

§ 63.313

40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-11 Edition)

(c) No such State implementation plan emission limitation (or, subject to any expiration date, such federally enforceable emission limitation contained in an order, decree, permit, or settlement agreement) in effect on September 15, 1992, may be modified under the Act unless:

(1) Such modification is consistent with all requirements of section 110 of the Act; and either

(i) Such modification ensures that the applicable emission limitations and format (e.g., single pass v. multiday average) in effect on September 15, 1992, will continue in effect; or

(ii) Such modification includes a change in the method of monitoring (except frequency unless frequency was indicated in the State implementation plan, or subject to any expiration date, other federally enforceable requirements contained in an order, decree, permit, or settlement agreement) that is more stringent than the method of monitoring in effect on September 15, 1992, and that ensures coke oven emission reductions greater than the emission reductions required on September 15, 1992. The burden of proof in demonstrating the stringency of the methods of monitoring is borne by the party requesting the modification and must be made to the satisfaction of the Administrator; or

(iii) Such modification makes the emission limitations more stringent while holding the format unchanged, makes the format more stringent while holding the emission limitations unchanged, or makes both more stringent.

(2) Any industry application to make a State implementation plan revision or other adjustment to account for differences between Method 303 in appendix A to this part and the State's method based on paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section shall be submitted within 12 months after October 27, 1993.

(d) Except as specified in §63.307(f), nothing in this subpart shall limit or affect any authority or obligation of Federal, State, or local agencies to establish emission limitations or other requirements more stringent than those specified in this subpart.

(e) Except as provided in §63.302(c), section 112(g) of the Act shall not apply to sources subject to this subpart.

§ 63.313 Implementation and enforcement.

(a) This subpart can be implemented and enforced by the U.S. EPA, or a delegated authority such as the applicable State, local, or Tribal agency. If the U.S. EPA Administrator has delegated authority to a State, local, or Tribal agency, then that agency, in addition to the U.S. EPA, has the authority to implement and enforce this subpart. Contact the applicable U.S. EPA Regional Office to find out if implementation and enforcement of this subpart is delegated to a State, local, or Tribal agency.

(b) In delegating implementation and enforcement authority of this subpart to a State, local, or Tribal agency under subpart E of this part, the authorities contained in paragraph (d) of this section are retained by the Administrator and cannot be transferred to the State, local, or Tribal agency.

(c) Withdrawal of authority:

(1) Whenever the Administrator learns that a delegated agency has not fully carried out the inspections and performance tests required under §63.309 for each applicable emission point of each battery each day, the Administrator shall immediately notify the agency. Unless the delegated agency demonstrates to the Administrator's satisfaction within 15 days of notification that the agency is consistently carrying out the inspections and performance tests required under §63.309 in the manner specified in the preceding sentence, the Administrator shall notify the coke oven battery owner or operator that inspections and performance tests shall be carried out according to §63.309(a)(5). When the Administrator determines that the delegated agency is prepared to consistently perform all the required inspections and performance tests each day, the Administrator shall give the coke oven battery owner or operator at least 15 days notice that implementation will revert to the previously delegated agency.

Environmental Protection Agency

Pt. 63, Subpt. L, App. A

(2) In addition to the provisions in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, the Administrator may also withdraw delegation of authority pursuant to the provisions of §63.96 of subpart E of this part.

(d) The authorities that cannot be delegated to State, local, or Tribal agencies are as specified in paragraphs (d)(1) through (5) of this section.

(1) Approval of alternatives to the requirements in §§63.300 and 63.302 through 63.308 (except the authorities in 63.306(a)(2) and (d)).

(2) Approval of major alternatives to test methods under §63.7(e)(2)(ii) and (f), as defined in §63.90, and as required in this subpart.

(3) Approval of any changes to section 2 of Method 303 in appendix A of this part.

(4) Approval of major alternatives to monitoring under §63.8(f), as defined in §63.90, and as required in this subpart.

(5) Approval of major alternatives to recordkeeping and reporting under §63.10(f), as defined in §63.90, and as required in this subpart.

[68 FR 37346, June 23, 2003]

**APPENDIX A TO SUBPART L OF PART 63—
OPERATING COKE OVEN BATTERIES
AS OF APRIL 1, 1992**

No.	Plant	Battery
1	ABC Coke, Tarrant, AL	A 5 6
2	Acme Steel, Chicago, IL	1 2
3	Armco, Inc., Middletown, OH	1 2 3
4	Armco, Inc., Ashland, KY	3 4
5	Bethlehem Steel, Bethlehem, PA	A 2 3
6	Bethlehem Steel, Burns Harbor, IN	1 2
7	Bethlehem Steel, Lackawanna, NY	7 8
8	Citizens Gas, Indianapolis, IN	E H 1
9	Empire Coke, Holt, AL	1 2
10	Erie Coke, Erie, PA	A B
11	Geneva Steel, Provo, UT	1 2 3 4
12	Gulf States Steel, Gadsden, AL	2 3
13	Inland Steel, East Chicago, IN	6

No.	Plant	Battery
		7 9 10 11
14	Jewell Coal and Coke, Vansant, VA	2 3A 3B 3C
15	Koppers, Woodward, AL	1 2A 2B 4A 4B 5
16	LTV Steel, Cleveland, OH	6 7
17	LTV Steel, Pittsburgh, PA	P1 P2 P3N P3S P4
18	LTV Steel, Chicago, IL	2
19	LTV Steel, Warren, OH	4
20	National Steel, Ecorse, MI	5
21	National Steel, Granite City, IL	A B
22	New Boston Coke, Portsmouth, OH	1
23	Sharon Steel, Monessen, PA	1B 2
24	Shenango, Pittsburgh, PA	1 4
25	Sloss Industries, Birmingham, AL	3 4 5
26	Toledo Coke, Toledo, OH	C
27	Tonawanda Coke, Buffalo, NY	1
28	USX, Clairton, PA	1 2 3 7 8 9 13 14 15 19 20
29	USX, Gary, IN	B 2 3 5 7
30	Wheeling-Pittsburgh, E. Steubenville, WV.	1 2 3 8

[58 FR 57911, Oct. 27, 1993; 59 FR 1992, Jan. 13, 1994]

**Subpart M—National
Perchloroethylene Air Emission
Standards for Dry Cleaning
Facilities**

SOURCE: 58 FR 49376, Sept. 22, 1993, unless otherwise noted.