

1992. The other programs under title II, part B meet the "hold-harmless" requirement of § 403.180(a)(1) and the amount reserved for State administration exceeds \$250,000. The State determines the amount of funds to be reserved for each program under title II, parts A, B, and C of the Act as follows:

(a) First, the State subtracts \$250,000 from the \$20,000,000 total basic programs award (\$20,000,000 - \$250,000 = \$19,750,000).

(b) Second, the State determines the amount that would have been reserved for each of the programs under parts A, B, and C of title II of the Act in the absence of a shortfall in the set-aside amount for the Programs for Criminal Offenders, as follows:

5.0% × 20,000,000 =	\$1,000,000	for administration.
3.5% × 20,000,000 =	700,000	for Sex Equity Programs.
7.0% × 20,000,000 =	1,400,000	for Programs for Single Parents, Displaced Homemakers, and Single Pregnant Women.
8.5% × 20,000,000 =	1,700,000	for State Programs and State Leadership Activities.
75.0% × 20,000,000 =	15,000,000	for part C of title II.
	19,800,000	

(c) Third, the State converts each of these amounts into a percentage by dividing each amount by the sum of the amounts the programs would have earned in the absence of a shortfall (\$19,800,000) and multiplies the remaining basic programs award (\$19,750,000) by these percentages to determine the amount to reserve for each program under parts A, B, and C of title II of the Act, as follows:

(\$1,000,000/ \$19,800,000) × \$19,750,000 =	\$997,475	for administration.
(\$700,000/\$19,800,000) × \$19,750,000 =	\$698,232	for Sex Equity Programs.
(\$1,400,000/ \$19,800,000) × \$19,750,000 =	\$1,396,465	for Programs for Single Parents, Displaced Homemakers, and Single Pregnant Women.
(\$1,700,000/ \$19,800,000) × \$19,750,000 =	\$1,695,707	for State Programs and State Leadership Activities.
(\$15,000,000/ \$19,800,000) × \$19,750,000 =	\$14,962,121	for part C of title II.
	\$19,750,000	

This example assumes that amounts reserved for the Sex Equity Program and for the Program for Single Parents, Displaced Homemakers, and Single Pregnant Women meet the "hold-harmless" requirement of sections 102(c) (1) and (2) of the Act.

(d) The procedure for meeting the ratable reduction provision in paragraph (a)(2) of this section is as follows:

(1) If a State's basic programs award under title II of the Act for FY 1992 or in future years is less than that State's basic grant amount in FY 1991, a State shall determine the percentage that the basic programs award is of the FY 1991 basic programs award.

(2) The State shall multiply the amounts reserved in FY 1991 for each of the three programs covered by the "hold-harmless" provisions in paragraph (a)(1) of this section by this percentage.

(3) The State shall compare the amounts that would be reserved for these programs in FY 1992 to determine if these amounts are less than the ratably reduced hold-harmless amounts, and if so, shall proceed with the calculation required by paragraph (c) of this section except using the ratably reduced "hold-harmless" amounts.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 2312)

§ 403.181 What are the cost-sharing requirements applicable to the basic programs?

(a) A State shall match, from non-Federal sources and on a dollar-for-dollar basis, the funds reserved for administration of the State plan under § 403.180(b)(4).

(b) The matching requirement under paragraph (a) of this section may be applied overall, rather than line-by-line, to State administrative expenditures.

(c) A State shall provide from non-Federal sources for State administration under the Act an amount that is not less than the amount provided by the State from non-Federal sources for State administrative costs for the preceding fiscal or program year.

Example for paragraph (b): From the five percent reserved for the administration of the State plan, a State must reserve \$60,000 to carry out the provisions in § 403.13. The \$60,000 must be matched, but the matching funds need not be used for the activities described in § 403.13.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 2312(b) and 2468d; H.R. Rep. No. 660, 101st Cong., 2nd Sess. 103-104 (1990))