the local environment is not seen to be significant, but when the alteration of programs or operations may be controversial.

(3) The closing of an institution or significant reduction in population of an institution when the impact on the local environment is not seen to be significant.

11. Emergency Actions: (Reference: Part 1506.11—CEQ Regulations). After consultation with the Council on Environmental Quality regarding alternative courses of action, the Bureau of Prisons may take action without observing the provisions of the CEQ Regulations and these Bureau of Prisons Procedures in the following cases:

(1) When the replacement of suddenly unavailable local utilities services, and/or resources, due to circumstances beyond the control of the Bureau of Prisons, is vital to the lives and safety of inmates and staff or protection of U.S. Government property.

(2) When unforeseen circumstances, such as greatly increased judicial commitments, suddenly dictate the activation of facilities to house increased numbers of Federal offenders and detainees significantly above the physical capacity of the combined Bureau of Prisons facilities in order to insure the lives and safety of inmates and staff or protection of U.S. Government property.

(3) When the sudden destruction of or damage to institutions dictates immediate replacement in order to protect the lives and safety of inmates and staff and protection of U.S. Government property.

12. Review.

(1) If a proposed action is not covered by Sections 8 through 10 of this appendix, the Bureau of Prisons will independently determine whether to prepare either an environmental impact statement or an environmental assessment.

(2) When a proposed action that could be classified as a categorical exclusion under Section 9 of this appendix involves extraordinary circumstances that may affect the environment, the Bureau shall conduct appropriate environmental studies to determine if the categorical exclusion classification is proper for that proposed action.


APPENDIX B TO PART 61—DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION PROCEDURES RELATING TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

1. Applicability.

2. Typical Classes of Action Requiring Similar Treatment Under NEPA.

3. Environmental Information.

(a) Section 1507.3(c)(2) in conjunction with §1508.4 requires agencies to establish three typical classes of action for similar treatment under NEPA. These typical classes of action are set forth below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Actions normally requiring EIS</th>
<th>(2) Actions normally not requiring environmental assessments or EIS (Categorical exclusions)</th>
<th>(3) Actions normally requiring environmental assessments but not necessarily EIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None ..................................</td>
<td>Scheduling of drugs as controlled substances ......</td>
<td>Chemical eradication of plant species from which controlled substances may be extracted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing quotas for controlled substances.</td>
<td>Registration of persons authorized to handle controlled substances.</td>
<td>Manual eradication of plant species from which controlled substances may be extracted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage and destruction of controlled substances.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) For the principal DEA program requiring environmental review, the following chart identifies the point at which the NEPA process begins, the point at which it ends, and the key agency officials or offices required to consider environmental documents in their decisionmaking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal program</th>
<th>Start of NEPA process</th>
<th>Completion of NEPA process</th>
<th>Key officials or offices required to consider environmental documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eradication of plant species from which controlled substances may be extracted.</td>
<td>Prepare an environmental assessment.</td>
<td>Final review of environmental assessment or Environmental Impact Statement.</td>
<td>Office of Science and Technology.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The DEA shall independently determine whether an EIS or an environmental assessment is required where:

1. A proposal for agency action is not covered by one of the typical classes of action in (a) above; or
2. For actions which are covered, the presence of extraordinary circumstances indicates that some other level of environmental review may be appropriate.

3. Environmental Information

Interested persons may contact the Office of Science and Technology for information regarding the DEA compliance with NEPA.

APPENDIX C TO PART 61—IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE PROCEDURES RELATING TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT


2. Purpose. These procedures shall apply to efforts associated with the leasing, purchase, design, construction, and maintenance of new and existing INS facilities. All activities concerning the Immigration and Naturalization Service’s compliance with NEPA shall be coordinated with Central Office Engineering staff.

3. Agency Description. The INS administers and enforces the immigration and nationality laws. This includes determining the admissibility of persons seeking entry into the United States and adjudicating requests for benefits and privileges under the immigration and nationality laws. The enforcement actions of INS involve the prevention of illegal entry of persons into the United States and the investigation and apprehension of aliens already in the country who because of inadmissibility at entry or misconduct committted following entry may be subject to deportation.

In carrying out its statutory enforcement responsibilities, the INS is authorized to arrest and detain aliens believed to be deportable and to effectuate removal from the U.S. of aliens found deportable after hearing.

4. Designation of Responsible Official. The Chief Engineer, Facilities and Engineering Branch shall be the liaison official for INS with the Council on Environmental Quality, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the other departments and agencies concerning environmental matters. Duties of the Chief Engineer include:

- Insuring compliance with the requirements of NEPA and that the actions with respect to the fulfillment of NEPA are coordinated;
- Providing for procedural and substantive training on environmental issues, policy, procedures and clearance requirements;
- Providing guidance in the preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Statements; and
- Participating in policy formulation, as necessary, in the application of the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

5. NEPA and INS Planning. (a) INS will make available to the public proposals and feasibility studies for facilities under consideration for possible use as INS facilities.

(b) Interested parties identified as such by the local clearinghouse (as established by the Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-96) will be advised and informed concerning proposed plans which might involve NEPA regulations.

(c) Upon completion of the preliminary groundwork described above, INS will issue an A–95 Letter of Intent to: (1) File an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA); (2) File an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). (Reference: 1501.2—CEQ Regulations.)

6. Public Involvement. Information regarding the policies of INS for implementing the NEPA process can be obtained from: Immigration and Naturalization Service, Facilities and Engineering Branch, 425 I Street NW., Washington, DC 20536. (Reference: Part 1506.4—CEQ Regulations.)

7. Supplemental Statements. If it is necessary to prepare a supplement to a draft or a Final Environmental Impact Statement, the supplement shall be introduced into the administrative record pertaining to the project. (Reference: Part 1502.9(c)(3)—CEQ Regulations.)

8. INS Decisionmaking Procedure. (a) Policy—(1) The Chief Engineer will consider all practical means, including the “no-action” alternative and other alternatives to the proposed action, which will enhance, protect, and preserve the quality of the environment, restore environmental quality previously lost, and minimize and mitigate unavoidable adverse effects. He will analyze and study the environment together with engineering, economic, social and other considerations to insure balanced decisionmaking in the overall public interest.

(2) During INS project planning and the related decisionmaking process, environmental effects will be weighed together with the engineering, economic and social and other considerations affecting the public interest.