

(13) results of the information analyses and periodic evaluations;

(14) the process and risk factors for establishing continual re-assessment intervals;

(15) justification to support any variance from the required re-assessment intervals;

(16) integrity assessment results and anomalies found, process for evaluating and remediating anomalies, criteria for remedial actions and actions taken to evaluate and remediate the anomalies;

(17) other remedial actions planned or taken;

(18) schedule for evaluation and remediation of anomalies, justification to support deviation from required remediation times;

(19) risk analysis used to identify additional preventive or mitigative measures, records of preventive and mitigative actions planned or taken;

(20) criteria for determining EFRD installation;

(21) criteria for evaluating and modifying leak detection capability;

(22) methods used to measure the program's effectiveness.

VII. Conditions that may impair a pipeline's integrity.

Section 195.452(h) requires an operator to evaluate and remediate all pipeline integrity issues raised by the integrity assessment or information analysis. An operator must develop a schedule that prioritizes conditions discovered on the pipeline for evaluation and remediation. The following are some examples of conditions that an operator should schedule for evaluation and remediation.

A. Any change since the previous assessment.

B. Mechanical damage that is located on the top side of the pipe.

C. An anomaly abrupt in nature.

D. An anomaly longitudinal in orientation.

E. An anomaly over a large area.

F. An anomaly located in or near a casing, a crossing of another pipeline, or an area with suspect cathodic protection.

[Amdt. 195-70, 65 FR 75409, Dec. 1, 2000, as amended by Amdt. 195-74, 67 FR 1661, Jan. 14, 2002; Amdt. 195-94, 75 FR 48608, Aug. 11, 2010]

**PARTS 196-197 [RESERVED]**

**PART 198—REGULATIONS FOR GRANTS TO AID STATE PIPELINE SAFETY PROGRAMS**

**Subpart A—General**

Sec.

198.1 Scope.

198.3 Definitions.

**Subpart B—Grant Allocation**

198.11 Grant authority.

198.13 Grant allocation formula.

**Subpart C—Adoption of One-Call Damage Prevention Program**

198.31 Scope.

198.33 [Reserved]

198.35 Grants conditioned on adoption of one-call damage prevention program.

198.37 State one-call damage prevention program.

198.39 Qualifications for operation of one-call notification system.

AUTHORITY: 49 U.S.C. 60105, 60106, 60114; and 49 CFR 1.53.

SOURCE: 55 FR 38691, Sept. 20, 1990, unless otherwise noted.

**Subpart A—General**

**§ 198.1 Scope.**

This part prescribes regulations governing grants-in-aid for State pipeline safety compliance programs.

**§ 198.3 Definitions.**

As used in this part:

*Administrator* means the Administrator, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration or his or her delegate.

*Adopt* means establish under State law by statute, regulation, license, certification, order, or any combination of these legal means.

*Excavation activity* means an excavation activity defined in §192.614(a) of this chapter, other than a specific activity the State determines would not be expected to cause physical damage to underground facilities.

*Excavator* means any person intending to engage in an excavation activity.

*One-call notification system* means a communication system that qualifies under this part and the one-call damage prevention program of the State concerned in which an operational center receives notices from excavators of intended excavation activities and transmits the notices to operators of underground pipeline facilities and other underground facilities that participate in the system.

*Person* means any individual, firm, joint venture, partnership, corporation,