Environmental Protection Agency

TABLE CC-1—Emission Rates [g of particulate/kg of glass produced]

Col. 1—Glass manufacturing plant industry segment	Col. 2— Furnace fired with gaseous fuel	Col. 3— Furnace fired with liq- uid fuel
Container glass Pressed and blown glass	0.1	0.13
(a) Borosilicate Recipes	0.5	0.65
 (b) Soda-Lime and Lead Recipes (c) Other-Than Borosilicate, Soda- Lime, and Lead Recipes (includ- ing opal, fluoride, and other rec- 	0.1	0.13
ipes)	0.25	0.325
Wool fiberglass	0.25	0.325
Flat glass	0.225	0.225

[45 FR 66751, Oct. 7, 1980, as amended at 49 FR 41035, Oct. 19, 1984; 54 FR 6674, Feb. 14, 1989; 65 FR 61759, Oct. 17, 2000]

§ 60.293 Standards for particulate matter from glass melting furnace with modified-processes.

- (a) An owner or operator of a glass melting furnaces with modified-processes is not subject to the provisions of §60.292 if the affected facility complies with the provisions of this section.
- (b) On and after the date on which the performance test required to be conducted by \$60.8 is completed, no owner or operator of a glass melting furnace with modified-processes subject to the provisions of this subpart shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from the affected facility:
- (1) Particulate matter at emission rates exceeding 0.5 gram of particulate per kilogram of glass produced (g/kg) as measured according to paragraph (e) of this section for container glass, flat glass, and pressed and blown glass with a soda-lime recipe melting furnaces.
- (2) Particulate matter at emission rates exceeding 1.0 g/kg as measured according to paragraph (e) of this section for pressed and blown glass with a borosilicate recipe melting furnace.
- (3) Particulate matter at emission rates exceeding 0.5 g/kg as measured according to paragraph (e) of this section for textile fiberglass and wool fiberglass melting furnaces.
- (c) The owner or operator of an affected facility that is subject to emission limits specified under paragraph (b) of this section shall:
- (1) Install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous monitoring sys-

tem for the measurement of the opacity of emissions discharged into the atmosphere from the affected facility.

- (2) During the performance test required to be conducted by §60.8, conduct continuous opacity monitoring during each test run.
- (3) Calculate 6-minute opacity averages from 24 or more data points equally spaced over each 6-minute period during the test runs.
- (4) Determine, based on the 6-minute opacity averages, the opacity value corresponding to the 99 percent upper confidence level of a normal distribution of average opacity values.
- (5) For the purposes of $\S60.7$, report to the Administrator as excess emissions all of the 6-minute periods during which the average opacity, as measured by the continuous monitoring system installed under paragraph (c)(1) of this section, exceeds the opacity value corresponding to the 99 percent upper confidence level determined under paragraph (c)(4) of this section.
- (d)(1) After receipt and consideration of written application, the Administrator may approve alternative continuous monitoring systems for the measurement of one or more process or operating parameters that is or are demonstrated to enable accurate and representative monitoring of an emission limit specified in paragraph (b) of this section.
- (2) After the Administrator approves an alternative continuous monitoring system for an affected facility, the requirements of paragraphs (c) (1) through (5) of this section will not apply for that affected facility.
- (e) An owner or operator may redetermine the opacity value corresponding to the 99 percent upper confidence level as described in paragraph (c)(4) of this section if the owner or operator:
- (1) Conducts continuous opacity monitoring during each test run of a performance test that demonstrates compliance with an emission limit of paragraph (b) of this section,
- (2) Recalculates the 6-minute opacity averages as described in paragraph (c)(3) of this section, and
- (3) Uses the redetermined opacity value corresponding to the 99 percent

§§ 60.294-60.295

upper confidence level for the purposes of paragraph (c)(5) of this section.

(f) Test methods and procedures as specified in $\S60.296$ shall be used to determine compliance with this section except that to determine compliance for any glass melting furnace using modified processes and fired with either a gaseous fuel or a liquid fuel containing less than 0.50 weight percent sulfur, Method 5 shall be used with the probe and filter holder heating system in the sampling train set to provide a gas temperature of 120 \pm 14 °C (248 \pm 25 °F).

[49 FR 41036, Oct. 19, 1984, as amended at 64 FR 7466, Feb. 12, 1999; 65 FR 61759, Oct. 17, 2000]

§§ 60.294-60.295 [Reserved]

§ 60.296 Test methods and procedures.

- (a) If a glass melting furnace with modified processes is changed to one without modified processes or if a glass melting furnace without modified processes is changed to one with modified processes, the owner or operator shall notify the Administrator at least 60 days before the change is scheduled to occur.
- (b) When gaseous and liquid fuels are fired simultaneously in a glass melting furnace, the owner or operator shall determine the applicable standard under §60.292(a)(2) as follows:
- (1) The ratio (Y) of liquid fuel heating value to total (gaseous and liquid) fuel heating value fired in the glass melting furnaces shall be computed for each run using the following equation:

 $Y = (H_1 L)/(H_1 L + H_g G)$

where:

Y=decimal fraction of liquid fuel heating value to total fuel heating value. H_l =gross calorific value of liquid fuel, J/kg. H_g =gross calorific value of gaseous fuel, J/kg. L=liquid flow rate, kg/hr. G=gaseous flow rate, kg/hr.

- (2) Suitable methods shall be used to determine the rates (L and G) of fuels burned during each test period and a material balance over the glass melting furnace shall be used to confirm the rates.
- (3) ASTM Method D240-76 or 92 (liquid fuels) and D1826-77 or 94 (gaseous fuels) (incorporated by reference—see

§60.17), as applicable, shall be used to determine the gross calorific values.

- (c) In conducting the performance tests required in §60.8, the owner or operator shall use as reference methods and procedures the test methods in appendix A of this part or other methods and procedures as specified in this section, except as provided in §60.8(b).
- (d) The owner or operator shall determine compliance with the particulate matter standards in §§ 60.292 and 60.293 as follows:
- (1) The emission rate (E) of particulate matter shall be computed for each run using the following equation:

 $E=(c_s Q_{sd}-A)/P$

where:

E=emission rate of particulate matter, g/kg. c_s =concentration of particulate matter, g/dsm.

Q_{sd}=volumetric flow rate, dscm/hr.

A=zero production rate correction

=227 g/hr for container glass, pressed and blown (soda-lime and lead) glass, and pressed and blown (other than borosilicate, soda-lime, and lead) glass.

=454 g/hr for pressed and blown (borosilicate) glass, wool fiberglass, and flat glass.
P=glass production rate, kg/hr.

- (2) Method 5 shall be used to determine the particulate matter concentration (c_s) and volumetric flow rate (Q_{sd}) of the effluent gas. The sampling time and sample volume for each run shall be at least 60 minutes and 0.90 dscm
- and sample volume for each run shall be at least 60 minutes and 0.90 dscm (31.8 dscf). The probe and filter holder heating system may be set to provide a gas temperature no greater than 177 \pm 14 °C (350 \pm 25 °F), except under the conditions specified in §60.293(e).
- (3) Direct measurement or material balance using good engineering practice shall be used to determine the amount of glass pulled during the performance test. The rate of glass produced is defined as the weight of glass pulled from the affected facility during the performance test divided by the number of hours taken to perform the performance test.
- (4) Method 9 and the procedures in §60.11 shall be used to determine opacity.

[54 FR 6674, Feb. 14, 1989; 54 FR 21344, May 17, 1989, as amended at 65 FR 61759, Oct. 17, 2000]