- (ii) The proposed enforcement actions to withhold payments; and
- (iii) If enforcement action is proposed, that the State has a reasonable opportunity for correction, described in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, before the Administrator takes final action.
- (2) Opportunity for corrective action. If enforcement actions are proposed, the State must submit evidence of corrective action related to the findings of noncompliance to the Administrator within 30 days from the date of the preliminary notification. Corrective action is action to ensure that the plan is, and will be, administered consistent with applicable law and regulations, to ameliorate past deficiencies in plan administration, or to ensure that enrollees will be treated equitably.
- (3) Final notice. Taking into account any evidence submitted by the State under paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the Administrator makes a final determination related to the findings of noncompliance, and provides a final notice to the State—
- (i) Of the final determination on the findings of noncompliance;
- (ii) If enforcement action is appropriate—
- (A) No further payments will be made to the State (or that payments will be made only for those portions or aspects of the programs that are not affected by the noncompliance); and
- (B) The total or partial withholding will continue until the Administrator is satisfied that the State's plan and practice are, and will continue to be, in compliance with Federal requirements.
- (4) *Hearing*. An opportunity for a hearing will be provided to the State prior to withholding under paragraph (d)(5) of this section.
- (5) Withholding. CMS withholds payments, in whole or in part, until the Administrator is satisfied regarding the State's compliance.
- [65 FR 33622, May 24, 2000, as amended at 66 FR 2674, Jan. 11, 2001]

§ 457.206 Administrative appeals under CHIP.

Three distinct types of determinations are subject to Departmental reconsideration upon request by a State.

(a) Compliance with Federal requirements. A determination that a State's

- plan or proposed plan amendments, or its practice under the plan do not meet (or continue to meet) Federal requirements are subject to the hearing provisions of 42 CFR part 430, subpart D of this chapter.
- (b) FFP in State CHIP expenditures. Disallowances of FFP in State CHIP expenditures (mandatory grants) are subject to Departmental reconsideration by the Departmental Appeals Board (the Board) in accordance with procedures set forth in 45 CFR part 16.
- (c) Discretionary grants disputes. Determinations listed in 45 CFR part 16, appendix A, pertaining to discretionary grants, such as grants for special demonstration projects under Section 1115 of the Act, that may be awarded to an CHIP agency, are subject to reconsideration by the Departmental Grant Appeals Board.

§ 457.208 Judicial review.

- (a) Right to judicial review. Any State dissatisfied with the Administrator's final determination on approvability of plan material (§457.203) or compliance with Federal requirements (§457.204) has a right to judicial review.
- (b) Petition for review. (1) The State must file a petition for review with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the circuit in which the State is located, within 60 days after it is notified of the determination.
- (2) After the clerk of the court files a copy of the petition with the Administrator, the Administrator files in the court the record of the proceedings on which the determination was based.
- (c) Court action. (1) The court is bound by the Administrator's findings of fact, if they are supported by substantial evidence.
- (2) The court has jurisdiction to affirm the Administrator's decision, to set it aside in whole or in part, or, for good cause, to remand the case for additional evidence.
- (d) Response to remand. (1) If the court remands the case, the Administrator may make new or modified findings of fact and may modify his or her previous determination.
- (2) The Administrator certifies to the court the transcript and record of the further proceedings.