§ 78.11

caused to be made by a party or representative of a party to a proceeding under this part, the person presiding over the proceedings then in progress may, to the extent consistent with justice, require the party to show good cause why its claim or interest in the proceedings should not be dismissed, denied, disregarded, or otherwise adversely affected on account of these exparte communications.

(c) The prohibitions of paragraph (a) of this section shall begin to apply upon publication by the Administrator of the notice of the filing of a petition under §78.9 of this part. This prohibition terminates on the date of final agency action.

§ 78.11 Intervenors.

- (a) Within 30 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Administrator when giving notice) after notice is given under §78.9 of this part that the petition for administrative review has been filed, any person listed in §78.3(a) of this part may file a motion for leave to intervene in the proceeding. A motion for leave to intervene under this section shall set forth the grounds for the proposed intervention and may respond to the petition for administrative review. Late motions to intervene may be granted only for good cause shown.
- (b) The Environmental Appeals Board of Presiding Officer will grant a motion to intervene only upon an express finding that:
- (1) The motion to intervene raises matters relevant to the factual or legal issues to be reviewed;
- (2) The intervenor consented to be bound by all stipulations previously entered into by the existing parties, and all orders previously issued, in the proceeding; and
- (3) The intervention will promote the interests of justice and will not cause undue delay or prejudice to the rights of the existing parties.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 78.12 Standard of review.

(a) On appeal of a decision of the Administrator prior to which there was an opportunity for submission of public comments or objections:

- (1) Except as provided under paragraph (a)(2) of this section, the petitioner shall have the burden of going forward and of persuasion to show that a finding of fact or conclusion of law underlying the decision is clearly erroneous or that an exercise of discretion or policy determination underlying the decision is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise warrants review.
- (2) The owners and operators of the source or unit involved shall have the burden of persuasion that an Acid Rain permit NO_X Budget permit, CAIR permit, or other federally enforceable permit was properly issued or should be issued.
- (b) On appeal of a decision of the Administrator not covered by paragraph (a) of this section, the Administrator shall have the burden of going forward to show the rational basis for the decision. The petitioner shall have the burden of persuasion to show that a finding of fact or conclusion of law underlying the decision is clearly erroneous or that an exercise of discretion or policy determination underlying the decision is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise warrants review.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997; 66 FR 12978, Mar. 1, 2001; 69 FR 21645, Apr. 21, 2004; 70 FR 25339, May 12, 2005; 76 FR 48379, Aug. 8, 2011]

§ 78.13 Scheduling orders and prehearing conferences.

- (a) If a request for an evidentiary hearing is granted, the Presiding Officer will issue an order scheduling the following:
- (1) The filing by each party of a narrative statement of position on each factual issue in controversy.
- (2) The identification of any witness that a party expects to call and of any written testimony, documents, papers, exhibits, or other materials that a party expects to introduce into evidence. At the request of the Presiding Officer, the party shall include a brief narrative summary of any witness' expected testimony and of any such materials.
- (3) The filing of written testimony, in accordance with §78.14(b) of this part, and other evidence in support of a narrative statement.