- (iii) Once the impairment(s) is confirmed, as provided for in paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section, the Board will apply appendix 3 of this part. If appendix 3 of this part dictates a "D" (disabled) finding, the Board will find the claimant disabled.
- (iv) If the Board does not find the employee disabled using the standards in appendix 3 of this part, then the Board will determine if the employee is disabled using ICE. To evaluate a claim under ICE the Board will use the following steps:
- (A) Step 1. The Board will determine if the medical evidence is complete. Under this step the Board may request the claimant to take additional medical tests such as a functional capacity test or other consultative examinations;
- (B) Step 2. If the employee's impairment(s) has not been confirmed, as provided for in paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(A)(2) of this section, the Board will next confirm the employee's impairment(s), as described in paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(A)(2) of this section:
- (C) Step 3. The Board will determine whether the opinions among the physicians regarding medical findings are consistent, by reviewing the employee's medical history, physical and mental examination findings, laboratory or other test results, and other information provided by the employee or obtained by the Board. If such records reveal that there are significant differences in the medical findings, significant differences in opinions concerning the residual functional capacity evaluations among treating physicians, or significant differences between the results of functional capacity evaluations and residual functional capacity examinations, then the Board may request additional evidence from treating physicians, additional consultative examinations and/or residual functional capacity tests to resolve the inconsistencies:
- (D) Step 4. When the Board determines that there is concordance of medical findings, then the Board will assess the quality of the evidence in accordance with §220.112, which describes the weight to be given to the opinions of various physicians, and §220.114, which describes how the Board evalu-

- ates symptoms such as pain. The Board will also assess the weight of evidence by utilizing §220.14, which outlines factors to be used in determining the weight to be attributed to certain types of evidence. If, after assessment, the Board determines that there is no substantial objective evidence of an impairment, the Board will determine that the employee is not disabled;
- (E) Step 5. Next, the Board determines the physical and mental demands of the employee's regular railroad occupation. In determining the job demands of the employee's regular railroad occupation, the Board will not only consider the employee's own description of his or her regular railroad occupation, but shall also consider the employer's description of the physical requirements and environmental factors relating to the employee's regular railroad occupation, as provided by the employer on the appropriate form set forth in appendix 3 of this part, and consult other sources such as the Dictionary of Occupational Titles and the job descriptions of occupations found in the Occupational Disability Claims Manual, as provided for in §220.10;
- (F) Step 6. Based upon the assessment the evidence in paragraph (b)(2)(iv)(D) of this section, the Board shall determine the employee's residual functional capacity. The Board will then compare the job demands of the employee's regular railroad occupation, as determined in paragraph (b)(2)(iv)(E) of this section. If the demands of the employee's regular railroad occupation exceed the employee's residual functional capacity, then the Board will find the employee disabled. If the demands do not exceed the employee's residual functional capacity, then the Board will find the employee not disabled.

[56 FR 12980, Mar. 28, 1991, as amended at 63 FR 7541, Feb. 13, 1998; 74 FR 63600, Dec. 4, 2009]

§ 220.14 Weighing of evidence.

(a) Factors which support greater weight. Evidence will generally be given more weight if it meets one or more of the following criteria:

§ 220.15

- (1) The residual functional capacity evaluation is based upon functional objective tests with high validity and reliability;
- (2) The medical evidence shows multiple impairments which have a cumulative effect on the employee's residual functional capacity;
- (3) Symptoms associated with limitations are consistent with objective findings;
- (4) There exists an adequate trial of therapies with good compliance, but poor outcome;
- (5) There exists consistent history of conditions between treating physicians and other health care providers.
- (b) Factors which support lesser weight. Evidence will generally be given lesser weight if it meets one or more of the following criteria:
- (1) There is an inconsistency between the diagnoses of the treating physicians:
- (2) There is inconsistency between reports of pain and functional impact;
- (3) There is inconsistency between subjective symptoms and physical examination findings;
- (4) There is evidence of poor compliance with treatment regimen, keeping appointments, or cooperating with treatment;
- (5) There is evidence of exam findings which is indicative of exaggerated or potential malingering response;
- (6) The evidence consists of objective findings of exams that have poor reliability or validity:
- (7) The evidence consists of imaging findings which are nonspecific and largely present in the general population:
- (8) The evidence consists of a residual functional capacity evaluation which is supported by limited objective data without consideration for functional capacity testing.

[63 FR 7542, Feb. 13, 1998]

§ 220.15 Effects of work on occupational disability.

(a) Disability onset when the employee works despite impairment. An employee who has stopped work in his or her regular occupation due to a permanent physical or mental impairment(s) may make an effort to return to work in his or her regular occupation. If the em-

ployee is subsequently forced to stop that work after a short time because of his or her impairment(s), the Board will generally consider that work as an unsuccessful work attempt. In this situation, the Board may determine that the employee became disabled for work in his or her regular occupation before the last date the employee worked in his or her regular occupation. No annuity will be payable, however, until after the last date worked.

(b) Occupational disability annuitant work restrictions. The restrictions which apply to an annuitant who is disabled for work in his or her regular occupation are found in §§ 220.160 through 220.164.

§ 220.16 Responsibility to notify the Board of events which affect disability.

If the annuitant is entitled to a disability annuity because he or she is disabled for work in his or her regular occupation, the annuitant should promptly tell the Board if—

- (a) His or her impairment(s) improves:
- (b) He or she returns to any type of work;
- (c) He or she increases the amount of work; or
 - (d) His or her earnings increase.

§ 220.17 Recovery from disability for work in the regular occupation.

- (a) General. Disability for work in the regular occupation will end if—
- (1) There is medical improvement in the annuitant's impairment(s) to the extent that the annuitant is able to perform the duties of his or her regular occupation; or
- (2) The annuitant demonstrates the ability to perform the duties of his or her regular occupation. The Board provides a trial work period before terminating a disability annuity because of the annuitant's return to work.
- (b) Definition of the trial work period. The trial work period is a period during which the annuitant may test his or her ability to work and still be considered occupationally disabled. It begins and ends as described in paragraph (e) of this section. During this period, the annuitant may perform "services" (see paragraph (c) of this section) in as