

§ 1.6662-7

26 CFR Ch. I (4-1-10 Edition)

Example 1. (i) Applying section 482, the Internal Revenue Service makes the following adjustments for the taxable year:

(1) Attributable to an adjustment that is 400 percent or more of the correct section 482 arm's length result	\$2,000,000
(2) Not a 200 or 400 percent adjustment	2,500,000
Total	4,500,000

(ii) The taxpayer has gross receipts of 75 million dollars after all section 482 adjustments. None of the adjustments is excluded under paragraph (d) (Amounts excluded from net section 482 adjustments) of this section, in determining the five million dollar or 10% of gross receipts test under section 6662(e)(1)(B)(ii). The net section 482 adjustment (4.5 million dollars) is less than the lesser of five million dollars or ten percent of gross receipts (\$75 million \times 10% = \$7.5 million). Thus, there is no substantial valuation misstatement. However, the two million dollar adjustment is attributable to a gross valuation misstatement. Accordingly, the taxpayer may be subject to a penalty, under section 6662(h), equal to 40 percent of the underpayment of tax attributable to the gross valuation misstatement of two million dollars. The 2.5 million dollar adjustment is not subject to a penalty under section 6662(b)(3).

Example 2. The facts are the same as in *Example 1*, except the taxpayer has gross receipts of 40 million dollars. The net section 482 adjustment (\$4.5 million) is greater than the lesser of five million dollars or ten percent of gross receipts (\$40 million \times 10% = \$4 million). Thus, the five million dollar or 10% of gross receipts test has been met. The two million dollar adjustment is attributable to a gross valuation misstatement. Accordingly, the taxpayer is subject to a penalty, under section 6662(h), equal to 40 percent of the underpayment of tax attributable to the gross valuation misstatement of two million dollars. The 2.5 million dollar adjustment is subject to a penalty under sections 6662(a) and 6662(b)(3), equal to 20 percent of the underpayment of tax attributable to the substantial valuation misstatement.

Example 3. (i) Applying section 482, the Internal Revenue Service makes the following transfer pricing adjustments for the taxable year:

(1) Attributable to an adjustment that is 400 percent or more of the correct section 482 arm's length result	\$6,000,000
(2) Not a 200 or 400 percent adjustment	15,000,000
Total	21,000,000

(ii) None of the adjustments are excluded under paragraph (d) (Amounts excluded from net section 482 adjustments) in determining the twenty million dollar or 20% of gross receipts test under section 6662(h). The net section 482 adjustment (21 million dollars) is greater than twenty million dollars and thus constitutes a gross valuation misstatement.

Accordingly, the total adjustment is subject to the net adjustment penalty equal to 40 percent of the underpayment of tax attributable to the 21 million dollar gross valuation misstatement. The six million dollar adjustment will not be separately included for purposes of any additional penalty under section 6662.

(g) *Effective/applicability date*—(1) *In general.* This section is generally applicable on February 9, 1996. However, taxpayers may elect to apply this section to all open taxable years beginning after December 31, 1993.

(2) *Special rules.* The provisions of paragraphs (d)(2)(ii)(B), (d)(2)(iii)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(iii)(B)(6) of this section are applicable for taxable years beginning after July 31, 2009. However, taxpayers may elect to apply the provisions of paragraphs (d)(2)(ii)(B), (d)(2)(iii)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(iii)(B)(6) of this section to earlier taxable years in accordance with the rules set forth in § 1.482-9(n)(2).

[T.D. 8656, 61 FR 4880, Feb. 9, 1996; T.D. 8656, 61 FR 14248, Apr. 1, 1996; 62 FR 46877, Sept. 5, 1997, as amended by T.D. 9278, 71 FR 44518, Aug. 4, 2006; T.D. 9441, 74 FR 390, Jan. 5, 2009; T.D. 9456, 74 FR 38875, Aug. 4, 2009]

§ 1.6662-7 Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 changes to the accuracy-related penalty.

(a) *Scope.* The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 made certain changes to the accuracy-related penalty in section 6662. This section provides rules reflecting those changes.

(b) *No disclosure exception for negligence penalty.* The penalty for negligence in section 6662(b)(1) may not be avoided by disclosure of a return position.

(c) *Disclosure standard for other penalties is reasonable basis.* The penalties for disregarding rules or regulations in section 6662(b)(1) and for a substantial understatement of income tax in section 6662(b)(2) may be avoided by adequate disclosure of a return position only if the position has at least a reasonable basis. See § 1.6662-3(c) and §§ 1.6662-4(e) and (f) for other applicable disclosure rules.

(d) *Reasonable basis.* For purposes of §§ 1.6662-3(c) and 1.6662-4(e) and (f) (relating to methods of making adequate disclosure), the provisions of § 1.6662-

Internal Revenue Service, Treasury

§ 1.6664-1

3(b)(3) apply in determining whether a return position has a reasonable basis.

[T.D. 8617, 60 FR 45665, Sept. 1, 1995, as amended by T.D. 8790, 63 FR 66435, Dec. 2, 1998]

§ 1.6664-0 Table of contents.

This section lists the captions in §§ 1.6664-1 through 1.6664-4T.

§ 1.6664-1 Accuracy-related and fraud penalties; definitions and special rules.

- (a) In general.
- (b) Effective date.
 - (1) In general.
 - (2) Reasonable cause and good faith exception to section 6662 penalties.
 - (i) For returns due after September 1, 1995.
 - (ii) For returns filed after December 31, 2002.
 - (3) Qualified amended returns.

§ 1.6664-2 Underpayment.

- (a) Underpayment defined.
- (b) Amount of income tax imposed.
- (c) Amount shown as the tax by the taxpayer on his return.
 - (1) Defined.
 - (2) Effect of qualified amended return.
 - (3) Qualified amended return defined.
 - (i) General rule.
 - (ii) Undisclosed listed transactions.
 - (4) Special rules.
 - (5) Examples.
 - (d) Amounts not so shown previously assessed (or collected without assessment).
 - (e) Rebates.
 - (f) Underpayments for certain carryback years not reduced by amount of carrybacks.
 - (g) Examples.

§ 1.6664-3 Ordering rules for determining the total amount of penalties imposed.

- (a) In general.
- (b) Order in which adjustments are taken into account.
- (c) Manner in which unclaimed prepayment credits are allocated.
- (d) Examples.

§ 1.6664-4 Reasonable cause and good faith exception to section 6662 penalties.

- (a) In general.
- (b) Facts and circumstances taken into account.
 - (1) In general.
 - (2) Examples.
 - (c) Reliance on opinion or advice.
 - (i) Fact and circumstances; minimum requirements.
 - (i) All facts and circumstances considered.
 - (ii) No unreasonable assumptions.
 - (iii) Reliance on the invalidity of a regulation.

- (2) Advice defined.
- (3) Cross-reference.
- (d) Underpayments attributable to reportable transactions.
- (e) Pass-through items.
- (f) Special rules for substantial understatement penalty attributable to tax shelter items of corporations.
 - (1) In general; facts and circumstances.
 - (2) Reasonable cause based on legal justification.
 - (i) Minimum requirements.
 - (A) Authority requirement.
 - (B) Belief requirement.
 - (ii) Legal justification defined.
 - (3) Minimum requirements not dispositive.
 - (4) Other factors.
 - (g) Transactions between persons described in section 482 and net section 482 transfer price adjustments. [Reserved]
 - (h) Valuation misstatements of charitable deduction property.
 - (1) In general.
 - (2) Definitions.
 - (i) Charitable deduction property.
 - (ii) Qualified appraisal.
 - (iii) Qualified appraiser.
 - (3) Special rules.

§ 1.6664-4T Reasonable cause and good faith exception to section 6662 penalties

- (a)-(c) [Reserved]
- (d) Transactions between persons described in section 482 and net section 482 transfer price adjustments.

[T.D. 8381, 56 FR 67505, Dec. 31, 1991, as amended by T.D. 8519, 59 FR 4799, Feb. 2, 1994; T.D. 8617, 60 FR 45666, Sept. 1, 1995; T.D. 8656, 61 FR 4885, Feb. 9, 1996; T.D. 8790, 63 FR 66435, Dec. 2, 1998; T.D. 9109, 68 FR 75128, Dec. 30, 2003; T.D. 9309, 72 FR 903, Jan. 9, 2007]

§ 1.6664-1 Accuracy-related and fraud penalties; definitions, effective date and special rules.

(a) *In general.* Section 6664(a) defines the term “underpayment” for purposes of the accuracy-related penalty under section 6662 and the fraud penalty under section 6663. The definition of “underpayment” of income taxes imposed under subtitle A is set forth in § 1.6664-2. Ordering rules for computing the total amount of accuracy-related and fraud penalties imposed with respect to a return are set forth in § 1.6664-3. Section 6664(c) provides a reasonable cause and good faith exception to the accuracy-related penalty. Rules relating to the reasonable cause and good faith exception are set forth in § 1.6664-4.