

Federal Acquisition Regulation

25.504-2

evaluate the entire group as a domestic offer. Evaluate all other groups as foreign offers.

(2) For foreign offers, if the proposed price of domestic end products and eligible products exceeds 50 percent of the total proposed price of the group, evaluate the entire group as an eligible offer.

(3) Apply the evaluation factor to the entire group in accordance with 25.502.

[64 FR 72419, Dec. 27, 1999, as amended at 69 FR 77875, Dec. 28, 2004; 71 FR 20306, Apr. 19, 2006]

25.504 Evaluation Examples.

The following examples illustrate the application of the evaluation procedures in 25.502 and 25.503. The examples assume that the contracting officer has eliminated all offers that are unacceptable for reasons other than price or a trade agreement (see 25.502(a)(1)). The evaluation factor may change as provided in agency regulations.

[67 FR 21535, Apr. 30, 2002]

Offer A	\$11,000	Domestic end product, small business
Offer B	\$10,700	Domestic end product, small business
Offer C	\$10,200	U.S.-made end product (not domestic), small business

(2) *Analysis:* This acquisition is for end products for use in the United States and is set aside for small business concerns. The Buy American Act applies. Perform the steps in 25.502(a). Offer C is evaluated as a foreign end product because it is the product of a small business but is not a domestic end product (see 25.502(c)(4)). After ap-

25.504-1 Buy American Act.

(a)(1) *Example 1.*

Offer A	\$12,000	Domestic end product, small business.
Offer B	11,700	Domestic end product, small business.
Offer C	10,000	U.S.-made end product (not domestic), small business.

(2) *Analysis:* This acquisition is for end products for use in the United States and is set aside for small business concerns. The Buy American Act applies. Since the acquisition value is less than \$25,000 and the acquisition is set aside, none of the trade agreements apply. Perform the steps in 25.502(a). Offer C is evaluated as a foreign end product because it is the product of a small business, but is not a domestic end product (see 25.502(c)(4)). Since Offer B is a domestic offer, apply the 12 percent factor to Offer C (see 25.105(b)(2)). The resulting evaluated price of \$11,200 remains lower than Offer B. The cost of Offer B is therefore unreasonable (see 25.105(c)). Award on Offer C at \$10,000 (see 25.502(c)(4)(i)).

(b)(1) *Example 2.*

plying the 12 percent factor, the evaluated price of Offer C is \$11,424. Award on Offer B at \$10,700 (see 25.502(c)(4)(ii)).

[64 FR 72419, Dec. 27, 1999, as amended at 67 FR 21535, Apr. 30, 2002]

25.504-2 WTO GPA/Caribbean Basin Trade Initiative/FTAs.

Example 1.

Offer A	304,000	U.S.-made end product (not domestic).
Offer B	303,000	U.S.-made end product (domestic), small business.
Offer C	300,000	Eligible product.
Offer D	295,000	Noneligible product (not U.S.-made).

Analysis: Eliminate Offer D because the acquisition is covered by the WTO

GPA and there is an offer of a U.S.-made or an eligible product (see

25.504-3

48 CFR Ch. 1 (10-1-12 Edition)

25.502(b)(1)). If the agency gives the same consideration given eligible offers to offers of U.S.-made end products that are not domestic offers, it is unnecessary to determine if U.S.-made end products are domestic (large or small business). No further analysis is necessary. Award on the low remaining offer, Offer C (see 25.502(b)(2)).

[69 FR 77875, Dec. 28, 2004, as amended at 75 FR 38690, July 2, 2010]

25.504-3 FTA/Israeli Trade Act.

(a) Example 1.

Offer A \$105,000 Domestic end product, small business.
Offer B 100,000 Eligible product.

Analysis: Since the low offer is an eligible offer, award on the low offer (see 25.502(c)(1)).

(b) Example 2.

Offer A \$105,000 Eligible product.
Offer B 103,000 Noneligible product.

Analysis: Since the acquisition is not covered by the WTO GPA, the contracting officer can consider the non-eligible offer. Since no domestic offer was received, make a nonavailability determination and award on Offer B (see 25.502(c)(2)).

(c) Example 3.

Offer A \$105,000 Domestic end product, large business.
Offer B 103,000 Eligible product.
Offer C 100,000 Noneligible product.

Analysis: Since the acquisition is not covered by the WTO GPA, the contracting officer can consider the non-eligible offer. Because the eligible offer (Offer B) is lower than the domestic offer (Offer A), no evaluation factor applies to the low offer (Offer C). Award on the low offer (see 25.502(c)(3)).

[69 FR 77875, Dec. 28, 2004]

25.504-4 Group award basis.

(a) Example 1.

Item	Offers		
	A	B	C
1	DO = \$55,000	EL = \$56,000	NEL = \$50,000
2	NEL = 13,000	EL = 10,000	EL = 13,000
3	NEL = 11,500	DO = 12,000	DO = 10,000
4	NEL = 24,000	EL = 28,000	NEL = 22,000
5	DO = 18,000	NEL = 10,000	DO = 14,000
	121,500	116,000	109,000

Key: DO = Domestic end product; EL = Eligible product; NEL = Noneligible product.

Problem: Offeror C specifies all-or-none award. Assume all offerors are large businesses. The acquisition is not covered by the WTO GPA.

Analysis: (see 25.503)

STEP 1: Evaluate Offers A & B before considering Offer C and determine which offer has the lowest evaluated cost for each line item (the tentative award pattern):

Item 1: Low offer A is domestic; select A.

Item 2: Low offer B is eligible; do not apply factor; select B.

Item 3: Low offer A is noneligible and Offer B is a domestic offer. Apply a 6 percent factor to Offer A. The evaluated price of Offer A is higher than Offer B; select B.

Item 4: Low offer A is noneligible. Since neither offer is a domestic offer, no evaluation factor applies; select A.

Item 5: Low offer B is noneligible; apply a 6 percent factor to Offer B. Offer A is still higher than Offer B; select B.

STEP 2: Evaluate Offer C against the tentative award pattern for Offers A and B:

Item	Offers		
	Low offer	Tentative award pattern from A and B	C
1	A	DO=\$55,000	*NEL=\$53,000
2	B	EL=10,000	EL=13,000
3	B	DO=12,000	DO=10,000
4	A	NEL=24,000	NEL=22,000
5	B	*NEL=10,600	DO=14,000