# SUBCHAPTER C—GENERAL PROVISIONS

## PART 1250—POLITICAL SUBDIVI-SION PARTICIPATION IN STATE HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS

Sec.

## 1250.1 Scope.

1250.2 Purpose.

### 1250.3 Policy.

1250.4 Determining local share.

1250.5 Waivers.

AUTHORITY: 23 U.S.C. 315,  $402(\mathrm{b});$  and delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.48 and 1.50.

SOURCE: 41 FR 23948, June 14, 1976, unless otherwise noted.

#### §1250.1 Scope.

This part establishes guidelines for the States to assure their meeting the requirements for 40 percent political subdivision participation in State highway safety programs under 23 U.S.C. 402 (b)(1)(C).

#### §1250.2 Purpose.

The purpose of this part is to provide guidelines to determine whether a State is in compliance with the requirement that at least 40 percent of all Federal funds apportioned under 23 U.S.C. 402 will be expended by political subdivisions of such State.

#### §1250.3 Policy.

To assure that the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 402(b)(1)(C) are complied with, the NHTSA and FHWA field offices will:

(a) Prior to approving the State's Annual Work Program (AWP), review the AWP and each of the subelement plans which make up the AWP. The NHTSA Regional Administrator will review the 14½ safety standard areas for which NHTSA is responsible and the FHWA Division Administrator will review the 3½ safety standard areas for which FHWA is responsible. The narrative description for each subelement plan should contain sufficient information to identify the funds to be expended by, or for the benefit of the political subdivisions.

(b) Withhold approval of a State's AWP, as provided in Highway Safety Program Manual volume 103, chapter

III, paragraph 3c, where the program does not provide at least 40 percent of Federal funds for planned local program expenditures.

(c) During the management review of the State's operations, determine if the political subdivisions had an active voice in the initiation, development and implementation of the programs for which such sums were expended.

#### §1250.4 Determining local share.

(a) In determining whether a State meets the requirement that at least 40 percent of Federal 402 funds be expended by political subdivisions, FHWA and NHTSA will apply the 40 percent requirement sequentially to each fiscal year's apportionments, treating all apportionments made from a single fiscal year's authorizations as a single entity for this purpose. Therefore, at least 40 percent of each State's apportionments from each year's authorizations must be used in the highway safety programs of its political subdivisions prior to the period when funds would normally lapse. The 40 percent requirement is applicable to the State's total federally funded safety program irrespective of Standard designation or Agency responsibility.

(b) When Federal funds apportioned under 23 U.S.C. 402 are expended by a political subdivision, such expenditures are clearly part of the local share. Local safety project related expenditures and associated indirect costs, which are reimbursable to the grantee local governments, are classifiable as the local share of Federal funds. Illustrations of such expenditures are the cost incurred by a local government in planning and administration of project related safety activities, driver education activities, traffic court programs, traffic records system improvements, upgrading emergency medical services, pedestrian safety activities, improved traffic enforcement, alcohol countermeasures, highway debris removal programs, pupil transportation programs, accident investigation, surveillance of high accident locations, and traffic engineering services.

### § 1250.5

(c) When Federal funds apportioned under 23 U.S.C. 402 are expended by the State or a State agency for the benefit of a political subdivision, such funds may be considered as part of the local share, provided that the political subdivision benefitted has had an active voice in the initiation, development, and implementation of the programs for which such funds are expended. In no case may the State arbitrarily ascribe State agency expenditures as "benefitting local government." Where political subdivisions have had an active voice in the initiation, development, and implementation of a particular program, and a political subdivision which has not had such active voice agrees in advance of implementation to accept the benefits of the program, the Federal share of the cost of such benefits may be credited toward meeting the 40 percent local participation requirement. Where no political subdivisions have had an active voice in the initiation, development, and implementation of a particular program. but a political subdivision requests the benefits of the program as part of the local government's highway safety program, the Federal share of the cost of such benefits may be credited toward meeting the 40 percent local participation requirement. Evidence of consent and acceptance of the work, goods or services on behalf of the local government must be established and maintained on file by the State, until all funds authorized for a specific year are expended and audits completed.

(d) State agency expenditures which are generally not classified as local are within such standard areas as vehicle inspection, vehicle registration and driver licensing. However, where these Standards provide funding for services such as: driver improvement tasks administered by traffic courts, or where they furnish computer support for local government requests for traffic record searches, these expenditures are classifiable as benefitting local programs.

### §1250.5 Waivers.

While the 40 percent requirement may be waived in whole or in part by the Secretary or his delegate, it is expected that each State program will generate political subdivision partici-

### 23 CFR Ch. II (4–1–11 Edition)

pation to the extent required by the Act so that requests for waivers will be minimized. Where a waiver is requested, however, it will be documented at least by a conclusive showing of the absence of legal authority over highway safety activities at the political subdivision levels of the State and will recommend the appropriate percentage participation to be applied in lieu of the 40 percent.

### PART 1251—STATE HIGHWAY SAFETY AGENCY

Sec.

- 1251.1 Purpose.
- 1251.2 Policy. 1251.3 Authority.
- 1251.4 Functions.
- 201.4 Functions

AUTHORITY: 23 U.S.C. 402; 23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48 and 1.50.

SOURCE: 45 FR 59145, Sept. 8, 1980, unless otherwise noted.

#### §1251.1 Purpose.

The purpose of this part is to prescribe the minimum authority and functions of the State Highway Safety Agency established in each State by the Governor under the authority of the Highway Safety Act (23 U.S.C. 402).

#### §1251.2 Policy.

In order for a State to receive funds under the Highway Safety Act, the Governor shall exercise his or her responsibilities through a State Highway Safety Agency that has "adequate powers and is suitably equipped and organized to carry out the program to the satisfaction of the Secretary." 23 U.S.C. 402(b)(1)(A). Accordingly, it is the policy of this part that approval of a State's Highway Safety Plan will depend upon the State's compliance with §§ 1251.3 and 1251.4 of this part.

#### §1251.3 Authority.

Each State Highway Safety Agency shall be authorized to:

(a) Develop and implement a process for obtaining information about the highway safety programs administered by other State and local agencies.

(b) Periodically review and comment to the Governor on the effectiveness of highway safety plans and activities in the State regardless of funding source.