Federal Acquisition Regulation

- (b)(1) Other situations may make it prudent to provide a final indirect cost rate ceiling in a contract. Examples of such circumstances are when the proposed contractor—
- (i) Is a new or recently reorganized company, and there is no past or recent record of incurred indirect costs;
- (ii) Has a recent record of a rapidly increasing indirect cost rate due to a declining volume of sales without a commensurate decline in indirect expenses; or
- (iii) Seeks to enhance its competitive position in a particular circumstance by basing its proposal on indirect cost rates lower than those that may reasonably be expected to occur during contract performance, thereby causing a cost overrun.
- (2) In such cases, an equitable ceiling covering the final indirect cost rates may be negotiated and specified in the contract.
- (c) When ceiling provisions are utilized, the contract shall also provide that (1) the Government will not be obligated to pay any additional amount should the final indirect cost rates exceed the negotiated ceiling rates and, (2) in the event the final indirect cost rates are less than the negotiated ceiling rates, the negotiated rates will be reduced to conform with the lower rates.

42.708 Quick-closeout procedure.

- (a) The contracting officer responsible for contract closeout shall negotiate the settlement of indirect costs for a specific contract, in advance of the determination of final indirect cost rates, if—
- (1) The contract is physically complete;
- (2) The amount of unsettled indirect cost to be allocated to the contract is relatively insignificant. Indirect cost amounts will be considered insignificant when—
- (i) The total unsettled indirect cost to be allocated to any one contract does not exceed \$1,000,000; and
- (ii) Unless otherwise provided in agency procedures, the cumulative unsettled indirect costs to be allocated to one or more contracts in a single fiscal year do not exceed 15 percent of the estimated, total unsettled indirect costs

- allocable to cost-type contracts for that fiscal year. The contracting officer may waive the 15 percent restriction based upon a risk assessment that considers the contractor's accounting, estimating, and purchasing systems; other concerns of the cognizant contract auditors; and any other pertinent information; and
- (3) Agreement can be reached on a reasonable estimate of allocable dollars.
- (b) Determinations of final indirect costs under the quick-closeout procedure provided for by the Allowable Cost and Payment clause at 52.216–7 shall be final for the contract it covers and no adjustment shall be made to other contracts for over- or under-recoveries of costs allocated or allocable to the contract covered by the agreement.
- (c) Indirect cost rates used in the quick closeout of a contract shall not be considered a binding precedent when establishing the final indirect cost rates for other contracts.

[48 FR 42370, Sept. 19, 1983, as amended at 55 FR 52796, Dec. 21, 1990; 61 FR 31661, June 20, 1996; 72 FR 27385, May 15, 2007]

42.709 Scope.

- (a) This section implements 10 U.S.C. 2324 (a) through (d) and 41 U.S.C. 256 (a) through (d). It covers the assessment of penalties against contractors which include unallowable indirect costs in—
- (1) Final indirect cost rate proposals; or
- (2) The final statement of costs incurred or estimated to be incurred under a fixed-price incentive contract.
- (b) This section applies to all contracts in excess of \$700,000, except fixed-price contracts without cost incentives or any firm-fixed-price contracts for the purchase of commercial items.

[60 FR 42658, Aug. 16, 1995, as amended at 69 FR 76358, Dec. 20, 2004; 71 FR 57368, Sept. 28, 2006; 75 FR 53134, Aug. 30, 2010]

42.709-1 General.

- (a) The following penalties apply to contracts covered by this section:
- (1) If the indirect cost is expressly unallowable under a cost principle in the FAR, or an executive agency supplement to the FAR, that defines the

42.709-2

allowability of specific selected costs, the penalty is equal to—

- (i) The amount of the disallowed costs allocated to contracts that are subject to this section for which an indirect cost proposal has been submitted; plus
- (ii) Interest on the paid portion, if any, of the disallowance.
- (2) If the indirect cost was determined to be unallowable for that contractor before proposal submission, the penalty is two times the amount in paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section.
- (b) These penalties are in addition to other administrative, civil, and criminal penalties provided by law.
- (c) It is not necessary for unallowable costs to have been paid to the contractor in order to assess a penalty.

[60 FR 42658, Aug. 16, 1995]

42.709-2 Responsibilities.

- (a) The cognizant contracting officer is responsible for—
- (1) Determining whether the penalties in 42.709–1(a) should be assessed;
- (2) Determining whether such penalties should be waived pursuant to 42.709-5; and
- (3) Referring the matter to the appropriate criminal investigative organization for review and for appropriate coordination of remedies, if there is evidence that the contractor knowingly submitted unallowable costs.
- (b) The contract auditor, in the review and/or the determination of final indirect cost proposals for contracts subject to this section, is responsible for—
- (1) Recommending to the contracting officer which costs may be unallowable and subject to the penalties in 42.709–1(a);
- (2) Providing rationale and supporting documentation for any recommendation; and
- (3) Referring the matter to the appropriate criminal investigative organization for review and for appropriate coordination of remedies, if there is evidence that the contractor knowingly submitted unallowable costs.

[60 FR 42658, Aug. 16, 1995]

42.709-3 Assessing the penalty.

Unless a waiver is granted pursuant to 42.709–5, the cognizant contracting officer shall—

- (a) Assess the penalty in 42.709–1(a)(1), when the submitted cost is expressly unallowable under a cost principle in the FAR or an executive agency supplement that defines the allowability of specific selected costs; or
- (b) Assess the penalty in 42.709–1(a)(2), when the submitted cost was determined to be unallowable for that contractor prior to submission of the proposal. Prior determinations of unallowability may be evidenced by—
- (1) A DCAA Form 1, Notice of Contract Costs Suspended and/or Disapproved (see 48 CFR 242.705–2), or any similar notice which the contractor elected not to appeal and was not withdrawn by the cognizant Government agency:
- (2) A contracting officer final decision which was not appealed;
- (3) A prior executive agency Board of Contract Appeals or court decision involving the contractor, which upheld the cost disallowance: or
- (4) A determination or agreement of unallowability under 31.201-6.
- (c) Issue a final decision (see 33.211) which includes a demand for payment of any penalty assessed under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section. The letter shall state that the determination is a final decision under the Disputes clause of the contract. (Demanding payment of the penalty is separate from demanding repayment of any paid portion of the disallowed cost.)

[60 FR 42658, Aug. 16, 1995]

42.709-4 Computing interest.

For 42.709-1(a)(1)(ii), compute interest on any paid portion of the disallowed cost as follows:

- (a) Consider the overpayment to have occurred, and interest to have begun accumulating, from the midpoint of the contractor's fiscal year. Use an alternate equitable method if the cost was not paid evenly over the fiscal year.
- (b) Use the interest rate specified by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to Pub. L. 92–41 (85 Stat. 97).