Office of the Secretary, DOT

§ 399.42 Flight equipment depreciation and residual values.

For rate-making purposes, for air carriers receiving subsidy under section 406 of the Act, it is the policy of the Board that flight equipment depreciation will be based on the conventional straight-line method of accrual, employing the service lives and residual values set forth below:

[In percent]

	Service life in years	Residual value as percent of cost
Turbofan equipment:		
4-engine	14	2
3-engine	14	2
2-engine	14	2
Turbojet equipment:		
4-engine	10	5
2-engine	10	5
Turboprop equipment:		
4-engine	12	5
2-engine	10	15
Wide-body equipment:		
4-engine	16	10
3-engine	16	10

[PS-54, 38 FR 24643, Sept. 10, 1973, as amended by PS-99, 45 FR 82625, Dec. 16, 1980]

§399.43 Treatment of leased aircraft.

In determining the appropriate treatment of leased aircraft for ratemaking purposes, it is the Board's policy to recognize actual rental expenses. In unusual circumstances where the leased aircraft value (determined on a constructive depreciated basis) in relation to net book value of owned aircraft operated by the same air carrier is significantly in excess of the ratio for the

aggregate of the domestic trunklines and local service carriers (computed on the same basis), a reasonable profit element may be added which shall reflect the additional risks of operations with the leased aircraft, to the extent that such risks are not compensated by the return on investment. Such profit element would be determined by applying the standard rate of return, less 6 percentage points, to the value of the leased aircraft, on a constructive depreciated basis, to the extent the ratio of such value to depreciated cost of owned aircraft plus the value of leased aircraft exceeds the average for the domestic air carriers. Rental cost plus allowable profit, if any, will not be recognized in amounts exceeding depreciation plus return on investment computed as if the aircraft had been purchased by the carrier.

[PS-44, 36 FR 7229, Apr. 16, 1971]

§ 399.44 Treatment of deferred Federal income taxes for rate purposes.

For rate-making purposes other than the determination of subsidy under section 406(b), it is the policy of the Board that Federal income tax expense should be based on the normal taxes that would be paid under the depreciation standards used for rate making, and that accumulated reserves for deferred taxes should be excluded from the recognized capitalization for rate-base purposes.

[PS-46, 36 FR 7232, Apr. 16, 1971]

EXAMPLE OF SIFL ADJUSTMENT
[Methodology for determining change in operating expense per available seat-mile]
[See footnotes at end of table]

Year ended September 1979	Trunks	Locals	Trunks plus locals	Total pas- senger/ cargo 16
Total operating expense ¹ (millions)	\$16,455	\$2,522	\$18,977	\$19,384
All-cargo expenses ²	269		269	269
Belly offset ³	952	153	1,105	1,153
Nonscheduled 4	141	46	187	205
Transport related 5	379	31	410	416
Plus: Capitalized lease adjustment 10	119	2	121	121
Passenger operating expense	14,833	2,294	17,127	17,462
Passenger fuel cost 11			4,103	N.A.
Scheduled service ASM's (mils.)	281,671	33,051	314,722	318,459
Passenger nonfuel operating expense per ASM (dollars)			.04138 .01304	N.A. N.A.

14 CFR Ch. II (1-1-13 Edition)

Pt. 399, Subpt. C, Example

[Methodology for determining change in operating expense per available seat-mile] [See footnotes at end of table]

Year ended September 1979	Trunks	Locals	Trunks plus locals	Total pas- senger/ cargo 16
Total passenger expense per ASM (dollars)			.05442	.05483
Year ended Septem	ber 1978			
Total operating expense ¹ (millions)	14,081	2,033	16,114	16,448
All-cargo expenses 2 Belly offset 3 Nonscheduled 4 Transport related 5 Plus: Capitalized lease adjustment 10 Passenger operating expense Passenger fuel cost 11	282 869 193 419 78 12,396	152 53 30 1 1,799	282 1,021 246 449 79 14,195 3,129	282 1,065 256 454 79 14,470 N.A.
Scheduled service ASM's (mils.)	262,068	27,067	289,135	292,255
Passenger nonfuel operating expense per ASM (dollars)			.03827 .01082	N.A. N.A.
Total passenger expense per ASM (dollars)			.04909 8.13	.04951 N.A.
1980 ⁶ Estimated change in fuel cost, year ended September 1979 average			8.13	N.A.
to April 1, 1980 14			73.06	N.A.
Nonfuel operating expense per ASM at April 1, 1980 7 (dollars) Fuel expense per ASM at April 1, 1980 7 (dollars)			.04474 .02257	N.A. N.A.
Total expense per ASM at April 1, 19807 (dollars)			.06731	15.06782
Year ended March	า 1977			
Total operating expense ¹ (millions)	\$11,726	\$1,520	\$13,316	\$13,601
All-cargo expense ² Belly offset ³ Nonscheduled ⁴ Transport related ⁵	238 729 220 427	96 35 111	238 825 225 538	238 865 266 554
Passenger operating expense Passenger fuel cost Scheduled service ASM's (mils.)	10,112 2,190 239,593	1,348 230 23,428	11,460 2,420 263,021	11,678 N.A. 265,837
Operating expense per ASM (dollars)				.04393 .04593
(dollars)				.06782 47.66
D.P.F.I. formula effective July 15, 1977 12: Terminal charge \$ Plus		00 miles).	1	

Terminal charge	\$16.16
Plus	
Plus	.0674/mile (501-1,500 miles).
Plus	.0648/mile (over 1,500 miles)
Ceiling formula through April 30, 1980 9:	,
Terminal charge	\$23.86
Plus	.1305/mile (0-500 miles).
Plus	.0995/mile (501-1,500 miles).
Plus	.0957/mile (over 1,500 miles)

¹ Total operating expense for all operations and service (in millions).
2 Scheduled all-cargo operations expense.
3 Total scheduled-service cargo revenue, less scheduled all-cargo operations revenue, carried as a by-product in aircraft belly compartments. Includes freight, express, priority and non-priority U.S. mail, and excess baggage.
4 Total non-scheduled revenues times 0.95, assuming charter operations would only be conducted at a profit.
5 Total transport-related expense, less any excess of expense over total transport-related revenues.

6 We here project costs from April 1, 1979 (the midpoint of the data year ended September 1979) to April 1, 1980 the resultant increase factor effective through April 30, 1980.

7 Operating expense per ASM for year-ended September, 1979, times projected change.
8 Projected operating expense per ASM on April 1, 1980 divided by the operating expense as at July 1, 1977.
9 Adjustment results in a 2.5 percent increase in level over current January 1, 1980 factor.
10 Additional rental expense that would have been incurred had leases not been capitalized under FASB–13, less actual amortization of capitalized lease expense.

- 11 Total fuel cost, scheduled service, times complement of rate of All-Cargo expense to total Operating Expense.
- 13 Year ended March, 1977 cost per ASM, times cost escalation factor of 1.04543 (to July 5, 1977). See DPFI workpapers,
- ¹³ Year ended March, 1977 cost per ASM, times cost escalation factor of 1.04543 (to July 5, 1977). See DPFI workpapers,
 Y.E. March, 1977.
 ¹⁴ Estimated average cost per gallon for the trunk plus local service carriers at April 1, 1980, divided by the average for the year ended September, 1979 (48.33¢).
 ¹⁵ Change in Trunks plus Locals cost per ASM as at April 1, 1980, to year ended September, 1979 times total Psgr/Cargo cost for the year ended September, 1979.
 ¹⁶ Includes Alaskan, Hawaiian and other regional carriers.

[PS-92, 45 FR 24119, Apr. 9, 1980]

Subpart D [Reserved]

Subpart E—Policies Relating to **Hearing Matters**

§ 399.60 Standards for determining priorities of hearing.

- (a) General. This policy statement describes the general standards which will be used by the Board in determining the order in which it will designate for hearing those matters on its docket which are to be decided after notice and hearing. Among such matters are applications for certificates of public convenience and necessity or for foreign air carrier permits; applications under section 408 of the Act for approval of consolidations or acquisitions of control; complaint cases; and various rate-making proceedings.
- (b) Standards. Matters will be assigned for hearing in accordance with the degree of relative priority which each matter is entitled to on the basis of the comparative public interest involved therein. Among other things, the Board will take into account:
- (1) Statutory requirements for preference or statutory limitations on the time within which the Board shall act;
- (2) The impact of delay on the public or particular persons;
- (3) The need for promptly securing compliance with the provisions of the Act:
- (4) The time for which the matter has already been pending and which would be required to dispose of it;
- (5) Whether the application requests renewal of an existing temporary authorization; and
- (6) In matters relating to operating authority:
- (i) Whether a proposal might reduce subsidy or increase economy of operations:

- (ii) Whether an application proposes new service:
- (iii) The volume of traffic that might be affected by the grant or denial of the proposal;
- (iv) The period that has elapsed since the Board considered the service needs of the places or areas involved; and
- (v) The relative availability of necessary staff members of the carriers, communities and the Board, in the light of other proceedings already in progress, to handle the processing of the case.

Interested persons may urge upon the Board such considerations as they believe should lead it to accord a particular application a priority different from that which the Board has given it.

§399.61 Presentations of public and civic bodies in route proceedings.

For the purpose of implementing the Board's policy to provide for the exclusion of irrelevant, immaterial, or unduly repetitious evidence and otherwise to expedite route proceedings, and in light of experience, the following guidelines are hereby established:

- (a) Public and civic bodies which represent the same geographic area or community should consolidate their presentation of evidence, briefs or oral argument to the examiner and the Board:
- (b) A public body or a civic organization, or several such bodies or organizations whose presentation of evidence is consolidated, should keep to a minimum the number of witnesses used to present the factual evidence in support of the community's position;
- (c) Exhibits offered in evidence by a public body or civic organization should be limited to evidence of the economic characteristics of the community and area involved, data as to