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been increased pursuant to paragraph (b)(3) of this section.

(1) Repayment. The bank must provide the consumer with one of the following methods of repaying a protected balance or a method that is no less beneficial to the consumer than one of the following methods:

(i) An amortization period of no less than five years, starting from the date on which the increased rate becomes effective for the category of transactions; or

(ii) A required minimum periodic payment that includes a percentage of the protected balance that is no more than twice the percentage required before the date on which the increased rate became effective for the category of transactions.

(2) Fees and charges. The bank must not assess any fee or charge based solely on a protected balance.

§ 227.25 Unfair balance computation method.

(a) General rule. Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, a bank must not impose finance charges on balances on a consumer credit card account based on balances for days in billing cycles that precede the most recent billing cycle as a result of the loss of any time period provided by the bank within which the consumer may repay any portion of the credit extended without incurring a finance charge.

(b) Exceptions. Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to:

(1) Adjustments to finance charges as a result of the resolution of a dispute under 12 CFR 226.12 or 12 CFR 226.13; or

(2) Adjustments to finance charges as a result of the return of a payment for insufficient funds.

§ 227.26 Unfair charging of security deposits and fees for the issuance or availability of credit to consumer credit card accounts.

(a) Limitation for first year. During the first year, a bank must not charge to a consumer credit card account security deposits and fees for the issuance or availability of credit that in total constitute a majority of the initial credit limit for the account.

(b) Limitations for first billing cycle and subsequent billing cycles. (1) First billing cycle. During the first billing cycle, the bank must not charge to a consumer credit card account security deposits and fees for the issuance or availability of credit that in total constitute more than 25 percent of the initial credit limit for the account.

(2) Subsequent billing cycles. Any additional security deposits and fees for the issuance or availability of credit permitted by paragraph (a) of this section must be charged to the account in equal portions in no fewer than the five billing cycles immediately following the first billing cycle.

(c) Evasion prohibited. A bank must not evade the requirements of this section by providing the consumer with additional credit to fund the payment of security deposits and fees for the issuance or availability of credit that exceed the total amounts permitted by paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(d) Definitions. For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) “Fees for the issuance or availability of credit” means:

(i) Any annual or other periodic fee that may be imposed for the issuance or availability of a consumer credit card account, including any fee based on account activity or inactivity; and

(ii) Any non-periodic fee that relates to opening an account.

(2) “First billing cycle” means the first billing cycle after a consumer credit card account is opened.

(3) “First year” means the period beginning with the date on which a consumer credit card account is opened and ending twelve months from that date.

(4) “Initial credit limit” means the credit limit in effect when a consumer credit card account is opened.

Supplement I to Part 227—Official Staff Commentary

Subpart A—General Provisions for Consumer Protection Rules

Section 227.1—Authority, Purpose, and Scope

1(c) Scope

1. Penalties for noncompliance. Administrative enforcement of the rule for banks may
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involve actions under section 8 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1818), including cease-and-desist orders requiring that actions be taken to remedy violations and to prevent future violations. Industrial loan companies are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

2. Industrial loan companies. Industrial loan companies that are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation are covered companies that are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. These companies are subject to the same types of actions under section 8 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act as other insured depository institutions, including cease-and-desist orders requiring actions under section 8 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act.

SUBPART C—CONSUMER CREDIT CARD ACCOUNT PRACTICES RULE

Section 227.22—Unfair Acts or Practices Regarding Time To Make Payment

22(a) General Rule

1. Treating a payment as late for any purpose. A bank is not required to treat a payment as late for any purpose. For example, if a bank is allocating $100 pursuant to §227.23(b) among balances of $1,000, $2,000, and $4,000, the bank may apply $14 to the $1,000 balance, $29 to the $2,000 balance, and $57 to the $4,000 balance.

2(b)(b) Compliance with General Rule

1. Reasonable procedures. A bank is not required to determine the specific date on which periodic statements are mailed or delivered to each individual consumer. A bank provides a reasonable amount of time to make a payment if it has adopted reasonable procedures designed to ensure that periodic statements specifying the payment due date are mailed or delivered to consumers at least 21 days before the payment due date.

Section 227.22—Unfair Acts or Practices Regarding Allocation of Payments

2. Adjustments of one dollar or less permitted. When allocating payments, the bank may adjust amounts by one dollar or less. For example, if a bank is allocating $100 pursuant to §227.23(b) among balances of $1,000, $2,000, and $4,000, the bank may apply $14 to the $1,000 balance, $29 to the $2,000 balance, and $57 to the $4,000 balance.

3. Applicable balances and annual percentage rates. Under §227.23, the bank may allocate the $400 paid by the consumer in excess of the minimum periodic payment. Section 227.23 does not limit or otherwise address the bank’s ability to determine, consistent with applicable law and regulatory guidance, the amount of the required minimum periodic payment or how that payment is allocated. A bank may, but is not required to, allocate the required minimum periodic payment consistent with the requirements in §227.23 to the extent consistent with other applicable law or regulatory guidance.

Section 227.23—Unfair Acts or Practices Regarding Allocation of Payments

1. Minimum periodic payment. Section 227.23 addresses the allocation of amounts paid by the consumer in excess of the minimum periodic payment required by the bank. Section 227.23 does not limit or otherwise address the bank’s ability to determine, consistent with applicable law and regulatory guidance, the amount of the required minimum periodic payment or how that payment is allocated. A bank may, but is not required to, allocate the required minimum periodic payment consistent with the requirements in §227.23 to the extent consistent with other applicable law or regulatory guidance.

2. Adjustments of one dollar or less permitted. When allocating payments, the bank may adjust amounts by one dollar or less. For example, if a bank is allocating $100 pursuant to §227.23(b) among balances of $1,000, $2,000, and $4,000, the bank may apply $14 to the $1,000 balance, $29 to the $2,000 balance, and $57 to the $4,000 balance.

3. Applicable balances and annual percentage rates. Under §227.23, the bank may allocate the $400 paid by the consumer in excess of the minimum periodic payment. Section 227.23 does not limit or otherwise address the bank’s ability to determine, consistent with applicable law and regulatory guidance, the amount of the required minimum periodic payment or how that payment is allocated. A bank may, but is not required to, allocate the required minimum periodic payment consistent with the requirements in §227.23 to the extent consistent with other applicable law or regulatory guidance.

4. Use of permissible allocation methods. A bank is not prohibited from changing the allocation method for a consumer credit card account based on the balances in existence and rates in effect on any day from March 31 through April 25.

Example of alternative method of compliance. Assume that, for a particular type of consumer credit card account, a bank only provides periodic statements electronically and only accepts payments electronically (consistent with applicable law and regulatory guidance). Under these circumstances, the bank could comply with §227.23(a) even if it does not provide periodic statements 21 days before the payment due date consistent with §227.23(b)(1).
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account or from using different allocation methods for different consumer credit card accounts, so long as the methods used are consistent with §227.23. For example, a bank may change from allocating to the highest rate balance first pursuant to §227.23(a) to allocating pro rata pursuant to §227.23(b) or vice versa. Similarly, a bank may allocate to the highest rate balance first pursuant to §227.23(a) on some of its accounts and allocate pro rata pursuant to §227.23(b) on other accounts.

5. Claims or defenses under Regulation Z, 12 CFR 226.12(c). When a consumer has asserted a claim or defense against the card issuer pursuant to 12 CFR 226.12(c), the bank must allocate consistent with 12 CFR 226.12 commentary 226.12(c)–4.

6. Balances with the same annual percentage rate. When the same annual percentage rate applies to more than one balance on an account and a different annual percentage rate applies to at least one other balance on that account, §227.23 does not require that any particular method be used when allocating among the balances with the same annual percentage rate. Under these circumstances, a bank may treat the balances with the same rate as a single balance or separate balances. See comments 23(a)–1.iv and 23(b)–2.iv.

23a High-to-Low Method

1. Examples. For purposes of the following examples, assume that none of the required minimum periodic payment is allocated to the balances discussed (unless otherwise stated).

i. Assume that a consumer’s account has a cash advance balance of $500 at an annual percentage rate of 20%, and a purchase balance of $1,500 at an annual percentage rate of 15% and that the consumer pays $800 in excess of the required minimum periodic payment. A bank using this method would allocate $500 to pay off the cash advance balance, $300 to pay off the purchase balance, and $100 to the protected balance.

ii. Assume that a consumer’s account has a cash advance balance of $500 at an annual percentage rate of 20%, a purchase balance of $1,000 at an annual percentage rate of 15%, and a transferred balance of $2,000 that was previously at a discounted annual percentage rate of 5% but is now at an annual percentage rate of 15%. Assume also that the consumer pays $800 in excess of the required minimum periodic payment. A bank using this method would allocate $500 to pay off the cash advance balance and allocate the remaining $300 among the purchase balance and the transferred balance in the manner the bank deems appropriate.

23b Pro Rata Method

1. Total balance. A bank may, but is not required to, deduct amounts paid by the consumer’s required minimum periodic payment when calculating the total balance for purposes of §227.23(b)(3). See comment 23(b)–2.iii.

2. Examples. For purposes of the following examples, assume that none of the required minimum periodic payment is allocated to the balances discussed (unless otherwise stated) and that the amounts allocated to each balance are rounded to the nearest dollar.

i. Assume that a consumer’s account has a cash advance balance of $500 at an annual percentage rate of 20% and a purchase balance of $1,500 at an annual percentage rate of 15% and that the consumer pays $555 in excess of the required minimum periodic payment. A bank using this method would allocate 25% of the amount ($138) to the cash advance balance and 75% of the amount ($416) to the purchase balance.

ii. Assume that a consumer’s account has a cash advance balance of $100 at an annual percentage rate of 20%, a purchase balance of $300 at an annual percentage rate of 18%, and a $600 protected balance on which the 12% annual percentage rate cannot be increased pursuant to §227.24. If the consumer pays $130 in excess of the required minimum periodic payment, a bank using this method would allocate 10% of the amount ($13) to the cash advance balance, 30% of the amount ($39) to the purchase balance, and 60% of the amount ($78) to the protected balance.

iii. Assume that a consumer’s account has a cash advance balance of $300 at an annual percentage rate of 20% and a purchase balance of $600 at an annual percentage rate of 15%. Assume also that the required minimum periodic payment is $50 and that the bank allocates this payment first to the balance with the lowest annual percentage rate (the $600 purchase balance). If the consumer pays $300 in excess of the $50 minimum payment, a bank using this method could allocate based on a total balance of $850 consisting of the $300 cash advance balance plus
the $500 purchase balance after application of the $50 minimum payment). In this case, the bank would apply 36% of the $300 ($105) to the cash advance balance and 65% of that amount ($195) to the purchase balance. In the alternative, the bank could allocate based on a total balance of $900 (which does not reflect the $50 minimum payment). In that case, the bank would apply one third of the $300 excess payment ($100) to the cash advance balance and two thirds ($200) to the purchase balance.

iv. Assume that a consumer’s account has a cash advance balance of $500 at an annual percentage rate of 20%, a purchase balance of $1,000 at an annual percentage rate of 15%, and a transferred balance of $2,000 that was previously at a discounted annual percentage rate of 5% but is now at an annual percentage rate of 15%. Assume also that the consumer pays $500 in excess of the required minimum periodic payment. A bank using this method would allocate 14% of the excess payment ($112) to the cash advance balance and allocate the remaining 86% ($688) among the purchase balance and the transferred balance in the manner the bank deems appropriate.

Section 227.24—Unfair Acts or Practices Regarding Increases in Annual Percentage Rates

1. Relationship to Regulation Z. 12 CFR part 226. A bank that complies with the applicable disclosure requirements in Regulation Z, 12 CFR part 226, has complied with the disclosure requirements in §227.24. See 12 CFR 226a, 226.5a, 226.6, 226.9. For example, a bank may comply with the requirement in §227.24(a) to disclose at account opening the annual percentage rates that will apply to each category of transactions by complying with the disclosure requirements in 12 CFR 226.5a regarding applications and solicitations and the requirements in 12 CFR 226.6 regarding account-opening disclosures. Similarly, in order to increase an annual percentage rate on new transactions pursuant to §227.24(b)(3), a bank must comply with the disclosure requirements in 12 CFR 226.9(c) or (g). However, nothing in §227.24 alters the requirements in 12 CFR 226.9(c) and (g) that creditors provide consumers with written notice at least 45 days prior to the effective date of certain increases in the annual percentage rates on open-end (not home-secured) credit plans.

24(a) General Rule

1. Rates that will apply to each category of transactions. Section 227.24(a) requires banks to disclose, at account opening, the annual percentage rates that will apply to each category of transactions on the account. A bank cannot satisfy this requirement by disclosing at account opening only a range of rates or that a rate will be “up to” a particular amount.

2. Application of prohibition on increasing rates. Section 227.24(a) prohibits banks from increasing the annual percentage rate for a category of transactions on any consumer credit card account unless specifically permitted by one of the exceptions in §227.24(b).

The following examples illustrate the application of the rule:

1. Assume that, at account opening on January 1 of year one, a bank discloses that the annual percentage rate for purchases is a non-variable rate of 15% and will apply for six months. The bank also discloses that, after six months, the annual percentage rate for purchases will be a variable rate that is currently 18% and will be adjusted quarterly by adding a margin of 8 percentage points to a publicly available index not under the bank’s control. Finally, the bank discloses that the annual percentage rate for cash advances is the same variable rate that will apply to purchases after six months. The payment due date for the account is the twenty-fifth day of the month and the required minimum periodic payments are applied to accrued interest and fees but do not reduce the purchase and cash advance balances.

A. On January 15, the consumer uses the account to make a $2,000 purchase and a $500 cash advance. No other transactions are made on the account. At the start of each quarter, the bank adjusts the variable rate that applies to the $500 cash advance consistent with changes in the index (pursuant to §227.24(b)(2)). All required minimum periodic payments are received on or before the payment due date until May of year one, when the payment due on May 25 is received by the bank on May 28. The bank is prohibited by §227.24 from increasing the rates that apply to the $2,000 purchase, the $500 cash advance, or future purchases and cash advances. Six months after account opening (July 1), the bank begins accruing interest on the $2,000 purchase at the previously disclosed variable rate determined using an 8-point margin (pursuant to §227.24(b)(1)). Because no other increases in rate were disclosed at account opening, the bank may not subsequently increase the variable rate that applies to the $2,000 purchase and the $500 cash advance (except due to increases in the index pursuant to §227.24(b)(2)). On November 16, the bank provides a notice pursuant to 12 CFR 226.9(c) informing the consumer of a new variable rate that will apply on January 1 of year two (calculated using the same index and an increased margin of 12 percentage points). On January 1 of year two, the bank increases the margin used to determine the variable rate that applies to new purchases to 12 percentage points (pursuant to §227.24(b)(3)). On January 15 of year two, the consumer makes a $300 purchase. The bank
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applying the variable rate determined using the 12-point margin to the $300 purchase but not the $2,000 purchase.

B. Same facts as above except that the required minimum periodic payment due on May 25 of year one is not received by the bank until June 30 of year one. Because the bank received the required minimum periodic payment more than 30 days after the payment due date, §227.24(b)(4) permits the bank to increase the annual percentage rate applicable to the $2,000 purchase, the $500 cash advance, and future purchases and cash advances. However, the bank must first comply with the notice requirements in 12 CFR 226.9(g). Thus, if the bank provided a 12 CFR 226.9(g) notice on June 25 stating that all rates on the account would be increased to a non-variable penalty rate of 30%, the bank could apply that 30% rate beginning on August 9 to all balances and future transactions.

ii. Assume that, at account opening on January 1 of year one, a bank discloses that the annual percentage rate for purchases will increase as follows: A non-variable rate of 9% for six months; a non-variable rate of 10% for an additional six months; and thereafter a variable rate that is currently 15% and will be adjusted monthly by adding a margin of 5 percentage points to a publicly available index not under the bank’s control. The payment due date for the account is the fifteenth day of the month and the required minimum periodic payments are applied to accrued interest and fees but do not reduce the purchase balance. On January 15, the consumer uses the account to make a $1,500 purchase. Six months after account opening (July 1), the bank begins accruing interest on the $1,500 purchase at the previously disclosed 10% non-variable rate (pursuant to §227.24(b)(1)). On September 15, the consumer uses the account for a $700 purchase. On November 16, the bank provides a notice pursuant to 12 CFR 226.9(c) informing the consumer of a new variable rate that will apply on January 1 of year two (calculated using the same index and an increased margin of 8 percentage points). On June 8, the consumer makes a $300 purchase. On June 15 of year two, the account has a purchase balance of $1,000. On May 31, the creditor provides a notice pursuant to 12 CFR 226.9(c) informing the consumer of a new variable rate that will apply on July 16 for all purchases made on or after June 8 (calculated by using the same index and an increased margin of 8 percentage points). On June 7, the consumer makes a $500 purchase. On June 8, the consumer makes a $200 purchase. On June 25, the bank has not received the payment due on June 15 and provides the consumer with a notice pursuant to 12 CFR 226.9(g) stating that the penalty rate of 28% will apply as of August 9 to all transactions made on or after July 3. On July 4, the consumer makes a $300 purchase.

A. The payment due on June 15 of year two is received on June 26. On July 16, §227.24(b)(3) permits the bank to apply the variable rate determined using the 8-point margin to the $200 purchase made on June 8 but does not permit the bank to apply this rate to the $1,500 purchase balance. On August 9, §227.24(b)(3) permits the bank to apply the 28% penalty rate to the $300 purchase made on July 4 but does not permit the bank to apply this rate to the $1,500 purchase balance (which remains at the variable rate determined using the 6-point margin) or the $200 purchase (which remains at the variable rate determined using the 8-point margin).

B. Same facts as above except the payment due on September 15 of year two is received on October 20. Section 227.24(b)(4) permits the bank to apply the 28% penalty rate to all balances on the account and to future transactions because it has not received payment within 30 days after the due date. However, in order to apply the 28% penalty rate to the entire $2,000 purchase balance, the bank must provide an additional notice pursuant to 12 CFR 226.9(g). This notice must be sent no earlier than October 16, which is the first day the account became more than 30 days delinquent.

C. Same facts as paragraph A. above except the payment due on June 15 of year two is received on June 20. Section 227.24(b)(4) permits the bank to apply the 28% penalty rate to all balances on the account and to future transactions because it has not received payment within 30 days after the due date. Because
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24(b) Exceptions

24(b)(1) Account Opening Disclosure Exception

1. Prohibited increases in rate. Section 227.24(b)(1) permits an increase in the annual percentage rate for a category of transactions to a rate disclosed at account opening upon expiration of a period of time that was also disclosed at account opening. Section 227.24(b)(1) does not permit application of increased rates that are disclosed at account opening but are contingent on a particular event or occurrence or may be applied at the bank’s discretion. The following examples illustrate rate increases that are not permitted by §227.24(a):

i. Assume that a bank discloses at account opening on January 1 of year one that a non-variable rate of 15% applies to transferred balances but that all rates on an account may be increased to a non-variable penalty rate of 30% if a consumer’s required minimum periodic payment is received after the payment due date, which is the fifteenth of the month. On March 1, the account has a $2,000 purchase balance. The payment due on March 15 is not received until March 20. Section 227.24 does not permit the bank to apply the 30% penalty rate to the $2,000 purchase balance. However, pursuant to §227.24(b)(3), the bank could provide a 12 CFR 226.9(c) or (g) notice on November 16 informing the consumer that, on January 1 of year two, the 30% rate (or a different rate) will apply to new transactions.

ii. Assume that a bank discloses at account opening on January 1 of year one that a non-variable rate of 15% applies to transferred balances but that this rate will increase to a non-variable rate of 38% if the consumer does not use the account for at least $200 in purchases each billing cycle. On July 1, the consumer transfers a balance of $4,000 to the account. During the October billing cycle, the consumer uses the account for $150 in purchases. Section 227.24 does not permit the bank to apply the 18% rate to the $4,000 transferred balance. However, pursuant to §227.24(b)(3), the bank could provide a 12 CFR 226.9(c) or (g) notice on November 16 informing the consumer that, on January 1 of year two, the 18% rate (or a different rate) will apply to new transactions.

iii. Assume that a bank discloses at account opening on January 1 of year one that interest on purchases will be deferred for one year, although interest will accrue on purchases during that year at a non-variable rate of 20%. The bank further discloses that, if all purchases made during year one are not paid in full by the end of that year, the bank will begin charging interest on the purchase balance and new purchases at 20% until retroactively charge interest on the purchase balance at a rate of 20% starting on the date of each purchase made during year one. On January 1 of year one, the consumer makes a purchase of $1,500. No other transactions are made on the account. On January 1 of year two, $500 of the $1,500 purchase remains unpaid. Section 227.24 does not permit the bank to reach back to charge interest on the $1,500 purchase from January 1 through December 31 of year one. However, the bank may apply the previously disclosed 20% rate to the $500 purchase balance beginning on January 1 of year two (pursuant to §227.24(b)(1)).

2. Loss of grace period. Nothing in §227.24 prohibits a bank from assessing interest due to the loss of a grace period to the extent consistent with §227.25.

3. Application of rate that is lower than disclosed rate. Section 227.24(b)(1) permits an increase in the annual percentage rate for a category of transactions to a rate disclosed at account opening upon expiration of a period of time that was also disclosed at account opening. However, if a lower rate is applied to an existing balance, the bank cannot subsequently increase the rate on that balance unless it has provided the consumer with advance notice of the increase pursuant to 12 CFR 226.9(c). Furthermore, the bank cannot increase the rate on that existing balance to a rate that is higher than the increased rate disclosed at account opening. The following example illustrates the application of this rule:

i. Assume that, at account opening on January 1 of year one, a bank discloses that a non-variable annual percentage rate of 15% will apply to purchases for one year and discloses that, after the first year, the bank will apply a variable rate that is currently 20% and is determined by adding a margin of 10 percentage points to a publicly available index not under the bank’s control. On December 31 of year one, the account has a purchase balance of $3,000.

A. On November 16 of year one, the bank provides a notice pursuant to 12 CFR 226.9(c) informing the consumer of a new variable rate that will apply on January 1 of year two (calculated using the same index and a reduced margin of 8 percentage points). The notice further states that, on July 1 of year two, the new margin increases to the margin disclosed at account opening (10 percentage points). On July 1 of year two, the bank increases the margin used to determine the variable rate that applies to new purchases.
Federal Reserve System

Section 227.24 provides that an annual percentage rate for a category of transactions that varies according to an index that is not under the bank’s control and is available to the general public may be increased due to an increase in the index. This section does not permit a bank to increase the annual percentage rate by changing the method used to determine a rate that varies with an index (such as by increasing the margin), even if that change will not result in an immediate increase.

1. Increases due to increase in index. Section 227.24 provides that an annual percentage rate for a category of transactions that varies according to an index that is not under the bank’s control and is available to the general public may be increased due to an increase in the index. This section does not permit a bank to increase the annual percentage rate by changing the method used to determine a rate that varies with an index (such as by increasing the margin), even if that change will not result in an immediate increase.

2. External index. A bank may increase the annual percentage rate if the increase is based on an index or indices outside the bank’s control. A bank may not increase the rate based on its own prime rate or cost of funds. A bank is permitted, however, to use a published prime rate, such as that in the Wall Street Journal, even if the bank’s own prime rate is one of several rates used to establish the published rate.

3. Publicly available. The index or indices must be available to the public. A publicly available index need not be published in a newspaper, but it must be one the consumer can independently obtain (by telephone, for example) and use to verify the rate applied to the outstanding balance.

4. Changing a non-variable rate to a variable rate. Section 227.24 generally prohibits a bank from changing a non-variable annual percentage rate to a variable rate because such a change can result in an increase in rate. However, §227.24(b)(1) permits a bank to change a non-variable rate to a variable rate if the change was disclosed at account opening. Furthermore, following the first year after the account is opened, §227.24(b)(3) permits a bank to change a non-variable rate to a variable rate with respect to new transactions (after complying with the notice requirements in 12 CFR 226.9(g)). Finally, §227.24(b)(4) permits a bank to change a non-variable rate to a variable rate if the required minimum periodic payment is not received within 30 days of the payment due date (after complying with the notice requirements in 12 CFR 226.9(g)).

5. Changing a variable annual percentage rate to a non-variable annual percentage rate. Nothing in §227.24 prohibits a bank from applying an increased rate to transactions that occur after the account was opened and prior to July 1, 2010.

6. Substitution of index. A bank may change the index and margin used to determine the annual percentage rate under §227.24(b)(2) if the original index becomes unavailable, as long as historical fluctuations in the original and replacement indices were substantially similar, and as long as the replacement index and margin will produce a rate similar to the rate that was in effect at the time the original index became unavailable. If the replacement index is newly established and therefore does not have any rate history, it may be used if it produces a rate substantially similar to the rate in effect when the original index became unavailable.

24(b)(2) Variable Rate Exception

1. Increases due to increase in index. Section 227.24 generally prohibits a bank from applying an increased rate to transactions that occur after the account was opened and prior to July 1, 2010.

2. Transactions that occur more than seven days after notice provided. Section 227.24(b)(3) generally prohibits a bank from applying an increased rate to transactions that occur within seven days after provision of the 12 CFR 226.9(c) or (g) notice. This prohibition does not, however, apply to transactions that are authorized within seven days after provision of the 12 CFR 226.9(c) or (g) notice but are settled more than seven days after the notice was provided.

3. Examples.

i. Assume that a consumer credit card account is opened on January 1 of year one. On March 14 of year two, the account has a purchase balance of $2,000 at a non-variable annual percentage rate of 15%. On March 15, the bank provides a notice pursuant to 12 CFR 226.9(c) informing the consumer that the rate for new purchases will increase to a non-variable rate of 18% on May 1. The notice further states that the 18% rate will apply for six months (until November 1) and
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24(c) Treatment of Protected Balances

1. Protected balances. Because rates cannot
be increased pursuant to §227.24(b)(3) during
the first year after account opening,
§227.24(c) does not apply to balances during
the first year. Instead, the requirements in
§227.24(c) apply only to “protected bal-
ces,” which are amounts owed for a cate-
y of transactions to which an increased
annual percentage rate cannot be applied
after the rate for that category of trans-
actions has been increased pursuant to
§227.24(b)(3). For example, assume that, on
March 15 of year two, an account has a pur-
balance of $1,000 at a non-variable rate
of 12% and that, on March 16, the bank sends
a notice pursuant to 12 CFR 226.9(c) inform-
ing the consumer that the rate for new pur-
chases will increase to a non-variable rate
of 15% on May 2. On March 20, the consumer
makes a $100 purchase. On March 24, the con-
sumer makes a $150 purchase. On May 2,
§227.24(b)(3) permits the bank to start charg-
ing interest at 15% on the $150 purchase
made on March 24 but does not permit the
bank to apply that 19% rate to the $1,100 pur-
chase balance as of March 23. Accordingly,
§227.24(c) applies to the $1,100 purchase bal-
ance as of March 23 but not the $150 purchase
made on March 24.

24(c)(1) Repayment

1. No less beneficial to the consumer. A bank
may provide a method of repaying the pro-
tected balance that is different from the
methods listed in §227.24(c)(1) so long as the
method used is no less beneficial to the con-
sumer than one of the listed methods. A
method is no less beneficial to the consumer
if the method amortizes the protected bal-
ance in five years or longer or if the method
results in a required minimum periodic payment that is equal to or less than a minimum payment calculated consistent with §227.24(c)(1)(i). For example, a bank could increase the percentage of the protected balance included in the required minimum periodic payment from 2% to 5% so long as doing so would not result in amortization of the protected balance in less than five years. Alternatively, a bank could require a consumer to make a minimum payment that amortizes the protected balance in less than five years so long as the payment does not include a percentage of the balance that is more than twice the percentage included in the minimum payment before the effective date of the increased rate. For example, a bank could require the consumer to make a minimum payment that amortizes the protected balance in four years so long as doing so would not more than double the percentage of the balance included in the minimum payment prior to the effective date of the increased rate.

2. Lower limit for required minimum periodic payment. If the required minimum periodic payment under §227.24(c)(1)(i) or (c)(1)(ii) is less than the lower dollar limit for minimum payments established in the cardholder agreement before the effective date of the rate increase, the bank may set the minimum payment consistent with that limit. For example, if at account opening the cardholder agreement stated that the required minimum periodic payment would be either the total of fees and interest charges plus 1% of the total amount owed or $20 (whichever is greater), the bank may require the consumer to make a minimum payment of $20 even if doing so would pay off the protected balance in less than five years or constitute more than 2% of the protected balance plus fees and interest charges.

Paragraph 24(c)(1)(i)

1. Amortization period starting from date on which increased rate becomes effective. Section 227.24(c)(1)(i) provides for an amortization period for the protected balance of no less than five years, starting from the date on which the increased annual percentage rate becomes effective. A bank is not required to recalculate the required minimum periodic payment for the protected balance if, during the amortization period, that balance is reduced as a result of the allocation of amounts paid by the consumer in excess of the minimum payment consistent with §227.23 or any other practice permitted by these rules and other applicable law.

2. Amortization when applicable annual percentage rate is variable. If the annual percentage rate that applies to the protected balance varies with an index consistent with §227.24(b)(2), the bank may adjust the interest charges included in the required minimum periodic payment for that balance accordingly in order to ensure that the outstanding balance is amortized in five years. For example, assume that a variable rate that is currently 15% applies to a protected balance and that, in order to amortize that balance in five years, the required minimum periodic payment must include a specific amount of principal plus all accrued interest charges. If the 15% variable rate increases due to an increase in the index, the bank may increase the required minimum periodic payment to include the additional interest charges.

Paragraph 24(c)(1)(ii)

1. Required minimum periodic payment on other balances. Section 227.24(c)(1)(ii) addresses the required minimum periodic payment on the protected balance. Section 227.24(c)(1)(ii) does not limit or otherwise address the bank’s ability to determine the amount of the required minimum periodic payment for other balances.

2. Example. Assume that a variable rate credit card account requires the consumer to pay either the total of fees and interest charges plus 1% of the total amount owed or $20, whichever is greater. Assume also that the account has a purchase balance of $2,000 at an annual percentage rate of 18% and a cash advance balance of $500 at an annual percentage rate of 20% and that the bank increases the rate for purchases to 18% but does not increase the rate for cash advances. Under §227.24(c)(1)(ii), the bank may require the consumer to pay fees and interest plus 2% of the $2,000 purchase balance. Section 227.24(c)(1)(ii) does not prohibit the bank from increasing the required minimum periodic payment for the cash advance balance.

24(c)(2) Fees and Charges

1. Fee or charge based solely on the protected balance. A bank is prohibited from assessing a fee or charge based solely on balances to which §227.24(c) applies. For example, a bank is prohibited from assessing a monthly maintenance fee that would not be charged if the account did not have a protected balance. A bank is not, however, prohibited from assessing fees such as late payment fees or fees for exceeding the credit limit even if such fees are based in part on the protected balance.

Section 227.25—Unfair Balance Computation Method

25(a) General Rule

1. Two-cycle method prohibited. When a consumer ceases to be eligible for a time period provided by the bank within which the consumer may repay any portion of the credit extended without incurring a finance charge.
(a grace period), the bank is prohibited from computing the finance charge using the so-called two-cycle average daily balance computation method. This method calculates the finance charge by dividing the sum of the average daily balances for two billing cycles. The first balance is for the current billing cycle, and is calculated by adding all new transactions (including or excluding new purchases and deducting payments and credits) for each day in the billing cycle, and then dividing by the number of days in the billing cycle. The second balance is for the preceding billing cycle.

2. Examples.
   i. Assume that the billing cycle on a consumer credit card account starts on the first day of the month and ends on the last day of the month. The payment due date for the account is the twenty-fifth day of the month. Under the terms of the account, the consumer will not be charged interest on purchases if the balance at the end of a billing cycle is paid in full by the following payment due date. The consumer uses the credit card to make a $500 purchase on March 15. The consumer pays the balance for the February billing cycle in full on March 25. At the end of the March billing cycle (March 31), the consumer’s balance consists only of the $500 purchase and the consumer will not be charged interest on that balance if it is paid in full by the following due date (April 25). The consumer pays $400 on April 25, leaving a $100 balance. The bank may charge interest on the $500 purchase from the start of the April billing cycle (April 1) through April 24 and interest on the remaining $100 from April 25 through the end of the April billing cycle (April 30). The bank is prohibited, however, from reaching back and charging interest on the $500 purchase from the date of purchase (March 15) to the end of the March billing cycle (March 31).

   ii. Assume the same circumstances as in the previous example except that the consumer does not pay the balance for the February billing cycle in full on March 25 and therefore, under the terms of the account, is not eligible for a time period within which to repay the $500 purchase without incurring a finance charge. With respect to the $500 purchase, the bank may charge interest from the date of purchase (March 15) through April 24 and interest on the remaining $100 from April 25 through the end of the April billing cycle (April 30).

Section 227.26—Unfair Charging of Security Deposits and Fees for the Issuance or Availability of Credit to Consumer Credit Card Accounts

26(a) Limitation for First Year

1. Majority of the credit limit. The total amount of security deposits and fees for the issuance or availability of credit constitutes a majority of the initial credit limit if that total is greater than half of the limit. For example, assume that a consumer credit card account has an initial credit limit of $500. Under §227.26(a), a bank may charge to the account security deposits and fees for the issuance or availability of credit totaling no more than $250 during the first year (consistent with §227.26(b)).

26(b) Limitations for First Billing Cycle and Subsequent Billing Cycles

1. Adjustments of one dollar or less permitted. When dividing amounts pursuant to §227.26(b)(2), a bank may adjust amounts by one dollar or less. For example, if a bank is dividing $87 over five billing cycles, the bank may charge $18 for two months and $17 for the remaining three months.

2. Examples.
   i. Assume that a consumer credit card account opened on January 1 has an initial credit limit of $500. Assume also that the billing cycles for this account begin on the first day of the month and end on the last day of the month. Under §227.26(a), the bank may charge to the account no more than $250 in security deposits and fees for the issuance or availability of credit during the first year after the account is opened. If it charges $250, the bank may charge up to $125 during the first billing cycle. If it charges $125 during the first billing cycle, it may then charge no more than $25 in each of the next five billing cycles. If it chooses, the bank may spread the additional security deposits and fees over a longer period, such as by charging $12.50 in each of the ten billing cycles following the first billing cycle.

   ii. Same facts as above except that on July 1 the bank increases the credit limit on the account from $500 to $750. Because the prohibition in §227.26(a) is based on the initial credit limit of $500, the increase in credit limit does not permit the bank to charge to the account additional security deposits and fees for the issuance or availability of credit (such as a fee for increasing the credit limit).

26(c) Evasion Prohibited

1. Evasion. Section 227.26(c) prohibits a bank from evading the requirements of this section by providing the consumer with additional credit to fund the consumer’s payment of security deposits and fees that exceed the total amounts permitted by §227.26(a) and (b). For example, assume that on January 1 a consumer opens a consumer credit card account with an initial credit limit of $400 and the bank charges to that account $100 in fees for the issuance or availability of credit. Assume also that the billing cycles for the account coincide with the days of the month and that the bank will charge $20 in fees for the issuance or availability of credit in the February, March,
April, May, and June billing cycles. The bank violates §227.26(c) if it provides the consumer with a separate credit product to fund additional security deposits or fees for the issuance or availability of credit. The bank violates §227.26(c) if it requires the consumer to pay security deposits or fees for the issuance or availability of credit using funds that are not obtained, directly or indirectly, from the bank. For example, a bank does not violate §227.26(c) if a $400 security deposit paid by a consumer to obtain a consumer credit card account with a credit line of $400 is not charged to a credit account provided by the bank or its affiliate.

26(d) Definitions

1. Membership fees. Membership fees for opening an account are fees for the issuance or availability of credit. A membership fee to join an organization that provides a credit card as a privilege of membership is a fee for the issuance or availability of credit only if the card is issued automatically upon membership. If membership results merely in eligibility to apply for an account, then such a fee is not a fee for the issuance or availability of credit.

2. Enhancements. Fees for optional services in addition to basic membership privileges in a credit or charge card account (for example, travel insurance or card-registration services) are not fees for the issuance or availability of credit if the basic account may be opened without paying such fees. Issuing a card to each primary cardholder (not authorized users) is considered a basic membership privilege and fees for additional cards, beyond the first card on the account, are fees for the issuance or availability of credit. Thus, a fee to obtain an additional card on the account beyond the first card (so that each cardholder would have his or her own card) is a fee for the issuance or availability of credit even if the fee is optional; that is, if the charge is only paid if the cardholder requests one or more additional cards.

3. One-time fees. Non-periodic fees related to opening an account (such as application fees or one-time membership or participation fees) are fees for the issuance or availability of credit. Fees for reissuing a lost or stolen card, statement reproduction fees, and fees for late payment or other violations of the account terms are examples of fees that are not fees for the issuance or availability of credit.

[Reg. AA, 74 FR 5561, Jan. 29, 2009]

Effective Date Note: At 74 FR 5561, Jan. 29, 2009, supplement I was added to part 227, effective July 1, 2010.