

shall apply rather than, respectively, the terms “maximum hourly SO₂ concentration” and “maximum potential SO₂ concentration, as determined under section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A to this part;” and the terms “10th percentile” and “5th percentile” shall apply rather than, respectively, the terms “90th percentile” and “95th percentile” (see Table 1 of § 75.33).

(2) When Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter is used to determine NO_x emission rate:

(i) Provided that none of the following equations is used to determine SO₂ emissions, CO₂ emissions or heat input: Equation F–2, F–14b, F–16, F–17, or F–18 in appendix F to this part, or Equation 19–5 or 19–9 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, use the missing data procedures in § 75.33(b), except that the term “moisture percentage” shall apply rather than “SO₂ concentration,” the term “moisture monitoring system” shall apply rather than “SO₂ pollutant concentration monitor,” and the term “maximum potential moisture percentage, as defined in section 2.1.6 of appendix A to this part” shall apply, rather than “maximum potential SO₂ concentration;” or

(ii) If any of the following equations is used to determine SO₂ emissions, CO₂ emissions or heat input: Equation F–2, F–14b, F–16, F–17, or F–18 in appendix F to this part, or Equation 19–5 or 19–9 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, the owner or operator shall petition the Administrator under § 75.66(1) for permission to use an alternative moisture missing data procedure.

[64 FR 28604, May 26, 1999, as amended at 67 FR 40439, June 12, 2002]

§ 75.38 [Reserved]

§ 75.39 [Reserved]

Subpart E—Alternative Monitoring Systems

§ 75.40 General demonstration requirements.

(a) The owner or operator of an affected unit, or the owner or operator of an affected unit and representing a

class of affected units which meet the criteria specified in § 75.47, required to install a continuous emission monitoring system may apply to the Administrator for approval of an alternative monitoring system (or system component) to determine average hourly emission data for SO₂, NO_x, and/or volumetric flow by demonstrating that the alternative monitoring system has the same or better precision, reliability, accessibility, and timeliness as that provided by the continuous emission monitoring system.

(b) The requirements of this subpart shall be met by the alternative monitoring system when compared to a contemporaneously operating, fully certified continuous emission monitoring system or a contemporaneously operating reference method, where the appropriate reference methods are listed in § 75.22.

§ 75.41 Precision criteria.

(a) *Data collection and analysis.* To demonstrate precision equal to or better than the continuous emission monitoring system, the owner or operator shall conduct an F-test, a correlation analysis, and a t-test for bias as described in this section. The t-test shall be performed only on sample data at the normal operating level and primary fuel supply, whereas the F-test and the correlation analysis must be performed on each of the data sets required under paragraphs (a)(4) and (a)(5) of this section. The owner or operator shall collect and analyze data according to the following requirements:

(1) Data from the alternative monitoring system and the continuous emission monitoring system shall be collected and paired in a manner that ensures each pair of values applies to hourly average emissions during the same hour.

(2) An alternative monitoring system that directly measures emissions shall have probes or other measuring devices in locations that are in proximity to the continuous emission monitoring system and shall provide data on the same parameters as those measured by the continuous emission monitoring system. Data from the alternative monitoring system shall meet the statistical tests for precision in paragraph