants. Each nitric acid plant of greater than 300 tons per day production capacity, the production capacity being expressed as 100 percent acid, located in an Air Quality Control Region where the Administrator has specifically determined that a control strategy for nitrogen dioxide is necessary to attain the national standard shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous monitoring system for the measurement of nitrogen oxides which meets the performance specifications of paragraph 1.2 for each nitric acid producing facility within such plant.
2.3 Sulfuric acid plants. Each sulfuric acid plant of greater than 300 tons per day production capacity, the production being expressed as 100 percent acid, shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous monitoring system for the measurement of sulfur dioxide which meets the performance specifications of paragraph 3.1.3 for each sulfuric acid producing facility within such plant.

2.4 Fluid bed catalytic cracking unit catalyst regenerators at petroleum refineries. Each catalyst regenerator for fluid bed catalytic cracking units of greater than 20,000 barrels per day fresh feed capacity shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous monitoring system for the measurement of opacity which meets the performance specifications of paragraph 3.1.1.

3.0 Minimum specifications. All State plans shall require owners or operators of monitoring equipment installed to comply with this appendix, except as provided in paragraph 3.2, to demonstrate compliance with the following performance specifications.

3.1 Performance specifications. The performance specifications set forth in appendix B of part 60 are incorporated herein by reference, and shall be used by States to determine acceptance of monitoring equipment installed pursuant to this appendix except that (1) where reference is made to the Administrator’s determination of the pollutant gas used to prepare calibration gas mixtures (Section 2.1, Performance Specification 2, appendix B, part 60 of this chapter) shall be nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and (2) where reference is made to the “Reference Method” as published in part 60 of this chapter.

3.2 Exemptions. Any source which has purchased an emission monitoring system(s) prior to September 11, 1974, may be exempt from meeting such test procedures prescribed in appendix B of part 60 for a period not to exceed five years from plan approval or promulgation.

3.3 Calibration gases. For nitrogen oxides monitoring systems installed on fossil fuel-fired steam generators or sulfuric acid plants the pollutant gas used to prepare calibration gas mixtures (Section 2.1, Performance Specification 2, appendix B, part 60 of this chapter) shall be nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). These gases shall also be used for daily checks under paragraph 3.7 of this appendix as applicable. For sulfur dioxide monitoring systems installed on fossil fuel-fired steam generators or sulfuric acid plants the pollutant gas used to prepare calibration gas mixtures (Section 2.1, Performance Specification 2, appendix B, part 60 of this chapter) shall be sulfur dioxide (SO₂). Span and zero gases shall be traceable to National Bureau of Standards reference gases whenever these reference gases are available. Every six months from date of manufacture, span and zero gases shall be reanalyzed by conducting triplicate analyses using the reference methods in appendix A, part 60 of this chapter as follows: for sulfur dioxide, use Reference Method 6; for nitrogen oxides, use Reference Method 7; and for carbon dioxide or oxygen, use Reference Method 3. The gases may be analyzed at less frequent intervals if longer shelf lives are guaranteed by the manufacturer.

3.4 Cycling times. Cycling times include the total time a monitoring system requires to sample, analyze and record an emission measurement.

3.4.1 Continuous monitoring systems for measuring opacity shall complete a minimum of one cycle of operation (sampling, analyzing, and data recording) for each successive 15-minute period.

3.4.2 Continuous monitoring systems for measuring oxides of nitrogen, carbon dioxide, oxygen, or sulfur dioxide shall complete a minimum of one cycle of operation (sampling, analyzing, and data recording) for each successive 10-second period.

3.5 Monitor location. State plans shall require all continuous monitoring systems or monitoring devices to be installed such that representative measurements of emissions or process parameters (i.e., oxygen, or carbon dioxide) from the affected facility are obtained. Additional guidance for location of continuous monitoring systems to obtain representative samples are contained in the applicable Performance Specifications of appendix B of part 60 of this chapter.
systems to be installed on the combined effluent. When the affected facilities are not of similar design and operating characteristics, or when the effluent from one affected facility is released to the atmosphere through more than one point, the State should establish alternate procedures to implement the intent of these requirements.

3.8 Zero and drift. State plans shall require owners or operators of all continuous monitoring systems installed in accordance with the method prescribed by the manufacturer of such instruments; to subject the instruments to the manufacturer's recommended zero and span check at least once daily unless the manufacturer has recommended adjustments at shorter intervals, in which case such recommendations shall be followed; to adjust the zero and span whenever the 24-hour zero drift or 24-hour calibration drift limits of the applicable performance specifications in appendix B of part 60 are exceeded; and to adjust continuous monitoring systems referenced by paragraph 3.2 of this appendix whenever the 24-hour zero drift or 24-hour calibration drift exceed 10 percent of the emission standard.

3.9 Span. Instrument span should be approximately 200 per cent of the expected instrument data display output corresponding to the emission standard for the source.

3.9.1 Alternative procedures and requirements. In cases where States wish to utilize different, but equivalent, procedures and requirements for continuous monitoring systems, the State plan must provide a description of such alternative procedures for approval by the Administrator. Some examples of situations that may require alternatives follow:

3.9.2 Alternative locations for installing continuous monitoring systems or monitoring devices when the owner or operator can demonstrate that installation at alternative locations will enable accurate and representative measurements.

3.9.3 Alternative procedures for performing calibration checks (e.g., some instruments may demonstrate superior drift characteristics that require checking at less frequent intervals).

3.9.4 Alternative monitoring requirements when the effluent from one affected facility or the combined effluent from two or more identical affected facilities is released to the atmosphere through more than one point (e.g., an extractive, gaseous monitoring system used at several points may be approved if the procedures recommended are suitable for generating accurate emission averages).

3.9.5 Alternative continuous monitoring systems that do not meet the spectral response requirements in Performance Specification 1, appendix B of part 60, but adequately demonstrate a definite and consistent relationship between their measurements and the opacity measurements of a system complying with the requirements in Performance Specification 1. The State may require that such demonstration be performed for each affected facility.

3.10 Minimum data requirements. The following paragraphs set forth the minimum data reporting requirements necessary to comply with §51.214(d) and (e).

3.10.1 The State plan shall require owners or operators of facilities required to install continuous monitoring systems to submit a written report of excess emissions for each calendar quarter and the nature and cause of the excess emissions, if known. The averaging period used for data reporting should be established by the State to correspond to the averaging period specified in the emission test method used to determine compliance with an emission standard for the pollutant/source category in question. The required report shall include, as a minimum, the data stipulated in this appendix.

3.10.2 For opacity measurements, the summary shall consist of the magnitude in actual percent opacity of all one-minute (or such other time period deemed appropriate by the State) averages of opacity greater than the opacity standard in the applicable plan for each hour of operation of the facility. Average values may be obtained by integration over the averaging period or by arithmetically averaging a minimum of four equally spaced, instantaneous opacity measurements per minute. Any time period exempted shall be considered before determining the excess averages of opacity (e.g., whenever a regulation allows two minutes of opacity measurements in excess of the standard, the State shall require the source to report all opacity averages, in any one hour, in excess of the standard, minus the two-minute exemption). If more than one opacity standard applies, excess emissions data must be submitted in relation to all such standards.

3.10.3 For gaseous measurements the summary shall consist of emission averages, in the units of the applicable standard, for each averaging period during which the applicable standard was exceeded.

3.10.4 The date and time identifying each period during which the continuous monitoring system was inoperative, except for zero and
span checks, and the nature of system repairs or adjustments shall be reported. The State may require proof of continuous monitoring system performance whenever system repairs or adjustments have been made.

4.5 When no excess emissions have occurred and the continuous monitoring system(s) have not been inoperative, repaired, or adjusted, such information shall be included in the report.

4.6 The State plan shall require owners or operators of affected facilities to maintain a file of all information reported in the quarterly summaries, and all other data collected either by the continuous monitoring system or as necessary to convert monitoring data to the units of the applicable standard for a minimum of two years from the date of collection of such data or submission of such summaries.

5.0 Data Reduction. The State plan shall require owners or operators of affected facilities to use the following procedures for converting monitoring data to units of the standard where necessary.

5.1 For fossil fuel-fired steam generators the following procedures shall be used to convert gaseous emission monitoring data in parts per million to g/million cal (lb/million Btu) where necessary:

5.1.1 When the owner or operator of a fossil fuel-fired steam generator elects under paragraph 2.1.4 of this appendix to measure oxygen in the flue gases, the measurements of the pollutant concentration and oxygen concentration shall each be on a dry basis and the following conversion procedure used:

\[ E = CF \left( \frac{20.9}{20.9 - \%O_2} \right) \]

5.1.2 When the owner or operator elects under paragraph 2.1.4 of this appendix to measure carbon dioxide in the flue gases, the measurement of the pollutant concentration and the carbon dioxide concentration shall each be on a consistent basis (wet or dry) and the following conversion procedure used:

\[ E = CF \left( \frac{100}{\%CO_2} \right) \]

5.1.3 The values used in the equations under paragraph 5.1 are derived as follows:

\[ E = \text{pollutant emission, g/million cal (lb/million Btu)} \]
\[ C = \text{pollutant concentration, g/dscm (lb/dscf), determined by multiplying the average concentration (ppm) for each hourly period by} \]
\[ 4.16 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M g/dscm per ppm (2.64 \times 10^{-9} M lb/dscf per ppm)} \text{ where} \]
\[ M = \text{pollutant molecular weight, g/mole (lb/mole)} \leq 64 \text{ for sulfur dioxide and 46 for oxides of nitrogen,} \]
\[ \%O_2, \%CO_2 = \text{Oxygen or carbon dioxide volume fraction (expressed as percent) determined with equipment specified under paragraph 4.1.4 of this appendix,} \]
\[ F, F_c = \text{a factor representing a ratio of the volume of dry flue gases generated to the calorific value of the fuel combusted (F), and a factor representing a ratio of the volume of carbon dioxide generated to the calorific value of the fuel combusted (F_c), respectively. Values of F and F_c are given in §60.45(f) of part 60, as applicable.} \]

5.2 For sulfuric acid plants the owner or operator shall:

5.2.1 establish a conversion factor three times daily according to the procedures to §60.84(b) of this chapter;

5.2.2 multiply the conversion factor by the average sulfur dioxide concentration in the flue gases to obtain average sulfur dioxide emissions in Kg/metric ton (lb/short ton); and

5.2.3 report the average sulfur dioxide emission for each averaging period in excess of the applicable emission standard in the quarterly summary.

5.3 For nitric acid plants the owner or operator shall:

5.3.1 establish a conversion factor according to the procedures of §60.73(b) of this chapter;

5.3.2 multiply the conversion factor by the average nitrogen oxides concentration in the flue gases to obtain the nitrogen oxides emissions in the units of the applicable standard;

5.3.3 report the average nitrogen oxides emission for each averaging period in excess of the applicable emission standard in the quarterly summary.

5.4 Any State may allow data reporting or reduction procedures varying from those set forth in this appendix if the owner or operator of a source shows to the satisfaction of the State that his procedures are at least as accurate as those in this appendix. Such procedures may include but are not limited to, the following:

5.4.1 Alternative procedures for computing emission averages that do not require integration of data (e.g., some facilities may demonstrate that the variability of their emissions is sufficiently small to allow accurate reduction of data based upon computing averages from equally spaced data points over the averaging period).

5.4.2 Alternative methods of converting pollutant concentration measurements to the units of the emission standards.

5.4.3 Special Consideration. The State plan may provide for approval, on a case-by-case basis, of alternative monitoring requirements different from the provisions of parts 1 through 5 of this appendix if the provisions of this appendix (i.e., the installation of a continuous emission monitoring system) cannot be implemented by a source due to physical plant limitations or extreme economic reasons. To make use of this provision, States must include in their plan specific criteria for determining those physical limitations or extreme economic situations...
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to be considered by the State. In such cases, when the State exempts any source subject to this appendix by use of this provision from installing continuous emission monitoring systems, the State shall set forth alternative emission monitoring and reporting requirements (e.g., periodic manual stack tests) to satisfy the intent of these regulations. Examples of such special cases include, but are not limited to, the following:

6.1 Alternative monitoring requirements may be prescribed when installation of a continuous monitoring system or monitoring device specified by this appendix would not provide accurate determinations of emissions (e.g., condensed, uncombined water vapor may prevent an accurate determination of opacity using commercially available continuous monitoring systems).

6.2 Alternative monitoring requirements may be prescribed when the affected facility is infrequently operated (e.g., some affected facilities may operate less than one month per year).

6.3 Alternative monitoring requirements may be prescribed when the State determines that the requirements of this appendix would impose an extreme economic burden on the source owner or operator.

6.4 Alternative monitoring requirements may be prescribed when the State determines that monitoring systems prescribed by this appendix cannot be installed due to physical limitations at the facility.

(40 FR 46247, Oct. 6, 1975, as amended at 51 FR 40975, Nov. 7, 1986)

APPENDIXES Q–R [RESERVED]

APPENDIX S TO PART 51—EMISSION OFFSET INTERPRETATIVE RULING

I. INTRODUCTION

This appendix sets forth EPA’s Interpretative Ruling on the preconstruction review requirements for stationary sources of air pollution (not including indirect sources) under 40 CFR subpart I and section 129 of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977. Public Law 95–65, (note under 42 U.S.C. 7555). A major new source or major modification which would locate in an area designated in 40 CFR 81.300 et seq., as nonattainment for a pollutant, including air pollution control equipment and restrictions on hours of operation or on the type or amount of material combusted, stored, or processed, shall be treated as part of its design only if the limitation or
the effect it would have on emissions is federally enforceable. Secondary emissions do not count in determining the potential to emit of a stationary source.

4. (i) **Major stationary source** means:

(a) Any stationary source of air pollutants which emits, or has the potential to emit, 100 tons per year or more of any pollutant subjected to regulation under the Act; or

(b) Any physical change that would occur at a stationary source not qualifying under paragraph 5.(i)(a) of this appendix as a major stationary source, if the change would constitute a major stationary source by itself.

(ii) A major stationary source that is major for volatile organic compounds shall be considered major for ozone.

(iii) The fugitive emissions of a stationary source shall not be included in determining for any of the purposes of this ruling whether it is a major stationary source, unless the source belongs to one of the following categories of stationary sources:

(a) Coal cleaning plants (with thermal dryers);

(b) Kraft pulp mills;

(c) Portland cement plants;

(d) Primary zinc smelters;

(e) Iron and steel mills;

(f) Primary aluminum ore reduction plants;

(g) Primary copper smelters;

(h) Municipal incinerators capable of charging more than 250 tons of refuse per day;

(i) Hydrofluoric, sulfuric, or nitric acid plants;

(j) Petroleum refineries;

(l) Phosphate rock processing plants;

(m) Coke oven batteries;

(n) Sulfur recovery plants;

(o) Carbon black plants (furnace process);

(p) Primary lead smelters;

(q) Fuel conversion plants;

(r) Sintering plants;

(s) Secondary metal production plants;

(t) Chemical process plants;

(u) Any other stationary source category which, as of August 7, 1980, is being regulated under section 111 or 112 of the Act.

5. (i) **Major modification** means any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act.

(ii) Any net emissions increase that is considered significant for volatile organic compounds shall be considered significant for ozone.

(iii) A physical change or change in the method of operation shall not include:

(a) Routine maintenance, repair, and replacement;

(b) Use of an alternative fuel or raw material by reason of an order under section 2 (a) and (b) of the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974 (or any superseding legislation) or by reason of a natural gas curtailment plan pursuant to the Federal Power Act;

(c) Use of an alternative fuel by reason of an order or rule under section 125 of the Act;

(d) Use of an alternative fuel at a steam generating unit to the extent that the fuel is generated from municipal solid waste;

(e) Use of an alternative fuel or raw material by a stationary source which:

1. The source was capable of accommodating before December 21, 1976, unless such change would be prohibited under any federally enforceable permit condition which was established after December 21, 1976, pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR subpart I or §51.166; or

2. The source is approved to use under any permit issued under this ruling;

(f) An increase in the hours of operation or in the production rate, unless such change is prohibited under any federally enforceable permit condition which was established after December 21, 1976, pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR subpart I or §51.166; or

(g) Any change in ownership at a stationary source.

6. (i) **Net emissions increase** means the amount by which the sum of the following exceed zero:

(a) Any increase in actual emissions from a particular physical change or change in the method of operation at a stationary source;

(b) Any other increases and decreases in actual emissions at the source that are contemporaneous with the particular change and are otherwise creditable.

(ii) An increase or decrease in actual emissions is contemporaneous with the increase from the particular change only if it occurs between:

(a) The date five years before construction on the particular change commences and

(b) The date that the increase from the particular change occurs.

(iii) An increase or decrease in actual emissions is creditable only if the Administrator has not relied on it in issuing a permit.
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for the source under this Ruling which permit is in effect when the increase in actual emissions from the particular change occurs.

(iv) An increase in actual emissions is creditable only to the extent that the new level of actual emissions exceeds the old level.

(v) A decrease in actual emissions is creditable only to the extent that:

(a) The old level of actual emissions or the old level of allowable emissions, whichever is lower, exceeds the new level of actual emissions;

(b) It is federally enforceable at and after the time that actual construction on the particular change begins;

(c) The reviewing authority has not relied on it in issuing any permit under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR 51.18; and

(d) It has approximately the same qualitative significance for public health and welfare as that attributed to the increase from the particular change.

(vi) An increase that results from a physical change at a source occurs when the emissions unit on which construction occurred becomes operational and begins to emit a particular pollutant. Any replacement unit that requires shakedown becomes operational only after a reasonable shake-down period, not to exceed 180 days.

7. **Emissions unit** means any part of a stationary source which emits or would have the potential to emit any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act.

8. **Secondary emissions** means emissions which would occur as a result of the construction or operation of a major stationary source or major modification, but do not come from the major stationary source or major modification itself. For the purpose of this Ruling, secondary emissions must be specific, well defined, quantifiable, and impact the same general area as the stationary source or modification which causes the secondary emissions. Secondary emissions include emissions from any offsite support facility which would not be constructed or increased its emissions except as a result of the construction or operation of the major stationary source or major modification. Secondary emissions do not include any emissions which come directly from a mobile source, such as emissions from the tailpipe of a motor vehicle, from a train, or from a vessel.

9. **Fugitive emissions** means those emissions which could not reasonably pass through a stack, chimney, vent, or other functionally equivalent opening.

10. (i) **Significant** means, in reference to a net emissions increase or the potential of a source to emit any of the following pollutants, a rate of emissions that would equal or exceed any of the following rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant and Emissions Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide: 100 tons per year (tpy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen oxides: 40 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur dioxide: 40 tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter: 25 tpy of particulate matter emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone: 40 tpy of volatile organic compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead: 0.6 tpy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. **Allowable emissions** means the emissions rate calculated using the maximum rated capacity of the source (unless the source is subject to federally enforceable limits which restrict the operating rate, or hours of operation, or both) and the most stringent of the following:

(i) Applicable standards as set forth in 40 CFR parts 60 and 61;

(ii) Any applicable State Implementation Plan emissions limitation, including those with a future compliance date; or

(iii) The emissions rate specified as a federally enforceable permit condition, including those with a future compliance date.

12. **Federally enforceable** means all limitations and conditions which are enforceable by the Administrator, including those requirements developed pursuant to 40 CFR parts 60 and 61, requirements within any applicable State implementation plan, any permit requirements established pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21 or under regulations approved pursuant to 40 CFR part 51, subpart F, including operating permits issued under an EPA-approved program that is incorporated into the State implementation plan and expressly requires adherence to any permit issued under such program.

13. (i) **Actual emissions** means the actual rate of emissions of a pollutant from an emissions unit as determined in accordance with paragraphs 16. (ii) through (iv) of Section II.A. of this appendix.

(ii) In general, actual emissions as of a particular date shall equal the average rate, in tons per year, at which the unit actually emitted the pollutant during a two-year period which precedes the particular date and which is representative of normal source operation. The reviewing authority shall allow the use of a different time period upon a determination that it is more representative of normal source operation. Actual emissions shall be calculated using the unit’s actual operating hours, production rates, and types of materials processed, stored or combusted during the selected time period.

(iii) The reviewing authority may presume that source-specific allowable emissions for the unit are equivalent to the actual emissions of the unit.

(iv) For any emissions unit which has not begun normal operations on the particular date, actual emissions shall equal the potential to emit of the unit on that date.
14. **Construction** means any physical change or change in the method of operation (including fabrication, erection, installation, demolition, or modification of an emissions unit) which would result in a change in actual emissions.

15. **Commence** as applied to construction of a major stationary source or major modification means that the owner or operator has all necessary preconstruction approvals or permits and either has:
   (i) Begun, or caused to begin, a continuous program of actual on-site construction of the source, to be completed within a reasonable time; or
   (ii) Entered into binding agreements or contractual obligations, which cannot be cancelled or modified without substantial loss to the owner or operator, to undertake a program of actual construction of the source to be completed within a reasonable time.

16. **Necessary preconstruction approvals or permits** means those permits or approvals required under Federal air quality control laws and regulations and those air quality control laws and regulations which are part of the applicable State Implementation Plan.

17. **Begin actual construction** means, in general, initiation of physical on-site construction activities on an emissions unit which are of a permanent nature. Such activities include, but are not limited to, installation of building supports and foundations, laying of underground pipework, and construction of permanent storage structures. With respect to a change in method of operating this term refers to those on-site activities other than preparatory activities which mark the initiation of the change.

18. **Lowest achievable emission rate** means, for any source, the more stringent rate of emissions based on the following:
   (i) The most stringent emissions limitation which is contained in the implementation plan of any State for such class or category of stationary source, unless the owner or operator of the proposed stationary source demonstrates that such limitations are not achievable; or
   (ii) The most stringent emissions limitation which is achieved in practice by such class or category of stationary source. This limitation, when applied to a modification, means the lowest achievable emissions rate for the new or modified emissions units within the stationary source. In no event shall the application of this term permit a proposed new or modified stationary source to emit any pollutant in excess of the amount allowable under applicable new source standards of performance.

19. **Resource recovery facility** means any facility at which solid waste is processed for the purpose of extracting, converting to energy, or otherwise separating and preparing solid waste for reuse. Energy conversion facilities must utilize solid waste to provide more than 50 percent of the heat input to be considered a resource recovery facility under this Ruling.

20. **Volatile organic compounds (VOC)** is as defined in §51.100(k) of this part.

B. **Review of all sources for emission limitation compliance.** The reviewing authority must examine each proposed major new source and proposed major modification to determine if such a source will meet all applicable emission requirements in the SIP, any applicable new source performance standard in 40 CFR part 60, or any national emission standard for hazardous air pollutants in 40 CFR part 61. If the reviewing authority determines that the proposed major new source cannot meet the applicable emission requirements, the permit to construct must be denied.

C. **Review of specified sources for air quality impact.** In addition, the reviewing authority must determine whether the major stationary source or major modification would be constructed in an area designated in 40 CFR §130 et seq. as nonattainment for a pollutant for which the stationary source or modification is major.

D. - E. [Reserved]

F. **Fugitive emissions sources.** Section IV. A. of this Ruling shall not apply to a source or modification that would be a major stationary source or major modification only if fugitive emissions, to the extent quantifiable, are considered in calculating the potential to emit of the stationary source or modification and the source does not belong to any of the following categories:

(1) Coal cleaning plants (with thermal dryers);
(2) Kraft pulp mills;
(3) Portland cement plants;
(4) Primary zinc smelters;
(5) Iron and steel mills;
(6) Primary aluminum ore reduction plants;
(7) Primary copper smelters;
(8) Municipal incinerators capable of charging more than 250 tons of refuse per day;
(9) Hydrofluoric, sulfurous, or nitric acid plants;
(10) Petroleum refineries;
(11) Lime plants;
(12) Phosphate rock processing plants;
(13) Coke oven batteries;
(14) Sulfur recovery plants;
(15) Carbon black plants (furnace process);
(16) Primary lead smelters;
(17) Fuel conversion plants;
(18) Sintering plants;
(19) Secondary metal production plants;
(20) Chemical process plants;

1 Hereafter the term source will be used to denote both any source and any modification.
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(21) Fossil-fuel boilers (or combination thereof) totaling more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input;
(22) Petroleum storage and transfer units with a total storage capacity exceeding 300,000 barrels;
(23) Taconite ore processing plants;
(24) Glass fiber processing plants;
(25) Charcoal production plants;
(26) Fossil fuel-fired steam electric plants of more than 250 million British thermal units per hour heat input;
(27) Any other stationary source category which, as of August 7, 1980, is being regulated under section 111 or 112 of the Act.

IV. SOURCES LOCATING IN DESIGNATED CLEAN OR UNCLASSIFIABLE AREAS WHICH WOULD CAUSE OR CONTRIBUTE TO A VIOLATION OF A NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARD

A. This section applies only to major sources or major modifications which would locate in an area designated in 40 CFR 81.300 et seq. as attainment or unclassifiable in a State where EPA has not yet approved the State preconstruction review program required by 40 CFR 51.165(b), if the source or modification would exceed the following significance levels at any locality that does not meet the NAAQS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>1.0 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>1.0 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>1.0 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Sources to which this section applies must meet Conditions 1, 2, and 4 of Section IV.A. of this ruling. However, such sources may be exempt from Condition 3 of Section IV.A. of this ruling.

C. Review of specified sources for air quality impact. For stable air pollutants (i.e., SO₂, particulate matter and CO), the determination of whether a source will cause or contribute to a violation of an NAAQS generally should be made on a case-by-case basis as of the proposed new source’s start-up date using the source’s allowable emissions in an atmospheric simulation model (unless a source will clearly impact on a receptor which exceeds an NAAQS).

For sources of nitrogen oxides, the initial determination of whether a source would cause or contribute to a violation of the NAAQS for NO₂ should be made using an atmospheric simulation model assuming all the nitric oxide emitted is oxidized to NO₃ by the time the plume reaches ground level. The initial concentration estimates may be adjusted if adequate data are available to account for the expected oxidation rate.

For ozone, sources of volatile organic compounds, locating outside a designated ozone nonattainment area, will be presumed to have no significant impact on the designated nonattainment area. If ambient monitoring indicates that the area of source location is in fact nonattainment, then the source may be permitted under the provisions of any State plan pursuant to section 110(a)(2)(D) of the Act until the area is designated nonattainment and a State Implementation Plan revision is approved. If no State plan pursuant to section 110(a)(2)(D) has been adopted and approved, then this Ruling shall apply.

As noted above, the determination as to whether a source would cause or contribute to a violation of an NAAQS should be made as of the new source’s start-up date. Therefore, if a designated nonattainment area is projected to be an attainment area as part of an approved SIP control strategy by the new source start-up date, offsets would not be required if the new source would not cause a new violation.

D. Sources locating in clean areas, but would cause a new violating of an NAAQS. If the reviewing authority finds that the emissions from a proposed source would cause a new violation of an NAAQS, but would not contribute to an existing violation, approval may be granted only if both of the following conditions are met:

---

2 The discussion in this paragraph is a proposal, but represents EPA’s interim policy until final rulemaking is completed.
Condition 1. The new source is required to meet a more stringent emission limitation and/or the control of existing sources below allowable levels is required so that the source will not cause a violation of any NAAQS.  

Condition 2. The new emission limitations for the new source as well as any existing sources affected must be enforceable in accordance with the mechanisms set forth in Section V of this appendix.

IV. SOURCES THAT WOULD LOCATE IN A DESIGNATED NONATTAINMENT AREA

A. Conditions for approval. If the reviewing authority finds that the major stationary source or major modification would be constructed in an area designated in 40 CFR 81.300 et seq as nonattainment for a pollutant for which the stationary source or modification is major, approval may be granted only if the following conditions are met:

Condition 1. The new source is required to meet an emission Limitation which specifies the lowest achievable emission rate for such source.  

Condition 2. The applicant must certify that all existing major sources owned or operated by the applicant (or any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the applicant) in the same State as the proposed source are in compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards under the Act (or are in compliance with an expeditious schedule which is Federally enforceable or contained in a court decree).

Condition 3. Emission reductions (offsets) from existing sources in the area of the proposed source (whether or not under the same ownership) are required such that there will be reasonable progress toward attainment of the applicable NAAQS.  

Only intrapollutant emission offsets will be acceptable (e.g., hydrocarbon increases may not be offset against SO\textsubscript{2} reductions).

Condition 4. The emission offsets will provide a positive net air quality benefit in the affected area (see Section IV.D. below). Atmospheric simulation modeling is not necessary for volatile organic compounds and NO\textsubscript{x}. Fulfillment of Condition 3 and Section

3 If the reviewing authority determines that technological or economic limitations on the application of measurement methodology to a particular class of sources would make the imposition of an enforceable numerical emission standard infeasible, the authority may instead prescribe a design, operational or equipment standard. In such cases, the reviewing authority shall make its best estimate as to the emission rate that will be achieved and must specify that rate in the required submission to EPA (see Part V). Any permits issued without an enforceable numerical emission standard must contain enforceable conditions which assure that the design characteristics or equipment will be properly maintained (or that the operational conditions will be properly performed) so as to continuously achieve the assumed degree of control. Such conditions shall be enforceable as emission limitations by private parties under section 304. Hereafter, the term emission limitation shall also include such design, operational, or equipment standards.

4 If the reviewing authority determines that technological or economic limitations on the application of measurement methodology to a particular class of sources would make the imposition of an enforceable numerical emission standard infeasible, the authority may instead prescribe a design, operational or equipment standard. In such cases, the reviewing authority shall make its best estimate as to the emission rate that will be achieved and must specify that rate in the required submission to EPA (see Part V). Any permits issued without an enforceable numerical emission standard must contain enforceable conditions which assure that the design characteristics or equipment

5 Required only for those pollutants for which the increased allowable emissions exceed 50 tons per year, 1000 pounds per day, or 100 pounds per hour, although the reviewing authority may address other pollutants if deemed appropriate. The preceding hourly and daily rates shall apply only with respect to a pollutant for which a national ambient air quality standard, for a period less than 24 hours or for a 24-hour period, as appropriate, has been established.

6 Subject to the provisions of section IV.C. below.

7 The discussion in this paragraph is a proposal, but represents EPA’s interim policy until final rulemaking is completed.

8 Required only for those pollutants for which the increased allowable emissions exceed 50 tons per year, 1000 pounds per day, or 100 pounds per hour, although the reviewing authority may address other pollutants if deemed appropriate. The preceding hourly and daily rates shall apply only with respect to a pollutant for which a national ambient air quality standard, for a period less than 24 hours or for a 24-hour period, as appropriate, has been established.
IV.D. will be considered adequate to meet this condition.

B. Exemptions from certain conditions. The reviewing authority may exempt the following sources from Condition 1 under Section III or Conditions 3 and 4. Section IV.A.: (i) Resource recovery facilities burning municipal solid waste, and (ii) sources which must incur modifications to lack of adequate fuel supplies or where a source is required to be modified as a result of EPA regulations (e.g., lead-in-fuel requirements) and no exemption from such regulation is available to the source. Such an exemption may be granted only if: 1. The applicant demonstrates that it made its best efforts to obtain sufficient emission offsets to comply with Condition 1 under Section III or Conditions 3 and 4 under Section IV.A. and that such efforts were unsuccessful; 2. The applicant has secured all available emission offsets; and 3. The applicant will continue to seek the necessary emission offsets and apply them when they become available. Such an exemption may result in the need to revise the SIP to provide additional control of existing sources. Temporary emission sources, such as pilot plants, portable facilities which will be relocated outside of the nonattainment area after a short period of time, and emissions resulting from the construction phase of a new source, are exempt from Conditions 3 and 4 of this section. C. Baseline for determining credit for emission and air quality offsets. The baseline for determining credit for emission and air quality offsets will be the SIP emission limitations in effect at the time the application to construct or modify a source is filed. Thus, credit for emission offset purposes may be allowable for existing control that goes beyond that required by the SIP. Emission offsets generally should be made on a pounds per hour basis when all facilities involved in the emission offset calculations are operating at their maximum expected or allowed production rate. The reviewing authority should specify other averaging periods (e.g., tons per year) in addition to the pounds per hour basis if necessary to carry out the intent of this ruling. Emission offsets are calculated on a tons per year basis, the baseline emissions for existing sources providing the offsets should be calculated using the actual annual operating hours for the previous one or two year period (or other appropriate period if warranted by cyclical business conditions). Where the SIP requires certain hardware controls in lieu of an emission limitation (e.g., floating roof tanks for petroleum storage), baseline allowable emissions should be based on actual operating conditions for the previous one or two year period (i.e., actual throughput and vapor pressures) in conjunction with the required hardware controls. 1. No meaningful or applicable SIP requirement. Where the applicable SIP does not contain an emission limitation for a source category, the emission offset baseline involving such sources shall be the actual emissions determined in accordance with the discussion above regarding operating conditions.

Where the SIP emission limit allows greater emissions than the uncontrolled emission rate of the source (as when a State has a single particulate emission limit for all fuels), emission offset credit will be allowed only for control below the uncontrolled emission rate. 2. Combustion of fuels. Generally, the emissions for determining emission offset credit involving an existing fuel combustion source will be the allowable emissions under the SIP for the type of fuel being burned at the time the new source application is filed (i.e., if the existing source has switched to a different type of fuel at some earlier date, any resulting emission reduction (whether actual or allowable) shall not be used for emission offset credit). If the existing source commits to switch to a cleaner fuel at some future date, emission offset credit based on the allowable emissions for the fuels involved is not acceptable unless the permit is conditioned to require the use of a specified alternative control measure which would achieve the same degree of emission reduction should the source switch back to a dirtier fuel at some later date. The reviewing authority should ensure that adequate long-term supplies of the new fuel are available before granting emission offset credit for fuel switches. 3. (i) Operating hours and source shutdown. A source may generally be credited with emissions reductions achieved by shutting down an existing source or permanently curtailing production or operating hours below baseline levels (see initial discussion in this Section IV.C), if such reductions are permanent, quantifiable, and federally enforceable, and if the area has an EPA-approved attainment plan. In addition, the shutdown or curtailment is creditable only if it occurred on or after the date specified for this purpose in the plan, and if such date is on or after the date of the most recent emissions inventory used in the plan’s demonstration of attainment. The plan does not specify a cutoff date for shutdown credits, the date of the most recent emissions inventory or attainment demonstration, as the case may be, shall apply. However, in no event may credit be given for shutdowns which occurred prior to August 7, 1977. For purposes of this paragraph, a permitting authority may choose to consider a prior shutdown or curtailment to have occurred after the date of its most recent emissions inventory, if the inventory
explicitly includes as current “existing” emissions the emissions from such previously shutdown or curtailed sources.

(ii) Such reductions may be credited in the absence of a demonstration only if the shutdown or curtailment occurred on or after the date the new source application is filed, or, if the application can establish that the proposed new source is a replacement for the shutdown or curtailed source and the cutoff date provisions of section IV.C.3.(i) are observed.

4. Credit for VOC substitution. As set forth in the Agency’s “Recommended Policy on Control of Volatile Organic Compounds” (42 FR 39314, July 8, 1977), EPA has found that almost all non-methane VOCs are photochemically reactive and that low reactivity VOCs eventually form as much ozone as the highly reactive VOCs. Therefore, no emission offset credit may be allowed for replacing one VOC compound with another of lesser reactivity, except for those compounds listed in Table 1 of the above policy statement.

5. “Banking” of emission offset credit. For new sources obtaining permits by applying offsets after January 16, 1979, the reviewing authority may allow offsets that exceed the requirements of reasonable progress toward attainment (Condition 3) to be “banked” (i.e., saved to provide offsets for a source seeking a permit in the future) for use under this Ruling. Likewise, the reviewing authority may allow the owner of an existing source that reduces its own emissions to bank any resulting reductions beyond those required by the SIP for use under this Ruling, even if none of the offsets are applied immediately to a new source permit. A reviewing authority may allow these banked offsets to be used under the preconstruction review program required by Part D, as long as these banked emissions are identified and accounted for in the SIP control strategy. A reviewing authority may not approve the construction of a source using banked offsets if the new source would interfere with the SIP control strategy or if such use would violate any other condition set forth for use of offsets. To preserve banked offsets, the reviewing authority should identify them in either a SIP revision or a permit, and establish rules as to how and when they may be used.

6. Offset credit for meeting NSPS or NESHAPS. Where a source is subject to an emission limitation established in a New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) or a National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS), (i.e., requirements under sections 111 and 112, respectively, of the Act), and a different SIP limitation, the more stringent limitation shall be used as the baseline for determining credit for emission and air quality offsets. The difference in emissions between the SIP and the NSPS or NESHAPS, for such source may not be used as offset credit. However, if a source were not subject to an NSPS or NESHAPS, for example if its construction had commenced prior to the proposal of an NSPS or NESHAPS for such source.

D. Location of offsetting emissions. In the case of emission offsets involving volatile organic compounds (VOC), the offsets may be obtained from sources located anywhere in the broad vicinity of the proposed new source. Generally, offsets will be acceptable if obtained from within the same AQCR as the new source or from other areas which may be contributing to the ozone problem at the proposed new source location. As with other pollutants, it is desirable to obtain offsets from sources located close to the proposed new source site as possible. If the proposed offsets would be from sources located at greater distances from the new source, the reviewing authority should increase the ratio of the required offsets and require a showing that nearby offsets were investigated and reasonable alternatives were not available.

The offsets for NOx sources may also be obtained within the broad vicinity of the proposed new source. This is because areawide ozone and NOx levels are generally not as dependent on specific VOC or NOx source location as they are on overall area emissions. Since the air quality impact of SO2, particulate and carbon monoxide sources is site dependent, simple areawide mass emission offsets are not appropriate. For these pollutants, the reviewing authority should consider atmospheric simulation modeling to ensure that the emission offsets provide a positive net air quality benefit. However, to avoid unnecessary consumption of limited, costly, and time consuming modeling resources, in most cases it can be assumed that if the emission offsets are obtained from an existing source on the same premises or in the immediate vicinity of the new source, and the pollutants disperse from substantially the same effective stack height, the air quality test under Condition 4 of Section IV.A. of this appendix will be met. Thus, when stack emissions are offset against a ground level source at the same site, modeling would be required. The reviewing authority may perform this analysis or require the applicant to submit appropriate modeling results.

E. Reasonable progress towards attainment. As long as the emission offset is greater than one-for-one, and the other criteria set forth

---

*The discussion in this paragraph is a proposal, but represents EPA’s interim policy until final rulemaking is completed.
above are met, EPA does not intend to question a reviewing authority’s judgment as to what constitutes reasonable progress towards attainment as required under Condition 3 in Section IV. A, of this appendix. This does not apply to “reasonable further progress” as required by Section 173.

F. Source obligation. At such time that a particular source or modification becomes a major stationary source or major modification solely by virtue of a relaxation in any enforceable limitation which was established after August 7, 1980, on the capacity of the source or modification otherwise to emit a pollutant, such as a restriction on hours of operation, then the requirements of this Ruling shall apply to the source or modification as though construction had not yet commenced on the source or modification.

V. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

The necessary emission offsets may be proposed either by the owner of the proposed source or by the local community or the State. The emission reduction committed to must be enforceable by authorized State and/or local agencies and under the Clean Air Act, and must be accomplished by the new source’s start-up date. If emission reductions are to be obtained in a State that neighbors the State in which the new source is to be located, the emission reductions committed to must be enforceable by the neighboring State and/or local agencies and under the Clean Air Act. Where the new facility is a replacement for a facility that is being shut down in order to provide the necessary offsets, the reviewing authority may allow up to 180 days for shakedown of the new facility before the existing facility is required to cease operation.

A. Source initiated emission offsets. A source may propose emission offsets which involve: (1) Reductions from sources controlled by the source owner (internal emission offsets); and/or (2) reductions from neighboring sources (external emission offsets). The source does not have to investigate all possible emission offsets. As long as the emission offsets obtained represent reasonable progress toward attainment, they will be acceptable. It is the reviewing authority’s responsibility to assure that the emission offsets will be as effective as proposed by the source. An internal emission offset will be considered enforceable if it is made a SIP requirement by inclusion as a condition of the new source permit and the permit is forwarded to the appropriate EPA Regional Office. An external emission offset will not be enforceable unless the affected source(s) providing the emission reductions is subject to a new SIP requirement to ensure that its emissions will be reduced by a specified amount in a specified time. Thus, if the source(s) providing the emission reductions does not obtain the necessary reduction, it will be in violation of a SIP requirement and subject to enforcement action by EPA, the State and/or private parties.

The form of the SIP revision may be a State or local regulation, operating permit condition, consent or enforcement order, or any other mechanism available to the State that is enforceable under the Clean Air Act. If a SIP revision is required, the public hearing on the revision may be substituted for the normal public comment procedure required for all major sources under 40 CFR 51.18. The formal publication of the SIP revision approval in the Federal Register need not appear before the source may proceed with construction. To minimize uncertainty that may be caused by these procedures, EPA will, if requested by the State, propose a SIP revision for public comment in the Federal Register concurrently with the State public hearing process. Of course, any major change in the final permit/SIP revision submitted by the State may require a reproposal by EPA.

B. State or community initiated emission offsets. A State or community which desires that a source locate in its area may commit to reducing emissions from existing sources (including mobile sources) to sufficiently outweigh the impact of the new source and thus open the way for the new source. As with source-initiated emission offsets, the commitment must be something more than one-for-one. This commitment must be submitted as a SIP revision by the State.

VI. POLICY WHERE ATTAINMENT DATES HAVE NOT PASSED

In some cases, the dates for attainment of primary standards specified in the SIP under section 110 have not yet passed due to a delay in the promulgation of a plan under this section of the Act. In addition the Act provides more flexibility with respect to the dates for attainment of secondary NAAQS than for primary standards. Rather than setting specific deadlines, section 110 requires secondary NAAQS to be achieved within a “reasonable time”. Therefore, in some cases, the date for attainment of secondary standards specified in the SIP under section 110 may also not yet have passed. In such cases, a new source locating in an area designated in 40 CFR 81.3000 et seq. as nonattainment (or, where Section III of this Ruling is applicable, a new source which would cause or contribute to an NAAQS violation) may be exempt from the Conditions of Section IV. A.
so long as the new source meets the applicable SIP emissions limitations and will not interfere with the attainment date specified in the SIP under section 110 of the Act.


APPENDIXES T–U [RESERVED]

APPENDIX V TO PART 51—CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING THE COMPLETENESS OF PLAN SUBMISSIONS

1.0. PURPOSE

This appendix V sets forth the minimum criteria for determining whether a State implementation plan submitted for consideration by EPA is an official submission for purposes of review under §51.103.

1.1 The EPA shall return to the submitting official any plan or revision thereof which fails to meet the criteria set forth in this appendix V, and request corrective action, identifying the component(s) absent or insufficient to perform a review of the submitted plan.

1.2 The EPA shall inform the submitting official whether or not a plan submission meets the requirements of this appendix V within 60 days of EPA’s receipt of the submittal, but no later than 6 months after the date by which the State was required to submit the plan or revision. If a completeness determination is not made by 6 months from receipt of a submittal, the submittal shall be deemed complete by operation of law on the date 6 months from receipt. A determination of completeness under this paragraph means that the submission is an official submission for purposes of §51.103.

2.0. CRITERIA

The following shall be included in plan submiss̄ons for review by EPA.

2.1. Administrative Materials

(a) A formal letter of submittal from the Governor or his designee, requesting EPA approval of the plan or revision thereof (hereafter “the plan”).

(b) Evidence that the State has adopted the plan in the State code or body of regulations; or issued the permit, order, consent agreement (hereafter “document”) in final form. That evidence shall include the date of adoption or final issuance as well as the effective date of the plan, if different from the adoption/issuance date.

(c) Evidence that the State has the necessary legal authority under State law to adopt and implement the plan.

(d) A copy of the actual regulation, or document submitted for approval and incorporation by reference into the plan, including indication of the changes made to the existing approved plan, where applicable. The submittal shall be a copy of the official State regulation/document signed, stamped, dated by the appropriate State official indicating that it is fully enforceable by the State. The effective date of the regulation/document shall, whenever possible, be indicated in the document itself.

(e) Evidence that the State followed all of the procedural requirements of the State’s laws and constitution in conducting and completing the adoption/issuance of the plan.

(f) Evidence that public notice was given of the proposed change consistent with procedures approved by EPA, including the date of publication of such notice.

(g) Certification that public hearings(s) were held in accordance with the information provided in the public notice and the State’s laws and constitution, if applicable.

(h) Compilation of public comments and the State’s response thereto.

2.2. Technical Support

(a) Identification of all regulated pollutants affected by the plan.

(b) Identification of the locations of affected sources including the EPA attainment/nonattainment designation of the locations and the status of the attainment plan for the affected area(s).

(c) Quantification of the changes in plan allowable emissions from the affected sources; estimates of changes in current actual emissions from affected sources or, where appropriate, quantification of changes in actual emissions from affected sources through calculations of the differences between certain baseline levels and allowable emissions anticipated as a result of the revision.

(d) The State’s demonstration that the national ambient air quality standards, prevention of significant deterioration increments, reasonable further progress demonstration, and visibility, as applicable, are protected if the plan is approved and implemented. For all requests to redesignate an area to attainment for a national primary ambient air quality standard, under section 107 of the Act, a revision must be submitted to provide for the maintenance of the national primary ambient air quality standards for at least 10 years as required by section 175A of the Act.

(e) Modeling information required to support the proposed revision, including input
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APPENDIX W TO PART 51—GUIDELINE ON AIR QUALITY MODELS

PREFACE

a. Industry and control agencies have long expressed a need for consistency in the application of air quality models for regulatory purposes. In the 1977 Clean Air Act, Congress mandated such consistency and encouraged the standardization of model applications. The Guideline on Air Quality Models (hereafter, Guideline) was first published in April 1978 to satisfy these requirements by specifying models and providing guidance for their use. The Guideline provides a common basis for estimating the air quality concentrations used in assessing the air quality concentrations in practice.

b. The continuing development of new air quality models in response to regulatory requirements and the expanded requirements for models to cover even more complex problems have emphasized the need for periodic review and update of guidance on these techniques. Four primary ongoing activities provide direct input to revisions of the Guideline. The first is a series of annual EPA workshops conducted for the purpose of ensuring consistency and providing clarification in the application of models. The second activity, directed toward the improvement of modeling procedures, is the cooperative agreement that EPA has with the scientific community represented by the American Meteorological Society. This agreement provides scientific assessment of procedures and proposed techniques and sponsors workshops on key technical issues. The third activity is the solicitation and review of new models from the technical and user community. In the March 27, 1980 Federal Register, a procedure was outlined for the submittal to EPA of privately developed models. After extensive evaluation and scientific review, these models, as well as those made available by EPA, are considered for recognition in the Guideline. The fourth activity is the extensive on-going research efforts by EPA and others in air quality and meteorological modeling.

c. Based primarily on these four activities, this document embodies all revisions to the Guideline. Although the text has been revised from the original 1978 guide, the present content and topics are similar. As necessary, new sections and topics are included. EPA does not make changes to the Guidance on a predetermined schedule, but rather on an as needed basis. EPA believes that revisions of the Guideline should be timely and responsive to user needs and should involve public participation to the greatest possible extent. All future changes to the guidance will be

proposed and finalized in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Information on the current status of modeling guidance can always be obtained from EPA’s Regional Offices.
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1.0 Introduction
a. The Guideline recommends air quality modeling techniques that should be applied
to State Implementation Plan (SIP)\(^1\) revisions for existing sources and to new source reviews,\(^2\) including prevention of significant deterioration (PSD). It is intended for use by EPA Regional Offices in judging the adequacy of modeling analyses performed by EPA, State and local agencies and by industry. The guidance is appropriate for use by other Federal agencies and by State agencies with air quality and land management responsibilities. The Guideline serves to identify, for all interested parties, those techniques and data bases EPA considers acceptable. The model that most accurately estimates concentrations in the area of interest is always sought. However, it is clear from the needs expressed by the States and EPA Regional Offices, by many industries and trade associations, and by the deliberations of Congress, that consistency in the selection and application of models and data bases should also be sought, even in case-by-case analyses. Consistency ensures that air quality control agencies and the general public have a common basis for estimating pollutant concentrations, assessing control strategies and specifying emission limits. Such consistency is not, however, promoted at the expense of model and data base accuracy. This guidance provides a consistent basis for selection of the most accurate models and data bases for use in air quality assessments.

d. The model that most accurately estimates concentrations in the area of interest is always sought. However, it is clear from the needs expressed by the States and EPA Regional Offices, by many industries and trade associations, and by the deliberations of Congress, that consistency in the selection and application of models and data bases should also be sought, even in case-by-case analyses. Consistency ensures that air quality control agencies and the general public have a common basis for estimating pollutant concentrations, assessing control strategies and specifying emission limits. Such consistency is not, however, promoted at the expense of model and data base accuracy. This guidance provides a consistent basis for selection of the most accurate models and data bases for use in air quality assessments.

e. Recommendations are made in this guide concerning air quality models, data bases, requirements for concentration estimates, the use of measured data in lieu of model estimates, and model evaluation procedures. Models are identified for some specific applications. The guidance provided here should be followed in all air quality analyses relative to State Implementation Plans and in analyses required by EPA, State and local agency air programs. The EPA may approve the use of another technique that can be demonstrated to be more appropriate than those recommended in this guide. This is discussed at greater length in section 3.0. In all cases, the model applied to a given situation should be the one that provides the most accurate representation of atmospheric transport, dispersion, and chemical transformations in the area of interest. However, to ensure consistency, deviations from this guide should be carefully documented and fully supported.

f. From time to time situations arise requiring clarification of the intent of the guidance on a specific topic. Periodic workshops are held with the EPA Regional Meteorologists to ensure consistency in modeling guidance and to promote the use of more accurate air quality models and data bases. The workshops serve to provide further explanations of Guideline requirements to the Regional Offices and workshop reports are issued with this clarifying information. In addition, findings from on-going research programs, new model submittals, or results from model evaluations and applications are continuously evaluated. Based on this information changes in the guidance may be indicated.

g. All changes to the Guideline must follow rulemaking requirements since the Guideline is codified in this appendix W of part 51. EPA will promulgate proposed and final rules in the Federal Register to amend this
appendix W. Ample opportunity for public comment will be provided for each proposed change and public hearings scheduled if requested.

b. A wide range of topics on modeling and data bases are discussed in the Guideline. Chapter 2 gives an overview of models and their appropriate use. Chapter 3 provides specific guidance on the use of “preferred” air quality models and on the selection of alternative techniques. Chapters 4 through 7 provide recommendations on modeling techniques for application to simple-terrain stationary source problems, complex terrain problems, and mobile source problems. Specific modeling requirements for selected regulatory issues are also addressed. Chapter 8 discusses issues common to many modeling analyses, including acceptable model components. Chapter 9 makes recommendations for data inputs to models including source, meteorological and background air quality data. Chapter 10 covers the uncertainty in model estimates and how that information can be useful to the regulatory decision-maker. The last chapter summarizes how estimates and measurements of air quality are used in assessing source impact and in evaluating control strategies.

1. This appendix W itself contains three appendices: A, B, and C. Thus, when reference is made to “Appendix A”, it refers to appendix A to this appendix W. Appendices B and C are referenced in the same way.

2. Appendix A contains summaries of refined air quality models that are “preferred” for specific applications; both EPA models and models developed by others are included. Appendix B contains summaries of other refined models that may be considered with a case-specific justification. Appendix C contains a checklist of requirements for an air quality analysis.

2.0 OVERVIEW OF MODEL USE

a. Before attempting to implement the guidance contained in this appendix, the reader should be aware of certain general information concerning air quality models and their use. Such information is provided in this section.

2.1 Suitability of Models

a. The extent to which a specific air quality model is suitable for the evaluation of source impact depends upon several factors. These include: (1) The meteorological and topographic complexities of the area; (2) the level of detail and accuracy needed for the analysis; (3) the technical competence of those undertaking such simulation modeling; (4) the resources available; and (5) the detail and accuracy of the data base, i.e., emissions inventory, meteorological data, and air quality data. Appropriate data should be available before any attempt is made to apply a model. A model that requires detailed, precise, input data should not be used when such data are unavailable. However, assuming the data are adequate, the greater the detail with which a model considers the spatial and temporal variations in emissions and meteorological conditions, the greater the ability to evaluate the source impact and to distinguish the effects of various control strategies.

b. Air quality models have been applied with the most accuracy or the least degree of uncertainty to simulations of long term averages in areas with relatively simple topography. Areas subject to major topographic influences experience meteorological complexities that are extremely difficult to simulate. Although models are available for such circumstances, they are frequently site specific and resource intensive. In the absence of a model capable of simulating such complexities, only a preliminary approximation may be feasible until such time as better models and data bases become available.

c. Models are highly specialized tools. Competent and experienced personnel are an essential prerequisite to the successful application of simulation models. The need for specialists is critical when the more sophisticated models are used or the area being investigated has complicated meteorological or topographic features. A model applied improperly, or with inappropriately chosen data, can lead to serious misjudgments regarding the source impact or the effectiveness of a control strategy.

d. The resource demands generated by use of air quality models vary widely depending on the specific application. The resources required depend on the nature of the model and its complexity, the detail of the data base, the difficulty of the application, and the amount and level of expertise required. The costs of manpower and computational facilities may also be important factors in the selection and use of a model for a specific analysis. However, it should be recognized that under some sets of physical circumstances and accuracy requirements, no present model may be appropriate. Thus, consideration of these factors should not lead to selection of an inappropriate model.

2.2 Classes of Models

a. The air quality modeling procedures discussed in this guide can be categorized into four generic classes: Gaussian, numerical, statistical or empirical, and physical. Within these classes, especially Gaussian and numerical models, a large number of individual “computational algorithms” may exist, each with its own specific applications. While each of the algorithms may have the same generic basis, e.g., Gaussian, it is accepted practice to refer to them individually as models. For example, the Industrial Source Complex (ISC) model and the RAM model are
commonly referred to as individual models. In fact, they are both variations of a basic Gaussian model. In many cases the only real difference between models within the different classes is the degree of detail considered in the input or output data.

b. Gaussian models are the most widely used techniques for estimating the impact of nonreactive pollutants. Numerical models may be more appropriate than Gaussian models for area source urban applications that involve reactive pollutants, but they require much more extensive input data bases and resources and therefore are not as widely applied. Statistical or empirical techniques are frequently employed in situations where incomplete scientific understanding of the physical and chemical processes or lack of the required data bases make the use of a Gaussian or numerical model impractical. Various specific models in these three generic types are discussed in the Guideline.

c. Physical modeling, the fourth generic type, involves the use of wind tunnel or other fluid modeling facilities. This class of modeling is a complex process requiring a high level of technical expertise, as well as access to the necessary facilities. Nevertheless, physical modeling may be useful for complex flow situations, such as building, terrain or stack downwash conditions, plume impact on elevated terrain, diffusion in an urban environment, or diffusion in complex terrain. It is particularly applicable to such situations for a source or group of sources in a geographic area limited to a few square kilometers. If physical modeling is available and its applicability demonstrated, it may be the best technique. A discussion of physical modeling is beyond the scope of this guide.

The EPA publication "Guideline for Fluid Modeling of Atmospheric Diffusion," provides information on fluid modeling applications and the limitations of that method.

2.3 Levels of Sophistication of Models

a. In addition to the various classes of models, there are two levels of sophistication. The first level consists of general, relatively simple estimation techniques that provide conservative estimates of the air quality impact of a specific source, or source category. These are screening techniques or screening models. The purpose of such techniques is to eliminate the need of further more detailed modeling for those sources that clearly will not cause or contribute to ambient concentrations in excess of either the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) or the allowable prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) concentration increments. If a screening technique indicates that the concentration contributed by the source exceeds the PSD increment or the increment remaining to just meet the NAAQS, then the second level of more sophisticated models should be applied.

b. The second level consists of those analytical techniques that provide more detailed treatment of physical and chemical atmospheric processes, require more detailed and precise input data, and provide more specialized concentration estimates. As a result they provide a more refined and, at least theoretically, a more accurate estimate of source impact and the effectiveness of control strategies. These are referred to as refined models.

c. The use of screening techniques followed by a more refined analysis is always desirable, however there are situations where the screening techniques are practically and technically the only viable option for estimating source impact. In such cases, an attempt should be made to acquire or improve the necessary data bases and to develop appropriate analytical techniques.

3.0 Recommended Air Quality Models

a. This section recommends refined modeling techniques that are preferred for use in regulatory air quality programs. The status of models developed by EPA, as well as those submitted to EPA for review and possible inclusion in this guidance, is discussed. The section also addresses the selection of models for individual cases and provides recommendations for situations where the preferred models are not applicable. Two additional sources of modeling guidance, the Model Clearinghouse and periodic Regional Meteorologists' workshops, are also briefly discussed here.

b. In all regulatory analyses, especially if other than preferred models are selected for use, early discussions among Regional Office staff, State and local control agencies, industry representatives, and where appropriate, the Federal Land Manager, are invaluable and are encouraged. Agreement on the data base to be used, modeling techniques to be applied and the overall technical approach, prior to the actual analyses, helps avoid misunderstandings concerning the final results and may reduce the later need for additional analyses. The use of an air quality checklist, such as presented in appendix C, and the preparation of a written protocol help to keep misunderstandings at a minimum.

c. It should not be construed that the preferred models identified here are to be permanently used to the exclusion of all others or that they are the only models available for relating emissions to air quality. The model that most accurately estimates concentrations in the area of interest is always sought. However, designation of specific models is needed to promote consistency in model selection and application.

d. The 1980 solicitation of new or different models from the technical community and
the program whereby these models are evaluated, established a means by which new models are identified, reviewed and made available in the Guideline. There is a pressing need for the development of models for a wide range of regulatory applications. Refined models that more realistically simulate the physical and chemical processes in the atmosphere and that more reliably estimate pollutant concentrations are required. Thus, the solicitation of models is considered to be continuous.

3.1 Preferred Modeling Techniques

3.1.1 Discussion

a. EPA has developed approximately 10 models suitable for regulatory application. More than 20 additional models were submitted by private developers for possible inclusion in the Guideline. These refined models have all been organized into eight categories of use: rural, urban industrial complex, complex terrain, visibility, long range transport. They are undergoing an intensive evaluation by category. The evaluation exercises include statistical measures of model performance in comparison with measured air quality data as suggested by the American Meteorological Society and, where possible, peer scientific reviews. b. When a single model is found to perform better than others in a given category, it is recommended for application in that category as a preferred model and listed in appendix A. If no one model is found to clearly perform better through the evaluation exercise, then the preferred model listed in appendix A is selected on the basis of other factors such as past use, public familiarity, cost or resource requirements, and availability. No further evaluation of a preferred model is required if the source follows EPA recommendations specified for the model in the Guideline. The models not specifically recommended for use in a particular category are summarized in appendix B. These models should be compared with measured air quality data when they are used for regulatory applications consistent with recommendations in section 3.2.

c. The solicitation of new refined models which are based on sounder scientific principles and which more reliably estimate pollutant concentrations is considered by EPA to be continuous. Models that are submitted in accordance with the provisions outlined in the Federal Register notice of March 1980 (45 FR 20157) will be evaluated as submitted. These requirements are:

i. The model must be computerized and functioning in a common Fortran language suitable for use on a variety of computer systems.

ii. The model must be documented in a user’s guide which identifies the mathematics of the model, data requirements and program operating characteristics at a level of detail comparable to that available for currently recommended models, e.g., the Industrial Source Complex (ISC) model.

iii. The model must be accompanied by a complete test data set including input parameters and output results. The test data must be included in the user’s guide as well as provided in computer-readable form.

iv. The model must be useful to typical users, e.g., State air pollution control agencies, for specific air quality control problems. Such users should be able to operate the computer program(s) from available documentation.

v. The model documentation must include a comparison with air quality data or with other well-established analytical techniques.

vi. The developer must be willing to make the model available to users at reasonable cost or make it available for public access through the National Technical Information Service; the model cannot be proprietary.

d. The evaluation process will include a determination of technical merit, in accordance with the above six items including the practicality of the model for use in ongoing regulatory programs. Each model will also be subjected to a performance evaluation for an appropriate data base and to a peer scientific review. Models for wide use (not just an isolated case!) found to perform better, based on an evaluation for the same data bases used to evaluate models in appendix A, will be proposed for inclusion as preferred models in future Guideline revisions.

3.1.2 Recommendations

a. Appendix A identifies refined models that are preferred for use in regulatory applications. If a model is required for a particular application, the user should select a model from appendix A. These models may be used without a formal demonstration of applicability as long as they are used as indicated in each model summary of appendix A. Further recommendations for the application of these models to specific source problems are found in subsequent sections of the Guideline.

b. If changes are made to a preferred model without affecting the concentration estimates, the preferred status of the model is unchanged. Examples of modifications that do not affect concentrations are those made to enable use of a different computer or those that affect only the format or averaging time of the model results. However, when any changes are made, the Regional Administrator should require a test case example to demonstrate that the concentration estimates are not affected.

c. A preferred model should be operated with the options listed in appendix A as “ Recommendations for Regulatory Use.” If other options are exercised, the model is no
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3.2 Use of Alternative Models

3.2.1 Discussion

a. Selection of the best techniques for each individual air quality analysis is always encouraged, but the selection should be done in a consistent manner. A simple listing of models in this guide cannot alone achieve that consistency nor can it necessarily provide the best model for all possible situations. An EPA document, “Interim Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Models” has been prepared to assist in developing a consistent approach when justifying the use of other than the preferred modeling techniques recommended in this guide. An alternative to be considered to the performance measures contained in Chapter 3 of this document is set forth in another EPA document “Protocol for Determining the Best Performing Model.” The procedures in both documents provide a general framework for objective decision-making on the acceptability of an alternative model for a given regulatory application. The documents contain procedures for conducting both the technical evaluation of the model and the field test or performance evaluation.

b. This section discusses the use of alternate modeling techniques and defines three situations when alternative models may be used.

3.2.2 Recommendations

a. Determination of acceptability of a model is a Regional Office responsibility. Where the Regional Administrator finds that an alternative model is more appropriate than a preferred model, that model may be used subject to the recommendations below. This finding will normally result from a determination that (1) A preferred air quality model is not appropriate for the particular application; or (2) a more appropriate model or analytical procedure is available and is applicable.

b. An alternative model should be evaluated from both a theoretical and a performance perspective before it is selected for use. There are three separate conditions under which such a model will normally be approved for use: (1) If a demonstration can be made that the model produces concentration estimates equivalent to the estimates obtained using a preferred model; (2) If a statistical performance evaluation has been conducted using measured air quality data and the results of that evaluation indicate the alternative model performs better for the application than a comparable model in appendix A; and (3) if there is no preferred model for the specific application but a refined model is needed to satisfy regulatory requirements. Any one of these three separate conditions may warrant use of an alternative model. Some known alternative models that are applicable for selected situations are contained in appendix B. However, inclusion thereof does not infer any unique status relative to other alternative models that are being or will be developed in the future.

c. Equivalency is established by demonstrating that the maximum or highest, second highest concentrations are within 2 percent of the estimates obtained from the preferred model. The option to show equivalency is intended as a simple demonstration of acceptability for an alternative model that is so nearly identical (or contains options that can make it identical) to a preferred model that it can be treated for practical purposes as the preferred model. Two percent was selected as the basis for equivalency since it is a rough approximation of the fraction that PSD Class I increments are of the NAAQS for SO2, i.e., the difference in concentrations that is judged to be significant. However, notwithstanding this demonstration, use of models that are not equivalent may be used when the conditions of paragraph e of this section are satisfied.

d. The procedures and techniques for determining the acceptability of a model for an individual case based on superior performance is contained in the document entitled “Interim Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Models” and should be followed, as appropriate. Preparation and implementation of an evaluation protocol which is acceptable to both control agencies and regulated industry is an important element in such an evaluation.

e. When no appendix A model is applicable to the modeling problem, an alternative refined model may be used provided that:

i. The model can be demonstrated to be applicable to the problem on a theoretical basis; and

ii. The data bases which are necessary to perform the analysis are available and adequate; and

iii. Performance evaluations of the model in similar circumstances have shown that the model is not biased toward underestimates; or

Another EPA document, “Protocol for Determining the Best Performing Model” contains advanced statistical techniques for determining which model performs better than other competing models. In many cases, this protocol should be considered by users of the “Interim Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Models” in preference to the material currently in Chapter 3 of that document.
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iv. After consultation with the EPA Regional Office, a second model is selected as a baseline or reference point for performance and the interim procedures protocol are then used to demonstrate that the proposed model performs better than the reference model.

3.3 Availability of Supplementary Modeling Guidance

a. The Regional Administrator has the authority to select models that are appropriate for use in a given situation. However, there is a need for assistance and guidance in the selection process so that fairness and consistency in modeling decisions is fostered among the various Regional Offices and the States. To satisfy that need, EPA established the Model Clearinghouse and also holds periodic workshops with headquarters, Regional Office and State modeling representatives.

3.3.1 The Model Clearinghouse

3.3.1.1 Discussion

a. The Model Clearinghouse is the single EPA focal point for review of air quality simulation models proposed for use in specific regulatory applications. Details concerning the Clearinghouse and its operation are found in the document, “Model Clearinghouse: Operational Plan.” Three primary functions of the Clearinghouse are:

i. Review of decisions proposed by EPA Regional Offices on the use of modeling techniques and data bases.

ii. Periodic visits to Regional Offices to gather information pertinent to regulatory model usage.

iii. Preparation of an annual report summarizing activities of the Clearinghouse including specific determinations made during the course of the year.

3.3.1.2 Recommendations

a. The Regional Administrator may request assistance from the Model Clearinghouse after an initial evaluation and decision has been reached concerning the application of a model, analytical technique or data base in a particular regulatory action. The Clearinghouse may also consider and evaluate the use of modeling techniques submitted in support of any regulatory action. Additional responsibilities are: (1) Review proposed action for consistency with agency policy; (2) determine technical adequacy; and (3) make recommendations concerning the technique or data base.

3.3.2 Regional Meteorologists Workshops

3.3.2.1 Discussion

a. EPA conducts an annual in-house workshop for the purpose of mutual discussion and problem resolution among Regional Office modeling specialists, EPA research modeling experts, EPA Headquarters modeling and regulatory staff and representatives from State modeling programs. A summary of the issues resolved at previous workshops was issued in 1981 as “Regional Workshops on Air Quality Modeling: A Summary Report.” That report clarified procedures not specifically defined in the 1978 version of the Guideline and was issued to ensure the consistent interpretation of model requirements from Region to Region. Similar workshops for the purpose of clarifying Guideline procedures or providing detailed instructions for the use of those procedures are anticipated in the future.

3.3.2.2 Recommendations

a. The Regional Office should always be consulted for information and guidance concerning modeling methods and interpretations of modeling guidance, and to ensure that the air quality model user has available the latest most up-to-date policy and procedures.

4.0 SIMPLE-TERRAIN STATIONARY SOURCE MODELS

4.1 Discussion

a. Simple terrain, as used in this section, is considered to be an area where terrain features are all lower in elevation than the top of the stack of the source(s) in question. The models recommended in this section are generally used in the air quality impact analysis of stationary sources for most criteria pollutants. The averaging time of the concentration estimates produced by these models ranges from 1 hour to an annual average.

b. Model evaluation exercises have been conducted to determine the “best, most appropriate point source model” for use in simple terrain. However, no one model has been found to be clearly superior. Based on past use, public familiarity, and availability, ISC is the recommended model for a wide range of regulatory applications. Similar determinations were made for the other refined models that are identified in section 4.2.

4.2 Recommendations

4.2.1 Screening Techniques

a. Point source screening techniques are an acceptable approach to air quality analyses. One such approach is contained in the EPA document “Screening Procedures for Estimating the Air Quality Impact of Stationary Sources.” A computerized version of the screening technique, SCREEN, is available. For the current version of SCREEN, see 12.0 References.
should be classified urban or rural in accordance with section 8.2.8. The climatology of the area should be studied to help define the worst-case meteorological conditions. Agreement should be reached between the model user and the reviewing authority on the choice of the screening model for each analysis, and on the input data as well as the ultimate use of the results.

4.2.2 Refined Analytical Techniques

a. A brief description of preferred models for refined applications is found in appendix A. Also listed in appendix A are the model input requirements, the standard options that should be selected when running the program, and output options.

b. When modeling for compliance with short term NAAQS and PSD increments is of primary concern, a short term model may also be used to provide long term concentration estimates. However, when modeling sources for which long term standards alone are applicable (e.g., lead), then the long term models should be used. The conversion from long term to short term concentration averages by any transformation technique is not acceptable in regulatory applications.

5.0 MODEL USE IN COMPLEX TERRAIN

5.1 Discussion

a. For the purpose of the Guideline, complex terrain is defined as terrain exceeding the height of the stack being modeled. Complex terrain dispersion models are normally applied to stationary sources of pollutants such as SO₂ and particulates.

b. A major outcome from the EPA Complex Terrain Model Development project has been the publication of a refined dispersion model (CTDM) suitable for regulatory evaluation to plume impact assessments in complex terrain. Although CTDM as originally produced was only applicable to those hours characterized as neutral or stable, a computer code for all stability conditions, CTDMPLUS, together with a user’s guide, and on-site meteorological and terrain data processors, is now available. Moreover, CTSCREEN, a version of CTDMPLUS that does not require on-site meteorological data inputs, is also available as a screening technique.

c. The methods discussed in this section should be considered in two categories: (1) Screening techniques, and (2) the refined dispersion model, CTDMPLUS, discussed below and listed in Appendix A.

d. Continued improvements in ability to accurately model plume dispersion in complex terrain situations can be expected, e.g., from research on lee side effects due to terrain. New approaches to improve the ability of models to realistically simulate atmospheric physics, e.g., hybrid models which incorporate an accurate wind field analysis, will ultimately provide more appropriate tools for analyses. Such hybrid modeling techniques are also acceptable for regulatory applications after the appropriate demonstration and evaluation.²³

5.2 Recommendations

a. Recommendations in this section apply primarily to those situations where the impaction of plumes on terrain at elevations equal to or greater than the plume centerline during stable atmospheric conditions are determined to be the problem. If a violation of any NAAQS or the controlling increment is indicated by using any of the preferred screening techniques, then a refined complex terrain model may be used. Phenomena such as fumigation, wind direction shear, lee-side effects, building wake- or terrain-induced downwash, deposition, chemical transformation, variable plume trajectories, and long range transport are not addressed by the recommendations in this section.

b. Where site-specific data are used for either screening or refined complex terrain models, a data base of at least 1 full-year of meteorological data is preferred. If more data are available, they should be used. Meteorological data used in the analysis should be reviewed for both spatial and temporal representativeness.

c. Placement of receptors requires very careful attention when modeling in complex terrain. Often the highest concentrations are predicted to occur under very stable conditions, when the plume is near, or impinging on, the terrain. The plume under such conditions may be quite narrow in the vertical, so that even relatively small changes in a receptor’s location may substantially affect the predicted concentration. Receptors within about a kilometer of the source may be even more sensitive to location. Thus, a dense array of receptors may be required in some cases. In order to avoid excessively large computer runs due to such a large array of receptors, it is often desirable to model the area twice. The first model run would use a moderate number of receptors carefully located over the area of interest. The second model run would use a more dense array of receptors in areas showing potential for high concentrations, as indicated by the results of the first model run.

d. When CTSCREEN or CTDMPLUS is used, digitized contour data must be first processed by the CTDM Terrain Processor to provide hill shape parameters in a format suitable for direct input to CTDMPLUS. Then the user supplies receptors either through an interactive program that is part of the model or directly, by using a text editor; using both methods to select receptors will generally be necessary to assure that the maximum concentrations are estimated by either model. In cases where a terrain feature may “appear to the plume” as smaller,
multiple hills, it may be necessary to model the terrain both as a single feature and as multiple hills to determine design concentrations.

e. The user is encouraged to confer with the Regional Office if any unresolved problems are encountered with any screening or refined analytical procedures, e.g., meteorological data, receptor sitting, or terrain contour processing issues.

5.2.1 Screening Techniques

a. Five preferred screening techniques are currently available to aid in the evaluation of concentrations due to plume impaction during stable conditions: (1) for 24-hour impacts, the Valley Screening Technique as outlined in the Valley Model User’s Guide; (2) CTSCREEN, as outlined in the CTSCREEN User’s Guide; (3) COMPLEX I, (4) SHORTZ/LONGZ, and (5) Rough Terrain Dispersion Model (RTDM).

b. The Valley Model, COMPLEX I, SHORTZ/LONGZ, and RTDM should be used only to estimate concentrations at receptors whose elevations are greater than or equal to plume height. For receptors at or below stack height, a simple terrain model should be used (see Chapter 4). Receptors between stack height and plume height present a unique problem since none of the above models were designed to handle receptors in this narrow regime, the definition of which will vary hourly as meteorological conditions vary. CTSCREEN may be used to estimate concentrations under all stability conditions at all receptors located “on terrain” above stack top, but has limited applicability in multi-source situations. As a result, the estimation of concentrations at receptors between stack height and plume height should be considered on a case-by-case basis after consultation with the EPA Regional Office; the most appropriate technique may be a function of the actual source(s) and terrain configuration unique to that application. One technique that will generally be acceptable, but is not necessarily preferred for any specific application, involves applying both a complex terrain model (except for the Valley Model) and a simple terrain model. The Valley Model should not be used for any intermediate terrain receptor. For each receptor between stack height and plume height, an hour-by-hour comparison of the concentration estimates from both models is made. The higher of the two modeled concentrations should be chosen to represent the impact at that receptor for that hour, and then used to compute the concentration for the appropriate averaging time(s). For the simple terrain models, terrain may have to be "chopped off" at stack height, since these models are frequently limited to receptors no greater than stack height.

5.2.1.1 Valley Screening Technique

a. The Valley Screening Technique may be used to determine 24-hour averages. This technique uses the Valley Model with the following worst-case assumptions for rural areas: (1) P-G stability; (2) wind speed of 2.5 m/s; and (3) 6 hours of occurrence. For urban areas the stability should be changed to “P-G stability E.”

b. When using the Valley Screening Technique to obtain 24-hour average concentrations the following apply: (1) multiple sources should be treated individually and the concentrations for each wind direction summed; (2) only one wind direction should be used (see User’s Guide, page 2-15) even if individual runs are made for each source; (3) for buoyant sources, the BID option may be used, and the option to use the 2.6 stable plume rise factor should be selected; (4) if plume impaction is likely on any elevated terrain closer to the source than the distance from the source to the final plume rise, then the transitional (or gradual) plume rise option for stable conditions should be selected.

c. The standard polar receptor grid found in the Valley Model User’s Guide may not be sufficiently dense for all analyses if only one geographical scale factor is used. The user should choose an additional set of receptors at appropriate downwind distances whose elevations are equal to plume height minus 10 meters. Alternatively, the user may exercise the “Valley equivalent” option in COMPLEX I or SCREEN and note the comments above on the placement of receptors in complex terrain models.

d. When using the “Valley equivalent” option in COMPLEX I, set the wind profile exponents (PL) to 0.0, respectively, for all six stability classes.

5.2.1.2 CTSCREEN

a. CTSCREEN may be used to obtain conservative, yet realistic, worst-case estimates for receptors located on terrain above stack height. CTSCREEN accounts for the three-dimensional nature of plume and terrain interaction and requires detailed terrain data representative of the modeling domain. The model description and user’s instructions are contained in the user’s guide. The terrain data must be digitized in the same manner as for CTDMPLUS and a terrain processor is available. A discussion of the model’s performance characteristics is provided in a technical paper. CTSCREEN is designed to execute a fixed matrix of meteorological values for wind speed (u), standard deviation of horizontal and vertical wind
slopes ($\alpha$, $\alpha_G$, $\alpha_S$, vertical potential temperature gradient $\left(\partial \theta / \partial z\right)$, friction velocity ($u_*$), Monin-Obukhov length ($L$), mixing height ($z_i$) as a function of terrain height, and wind directions for both neutral/stable conditions and unstable convective conditions. Table 5–1 contains the matrix of meteorological variables that is used for each CTSCREEN analysis. There are 96 combinations, including exceptions, for each wind direction for the neutral/stable case, and 108 combinations for the unstable case. The specification of wind direction, however, is handled internally, based on the source and terrain geometry. The matrix was developed from examination of the range of meteorological variables associated with maximum monitored concentrations from the data bases used to evaluate the performance of CTDMPLUS. Although CTSCREEN is designed to address a single source scenario, there is a number of options that can be selected on a case-by-case basis to address multi-source situations. However, the Regional Office should be consulted, and concurrence obtained, on the protocol for modeling multiple sources with CTSCREEN to ensure that the worst case is identified and assessed. The maximum concentration output from CTSCREEN represents a worst-case 1-hour concentration. Time-scaling factors of 0.7 for 3-hour, 0.15 for 24-hour and 0.03 for annual concentration averages are applied internally by CTSCREEN to the highest 1-hour concentration calculated by the model.

5.2.1.3 COMPLEX I

a. If the area is rural, COMPLEX I may be used to estimate concentrations for all averaging times. COMPLEX I is a modification of the MPTER model that incorporates the plume impaction algorithm of the Valley Model.19 It is a multiple-source screening technique that accepts hourly meteorological data as input. The output is the same as the normal MPTER output. When using COMPLEX I the following options should be selected: (1) Set terrain adjustment IOPT (1)=1; (2) set buoyancy induced dispersion IOPT (4)=1; (3) set IOPT (25)=1; (4) set the terrain adjustment values to 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 0.0, 0.0, (respectively for six stability classes); and (5) set Z MIN=10.

b. When using the “Valley equivalent” option (only) in COMPLEX I, set the wind profile exponents (PL) to 0.0, respectively, for all six stability classes. For all other regulatory uses of COMPLEX I, set the wind profile exponents to the values used in the simple terrain models, i.e., 0.07, 0.07, 0.10, 0.15, 0.35, and 0.55, respectively, for rural modeling.

c. Gradual plume rise should be used to estimate concentrations at nearby elevated receptors, if plume impaction is likely on any elevated terrain closer to the source than the distance from the source to the final plume rise (see section 8.2.5).

5.2.1.4 SHORTZ–LONGZ

a. If the source is located in an urbanized (Section 8.2.8) complex terrain valley, then the suggested screening technique is SHORTZ for short-term averages or LONGZ for long-term averages. SHORTZ and LONGZ may be used as screening techniques in these complex terrain applications without demonstration and evaluation. Application of these models in other than urbanized valley situations will require the same evaluation and demonstration procedures as are required for all appendix B models.

b. Both SHORTZ and LONGZ have a number of options. When using these models as screening techniques for urbanized valley applications, the options listed in table 5–2 should be selected.

5.2.1.5 RTDM (Screening Mode)

a. RTDM with the options specified in table 5–3 may be used as a screening technique in rural complex terrain situations without demonstration and evaluation.

b. The RTDM screening technique can provide a more refined concentration estimate if on-site wind speed and direction characteristic of plume dilution and transport are used as input to the model. In complex terrain, these winds can seldom be estimated accurately from the standard surface (10m level) measurements. Therefore, in order to increase confidence in model estimates, EPA recommends that wind data input to RTDM should be based on fixed measurements at stack top height. For stacks greater than 100m, the measurement height may be limited to 100m in height relative to stack base. However, for very tall stacks, see guidance in section 9.3.3.2. This recommendation is broadened to include wind data representative of plume transport height where such data are derived from measurements taken with remote sensing devices such as SODAR. The data from both fixed and remote measurements should meet quality assurance and recovery rate requirements. The user should also be aware that RTDM in the screening mode accepts the input of measured wind speeds at only one height. The default values for the wind speed profile exponents shown in table 5–3 are used in the model to determine the wind speed at other heights. RTDM uses wind speed at stack top to calculate the plume rise and the critical dividing streamline height, and the wind speed at plume transport level to calculate dilution. RTDM treats wind direction as constant with height.

c. RTDM makes use of the “critical dividing streamline” concept and thus treats plume interactions with terrain quite differently from other models such as SHORTZ.
and COMPLEX I. The plume height relative to the critical dividing streamline determines whether the plume impacts the terrain, or is lifted up and over the terrain. The receptor spacing to identify maximum impact concentrations is quite critical depending on the location of the plume in the vertical. Analysis of the expected plume height relative to the height of the critical dividing streamline should be performed for differing meteorological conditions in order to help develop an appropriate array of receptors. Then it is advisable to model the area twice according to the suggestions in section 5.2.

5.2.1.6 Restrictions

a. For screening analyses using the Valley Screening Technique, COMPLEX I or PTDM, a sector greater than 22° should not be allowed. Full ground reflection should always be used in the Valley Screening Technique and COMPLEX I.

5.2.2 Refined Analytical Techniques

a. When the results of the screening analysis demonstrate a possible violation of NAAQS or the controlling PSD increments, a more refined analysis may need to be conducted.

b. The Complex Terrain Dispersion Model Plus Algorithms for Unstable Situations (CTDMPLUS) is a refined air quality model that is preferred for use in all stability conditions for complex terrain applications. CTDMPLUS is a sequential model that requires five input files: (1) General program specifications; (2) a terrain data file; (3) a receptor file; (4) a surface meteorological data file; and (5) a user created meteorological profile data file. Two optional input files consist of hourly emissions parameters and a file containing upper air data from rawinsonde data files, e.g., a National Climatic Data Center TD-6201 file, unless there are no hours categorized as unstable in the record. The model description and user instructions are contained in Volume I of the User’s Guide. Separate publications describe the terrain preprocessor system and the meteorological preprocessor program. In Part I of a technical article is a discussion of the model and its preprocessors; the model’s performance characteristics are discussed in Part II of the same article. The size of the CTDMPLUS executable file on a personal computer is approximately 360K bytes. The model produces hourly average concentrations of stable pollutants, i.e., chemical transformation or decay of species and settling/deposition are not simulated. To obtain concentration averages corresponding to the NAAQS, e.g., 3- or 24-hour, or annual averages, the user must execute a postprocessor program such as CHAVG. CTDMPLUS is applicable to all receptors on terrain elevations above stack top. However, the model contains no algorithms for simulating building downwash or the mixing or recirculation found in cavity zones in the lee of a hill. The path taken by a plume through an array of hills cannot be simulated. CTDMPLUS does not explicitly simulate calm meteorological periods, and for those situations the user should follow the guidance in section 9.3.4. The user should follow the recommendations in the User’s Guide under General Program Specifications for: (1) Selecting mixed layer heights, (2) setting minimum scalar wind speed to 1 m/s, and (3) scaling wind direction with height. Close coordination with the Regional Office is essential to insure a consistent, technically sound application of this model.

c. The performance of CTDMPLUS is greatly improved by the use of meteorological data from several levels up to plume height. However, due to the vast range of source-plume-hill geometries possible in complex terrain, detailed requirements for meteorological monitoring in support of refined analyses using CTDMPLUS should be determined on a case-by-case basis. The following general guidance should be considered in the development of a meteorological monitoring protocol for regulatory applications of CTDMPLUS and reviewed in detail by the Regional Office before initiating any monitoring. As appropriate, the On-Site Meteorological Program Guidance document should be consulted for specific guidance on siting requirements for meteorological towers, selection and exposure of sensors, etc. As more experience is gained with the model in a variety of circumstances, more specific guidance may be developed.

d. Site specific meteorological data are critical to dispersion modeling in complex terrain and, consequently, the meteorological requirements are more demanding than for simple terrain. Generally, three different meteorological files (referred to as surface, profile, and rawin files) are needed to run CTDMPLUS in a regulatory mode.

e. The surface file is created by the meteorological preprocessor (METPRO) based on on-site measurements or estimates of solar and/or net radiation, cloud cover and ceiling, and the mixed layer height. These data are used in METPRO to calculate the various surface layer scaling parameters (roughness length, friction velocity, and Monin-Obukhov length) which are needed to run the model. All of the user inputs required for the surface file are based either on surface observations or on measurements at or below 10m.

f. The profile data file is prepared by the user with on-site measurements (from at least three levels) of wind speed, wind direction, turbulence, and potential temperature. These measurements should be obtained up
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to the representative plume height(s) of interest (i.e., the plume height(s) under those conditions important to the determination of the design concentration). The representative plume height(s) of interest should be determined using an appropriate complex terrain screening procedure (e.g., CTSCREEN). And should be documented in the monitoring/modeling protocol. The necessary meteorological measurements should be obtained from an appropriately sited meteorological tower augmented by SODAR if the representative plume height(s) of interest exceed 100m. The meteorological tower need not exceed the lesser of the representative plume height of interest (the highest plume height if there is more than one plume height of interest) or 100m.

g. Locating towers on nearby terrain to obtain stack height or plume height measurements for use in profiles by CTDMPLUS should be avoided unless it can clearly be demonstrated that such measurements would be representative of conditions affecting the plume.

h. The rawin file is created by a second meteorological preprocessor (READ62) based on NWS (National Weather Service) upper air data. The rawin file is used in CTDMPLUS to calculate vertical potential temperature gradients for use in estimating plume penetration in unstable conditions. The representativeness of the off-site NWS upper air data should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

i. In the absence of an appropriate refined model, screening results may need to be used to determine air quality impact and/or emission limits.

| TABLE 5–1A—NEUTRAL/STABLE METEOROLOGICAL MATRIX FOR CTSCREEN |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Variable         | Specific values |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| U (m/s)          | 1.0             | 2.0             | 3.0             | 4.0             | 5.0             |
| σv (m/s)         | 0.3             | 0.75            |                 |                 |                 |
| σw (m/s)         | 0.08            | 0.15            | 0.30            | 0.75            |
| DQ/Dz (K/m)      | 0.01            | 0.02            | 0.035           |                 |
| WD               |                 |                 |                 |                 |

 exceptions:
1) If U ≤ 2 m/s and σv ≥ 0.3 m/s, then include σv = 0.04 m/s.
2) If σw = 0.75 m/s and U ≥ 3.0 m/s, then DQ/Dz is limited to ≤ 0.01 K/m.
3) If U ≥ 4 m/s, then σw ≥ 0.15 m/s.
4) σw ≤ σv

| TABLE 5–1B—UNSTABLE/CONVECTIVE METEOROLOGICAL MATRIX FOR CTSCREEN |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Variable         | Specific values |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| U (m/s)          | 1.0             | 2.0             | 3.0             | 4.0             | 5.0             |
| u (m/s)          | 0.1             | 0.3             | 0.5             |                 |                 |
| L (m)            | –10             | –50             | –90             |                 |                 |
| ln(u2/2K/m)      | 0.030           |                 |                 |                 |
| z (m)            | 0.5h            | 1.0h            | 1.5h            |                 |

(where h = terrain height)

| TABLE 5–2—PREFERRED OPTIONS FOR THE SHORTZ/LONGZ COMPUTER CODES WHEN USED IN A SCREENING MODE |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Option           | Selection        |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| I Switch 9       | If using NWS data, set = 0; If using site-specific data, check with the Regional Office. |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| I Switch 17      | Set = 1 (urban option). Use default values (0.6 entrainment coefficient). |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| GAMMA 1          | Always default to “stable”. Set = 0 (50m rectilinear expansion distance). |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| GAMMA 2          |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| XRY              | (particle size, etc.) Do not use (applicable only in flat terrain). |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| NS, VS, FRQ (SHORTZ) |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| NUS, VS, FRQ (LONGZ) |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| ALPHA            | Select 0.9. Use Cramer curves (default); if site-specific turbulence data are available, see Regional Office for advice. |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| SIGEPU           |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| SIGAPU           | Select default values given in table 2–2 of User’s Instructions; if site-specific data are available, see Regional Office for advice. |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| P (wind profile) |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
6.0 MODELS FOR OZONE, CARBON MONOXIDE AND NITROGEN DIOXIDE

6.1 Discussion

a. Models discussed in this section are applicable to pollutants often associated with mobile sources, e.g., ozone (O₃), carbon monoxide (CO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). Where stationary sources of CO and NO₂ are of concern, the reader is referred to sections 4 and 5.

b. A control agency with jurisdiction over areas with significant ozone problems and which has sufficient resources and data to use a photochemical dispersion model is encouraged to do so. Experience with and evaluations of the Urban Airshed Model show it to be an acceptable, refined approach, and better data bases are becoming available that support the more sophisticated analytical procedures. However, empirical models (e.g., EKMA), fill the gap between more sophisticated photochemical dispersion models and proportional (rollback) modeling techniques and may be the only applicable procedure if the available data bases are insufficient for refined dispersion modeling.

c. Models for assessing the impact of carbon monoxide emissions are needed for a number of different purposes, e.g., to evaluate the effects of point sources, congested intersections and highways, as well as the cumulative effect on ambient CO concentrations of all sources of CO in an urban area.

d. Nitrogen oxides are reactive and also an important contribution to the photochemical ozone problem. They are usually of most concern in areas of high ozone concentrations. Unless suitable photochemical dispersion models are used, assumptions regarding the conversion of NO to NO₂ are required when modeling. Site-specific conversion factors may be developed. If site-specific conversion factors are not available or photochemical models are not used, NO₂ modeling should be considered only a screening procedure.

6.2 Recommendations

6.2.1 Models for Ozone

a. The Urban Airshed Model (UAM) is recommended for photochemical or reactive pollutant modeling applications involving entire urban areas. To ensure proper execution of this numerical model, users must satisfy the extensive input data requirements for the model as listed in appendix A and the users’ guide. Users are also referred to the “Guideline for Regulatory Application of the Urban Airshed Model” for additional data requirements and procedures for operating this model.

b. The empirical model, City-specific EKMA, has limited applicability for...
urban ozone analyses. Model users should consult the appropriate Regional Office on a case-by-case basis concerning acceptability of this modeling technique.

c. Appendix B contains some additional models that may be applied on a case-by-case basis for photochemical or reactive pollutant modeling. Other photochemical models, including multi-layered trajectory models, that are available may be used if shown to be appropriate. Most photochemical dispersion models require emission data on individual hydrocarbon species and may require three dimensional meteorological information on an hourly basis. Reasonably sophisticated computer facilities are also often required. Because the input data are not universally available and studies to collect such data are very resource intensive, there are only limited evaluations of those models.

d. For those cases which involve estimating the impact on ozone concentrations due to stationary sources of VOC and NOx, whether for permitting or other regulatory cases, the model user should consult the appropriate Regional Office on the acceptability of the modeling technique.

e. Proportional (rollback/forward) modeling is not an acceptable procedure for evaluating ozone control strategies.

6.2.2 Models for Carbon Monoxide

a. For analyzing CO impacts at roadway intersections, users should follow the procedures in the “Guideline for Modeling Carbon Monoxide from Roadway Intersections”. The recommended model for such analyses is CAL3QHC. This model combines CALINE3 (already in appendix A) with a traffic model to calculate delays and queues that occur at signalized intersections. In areas where the use of either TEXIN2 or CALINE3 has previously been established, its use may continue. The capability exists for these intersection models to be used in either a screening or refined mode. The screening approach described in reference 34; a refined approach may be considered on a case-by-case basis. The latest version of the MOBILE (mobile source emission factor) model should be used for emissions input to intersection models.

b. For analyses of highways characterized by uninterrupted traffic flows, CALINE3 is recommended, with emissions input from the latest version of the MOBILE model.

c. The recommended model for urban areawide CO analyses is RAM or Urban Airshed Model (UAM); see appendix A. Information on SIP development and requirements for using these models can be found in references 34, 96, 97 and 98.

d. Where point sources of CO are of concern, they should be treated using the screening and refined techniques described in section 4 or 5 of the Guideline.

6.2.3 Models for Nitrogen Dioxide (Annual Average)

a. A tiered screening approach is recommended to obtain annual average estimates of NO2 from point sources for New Source Review analyses, including PSD, and for SIP planning purposes. This multi-tiered approach is conceptually shown in Figure 6-1 and described in paragraphs b and c of this section. Figure 6-1 is as follows:

**Figure 6-1—Multi-Tiered Screening Approach for Estimating Annual NO2 Concentrations From Point Sources**

**Tier 1:** Assume Total Conversion of NO to NO2

**Tier 2:** Multiply Annual NO2 Estimate by Empirically Derived NO2/NO Ratio.

b. For Tier 1 (the initial screen), use an appropriate Gaussian model from appendix A to estimate the maximum annual average concentration and assume a total conversion of NO to NO2. If the concentration exceeds the NAAQS and/or PSD increments for NO2, proceed to the 2nd level screen.

c. For Tier 2 (2nd level) screening analysis, multiply the Tier 1 estimate(s) by an empirically derived NO2/NO ratio.

d. For Tier 3 (the final screen), use a three-dimensional photochemical model to estimate the maximum annual average concentration. A more accurate estimate can be obtained by: (1) Calculating the annual average concentrations of NOx with an urban model, and (2) converting these estimates to NO2 concentrations using an empirically derived annual NOx/NO2 ratio. A value of 0.75 is recommended for this ratio. However, a spatially averaged annual NO2/NO ratio may be determined from an existing air quality monitoring network and used in lieu of the...
7.0 OTHER MODEL REQUIREMENTS

7.1 Discussion

a. This section covers those cases where specific techniques have been developed for special regulatory programs. Most of the programs have, or will have when fully developed, separate guidance documents that cover the program and a discussion of the tools that are needed. The following paragraphs reference those guidance documents, when they are available. No attempt has been made to provide a comprehensive discussion of each topic since the reference documents were designed to do that. This section will undergo periodic revision as new programs are added and new techniques are developed.

b. Other Federal agencies have also developed specific modeling approaches for their own regulatory or other requirements. An example of this is the three-volume manual issued by the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, “Air Quality Considerations in Residential Planning.” Although such regulatory requirements and manuals may have come about through EPA rules or standards, the implementation of such regulations and the use of the modeling techniques is under the jurisdiction of the agency issuing the manual or directive.

c. The need to estimate impacts at distances greater than 50 km (the nominal distance to which EPA considers most Gaussian models applicable) is an important one especially when considering the effects from secondary pollutants. Unfortunately, models submitted to EPA have not as yet undergone sufficient field evaluation to be recommended for general use. Existing data bases from field studies at mesoscale and long range transport distances are limited in detail. This limitation is a result of the expense to perform the field studies required to verify and improve mesoscale and long range transport models. Particularly important and sparse are meteorological data adequate for generating three dimensional wind fields. Application of models to complicated terrain compounds the difficulty. EPA has completed limited evaluation of several long range transport (LRT) models against two sets of field data. The evaluation results are discussed in the document, “Evaluation of Short-Term Long-Range Transport Models.” For the time being, long range and mesoscale transport models must be evaluated for regulatory use on a case-by-case basis.

d. There are several regulatory programs for which air pathway analysis procedures and modeling techniques have been developed. For continuous emission releases, ISC forms the basis of many analytical techniques. EPA is continuing to evaluate the performance of a number of proprietary and public domain models for intermittent and non-stack emission releases. Until EPA completes its evaluation, it is premature to recommend specific models for air pathway analyses of intermittent and non-stack releases in the Guideline.

e. Regional scale models are used by EPA to develop and evaluate national policy and assist State and local control agencies. Two such models are the Regional Oxidant Model (ROM) and the Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM). Due to the level of resources required to apply these models, it is not envisioned that regional scale models will be used directly in most model applications.

7.2 Recommendations

7.2.1 Fugitive Dust/Fugitive Emissions

a. Fugitive dust usually refers to the dust put into the atmosphere by the wind blowing over plowed fields, dirt roads or desert or
Environmental Protection Agency

Pt. 51, App. W

sandpits with little or no vegetation. Re-entrained dust is that which is put into the air by reason of vehicles driving over dirt roads (or dirty roads) and dusty areas. Such sources can be characterized as line, area or volume sources. Emission rates may be based on site-specific data or values from the general literature.

b. Fugitive emissions are usually defined as emissions that come from an industrial source complex. They include the emissions resulting from the industrial process that are not captured and vented through a stack but may be released from various locations within the complex. Where such fugitive emissions can be properly specified, the ISC model, with consideration of gravitational settling and dry deposition, is the recommended model. In some unique cases a model developed specifically for the situation may be needed.

c. Due to the difficult nature of characterizing and modeling fugitive dust and fugitive emissions, it is recommended that the proposed procedure be cleared by the appropriate Regional Office for each specific situation before the modeling exercise is begun.

7.2.2 Particulate Matter

a. The particulate matter NAAQS, promulgated on July 1, 1987 (52 FR 24634), includes only particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM10). EPA promulgated regulations for PSD increments measured as PM10 on June 5, 1993 (58 FR 31621), which are codified at §§51.166(c) and 52.21(c).

b. Screening techniques like those identified in section 4 are also applicable to PM10 and to large particles. It is recommended that subjectively determined values for “half-life” or pollutant decay not be used as a surrogate for particle removal. Conservative assumptions which do not allow removal or transformation are suggested for screening. Proportional models (rollback forward) may not be applied for screening analyses, unless such techniques are used in conjunction with receptor modeling.

c. Refined models such as those in section 4.9 are recommended for PM10 and large particles. However, where possible, particle size, gas-to-particle formation, and their effect on ambient concentrations may be considered. For urban-wide refined analyses CDM 2.0 (long term) or RAM (short term) should be used. ISC is recommended for point sources of small particles and for source-specific analyses of complicated sources. No model recommended for general use at this time accounts for secondary particulate formation or other transformations in a manner suitable for SIP control strategy development. Where possible, the use of receptor models in conjunction with dispersion models is encouraged to more precisely characterize the emissions inventory and to validate source specific impacts calculated by the dispersion model. A SIP development guideline, model reconciliation guidance, and an example model application are available to assist in PM10 analyses and control strategy development.

d. Under certain conditions, recommended dispersion models are not available or applicable. In such circumstances, the modeling approach should be approved by the appropriate Regional Office on a case-by-case basis. For example, where there is no recommended air quality model and area sources are a predominant component of PM10, an attainment demonstration may be based on rollback of the apportionment derived from two reconciled receptor models. If the strategy provides a conservative demonstration of attainment. At this time, analyses involving model calculations for distances beyond 50km and under stagnation conditions should also be justified on a case-by-case basis (see sections 7.2.6 and 8.2.10).

e. As an aid to assessing the impact on ambient air quality of particulate matter generated from prescribed burning activities, reference 110 is available.

7.2.3 Lead

a. The air quality analyses required for lead implementation plans are given in §§51.83, 51.84 and 51.85. Sections 51.83 and 51.85 require the use of a modified rollback model as a minimum to demonstrate attainment of the lead air quality standard but the use of a dispersion model is the preferred approach. Section 51.83 requires the analysis of an entire urban area if the measured lead concentration in the urbanized area exceeds a quarterly (three month) average of 4.0 µg/m3. Section 51.84 requires the use of a dispersion model to demonstrate attainment of the lead air quality standard around specified lead point sources. For other areas reporting a violation of the lead standard, §51.85 requires an analysis of the area in the vicinity of the monitor reporting the violation. The NAAQS for lead is a quarterly (three month) average, thus requiring the use of modeling techniques that can provide long-term concentration estimates.

b. The SIP should contain an air quality analysis to determine the maximum quarterly lead concentration resulting from major lead point sources, such as smelters, gasoline additive plants, etc. For these applications the ISC model is preferred, since the model can account for deposition of particles and the impact of fugitive emissions. If the source is located in complicated terrain or is subject to unusual climatic conditions, a case-specific review by the appropriate Regional Office may be required.

c. In modeling the effect of traditional line sources (such as a specific roadway or highway) on lead air quality, dispersion models
applied for other pollutants can be used. Dis-
persion models such as CALINE3 have been
widely used for modeling carbon monoxide
emissions from highways. However, where
deposition is of concern, the line source
treatment in ISC may be used. Also, where
there is a point source in the middle of a sub-
stantial road network, the lead concentra-
tions from the road network should be treated as background (see section
9.2); the point source and any nearby major
roadways should be modeled separately using the ISC model.

d. To model an entire major urban area or
to model areas without significant sources of
lead emissions, as a minimum a proportional
(rollback) model may be used for air quality
analysis. The rollback philosophy assumes
that measured pollutant concentrations are
proportional to emissions. However, urban or
other dispersion models are encouraged in
these circumstances where the use of such
models is feasible.

e. For further information concerning the
use of models in the development of lead im-
plementation plans, the documents “Supple-
mental Guidelines for Lead Implementa-
tion Plans,” 40 and “Updated Information on
Approval and Promulgation of Lead Imple-
mentation Plans,” 41 should be consulted.

7.2.4 Visibility

a. The visibility regulations as promul-
gated in December 1980 5 require consider-
ation of the effect of new sources on the visi-
bility values of Federal Class I areas. The state
of scientific knowledge concerning identifying, monitoring, modeling, and con-
trolling visibility impairment is contained
in an EPA report “Protecting Visibility: An
EPA Report to Congress”. 42 In 1985, EPA pro-
mulgated Federal Implementation Plans
(FIPs) for States without approved visibility
provisions in their SIPs. A monitoring plan
was established as part of the FIPs. 43

b. Guidance and a screening model, VISCREEN, is contained in the EPA docu-
ment “Workbook for Plume Visual Impact
Screening and Analysis (Revised).” 44 VISCREEN can be used to calculate the po-
tential impact of a plume of specified emis-
sions for specific transport and dispersion
conditions. If a more comprehensive analysis
is required, any refined model should be se-
lected in consultation with the EPA Re-
geonal Office and the appropriate Federal
Land Manager who is responsible for deter-
mining whether there is an adverse effect by
a plume on a Class I area.

c. PLUVUE II, listed in appendix B, may be
applied on a case-by-case basis when refined
plume visibility evaluations are needed.

Plume visibility models have been evaluated
against several data sets. 45

7.2.5 Good Engineering Practice Stack
Height

a. The use of stack height credit in excess
of Good Engineering Practice (GEP) stack
height or credit resulting from any other dis-
persion technique is prohibited in the devel-
lopment of emission limitations by §§51.118
and 51.164. The definitions of GEP stack
height and dispersion technique are con-
tained in §51.100. Methods and procedures for
making the appropriate stack height cal-
culations, determining stack height credits
and an example of applying those techniques
are found in references 46, 47, 48, and 49.

b. If stacks for new or existing major
sources are found to be less than the height
defined by EPA’s refined formula for deter-
moving GEP height, 4 then air quality im-
acts associated with cavity or wake effects
due to the nearby building structures should
be determined. Detailed downwash screening
procedures 40 for both the cavity and wake
regions should be followed. If more refined
concentration estimates are required, the In-
dustrial Source Complex (ISC) model con-
tains algorithms for building wake calcula-
tions and should be used. Fluid modeling can
provide a great deal of additional informa-
tion for evaluating and describing the cavity
and wake effects.

7.2.6 Long Range Transport (LRT) (i.e.,
beyond 50km)

a. Section 165(e) of the Clean Air Act re-
quires that suspected significant impacts on
PSD Class I areas be determined. However,
50km is the useful distance to which most
Gaussian models are considered accurate for
determining emission limits. Since in many cases
PSD analyses may show that Class I areas
may be threatened at distances greater than
50km from new sources, some procedure is
needed to (1) determine if a significant im-
 pact will occur, and (2) identify the model to
be used in setting an emission limit if the
Class I increments are threatened (models
defined by EPA’s refined formula)

\[ H + 1.5L \] (see Reference 46).


§ 51.300–307.
Environmental Protection Agency

Pt. 51, App. W

b. If LRT is determined to be important, then estimates utilizing an appropriate refined model for receptors at distances greater than 50 km should be obtained. MESOPUFF II, listed in appendix B, may be applied on a case-by-case basis when LRT estimates are needed. Additional information on applying this model is contained in the EPA document “A Modeling Protocol For Applying MESOPUFF II to Long Range Transport Problems”.

7.2.7 Modeling Guidance for Other Governmental Programs

a. When using the models recommended or discussed in the Guideline in support of programmatic requirements not specifically covered by EPA regulations, the model user should consult the appropriate Federal or State agency to ensure the proper application and use of that model. For modeling associated with PSD permit applications that involve a Class I area, the appropriate Federal Land Manager should be consulted on all modeling questions.

b. The Offshore and Coastal Dispersion (OCD) model was developed by the Minerals Management Service and is recommended for estimating air quality impact from offshore sources on onshore, flat terrain areas. The OCD model is not recommended for use in air quality impact assessments for onshore sources. Sources located on or just inland of a shoreline where fumigation is expected should be treated in accordance with section 8.2.9.

c. The Emissions and Dispersion Modeling System (EDMS) was developed by the Federal Aviation Administration and the United States Air Force and is recommended for air quality assessment of primary pollutant impacts at airports or air bases. Regulatory application of EDMS is intended for estimating the cumulative effect of changes in aircraft operations, point source, and mobile source emissions on pollutant concentrations. It is not intended for PSD, SIP, or other regulatory air quality analyses of point or mobile sources at or peripheral to airport property that are independent of changes in aircraft operations. If changes in other than aircraft operations are associated with analyses, a model recommended in Chapter 4, 5, or 6 should be used.

7.2.8 Air Pathway Analyses (Air Toxics and Hazardous Waste)

a. Modeling is becoming an increasingly important tool for regulatory control agencies to assess the air quality impact of releases of toxics and hazardous waste materials. Appropriate screening techniques for calculating ambient concentrations due to various well-defined neutrally buoyant toxic/hazardous pollutant releases are available.

b. Several regulatory programs within EPA have developed modeling techniques and guidance for conducting air pathway analyses as noted in references 116–129. ISC forms the basis of the modeling procedures for air pathway analyses of many of these regulatory programs and, where identified, is appropriate for obtaining refined ambient concentration estimates of neutrally buoyant continuous air toxic releases from traditional sources. Appendix A to the Guideline contains additional models appropriate for obtaining refined estimates of continuous air toxic releases from traditional sources. Appendix B contains models that may be used on a case-by-case basis for obtaining refined estimates of denser-than-air intermittent gaseous releases, e.g., DEGADIS guidance for the use of such models is also available.

c. Many air toxics models require input of chemical properties and/or chemical engineering variables in order to appropriately characterize the source emissions prior to dispersion in the atmosphere; reference 132 is one source of helpful data. In addition, EPA has numerous programs to determine emission factors and other estimates of air toxic emissions. The Regional Office should be consulted for guidance on appropriate emission estimating procedures and any uncertainties that may be associated with them.

8.0 GENERAL MODELING CONSIDERATIONS

8.1 Discussion

a. This section contains recommendations concerning a number of different issues not explicitly covered in other sections of this guide. The topics covered here are not specific to any one program or modeling area, but are common to nearly all modeling analyses.

8.2 Recommendations

8.2.1 Design Concentrations

8.2.1.1 Design Concentrations for Criteria Pollutants With Deterministic Standards

a. An air quality analysis for SO$_2$, CO, Pb, and NO$_x$ is required to determine if the source will (1) Cause a violation of the NAAQS, or (2) cause or contribute to air quality deterioration greater than the specified allowable PSD increment. For the former, background concentration (see section 9.2) should be added to the estimated impact of the source to determine the design concentration. For the latter, the design concentration includes impact from all increment consuming sources.

b. If the air quality analyses are conducted using the period of meteorological input data recommended in section 9.3.1.2 (e.g., 5 years of NWS data or 1 year of site-specific data), then the design concentration based on the
highest, second-highest short term concentration or long term average, whichever is controlling, should be used to determine emission limitations to assess compliance with the NAAQS and to determine PSD increments.

c. When sufficient and representative data exist for less than a 5-year period from a nearby NWS site, or when on-site data have been collected for less than a full continuous year, or when it has been determined that the on-site data may not be temporally representative, then the highest concentration estimate should be considered the design value. This is because the length of the data record may be too short to assure that the conditions producing worst-case estimates have been adequately sampled. The highest value is then a surrogate for the concentration that is not to be exceeded more than once per year (the wording of the deterministic standards). Also, the highest concentration should be used whenever selected worst-case conditions are input to a screening technique. This specifically applies to the use of techniques such as outlined in “Screening Procedures for Estimating the Air Quality Impact of Stationary Sources, Revised”, 18 Specific guidance for CO may be found in the “Guideline for Modeling Carbon Monoxide from Roadway Intersections”, 24

d. If the controlling concentration is an annual average value and multiple years of data (on-site or NWS) are used, then the design value is the highest of the annual averages calculated for the individual years. If the controlling concentration is a quarterly average and multiple years are used, then the highest individual quarterly average should be considered the design value.

e. As long a period of record as possible should be used in making estimates to determine design values and PSD increments. If more than 1 year of site-specific data is available, it should be used.

8.2.1.2 Design Concentrations for Criteria Pollutants With Expected Exceedance Standards

a. Specific instructions for the determination of design concentrations for criteria pollutants with expected exceedance standards, ozone and PM-10, are contained in special guidance documents for the preparation of SIPs for these pollutants. 81-10 For all SIP revisions the user should check with the Regional Office to obtain the most recent guidance documents and policy memoranda concerning the pollutant in question.

8.2.2 Critical Receptor Sites

a. Receptor sites for refined modeling should be utilized in sufficient detail to estimate the highest concentrations and possible violations of a NAAQS or a PSD increment. In designing a receptor network, the emphasis should be placed on receptor resolution and location, not total number of receptors. The selection of receptor sites should be a case-by-case determination taking into consideration the topography, the climatology, monitor sites, and the results of the initial screening procedure. For large sources (those equivalent to a 500MW power plant) and where violations of the NAAQS or PSD increment are likely, 360 receptors for a polar coordinate grid system and 400 receptors for a rectangular grid system, where the distance from the source to the farthest receptor is 10km, are usually adequate to identify areas of high concentration. Additional receptors may be needed in the high concentration location if greater resolution is indicated by terrain or source factors.

8.2.3 Dispersion Coefficients

a. Gaussian models used in most applications should employ dispersion coefficients consistent with those contained in the preferred models in appendix A. Factors such as averaging time, urban/rural surroundings, and type of source (point vs. line) may dictate the selection of specific coefficients. Generally, coefficients used in appendix A models are identical to, or at least based on, Pasquill-Gifford coefficients in rural areas and McElroy-Pooler coefficients in urban areas.

b. Research is continuing toward the development of methods to determine dispersion coefficients directly from measured or observed variables. 52-53 No method to date has proved to be widely applicable. Thus, direct measurement, as well as other dispersion coefficients related to distance and stability, may be used in Gaussian modeling only if a demonstration can be made that such parameters are more applicable and accurate for the given situation than are algorithms contained in the preferred models.

c. Buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), as identified by Pasquill, 54 is included in the preferred models and should be used where buoyant sources, e.g., those involving fuel combustion, are involved.

8.2.4 Stability Categories

a. The Pasquill approach to classifying stability is generally required in all preferred models (Appendix A). The Pasquill method, as modified by Turner, 55 was developed for use with commonly observed meteorological data from the National Weather Service and is based on cloud cover, insolation and wind speed.

b. Procedures to determine Pasquill stability categories from other than NWS data are found in subsection 9.3. Any other method to determine Pasquill stability categories must be justified on a case-by-case basis.

c. For a given model application where stability categories are the basis for selecting
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dispersion coefficients, both \( \sigma_x \) and \( \sigma_z \) should be determined from the same stability category. “Split sigmas” in that instance are not recommended.

d. Sector averaging, which eliminates the \( \sigma_x \) term, is generally acceptable only to determine long term averages, such as seasonal or annual, and when the meteorological input data are statistically summarized as in the STAR summaries. Sector averaging is, however, commonly acceptable in complex terrain screening methods.

8.2.5 Plume Rise

a. The plume rise methods of Briggs\(^{56,57}\) are incorporated in the preferred models and are recommended for use in all modeling applications. No provisions in these models are made for fumigation or multistack plume rise enhancement or the handling of such special plume rise flares; these problems should be considered on a case-by-case basis.

b. Since there is insufficient information to identify and quantify dispersion during the transitional plume rise period, gradual plume rise is not generally recommended for use. There are two exceptions where the use of gradual plume rise is appropriate: (1) In complex terrain screening procedures to determine close-in impacts; (2) when calculating the effects of building wakes. The building wake algorithm in the ISC model incorporates and automatically (i.e., internally) exercises the gradual plume rise calculations. If the building wake is calculated to affect the plume for any hour, gradual plume rise is also used in downwind dispersion calculations to the distance of final plume rise, after which final plume rise is used.

c. Stack tip downwash generally occurs with poorly constructed stacks and when the ratio of the stack exit velocity to wind speed is small. An algorithm developed by Briggs (Hanna et al.)\(^{57}\) is the recommended technique for this situation and is found in the point source preferred models.

d. Where aerodynamic downwash occurs due to the adverse influence of nearby structures, the algorithms included in the ISC model\(^{58}\) should be used.

8.2.6 Chemical Transformation

a. The chemical transformation of \( \text{SO}_2 \) emitted from point sources or single industrial plants in rural areas is generally assumed to be relatively unimportant to the estimation of maximum concentrations when travel time is limited to a few hours. However, in urban areas, where synergistic effects among pollutants are of considerable consequence, chemical transformation rates may be of concern. In urban area applications, a half-life of 4 hours\(^{59}\) may be applied to the analysis of \( \text{SO}_2 \) emissions. Calculations of transformation coefficients from site-specific studies can be used to define a “half-life” to be used in a Gaussian model with any travel time, or in any application, if appropriate documentation is provided. Such conversion factors for pollutant half-life should not be used with screening analyses.

b. Complete conversion of NO to \( \text{NO}_2 \) should be assumed for all travel time when simple screening techniques are used to model point source emissions of nitrogen oxides. If a Gaussian model is used, and data are available on seasonal variations in maximum ozone concentrations, the Ozone Limiting Method\(^{36}\) is recommended. In refined analyses, case-by-case conversion rates based on technical studies appropriate to the question in question may be used. The use of more sophisticated modeling techniques should be justified for individual cases.

c. Use of models incorporating complex chemical mechanisms should be considered only on a case-by-case basis with proper demonstration of applicability. These are generally regional models not designed for the evaluation of individual sources but used primarily for region-wide evaluations. Visibility models also incorporate chemical transformation mechanisms which are an integral part of the visibility model itself and should be used in visibility assessments.

8.2.7 Gravitational Settling and Deposition

a. An “infinite half-life” should be used for estimates of particle concentrations when Gaussian models containing only exponential decay terms for treating settling and deposition are used.

b. Gravitational settling and deposition may be directly included in a model if either is a significant factor. One preferred model (ISOC) contains a settling and deposition algorithm and is recommended for use when particulate matter sources can be quantified and settling and deposition are problems.

8.2.8 Urban/Rural Classification

a. The selection of either rural or urban dispersion coefficients in a specific application should follow one of the procedures suggested by Irwin\(^{59}\) and briefly described below. These include a land use classification procedure or a population based procedure to determine whether the character of an area is primarily urban or rural.

b. Land Use Procedure: (1) Classify the land use within the total area, \( A_t \), circumscribed by a 3km radius circle about the source using the meteorological land use typing scheme proposed by Auer\(^{60}\); (2) if land use types I, II, C1, C2, and R3 account for 50 percent or more of \( A_t \), use urban dispersion coefficients; otherwise, use appropriate rural dispersion coefficients.

c. Population Density Procedure: (1) Compute the average population density, \( \rho \) per
8.2.9 Fumigation

a. Fumigation occurs when a plume (or multiple plumes) is emitted into a stable layer of air and that layer is subsequently mixed to the ground either through convective transfer of heat from the surface or because of advection to less stable surroundings. Fumigation may cause excessively high concentrations but is usually rather short-lived at a given receptor. There are no recommended refined techniques to model this phenomenon. There are, however, screening procedures (see “Screening Procedures for Estimating the Air Quality Impact of Stationary Sources”9) that may be used to approximate the concentrations. Considerable care should be exercised in using the results obtained from the screening techniques.

b. Fumigation is also an important phenomenon on and near the shoreline of bodies of water. This can affect both individual plumes and area-wide emissions. When fumigation conditions are expected to occur from a source or sources with tall stacks located on or just inland of a shoreline, this should be addressed in the air quality modeling analysis. The Shoreline Dispersion Model (SDM) listed in appendix B may be applied on a case-by-case basis when air quality estimates under shoreline fumigation conditions are needed.13 Information on the results of EPA’s evaluation of this model together with other shoreline fumigation models may be found in reference 134. Selection of the appropriate model for applications where shoreline fumigation is of concern should be determined in consultation with the Regional Office.

8.2.10 Stagnation

a. Stagnation conditions are characterized by calm or very low wind speeds, and variable wind directions. These stagnant meteorological conditions may persist for several hours to several days. During stagnation conditions, the dispersion of air pollutants, especially those from low-level emissions sources, tends to be minimized, potentially leading to relatively high ground-level concentrations.

b. When stagnation periods such as these are found to occur, they should be addressed in the air quality modeling analysis. WYNedvalley, listed in appendix B, may be applied on a case-by-case basis for stagnation periods of 24 hours or longer in valley-type situations. Caution should be exercised when applying the model to elevated point sources. Users should consult with the appropriate Regional Office prior to regulatory application of WYNedvalley.

8.2.11 Calibration of Models

a. Calibration of long term multi-source models has been a widely used procedure even though the limitations imposed by statistical theory on the reliability of the calibration process for long term estimates are well known.64 In some cases, where a more accurate model is not available, calibration may be the best alternative for improving the accuracy of the estimated concentrations needed for control strategy evaluations.

b. Calibration of short term models is not common practice and is subject to much greater error and misunderstanding. There have been attempts by some to compare short term estimates and measurements on an event-by-event basis and then to calibrate a model with results of that comparison. This approach is severely limited by uncertainties in both source and meteorological data and therefore it is difficult to precisely estimate the concentration at an exact location for a specific increment of time. Such uncertainties make calibration of short term models of questionable benefit. Therefore, short term model calibration is unacceptable.

9.0 MODEL INPUT DATA

a. Data bases and related procedures for estimating input parameters are an integral part of the modeling procedure. The most appropriate data available should always be selected for use in modeling analyses. Concentrations can vary widely depending on the source data or meteorological data used. Input data are a major source of inconsistencies in any modeling analysis. This section attempts to minimize the uncertainty associated with data base selection and use by identifying requirements for data used in
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modeling. A checklist of input data requirements for modeling analyses is included as appendix C. More specific data requirements and the format required for the individual models are described in detail in the user's guide for each model.

9.1 Source Data

9.1.1 Discussion

a. Sources of pollutants can be classified as point, line and area/volume sources. Point sources are defined in terms of size and may vary between regulatory programs. The line sources most frequently considered are roadways and streets along which there are well-defined movements of motor vehicles, but they may be lines of roof vents or stacks such as in aluminum refineries. Area and volume sources are often collections of a multitude of minor sources with individually small emissions that are impractical to consider as separate point or line sources. Large area sources are typically treated as a grid network of square areas, with pollutant emissions distributed uniformly within each grid square.

b. Emission factors are compiled in an EPA publication commonly known as AP-42\(^a\); an indication of the quality and amount of data on which many of the factors are based is also provided. Other information concerning emissions is available in EPA publications relating to specific source categories. The Regional Office should be consulted to determine appropriate source definitions and for guidance concerning the determination of emissions from and techniques for modeling the various source types.

9.1.2 Recommendations

a. For point source applications the load or operating condition that causes maximum ground-level concentrations should be established. As a minimum, the source should be modeled using the design capacity (100 percent load). If a source operates at greater than design capacity for periods that could result in violations of the standards or PSD increments, this load should be modeled. Where the source operates at substantially less than design capacity, and the changes in the stack parameters associated with the operating conditions could lead to higher ground level concentrations, loads such as 50 percent and 75 percent of capacity should also be modeled. A range of operating conditions should be considered in screening analyses; the load causing the highest concentration, in addition to the design load, should be included in refined modeling. For a power plant, the following paragraphs b through h of this section describe the typical kind of data on source characteristics and operating conditions that may be needed. Generally, input data requirements for air quality models necessitate the use of metric units; where English units are common for engineering usage, a conversion to metric is required.

b. Plant layout. The connection scheme between boilers and stacks, and the distance and direction between stacks, building parameters (length, width, height, location and orientation relative to stacks) for plant structures which house boilers, control equipment, and surrounding buildings within a distance of approximately five stack heights.

c. Stack parameters. For all stacks, the stack height and inside diameter (meters), and the temperature (K) and volume flow rate (actual cubic meters per second) or exit gas velocity (meters per second) for operation at 100 percent, 75 percent and 50 percent load.

d. Boiler size. For all boilers, the associated megawatts, \(10^9\) Btu/hr, and pounds of steam per hour, and the design and/or actual fuel consumption rate for 100 percent load for coal (tons/hour), oil (barrels/hour), and natural gas (thousand cubic feet/hour).

e. Boiler parameters. For all boilers, the percent excess air used, the boiler type (e.g., wet bottom, cyclone, etc.), and the type of firing (e.g., pulverized coal, front firing, etc.).

f. Operating conditions. For all boilers, the type, amount and pollutant contents of fuel, the total hours of boiler operation and the boiler capacity factor during the year, and the percent load for peak conditions.

g. Pollution control equipment parameters. For each boiler served and each pollutant affected, the type of emission control equipment, the year of its installation, its design efficiency and mass emission rate, the data of the last test and the tested efficiency, the number of hours of operation during the latest year, and the best engineering estimate of its projected efficiency if used in conjunction with coal combustion; data for any anticipated modifications or additions.

h. Data for new boilers or stacks. For all new boilers and stacks under construction and for all planned modifications to existing boilers or stacks, the scheduled date of completion, and the data or best estimates available for paragraphs b through h of this section above following completion of construction or modification.

i. In stationary point source applications for compliance with short term ambient
standards, SIP control strategies should be tested using the emission input shown on Table 9-1. When using a refined model, sources should be modeled sequentially with these loads for every hour of the year. To evaluate SIPs for compliance with quarterly and annual standards, emission input data shown in Table 9-1 should again be used. Emissions from area sources should generally be based on annual average conditions. The source input information in each model user's guide should be carefully consulted and the checklist in Appendix C should also be consulted for other possible emission data that could be helpful. PSD NAAQS compliance demonstrations should follow the emission input data shown in Table 9-2. For purposes of emissions trading, new source review and demonstrations, refer to current EPA policy and guidance to establish input data.

j. Line source modeling of streets and highways requires data on the width of the roadway and the median strip, the types and amounts of pollutant emissions, the number of lanes, the emissions from each lane and the height of emissions. The location of the ends of the straight roadway segments should be specified by appropriate grid coordinates. Detailed information and data requirements for modeling mobile sources of pollution are provided in the user's manuals for each of the models applicable to mobile sources.

k. The impact of growth on emissions should be considered in all modeling analyses covering existing sources. Increases in emissions due to planned expansion or planned fuel switches should be identified. Increases in emissions at individual sources that may be associated with a general industrial/commercial/residential expansion in multi-source urban areas should also be treated. For new sources the impact of growth on emissions should generally be considered for the period prior to the start-up date for the source. Such changes in emissions should be considered for the period prior to the start-up date for the source. Such changes in emissions should generally be considered for the period prior to the start-up date for the source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 9-1—MODEL EMISSION INPUT DATA FOR POINT SOURCES 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Averaging time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationary Point Source(s) Subject to SIP Emission Limit(s) Evaluation for Compliance with Ambient Standards (Including Areawide Demonstrations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual &amp; quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nearby Background Source(s)—Same input requirements as for stationary point source(s) above.

Other Background Source(s)—If modeled (see section 9.2.3), input data requirements are defined below.

| Averaging time | Emission limit (lb/MMBtu)2 | Operating level (MMBtu/hr)2 | Operating factor (e.g., hr/yr, hr/day) |
| Annual & quarterly | Maximum allowable emission limit or Federal enforceable permit limit. | Annual level when actually operating, averaged over the most recent 2 years.5 | Actual operating factor averaged over the most recent 2 years.2 |
| Short term | Maximum allowable emission limit or federally enforceable permit limit. | Annual level when actually operating, averaged over the most recent 2 years.2 | Continuous operation, i.e., all hours of each time period under consideration (for all hours of the meteorological data base).5 |

1 The model input data requirements shown on this table apply to stationary source control strategies for STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLANS. For purposes of emissions trading, new source review, or prevention of significant deterioration, other model input criteria may apply. Refer to the policy and guidance for these programs to establish the input data.
2 Terminology applicable to fuel burning sources; analogous terminology (e.g., lb/throughput) may be used for other types of sources.
3 Unless it is determined that this period is not representative.
4 Operating levels such as 50 percent and 75 percent of capacity should also be modeled to determine the load causing the highest concentration.
5 If operation does not occur for all hours of the time period of consideration (e.g., 3 or 24 hours) and the source operation is constrained by a federally enforceable permit condition, an appropriate adjustment to the modeled emission rate may be made (e.g., if operation is only 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. each day, only these hours will be modeled with emissions from the source. Modeled emissions should not be averaged across non-operating time periods.)
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### TABLE 9–2—POINT SOURCE MODEL INPUT DATA (EMISSIONS) FOR PSD NAAQS COMPLIANCE DEMONSTRATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Averaging time</th>
<th>Emission limit (⊥/MMBtu)</th>
<th>Operating level (MMBtu/hr)</th>
<th>Operating factor (e.g., hr/yr, hr/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Major New or Modified Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual &amp; quarterly</td>
<td>Maximum allowable emission limit or federally enforceable permit limit.</td>
<td>Design capacity or federally enforceable permit condition.</td>
<td>Continuous operation (i.e., 8760 hours).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short term (≤ 24 hours)</td>
<td>Maximum allowable emission limit or federally enforceable permit limit.</td>
<td>Design capacity or federally enforceable permit condition.</td>
<td>Continuous operation (i.e., all hours of each time period under consideration) (for all hours of the meteorological data base).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearby Background Source(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual &amp; quarterly</td>
<td>Maximum allowable emission limit or federally enforceable permit limit.</td>
<td>Actual or design capacity (whichever is greater), or federally enforceable permit condition.</td>
<td>Actual operating factor averaged over the most recent 2 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short term (≤ 24 hours)</td>
<td>Maximum allowable emission limit or federally enforceable permit limit.</td>
<td>Actual or design capacity (whichever is greater), or federally enforceable permit condition.</td>
<td>Continuous operation (i.e., all hours of each time period under consideration) (for all hours of the meteorological data base).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Background Source(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual &amp; quarterly</td>
<td>Maximum allowable emission limit or federally enforceable permit limit.</td>
<td>Annual level when actually operating, averaged over the most recent 2 years.</td>
<td>Actual operating factor averaged over the most recent 2 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short term (≤ 24 hours)</td>
<td>Maximum allowable emission limit or federally enforceable permit limit.</td>
<td>Annual level when actually operating, averaged over the most recent 2 years.</td>
<td>Continuous operation (i.e., all hours of each time period under consideration) (for all hours of the meteorological data base).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Terminology applicable to fuel burning sources; analogous terminology (e.g., ⊥/throughput) may be used for other types of sources.
2. If operation does not occur for all hours of the time period of consideration (e.g., 3 or 24 hours) and the source operation is constrained by a federally enforceable permit condition, an appropriate adjustment to the modeled emission rate may be made (e.g., if operation is only 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. each day, only these hours will be modeled with emissions from the source. Modeled emissions should not be averaged across non-operating time periods. If the source is not isolated, it may be necessary to use a multi-source model to establish the impact of nearby sources. Background concentrations should be determined for each critical (concentration) averaging time.
3. Operating levels such as 50 percent and 75 percent of capacity should also be modeled to determine the load causing the highest concentration.
4. Includes existing facility to which modification is proposed if the emissions from the existing facility will not be affected by the modification. Otherwise use the same parameters as for major modification.
5. Includes existing facility to which modification is proposed if the emissions from the existing facility will not be affected by the modification. Otherwise use the same parameters as for major modification.
6. Generally, the ambient impacts from non-nearby background sources can be represented by air quality data unless adequate data do not exist.
7. For those permitted sources not yet in operation or that have not established an appropriate factor, continuous operation (i.e., 8760 hours) should be used.

### 9.2 Background Concentrations

#### 9.2.1 Discussion

a. Background concentrations are an essential part of the total air quality concentration to be considered in determining source impacts. Background air quality includes pollutant concentrations due to: (1) natural sources; (2) nearby sources other than the one(s) currently under consideration; and (3) unidentified sources.

b. Typically, air quality data should be used to establish background concentrations in the vicinity of the source(s) under consideration. The monitoring network used for background determinations should conform to the same quality assurance and other requirements as those networks established for PSD purposes. An appropriate data validation procedure should be applied to the data prior to use.

c. If the source is not isolated, it may be necessary to use a multi-source model to establish the impact of nearby sources. Background concentrations should be determined for each critical (concentration) averaging time.
9.2.2 Recommendations (Isolated Single Source)

a. Two options (paragraph b or c of this section) are available to determine the background concentration near isolated sources.

b. Use air quality data collected in the vicinity of the source to determine the background concentration for the averaging times of concern.7 Determine the mean background concentration at each monitor by excluding values when the source in question is impacting the monitor. The mean annual background is the average of the annual concentrations so determined at each monitor. For shorter averaging periods, the meteorological conditions accompanying the concentrations of concern should be identified. Concentrations for meteorological conditions of concern, at monitors not impacted by the source in question, should be averaged for each separate averaging time to determine the average background value. Monitoring sites inside a 90° sector downwind of the source may be used to determine the area of impact. One hour concentrations may be added and averaged to determine longer averaging periods.

c. If there are no monitors located in the vicinity of the source, a “regional site” may be used to determine background. A “regional site” is one that is located away from the area of interest but is impacted by similar natural and distant man-made sources.

9.2.3 Recommendations (Multi-Source Areas)

a. In multi-source areas, two components of background should be determined.

b. Nearby Sources: All sources expected to cause a significant concentration gradient in the vicinity of the source or sources under consideration for emission limit(s) should be explicitly modeled. For evaluation for compliance with the short term and annual ambient standards, the nearby sources should be modeled using the emission input data shown in table 9-1 or 9-2. The number of such sources is expected to be small except in unusual situations. The nearby source inventory should be determined in consultation with the reviewing authority. It is envisioned that the nearby sources and the sources under consideration will be evaluated together using an appropriate appendix A model.

c. The impact of the nearby sources should be examined at locations where interactions between the plume of the point source under consideration and those of nearby sources (plus natural background) can occur. Significant locations include: (1) the area of maximum impact of the point source; (2) the area of maximum impact of nearby sources; and (3) the area where all sources combine to cause maximum impact. These locations may be identified through trial and error analyses.

d. Other Sources: That portion of the background attributable to all other sources (e.g., natural sources, minor sources and distant major sources) should be determined by the procedures found in section 9.2.2 or by application of a model using table 9-1 or 9-2.

9.3 Meteorological Input Data

a. The meteorological data used as input to a dispersion model should be selected on the basis of spatial and climatological (temporal) representativeness as well as the ability of the individual parameters selected to characterize the transport and dispersion conditions in the area of concern. The representativeness of the data is dependent on: (1) the proximity of the meteorological monitoring site to the area under consideration; (2) the complexity of the terrain; (3) the exposure of the meteorological monitoring site; and (4) the period of time during which data are collected. The spatial representativeness of the data can be adversely affected by large distances between the source and receptors of interest and the complex topographic characteristics of the area. Temporal representativeness is a function of the year-to-year variations in weather conditions.

b. Model input data are normally obtained either from the National Weather Service or as part of an on-site measurement program. Local universities, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), military stations, industry and pollution control agencies may also be sources of such data. Some recommendations for the use of each type of data are included in this section 9.3.

9.3.1 Length of Record of Meteorological Data

9.3.1.1 Discussion

a. The model user should acquire enough meteorological data to ensure that worst-case meteorological conditions are adequately represented in the model results. The trend toward statistically based standards suggests a need for all meteorological conditions to be adequately represented in the data set selected for model input. The number of years of record needed to obtain a stable distribution of conditions depends on the variable being measured and has been estimated by Landsberg and Jacobs44 for various parameters. Although that study indicates in excess of 10 years may be required to achieve stability in the frequency distributions of some meteorological variables, such long periods are not reasonable for model input data. This is due in part to the fact that hourly data in model input format are

---

6 For purposes of PSD, the location of monitors as well as data quality assurance procedures must satisfy requirements listed in the PSD Monitoring Guidelines.45
Environmental Protection Agency

9.3.1 Recommendations

a. Five years of representative meteorological data should be used when estimating concentrations with an air quality model. Consecutive years from the most recent, readily available 5-year period are preferred. The meteorological data may be data collected either onsite or at the nearest National Weather Service (NWS) station. If the source is large, e.g., a 500MW power plant, the use of 5 years of NWS meteorological data or at least 1 year of site-specific data is required.

b. If one year or more, up to five years, of site-specific data is available, these data are preferred for use in quality analyses. Such data should have been subjected to quality assurance procedures as described in section 9.3.3.2.

c. For permitted sources whose emission limitations are based on a specific year of meteorological data that year should be added to any longer period being used (e.g., 5 years of NWS data) when modeling the facility at a later time.

9.3.2 National Weather Service Data

9.3.2.1 Discussion

a. The National Weather Service (NWS) meteorological data are routinely available and familiar to most model users. Although the NWS does not provide direct measurements of all the needed dispersion model input variables, methods have been developed and successfully used to translate the basic NWS data to the needed model input. Direct measurements of model input parameters have been made for limited model studies and those methods and techniques are becoming more widely applied; however, most model applications still rely heavily on the NWS data.

b. There are two standard formats of the NWS data for use in air quality models. The short term models use the standard hourly weather observations available from the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). These observations are then “preprocessed” before they can be used in the models. “STAR” summaries are available from NCDC for long term model use. These are joint frequency distributions of wind speed, direction and P-G stability category. They are used as direct input to models such as the long term version of ISC.

9.3.2.2 Recommendations

a. The preferred short term models listed in appendix A all accept as input the NWS meteorological data preprocessed into model compatible form. Long-term (monthly seasonal or annual) preferred models use NWS “STAR” summaries. Summarized concentration estimates from the short term models may also be used to develop long-term averages; however, concentration estimates based on the two separate input data sets may not necessarily agree.

b. Although most NWS measurements are made at a standard height of 10 meters, the actual anemometer height should be used as input to the preferred model.

c. National Weather Service wind directions are reported to the nearest 10 degrees. A specific set of randomly generated numbers has been developed for use with the preferred EPA models and should be used to ensure a lack of bias in wind direction assignments within the models.

d. Data from universities, FAA, military stations, industry and pollution control agencies may be used if such data are equivalent in accuracy and detail to the NWS data.

9.3.3 Site-Specific Data

9.3.3.1 Discussion

a. Spatial or geographical representativeness is best achieved by collection of all of the needed model input data at the actual site of the source(s). Site-specific measured data are therefore preferred as model input, provided appropriate instrumentation and quality assurance procedures are followed and that the data collected are representative (free from undue local or “micro” influences) and compatible with the input requirements of the model to be used. However, direct measurements of all the needed model input parameters may not be possible. This section discusses suggestions for the collection and use of on-site data. Since the methods outlined in this section are still being tested, comparison of the model parameters derived using these site-specific data should be compared at least on a spot-check basis, with parameters derived from more conventional observations.

9.3.3.2 Recommendations: Site-specific Data Collection

a. The document “On-Site Meteorological Program Guidance for Regulatory Modeling Applications” provides recommendations
on the collection and use of on-site meteorological data. Recommendations on characteristics, siting, and exposure of meteorological instruments and on data recording, processing, completeness requirements, reporting, and archiving are also included. This publication should be used as a supplement to the limited guidance on these subjects now found in the “Ambient Monitoring Guidelines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration”. As a minimum, site-specific measurements of ambient air temperature, transport wind speed and direction, and the parameters to determine Pasquill-Gifford (P–G) stability categories should be available in meteorological data sets to be used in modeling. Care should be taken to ensure that meteorological instruments are located to provide representative characterization of pollutant transport between sources and receptors of interest. The Regional Office will determine the appropriateness of the measurement locations. All site-specific data should be reduced to hourly averages. Table 9 lists the wind related parameters and the averaging time requirements.

c. Solar Radiation Measurements. Total solar radiation should be measured with a reliable pyranometer, sited and operated in accordance with established on-site meteorological guidance.

d. Temperature Measurements. Temperature measurements should be made at standard shelter height (2m) in accordance with established on-site meteorological guidance.

e. Temperature Difference Measurements. Temperature difference (T–σ) measurements for use in estimating P–G stability categories using the solar radiation/delta-T (SRDT) methodology (see Stability Categories) should be obtained using two matched thermometers or a reliable thermocouple system to achieve adequate accuracy.

f. Siting, probe placement, and operation of ΔT systems should be based on guidance found in Chapter 3 of reference 66, and such guidance should be followed when obtaining vertical temperature gradient data for use in plume rise estimates or in determining the critical dividing streamline height.

g. Wind Measurements. For refined modeling applications in simple terrain situations, if a source has a stack below 100m, select the stack top height as the wind measurement height for characterization of plume dilution and transport. For sources with stacks extending above 100m, a 100m tower is suggested unless the stack top is significantly above 100m (i.e., >2200m). In cases with stack tops >2200m, remote sensing may be a feasible alternative. In some cases, collection of stack top wind speed may be impractical or incompatible with the input requirements of the model to be used. In such cases, the Regional Office should be consulted to determine the appropriate measurement height.

h. For refined modeling applications in complex terrain, multiple level (typically three or more) measurements of wind speed and direction, temperature and turbulence (wind fluctuation statistics) are required. Such measurements should be obtained up to the representative plume height(s) of interest (i.e., the plume height(s) under those conditions important to the determination of the design concentration). The representative plume height(s) of interest should be determined using an appropriate complex terrain screening procedure (e.g., CTSCREEN) and should be documented in the monitoring/modeling protocol. The necessary meteorological measurements should be obtained from an appropriately sited meteorological tower augmented by SODAR if the representative plume height(s) of interest exceed 100m. The meteorological tower need not exceed the lesser of the representative plume height of interest (the highest plume height if there is more than one plume height of interest) or 100m.

i. In general, the wind speed used in determining plume rise is defined as the wind speed at stack top.

j. Specifications for wind measuring instruments and systems are contained in the “On-Site Meteorological Program Guidance for Regulatory Modeling Applications”. Stability Categories. The P–G stability categories, as originally defined, couple near-surface measurements of wind speed with subjectively determined insolation assessments based on hourly cloud cover and ceiling height observations. The wind speed measurements are made at or near 10m. The insolation rate is typically assessed using observations of cloud cover and ceiling height based on criteria outlined by Turner. It is recommended that the P–G stability category be estimated using the Turner method with site-specific wind speed measured at or near 10m and representative cloud cover and ceiling height. Implementation of the Turner method, as well as considerations in determining representativeness of cloud cover and ceiling height in cases for which site-specific cloud observations are unavailable, may be found in section 6 of reference 66. In the absence of requisite data to implement the Turner method, the SRDT method or wind fluctuation statistics (i.e., the σₐ and σᵥ methods) may be used.

l. The SRDT method, described in section 6.4.4.2 of reference 66, is modified slightly from that published by Bowen et al. (1983) and has been evaluated with three on-site data bases. The two methods of stability classification which use wind fluctuation
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9.3.4 Treatment of Calms

9.3.4.1 Discussion

a. Treatment of calm or light and variable wind poses a special problem in model applications since Gaussian models assume that concentration is inversely proportional to wind speed. Furthermore, concentrations become unrealistically large when wind speeds less than 1 m/s are input to the model. A procedure has been developed for use with NWS data to prevent the occurrence of overly conservative concentration estimates during periods of calms. This procedure acknowledges that a Gaussian plume model does not apply during calm conditions and that our knowledge of plume behavior and wind patterns during these conditions does not, at present, permit the development of a better technique. Therefore, the procedure disregards hours which are identified as calm. The hour is treated as missing and a convention for handling missing hours is recommended.

b. Preprocessed meteorological data input to most appendix A EPA models substitute a 1.00 m/s wind speed and the previous direction for the calm hour. The new treatment of calms in those models attempts to identify the original calm cases by checking for a 1.00 m/s wind speed coincident with a wind direction equal to the previous hour's wind direction. Such cases are then treated in a prescribed manner when estimating short term concentrations.

9.3.4.2 Recommendations

a. Hourly concentrations calculated with Gaussian models using calms should not be considered valid; the wind and concentration estimates for these hours should be disregarded and considered to be missing. Critical concentrations for 3-, 8-, and 24-hour averages should be calculated by dividing the sum of the hourly concentration for the period by the number of valid or non-missing hours. If the total number of valid hours is less than 18 for 24-hour averages, less than 6 for 8-hour averages or less than 3 for 3-hour averages, the total concentration should be divided by 18 for the 24-hour average, 6 for the 8-hour average and 3 for the 3-hour average. For annual averages, the sum of all valid hourly concentrations is divided by the number of non-calm hours during the year. A post-processor computer program, CALMPRO73 has been prepared following these instructions and has been coded in RAM and ISC.

b. The recommendations in paragraph a of this section apply to the use of calms for short term averages and do not apply to the determination of long term averages using “STAR” data summaries. Calms should continue to be included in the preparation of “STAR” summaries. A treatment for calms

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Statistics, the \( \sigma_0 \) and \( \sigma_4 \) methods, are also described in detail in section 6.4.4 of reference 66 (note applicable tables in section 6). For additional information on the wind fluctuation methods, see references 66-72. m. Hours in the record having missing data should be treated according to an established data substitution protocol and after valid data retrieval requirements have been met. Such protocols are usually part of the approved monitoring program plan. Data substitution guidance is provided in section 5.3 of reference 66. n. Meteorological Data Processors. The following meteorological preprocessors are recommended by EPA: RAMMET, PCRAMMET, STAR, PCSTAR, MPRM,135 and METPRO. RAMMET is the recommended meteorological preprocessor for use in applications employing hourly NWS data. The RAMMET format is the standard data input format used in sequential Gaussian models recommended by EPA. PCRAMMET138 is the PC equivalent of the mainframe version (RAMMET). STAR is the recommended preprocessor for use in applications employing joint frequency distributions (wind direction and wind speed by stability class) based on NWS data. PCSTAR is the PC equivalent of the mainframe version (STAR). MPRM is the recommended preprocessor for use in applications employing on-site meteorological data. The latest version (MPRM 1.3) has been configured to implement the SRDT method for estimating P-G stability categories. MPRM is a general purpose meteorological data preprocessor which supports regulatory models requiring RAMMET formatted data and STAR formatted data. In addition to on-site data, MPRM provides equivalent processing of NWS data. METPRO is the required meteorological data preprocessor for use with CTDMPPLUS. All of the above mentioned data preprocessors are available for downloading from the SCRAM BBS.19. 

Table 9.3—Averaging Times for Site-Specific Wind and Turbulence Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Averaging Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface wind speed (for use in stability determinations)</td>
<td>1-hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport direction</td>
<td>1-hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilution wind speed</td>
<td>1-hr.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 To minimize meander effects in \( \sigma_0 \) when wind conditions are light and/or variable, determine the hourly average \( \sigma_0 \) value from four sequential 15-minute \( \sigma_0 \)'s according to the following formula:

\[
\sigma_{1-hr} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{15}^2 + \sigma_{15}^2 + \sigma_{15}^2 + \sigma_{15}^2}{4}}
\]

4. To continue to include the preparation of “STAR” summaries. A treatment for calms.
and very light winds is built into the software that produces the “STAR” summaries.

c. Stagnant conditions, including extended periods of calms, often produce high concentrations over wide areas for relatively long averaging periods. The standard short term Gaussian models are often not applicable to such situations. When stagnation conditions are of concern, other modeling techniques should be considered on a case-by-case basis (see also section 8.2.10).

d. When used in Gaussian models, measured on-site wind speeds of less than 1 m/s but higher than the response threshold of the instrument should be input as 1 m/s; the corresponding wind direction should also be input. Observations below the response threshold of the instrument are also set to 1 m/s but the wind direction from the previous hour is used. If the wind speed or direction can not be determined, that hour should be treated as missing and short term averages should then be calculated as described in paragraph a of this section.

10.0 ACCURACY AND UNCERTAINTY OF MODELS

10.1 Discussion

a. Increasing reliance has been placed on concentration estimates from models as the primary basis for regulatory decisions concerning source permits and emission control requirements. In many situations, such as review of a proposed source, no practical alternative exists. Therefore, there is an obvious need to know how accurate models really are and how any uncertainty in the estimates affects regulatory decisions. EPA recognizes the need for incorporating such information and has sponsored workshops on model accuracy, the possible ways to quantify accuracy, and on considerations in the incorporation of model accuracy and uncertainty in the regulatory process. The Second (EPA) Conference on Air Quality Modeling, August 1982, was devoted to that subject.

10.1.1 Overview of Model Uncertainty

a. Dispersion models generally attempt to estimate concentrations at specific sites that really represent an ensemble average of numerous repetitions of the same event. The event is characterized by measured or “known” conditions that are input to the models, e.g., wind speed, mixed layer height, surface heat flux, emission characteristics, etc. However, in addition to the known conditions, there are unmeasured or unknown variations in the conditions of this event, e.g., unresolved details of the atmospheric flow such as the turbulent velocity field. These unknown conditions may vary among repetitions of the event. As a result, deviations in observed concentrations from their ensemble average, and from the concentrations estimated by the model, are likely to occur even though the known conditions are fixed. Even with a perfect model that predicts the correct ensemble average, there are likely to be deviations from the observed concentrations in individual repetitions of the event, due to variations in the unknown conditions. The statistics of these concentration residuals are termed “inherent” uncertainty. Available evidence suggests that this source of uncertainty alone may be responsible for a typical range of variation in concentrations of as much as #50 percent.

b. Moreover, there is “reducible” uncertainty associated with the model and its input conditions; neither models nor data bases are perfect. Reducible uncertainties are caused by: (1) Uncertainties in the input values of the known conditions—emission characteristics and meteorological data; (2) errors in the measured concentrations which are used to compute the concentration residuals; and (3) inadequate model physics and formulation. The “reducible” uncertainties can be minimized through better (more accurate and more representative) measurements and better model physics.

c. To use the terminology correctly, reference to model accuracy should be limited to that portion of reducible uncertainty which deals with the physics and the formulation of the model. The accuracy of the model is normally determined by an evaluation procedure which involves the comparison of model concentration estimates with measured air quality data. The statement of accuracy is based on statistical tests or performance measures such as bias, noise, correlation, etc. However, information that allows a distinction between contributions of the various elements of inherent and reducible uncertainty is only now beginning to emerge. As a result most discussions of the accuracy of models make no quantitative distinction between (1) Limitations of the model versus (2) limitations of the data base and of knowledge concerning atmospheric variability. The reader should be aware that statements on model accuracy and uncertainty may imply the need for improvements in model performance that even the “perfect” model could not satisfy.

10.1.2 Studies of Model Accuracy

a. A number of studies have been conducted to examine model accuracy, particularly with respect to the reliability of short-term concentrations required for ambient standard and increment evaluations. The results of these studies are not surprising. Basically, they confirm what leading atmospheric scientists have said for some time: (1) Models are more reliable for estimating longer time-averaged concentrations than for estimating short-term concentrations at specific locations; and (2) the models are reasonably reliable in estimating the magnitude
of highest concentrations occurring sometime, somewhere within an area. For example, errors in highest estimated concentrations of #10 to 40 percent are found to be typical.81 i.e., certainly well within the often quoted factor-of-two accuracy that has long been recognized for these models. However, estimates of concentrations that occur at a specific time and site, are poorly correlated with actually observed concentrations and are much less reliable.

b. As noted in paragraph a of this section, poor correlations between paired concentrations at fixed stations may be due to “reducible” uncertainties in knowledge of the precise plume location and to unquantified inherent uncertainties. For example, Pasquill82 estimates that, apart from data input errors, maximum ground-level concentrations at a given hour for a point source in flat terrain could be in error by 50 percent due to these uncertainties. Uncertainty of five to 10 degrees in the measured wind direction, which transports the plume, can result in concentration errors of 20 to 70 percent for a particular time and location, depending on stability and station location. Such uncertainties do not indicate that an estimated concentration does not occur, only that the precise time and locations are in doubt.

10.1.3 Use of Uncertainty in Decision-Making

a. The accuracy of model estimates varies with the model used, the type of application, and site-specific characteristics. Thus, it is desirable to quantify the accuracy or uncertainty associated with concentration estimates used in decision-making. Communications between modelers and decision-makers must be fostered and further developed. Communications concerning concentration estimates currently exist in most cases, but the communications dealing with the accuracy of models and its meaning to the decision-maker are limited by the lack of a technical basis for quantifying and directly including uncertainty in decisions. Procedures for quantifying and interpreting uncertainty in the practical application of such concepts are only beginning to evolve; much study is still required.74 75 77

b. In all applications of models an effort is encouraged to identify the reliability of the model estimates for that particular area and to determine the magnitude and sources of error associated with the use of the model. The analyst is responsible for recognizing and quantifying limitations in the accuracy, precision and sensitivity of the procedure. Information that might be useful to the decision-maker in recognizing the seriousness of potential air quality violations includes such model accuracy estimates as accuracy of peak predictions, bias, noise, correlation, frequency distribution, spatial extent of high concentration, etc. Both space/time pairing of estimates and measurements and unpaired comparisons are recommended. Emphasis should be on the highest concentrations and the averaging times of the standards or increments of concern. Where possible, confidence intervals about the statistical values should be provided. However, while such information can be provided by the modeler to the decision-maker, it is unclear how this information should be used to make an air pollution control decision. Given a range of possible outcomes, it is easiest and tends to ensure consistency if the decision-maker confines his judgment to use of the “best estimate” provided by the modeler (i.e., the design concentration estimates of a model recommended in the Guideline or an alternate model of known accuracy). This is an indication of the practical limitations imposed by current abilities of the technical community.

c. To improve the basis for decision-making, EPA has developed and is continuing to study procedures for determining the accuracy of models, quantifying the uncertainty, and expressing confidence levels in decisions that are made concerning emissions controls.83 84 However, work in this area involves “breaking new ground” with slow and sporadic progress likely. As a result, it may be necessary to continue using the “best estimate” until sufficient technical progress has been made to meaningfully implement such concepts dealing with uncertainty.

10.1.4 Evaluation of Models

a. A number of actions are being taken to ensure that the best model is used correctly for each regulatory application and that a model is not arbitrarily imposed. First, the Guideline clearly recommends the most appropriate model be used in each case. Preferred models, based on a number of factors, are identified for many uses. General guidance on using alternatives to the preferred models is also provided. Second, all the models in eight categories (i.e., rural, urban, industrial complex, reactive pollutants, mobile source, complex terrain, visibility and long range transport) that are candidates for inclusion in the Guideline are being subjected to a systematic performance evaluation and a peer scientific review.85 The same statistical performance measures, including measures of difference (or residuals) such as bias, variance of difference and gross variability of the data, are being used to evaluate all models within each of eight categories. Statistical performance measures, including measures of difference (or residuals) such as bias, variance of difference and gross variability of the differences, and measures of correlation such as time, space, and time and space combined as recommended by the AMS Woods Hole Workshop,11 are being followed. The results of the scientific review are being incorporated in the Guideline and will be the basis for future revision.12 13 Third, more specific
information has been provided for justifying the site specific use of alternative models in the documents “Interim Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Models” and the “Protocol for Determining the Best Performing Model”.

Together these documents provide methods that allow a judgment to be made as to what models are most appropriate for a specific application. For the present, performance and the theoretical evaluation of models are being used as an indirect means to quantify one element of uncertainty in air pollution regulatory decisions.

b. In addition to performance evaluation of models, sensitivity analyses are encouraged since they can provide additional information on the effect of inaccuracies in the data bases and on the uncertainty in model estimates. Sensitivity analyses can aid in determining the effect of inaccuracies of variables or uncertainties in the data bases on the range of likely concentrations. Such information may be used to determine source impact and to evaluate control strategies. Where possible, information from such sensitivity analyses should be made available to the decision-maker with an appropriate interpretation of the effect on the critical concentrations.

10.2 Recommendations

a. No specific guidance on the consideration of model uncertainty in decision-making is being given at this time. There is incomplete technical information on measures of model uncertainty that are most relevant to the decision-maker. It is not clear how a decision-maker could use such information, particularly given limitations of the Clean Air Act. As procedures for considering uncertainty develop and become implementable, this guidance will be changed and expanded.

For the present, continued use of the “best estimate” is acceptable and is consistent with Clean Air Act requirements.

11.0 Regulatory Application of Models

11.1 Discussion

a. Procedures with respect to the review and analysis of air quality modeling and data analyses in support of SIP revisions, PSD permitting or other regulatory requirements need a certain amount of standardization to ensure consistency in the depth and comprehensiveness of both the review and the analysis itself. This section recommends procedures that permit some degree of standardization while at the same time allowing the flexibility needed to assure the technically best analysis for each regulatory application.

b. Dispersion model estimates, especially with the support of measured air quality data, are the preferred basis for air quality demonstrations. Nevertheless, there are instances where the performance of recommended dispersion modeling techniques, by comparison with observed air quality data, may be shown to be less than acceptable. Also, there may be no recommended modeling procedure suitable for the situation. In these instances, emission limitations may be established solely on the basis of observed air quality data as would be applied to a modeling analysis. The same care should be given to the analyses of the air quality data as would be applied to a modeling analysis.

c. The current NAAQS for SO2 and CO are both stated in terms of a concentration not to be exceeded more than once a year. There is only an annual standard for NO2 and a quarterly standard for Ph. The PM-10 and ozone standards permit the exceedance of a concentration on an average of not more than once a year; the convention is to average over a 3-year period. This represents a change from a deterministic to a more statistical form of the standard and permits some consideration to be given to unusual circumstances. The NAAQS are subjected to extensive review and possible revision every 5 years.

d. This section discusses general requirements for concentration estimates and identifies the relationship to emission limits. The recommendations in section 11.2 apply to: (1) revisions of State Implementation Plans; (2) the review of new sources and the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD); and (3) analyses of the emissions trades (“bubbles”).

11.2 Recommendations

11.2.1 Analysis Requirements

a. Every effort should be made by the Regional Office to meet with all parties involved in either a SIP revision or a PSD permit application prior to the start of any work on such a project. During this meeting, a protocol should be established between the preparing and reviewing parties to define the procedures to be followed, the data to be collected, the model to be used, and the analysis of the source and concentration data. An example of requirements for such an effort is contained in the Air Quality Analysis Checklist included here as appendix C. This checklist suggests the level of detail required to assess the air quality resulting from the proposed action. Special cases may require additional data collection or analysis and this should be determined and agreed upon at this preapplication meeting. The protocol should be written and agreed upon by the parties concerned, although a formal legal document is not intended. Changes in such a protocol are often required as the data collection and analysis progresses. However, the protocol establishes a common understanding of the requirements.
b. An air quality analysis should begin with a screening model to determine the potential of the proposed source or control strategy to violate the PSD increment or NAAQS. It is recommended that the screening techniques found in “Screening Procedures for Estimating the Air Quality Impact of Stationary Sources” be used for point source analyses. Screening procedures for area source analysis are discussed in “Applying Atmospheric Simulation Models to Air Quality Maintenance Areas.” For mobile source impact assessments the “Guideline for Modeling Carbon Monoxide from Roadway Intersections” is available.

c. If the concentration estimates from screening techniques indicate that the PSD increment or NAAQS may be approached or exceeded, then a more refined modeling analysis is appropriate and the model user should select a model according to recommendations in sections 4.0–8.0. In some instances, no refined technique may be specified in this guide for the situation. The model user is then encouraged to submit a model developed specifically for the case at hand. If that is not possible, a screening technique may supply the needed results.

d. Regional Offices should require permit applicants to incorporate the pollutant contributions of all sources into their analysis. Where necessary this may include emissions associated with growth in the area of impact of the new or modified source’s impact. PSD air quality assessments should consider the amount of the allowable air quality increment that has already been granted to any other sources. Therefore, the most recent source applicant should model the existing or permitted sources in addition to the one currently under consideration. This would permit the use of newly acquired data or improved modeling techniques if such have become available since the last source was permitted. When remodeling, the worst case used in the previous modeling analysis should be one set of conditions modeled in the new analysis. All sources should be modeled for each set of meteorological conditions selected and for all receptor sites used in the previous applications as well as new sites specific to the new source.

11.2.2 Use of Measured Data in Lieu of Model Estimates

a. Modeling is the preferred method for determining emission limitations for both new and existing sources. When a preferred model is available, model results alone (including background) are sufficient. Monitoring will normally not be accepted as the sole basis for emission limitation determination in flat terrain areas. In some instances when the modeling technique available is only a screening technique, the addition of air quality data to the analysis may lend credence to model results.

b. There are circumstances where there is no applicable model, and measured data may need to be used. Examples of such situations are: (1) complex terrain locations; (2) land/water interface areas; and (3) urban locations with a large fraction of particulate emissions from nontraditional sources. However, only in the case of an existing source should monitoring data alone be a basis for emission limits. In addition, the following items should be considered prior to the acceptance of the measured data:

i. Does a monitoring network exist for the pollutants and averaging times of concern?

ii. Has the monitoring network been designed to locate points of maximum concentration?

iii. Do the monitoring network and the data reduction and storage procedures meet EPA monitoring and quality assurance requirements?

iv. Do the data set and the analysis allow impact of the most important individual sources to be identified if more than one source or emission point is involved?

v. Is at least one full year of valid ambient data available?

vi. Can it be demonstrated through the comparison of monitored data with model results that available models are not applicable?

c. The number of monitors required is a function of the problem being considered. The source configuration, terrain configuration, and meteorological variations all have an impact on number and placement of monitors. Decisions can only be made on a case-by-case basis. The Interim Procedures for Evaluating Air Quality Models should be used in establishing criteria for demonstrating that a model is not applicable.

d. Sources should obtain approval from the Regional Office or reviewing authority for the monitoring network prior to the start of monitoring. A monitoring protocol agreed to by all concerned parties is highly desirable. The design of the network, the number, type and location of the monitors, the sampling period, averaging time as well as the need for meteorological monitoring or the use of mobile sampling or plume tracking techniques, should all be specified in the protocol and agreed upon prior to start-up of the network.

11.2.3 Emission Limits

11.2.3.1 Design Concentrations

a. Emission limits should be based on concentration estimates for the averaging time that results in the most stringent control requirements. The concentration used in specifying emission limits is called the design value or design concentration and is a sum of the concentration contributed by the source and the background concentration.
11.2.3.3 PSD Air Quality Increments and Impacts

a. The allowable PSD increments for criteria pollutants are established by regulation and cited in §51.166. These maximum allowable increases in pollutant concentrations may be exceeded once per year at each site, except for the annual increment that may not be exceeded. The highest, second-highest increase in estimated concentrations for the short term averages as determined by a model should be less than or equal to the permitted increment. The modeled annual averages should not exceed the increment.

b. Screening techniques defined in sections 4.0 and 5.0 can sometimes be used to estimate short term incremental concentrations for the first new source that triggers the base-line in a given area. However, when multiple increment-consuming sources are involved in the calculation, the use of a refined model with at least 1 year of on-site or 5 years of off-site NWS data is normally required. In such cases, sequential modeling must demonstrate that the allowable increments are not exceeded temporally and spatially, i.e., for all receptors for each time period throughout the year(s) (time period means the appropriate PSD averaging time, e.g., 3-hour, 24-hour, etc.).

c. The PSD regulations require an estimation of the SO₂, particulate matter, and NO₂ impact on any Class I area. Normally, Gaussian models should not be applied at distances greater than can be accommodated by the steady state assumptions inherent in such models. The maximum distance for refined Gaussian model application for regulatory purposes is generally considered to be 50km. Beyond the 50km range, screening techniques may be used to determine if more refined modeling is needed. If refined models are needed, long range transport models should be considered in accordance with section 7.2.6. As previously noted in sections 3.0 and 7.0, the need to involve the Federal Land Manager in decisions on potential air quality impacts should be considered for areas designated attainment or unclassifiable for the long-term NAAQS, the demonstration of whether or not the source will cause or contribute to an air quality violation should be based on sufficient data to show whether: (1) the projected 24-hour average concentrations will exceed the 24-hour NAAQS more than once per year, on average; (2) the expected annual mean concentration will exceed the annual NAAQS; and (3) the source contributes significantly, in a temporal and spatial sense, to any modeled violation.

11.2.3.2 NAAQS Analyses for New or Modified Sources

a. For new or modified sources predicted to have a significant ambient impact and to be located in areas designated attainment or unclassifiable for the SO₂, Pb, NO₂, or CO NAAQS, the demonstration as to whether the source will cause or contribute to an air quality violation should be based on: (1) the highest estimated annual average concentration determined from annual averages of individual years; (2) the highest, second-highest estimated concentration plus a background concentration which can reasonably be assumed to occur with the concentration; (3) the significance of the spatial and temporal contribution to any modeled violation.

For Pb, the highest estimated concentration based on individual calendar quarter averaging period should be used. Background concentrations should be added to the estimated impact of the source. The most restrictive standard should be used in all cases to assess the threat of an air quality violation. For new or modified sources predicted to have a significant ambient impact in areas designated attainment or unclassifiable for the PM-10 NAAQS, the demonstration of whether or not the source will cause or contribute to an air quality violation should be based on sufficient data to show whether: (1) the projected 24-hour average concentrations will exceed the 24-hour NAAQS more than once per year, on average; (2) the expected annual mean concentration will exceed the annual NAAQS; and (3) the source contributes significantly, in a temporal and spatial sense, to any modeled violation.

b. Screening techniques defined in sections 4.0 and 5.0 can sometimes be used to estimate short term incremental concentrations for the first new source that triggers the baseline in a given area. However, when multiple increment-consuming sources are involved in the calculation, the use of a refined model with at least 1 year of on-site or 5 years of off-site NWS data is normally required. In such cases, sequential modeling must demonstrate that the allowable increments are not exceeded temporally and spatially, i.e., for all receptors for each time period throughout the year(s) (time period means the appropriate PSD averaging time, e.g., 3-hour, 24-hour, etc.).

c. The PSD regulations require an estimation of the SO₂, particulate matter, and NO₂ impact on any Class I area. Normally, Gaussian models should not be applied at distances greater than can be accommodated by the steady state assumptions inherent in such models. The maximum distance for refined Gaussian model application for regulatory purposes is generally considered to be 50km. Beyond the 50km range, screening techniques may be used to determine if more refined modeling is needed. If refined models are needed, long range transport models should be considered in accordance with section 7.2.6. As previously noted in sections 3.0 and 7.0, the need to involve the Federal Land Manager in decisions on potential air quality
impacts, particularly in relation to PSD Class I areas, cannot be overemphasized.

11.2.3.4 Emissions Trading Policy (Bubbles)

a. EPA’s final Emissions Trading Policy, commonly referred to as the “bubble policy,” was published in the Federal Register in 1986.\(^1\) Principles contained in the policy should be used to evaluate ambient impacts of emission trading activities.

b. Emission increases and decreases within the bubble should result in ambient air quality equivalence. Two levels of analysis are defined for establishing this equivalence. In a Level I analysis the source configuration and setting must meet certain limitations (defined in the policy) that ensure ambient equivalence; no modeling is required. In a Level II analysis a modeling demonstration of ambient equivalence is required but only the sources involved in the emissions trade are modeled. The resulting ambient estimates of net increases/decreases are compared to a set of significance levels to determine if the bubble can be approved. A Level II analysis requires the use of a refined model and the most recent readily available full year of representative meteorological data. Sequential modeling must demonstrate that the significance levels are met temporally and spatially, i.e., for all receptors for each time period throughout the year (time period means the appropriate NAAQS averaging time, e.g., 3-hour, 24-hour, etc.).

c. For those bubbles that cannot meet the Level I or Level II requirements, the Emissions Trading Policy allows for a Level III analysis. A Level III analysis, from a modeling standpoint, is generally equivalent to the requirements for a standard SIP revision where all sources (and background) are considered and the estimates are compared to the NAAQS as in section 11.2.3.2.

d. The Emissions Trading Policy allows States to adopt generic regulations for processing bubbles. The modeling procedures recommended in the Guideline apply to such generic regulations. However, an added requirement is that the modeling procedures contained in any generic regulation must be replicable such that there is no doubt as to how each individual bubble will be modeled. In general this means that the models, the data bases and the procedures for applying the model must be defined in the regulation. The consequences of the replicability requirement are that bubbles for sources located in complex terrain and certain industrial sources where judgments must be made on source characterization cannot be handled generically.

12.0 REFERENCES\(^2\)


\(^1\) Documents not available in the open literature or from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) have been placed in Docket No. A–80–46 or A–88–04. Item Numbers for documents placed in the Docket are shown at the end of the reference.

\(^2\) Some EPA references, e.g., model user’s guides, etc., are periodically revised. Users are referred to the SCRAM BBS\(^3\) to download updates or addenda, see section A.0 of this appendix.
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1The documents listed here are major sources of supplemental information on the theory and application of mathematical air quality models.
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14.0 GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Air quality. Ambient pollutant concentrations and their temporal and spatial distribution.

Algorithm. A specific mathematical calculation procedure. A model may contain several algorithms.

Background. Ambient pollutant concentrations due to:
(1) Natural sources;
(2) Nearby sources other than the one(s) currently under consideration; and
(3) Unidentified sources.

Calibrate. An objective adjustment using measured air quality data (e.g., an adjustment based on least-squares linear regression).

Calm. For purposes of air quality modeling, calm is used to define the situation when the wind is indeterminate with regard to speed or direction.

Complex terrain. Terrain exceeding the height of the stack being modeled.

Computer code. A set of statements that comprise a computer program.

Evaluate. To appraise the performance and accuracy of a model based on a comparison of concentration estimates with observed air quality data.

Fluid modeling. Modeling conducted in a wind tunnel or water channel to quantitatively evaluate the influence of buildings and/or terrain on pollutant concentrations.

Fugitive dust. Dust discharged to the atmosphere in an unconfined flow stream such as that from unpaved roads, storage piles and heavy construction operations.

Model. A quantitative or mathematical representation or simulation which attempts to describe the characteristics or relationships of physical events.

Preferred model. A refined model that is recommended for a specific type of regulatory application.

Receptor. A location at which ambient air quality is measured or estimated.

Receptor models. Procedures that examine an ambient monitor sample of particulate matter and the conditions of its collection to infer the types or relative mix of sources impacting on it during collection.

Refined model. An analytical technique that provides a detailed treatment of physical and chemical atmospheric processes and requires detailed and precise input data. Specialized estimates are calculated that are useful for evaluating source impact relative to air quality standards and allowable increments. The estimates are more accurate than those obtained from conservative screening techniques.

Rollback. A simple model that assumes that if emissions from each source affecting a given receptor are decreased by the same percentage, ambient air quality concentrations decrease proportionately.

Screening technique. A relatively simple analysis technique to determine if a given source is likely to pose a threat to air quality. Concentration estimates from screening techniques are conservative.

Simple terrain. An area where terrain features are all lower in elevation than the top of the stack of the source.
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A.0 Introduction and Availability

This appendix summarizes key features of refined air quality models preferred for specific regulatory applications. For each model, information is provided on availability, approximate cost, regulatory use, data input, output format and options, simulation of atmospheric physics, and accuracy. These models may be used without a formal demonstration of applicability provided they satisfy the recommendations for regulatory use; not all options in the models are necessarily recommended for regulatory use.
Many of these models have been subjected to a performance evaluation using comparisons with observed air quality data. A summary of such comparisons for models contained in this appendix is included in Moore et al. (1982). Where possible, several of the models contained herein have been subjected to evaluation exercises, including (1) statistical performance tests recommended by the American Meteorological Society and (2) peer scientific reviews. The models in this appendix have been selected on the basis of the results of the model evaluations, experience with previous use, familiarity of the model to various air quality programs, and the costs and resource requirements for use.

All models and user's documentation in this appendix are available from: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service (NTIS), U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161, Phone: (703) 487-4650. In addition, model codes and selected, abridged user's guides are available from the Support Center for Regulatory Air Models Bulletin Board System19 (SCRAM BBS), telephone (919) 541-5742. The SCRAM BBS is an electronic bulletin board system designed to be user friendly and accessible from anywhere in the country. Model users with personal computers are encouraged to use the SCRAM BBS to download current model codes and text files.

A.1 Buoyant Line and Point Source Dispersion Model (BLP)

Reference

Availability
The computer code is available on the Support Center for Regulatory Models Bulletin Board System and also on diskette (as PB 90–500261) from the National Technical Information Service (see section A.8).

Abstract
BLP is a Gaussian plume dispersion model designed to handle unique modeling problems associated with aluminum reduction plants, and other industrial sources where plume rise and downwash effects from stationary line sources are important.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
The BLP model is appropriate for the following applications:
- Aluminum reduction plants which contain buoyant, elevated line sources;
- Rural areas;
- Transport distances less than 50 kilometers;
- Simple terrain; and
- One hour to one year averaging times.
The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:
- Rural (IRU=1) mixing height option;
- Default (no selection) for plume rise wind shear (LSHEAR), transitional point source plume rise (LTRANS), vertical potential temperature gradient (DTHTA), vertical wind speed power law profile exponents (PEXP), maximum variation in number of stability classes per hour (IDELS), pollutant decay (DECFAC), the constant in Briggs' stable plume rise equation (CONST2), constant in Briggs' neutral plume rise equation (CONST3), convergence criterion for the line source calculations (CRIT), and maximum iterations allowed for line source calculations (MAXIT); and
- Terrain option (TERAN) set equal to 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0
For other applications, BLP can be used if it can be demonstrated to give the same estimates as a recommended model for the same application, and will subsequently be executed in that mode.

BLP can be used on a case-by-case basis with specific options not available in a recommended model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that the model is more appropriate for a specific application.

b. Input Requirements
Source data: point sources require stack location, elevation of stack base, physical stack height, stack inside diameter, stack gas exit velocity, stack gas exit temperature, and pollutant emission rate. Line sources require coordinates of the end points of the line, release height, emission rate, average line source width, average building width, average spacing between buildings, and average line source buoyancy parameter.
Metereological data: hourly surface weather data from punched cards or from the preprocessor program RAMMET which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height.
Receptor data: locations and elevations of receptors, or location and size of receptor grid or request automatically generated receptor grid.

c. Output
Printed output (from a separate post-processor program) includes:
- Total concentration or, optionally, source contribution analysis; monthly and annual frequency distributions for 1-, 3-, and 24-hour average concentrations; tables of 1-, 3-, and 24-hour average concentrations at each receptor; table of the annual (or length of run) average concentrations at each receptor;
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Five highest 1-, 3-, and 24-hour average concentrations at each receptor; and
Fifty highest 1-, 3-, and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field.

d. Type of Model
BLP is a gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types
BLP may be used to model primary pollutants. This model does not treat settling and deposition.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship
BLP treats up to 50 point sources, 10 parallel line sources, and 100 receptors arbitrarily located.
User-input topographic elevation is applied for each stack and each receptor.

g. Plume Behavior
BLP uses plume rise formulas of Schulman and Scire (1980).
Vertical potential temperature gradients of 0.02 Kelvin per meter for E stability and 0.035 Kelvin per meter are used for stable plume rise calculations. An option for user input values is included.
Transitional rise is used for line sources.
Option to suppress the use of transitional plume rise for point sources is included.
The building downwash algorithm of Schulman and Scire (1980) is used.

h. Horizontal Winds
Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.
Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.
Wind speeds profile exponents of 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, and 0.30 are used for stability classes A through F, respectively. An option for user-defined values and an option to suppress the use of the wind speed profile feature are included.

i. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion
Rural dispersion coefficients are from Turner (1969), with no adjustment made for variations in surface roughness or averaging time.
Six stability classes are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion
Rural dispersion coefficients are from Turner (1969), with no adjustment made for variations in surface roughness.
Six stability classes are used.
Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform mixing is assumed beyond that point.
Perfect reflection at the ground is assumed.

l. Chemical Transformation
Chemical transformations are treated using linear decay. Decay rate is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal
Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

n. Evaluation Studies
Scire, J.S. and L.L. Schulman, 1981. Evaluation of the BLP and ISC Models with SF6 Tracer Data and SO2 Measurements at Aluminum Reduction Plants. APCA Specialty Conference on Dispersion Modeling for Complex Sources, St. Louis, MO.

A.2 CALINE3

Reference

Availability
The CALINE3 model is available on diskette (as PB 85–927312) from NTIS. The source code and user’s guide are also available on the Support Center for Regulatory Models Bulletin Board System (see section A.0).

Abstract
CALINE3 can be used to estimate the concentrations of nonreactive pollutants from highway traffic. This steady-state Gaussian model can be applied to determine air pollution concentrations at receptor locations downwind of “at-grade,” “fill,” “bridge,” and “cut section” highways located in relatively uncomplicated terrain. The model is applicable for any wind direction, highway orientation, and receptor location. The model has adjustments for averaging time and surface roughness, and can handle up to 20 links and 20 receptors. It also contains an algorithm for deposition and settling velocity so that particulate concentrations can be predicted.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
CALINE-3 is appropriate for the following applications:
Highway (line) sources;
Urban or rural areas;
Simple terrain;
Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and
One-hour to 24-hour averaging times.

b. Input Requirements
Source data: up to 20 highway links classed as “at-grade,” “fill,” “bridge,” or “depressed”; coordinates of link end points; traffic volume; emission factor; source height; and mixing zone width.
Meteorological data: wind speed, wind angle (measured in degrees clockwise from the Y axis), stability class, mixing height, ambient (background to the highway) concentration of pollutant.
Receptor data: coordinates and height above ground for each receptor.

Output
Printed output includes concentration at each receptor for the specified meteorological condition.

d. Type of Model
CALINE-3 is a Gaussian plume model.
e. Pollutant Types
CALINE-3 may be used to model primary pollutants.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship
Up to 20 highway links are treated. CALINE-3 applies user input location and emission rate for each link. User-input receptor locations are applied.

Plume Behavior
Plume rise is not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds
User-input hourly wind speed and direction are applied.
Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour.

Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion
Six stability classes are used.
Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with adjustment for roughness length and averaging time.
Initial traffic-induced dispersion is handled implicitly by plume size parameters.

k. Vertical Dispersion
Six stability classes are used.
Empirical dispersion coefficients from Benson (1970) are used including an adjustment for roughness length.

Choice of Source Types

b. Input Requirements
Source data: up to 20 highway links classed as “at-grade,” “fill,” “bridge,” or “depressed”; coordinates of link end points; traffic volume; emission factor; source height; and mixing zone width.

Output
Printed output includes concentration at each receptor for the specified meteorological condition.

A.3 Climatological Dispersion Model (CDM 2.0)

Reference

Availability
The source code and user’s guide is available on the Support Center for Regulatory Models Bulletin Board System. The computer code is also available on diskette (as PB 90–50046) from the National Technical Information Service (see section A.0).

Abstract
CDM is a climatological steady-state Gaussian plume model for determining long-term (seasonal or annual) arithmetic average pollutant concentrations at any ground-level receptor in an urban area.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
CDM is appropriate for the following applications:
Point and area sources;
Urban areas;
Flat terrain;
Transport distances less than 50 kilometers;
Long term averages over one month to one year or longer.

The following option should be selected for regulatory applications:
Set the regulatory “default option” (NDEF=1) which automatically selects stack
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tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), and the appropriate wind profile exponents.

Enter "0" for pollutant half-life for all pollutants except for SO₂ in an urban setting. This entry results in no decay (infinite half-life) being calculated. For SO₂ in an urban setting, the pollutant half-life (in hours) should be set to 4.0.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: location, average emissions rates and heights of emissions for point and area sources. Point source data requirements also include stack gas temperature, stack gas exit velocity, and stack inside diameter for plume rise calculations for point sources. Meteorological data: stability wind rose (STAR deck day/night version), average mixing height and wind speed in each stability category, and average air temperature.

Receptor data: cartesian coordinates of each receptor.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

Average concentrations for the period of the stability wind rose data (arithmetic mean only) at each receptor, and Optional point and area concentration rose for each receptor.

d. Type of Model

CDM is a climatological Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

CDM may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

CDM applies user-specified locations for all point sources and receptors.

Area sources are input as multiples of a user-defined unit source grid size. User specified release heights are applied for individual point sources and the area source grid.

Actual separation between each source-receptor pair is used. The user may select a single height at or above ground level that applies to all receptors.

No terrain differences between source and receptor are treated.

g. Plume Behavior

CDM uses Briggs (1969, 1971, 1975) plume rise equations. Optionally a plume rise-wind speed product may be input for each point source.

Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is preferred for regulatory use. The Bjorklund and Bowers (1982) equation is also included.

No plume rise is calculated for area sources. Does not treat fumigation or building downwash.

h. Horizontal Winds

Wind data are input as a stability wind rose (joint frequency distribution of 16 wind directions, 6 wind classes, and 5 stability classes). Wind speed profile exponents for the urban case (Irwin, 1979; EPA, 1980) are used, assuming the anemometer height is at 10.0 meters.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Pollutants are assumed evenly distributed across a 22.5 or 10.0 degree sector.

k. Vertical Dispersion

There are seven vertical dispersion parameter schemes, but the following is recommended for regulatory applications:

- Briggs-urban (Gifford, 1976).
- Mixing height has no effect until dispersion coefficient equals 0.8 times the mixing height; uniform vertical mixing is assumed beyond that point.
- Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included as an option. Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


Zimmerman, J.R., 1971. Some Preliminary Results of Modeling from the Air Pollution Study of Ankara, Turkey. Proceedings of the Second Meeting of the Expert Panel on Air Pollution Modeling, NATO Committee on
the Challenges of Modern Society, Paris, France.


A.4 Gaussian-Plume Multiple Source Air Quality Algorithm (RAM)

Reference


Availability

The source code and user’s guide is available on the Support Center for Regulatory Models Bulletin Board System. The computer code is also available on diskette (as PB 90-500315) from the National Technical Information Service (see section A.0).

Abstract

RAM is a steady-state Gaussian plume model for estimating concentrations of relatively stable pollutants, for averaging times from an hour to a day, from point and area sources in a rural or urban setting. Level terrain is assumed. Calculations are performed for each hour.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

RAM is appropriate for the following applications:

Point and area sources;

Urban areas;

Flat terrain;

Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and

One hour to one year averaging times.

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications:

Set the regulatory “default option” to automatically select stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy-induced dispersion (BID), the new treatment for calms, the appropriate wind profile exponents, and the appropriate value for pollutant half-life.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: point sources require location, emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter and stack gas temperature. Area sources require location, size, emission rate, and height of emissions.

Meteorological data: hourly surface weather data from the preprocessor program RAMMET which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required.

Receptor data: coordinates of each receptor. Options for automatic placement of receptors near expected concentration maxima, and a gridded receptor array are included.

c. Output

Printed output optionally includes:

One to 24-hour and annual average concentrations at each receptor;

Limited individual source contribution list, and

Highest through fifth highest concentrations at each receptor for period, with the highest and high, second-high values flagged.

d. Type of Model

RAM is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

RAM may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

RAM applies user-specified locations for all point sources and receptors. Area sources are input as multiples of a user-defined unit area source grid size.

User specified stack heights are applied for individual point sources.

Up to 3 effective release heights may be specified for the area sources. Area source release heights are assumed to be appropriate for a 5 meter per second wind and to be inversely proportional to wind speed.

Actual separation between each source-receptor pair is used.

All receptors are assumed to be at the same height at or above ground level.

No terrain differences between source and receptor are accounted for.

g. Plume Behavior


Stack tip downwash equation from Briggs (1974) is used.

A user supplied fraction of the area source height is treated as the physical height. The remainder is assumed to be plume rise for a 5 meter per second wind speed, and to be inversely proportional to wind speed.

Fumigation and building downwash are not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady state) wind is assumed for an hour.
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Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.
Separate wind speed profile exponents (Irwin, 1976; EPA, 1980) for urban cases are used.

i. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion
Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion
Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are included.
Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform vertical mixing is assumed beyond that point.
Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

1. Chemical Transformation
Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal
Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

n. Evaluation Studies

Ellis, H., P. Lou, and G. Dalzell, 1980. Comparison Study of Measured and Predicted Concentrations with the RAM Model at Two Power Plants Along Lake Erie. Second Joint Conference on Applications of Air Pollution Meteorology, New Orleans, LA.


Kennedy, K.H., R.D. Siegel and M.P. Steinberg, 1981. Case-Specific Evaluation of the RAM Atmospheric Dispersion Model in an Urban Area. 74th Annual Meeting of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New Orleans, LA.


A.5 Industrial Source Complex Model (ISC3)

Reference


Availability

The model code is available on the Support Center for Regulatory Air Models Bulletin Board System, ISCTST3 (as PB 96–502000) and ISCLT3 (PB 96–502018) are also available on diskette from the National Technical Information Service (see section A.8).

Abstract

The ISC3 model is a steady-state Gaussian plume model which can be used to assess pollutant concentrations from a wide variety of sources associated with an industrial source complex. This model can account for the following: settling and dry deposition of particles; downwash; area, line and volume sources; plume rise as a function of downwind distance; separation of point sources; and limited terrain adjustment. ISC3 operates in both long-term and short-term modes.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

ISC3 is appropriate for the following applications:
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- Industrial source complexes;
- Rural or urban areas;
- Flat or rolling terrain;
- Transport distances less than 50 kilometers;
- 1-hour to annual averaging times; and
- Continuous toxic air emissions.

The following options should be selected for regulatory applications: For short term or long term modeling, set the regulatory “default option”; i.e., use the keyword DFefault, which automatically selects stack tip downwash, final plume rise, buoyancy induced dispersion (BID), the vertical potential temperature gradient, a treatment for calms, the appropriate wind profile exponents, the temperature gradient, a treatment for calms, and the appropriate building wake effects algorithm; set the “rural option” (use the keyword RURAL) or “urban option” (use the keyword URBAN); and set the “concentration option” (use the keyword CONC).

b. Input Requirements

Source data: location, emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, and stack gas temperature. Optional inputs include source elevation, building dimensions, particle size distribution with corresponding settling velocities, and surface reflection coefficients.

Meteorological data: ISCST3 requires hourly surface weather data from the preprocessor program RAMMET, which provides hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. For ISC3, input includes stability wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. For ISCLT3, input includes stability wind rose (STAR deck), average afternoon mixing height, average morning mixing height, and average air temperature.

Receptor data: coordinates and optional ground elevation for each receptor.

c. Output

Printed output options include:
- Program control parameters, source data, and receptor data;
- Tables of hourly meteorological data for each specified day;
- ‘‘N’’-day average concentration or total deposition calculated at each receptor for any desired source combinations;
- Concentration or deposition values calculated for any desired source combinations at all receptors for any specified day or time period within the day;
- Tables of highest and second highest concentration or deposition values calculated at each receptor for each specified time period during a(n) ‘‘N’’-day period for any desired source combinations, and tables of the maximum 50 concentration or deposition values calculated for any desired source combinations for each specified time period.

d. Type of Model

ISC3 is a Gaussian plume model. It has been revised to perform a double integration of the Gaussian plume kernel for area sources.

e. Pollutant Types

ISC3 may be used to model primary pollutants and continuous releases of toxic and hazardous waste pollutants. Settling and deposition are treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

ISC3 applies user-specified locations for point, line, area and volume sources, and user-specified receptor locations or receptor rings.

User input topographic evaluation for each receptor is used. Elevations above stack top are reduced to the stack top elevation, i.e., “terrain chopping”.

User input height above ground level may be used when necessary to simulate impact at elevated or “flag pole” receptors, e.g., on buildings.

Actual separation between each source-receptor pair is used.

g. Plume Behavior


Revised building wake effects algorithm is used. For stacks higher than building height plus one-half the lesser of the building height or building width, the building wake algorithm of Huber and Snyder (1976) is used. For lower stacks, the building wake algorithm of Schulman and Scire (Schulman and Hanna, 1986) is used, but stack tip downwash and BID are not used.

For rolling terrain (terrain not above stack height), plume centerline is horizontal at height of final rise above source.

Fumigation is not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for each hour.

Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.

Separate wind speed profile exponents (Irwin, 1979; EPA, 1980) for both rural and urban cases are used.

An optional treatment for calm winds is included for short term modeling.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.
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j. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with no adjustments for surface roughness or averaging time. Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.

Six stability classes are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with no adjustments for surface roughness.

Urban dispersion coefficients from Briggs (Gifford, 1976) are used.

Buoyancy induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included.

Six stability classes are used.

Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflections until the vertical plume standard deviation equals 1.6 times the mixing height; uniform vertical mixing is assumed beyond that point. Perfect reflection is assumed at the ground.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Time constant is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition effects for particles are treated using a resistance formulation in which the deposition velocity is the sum of the resistances to pollutant transfer within the surface layer of the atmosphere, plus a gravitational settling term (EPA, 1994), based on the modified surface depletion scheme of Horst (1983).

n. Evaluation Studies


Scire, J.S. and L.L. Schulman, 1981. Evaluation of the BLP and ISC Models with SF6 Tracer Data and SO2 Measurements at Aluminum Reduction Plants. Air Pollution Control Association Specialty Conference on Dispersion Modeling for Complex Sources, St. Louis, MO.


A.6 Urban Airshed Model (UAM)

Reference


Availability

The model code is available on the Support Center for Regulatory Air Models Bulletin Board System (see section A.0).

Abstract

UAM is an urban scale, three dimensional, grid type numerical simulation model. The model incorporates a condensed photochemical kinetics mechanism for urban atmospheres. The UAM is designed for computing ozone (O3) concentrations under short-term, episodic conditions lasting one or two days resulting from emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOx), volatile organic compounds (VOC), and carbon monoxide (CO). The model treats urban VOC emissions as their carbon-bond surrogates.
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a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

UAM is appropriate for the following applications: urban areas having significant ozone attainment problems and one hour averaging times.

UAM has many options but no specific recommendations can be made at this time on all options. The reviewing agency should be consulted on selection of options to be used in regulatory applications.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: gridded, hourly emissions of PAR, OLE, ETH, XYL, TOL, ALD2, FORM, ISOR, ETHOH, CO, NO, and NO₂ for low-level sources. For major elevated point sources, hourly emissions, stack height, stack diameter, exit velocity, and exit temperature.

Meteorological data: hourly, gridded, divergence free, u and v wind components for each vertical level; hourly gridded mixing heights and surface temperatures; hourly exposure class; hourly vertical potential temperature gradient above and below the mixing height; hourly surface atmospheric pressure; hourly water mixing ratio; and gridded surface roughness length.

Air quality data: concentration of all carbon bond 4 species at the beginning of the simulation for each grid cell; and hourly concentrations of each pollutant at each level along the inflow boundaries and top boundary of the modeling region.

Other data requirements are: hourly mixed layer average, NO₂ photolysis rates; and ozone surface uptake resistance along with associated gridded vegetation (scaling) factors.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

- Gridded instantaneous concentration fields at user-specified time intervals for user-specified pollutants and grid levels;
- Gridded time-average concentration fields for user-specified time intervals, pollutants, and grid levels.

d. Type of Model

UAM is a three dimensional, numerical, photochemical grid model.

e. Pollutant Types

UAM may be used to model ozone (O₃) formation from oxides of nitrogen (NOₓ) and volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Low-level area and point source emissions are specified within each surface grid cell. Emissions from major point sources are placed within cells aloft in accordance with calculated effective plume heights.

Hourly average concentrations of each pollutant are calculated for all grid cells at each vertical level.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume rise is calculated for major point sources using relationships recommended by Briggs (1971).

h. Horizontal Winds

See Input Requirements.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Calculated at each vertical grid cell interface from the mass continuity relationship using the input gridded horizontal wind field.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Vertical eddy diffusivities for unstable and neutral conditions calculated using relationships of Lamb et al. (1977); for stable conditions, the relationship of Businger and Arya (1971) is employed. Stability class, friction velocity, and Monin-Obukhov length determined using procedure of Liu et al. (1976).

k. Vertical Dispersion

Vertical eddy diffusivities for unstable and neutral conditions calculated using relationships of Lamb et al. (1977); for stable conditions, the relationship of Businger and Arya (1971) is employed. Stability class, friction velocity, and Monin-Obukhov length determined using procedure of Liu et al. (1976).

l. Chemical Transformation

UAM employs a simplified version of the Carbon-Bond IV Mechanism (CBM-IV) developed by Gery et al. (1988) employing various steady state approximations. The CBM-IV mechanism incorporated in UAM utilizes an updated simulation of PAN chemistry that includes a peroxy-peroxy radical termination reaction, significant when the atmosphere is NOₓ-limited (Gery et al., 1989). The current CBM-IV mechanism accommodates 34 species and 82 reactions.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition of ozone and other pollutant species are calculated. Vegetation (scaling) factors are applied to the reference surface uptake resistance of each species depending on land use type.

n. Evaluation Studies


Environmental Protection Agency


Roth, W.R. Oliver and J.C. Cassmassi, 1981. Demonstration of Photochemical Grid Model Usage for Ozone Control Assessment. 76th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Atlanta, GA.


A.7 Offshore and Coastal Dispersion Model (OCD)

Reference


Technical Contact

Minerals Management Service, Attn: Mr. Dirk Herkhof, Parkway Atrium Building, 381 Elden Street, Herndon, VA 22070–4817, Phone: (703) 767–1735.

Abstract

OCD is a straight-line Gaussian model developed to determine the impact of offshore emissions from point, area or line sources on the air quality of coastal regions. OCD incorporates overwater plume transport and dispersion as well as changes that occur as the plume crosses the shoreline. Hourly meteorological data are needed from both offshore and onshore locations. These include water surface temperature, overwater air temperature, mixing height, and relative humidity.

Some of the key features include platform building downwash, partial plume penetration into elevated inversions, direct use of turbulence intensities for plume dispersion, interaction with the overland internal boundary layer, and continuous shoreline fumigation.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

OCD has been recommended for use by the Minerals Management Service for emissions located on the Outer Continental Shelf (50 FR 12249; 28 March 1985). OCD is applicable
for overwater sources where onshore receptors are below the lowest source height. Where onshore receptors are above the lowest source height, offshore plume transport and dispersion may be modeled on a case-by-case basis in consultation with the EPA Regional Office.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: point, area or line source location, pollutant emission rate, building height, stack height, stack gas temperature, stack inside diameter, stack gas exit velocity, stack angle from vertical, elevation of stack base above water surface and gridded specification of the land/water surfaces. As an option, emission rate, stack gas exit velocity and temperature can be varied hourly.

Meteorological data (over water): wind direction, wind speed, mixing height, relative humidity, air temperature, water surface temperature, vertical wind direction shear (optional), vertical temperature gradient (optional), turbulence intensities (optional).

Meteorological data (over land): wind direction, wind speed, temperature, stability class, mixing height.

Receptor data: location, height above local ground-level, ground-level elevation above the water surface.

c. Output

All input options, specification of sources, receptors and land/Water map including locations of sources and receptors.

Summary tables of five highest concentrations at each receptor for each averaging period, and average concentration for entire run period at each receptor.

Optional case study printout with hourly plume and receptor characteristics. Optional table of annual impact assessment from non-permanent activities.

Concentration files written to disk or tape can be used by ANALYSIS postprocessor to produce the highest concentrations for each receptor, the cumulative frequency distributions for each receptor, the tabulation of all concentrations exceeding a given threshold, and the manipulation of hourly concentration files.

d. Type of Model

OCD is a Gaussian plume model constructed on the framework of the MPTER model.

e. Pollutant Types

OCD may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Up to 250 point sources, 5 area sources, or 1 line source and 180 receptors may be used. Receptors and sources are allowed at any location.

The coastal configuration is determined by a grid of up to 3600 rectangles. Each element of the grid is designated as either land or water to identify the coastline.

g. Plume Behavior

As in MPTER, the basic plume rise algorithms are based on Briggs’ recommendations.

Momentum rise includes consideration of the stack angle from the vertical.

The effect of drilling platforms, ships, or any overwater obstructions near the source are used to decrease plume rise using a revised platform downwash algorithm based on laboratory experiments.

Partial plume penetration of elevated inversions is included using the suggestions of Briggs (1975) and Weil and Brower (1984).

Continuous shoreline fumigation is parametrized using the Turner method where complete vertical mixing through the thermal internal boundary layer (TIBL) occurs as soon as the plume intercepts the TIBL.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant, uniform wind is assumed for each hour.

Overwater wind speed can be estimated from overland wind speed using relationship of Hsu (1981).

Wind speed profiles are estimated using similarity theory (Businger, 1973). Surface layer fluxes for these formulas are calculated from bulk aerodynamic methods.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Lateral turbulence intensity is recommended as a direct estimate of horizontal dispersion. If lateral turbulence intensity is not available, it is estimated from boundary layer theory. For wind speeds less than 8 m/s, lateral turbulence intensity is assumed inversely proportional to wind speed.

Horizontal dispersion may be enhanced because of obstructions near the source. A virtual source technique is used to simulate the initial plume dilution due to downwash.

Formulas recommended by Pasquill (1976) are used to calculate buoyant plume enhancement and wind direction shear enhancement.

At the water/land interface, the change to overland dispersion rates is modeled using a virtual source. The overland dispersion rates can be calculated from either lateral turbulence intensity or Pasquill-Gifford curves.

The change is implemented where the plume intercepts the rising internal boundary layer.
Environmental Protection Agency

k. Vertical Dispersion

Observed vertical turbulence intensity is not recommended as a direct estimate of vertical dispersion. Turbulence intensity should be estimated from boundary layer theory as default in the model. For very stable conditions, vertical dispersion is also a function of lapse rate.

Vertical dispersion may be enhanced because of obstructions near the source. A virtual source technique is used to simulate the initial plume dilution due to downwash.

Formulas recommended by Pasquill (1976) are used to calculate buoyant plume enhancement.

At the water/land interface, the change to overland dispersion rates is modeled using a virtual source. The overland dispersion rates can be calculated from either vertical turbulence intensity or the Pasquill-Gifford coefficients. The change is implemented where the plume intercepts the rising internal boundary layer.

1. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Different rates can be specified by month and by day or night.

m. Physical Removal

Physical removal is also treated using exponential decay.

n. Evaluation Studies


A.8 Emissions and Dispersion Modeling System (EDMS)

Reference


Availability

EDMS is available for $40 from: Federal Aviation Administration, Attn: Ms. Diana Liang, AEE–129, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20591, Phone: (202) 267–3494.

Abstract

EDMS is a combined emissions/dispersion model for assessing pollution at civilian airports and military air bases. This model, which was jointly developed by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the United States Air Force (USAF), produces an emission inventory of all airport sources and calculates concentrations produced by these sources at specified receptors. The system stores emission factors for fixed sources such as fuel storage tanks and incinerators and also for mobile sources such as automobiles or aircraft. EDMS incorporates an emissions model to calculate an emission inventory for each airport source and a dispersion model, the Graphical Input Microcomputer Model (GIMM) (Segal, 1983) to calculate pollutant concentrations produced by these sources at specified receptors. The GIMM, which processes point, area, and line sources, also incorporates a special meteorological preprocessor for processing up to one year of National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) hourly data. The model operates in both a screening and refined mode, accepting up to 170 sources and 10 receptors.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

EDMS is appropriate for the following applications:

• Cumulative effect of changes in aircraft operations, point source and mobile source emissions at airports or air bases;

• Simple terrain;

• Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and

• 1-hour to annual averaging times.

b. Input Requirements

All data are entered through a “runtime” version of the Condor data base which is an
integral part of EDMS. Typical entry items are source and receptor coordinates, percent cold starts, vehicles per hour, etc. Some point sources, such as heating plants, require stack height, stack diameter, and effluent temperature inputs.

Wind speed, wind direction, hourly temperature, and Pasquill-Gifford stability category (P–G) are the meteorological inputs. They can be entered manually through the EDMS data entry screens or automatically through the processing of previously loaded NCDC hourly data.

c. Output
Printed outputs consist of:
• A monthly and yearly emission inventory report for each source entered; and
• A concentration summing report for up to 8760 hours (one year) of data.

d. Type of Model
For its emissions inventory calculations, EDMS uses algorithms consistent with the EPA Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, AP-42. For its dispersion calculations, EDMS uses the GIMM model which is described in reports FAA-EE-88-4 and FAA-EE-88-5, referenced above. GIMM uses a Gaussian plume algorithm.

e. Pollutant Types
EDMS inventories and calculates the dispersion of carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, sulphur oxides, hydrocarbons, and suspended particles.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship
Up to 170 sources and 10 receptors can be treated simultaneously. Area sources are treated as a series of lines that are positioned perpendicular to the wind.

Line sources (roadways, runways) are modeled as a series of points. Terrain elevation differences between sources and receptors are neglected.

Receptors are assumed to be at ground level.

g. Plume Behavior
Plume rise is calculated for all point sources (heating plants, incinerators, etc.) using Briggs plume rise equations (Catalano, 1986; Briggs, 1969; Briggs, 1971; Briggs, 1972).

Building and stack tip downwash effects are not treated.

Roadway dispersion employs a modification to the Gaussian plume algorithms as suggested by Rao and Keenan (1980) to account for close-in vehicle-induced turbulence.

h. Horizontal Winds
Steady state winds are assumed for each hour. Winds are assumed to be constant with altitude.

Winds are entered manually by the user or automatically by reading previously loaded NCC annual data files.

i. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical wind speed is assumed to be zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion
Four stability classes are used (P–G classes B through E). Horizontal dispersion coefficients are computed using a table look-up and linear interpolation scheme. Coefficients are based on Pasquill (1976) as adapted by Petersen (1980). A modified coefficient table is used to account for traffic-enhanced turbulence near roadways. Coefficients are based upon data included in Rao and Keenan (1980).

k. Vertical Dispersion
Four stability classes are used (P–G classes B through E). Vertical dispersion coefficients are computed using a table look-up and linear interpolation scheme. Coefficients are based on Pasquill (1976) as adapted by Petersen (1980). A modified coefficient table is used to account for traffic-enhanced turbulence near roadways. Coefficients are based upon data from Rao and Keenan (1980).

l. Chemical Transformation
Chemical transformations are not accounted for.

m. Physical Removal
Deposition is not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


A9 Complex Terrain Dispersion Model Plus Algorithms for Unstable Situations (CTDMPLUS)

Reference
Perry, S.G., D.J. Burns, L.H. Adams, R.J. Paine, M.G. Dennis, M.T. Mills, D.G.
Environmental Protection Agency


Availability

This model code is available on the Support Center for Regulatory Air Models Bulletin Board System and also on diskette (as PB 89–564119) from the National Technical Information Service (see section A.0).

Abstract

CTDMPLUS is a refined point source Gaussian air quality model for use in all stability conditions for complex terrain applications. The model contains, in its entirety, the technology of CTDM for stable and neutral conditions. However, CTDMPLUS can also simulate daytime, unstable conditions, and has a number of additional capabilities for improved user friendliness. Its use of meteorological data and terrain information is different from other EPA models; considerable detail for both types of input data is required and is supplied by preprocessors specifically designed for CTDMPLUS. CTDMPLUS requires the parameterization of individual hill shapes using the terrain preprocessor and the association of each model receptor with a particular hill.

a. Recommendation for Regulatory Use

CTDMPLUS is appropriate for the following applications:
• Elevated point sources;
• Terrain elevations above stack top;
• Rural or urban areas;
• Transport distances less than 50 kilometers; and
• One hour to annual averaging times
when used with a post-processor program such as CHAVG.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: For each source, user supplies source location, height, stack diameter, stack exit velocity, stack exit temperature, and emission rate; if variable emissions are appropriate, the user supplies hourly values for emission rate, stack exit velocity, and stack exit temperature.

Meteorological data: the user must supply hourly averaged values of wind, temperature and turbulence data for creation of the basic meteorological data file ("PROFILE"). Meteorological preprocessors then create a SURFACE data file (hourly values of mixed layer heights, surface friction velocity, Monin-Obukhov length and surface roughness length) and a RAWINsonde data file (upper air measurements of pressure, temperature, wind direction, and wind speed).

Receptor data: receptor names (up to 400) and coordinates, and hill number (each receptor must have a hill number assigned).

Terrain data: user inputs digitized contour information to the terrain preprocessor which creates the TERRAIN data file (for up to 25 hills).

c. Output

When CTDMPLUS is run, it produces a concentration file, in either binary or text format (user’s choice), and a list file containing a verification of model inputs, i.e.,
• Input meteorological data from "SURFACE" and "PROFILE"
• Stack data for each source
• Terrain information
• Receptor information
• Source-receptor location (line printer map).

In addition, if the case-study option is selected, the listing includes:
• Meteorological variables at plume height
• Geometrical relationships between the source and the hill
• Plume characteristics at each receptor, i.e.,
  → distance in along-flow and cross flow direction
  → effective plume-receptor height difference
  → effective $\sigma_x$ & $\sigma_z$ values, both flat terrain and hill induced (the difference shows the effect of the hill)
  → concentration components due to WRAP, LIFT and FLAT.

If the user selects the TOPN option, a summary table of the top 4 concentrations at each receptor is given. If the ISOR option is selected, a source contribution table for every hour will be printed.

A separate disk file of predicted (1-hour only) concentrations (“CONC”) is written if the user chooses this option. Three forms of output are possible:

1. A binary file of concentrations, one value for each receptor in the hourly sequence as run;
2. A text file of concentrations, one value for each receptor in the hourly sequence as run; or
3. A text file as described above, but with a listing of receptor information (names, positions, hill number) at the beginning of the file.
Hourly information provided to these files besides the concentrations themselves includes the year, month, day, and hour information as well as the receptor number with the highest concentration.

d. Type of Model
CTDMPLUS is a refined steady-state, point source plume model for use in all stability conditions for complex terrain applications.

e. Pollutant Types
CTDMPLUS may be used to model non-reactive, primary pollutants.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship
Up to 40 point sources, 400 receptors and 25 hills may be used. Receptors and sources are allowed at any location. Hill slopes are assumed not to exceed 15°, so that the linearized equation of motion for Boussinesq flow are applicable. Receptors upwind of the impingement point, or those associated with any of the hills in the modeling domain, require separate treatment.

g. Plume Behavior
As in CTDM, the basic plume rise algorithms are based on Briggs' (1975) recommendations.

A central feature of CTDMPLUS for neutral/stable conditions is its use of a critical dividing-streamline height ($H_c$) to separate the flow in the vicinity of a hill into two separate layers. The plume component in the upper layer has sufficient kinetic energy to pass over the top of the hill while streamlines in the lower portion are constrained to flow in a horizontal plane around the hill. Two separate components of CTDMPLUS compute ground-level concentrations resulting from plume material in each of these flows.

The model calculates on an hourly (or appropriate steady averaging period) basis how the plume trajectory (and, in stable/neutral conditions, the shape) is deformed by each hill. Hourly profiles of wind and temperature measurements are used by CTDMPLUS to compute plume rise, plume penetration (a formulation is included to handle penetration into elevated stable layers, based on Briggs (1984)), convective scaling parameters, the value of $H_c$, and the Froude number above $H_c$.

h. Horizontal Winds
CTDMPLUS does not simulate calm meteorological conditions. Both scalar and vector wind speed observations can be read by the model. If vector wind speed is unavailable, it is calculated from the scalar wind speed. The assignment of wind speed (either vector or scalar) at plume height is done by either:
- Interpolating between observations above and below the plume height, or
- Extrapolating (within the surface layer) from the nearest measurement height to the plume height.

1. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical flow is treated for the plume component above the critical dividing streamline height ($H_c$); see "Plume Behavior".

j. Horizontal Dispersion
Horizontal dispersion for stable/neutral conditions is related to the turbulence velocity scale for lateral fluctuations, $\sigma_v$, for which a minimum value of 0.2 m/s is used. Convective scaling formulations are used to estimate horizontal dispersion for unstable conditions.

k. Vertical Dispersion
Direct estimates of vertical dispersion for stable/neutral conditions are based on observed vertical turbulence intensity, e.g., $\sigma_w$ (standard deviation of the vertical velocity fluctuation). In simulating unstable (convective) conditions, CTDMPLUS relies on a skewed, bi-Gaussian probability density function (PDF) description of the vertical velocities to estimate the vertical distribution of pollutant concentration.

l. Chemical Transformation
Chemical transformation is not treated by CTDMPLUS.

m. Physical Removal
Physical removal is not treated by CTDMPLUS (complete reflection at the ground/hill surface is assumed).

n. Evaluation Studies


A. REF References
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APPENDIX B TO APPENDIX W OF PART 51—SUMMARIES OF ALTERNATIVE AIR QUALITY MODELS

Table of Contents

B.0 Introduction and Availability
B.1 AVACTA II Model
B.2 Dense Gas Dispersion Model (DEGADIS)
A source for some of these models and user’s documentation is: Computer Products, National Technical Information Service (NTIS), U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161, Phone: (703) 487-4650. A number of the model codes and selected, abridged user’s guides are also available from the Support Center for Regulatory Air Models Bulletin Board System™ (SCRAM BBS), Telephone (919) 541-5742. The SCRAM BBS is an electronic bulletin board system designed to be user friendly and accessible from anywhere in the country. Model users with personal computers are encouraged to use the SCRAM BBS to download current model codes and text files.

B.1 AVACTA II Model

Reference

Availability
A 3¼” diskette of the FORTRAN coding and the user’s guide are available at a cost of $3,500 (non-profit organization) or $5,000 (other organizations) from: AeroVironment, Inc., 222 Huntington Drive, Monrovia, CA 91016, Phone: (818) 357-9983.

Abstract
The AVACTA II model is a Gaussian model in which atmospheric dispersion phenomena are described by the evolution of plume elements, either segments or puffs. The model can be applied for short time (e.g., one day) simulations in both transport and calm conditions.

The user is given flexibility in defining the computational domain, the three-dimensional meteorological and emission input, the receptor locations, the plume rise formulas, the sigma formulas, etc. Without explicit user’s specifications, standard default values are assumed.

AVACTA II provides both concentration fields on the user specified receptor points, and dry/wet deposition patterns throughout the domain. The model is particularly oriented to the simulation of the dynamics and transformation of sulfur species (SO₂ and SO₄⁻), but can handle virtually any pair of primary-secondary pollutants.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
AVACTA II can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. AVACTA II must be executed in the equivalent mode.

AVACTA II can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section


40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-01 Edition)

B.3 ERT Visibility Model
B.4 HGSYSTEM
B.5 HOTMAC/RAPTAD
B.6 LONGZ
B.7 Maryland Power Plant Siting Program (PPSP) Model
B.8 Mesoscale Puff Model (MESOPUFF II)
B.9 Mesoscale Transport Diffusion and Deposition Model For Industrial Sources (MTDDIS)
B.10 Multi-Source (SCSTER) Model
B.11 PANACHE
B.12 PLUME Visibility Model (PLUVUE II)
B.13 Point, Area, Line Source Algorithm (PAL-DS)
B.14 Reactive Plume Model (RPM-IV)
B.15 Shoreline Dispersion Model (SDM)
B.16 SHORTZ
B.17 Simple Line-Source Model
B.18 SLAB
B.19 WYNDvalley Model
B.REF References

B.0 Introduction and Availability
This appendix summarizes key features of refined air quality models that may be considered on a case-by-case basis for individual regulatory applications. For each model, information is provided on availability, approximate cost, regulatory use, data input, output format and options, simulation of atmospheric physics and accuracy. The models are listed by name in alphabetical order.

There are three separate conditions under which these models will normally be applied for use:

1. A demonstration can be made that the model produces concentration estimates equivalent to the estimates obtained using a preferred model (e.g., the maximum or high, second-high concentration is within 2% of the estimate using the comparable preferred model);
2. A statistical performance evaluation has been conducted using measured air quality data and the results of that evaluation indicate the model in appendix B performs better than a comparable preferred model (e.g., the maximum or high, second-high concentration is within 2% of the estimates obtained using a comparable preferred model);
3. There is no preferred model for the specific application but a refined model is needed to satisfy regulatory requirements.

Any one of these three separate conditions may warrant use of these models. See section 3.2, Use of Alternative Models, for additional details.

Many of these models have been subject to a performance evaluation by comparison with observed air quality data. A summary of such comparisons for models contained in this appendix is included in Moore et al. (1982). Where possible, several of the models contained herein have been subjected to rigorous evaluation exercises, including (1) statistical performance measures recommended by the American Meteorological Society and (2) peer scientific reviews.
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3.2, that AVACTA II is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

A time-varying input is required at each computational step. Only those data which have changed need to be input by the user.
Source data requirements are: Coordinates, emission rates of primary and secondary pollutants, initial plume sigmas (for non-point sources), exit temperature, exit velocity, stack inside diameter.

Meteorological data requirements are: surface wind measurements, wind profiles (if available), atmospheric stability profiles, mixing heights.
Receptor data requirements are: receptor coordinates.

Other data requirements: coordinates of the computational domain, grid cell specification, terrain elevations, user's computational and printing options.

c. Output

The model's output is provided according to user's printing flags. Hourly, 3-hour and 24-hour concentration averages are computed, together with highest and highest-second-highest concentration values. Both partial and total concentrations are provided.

d. Type of Model

AVACTA II is Gaussian segment/puff model.

e. Pollutant Types

AVACTA II can handle any couple of primary-secondary pollutants (e.g., SO\textsubscript{2} and SO\textsubscript{4}).

f. Source Receptor Relationship

The AVACTA II approach maintains the basic Gaussian formulation, but allows a numerical simulation of both nonstationary and nonhomogeneous meteorological conditions. The emitted pollutant material is divided into a sequence of "elements," either segments or puffs, which are connected together but whose dynamics are a function of the local meteorological conditions. Since the meteorological parameters vary with time and space, each element evolves according to the different meteorological conditions encountered along its trajectory.

AVACTA II calculates the partial contribution of each source in each receptor during each interval. The partial concentration is the sum of the contribution of all existing puffs, plus that of the closest segment.

g. Plume Behavior

The user can select the following plume rise formulas:

- CONCAWE (Briggs, 1975)
- Lucas-Moore (Briggs, 1975)
- User's function, i.e., a subroutine supplied by the user

With cold plumes, the program uses a special routine for the computation of the jet plume rise. The user can also select several computational options that control plume behavior in complex terrain and its total/partial reflections.

h. Horizontal Winds

A 3D mass-consistent wind field is optionally generated.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

A 3D mass-consistent wind field is optionally generated.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

During each step, the sigmas of each element are increased. The user can select the following sigma functions:

- Pasquill-Gifford-Turner (in the functional form specified by Green et al., 1980)
- Brookhaven (Gifford, 1975)
- Briggs, open country (Gifford, 1975)
- Briggs, urban, i.e., McElroy-Pooler (Gifford, 1975)
- Irwin (1979a)
- LO-LOCAT (MacCready et al., 1974)
- User-specified function, by points
- User-specified function, with a user's subroutine

The virtual distance/age concept is used for incrementing the sigmas at each time step.

k. Vertical Dispersion

During each step, the sigmas of each element are increased. The user can select the following sigma functions:

- Pasquill-Gifford-Turner (in the functional form specified by Green et al., 1980)
- Brookhaven (Gifford, 1975)
- Briggs, open country (Gifford, 1975)
- Briggs, urban, i.e., McElroy-Pooler (Gifford, 1975)
- LO-LOCAT (MacCready et al., 1974)
- User-specified function, with a user's subroutine

The virtual distance/age concept is used for incrementing the sigmas at each time step.

l. Chemical Transformation

First order chemical reactions (primary-to-secondary pollutant)

m. Physical Removal

First order dry and wet deposition schemes

n. Evaluation Studies

Zannetti P., G. Carboni and A. Ceriani, 1985. AVACTA II Model Simulations of Worst-Case Air Pollution Scenarios in
DEGADIS 2.1 is a mathematical dispersion model that can be used to model the transport of toxic chemical releases into the atmosphere. Its range of applicability includes continuous, instantaneous, finite duration, and time-variant releases; negatively-buoyant and neutral-buoyant releases; ground-level, low-momentum area releases; ground-level or elevated upwardly-directed stack releases of gases or aerosols. The model simulates only one set of meteorological conditions, and therefore should not be considered applicable over time periods much longer than 1 or 2 hours. The simulations are carried out over flat, level, unobstructed terrain for which the characteristic surface roughness is not a significant fraction of the depth of the dispersion layer. The model does not characterize the density of aerosol-type releases; rather, the user must assess that independently prior to the simulation.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

DEGADIS can be used as a refined modeling approach to estimate short-term ambient concentrations (1-hour or less averaging times) and the expected area of exposure to concentrations above specified threshold values for toxic chemical releases. The model is especially useful in situations where density effects are suspected to be important and where screening estimates of ambient concentrations are above levels of concern.

b. Input Requirements

Data may be input directly from an external input file or via keyboard using an interactive program module. The model is not set up to accept real-time meteorological data or convert units of input values. Chemical property data must be input by the user. Such data for a few selected species are available within the model. Additional data may be added to this data base by the user. Source data requirements are: emission rate and release duration; emission chemical and physical properties (molecular weight, density vs. concentration profile in the case of aerosol releases, and contaminant heat capacity in the case of a nonisothermal gas release; stack parameters (i.e., diameter, elevation above ground level, temperature at release point).

Meteorological data requirements are: wind speed at designated height above ground, ambient temperature and pressure; surface roughness, relative humidity, and ground surface temperature (which in most cases can be adequately approximated by the ambient temperature).

Receptor data requirements are: averaging time of interest, above-ground height of receptors, and maximum distance between receptors (since the model computes downwind receptor distances to optimize model performance, this parameter is used only for nominal control of the output listing, and is of secondary importance). No indoor concentrations are calculated by the model.

c. Output

Printed output includes in tabular form:
- Listing of model input data;
- Plume centerline elevation, mole fraction, concentration, density, and temperature at each downwind distance;
- \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma_z \) values at each downwind distance;
- Off-centerline distances to 2 specified concentration values at a specified receptor height at each downwind distance (these values can be used to draw concentration isopleths after model execution);
- Concentration vs. time histories for finite-duration releases (if specified by user).

The output print file is automatically saved and must be sent to the appropriate printer by the user after program execution. No graphical output is generated by the current version of this program.

d. Type of Model

DEGADIS estimates plume rise and dispersion for vertically-upward jet releases using mass and momentum balances with air entrainment based on laboratory and field-scale data. These balances assume Gaussian similarity profiles for velocity, density, and concentration within the jet. Ground-level denser-than-air phenomena is treated using a power law concentration distribution profile in the vertical and a hybrid top hat-Gaussian concentration distribution profile in the horizontal. A power law specification is used for the vertical wind profile. Ground-level cloud slumping phenomena and air entrainment are based on laboratory measurements and field-scale observations.
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e. Pollutant Types

Neutrally- or negatively-buoyant gases and aerosols. Pollutants are assumed to be non-reactive and non-depositing.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

Only one source can be modeled at a time. There is no limitation to the number of receptors; the downwind receptor distances are internally-calculated by the model. The DEGADIS calculation is carried out until the plume centerline concentration is 50% below the lowest concentration level specified by the user. The model contains no modules for source calculations or release characterization.

g. Plume Behavior

Jet/plume trajectory is estimated from mass and momentum balance equations. Surrounding terrain is assumed to be flat, and stack tip downwash, building wake effects, and fumigation are not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Constant logarithmic velocity profile which accounts for stability and surface roughness is used. The wind speed profile exponent is determined from a least squares fit of the logarithmic profile from ground level to the wind speed reference height. Calm winds can be simulated for ground-level low-momentum releases. Along-wind dispersion of transient releases is treated using the methods of Colenbrander (1980) and Beals (1971).

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Not treated.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

When the plume centerline is above ground level, horizontal dispersion coefficients are based upon Turner (1969) and Slade (1968) with adjustments made for averaging time and plume density.

When the plume centerline is at ground level, horizontal dispersion also accounts for entrainment due to gravity currents as parameterized from laboratory experiments.

k. Vertical Dispersion

When the plume centerline is above ground level, vertical dispersion coefficients are based upon Turner (1969) and Slade (1968). Perfect ground reflection is applied.

In the ground-level dense-gas regime, vertical dispersion is also based upon results from laboratory experiments in density-stratified fluids.

l. Chemical Transformation

Not specifically treated.

m. Physical Removal

Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


o. Operating Information

The model requires either a VAX computer or an IBM—compatible PC for its execution. The model currently does not require supporting software. A FORTRAN compiler is required to generate program executables in the VAX computing environment. PC executables are provided within the source code; however, a PC FORTRAN compiler may be used to tailor a PC executable to the user’s PC environment.

B.3 ERT Visibility Model

Reference


Availability

The user’s guide and model code on diskette are available as a package (as PB 96-501978) from the National Technical Information Service (see section B.0).

Abstract

The ERT Visibility Model is a Gaussian dispersion model designed to estimate visibility impairment for arbitrary lines of sight due to isolated point source emissions by simulating gas-to-particle conversion, dry deposition, NO to NO2 conversion and linear radiative transfer.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendation at the present time. The ERT Visibility Model may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: stack height, stack temperature, emissions of SO2, NOx, TSP; fraction of NOx as NO2; fraction of TSP which is carbonaceous, exit velocity, and exit radius.

Meteorological data requirements are: hourly ambient temperature, mixing depth,
wind speed at stack height, stability class, potential temperature gradient, and wind direction.

Receptor data requirements are: observer coordinates with respect to source, latitude, longitude, time zone, date, time of day, elevation, relative humidity, background visual range, line-of-sight azimuth and elevation angle, inclination angle of the observed object, distance from observer to object, object and surface reflectivity, number and spacing of integral receptor points along line of sight.

Other data requirements are: ambient concentrations of \( O_3 \) and \( NO_x \), deposition velocity of TSP, sulfate, nitrate, \( SO_2 \) and \( NO_x \), first-order transformation rate for sulfate and nitrate.

c. Output

Printed output includes both summary and detailed results as follows: Summary output: Page 1—site, observer and object parameters; Page 2—optical pollutants and associated extinction coefficients; Page 3—plume model input parameters; Page 4—total calculated visual range reduction, and each pollutant’s contribution; Page 5—calculated plume contrast, object contrast and object contrast degradation at the 550nm wavelength; Page 6—calculated blue/red ratio and \( \Lambda E(U^*V^*W^*) \) values for both sky and object discoloration.

Detailed output: phase functions for each pollutant in four wavelengths (400, 450, 550, 650nm), concentrations for each pollutant along sight path, solar geometry contrast parameters at all wavelengths, intensities, tristimulus values and chromaticity coordinates for views of the object, sun, background sky and plume.

d. Type of Model

ERT Visibility model is a Gaussian plume model for estimating visibility impairment.

e. Pollutant Types

Optical activity of sulfate, nitrate (derived from \( SO_2 \) and \( NO_x \) emissions), primary TSP and \( NO_x \) is simulated.

f. Source Receptor Relationship

Single source and hour is simulated. Unlimited number of lines-of-sight (receivers) is permitted per model run.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1971) plume rise equations for final rise are used.

h. Horizontal Wind Field

A single wind speed and direction is specified for each case study. The wind is assumed to be spatially uniform.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used. Mixing height is accounted for with multiple reflection handled by summation of series near the source, and Fourier representation farther downwind.

l. Chemical Transformation

First order transformations of sulfates and nitrates are used.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition is treated by the source depletion method.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.4 HGSYSTEM

(Dispersion Models for Ideal Gases and Hydrogen Fluoride)

Reference


Availability

The PC-DOS version of the HGSYSTEM software (HGSYSTEM: Version 3.0, Programs for modeling the dispersion of ideal gas and hydrogen fluoride releases, executable programs and source code can be installed from diskettes. These diskettes and all documentation are available as a package from API [(202) 682-8540] or from NTIS as PB 96-501960 (see section B.0).
Environmental Protection Agency

Technical Contacts

Abstract

HGSYSTEM is a PC-based software package consisting of mathematical models for estimating one or more consecutive phases between spillage and near-field and far-field dispersion of a pollutant. The pollutant can be either a two-phase, multi-compound mixture of non-reactive compounds or hydrogen fluoride (HF) with chemical reactions. The individual models are:

Database program:
DATAPROP Generates physical properties used in other HGSYSTEM models

Source term models:
SPILL Transient liquid release from a pressurized vessel
HFSPILL SPILL version specifically for HF
LPOOL Evaporating multi-compound liquid pool model

Near-field dispersion models:
AEROPLUME High-momentum jet dispersion model
HFPLUME AEROPLUME version specifically for HF
HEGABOX Dispersion of instantaneous heavy gas releases

Far-field dispersion models:
HEGADAS(S,T) Heavy gas dispersion (steady-state and transient version)
PGPLUME Passive Gaussian dispersion Utility programs:
HFFLASH Flashing of HF from pressurized vessel
POSTHS/POSTHT Post-processing of HEGADAS(S,T) results

OUTPUT Post-processor for concentration contours of airborne plumes
GET2COL Utility for data retrieval

The models assume flat, unobstructed terrain. HGSYSTEM can be used to model steady-state, finite-duration, instantaneous and time dependent releases, depending on the individual model used. The models can be run consecutively, with relevant data being passed on from one model to the next using link files. The models can be run in batch mode or using an iterative utility program.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

HGSYSTEM can be used as a refined model to estimate short-term ambient concentrations. For toxic chemical releases (non-reactive chemicals or hydrogen fluoride; 1-hour or less averaging times) the expected area of exposure to concentrations above specified threshold values can be determined. For flammable non-reactive gases it can be used to determine the area in which the cloud may ignite.

b. Input Requirements

HFSPILL input data: reservoir data (temperature, pressure, volume, HF mass, mass-fraction water), pipe-exit diameter and ambient pressure.
EVAP input data: spill rate, liquid properties, and evaporation rate (boiling pool) or ambient data (non-boiling pool).
HFPLUME and PLUME input data: reservoir characteristics, pollutant parameters, pipe/release data, ambient conditions, surface roughness and stability class.
HEGADAS input data: ambient conditions, pollutant parameters, pool data or data at transition point, surface roughness, stability class and averaging time.
PGPLUME input data: link data provided by HFPLUME and the averaging time.

c. Output

The HGSYSTEM models contain three post-processor programs which can be used to extract modeling results for graphical display by external software packages. GET2COL can be used to extract data from the model output files. HSPOST can be used to develop isopleths, extract any 2 parameters for plotting and correct for finite release duration. HTPOST can be used to produce time history plots.
HFSPILL output data: reservoir mass, spill rate, and other reservoir variables as a function of time. For HF liquid, HFSPILL generates link data to HFPLUME for the initial phase of choked liquid flow (flashing jet), and link data to EVAP for the subsequent phase of unchoked liquid flow (evaporating liquid pool).
EVAP output data: pool dimensions, pool evaporation rate, pool mass and other pool variables for steady state conditions or as a function of time. EVAP generates link data to the dispersion model HEGADAS (pool dimensions and pool evaporation rate).
HFPLUME and PLUME output data: plume variables (concentration, width, centroid height, temperature, velocity, etc.) as a function of downwind distance.
HEGADAS output data: concentration variables and temperature as a function of downwind distance and (for transient case) time.
PGPLUME output data: concentration as a function of downwind distance, cross-wind distance and height.

d. Type of Model

HGSYSTEM is made up of four types of dispersion models. HFPLUME and PLUME simulate the near-field dispersion and PGPLUME simulates the passive-gas dispersion downwind of a transition point.
HEGADAS simulates the ground-level heavy-gas dispersion.

e. Pollutant Types

HGSYSTEM may be used to model non-reactive chemicals or hydrogen fluoride.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

HGSYSTEM estimates the expected area of exposure to concentrations above user-specified threshold values. By imposing conservation of mass, momentum and energy the concentration, density, speed and temperature are evaluated as a function of downwind distance.

g. Plume Behavior

HFPLUME and PLUME: (1) are steady-state models assuming a top-hat profile with cross-section averaged plume variables; and (2) the momentum equation is taken into account for horizontal ambient shear, gravity, ground collision, gravity-slumping pressure forces and ground-surface drag.

HEGADAS: assumes the heavy cloud to move with the ambient wind speed, and adopts a power-law fit of the ambient wind speed for the velocity profile.

PGPLUME: simulates the passive-gas dispersion downwind of a transition point from HFPLUME or PLUME for steady-state and finite duration releases.

h. Horizontal Winds

A power law fit of the ambient wind speed is used.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Not treated.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

HFPLUME and PLUME: Plume dilution is caused by air entrainment resulting from high plume speeds, trailing vortices in wake of falling plume (before touchdown), ambient turbulence and density stratification. Plume dispersion is assumed to be steady and momentum-dominated, and effects of downwind diffusion and wind meander (averaging time) are not taken into account.

HEGADAS: This model adopts a concentration similarity profile expressed in terms of an unknown center-line ground-level concentration and unknown vertical/cross-wind dispersion parameters. These quantities are determined from a number of basic equations describing gas-mass conservation, air entrainment (empirical law describing vertical top-entrainment in terms of global Richardson number), cross-wind gravity spreading (initial gravity spreading followed by gravity-current collapse) and cross-wind diffusion (Briggs formula).

PGPLUME: This model assumes a Gaussian concentration profile in which the cross-wind and vertical dispersion coefficients are determined by empirical expressions. All unknown parameters in this profile are determined by imposing appropriate matching criteria at the transition point.

k. Vertical Dispersion

See description above.

l. Chemical Transformation

Not treated.

m. Physical Removal

Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies

PLUME has been validated against field data for releases of liquified propane, and wind tunnel data for buoyant and vertically-released dense plumes. HFPLUME and PLUME have been validated against field data for releases of HF (Goldfish experiments) and propane releases. In addition, the plume rise algorithms have been tested against Hoot, Meroney, and Peterka, Ooms and Petersen databases. HEGADAS has been validated against steady and transient releases of liquid propane and LNG over water (Maplin Sands field data), steady and finite-duration pressurized releases of HF (Goldfish experiments; linked with HFPLUME), instantaneous release of Freon (Thorney Island field data; linked with the box model HEGABOX) and wind tunnel data for steady, isothermal dispersion.

Validation studies are contained in the following references.


B.5 HOTMAC/RAFTAD

Reference


Environmental Protection Agency


Availability

For a cost to be negotiated with the model developer, a \( \frac{1}{4} \)-inch data cartridge or a 4mm DAT tape containing the HOTMAC/RAPTAD computer codes including pre- and post-processor and hard copies of user manuals (User’s Manual, Maintenance Manual, Operations Manual, Maintenance Interface Manual, Topo Manual, and 3-Dimensional Plume Manual) are available from YSA Corporation, Rt. 4 Box 81-A, Santa Fe, NM 87501; Phone: (505) 989-7351; Fax: (505) 989-7965; e-mail: ysa@RT66.com

Abstract

YSA Corporation offers a comprehensive modeling system for environmental studies. The system includes a mesoscale meteorological code, a transport and diffusion code, and extensive Graphical User Interfaces (GUIs). This system is unique because the diffusion code uses time dependent, three-dimensional winds and turbulence distributions that are forecasted by a mesoscale weather prediction model. Consequently, the predicted concentration distributions are more accurate than those predicted by traditional models when surface conditions are heterogeneous. In general, the modeled concentration distributions are not Gaussian because winds and turbulence distributions are forecasted by a mesoscale weather prediction model. Consequently, the predicted concentration distributions are more accurate than those predicted by traditional models when surface conditions are heterogeneous. In general, the modeled concentration distributions are not Gaussian because winds and turbulence distributions are forecasted by a mesoscale weather prediction model.

The meteorological data produced from HOTMAC are used as input to RAPTAD. RAPTAD can forecast concentration distributions for neutrally buoyant gas, buoyant gas, and denser-than-air gas. The models are significantly advanced in both their model physics and in their operational procedures. GUIs are provided to help the user prepare input files, run programs, and display the modeled results graphically in three dimensions.

a. Recommendation for Regulatory Use

There are no specific recommendations at the present time. The HOTMAC/RAPTAD modeling system may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Meteorological Data: The modeling system is significantly different from the majority of regulatory models in terms of how meteorological data are provided and used in concentration simulations. Regulatory models use the wind data which are obtained directly from measurements or analyzed by using a simple constraint such as a mass conservation equation. Thus, the accuracy of the computation will depend significantly on the quantity and quality of the wind data. This approach is acceptable as long as the study area is flat and the simulation period is short. As the regulations become more stringent and more realistic surface conditions are required, a significantly large volume of meteorological data is required which could become very expensive.

An alternative approach is to augment the measurements with predicted values from a mesoscale meteorological model. This is the approach we have taken here. This approach
has several advantages over the conventional method. First, concentration computations use the model forecast wind while the conventional method extrapolates the observed wind. Extrapolation of wind data over complex terrain and for an extended period of time quickly loses its accuracy. Secondly, the number of stations for upper air sounding is typically limited from none to at most a few stations in the study area. The corresponding number in a mesoscale model is the number of grid points in the horizontal plane which is typically 50 X 50. Consequently, concentration distributions using model forecasted winds would be much more accurate than those obtained by using winds which were extrapolated from the limited number of measurements.

HOTMAC requires meteorological data for initialization and to provide boundary conditions if the boundary conditions change significantly with time. The minimum amount of data required to run HOTMAC is wind and potential temperature profiles at a single station. HOTMAC forecasts wind and turbulence distributions in the boundary layer through a set of model equations for solar radiation, heat energy balance at the ground, conservation of momentum, conservation of internal energy, and conservation of mass.

Terrain Data: HOTMAC and RAPTAD use the digitized terrain data from the U.S. Geological Survey and the Defense Mapping Agency. Extraction of terrain data is greatly simplified by using YSA’s GUI software called Topo. The user specifies the latitudes and longitudes of the southwest and northeast corner points of the study area. Then, Topo extracts the digitized elevation data within the area specified and converts from the latitudes and longitudes to the UTM (Universal Transverse Mercator) coordinates for up to three nested grids.

Emission Data: Emission data requirements are emission rate, stack height, stack diameter, stack location, stack gas exit velocity, and stack buoyancy.

Receptor Data: Receptor data requirements are names, location coordinates, and desired averaging time for concentration estimates, which is variable from 5 to 15 minutes.

Output
HOTMAC outputs include hourly winds, temperatures, and turbulence variables at every grid point. Ancillary codes graphically display vertical profiles of wind, temperature, and turbulence variables at selected locations and wind vector distributions at specified heights above the ground. These codes also produce graphic files of wind direction projected on vertical cross sections.

RAPTAD outputs include hourly values of surface concentration, time variations of mean and standard deviation of concentrations at selected locations, and coordinates of puff center locations. Ancillary codes produce color contour plots of surface concentration, time variations of mean concentrations and ratios of standard deviation to mean value at selected locations, and concentration distributions in the vertical cross sections. The averaging time of concentration at a receptor location is variable from 5 to 15 minutes. Color contour plots of surface concentration can be animated on the monitor to review time variations of high concentration areas.

d. Type of Model
HOTMAC is a 3-dimensional Eulerian model for weather forecasting, and RAPTAD is a 3-dimensional Lagrangian random puff model for pollutant transport and diffusion.

e. Pollutant types
RAPTAD may be used to model any inert pollutants, including dense and buoyant gases.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship
Up to six point or area sources are specified and up to 50 sampling locations are selected. Source and receptor heights are specified by the user.

g. Plume Behavior
Neutrally buoyant plumes are transported by mean and turbulence winds that are modeled by HOTMAC. Non-neutrally buoyant plume equations are based on Van Dop (1992). In general, plumes are non-Gaussian.

h. Horizontal Winds
RAPTAD uses wind speed, wind direction, and turbulence on a gridded array that is supplied hourly by HOTMAC. Stability effect and mixed layer height are incorporated through the intensity of turbulence which is a function of stability. HOTMAC predicts turbulence intensity by solving a turbulence kinetic energy equation and a length scale equation. RAPTAD interpolates winds and turbulence at puff center locations every 10 seconds from the values on a gridded array. RAPTAD can also use the winds observed at towers and by rawinsondes.

i. Vertical Wind Speed
RAPTAD uses vertical winds on a gridded array that are supplied hourly by HOTMAC. HOTMAC computes vertical wind either by solving an equation of motion for the vertical wind or a mass conservation equation. RAPTAD interpolates vertical winds at puff center locations every 10 seconds from the values on a gridded array.
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j. Horizontal Dispersion

Horizontal dispersion is based on the standard deviations of horizontal winds that are computed by HOTMAC.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Vertical dispersion is based on the standard deviations of vertical wind that are computed by HOTMAC.

l. Chemical Transformation

HOTMAC can provide meteorological inputs to other models that handle chemical reactions, e.g., UAM.

m. Physical Removal

Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.6 LONGZ

Reference


Availability

The computer code is available on the Support Center for Regulatory Air Models Bulletin Board System and on diskette (as PB 86-501904) from the National Technical Information Service (see section B.6).

Abstract

LONGZ utilizes the steady-state univariate Gaussian plume formulation for both urban and rural areas in flat or complex terrain to calculate long-term (seasonal and/or annual) ground-level ambient air concentrations attributable to emissions from up to 14,000 arbitrarily placed sources (stacks, buildings and area sources). The output consists of the total concentration at each receptor due to emissions from each user-specified source or group of sources, including all sources. An option which considers losses due to deposition (see the description of SHORTZ) is deemed inappropriate by the authors for complex terrain, and is not discussed here.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

LONGZ can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. LONGZ must be executed in the equivalent mode.

LONGZ can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2 of appendix W, that LONGZ is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: for point, building or area sources, location, elevation, total emission rate (optionally classified by gravitational settling velocity) and decay coefficient; for stack sources, stack height, effluent temperature, effluent exit velocity, stack radius (inner), emission rate, and ground elevation (optional); for building sources, height, length and width, and orientation; for area sources, characteristic vertical dimension, and length, width and orientation.

Meteorological data requirements are: wind speed and measurement height, wind profile exponents, wind direction standard deviations (turbulent intensities), mixing height, air temperature, vertical potential temperature gradient.

Receptor data requirements are: coordinates, ground elevation.

c. Output

Printed output includes total concentration due to emissions from user-specified source groups, including the combined emissions from all sources (with optional allowance for depletion by deposition).

d. Type of Model

LONGZ is a climatological Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

LONGZ may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

LONGZ applies user specified locations for sources and receptors. Receptors are assumed to be at ground level.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume rise equations of Bjorklund and Bowers (1982) are used. Stack tip downwash (Bjorklund and Bowers, 1982) is included.

All plumes move horizontally and will fully intercept elevated terrain. Plumes above mixing height are ignored.
Perfect reflection at mixing height is assumed for plumes below the mixing height. Plume rise is limited when the mean wind at stack height approaches or exceeds stack exit velocity. Perfect reflection at ground is assumed for pollutants with no settling velocity. Zero reflection at ground is assumed for pollutants with finite settling velocity. Tilted plume is used for pollutants with settling velocity specified. Buoyancy-induced dispersion is treated (Briggs, 1972).

b. Horizontal Winds
Wind field is homogeneous and steady-state. Wind speed profile exponents are functions of both stability class and wind speed. Default values are specified in Bjorklund and Bowers (1982).

i. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion
Pollutants are initially uniformly distributed within each wind direction sector. A smoothing function is then used to remove discontinuities at sector boundaries.

k. Vertical Dispersion
Vertical dispersion is derived from input vertical turbulent intensities using adjustments to plume height and rate of plume growth with downwind distance specified in Bjorklund and Bowers (1982).

l. Chemical Transformation
Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Time constant is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal
Gravitational settling and dry deposition of particulates are treated.

n. Evaluation Studies

B.7 Maryland Power Plant Siting Program (PPSP) Model Reference


Availability
The model code and test data are available on diskette for a nominal cost to defray shipping and handling charges from: Mr. Roger Brower, Versar, Inc., 3200 Rumsey Road, Columbia, MD 21045; Phone: (410) 964–9299.

Abstract
PPSP is a Gaussian dispersion model applicable to tall stacks in either rural or urban areas, but in terrain that is essentially flat (on a scale large compared to the ground roughness elements). The PPSP model follows the same general formulation and computer coding as CRSTER, also a Gaussian model, but it differs in four major ways. The differences are in the scientific formulation of specific ingredients or “sub-models” to the Gaussian model, and are based on recent theoretical improvements as well as supporting experimental data. The differences are: (1) stability during daytime is based on convective scaling instead of the Turner criteria; (2) Briggs’ dispersion curves for elevated sources are used; (3) Briggs plume rise formulas for convective conditions are included; and (4) plume penetration of elevated stable layers is given by Briggs’ (1984) model.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
PPSP can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. PPSP must be executed in the equivalent mode.

PPSP can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2 of appendix W, that PPSP is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements
Source data requirements are: emission rate (monthly rates optional), physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, stack gas temperature. Meteorological data requirements are: hourly surface weather data from the EPA meteorological preprocessor program.
Preprocessor output includes hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is also required. Wind speed profile exponents (one for each stability class) are required if on-site data are input.

Receptor data requirements are: distance of each of the five receptor rings.

c. Output
Printed output includes:
Highest and second highest concentrations for the year at each receptor for averaging times of 1, 3, and 24-hours, plus a user-selected averaging time which may be 2, 4, 6, 8, or 12 hours;
Annual arithmetic average at each receptor; and
For each day, the highest 1-hour and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field.

d. Type of Model
PPSP is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types
PPSP may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship
Up to 19 point sources are treated. All point sources are assumed at the same location. Unique stack height and stack exit conditions are applied for each source. Receptor locations are restricted to 36 azimuths (every 10 degrees) and five user-specified radial distances.

g. Plume Behavior
Briggs (1975) final rise formulas for buoyant plumes are used. Momentum rise is not considered.

Transitional or distance-dependent plume rise is not modeled.

Penetration (complete, partial, or zero) of elevated inversions is treated with Briggs (1984) model; ground-level concentrations are dependent on degree of plume penetration.

h. Horizontal Winds
Wind speeds are corrected for release height based on power law variation, with different exponents for different stability classes and variable reference height (7 meters is default). Wind speed power law exponents are 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, and 0.30 for stability classes A through F, respectively.

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind assumed within each hour.

i. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion
Rural dispersion parameters are Briggs (Gifford, 1975), with stability class defined by $u/w^*$ during daytime, and by the method of Turner (1964) at night.

Urban dispersion is treated by changing all stable cases to stability class D. Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included (using $A/H^3$).

k. Vertical Dispersion
Rural dispersion parameters are Briggs (Gifford, 1975), with stability class defined by $u/w^*$ during daytime, and by the method of Turner (1964).

Urban dispersion is treated by changing all stable cases to stability class D. Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Pasquill, 1976) is included (using $A/H^3$).

l. Chemical Transformation
Not treated.

m. Physical Removal
Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.8 Mesoscale Puff Model (MESOPUFF II)

Reference


Availability
This model code is available on the Support Center for Regulatory Air Models Bulletin Board System and also on diskette (as PB 93-500267) from the National Technical Information Service (see section B.0).
MESOPUFF II is a short term, regional scale puff model designed to calculate concentrations of up to 5 pollutant species (SO₂, SO₄, NOₓ, HNO₃, NO₃). Transport, puff growth, chemical transformation, and wet and dry deposition are accounted for in the model.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendation at the present time. The model may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Required input data include four types: (1) input control parameters and selected technical options, (2) hourly surface meteorological data and twice daily upper air measurements, hourly precipitation data are optional, (3) surface land use classification information, (4) source and emissions data.

Data from up to 25 surface National Weather Service stations and up to 10 upper air stations may be considered. Spatially variable fields at hour intervals of winds, mixing height, stability class, and relevant turbulence parameters are derived by MESOPAC II, the meteorological preprocessor program described in the User Guide.

Source and emission data for up to 25 point sources and/or up to 5 area sources can be included. Required information are: location in grid coordinates, stack height, exit velocity and temperature, and emission rates for the pollutant to be modeled.

Receptor data requirements: up to a 40×40 grid may be used and non-gridded receptor locations may be considered.

c. Output

Line printer output includes: all input parameters, optionally selected arrays of ground-level concentrations of pollutant species at specified time intervals.

Line printer contour plots output from MESOFILE II post-processor program. Computer readable output of concentration array to disk/tape for each hour.

d. Type of Model

MESOPUFF II is a Gaussian puff superposition model.

e. Pollutant Types

Up to five pollutant species may be modeled simultaneously and include: SO₂, SO₄, NOₓ, HNO₃, NO₃.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Up to 25 point sources and/or up to 5 area sources are permitted.

Briggs (1975) plume rise equations are used, including plume penetration with buoyancy flux computed in the model.

Fumigation of puffs is considered and may produce immediate mixing or multiple reflection calculations at user option.

h. Horizontal Winds

Gridded wind fields are computed for 2 layers; boundary layer and above the mixed layer. Upper air rawinsonde data and hourly surface winds are used to obtain spatially variable u,v component fields at hourly intervals. The gridded fields are computed by interpolation between stations in the MESOPAC II preprocessor.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical winds are assumed to be zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Incremental puff growth is computed over discrete time steps with horizontal growth parameters determined from power law equations fit to sigma y curves of Turner out to 100km. At distances greater than 100km, puff growth is determined by the rate given by Heffter (1965).

Puff growth is a function of stability class and changes in stability are treated. Optionally, user input plume growth coefficients may be considered.

k. Vertical Dispersion

For puffs emitted at an effective stack height which is less than the mixing height, uniform mixing of the pollutant within the mixed layer is performed. For puffs centered above the mixing height, no effect at the ground occurs.

l. Chemical Transformation

Hourly chemical rate constants are computed from empirical expressions derived from photochemical model simulations.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition is treated with a resistance method.

Wet removal may be considered if hourly precipitation data are input.

n. Evaluation Studies

Results of tests for some model parameters are discussed in:
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B.9 Mesoscale Transport Diffusion and Deposition Model for Industrial Sources (MTDDIS)

Reference


Availability


Abstract

MTDDIS is a variable-trajectory Gaussian puff model applicable to long-range transport of point source emissions over level or rolling terrain. The model can be used to determine 3-hour maximum and 24-hour average concentrations of relatively nonreactive pollutants from up to 10 separate stacks.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

There is no specific recommendation at the present time. The MTDDIS Model may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas exit velocity, stack inside diameter, stack gas temperature, and location.

Meteorological data requirements are: hourly surface weather data, from up to 10 stations, including cloud ceiling, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, opaque cloud cover and precipitation. For long-range applications, user-analyzed daily mixing heights are recommended. If these are not available, the NWS daily mixing heights will be used by the program. For each model run, air trajectories are generated for a 48-hour period, and therefore, the afternoon mixing height of the day before and the mixing heights of the day after are also required by the model as input, in order to generate hourly mixing heights for the modeled period.

Receptor data requirements are: up to three user-specified rectangular grids.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

- Tabulations of hourly meteorological parameters include both input surface observations and calculated hourly stability classes and mixing heights for each station;
- Printed air trajectories for the two consecutive 24-hour periods for air parcels generated 4 hours apart starting at 0000 LST;
- 3-hour maximum and 24-hour average grid concentrations over user-specified rectangular grids are output for the second 24-hour period.

b. Type of Model

MTDDIS is a Gaussian puff model.

d. Pollutant Types

MTDDIS can be used to model primary pollutants. Dry deposition is treated. Exponential decay can account for some reactions.

e. Source-Receptor Relationship

MTDDIS treats up to 10 point sources. Up to three rectangular receptor grids may be specified by the user.

f. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1971, 1972) plume rise formulas are used.

If plume height exceeds mixing height, ground level concentration is assumed zero. Fumigation and downwash are not treated.

h. Horizontal Winds

Wind speeds and wind directions at each station are first corrected for release height. Speed conversions are based on power law variation and direction conversions are based on linear height dependence as recommended by Irwin (1979b).

Converted wind speeds and wind directions are then weighted according to the algorithms of Heffter (1980) to calculate the effective transport wind speed and direction.

i. Vertical Wind Field

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Transport-time-dependent dispersion coefficients from Heffter (1980) are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Transport-time-dependent dispersion coefficients from Heffter (1980) are used.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition is treated. User input deposition velocity is required.

Wet deposition is treated. User input hourly precipitation rate and precipitation layer depth or cloud ceiling height are required.
n. Evaluation Studies


B.10 Multi-Source (SCSTER) Model

Reference


Availability

The SCSTER model and user’s manual are available at no charge on a limited basis through Southern Company Services. The computer code may be provided on a diskette. Requests should be directed to: Mr. Stanley S. Vasa, Senior Environmental Specialist, Southern Company Services, P.O. Box 2625, Birmingham, AL 35202.

Abstract

SCSTER is a modified version of the EPA CRSTER model. The primary distinctions of SCSTER are its capability to consider multiple sources that are not necessarily collocated, its enhanced receptor specifications, its variable plume height terrain adjustment procedures and plume distortion from directional wind shear.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

SCSTER can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. SCSTER must be executed in the equivalent mode.

SCSTER can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2 of appendix W, that SCSTER is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: emission rate, stack gas exit velocity, stack gas temperature, stack exit diameter, physical stack height, elevation of stack base, and coordinates of stack location. The variable emission data can be monthly or annual average.

Meteorological data requirements are: hourly surface weather data from the EPA meteorological preprocessor program. Preprocessor output includes hourly stability class wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and mixing height. Actual anemometer height (a single value) is optional. Wind speed profile exponents (one for each stability class) are optional.

Receptor data requirements are: cartesian coordinates and elevations of individual receptors; distances of receptor rings, with elevation of each receptor; receptor grid networks, with elevation of each receptor. Any combination of the three receptor input types may be used to consider up to 600 receptor locations.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

- Highest and second highest concentrations for the year at each receptor for averaging times of 1-, 3-, and 24-hours, a user-selected averaging time which may be 2–12 hours, and a 50 high table for 1-, 3-, and 24-hours;
- Annual arithmetic average at each receptor; and the highest 1-hour and 24-hour concentrations over the receptor field for each day considered.

Optional tables of source contributions of individual point sources at up to 20 receptor locations for each averaging period;

Optional magnetic tape output in either binary or fixed block format includes:

- All 1-hour concentrations.

Optional card/disk output includes for each receptor:

- Receptor coordinates; receptor elevation; highest and second-highest, 1-, 3- and 24-hour concentrations; and annual average concentration.

d. Type of Model

SCSTER is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

SCSTER may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

SCSTER can handle up to 60 separate stacks at varying locations and up to 600 receptors, including up to 15 receptor rings.

User input topographic elevation for each receptor is used.

g. Plume Behavior


Transitional plume rise is optional.

SCSTER contains options to incorporate wind directional shear with a plume distortion method described in appendix A of the User’s Guide.

SCSTER provides four terrain adjustments including the CRSTER full terrain height adjustment and a user-input, stability-dependent plume path coefficient adjustment for receptors above stack height.
h. Horizontal Winds

Wind speeds are corrected for release height based on power law exponents from DeMarrais (1959), different exponents for different stability classes; default reference height of 7m. Default exponents are 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, and 0.30 for stability classes A through F, respectively.

Steady-state wind is assumed within a given hour.

Optional consideration of plume distortion due to user-input, stability-dependent wind-direction shear gradients.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used.

Six stability classes are used.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used.

Six stability classes are used.

An optional test for plume height above mixing height before terrain adjustment is included.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal

Physical removal is treated using exponential decay. Half-life is input by the user.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.11 PANACHE
Reference


Availability

For a cost to be negotiated with the model developer, the computer code is available from: Transoft US, Inc., 818 Reedy Creek Road, Cary, NC 27513-3307; Phone: (919) 360-7500; Fax: (919) 360-7592.
Meteorological data requirements:
Hourly stability class, wind direction, wind speed, temperature, cloud cover, humidity, and mixing height data with lapse rate below and above it.

Primary meteorological variables available from the National Weather Service can be processed using PCRAMMET (see section 9.3.3.2 of appendix W) to an input file.

Data required at the domain boundary:
Wind profile (uniform, log or power law), depending on the terrain conditions (e.g., residential area, forest, sea, etc.).

Chemical source data requirements:
A database of selected species with specific heats and molecular weights can be extended by the user. For heavy gases the database includes a compressibility coefficients table.

Solar reflection:
For natural convection simulation with low wind on a sunny day, approximate values of temperature for fields, forests, water bodies, shadows and their variations with the time of the day are determined automatically.

c. Output

Printed output option: pollutant concentration at receptor points, and listing of input data (terrain, chemical, weather, and source data) with turbulence and precision control data.

Graphical output includes: In 3-dimensional perspective or in any crosswind, downwind or horizontal plane: wind velocity, pollutant concentration, 3-dimensional isosurface. The profile of concentration can be obtained along any line on the terrain. The concentration contours can be either instantaneous or time integrated for the emission from a source or a source combination. A special utility is included to help prepare a report or a video animation. The user can select images, put in annotations, or do animation.

d. Type of Model

The model uses an Eulerian (and Lagrangian for particulate matter) 3-dimensional finite volume model solving full Navier-Stokes equations. The numerical diffusion is low with appropriate turbulence models for building wakes. A second order resolution may be sought to limit the diffusion. Gaussian and puff modes are available. The numerical scheme is self-adaptive for the following situations:
- A curvilinear mesh or a chopped Cartesian mesh is generated automatically or manually;
- Thermal and gravity effects are simulated by full gravity (heavy gases), no gravity (well mixed light gases at ambient temperature), and Boussinesq approximation methods;
- K-diff, K-e or a boundary layer turbulence models are used for turbulence calculations. The flow behind obstacles such as buildings, is calculated by using a modified K-e.
- For heavy gases, a 3-dimensional heat conduction from the ground and a stratification model for heat exchange from the atmosphere are used (with anisotropic turbulence).
- If local wind data are available, an initial wind field with terrain effects can be computed using a Lagrangian multiplier, which substantially reduces computation time.

e. Pollutant Types

- Scavenging, Acid Rain: A module for water droplets traveling through a plume considers the absorption and desorption effects of the pollutants by the droplet. Evaporation and chemical reactions with gases are also taken into account.
- Visibility: Predicts plume visibility and surface deposition of aerosol.
- Particulate matter: Calculates settling and dry deposition of particles based on a Probability Density Function (PDF) of their diameters. The exchange of mass, momentum and heat between particles and gas is treated with implicit coupling procedures.
- Ozone formation and dispersion: The photochemical model computes ozone formation and dispersion at street level in the presence of sunlight.
- Roadway Pollutants: Accounts for heat and turbulence due to vehicular movement. Emissions are based on traffic volume and emission factors.
- Odor Dispersion: Identifies odor sources for waste water plants.
- Radon Dispersion: Simulates natural radon accumulation in valleys and mine environments.

PANACHE may also be used in emergency planning and management for episodic emissions, and fire and soot spread in forested and urban areas or from combustible pools.

f. Source-Receptor Relationship

Simultaneous use of multiple kinds of sources at user defined locations. Any number of user defined receptors can identify pollutants from each source individually.

g. Plume Behavior

The options influencing the behavior are full gravity, Boussinesq approximation or no gravity.
Environmental Protection Agency


h. Horizontal Winds

Horizontal wind speed approximations are made only at the boundaries based on National Weather Service data. Inside the domain of interest, full Navier-Stokes resolution with natural viscosity is used for 3-dimensional terrain and temperature dependent wind field calculation.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed approximations are made only at the boundaries based on National Weather Service data. The domain of interest is treated as for horizontal winds.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Diffusion is calculated using appropriate turbulence models. A 2nd order solution for shearing flow can be sought when the number of meshes is limited between obstacles.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Dispersion by full gravity unless Boussinesq approximation or no gravity requested. Vertical dispersion is treated as above for horizontal dispersion.

l. Chemical Transformation

PANCHEM, an atmospheric chemistry module for chemical reactions, is available. Photochemical reactions are used for tropospheric ozone calculations.

m. Physical Removal

Physical removal is treated using dry deposition coefficients

n. Evaluation Studies


B.12 Plume Visibility Model (PLUVUE II)

Reference


Availability

This model code is available on the Support Center for Regulatory Air Models Bulletin Board System and also on diskette (as PB 90-500778) from the National Technical Information Service (see section B.0).

Abstract

The Plume Visibility Model (PLUVUE II) is used for estimating visual range reduction and atmospheric discoloration caused by plumes consisting of primary particles, nitrogen oxides and sulfur oxides emitted from a single emission source. PLUVUE II uses Gaussian formulations to predict transport and dispersion. The model includes chemical reactions, optical effects and surface deposition. Four types of optics calculations are made: horizontal and non-horizontal views through the plume with a sky viewing background; horizontal views through the plume with white, gray and black viewing backgrounds; and horizontal views along the axis of the plume with a sky viewing background.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

The Plume Visibility Model (PLUVUE II) may be used on a case-by-case basis as a third level screening model. When applying PLUVUE II, the following precautions should be taken:

1. Treat the optical effects of NO\textsubscript{2} and particles separately as well as together to avoid cancellation of NO\textsubscript{2} absorption with particle scattering.

2. Examine the visual impact of the plume in 0.1 (or 0), 0.5, and 1.0 times the expected level of particulate matter in the background air.

3. Examine the visual impact of the plume over the full range of observer-plume sun angles.
4. The user should consult the appropriate Federal Land Manager when using PLUVUE II to assess visibility impacts in a Class I area.

b. Input Requirements

Source data requirements are: location and elevation; emission rates of SO\(_2\), NO\(_x\), and particulates; flue gas flow rate, exit velocity, and exit temperature; flue gas oxygen content; properties (including density, mass median and standard geometric deviation of radius) of the emitted aerosols in the accumulation (0.1–1.0 µm) and coarse (1.0–10.0 µm) size modes; and deposition velocities for SO\(_2\), NO\(_x\), coarse mode aerosol, and accumulations mode aerosol.

Meteorological data requirements are: stability class, wind direction (for an observer-based run), wind speed, lapse rate, air temperature, relative humidity, and mixing height.

Other data requirements are: ambient background concentrations of NO\(_x\), NO\(_2\), O\(_3\), and SO\(_2\), and background visual range of sulfate and nitrate concentrations.

Receptor (observer) data requirements are: location, terrain elevation at points along plume trajectory, white, gray, and black viewing backgrounds, the distance from the observer to the terrain observed behind the plume.

c. Output

Printed output includes plume concentrations and visual effects at specified downwind distances for calculated or specified lines of sight.

d. Type of Model

PLUVUE II is a Gaussian plume model. Visibility impairment is quantified once the spectral light intensity has been calculated for the specific lines of sight. Visibility impairment includes visual range reduction, plume contrast, relative coloration of a plume to its viewing background, and plume perceptibility due to its contrast color with respect to a viewing background.

e. Pollutant Types

PLUVUE II treats NO, NO\(_2\), SO\(_2\), H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), HNO\(_3\), O\(_3\), primary and secondary particles to calculate effects on visibility.

f. Source Receptor Relationship

For performing the optics calculations at selected points along the plume trajectory, PLUVUE II has two modes: plume based and observer based calculations. The major difference is the orientation of the viewer to the source and the plume.
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g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1969, 1971, 1972) final plume rise equations are used.

h. Horizontal Winds

User-specified wind speed (and direction for an observer-based run) are assumed constant for the calculation.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for each downwind distance. Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used, with no adjustment for surface roughness. Six stability classes are used.

l. Chemical Transformation

The chemistry of NO, NO\(_2\), O\(_3\), OH, O(1D), SO\(_2\), HNO\(_3\), and H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) is treated by means of nine reactions. Steady state approximations are used for radicals and for the NO/NO\(_2\)/O\(_3\) reactions.

m. Physical Removal

Dry deposition of gaseous and particulate pollutants is treated using deposition velocities.

n. Evaluation Studies
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B.13 Point, Area, Line Source Algorithm (PAL-DS)

Reference


Availability

The computer code is available on diskette (as PB 90-500080) from the National Technical Information Service (see section B.6).

Abstract

PAL-DS is an acronym for this point, area, and line source algorithm and is a method of estimating short-term dispersion using Gaussian-plume steady-state assumptions. The algorithm can be used for estimating concentrations of non-reactive pollutants at 99 receptors for averaging times of 1 to 24 hours, and for a limited number of point, area, and line sources (99 of each type). This algorithm is not intended for application to entire urban areas, but is intended, rather, to assess the impact on air quality, on scales of tens to hundreds of meters, of portions of urban areas such as shopping centers, large parking areas, and airports. Level terrain is assumed. The Gaussian point source equation estimates concentrations from point sources after determining the effective height of emission and the upwind and crosswind distance of the source from the receptor. Numerical integration of the Gaussian point source equation is used to determine concentrations from the four types of line sources. Subroutines are included that estimate concentrations for multiple lane line and curved path sources, special line sources (line sources with endpoints at different heights above ground), and special curved path sources. Integration over the area source, which includes edge effects from the source region, is done by considering finite line sources perpendicular to the wind at intervals upwind from the receptor. The crosswind integration is done analytically; integration upwind is done numerically by successive approximations.

The PAL-DS model utilizes Gaussian plume-type diffusion-deposition algorithms based on analytical solutions of a gradient-transfer model. The PAL-DS model can treat deposition of both gaseous and suspended particulate pollutants in the plume since gravitational settling and dry deposition of the particles are explicitly accounted for. The analytical diffusion-deposition expressions listed in this report in the limit when pollutant settling and deposition velocities are zero, they reduce to the usual Gaussian plume diffusion algorithms in the PAL model.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use

PAL-DS can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. PAL-DS must be executed in the equivalent mode.

PAL-DS can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using the criteria in section 3.2, that PAL-DS is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements

Source data: point-sources—emission rate, physical stack height, stack gas temperature, stack gas velocity, stack diameter, stack gas volume flow, coordinates of stack, initial $\sigma_y$ and $\sigma_z$; area sources—source strength, size of area source, coordinates of S.W. corner, and height of area source; and line sources—source strength, number of lanes, height of source, coordinates of end points, initial $\sigma_y$ and $\sigma_z$, width of line source, and width of median. Diurnal variations in emissions are permitted. When applicable, the settling velocity and deposition velocity are also permitted.

Meteorological data: wind profile exponents, anemometer height, wind direction and speed, stability class, mixing height, air temperature, and hourly variations in emission rate.

Receptor data: receptor coordinates.

c. Output

Printed output includes:

Hourly concentration and deposition flux for each source type at each receptor; and

Average concentration for up to 24 hours for each source type at each receptor.

d. Type of Model

PAL-DS is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types

PAL-DS may be used to model non-reactive pollutants.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

Up to 99 sources of each of 6 source types: point, area, and 4 types of line sources.

Source and receptor coordinates are uniquely defined.

Unique stack height for each source.
Coordinates of receptor locations are user defined.

g. Plume Behavior
Briggs final plume rise equations are used. Fumigation and downwash are not treated. If plume height exceeds mixing height, concentrations are assumed equal to zero. Surface concentrations are set to zero when the plume centerline exceeds mixing height.

h. Horizontal Winds
User-supplied hourly wind data are used. Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed within each hour. Wind is assumed to increase with height.

i. Vertical Wind Speeds
Assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion
Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used with no adjustments made for surface roughness. Six stability classes are used. Dispersion coefficients (Pasquill-Gifford) are assumed based on a 3cm roughness height.

k. Vertical Dispersion
Six stability classes are used. Rural dispersion coefficients from Turner (1969) are used; no further adjustments are made for variation in surface roughness, transport or averaging time. Multiple reflection is handled by summation of series until the vertical standard deviation equals 1.6 times mixing height. Uniform vertical mixing is assumed thereafter.

l. Chemical Transformation
Not treated.

m. Physical Removal
PAL–DS can treat deposition of both gaseous and suspended particulates in the plume since gravitational settling and dry deposition of the particles are explicitly accounted for.

n. Evaluation Studies
None Cited.

B.14 Reactive Plume Model (RPM–IV)

Reference

Availability
The above report and model computer code are available on the Support Center for Regulatory Air Models Bulletin Board System. The model code is also available on diskette (as PB 96–502386) from the National Technical Information Service (see section B.0).

Abstract

The Reactive Plume Model, RPM–IV, is a computerized model used for estimating short-term concentrations of primary and secondary reactive pollutants resulting from single or, in some special cases, multiple sources if they are aligned with the mean wind direction. The model is capable of simulating the complex interaction of plume dispersion and non-linear photochemistry. If Carbon Mechanism IV (CBM–IV) is used, emissions must be disaggregated into carbon bond classes prior to model application. The model can be run on a mainframe computer, workstation, or IBM-compatible PC with at least 2 megabytes of memory. A major feature of RPM–IV is its ability to interface with input and output files from EPA’s Regional Oxidant Model (ROM) and Urban Airshed Model (UAM) to provide an internally consistent set of modeled ambient concentrations for various pollutant species.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
There is no specific recommendation at the present time. RPM–IV may be used on a case-by-case basis.

b. Input Requirements
Source data requirements are: emission rates, name, and molecular weight of each species of pollutant emitted; ambient pressure, ambient temperature, stack height, stack diameter, stack exit velocity, stack gas temperature, and location. Meteorological data requirements are: wind speeds, plume widths or stability classes, photolytic rate constants, and plume depths or stability classes. Receptor data requirements are: downwind distances or travel times at which calculations are to be made. Initial concentration of all species is required, and the specification of downwind ambient concentrations to be entrained by the plume is optional.

c. Output
Short-term concentrations of primary and secondary pollutants at either user specified time increments, or user specified downwind distances.

d. Type of Model
Reactive Gaussian plume model.
e. Pollutant Types

Currently, using the Carbon Bond Mechanism (CBM-IV), 34 species are simulated (82 reactions), including NO, NO\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{3}, SO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{4}, five categories of reactive hydrocarbons, secondary nitrogen compounds, organic aerosols, and radical species.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

Single point source.
Single area or volume source.
Multiple sources can be simulated if they are lined up along the wind trajectory.
Predicted concentrations are obtained at a user specified time increment, or at user specified downwind distances.

g. Plume Behavior

Briggs (1971) plume rise equations are used.

h. Horizontal Winds

User specifies wind speeds as a function of time.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Not treated.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

User specified plume widths, or user may specify stability and widths will be computed using Turner (1969).

k. Vertical Dispersion

User specified plume depths, or user may specify stability in which case depths will be calculated using Turner (1969). Note that vertical uniformity in plume concentration is assumed.

l. Chemical Transformation

RPM-IV has the flexibility of using any user input chemical kinetic mechanism. Currently it is running the chemistry of the Carbon Bond Mechanism, CBM-IV (Gery et al., 1989). The CBM-IV mechanism, as incorporated in RPM-IV, utilizes an updated simulation of PAN chemistry that includes a peroxy-peroxy radical termination reaction, significant when the atmosphere is NO\textsubscript{X}-limited (Gery et al., 1989). As stated above, the current CBM-IV mechanism accommodates 34 species and 82 reactions focusing primarily on hydrocarbon/nitrogen oxides and ozone photochemistry.

m. Physical Removal

Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies

e. Pollutant Types
SDM may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships
SDM applies user-specified locations of stationary point sources and receptors. User input stack height, shoreline orientation and source characteristics for each source. No topographic elevation is input; flat terrain is assumed.

g. Plume Behavior
SDM uses Briggs (1975) plume rise for final rise. SDM does not treat stack tip or building downwash.

h. Horizontal Winds
Constant, uniform (steady-state) wind is assumed for an hour. Straight line plume transport is assumed to all downwind distances. Separate wind speed profile exponents (EPA, 1980) for both rural and urban cases are assumed.

i. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion
For the fumigation algorithm coefficients based on Misra (1980) and Misra and McMillan (1980) are used for plume transport in stable air above TIBL and based on Lamb (1978) for transport in the unstable air below the TIBL. An effective horizontal dispersion coefficient based on Misra and Onlock (1982) is used. For nonfumigation periods, algorithms contained in the MPTER model are used (see appendix A).

k. Vertical Dispersion
For the fumigation algorithm, coefficients based on Misra (1980) and Misra and McMillan (1980) are used.

l. Chemical Transformation
Chemical transformation is not included in the fumigation algorithm.

m. Physical Removal
Physical removal is not explicitly treated.

n. Evaluation Studies
Environmental Protection Agency

intensities), mixing height, air temperature, and vertical potential temperature gradient. Receptor data requirements are: coordinates, ground elevation.

c. Output
Printed output includes total concentration due to emissions from user-specified source groups, including the combined emissions from all sources (with optional allowance for depletion by deposition).

d. Type of Model
SHORTZ is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types
SHORTZ may be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition of particulates are treated.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships
User specified locations for sources and receptors are used. Receptors are assumed to be at ground level.

g. Plume Behavior
Plume rise equations of Bjorklund and Bowers (1982) are included.

All plumes move horizontally and will fully intercept elevated terrain.

Plumes above mixing height are ignored. Perfect reflection at mixing height is assumed for plumes below the mixing height.

Plume rise is limited when the mean wind at stack height approaches or exceeds stack exit velocity.

Perfect reflection at ground is assumed for pollutants with no settling velocity.

Zero reflection at ground is assumed for pollutants with finite settling velocity.

Tilted plume is used for pollutants with settling velocity specified. Buoyancy-induced dispersion (Briggs, 1972) is included.

h. Horizontal Winds
Winds are assumed homogeneous and steady-state.

Wind speed profile exponents are functions of both stability class and wind speed. Default values are specified in Bjorklund and Bowers (1982).

i. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical winds are assumed equal to zero.

j. Horizontal Dispersion
Horizontal plume size is derived from input lateral turbulent intensities using adjustments to plume height, and rate of plume growth with downwind distance specified in Bjorklund and Bowers (1982).

k. Vertical Dispersion
Vertical plume size is derived from input vertical turbulent intensities using adjustments to plume height and rate of plume growth with downwind distance specified in Bjorklund and Bowers (1982).

l. Chemical Transformation
Chemical transformations are treated using exponential decay. Time constant is input by the user.

m. Physical Removal
Settling and deposition of particulates are treated.

n. Evaluation Studies


B.17 Simple Line-Source Model

Reference

Availability
Copies of the above reference are available without charge from: Dr. D.P. Chock, Ford Research Laboratory, P.O. Box 2053; MD–3083, Dearborn, MI 48121–2053. The short model algorithm is contained in the User’s Guide.

Abstract
The Simple Line-Source Model is a simple steady-state Gaussian plume model which can be used to determine hourly (or half-hourly) averages of exhaust concentrations within 100m from a roadway on a relatively flat terrain. The model allows for plume rise due to the heated exhaust, which can be important when the crossroad wind is very low. The model also utilizes a new set of vertical dispersion parameters which reflects the influence of traffic-induced turbulence.

a. Recommendations for Regulatory Use
The Simple Line-Source Model can be used if it can be demonstrated to estimate concentrations equivalent to those provided by the preferred model for a given application. The model must be executed in the equivalent mode.
The Simple Line-Source Model can be used on a case-by-case basis in lieu of a preferred model if it can be demonstrated, using criteria in section 3.2, that it is more appropriate for the specific application. In this case the model options/modes which are most appropriate for the application should be used.

b. Input Requirements
Source data requirements are: emission rate per unit length per lane, the number of lanes on each road, distances from lane centers to the receptor, source and receptor heights.
Meteorological data requirements are: buoyancy flux, ambient stability condition, ambient wind and its direction relative to the road.
Receptor data requirements are: distance and height above ground.

c. Output
Printed output includes hourly or (half-hourly) concentrations at the receptor due to exhaust emission from a road (or a system of roads by summing the results from repeated model applications).

d. Type of Model
The Simple Line-Source Model is a Gaussian plume model.

e. Pollutant Types
The Simple Line-Source Model can be used to model primary pollutants. Settling and deposition are not treated.
f. Source-Receptor Relationship
The Simple Line-Source Model treats arbitrary location of line sources and receptors.

g. Plume Behavior
Plume-rise formula adequate for a heated line source is used.

h. Horizontal Winds
The Simple Line-Source Model uses user-supplied hourly (or half-hourly) ambient wind speed and direction. The wind measurements are from a height of 5 to 10m.

i. Vertical Wind Speed
Vertical wind speed is assumed equal to zero.

j. Dispersion Parameters
Horizontal dispersion parameter is not used.

k. Vertical Dispersion
A vertical dispersion parameter is used which is a function of stability and wind-road angle. Three stability classes are used: unstable, neutral and stable. The parameters take into account the effect of traffic-generated turbulence (Chock, 1980).

l. Chemical Transformation
Not treated.
m. Physical Removal
Not treated.

n. Evaluation Studies

B.18 SLAB

Reference:

Availability
The computer code can be obtained from: Energy Science and Technology Center, P.O. Box 1020, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, Phone (615) 576–2906.
The User’s Manual (as DE 91–008443) can be obtained from the National Technical Information Service. The computer code is also available on the Support Center for Regulatory Air Models Bulletin Board System (Public Upload/Download Area; see section B.0.)

Abstract
The SLAB model is a computer model, PC-based, that simulates the atmospheric dispersion of denser-than-air releases. The types of releases treated by the model include a ground-level evaporating pool, an elevated horizontal jet, a stack or elevated vertical jet and an instantaneous volume source. All sources except the evaporating pool may be characterized as aerosols. Only one type of release can be processed in any individual simulation. Also, the model simulates only one set of meteorological conditions; therefore direct application of the model over time periods longer than one or two hours is not recommended.

a. Recommendations for use
The SLAB model should be used as a refined model to estimate spatial and temporal distribution of short-term ambient concentration (e.g., 1-hour or less averaging times) and the expected area of exposure to
concentrations above specified threshold values for toxic chemical releases where the release is suspected to be denser than the ambient air.

b. Input Requirements

The SLAB model is executed in the batch mode. Data are input directly from an external input file. There are 29 input parameters required to run each simulation. These parameters are divided into 5 categories by the user’s guide: source type, source properties, spill properties, field properties, and meteorological parameters. The model is not designed to accept real-time meteorological data or convert units of input values. Chemical property data are not available within the model and must be input by the user. Some chemical and physical property data are available in the user’s guide.

Source type is chosen as one of the following: evaporating pool release, horizontal jet release, vertical jet or stack release, or instantaneous or short duration evaporating pool release.

Source property data requirements are physical and chemical properties (molecular weight, vapor heat capacity at constant pressure; boiling point; latent heat of vaporization; liquid heat capacity; liquid density; saturation pressure constants), and initial liquid mass fraction in the release.

Spill properties include: source temperature, emission rate, source dimensions, instantaneous source mass, release duration, and elevation above ground level.

Required field properties are: desired concentration averaging time, maximum downwind distance (to stop the calculation), and four separate heights at which the concentration calculations are to be made.

Meteorological parameter requirements are: ambient measurement height, ambient wind speed at designated ambient measurement height, ambient temperature, surface roughness, relative humidity, atmospheric stability class, and inverse Monin-Obukhov length (optional, only used as an input parameter when stability class is unknown).

c. Output

No graphical output is generated by the current version of this program. The output print file is automatically saved and must be sent to the appropriate printer by the user after program execution. Printed output includes in tabular form:

Listing of model input data;
Instantaneous spatially-averaged cloud parameters—time, downwind distance, magnitude of peak concentration, cloud dimensions (including length for puff-type simulations), volume (or mole) and mass fractions, downwind velocity, vapor mass fraction, density, temperature, cloud velocity, vapor fraction, water content, gravity flow velocities, and entrainment velocities;

Time-averaged cloud parameters—parameters which may be used externally to calculate time-averaged concentrations at any location within the simulation domain (tabulated as functions of downwind distance);

Time-averaged concentration values at plume centerline and at five off-centerline distances (off-centerline distances are multiples of the effective cloud half-width, which varies as a function of downwind distance) at four user-specified heights and at the height of the plume centerline.

d. Type of Model

As described by Ermak (1989), transport and dispersion are calculated by solving the conservation equations for mass, species, energy, and momentum, with the cloud being modeled as either a steady-state plume, a transient puff, or a combination of both, depending on the duration of the release. In the steady-state plume mode, the crosswind-averaged conservation equations are solved and all variables depend only on the downwind distance. In the transient puff mode, the volume-averaged conservation equations are solved, and all variables depend only on the downwind travel time of the puff center of mass. Time is related to downwind distance by the height-averaged ambient wind speed. The basic conservation equations are solved via a numerical integration scheme in space and time.

e. Pollutant Types

Pollutants are assumed to be non-reactive and non-depositing dense gases or liquid-vapor mixtures (aerosols). Surface heat transfer and water vapor flux are also included in the model.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

Only one source can be modeled at a time. There is no limitation to the number of receptors; the downwind receptor distances are internally-calculated by the model. The SLAB calculation is carried out up to the user-specified maximum downwind distance.

The model contains submodels for the source characterization of evaporating pools, elevated vertical or horizontal jets, and instantaneous volume sources.

g. Plume Behavior

Plume trajectory and dispersion is based on crosswind-averaged mass, species, energy, and momentum balance equations. Surrounding terrain is assumed to be flat and of uniform surface roughness. No obstacle or building effects are taken into account.
h. Horizontal Winds

A power law approximation of the logarithmic velocity profile which accounts for stability and surface roughness is used.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Not treated.

j. Vertical Dispersion

The crosswind dispersion parameters are calculated from formulas reported by Morgan et al. (1983), which are based on experimental data from several sources. The formulas account for entrainment due to atmospheric turbulence, surface friction, thermal convection due to ground heating, differential motion between the air and the cloud, and damping due to stable density stratification within the cloud.

k. Horizontal Dispersion

The horizontal dispersion parameters are calculated from formulas similar to those described for vertical dispersion, also from the work of Morgan et al. (1983).

l. Chemical Transformation

The thermodynamics of the mixing of the dense gas or aerosol with ambient air (including water vapor) are treated. The relationship between the vapor and liquid fractions within the cloud is treated using the local thermodynamic equilibrium approximation. Reactions of released chemicals with water or ambient air are not treated.

m. Physical Removal

Not treated.
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c. Output

Output from WYNDvalley includes gridded contour maps of the highest pollutant concentrations at each time step and the highest and second-highest 24-hour average concentrations. Output also includes the deposition patterns for wet, dry, and total fluxes of the pollutants to the surface, integrated over the simulation period. A running "movie" of the concentration patterns is displayed on the screen (with optional printout) as they evolve during the simulation. Output files include tables of daily-averaged pollutant concentrations at every modeled grid cell, and of hourly concentrations at up to eight specified receptors. Statistical analyses are performed on the hourly and daily data to estimate the probabilities that specified levels will be exceeded more than once during an arbitrary number of days with similar weather.

d. Type of Model

WYNDvalley is a three dimensional Eulerian grid model.

e. Pollutant Types

WYNDvalley may be used to model any inert pollutant.

f. Source-Receptor Relationships

Source and receptors may be located anywhere within the user-defined modeling domain. All point and area sources, or portions of an area source, within a given grid cell are summed to define a representative emission rate for that cell. Concentrations are calculated for each and every grid cell in the modeling domain. Up to eight grid cells may be selected as receptors, for which time histories of concentration and deposition fluxes are determined, and probabilities of exceedance are calculated.

g. Plume Behavior

Emissions for buoyant point sources are placed by the user in a grid cell which best reflects the expected effective plume height during stagnation conditions. Five vertical layers are available to the user.

h. Horizontal Winds

During each time step in the model, the winds are assumed to be uniform throughout the modeling domain. Numerical diffusion is minimized in the advection algorithm. To account for terrain effects on winds and dispersion, an ad hoc algorithm is employed in the model to distribute concentrations near boundaries.

i. Vertical Wind Speed

Winds are assumed to be constant with height.

j. Horizontal Dispersion

Horizontal eddy diffusion coefficients may be entered explicitly by the user at every time step. Alternatively, a default algorithm may be invoked to estimate these coefficients from the wind velocities and their variances.

k. Vertical Dispersion

Vertical eddy diffusion coefficients and a top-of-model boundary condition may be entered explicitly by the user at every time step. Alternatively, a default algorithm may be invoked to estimate these coefficients from the horizontal wind velocities and their variances, and from an empirical time-of-day correction derived from temperature gradient measurements and Monin-Obukhov similarities.

l. Chemical Transformation

Chemical transformation is not explicitly treated by WYNDvalley.

m. Physical Removal

WYNDvalley optionally simulates both wet and dry deposition. Dry deposition is proportional to concentration in the lowest layer, while wet deposition is proportional to rain rate and concentration in each layer. Appropriate coefficients (deposition velocities and washout ratios) are input by the user.

n. Evaluation Studies
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APPENDIX C TO APPENDIX W OF PART 51—EXAMPLE AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

C.0 Introduction

This checklist recommends a standardized set of data and a standard basic level of analysis needed for PSD applications and SIP revisions. The checklist implies a level of detail required to assess both PSD increments and the NAAQS. Individual cases may require more or less information and the Regional Meteorologist should be consulted at an early stage in the development of a data base for a modeling analysis.

At pre-application meetings between source owner and reviewing authority, this checklist should prove useful in developing a consensus on the data base, modeling techniques and overall technical approach prior to the actual analyses. Such agreement will help avoid misunderstandings concerning the final results and may reduce the later need for additional analyses.

EXAMPLE AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

1. Source location map(s) showing location with respect to:
   • Urban areas²
   • PSD Class I areas
   • Nonattainment areas²
   • Topographic features (terrain, lakes, river valleys, etc.)²
   • Other major existing sources²
   • Other major sources subject to PSD requirements
   • NWS meteorological observations (surface and upper air)
   • On-site/local meteorological observations (surface and upper air)
   • State/local on-site air quality monitoring locations²
   • Plant layout on a topographic map covering a 1km radius of the source with information sufficient to determine GEP stack heights

2. Information on urban/rural characteristics:
   • Land use within 3km of source classified according to Auer (1978): Correlation of land use and cover with meteorological anomalies, Journal of Applied Meteorology, 17: 636-649.
   • Population
   → total

³The “Screening Procedures for Estimating the Air Quality Impact of Stationary Sources, Revised”, October 1992 (EPA-450/R-92-019), should be used as a screening tool to determine whether modeling analyses are required. Screening procedures should be refined by the user to be site/problem specific.

²Within 50km or distance to which source has a significant impact, whichever is less.

> density
• Based on current guidance determination of whether the area should be addressed using urban or rural modeling methodology

3. Emission inventory and operating/design parameters for major sources within region of significant impact of proposed site (same as required for applicant)
• Actual and allowable annual emission rates (g/s) and operating rates³
• Maximum design load short-term emission rate (g/s)³
• Associated emissions/stack characteristics as a function of load for maximum, average, and nominal operating conditions if stack height is less than GEP or located in complex terrain. Screening analyses as footnoted above or detailed analyses, if necessary, must be employed to determine the constraining load condition (e.g., 50%, 75%, or 100% load) to be relied upon in the short-term modeling analysis.
—location (UTM’s)
—height of stack (m) and grade level above MSL
—stack exit diameter (m)
—exit velocity (m/s)
—exit temperature (°K)
• Area source emissions (rates, size of area, height of area source)³
• Location and dimensions of buildings (plant layout drawing)
—plant layout drawing
—to determine GEP stack height
—to determine potential building downwash considerations for stack heights less than GEP
• Associated parameters
—boiler size (megawatts, pounds/hr. steam, fuel consumption, etc.)
—boiler parameters (% excess air, boiler type, type of firing, etc.)
—operating conditions (pollutant content in fuel, hours of operation, capacity factor, % load for winter, summer, etc.)
—pollutant control equipment parameters (design efficiency, operation record, e.g., can it be bypassed?, etc.)
• Anticipated growth changes

4. Air quality monitoring data:
• Summary of existing observations for latest five years (including any additional quality assured measured data which can be obtained from any State or local agency or company)³
• Comparison with standards
• Discussion of background due to un inventoried sources and contributions from outside the inventoried area and description of the method used for determination of background (should be consistent with the Guideline).

³Particulate emissions should be specified as a function of particulate diameter and density ranges.
⁴See footnote 2 of this appendix C.
Pt. 51, App. W, App. C

5. Meteorological data:

- Five consecutive years of the most recent representative sequential hourly National Weather Service (NWS) data, or one or more years of hourly sequential on-site data
- Discussion of meteorological conditions observed (as applied or modified for the site-specific area, i.e., identify possible variations due to difference between the monitoring site and the specific site of the source)
- Discussion of topographic/land use influences

6. Air quality modeling analyses:

- Model each individual year for which data are available with a recommended model or model demonstrated to be acceptable on a case-by-case basis
  - urban dispersion coefficients for urban areas
  - rural dispersion coefficients for rural areas
- Evaluate downwash if stack height is less than GEP
- Define worst case meteorology
- Provide topographic map(s) of receptor network with respect to location of all sources
- Follow current guidance on selection of receptor sites for refined analyses
- Include receptor terrain heights (if applicable) used in analyses

- Compare model estimates with measurements considering the upper ends of the frequency distribution
- Determine extent of significant impact; provide maps
- Define areas of maximum and highest, second-highest impacts due to applicant source (refer to format suggested in Air Quality Summary Tables)
  - long-term
  - short-term

7. Comparison with acceptable air quality levels:

- NAAQS
- PSD increments
- Emission offset impacts if nonattainment

8. Documentation and guidelines for modeling methodology:

- Follow guidance documents
  - appendix W to 40 CFR part 51
  - “Ambient Monitoring Guidelines for PSD” (EPA–450/4–87–007), 1987
  - Applicable sections of 40 CFR parts 51 and 52.

### AIR QUALITY SUMMARY—FOR NEW SOURCE ALONE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant:</th>
<th>Highest</th>
<th>Highest 2d high</th>
<th>Highest</th>
<th>Highest 2d high</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration Due to Modeled Source (µg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background Concentration (µg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Concentration (µg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor Distance (km) (or UTM easting)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor Direction (? or UTM northing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor Elevation (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed (m/s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Direction (?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixing Depth (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day/Month/Year of Occurrence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AIR QUALITY SUMMARY—FOR ALL NEW SOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant:</th>
<th>Highest</th>
<th>Highest 2d high</th>
<th>Highest</th>
<th>Highest 2d high</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration Due to Modeled Source (µg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Use separate sheet for each pollutant (SO₂, PM–10, CO, NOₓ, HC, Pb, Hg, Asbestos, etc.).
2. List all appropriate averaging periods (1-hr, 3-hr, 8-hr, 24-hr, 30-day, 90-day, etc.) for which an air quality standard exists.
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### Air Quality Summary—For All New Sources—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Highest</th>
<th>Highest 2nd high</th>
<th>Highest</th>
<th>Highest 2nd high</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Background Concentration (µg/m³)</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Concentration (µg/m³)</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor Distance (km) (or UTM easting)</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor Direction (°) (or UTM northing)</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor Elevation (m)</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed (m/s)</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixing Depth (m)</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°K)</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day/Month/Year of Occurrence</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surface Air Data From
- Surface Station Elevation (m) ____________
- Anemometer Height Above Local Ground Level (m) ____________

Upper Air Data From
- Period of Record Analyzed ____________
- Model Used ____________
- Recommended Model ____________

1 Use separate sheet for each pollutant (SO₂, PM-10, CO, NOₓ, HC, Pb, Hg, Asbestos, etc.).
2 List all appropriate averaging periods (1-hr, 3-hr, 8-hr, 24-hr, 30-day, 90-day, etc.) for which an air quality standard exists.

### Stack Parameters for Annual Modeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack No.</th>
<th>Emission rate for each pollutant (g/s)</th>
<th>Stack exit diameter (m)</th>
<th>Stack exit velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Stack exit temperature (°K)</th>
<th>Physical height (m)</th>
<th>GEP stack ht. (m)</th>
<th>Stack base elevation (m)</th>
<th>Building dimensions (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX X TO PART 51—EXAMPLES OF ECONOMIC INCENTIVE PROGRAMS

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

This appendix contains examples of EIP’s which are covered by the EIP rules. Program descriptions identify key provisions which distinguish the different model program types. The examples provide additional information and guidance on various types of regulatory programs collectively referred to as EIP’s. The examples include programs involving stationary, area, and mobile sources. The definition section at 40 CFR 51.491 defines an EIP as a program which may include State established emission fees or a system of marketable permits, or a system of State fines an EIP as a program which may include developing or detailed modeling analyses to represent constraining operating conditions) should be provided.

Another important consideration in designing effective EIP’s is the extent to which different strategies, or programs targeted at different types of sources, can complement one another when implemented together as an EIP “package.” The EPA encourages States to consider packaging different measures together when such a strategy is likely to increase the overall benefits from the program as a whole. Furthermore, some activities, such as information distribution or public awareness programs, while not EIP’s in and of themselves, are often critical to the success of other measures and, therefore, would be appropriate complementary components of a program package. All SIP emissions reductions credits should reflect a consideration of the effectiveness of the entire package.

II. EXAMPLES OF STATIONARY AND MOBILE SOURCE ECONOMIC INCENTIVE STRATEGIES

There is a wide variety of programs that fall under the general heading of EIP’s. Further, within each general type of program are several different basic program designs. This section describes common types of EIP’s that have been implemented, designed, or discussed in the literature for stationary and mobile sources. The program types discussed below do not include all of the possible types of EIP’s. Innovative approaches incorporating new ideas in existing programs, different combinations of existing program elements, or wholly new incentive systems provide additional opportunities for States to find ways to meet environmental goals at lower total cost.

A. Emissions Trading Markets

One prominent class of EIP’s is based upon the creation of a market in which trading of source-specific emissions requirements may occur. Such programs may include traditional rate-based emissions limits (generally referred to as emissions averaging) or overall limits on a source’s total mass emissions per unit of time (generally referred to as an

Thus, the examples given in this Appendix are general in nature so as to avoid limiting innovation on the part of the States in developing programs tailored to individual State needs.
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II. EXAMPLES OF STATIONARY AND MOBILE SOURCE ECONOMIC INCENTIVE STRATEGIES

There is a wide variety of programs that fall under the general heading of EIP’s. Further, within each general type of program are several different basic program designs. This section describes common types of EIP’s that have been implemented, designed, or discussed in the literature for stationary and mobile sources. The program types discussed below do not include all of the possible types of EIP’s. Innovative approaches incorporating new ideas in existing programs, different combinations of existing program elements, or wholly new incentive systems provide additional opportunities for States to find ways to meet environmental goals at lower total cost.

A. Emissions Trading Markets

One prominent class of EIP’s is based upon the creation of a market in which trading of source-specific emissions requirements may occur. Such programs may include traditional rate-based emissions limits (generally referred to as emissions averaging) or overall limits on a source’s total mass emissions per unit of time (generally referred to as an
emissions cap). The emissions limits, which may be placed on individual emitting units or on facilities as a whole, may decline over time. The common feature of such programs is that a state will have an ongoing incentive to reduce pollution and increased flexibility in meeting their regulatory requirements. A source may meet its own requirements either by directly preventing or controlling emissions or by trading or averaging with another source. Trading or averaging may occur within the same facility, within the same firm, or between different firms. Sources with lower cost abatement alternatives may provide the necessary emissions reductions to sources facing more expensive alternatives. These programs can lower the overall cost of meeting a given total level of abatement. All sources eligible to trade in an emissions market are faced with continuing incentives to find better ways of reducing emissions at the lowest possible cost, even if they are already meeting their own emissions requirements.

Stationary, area, and mobile sources could be allowed to participate in a common emissions trading market. Programs involving emissions trading markets are particularly effective at reducing overall costs when individual affected sources face significantly different emissions control costs. A wider range in control costs among affected sources creates greater opportunities for cost-reducing trades. Thus, for example, areas which face relatively high stationary source control costs relative to mobile source control costs benefit most by including both stationary and mobile sources in a single emissions trading market.

Programs involving emissions trading markets have generally been designated as either emission allowance or emission reduction credit (ERC) trading programs. The Federal Acid Rain Program is an example of an emission allowance trading program, while “bubbles” and “generic bubbles” created under the EPA’s 1986 Emission Trading Policy Statement are examples of ERC trading. Allowance trading programs can establish emission allocations to be effective at the start of a program, at some specific time in the future, or at varying levels over time. An ERC trading program requires ERC’s to be measured against a pre-established emission baseline. Allowance allocations or emission baselines can be established either directly by the EIP rules or by reference to traditional regulations (e.g., RACT requirements). In either type of program, sources can either meet their EIP requirements by maintaining their own emissions within the limits established by the program, or by buying surplus allowances or ERC’s from other sources. In any case, the State will need to establish adequate enforceable procedures for certifying and tracking trades, and for monitoring and enforcing compliance with the EIP.

The definition of the commodity to be traded and the design of the administrative procedures the buyer and seller must follow to complete a trade are obvious elements that must be carefully selected to help ensure a successful trading market that achieves the desired environmental goal at the lowest cost. An emissions market is defined as efficient if it achieves the environmental goal at the lowest possible total cost. Any feature of a program that unnecessarily increases the total cost without helping achieve the environmental goals causes market inefficiency. Thus, the design of an emissions trading program should be evaluated not only in terms of the likelihood that the program design will ensure that the environmental goals of the program will be met, but also in terms of the costs that the design imposes upon market transactions and the impact of those costs on market efficiency.

Transaction costs are the investment in time and resources to acquire information about the price and availability of allowances or ERC’s, to negotiate a trade, and to assure the trade is properly recorded and legally enforceable. All trading markets impose some level of transaction costs. The level of transaction costs in an emissions trading market are affected by various aspects of the design of the market, such as the nature of the procedures for reviewing, approving, and recording trades, the timing of such procedures (i.e., before or after the trade is made), uncertainties in the value of the allowance or credit being traded, the legitimacy of the allowance or credit being offered for sale, and the long-term integrity of the market itself. Emissions trading programs in which every transaction is different, such as programs requiring significant consideration of the differences in the chemical properties or geographic location of the emissions, can result in higher transaction costs than programs with a standardized trading commodity and well-defined rules for acceptable trades. Transaction costs are also affected by the relative ease with which information can be obtained about the availability and price of allowances or credits.

While the market considerations discussed above are clearly important in designing an efficient market to minimize the transaction costs of such a program, other considerations, such as regulatory certainty, an emissions trading program should be evaluated not only in terms of the likelihood that the program design will ensure that the environmental goals of the program will be met, but also in terms of the costs that the design imposes upon market transactions and the impact of those costs on market efficiency.

Transaction costs are the investment in time and resources to acquire information about the price and availability of allowances or ERC’s, to negotiate a trade, and to assure the trade is properly recorded and legally enforceable. All trading markets impose some level of transaction costs. The level of transaction costs in an emissions trading market are affected by various aspects of the design of the market, such as the nature of the procedures for reviewing, approving, and recording trades, the timing of such procedures (i.e., before or after the trade is made), uncertainties in the value of the allowance or credit being traded, the legitimacy of the allowance or credit being offered for sale, and the long-term integrity of the market itself. Emissions trading programs in which every transaction is different, such as programs requiring significant consideration of the differences in the chemical properties or geographic location of the emissions, can result in higher transaction costs than programs with a standardized trading commodity and well-defined rules for acceptable trades. Transaction costs are also affected by the relative ease with which information can be obtained about the availability and price of allowances or credits.

While the market considerations discussed above are clearly important in designing an efficient market to minimize the transaction costs of such a program, other considerations, such as regulatory certainty, an emissions trading program should be evaluated not only in terms of the likelihood that the program design will ensure that the environmental goals of the program will be met, but also in terms of the costs that the design imposes upon market transactions and the impact of those costs on market efficiency.

B. Fee Programs

A fee on each unit of emissions is a strategy that can provide a direct incentive for sources to reduce emissions. Ideally, fees should be set so as to result in emissions
being reduced to the socially optimal level considering the costs of control and the benefits of the emissions reductions. In order to motivate a change in emissions, the fees must be high enough that sources will actively seek to reduce emissions. It is important to note that not all emission fee programs are designed to motivate sources to lower emissions. Fee programs using small fees are designed primarily to generate revenue, often to cover some of the administrative costs of a regulatory program.

There can be significant variations in emission fee programs. For example, potential emissions could be targeted by placing a fee on an input (e.g., fuel used in an industrial boiler) rather than on actual emissions. Sources paying a fee on potential emissions could be eligible for a fee waiver or rebate by demonstrating that potential emissions are not actually emitted, such as through a carbon absorber system on a coating operation.

Some fee program variations are designed to mitigate the potentially large amount of revenue that a fee program could generate. Although more complex than a simple fee program, programs that reduce or eliminate the total revenues may be more readily adopted in a SIP than a simple emission fee. Some programs lower the amount of total revenues generated by waiving the fee on some emissions. These programs reduce the total amount of revenue generated, while providing an incentive to decrease emissions. Alternatively, a program may impose higher per-unit fees on a portion of the emissions stream, providing a more powerful but targeted incentive at the same revenue levels. For example, fees could be collected on all emissions in excess of some fixed level. The level could be set as a percentage of a baseline (e.g., fees on emissions above some percentage of historical emissions), or as the lowest emissions possible (e.g., fees on emissions in excess of the lowest demonstrated emissions from the source category).

Other fee programs are “revenue neutral,” meaning that the pollution control agency does not receive any net revenues. One way to design a revenue-neutral program is to have both a fee provision and a rebate provision. Rebates must be carefully designed to avoid lessening the incentive provided by the emission fee. For example, a rebate based on comparing a source’s actual emissions and the average emissions for the source category can be designed to be revenue neutral and not diminish the incentive.

Other types of fee programs collect a fee in relation to particular activities or types of products to encourage the use of alternatives. While these fees are not necessarily directly linked to the total amount of emissions from the activity or product, the relative simplicity of a usage fee may make such programs an effective way to lower emissions. An area source example is a construction permit fee for wood stoves. Such a permit fee is directly related to the potential to emit inherent in a wood stove, and not to the actual emissions from each wood stove in use. Fees on raw materials to a manufacturing process can encourage product reformulation (e.g., fees on solvent sold to makers of architectural coatings) or changes in work practices (e.g., fees on specialty solvents and degreasing compounds used in manufacturing).

Road pricing mechanisms are fee programs that are available to curtail low occupancy vehicle use, fund transportation system improvements and control vehicles by pricing them and spatially and temporally shift driving patterns, and attempt to effect land use changes. Primary examples include increased peak period roadway, bridge, or tunnel tolls (this could also be accomplished with automated vehicle identification systems as well), and toll discounts for pooling arrangements and zero-emitting/low-emitting vehicles.


Modifications to existing State or local tax codes, zoning provisions, and land use planning can provide effective economic incentives. Possible modifications to encourage emissions reductions cover a broad span of programs, such as accelerated depreciation of capital equipment used for emissions reductions, corporate income tax deductions or credits for emission abatement costs, property tax waivers based on decreasing emissions, exempting low-emitting products from sales tax, and limitations on parking spaces for office facilities. Mobile source strategies include waiving or lowering any of the following for zero- or low-emitting vehicles: vehicle registration fees, vehicle property tax, sales tax, taxicab license fees, and parking taxes.

D. Subsidies

A State may create incentives for reducing emissions by offering direct subsidies, grants or low-interest loans to encourage the purchase of lower-emitting capital equipment, or a switch to less polluting operating practices. Examples of such programs include clean vehicle conversions, starting shuttle bus or van pool programs, and mass transit fare subsidies. Subsidy programs often suffer from a variety of “free rider” problems. For instance, subsidies for people or firms who were going to switch to the cleaner alternative anyway lower the effectiveness of the subsidy program, or drive up the cost of achieving a targeted level of emissions reductions.

E. Transportation Control Measures

The following measures are the TCM’s listed in section 108(f):
(i) Programs for improved public transit;
(ii) Restriction of certain roads or lanes to, or construction of such roads or lanes for use by, passenger buses or high occupancy vehicles;
(iii) Employer-based transportation management plans, including incentives;
(iv) Trip-reduction ordinances;
(v) Traffic flow improvement programs that achieve emission reductions;
(vi) Fringe and transportation corridor parking facilities serving multiple-occupancy vehicle programs or transit service;
(vii) Programs to limit or restrict vehicle use in downtown areas or other areas of emission concentration particularly during periods of peak use;
(viii) Programs for the provision of all forms of high-occupancy, shared-ride services;
(ix) Programs to limit portions of road surfaces or certain sections of the metropolitan area to the use of non-motorized vehicles or pedestrian use, both as to time and place;
(x) Programs for secure bicycle storage facilities and other facilities, including bicycle lanes, for the convenience and protection of bicyclists, in both public and private areas;
(xi) Programs to control extended idling of vehicles;
(xii) Programs to reduce motor vehicle emissions, consistent with title II, which are caused by extreme cold start conditions;
(xiii) Employer-sponsored programs to permit flexible work schedules;
(xiv) Programs and ordinances to facilitate non-automobile travel, provision and utilization of mass transit, and to generally reduce the need for single-occupant vehicle travel, as part of transportation planning and development efforts of a locality, including programs and ordinances applicable to new shopping centers, special events, and other centers of vehicle activity;
(xv) Programs for new construction and major reconstruction of paths, tracks or areas solely for the use by pedestrian or other non-motorized means of transportation when economically feasible and in the public interest. For purposes of this clause, the Administrator shall also consult with the Secretary of the Interior; and
(xvi) Programs to encourage the voluntary removal from use and the marketplace of pre-1980 model year light-duty vehicles and pre-1980 model light-duty trucks.
[59 FR 16715, Apr. 7, 1994]
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115 Environmental Protection Agency (Parts 115–1—115–99)
128 Department of Justice (Parts 128–1—128–99)

Subtitle D—Other Provisions Relating to Property Management [Reserved]

Subtitle E—Federal Information Resources Management Regulations System

201 Federal Information Resources Management Regulation (Parts 201–1—201–99) [Reserved]

Subtitle F—Federal Travel Regulation System

300 General (Parts 300–1—300–99)
301 Temporary Duty (TDY) Travel Allowances (Parts 301–1—301–99)
302 Relocation Allowances (Parts 302–1—302–99)
303 Payment of Expenses Connected with the Death of Certain Employees (Part 303–70)
304 Payment from a Non-Federal Source for Travel Expenses (Parts 304–1—304–99)

Title 42—Public Health

I Public Health Service, Department of Health and Human Services (Parts 1—199)

IV Health Care Financing Administration, Department of Health and Human Services (Parts 400—499)

V Office of Inspector General-Health Care, Department of Health and Human Services (Parts 1000—1999)
Title 43—Public Lands: Interior

Subtitle A—Office of the Secretary of the Interior (Parts 1—199)

Subtitle B—Regulations Relating to Public Lands

I Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior (Parts 200—499)

II Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior (Parts 1000—9999)

III Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission (Parts 10000—10005)

Title 44—Emergency Management and Assistance

I Federal Emergency Management Agency (Parts 0—399)

IV Department of Commerce and Department of Transportation (Parts 400—499)

Title 45—Public Welfare

Subtitle A—Department of Health and Human Services (Parts 1—199)

Subtitle B—Regulations Relating to Public Welfare

II Office of Family Assistance (Assistance Programs), Administration for Children and Families, Department of Health and Human Services (Parts 200—299)

III Office of Child Support Enforcement (Child Support Enforcement Program), Administration for Children and Families, Department of Health and Human Services (Parts 300—399)

IV Office of Refugee Resettlement, Administration for Children and Families Department of Health and Human Services (Parts 400—499)

V Foreign Claims Settlement Commission of the United States, Department of Justice (Parts 500—599)

VI National Science Foundation (Parts 600—699)

VII Commission on Civil Rights (Parts 700—799)

VIII Office of Personnel Management (Parts 800—899)

X Office of Community Services, Administration for Children and Families, Department of Health and Human Services (Parts 1000—1099)

XI National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities (Parts 1100—1199)

XII Corporation for National and Community Service (Parts 1200—1299)

XIII Office of Human Development Services, Department of Health and Human Services (Parts 1300—1399)

XVI Legal Services Corporation (Parts 1600—1699)

XVII National Commission on Libraries and Information Science (Parts 1700—1799)

XVIII Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation (Parts 1800—1899)

XXI Commission on Fine Arts (Parts 2100—2199)
**Title 45—Public Welfare—Continued**

XXIII Arctic Research Commission (Part 2301)

XXIV James Madison Memorial Fellowship Foundation (Parts 2400—2499)

XXV Corporation for National and Community Service (Parts 2500—2599)

**Title 46—Shipping**

I Coast Guard, Department of Transportation (Parts 1—199)

II Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation (Parts 200—399)

III Coast Guard (Great Lakes Pilotage), Department of Transportation (Parts 400—499)

IV Federal Maritime Commission (Parts 500—599)

**Title 47—Telecommunication**

I Federal Communications Commission (Parts 0—199)

II Office of Science and Technology Policy and National Security Council (Parts 200—299)

III National Telecommunications and Information Administration, Department of Commerce (Parts 300—399)

**Title 48—Federal Acquisition Regulations System**

1 Federal Acquisition Regulation (Parts 1—99)

2 Department of Defense (Parts 200—299)

3 Department of Health and Human Services (Parts 300—399)

4 Department of Agriculture (Parts 400—499)

5 General Services Administration (Parts 500—599)

6 Department of State (Parts 600—699)

7 United States Agency for International Development (Parts 700—799)

8 Department of Veterans Affairs (Parts 800—899)

9 Department of Energy (Parts 900—999)

10 Department of the Treasury (Parts 1000—1099)

12 Department of Transportation (Parts 1200—1299)

13 Department of Commerce (Parts 1300—1399)

14 Department of the Interior (Parts 1400—1499)

15 Environmental Protection Agency (Parts 1500—1599)

16 Office of Personnel Management Federal Employees Health Benefits Acquisition Regulation (Parts 1600—1699)

17 Office of Personnel Management (Parts 1700—1799)

18 National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Parts 1800—1899)

19 Broadcasting Board of Governors (Parts 1900—1999)

20 Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Parts 2000—2099)
Title 48—Federal Acquisition Regulations System—Continued

21 Office of Personnel Management, Federal Employees Group Life Insurance Federal Acquisition Regulation (Parts 2100—2199)
23 Social Security Administration (Parts 2300—2399)
24 Department of Housing and Urban Development (Parts 2400—2499)
25 National Science Foundation (Parts 2500—2599)
28 Department of Justice (Parts 2800—2899)
29 Department of Labor (Parts 2900—2999)
34 Department of Education Acquisition Regulation (Parts 3400—3499)
35 Panama Canal Commission (Parts 3500—3599)
44 Federal Emergency Management Agency (Parts 4400—4499)
51 Department of the Army Acquisition Regulations (Parts 5100—5199)
52 Department of the Navy Acquisition Regulations (Parts 5200—5299)
53 Department of the Air Force Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (Parts 5300—5399)
54 Defense Logistics Agency, Department of Defense (Part 5452)
57 African Development Foundation (Parts 5700—5799)
61 General Services Administration Board of Contract Appeals (Parts 6100—6199)
63 Department of Transportation Board of Contract Appeals (Parts 6300—6399)
99 Cost Accounting Standards Board, Office of Federal Procurement Policy, Office of Management and Budget (Parts 9900—9999)

Title 49—Transportation

SUBTITLE A—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION (PARTS 1—99)
SUBTITLE B—OTHER REGULATIONS RELATING TO TRANSPORTATION
I Research and Special Programs Administration, Department of Transportation (Parts 100—199)
II Federal Railroad Administration, Department of Transportation (Parts 200—299)
III Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Department of Transportation (Parts 300—399)
IV Coast Guard, Department of Transportation (Parts 400—499)
V National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Department of Transportation (Parts 500—599)
VI Federal Transit Administration, Department of Transportation (Parts 600—699)
VII National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK) (Parts 700—799)
VIII National Transportation Safety Board (Parts 800—999)
X Surface Transportation Board, Department of Transportation (Parts 1000—1399)
Title 49—Transportation—Continued

XI Bureau of Transportation Statistics, Department of Transportation (Parts 1400—1499)

Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries

I United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior (Parts 1—199)

II National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce (Parts 200—299)

III International Fishing and Related Activities (Parts 300—399)

IV Joint Regulations (United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior and National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce); Endangered Species Committee Regulations (Parts 400—499)

V Marine Mammal Commission (Parts 500—599)

VI Fishery Conservation and Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce (Parts 600—699)
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<td>Commercial Space Transportation</td>
<td>14, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Claims Collection Standards</td>
<td>31, IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Communications Commission</td>
<td>5, XXXIX; 47, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Contract Compliance Programs, Office of</td>
<td>41, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Crop Insurance Corporation</td>
<td>7, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation</td>
<td>5, XXXII; 12, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Election Commission</td>
<td>11, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Emergency Management Agency</td>
<td>44, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Employees Group Life Insurance</td>
<td>48, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Employees Health Benefits Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Energy Regulatory Commission</td>
<td>5, XXIV; 18, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council</td>
<td>12, XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Financing Bank</td>
<td>12, VIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Highway Administration</td>
<td>23, I; III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation</td>
<td>1, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight Office</td>
<td>12, XVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Housing Finance Board</td>
<td>12, IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Labor Relations Authority, and General Counsel of the Federal Labor Relations Authority</td>
<td>5, XIV; 22, XIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Law Enforcement Training Center</td>
<td>31, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Management Regulation</td>
<td>41, 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Maritime Commission</td>
<td>46, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service</td>
<td>29, XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission</td>
<td>5, LXXIV; 29, XXVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration</td>
<td>49, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Prison Industries, Inc.</td>
<td>28, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Procurement Policy Office</td>
<td>48, 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Property Management Regulations</td>
<td>41, 101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Railroad Administration</td>
<td>49, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Register, Administrative Committee of the Federal Labor Relations Authority</td>
<td>1, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Reserve, Office of</td>
<td>1, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Reserve System</td>
<td>12, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Governors</td>
<td>5, LVIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board</td>
<td>5, VI; LXXVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Service Impasses Panel</td>
<td>5, XIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Trade Commission</td>
<td>5, XLVII; 16, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Transit Administration</td>
<td>49, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Travel Regulation System</td>
<td>41, Subtitle F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts, Commission on</td>
<td>45, XXI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service</td>
<td>31, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and Wildlife Service, United States</td>
<td>50, I; IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishery Conservation and Management</td>
<td>50, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
<td>21, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Nutrition Service</td>
<td>7, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Safety and Inspection Service</td>
<td>9, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Agricultural Service</td>
<td>7, XV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Assets Control, Office of</td>
<td>31, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Claims Settlement Commission of the United States</td>
<td>45, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Claims Settlement Commission of the United States</td>
<td>45, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Service Grievance Board</td>
<td>22, IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Service Impasse Disputes Panel</td>
<td>22, XIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Service Labor Relations Board</td>
<td>22, XIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign-Trade Zones Board</td>
<td>15, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Service</td>
<td>36, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Accounting Office</td>
<td>4, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Services Administration</td>
<td>5, LVII; 41, 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Appeals, Board of</td>
<td>48, 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Management Regulation</td>
<td>41, 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Property Management Regulations</td>
<td>41, 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>CFR Title, Subtitle or Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Travel Regulation System</td>
<td>41, Subtitle F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>41, 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment From a Non-Federal Source for Travel Expenses</td>
<td>41, 304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment of Expenses Connected With the Death of Certain Employees</td>
<td>41, 303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation Allowances</td>
<td>41, 302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Duty (TDY) Travel Allowances</td>
<td>41, 301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geological Survey</td>
<td>30, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Ethics, Office</td>
<td>5, XVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government National Mortgage Association</td>
<td>24, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration</td>
<td>7, VIII; 9, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation</td>
<td>45, XVIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services, Department of</td>
<td>5, XLI; 45, Subtitle A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Support Enforcement, Office of</td>
<td>45, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children and Families, Administration for</td>
<td>45, II, III, IV, X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services, Office of</td>
<td>45, X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Assistance, Office of</td>
<td>45, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
<td>21, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Financing Administration</td>
<td>42, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Development Services, Office of</td>
<td>45, XIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Health Service</td>
<td>25, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspector General (Health Care), Office of</td>
<td>42, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Service</td>
<td>42, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refugee Resettlement, Office of</td>
<td>45, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Financing Administration</td>
<td>42, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Urban Development, Department of</td>
<td>5, LXXV; 24, Subtitle B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Planning and Development, Office of Assistant Secretary for</td>
<td>24, V, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal Opportunity, Office of Assistant Secretary for</td>
<td>24, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight, Office of</td>
<td>12, XVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government National Mortgage Association</td>
<td>24, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, Office of Assistant Secretary for</td>
<td>24, II, VIII, X, XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, Office of, and Multifamily Housing Assistance</td>
<td>24, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring, Office of</td>
<td>24, XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspector General, Office of</td>
<td>24, IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public and Indian Housing, Office of Assistant Secretary for</td>
<td>24, Subtitle A, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary, Office of</td>
<td>24, II, VIII, X, XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, Office of Assistant Secretary for</td>
<td>24, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, Office of, and Multifamily Housing Assistance</td>
<td>24, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring, Office of</td>
<td>24, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Development Services, Office of</td>
<td>45, XIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigration and Naturalization Service</td>
<td>8, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Counsel, Office of</td>
<td>29, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Affairs, Bureau of</td>
<td>25, I, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Affairs, Office of the Assistant Secretary</td>
<td>25, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Arts and Crafts Board</td>
<td>25, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Health Service</td>
<td>25, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Resources Management, Office of</td>
<td>7, XXVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Security Oversight Office, National Archives and Records Administration</td>
<td>32, XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspector General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture Department</td>
<td>7, XXVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services Department</td>
<td>42, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Urban Development Department</td>
<td>24, XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Peace, United States</td>
<td>22, XVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-American Foundation</td>
<td>5, LXXIII; 22, X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental Relations, Advisory Commission on</td>
<td>5, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indians, Office of the Special Trustee</td>
<td>25, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endangered Species Committee</td>
<td>50, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Property Management Regulations System</td>
<td>41, 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and Wildlife Service, United States</td>
<td>50, I, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>CFR Title, Subtitle or Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geological Survey</td>
<td>30, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Affairs, Bureau of</td>
<td>25, I, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Affairs, Office of the Assistant Secretary</td>
<td>25, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Arts and Crafts Board</td>
<td>25, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Management, Bureau of</td>
<td>43, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals Management Service</td>
<td>30, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mines, Bureau of</td>
<td>30, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Indian Gaming Commission</td>
<td>25, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Service</td>
<td>36, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclamation, Bureau of</td>
<td>43, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of the Interior, Office of</td>
<td>43, Subtitle A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Mining and Reclamation Appeals, Board of</td>
<td>30, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Office of</td>
<td>30, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Service</td>
<td>26, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Boundary and Water Commission, United States and Mexico</td>
<td>22, XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Development, United States Agency</td>
<td>22, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Development Cooperation Agency, United States States</td>
<td>22, XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Fishing and Related Activities</td>
<td>50, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Investment, Office of</td>
<td>31, VIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Joint Commission, United States and Canada</td>
<td>22, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Organizations Employees Loyalty Board</td>
<td>5, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Trade Administration</td>
<td>15, III; 19, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Trade Commission, United States</td>
<td>19, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate Commerce Commission</td>
<td>5, XL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Madison Memorial Fellowship Foundation</td>
<td>45, XXIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan–United States Friendship Commission</td>
<td>22, XVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries</td>
<td>20, VIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice Department</td>
<td>5, XXVIII; 28, I; 40, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Enforcement Administration</td>
<td>21, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Claims Collection Standards</td>
<td>31, IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Prison Industries, Inc.</td>
<td>29, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Claims Settlement Commission of the United States</td>
<td>45, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigration and Naturalization Service</td>
<td>8, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Independent Counsel</td>
<td>28, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisons, Bureau of</td>
<td>28, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Management Regulations</td>
<td>41, 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Department</td>
<td>5, XLII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits Review Board</td>
<td>20, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees' Compensation Appeals Board</td>
<td>20, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment and Training Administration</td>
<td>20, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Standards Administration</td>
<td>20, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Contract Compliance Programs, Office of</td>
<td>41, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Procurement Regulations System</td>
<td>41, 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor-Management Standards, Office of</td>
<td>29, II, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Safety and Health Administration</td>
<td>30, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Safety and Health Administration</td>
<td>29, XVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration</td>
<td>29, XXV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Contracts</td>
<td>41, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of Labor, Office of</td>
<td>29, Subtitle A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans’ Employment and Training, Office of the Assistant Secretary</td>
<td>41, 61; 20, IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary for Wage and Hour Division</td>
<td>29, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers’ Compensation Programs, Office of</td>
<td>20, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor-Management Standards, Office of</td>
<td>29, II, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Management, Bureau of</td>
<td>43, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Services Corporation</td>
<td>45, XVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library of Congress</td>
<td>36, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright Office</td>
<td>37, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management and Budget, Office of</td>
<td>5, III, LXXVII; 48, 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Mammal Commission</td>
<td>50, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Administration</td>
<td>46, II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

510
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>CFR Title, Subtitle or Chapter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Merit Systems Protection Board</td>
<td>5, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronesian Status Negotiations, Office for</td>
<td>32, XXVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Safety and Health Administration</td>
<td>30, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals Management Service</td>
<td>30, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mines, Bureau of</td>
<td>30, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Business Development Agency</td>
<td>15, XIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Agencies</td>
<td>1, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monetary Offices</td>
<td>31, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris K. Udall Scholarship and Excellence in National</td>
<td>36, XVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Policy Foundation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Aeronautics and Space Administration</td>
<td>5, LIX; 14, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Agricultural Library</td>
<td>7, XLI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Agricultural Statistics Service</td>
<td>7, XXXVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National and Community Service, Corporation for</td>
<td>45, XII, XXV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Archives and Records Administration</td>
<td>5, LXVI; 56, XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Security Oversight Office</td>
<td>32, XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Bureau of Standards</td>
<td>15, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Capital Planning Commission</td>
<td>1, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Commission for Employment Policy</td>
<td>1, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Commission on Libraries and Information Science</td>
<td>45, XVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Council on Disability</td>
<td>34, XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Counterintelligence Center</td>
<td>32, XVIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Credit Union Administration</td>
<td>12, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Council</td>
<td>28, IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Drug Control Policy, Office of</td>
<td>21, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities</td>
<td>45, XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Highway Traffic Safety Administration</td>
<td>23, II, III; 49, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Imagery and Mapping Agency</td>
<td>32, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Indian Gaming Commission</td>
<td>25, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Institute for Literacy</td>
<td>34, XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Institute of Standards and Technology</td>
<td>15, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Labor Relations Board</td>
<td>5, LXI; 29, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Marine Fisheries Service</td>
<td>50, II, IV, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Mediation Board</td>
<td>29, X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
<td>15, IX; 50, II, III, IV, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Service</td>
<td>36, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Railroad Adjustment Board</td>
<td>29, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK)</td>
<td>49, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Science Foundation</td>
<td>5, XLIII; 45, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Security Council</td>
<td>32, XXI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Security Council and Office of Science and Technology Policy</td>
<td>47, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Telecommunications and Information Administration</td>
<td>15, XXIII; 47, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Transportation Safety Board</td>
<td>49, VIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Weather Service</td>
<td>15, IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources Conservation Service</td>
<td>7, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation, Office of</td>
<td>25, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy Department</td>
<td>32, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation</td>
<td>24, XXV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Dairy Compact Commission</td>
<td>7, XIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Commission</td>
<td>10, XVIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Regulatory Commission</td>
<td>5, XLVIII; 10, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Safety and Health Administration</td>
<td>29, XVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission</td>
<td>29, XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offices of Independent Counsel</td>
<td>28, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma City National Memorial Trust</td>
<td>36, XV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations Office</td>
<td>7, XXXVIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas Private Investment Corporation</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>22, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>48, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>30, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Office of</td>
<td>30, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Transportation Board</td>
<td>49, X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susquehanna River Basin Commission</td>
<td>18, VIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Administration</td>
<td>15, XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Policy, Assistant Secretary for</td>
<td>37, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology, Under Secretary for</td>
<td>37, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>12, V</td>
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<td>Trade Representative, United States, Office of</td>
<td>15, XX</td>
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<tr>
<td>Transportation, Department of</td>
<td>5, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>33, I; 46, I; 49, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Guard (Great Lakes Pilotage)</td>
<td>46, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Space Transportation</td>
<td>14, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Appeals, Board of</td>
<td>48, 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Management and Assistance</td>
<td>44, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>CFR Title, Subtitle or Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Aviation Administration</td>
<td>14, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Highway Administration</td>
<td>23, I, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration</td>
<td>49, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Railroad Administration</td>
<td>49, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Transit Administration</td>
<td>49, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Administration</td>
<td>46, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Highway Traffic Safety Administration</td>
<td>23, II, III; 49, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and Special Programs Administration</td>
<td>49, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation</td>
<td>33, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of Transportation, Office of</td>
<td>14, II; 49, Subtitle A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Transportation Board</td>
<td>49, X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Statistics Bureau</td>
<td>49, XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation, Office of</td>
<td>7, XXXIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Statistics Bureau</td>
<td>49, XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Allowances, Temporary Duty (TDY)</td>
<td>41, 301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury Department</td>
<td>5, XXI; 12, XV; 17, IV; 31, IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Bureau of</td>
<td>27, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development Financial Institutions Fund</td>
<td>12, XVIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comptroller of the Currency</td>
<td>12, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customs Service, United States</td>
<td>19, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engraving and Printing, Bureau of</td>
<td>31, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation</td>
<td>48, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Law Enforcement Training Center</td>
<td>31, VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service</td>
<td>31, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Assets Control, Office of</td>
<td>31, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States and Mexico, International Boundary and Water Commission, United States Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service</td>
<td>31, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Investment, Office of</td>
<td>31, VIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monetary Offices</td>
<td>31, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secret Service</td>
<td>31, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of the Treasury, Office of</td>
<td>31, Subtitle A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrift Supervision, Office of</td>
<td>12, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truman, Harry S. Scholarship Foundation</td>
<td>45, XVIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States and Canada, International Joint Commission</td>
<td>22, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States and Mexico, International Boundary and Water Commission, United States Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Reclamation and Conservation Commission</td>
<td>43, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Affairs Department</td>
<td>38, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans’ Employment and Training, Office of the Assistant Secretary for</td>
<td>41, 61; 20, IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President of the United States, Office of</td>
<td>32, XXVIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational and Adult Education, Office of</td>
<td>34, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wage and Hour Division</td>
<td>29, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Resources Council</td>
<td>18, VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers’ Compensation Programs, Office of</td>
<td>20, I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Agricultural Outlook Board</td>
<td>7, XXXVIII</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<td>5506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Appendix M amended</td>
<td>6278, 65435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Appendix V amended</td>
<td>6278, 65435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 40 CFR—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>60 FR Page</th>
<th>61 FR Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51 Appendix M amended</td>
<td>28054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix U removed</td>
<td>33922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Appendix W amended</td>
<td>40468, 40469, 40470, 40471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 40 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>61 FR Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 Decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.4 Revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.5 Revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.100 (s)(1) introductory text revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(o) removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(o) added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s) introductory text and (1) revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.101 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.104 (a), (b) and (e) removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) removed; (d) redesignated as (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.112 (a)(1) revised; (a)(2) amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f)(1) revised; (f)(2) amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.166 (b)(23)(i) amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i)(1) revised; (l)(2) amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.213 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.241 (b) through (f) removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.243 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.244 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.245 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.246 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.247 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.248 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.250 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.251 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.252 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.325 Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.350 (b)(1) revised; (b)(5) added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.351 (h added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.352 (c) revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.353 (c)(5) added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.357 (a)(12) and (b)(4) added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.358 (b)(4) added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.361 Introductory text and (b)(1)(iv) revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) and (f) added</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 40 CFR—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>61 FR Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51.350—51.373 (Subpart S) Appendix B amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Appendix W revised</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>62 FR Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 Announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment period extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.3 Revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.6 Heading revised; (d) added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.7 Added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.9 Revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.10 Added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Appendix K revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix L added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix M added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix N added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendixes D and H amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix E removed; Appendix I added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority citation revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.100 (o)(3) revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s)(1) revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.103 (a) amended; (a)(1) and (2) removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.121 Added</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1998

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>63 FR Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 Notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority citation revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.1 (i) added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.2 (c) and (d) revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Appendix L corrected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Technical correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority citation revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.100 (o)(3) revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(s)(1) revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.103 (a) amended; (a)(1) and (2) removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.121 Added</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of CFR Sections Affected
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1999

Chapter I—Continued
(e)(4) introductory text and
(f)(2)(ii) revised .................. 71225
51.122 Added .......................... 57496
51.212 Regulation at 62 FR 8328 eff.
date corrected to 12-30-97 .......... 414
51.351 (c) revised ...................... 24433
51.352 (c) revised ...................... 24433
51.353 (c)(3) and (4) revised ..... 1368
51.357 (b)(4) revised .................. 24433
51.361 Regulation at 61 FR 49682
eff. date corrected to 2-10-99 .... 6645
51.373 (g) revised ....................... 24433

40 CFR

Chapter I

50 Appendix L amended ............. 19719
51 Authority citation revised .......... 35763
51.301 Amended; eff. 8–30–99 ....... 35763
51.302 Heading, (a), (c) introduc-
tory text, (1), (2) introductory
text, (4) introductory text and
(iv) revised; eff. 8–30–99 ........... 35764
(c)(2)(i) and (4)(l) amended; eff.
8–30–99 .......................... 35774
51.303 (a)(1), (c), (d), (g) and (h)
amended; eff. 8–30–99 ............. 35774
51.304 (c) amended; eff. 8–30–99 .... 35774
51.305 Heading and (a) revised; eff.
8–30–99 ......................... 35764
51.306 Heading, (a)(1), (c) intro-
ductive text and (d) revised;
eff. 8–30–99 ....................... 35764
(a)(1) and (c)(6) amended; eff. 8–
30–99 .......................... 35774
51.307 (a) introductory text, (2)
and (c) revised; eff. 8–30–99 ...... 35765
(b)(1) and (c) amended; eff. 8–30–
99 ............................... 35774
51.308 Added; eff. 8–30–99 .......... 35765
51.309 Added; eff. 8–30–99 .......... 35769
51.322 (a)(1) and (2) revised ......... 7462

40 CFR—Continued

2000

Chapter I

Chapter I—Continued
51.323 (a)(2) removed; (a)(1), (3)
and (b) revised .................. 7463
51 Appendixes M and P corrected;
CFR correction .................. 5188

40 CFR

Chapter I

Chapter I Nomenclature change .... 47325
50.6(d) removed .................... 80779
50.9(b) revised ....................... 45200
51 Authority citation revised ....... 45532
SIP submission failure findings
........................................... 81366
51.102 (d)(6) removed ............ 8657
51.121(e)(2), (3)(iii), and (g)(2)(ii) re-
vised .................................... 11230
(q) added ........................... 56251
51.350 (c) revised ...................... 45532
51.351 (a) removed; (b), (f) intro-
ductive text, (13), (g)(13) and
(h)(11) revised ....................... 45532
51.353 Introductory text and (a)
revised; (b) removed .......... 45532
51.357 (a)(3), (4), (6), (11) and (13)
revised ..................... 45533
51.358 Introductory text, (a) intro-
ductive text, (2)(1), (11), (iv),
(3) introductory text, (iv), (vi),
(ix), (b) introductory text, (2)
and (c) revised; (b)(1) and (3) re-
moved .......................... 45533
51.359 Introductory text, (a)(1),
(c) and (d) revised; (a)(3) re-
moved .......................... 45533
51.362 (a)(2) and (b)(4) revised .... 45534
51.363 (a)(4)(vii), (b)(1), (c)(10) and
d(1)(i) revised ...................... 45534
51.365 Introductory text, (a)(3),
(23), (24), (25) and (b) revised .... 45534
51.366 (a)(2)(1) through (vi) and
(b)(3) revised; (a)(2)(vii)
through (viii) removed .......... 45534
51.367 (a)(1)(vi) and (3) revised .... 45534
51.368 (a) revised ..................... 45534
51.369 (c)(2) and (3) revised ........ 45535
51.371 Introductory text, (a)(2),
(3), (b)(2) and (3) revised .... 45535
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2001
(Regulations published from January 1, 2001, through July 1, 2001)

40 CFR
Chapter I
Chapter Nomenclature change ..... 34375
Technical corrections............. 34376
51.351 (c) revised .................... 18176
51.352 (c) revised .................... 18177

40 CFR—Continued
66 FR
Page
Chapter I—Continued
51.356 (a)(6) added.................... 18177
51.357 (a)(5), (12), (b)(1), (4) and (d)
introductory text revised ........ 18177
51.358 (a)(1) revised .................. 18178
51.366 (a)(2)(xi), (xii), (xiii), (xiv),
(xv), (xvi), (xvii) and (xviii) re-
vised..................................... 18178
51.373 (g) revised ..................... 18178