

## Environmental Protection Agency

## § 52.1234

and data was delegated to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency effective October 6, 1977.

[37 FR 10874, May 31, 1972, as amended at 40 FR 55330, Nov. 28, 1975; 43 FR 10, Jan. 3, 1978; 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986]

### § 52.1225 Review of new sources and modifications.

(a) Part D—Approval. The State of Minnesota has satisfied the requirements of sections 173 and 189(a)(1)(A) for permitting of major new sources and modifications in nonattainment areas.

(b)–(d) [Reserved]

(e) The State of Minnesota has committed to conform to the Stack Height Regulations, as set forth in 40 CFR part 51. In a January 14, 1987, letter to David Kee, USEPA, Thomas J. Kalitowski, Executive Director, Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, stated:

Minnesota does not currently have a stack height rule, nor do we intend to adopt such a rule. Instead, we will conform with the Stack Height Regulations as set forth in the July 8, 1985, FEDERAL REGISTER in issuing permits for new or modified sources. In cases where that rule is not clear, we will contact USEPA Region V and conform to the current federal interpretation of the item in question.

[53 FR 17037, May 13, 1988, as amended at 59 FR 21941, Apr. 28, 1994; 60 FR 21451, May 2, 1995]

### §§ 52.1226–52.1229 [Reserved]

### § 52.1230 Control strategy and rules: Particulates.

(a) *Part D—(1) Approval.* The State of Minnesota has satisfied the requirements of sections 189(a)(1)(B) and 189(a)(1)(C) and paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of section 172(c) for the Saint Paul and Rochester areas. The Administrator has determined pursuant to section 189(e) that secondary particulate matter formed from particulate matter precursors does not contribute significantly to exceedances of the NAAQS.

(2) *No action.* USEPA takes no action on the alternative test method provision of Rule 7005.2910.

(b) *Approval.*—On May 31, 1988, the State of Minnesota submitted a committal SIP for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter equal to or

less than 10 micrometers (PM<sub>10</sub>) for Minnesota's Group II areas. The Group II areas of concern are in Minneapolis, Hennepin County; Duluth and Iron Range, St. Louis County; Iron Range, Itasca County; Two Harbors, Lake County; and St. Cloud, Stearns County. The committal SIP contains all the requirements identified in the July 1, 1987, promulgation of the SIP requirements for PM<sub>10</sub> at 52 FR 24681.

(c) *Approval.*—On June 20, 2002, the State of Minnesota submitted a request to redesignate the Saint Paul, Ramsey County particulate matter nonattainment area to attainment of the NAAQS for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM). In its submittal, the State also requested that EPA approve the maintenance plan for the area into the Minnesota PM SIP. The redesignation request and maintenance plan meet the redesignation requirements of the Clean Air Act.

[47 FR 19522, May 6, 1982, as amended at 47 FR 32118, July 26, 1982; 55 FR 21022, May 22, 1990; 57 FR 46308, Oct. 8, 1992; 59 FR 7222, Feb. 15, 1994; 67 FR 48790, July 26, 2002]

### §§ 52.1231–52.1232 [Reserved]

### § 52.1233 Operating permits.

Emission limitations and related provisions which are established in Minnesota permits as federally enforceable conditions in accordance with Chapter 7007 rules shall be enforceable by USEPA. USEPA reserves the right to deem permit conditions not federally enforceable. Such a determination will be made according to appropriate procedures, and be based upon the permit, permit approval procedures or permit requirements which do not conform with the permit program requirements or the requirements of USEPA's underlying regulations.

[60 FR 21451, May 2, 1995]

### § 52.1234 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met, since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality.