

## Farm Service Agency, USDA

## § 799.7

focus on the adverse effects of FSA actions on the environmental factors listed on Form FSA-929 (see Appendix 2) and on the significance of the action as discussed in the CEQ regulations concerning NEPA at 40 CFR 1508.27. As required, the environmental evaluation shall be made by an interdisciplinary team.

(b) In the regulations in this part and in all instructions, forms, and documents in connection therewith, all other words and phrases shall, unless the context or subject matter otherwise requires, have the meanings assigned to them in the regulations governing reconstitution of farms, allotments and bases, part 719 of this chapter, as amended.

### § 799.5 FSA officials and offices responsible for carrying out NEPA.

(a) *Responsible officials.* The Administrator of FSA, or his or her designee, is the responsible Federal official for carrying out the purpose of NEPA for all FSA programs. County committees, State committees, and Directors of Washington Divisions, within their respective areas of responsibility with the assistance of the FSA representative on the USDA Environmental Quality Committee, shall assist the Administrator in complying with the policies and purposes of NEPA generally, and, in particular, in determining whether the quality of the human environment will be significantly affected in implementing agency programs and preparing the necessary environmental documents.

(b) *Offices responsible for carrying out NEPA—(1) Washington divisions.* Washington divisions are responsible for carrying out NEPA with regard to legislative proposals and multi-State and national programs or major revisions of national programs.

(2) *State committees.* State committees are responsible for carrying out NEPA with regard to major actions in a State or area within a State.

(3) *County committees.* County committees are responsible for carrying out NEPA with regard to major actions within a county.

(c) All environmental assessments, environmental impact statements (EISs) and similar documents will be

forwarded through the appropriate agency channels to the FSA representative on the USDA Environmental Quality Committee for review and submission to the Administrator.

### § 799.6 Adoption of regulations issued by others in implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA.

In addition to provisions provided for in this part 799, FSA adopts the NEPA regulations issued by CEQ (40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508) and NEPA regulations issued by USDA (7 CFR part 3100).

### § 799.7 Early involvement in private and state and local activities requiring Federal approval.

(a) The NEPA regulations at 40 CFR 1501.2(d) require agencies to provide for early involvement in actions which, while planned by private applicants or other non-Federal entities, require some form of Federal involvement.

(b) To implement the requirements of 40 CFR 1501.2(d) with respect to these actions FSA shall:

(1) Prepare, where practicable, generic guidelines describing the scope and level of environmental information required from applicants seeking assistance from FSA as a basis for evaluating their proposed actions, and make these guidelines available upon request.

(2) Provide such guidance on a project-by-project basis to applicants seeking assistance from FSA.

(3) Upon receipt of an application for agency approval, or notification that an application will be filed, consult as required with other appropriate parties to initiate and coordinate the necessary environmental analyses.

(c) The responsibilities under this section shall be coordinated by the Conservation and Environmental Protection Division of the Farm Service Agency, Washington, D.C.

(d) To facilitate compliance with paragraph (a) of this section, private applicants seeking assistance from FSA and other non-Federal entities are expected to:

(1) Contact FSA as early as possible in the planning process for guidance on the scope and level of environmental

**§ 799.8**

information required to be submitted in support of their application;

(2) Conduct any studies which are deemed necessary and appropriate by FSA to determine the impact of the proposed action on the human environment;

(3) Consult with appropriate Federal, regional, State and local agencies and other potentially interested parties during preliminary planning stages to ensure that all environmental factors are identified;

(4) Submit applications for all Federal, regional, State and local approvals as early as possible in the planning process;

(5) Notify FSA as early as possible of all other Federal, regional, State, local and Indian tribe actions required for project completion so that FSA may coordinate all Federal environmental reviews; and

(6) Notify FSA of all known parties potentially affected by or interested in the proposed action.

**§ 799.8 Making supplements to EISs part of the final administrative record.**

Where FSA evaluates a proposal on the basis of a formal administrative record and an EIS on the proposal has been prepared, any supplement to the EIS shall be made a part of the formal record before a final decision on the proposal is made.

**§ 799.9 Ensuring that environmental factors are considered in agency decisionmaking.**

(a) The NEPA regulations at 40 CFR 1501.1 contain requirements to ensure adequate consideration of environmental factors in decisionmaking. To fulfill these requirements, FSA officials shall:

(1) Consider all relevant environmental factors in evaluating proposals for agency action;

(2) Make all relevant environmental documents, comments and responses part of the record in formal rule-making or adjudicatory proceedings.

(3) Ensure that all relevant environmental documents, comments and responses accompany the proposal through existing review processes;

(4) Consider only those alternatives encompassed by the range of alter-

**7 CFR Ch. VII (1-1-08 Edition)**

natives discussed in the relevant environmental documents when evaluating proposals for agency action.

(5) Where an EIS has been prepared, consider the specific alternatives analyzed in the EIS when evaluating the proposal which is the subject of the EIS.

(b) The four categories of FSA activities that have or are likely to have significant environment impacts on the human environment are:

(1) Legislative proposals.

(2) Initial program implementation.

(3) Major changes in ongoing programs.

(4) Major environmental concerns with ongoing programs.

(c) Initial NEPA involvement in program categories in paragraph (b) of this section shall begin at the time FSA begins developing proposed legislation, begins the planning stage for implementing a new or changed program or receives notice that an ongoing program may have a significant adverse impact on the quality of the human environment. Where a legislative EIS or environmental assessment is part of the formal transmittal of a legislative program proposal to Congress, such legislative EIS or assessment may negate the need for the subsequent preparation of a program impact statement when FSA implements the resulting program. The decision whether such additional statement is needed will be made by an interdisciplinary team. The NEPA process on legislative proposals and FSA programs is carried out at the national level.

(d) Individual farm participation in FSA programs will normally not require any major involvement with the NEPA process. The practices carried out under FSA programs that might have impacts on the quality of the human environment will normally have been discussed in environmental assessments or impact statements on the applicable programs. However, for those practices that might significantly affect the quality of the human environment, the county committee