Ofc. of Labor-Management Standards, Labor

§453.16

must be bonded be fixed "at the beginning of the organization's fiscal year * * * in an amount not less than 10 percentum of the funds handled by him and his predecessor or predecessors, if any, during the preceding fiscal year, but in no case more than \$500,000." If there is no preceding fiscal year, the amount of each required bond is set at not less than \$1,000 for local labor organizations and at not less than \$10,000 for other labor organizations or for trusts in which a labor organization is interested.

§453.14 The meaning of "funds."

While the protection of bonds required under the Act must extend to any actual loss from the acts of fraud or dishonesty in the handling of "funds or other property" (§453.7), the amount of the bond depends upon the "funds' handled by the personnel bonded and their predecessors, if any. "Funds" as here used is not defined in the Act. As in the case of "funds or other propertv" discussed earlier in §453.7, the term would not include property of a relatively permanent nature such as land, buildings, furniture, fixtures, or property similarly held for use in the operations of the labor organization or trust rather than as quick assets. In its normal meaning, however, "funds" would include, in addition to cash, items such as bills and notes, government obligations and marketable securities, and in a particular case might well include all the "funds or other property" handled during the year in the positions occupied by the particular personnel for whom the bonding is required. In any event, it is clear that bonds fixed in the amount of 10 percent or more of the total "funds or other property" handled by the occupants of such positions during the preceeding fiscal year would be in amounts sufficient to meet the statutory requirement. Of course, in situations where a significant saving in bonding costs might result from computing separately the amounts of "funds" and of "other property" handled, criteria for distinguishing particular items to be included in the quoted terms would prove useful. While the criteria to be applied in a particular case would depend on all the

relevant facts concerning the specific items handled, it may be assumed as a general principle that at least those items which may be handled in a manner similar to cash and which involve a like risk of loss should be included in computing the amount of "funds" handled.

[30 FR 14926, Dec. 2, 1965]

\$453.15 The meaning of funds handled "during the preceding fiscal year".

The funds handled by personnel required to be bonded and their predecessors during the course of a fiscal year would ordinarily include the total of whatever such funds were on hand at the beginning of the fiscal year plus any items received or added in the form of funds during the year for any reason, such as dues, fees and assessments, trust receipts, or items received as a result of sales, investments, reinvestments, or otherwise. It would not, however, be necessary to count the same item twice in arriving at the total funds handled by personnel during a year. Once an item properly within the category of "funds" had been counted as handled by personnel during a year, there would be no need to count it again should it subsequently be handled by the same personnel during the same year in some other connection.

§453.16 Funds handled by more than one person.

The amount of any required bond is determined by the total funds handled during a fiscal year by each "person" bonded, and any predecessors of such 'person''. The term "person", however, is defined in section 3(d) of the Act to include "one or more" of the various individuals or entities there listed, so that there may be numerous instances where the bond of a "person" may include several individuals. Wherever this is the case, the amount of the bond for that "person" would, of course, be based on the total funds handled by all who comprise the "person" included in the bond, without regard to the precise extent to which any particular individual might have handled such funds. This would be the situation, for example, in many cases of joint or group activity in the performance of a single function. It would also be true where