Environmental Protection Agency § 52.275

per year, or for establishments employing more than 200 and less than 400 employees per shift, within 90 days after receipt.

(iii) For establishments employing 100 to 200 employees per shift, within 180 days after receipt.

(9) The owner or operator of any industrial, business, governmental or commercial establishment required to submit a plan by this paragraph shall be notified by the Administrator within 30 days after the plan has been evaluated as to whether the plan has been approved or disapproved. Any plan disapproved by the Administrator shall be modified to overcome the disapproval and resubmitted to the Administrator within 30 days of receipt of the notice of disapproval.

(10) Any source that violates any requirement of this regulation shall be subject to enforcement action under section 113 of the Act.

(11) All submittals or notifications required to be submitted to the Administrator by this regulation shall be sent to:


(12) For the purposes of this regulation the following episode criteria shall apply to particulate matter episodes and Stage 3 photochemical oxidants episodes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Averaging time (hours)</th>
<th>Stage 1</th>
<th>Stage 2</th>
<th>Stage 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photochemical oxidants</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Parts per million.

(13) The Fresno County Air Pollution Control District’s Regulation VI, as submitted on October 23, 1974, relating to episodes for carbon monoxide and photochemical oxidants averaged over 1 hour, shall apply to particular matter episodes averaged over 24 hours, except that the Administrator shall insure that declaration procedures, notification procedures, source inspections, and termination of such episodes occur.

(14) The Administrator shall insure that the following actions will be taken in the source and receptor areas on the declaration of a Stage 1, Stage 2 or Stage 3 episode:

(i) For a Stage 1 or Stage 2 episode:

(A) Persons operating any facility or activity named in paragraph (r)(5) of this section shall implement the appropriate plans submitted in accordance with subparagraph (5) of the declared Stage 1 or Stage 2 episode for the appropriate air contaminant(s).

(ii) For a Stage 3 episode:

(A) The general public, schools, industrial, business, commercial, and governmental activities throughout Fresno County shall operate as though the day were a major national holiday.

[43 FR 22721, May 26, 1978]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For Federal Register citations affecting § 52.274, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and at www.fdsys.gov.

§ 52.275 Particulate matter control.

(a) The following rules or portions of rules are retained because they control emissions of particulate matter, and because there is no demonstration that their deletion would not interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the national standards for particulate matter:

(1) Lake County APCD.

(i) Part III–50 and Part V–1B, submitted on October 23, 1974, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223.

(2) San Luis Obispo County APCD.

(i) Rule 113, submitted on February 21, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223.

(b) The following regulations are disapproved because they relax the control on particulate matter emissions without any accompanying analyses demonstrating that these relaxations will not interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

(1) Amador County APCD.

(i) Rules 211 and 212, submitted on April 21, 1976. (Regulation V, Rules 10 and 14 of Regulation V, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved, are retained.)

(ii) Rules 207 and 212, submitted on October 13, 1977. (The analogous Rules 10 and 14 of Regulation V, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved,
are retained and shall remain in effect for Federal enforcement purposes.)

(2) Calaveras County APCD.
   (i) Rule 211, submitted on October 13, 1977. (Rule 211, submitted on July 22, 1975, and previously approved, is retained and shall remain in effect for Federal enforcement purposes.)
   (3) Del Norte County APCD.
   (i) Rules 410(c)(7) and 420(e), submitted on November 10, 1976.
   (ii) Rules 420(e) and (f), submitted on November 4, 1976.
   (4) El Dorado County APCD.
   (i) Rule 212, submitted on April 10, 1975, and Rule 211, submitted on August 2, 1976. (The analogous Rule 55, submitted on February 21, 1972, and previously approved, is retained and shall remain in effect for Federal enforcement purposes.)
   (5) Humboldt County APCD.
   (i) Rules 410(c)(7) and 420(e), submitted on November 10, 1976.
   (ii) Rules 420(e) and (f), submitted on November 4, 1976.
   (6) Mariposa County APCD.
   (i) Rule 211, submitted on June 6, 1977. (Rule 211, submitted on January 10, 1975, and previously approved, is retained and shall remain in effect for Federal enforcement purposes.)
   (7) Mendocino County APCD.
   (i) Rule 410(c)(7), submitted on November 10, 1976.
   (ii) Rules 420(e) and (f), submitted on November 4, 1976.
   (8) Nevada County APCD.
   (i) Rule 212, submitted on April 10, 1975, and Rule 211, submitted on April 21, 1976. (Rule 52.1, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved, is retained and shall remain in effect for Federal enforcement purposes.)
   (9) Northern Sonoma County APCD.
   (i) Rule 420(e), submitted on November 10, 1976.
   (ii) Rules 420(e) and (f), submitted on October 13, 1977.
   (10) Placer County APCD.
   (i) Rule 211, submitted on October 13, 1977. (The analogous Rule 61, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved, is retained and shall remain in effect for Federal enforcement purposes.)
   (ii) Rules 202, 207, and 211, submitted on October 15, 1976; and Section 61, and Rules 202 and 207, previously approved in the June 30, 1972, January 10, 1975, and October 13, 1977 submittals, are retained.
   (11) Plumas County APCD.
   (i) Rule 211, submitted on June 6, 1977. (The analogous Rule 211, submitted on January 10, 1975, and previously approved, is retained and shall remain in effect for Federal enforcement purposes.)
   (12) San Luis Obispo County APCD.
   (i) Rule 403, submitted on November 10, 1976.
   (13) Sierra County APCD.
   (i) Rule 211, submitted on June 6, 1977. (The analogous Rule 211, submitted on January 10, 1975, and previously approved, is retained and shall remain in effect for Federal enforcement purposes.)
   (14) Trinity County APCD.
   (i) Rules 410(c)(7) and 420(e), submitted on November 10, 1976.
   (ii) Rules 420(e) and (f), submitted on November 4, 1976.

(c) The following regulations are disapproved because they relax the control on visible emissions without any accompanying analyses demonstrating that these relaxations will not interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards or any other applicable requirement of the Clean Air Act.

   (1) South Coast Air Quality Management District.

[43 FR 25675, June 14, 1978]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For Federal Register citations affecting §52.275, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and at www.fdsys.gov.

§52.276 Sulfur content of fuels.

(a) The following rules or portions of rules are disapproved since they represent a relaxation of previously submitted regulations and an adequate control strategy demonstration has not been submitted showing that the relaxation will not interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards:

   (1) North Central Coast Intrastate Region:
      (i) Monterey Bay Unified APCD.