

to pay tribute to the owner of the San Francisco 49ers, Edward J. DeBartolo, Jr., my constituent.

I predict the 49ers will win. The Chargers are great, but the reason I predict the 49ers to win is the standard of excellence of the 49ers from top to bottom.

The reason for that excellence, ladies and gentlemen, was a humble construction worker from Youngstown, OH, by the name of Edward J. DeBartolo, Sr., who became the No. 1 developer of shopping malls in America. He started work at 6 o'clock in the morning. He has recently passed away, but he passed on that work ethic to his son.

I predict from top to bottom owner Eddie DeBartolo, Jr., Carmon Poucy, another one of my constituents, and Coach George Seifert and the great 49ers will win because of that work ethic.

Mr. Speaker, I pay tribute today to Mr. DeBartolo, Sr. Mr. DeBartolo, Sr., was a big supporter of H.R. 390: Innocent Until Proven Guilty.

The 49er standard of excellence will prevail.

REPUBLICANS MAKING PROGRESS ON THEIR CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

(Mr. GINGRICH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. GINGRICH. Mr. Speaker, as the gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. WATTS] pointed out, we do have a Contract With America. We are working very hard.

I want to say it was a bipartisan contract in the last few weeks. The line-item veto came out of the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight with a 30-to-11 bipartisan margin.

The Shays Act to apply to Congress every law which applies to the rest of the country passed with a huge bipartisan margin.

The victory last night for the constitutional amendment to require a balanced budget was a bipartisan victory.

I want to thank all of the reform Democrats who are joining us again and again in doing good bipartisan things. We do have a contract. We are going to keep it.

A friend of mine gave me this this morning earlier and said, "Why don't you punch in this contract that you always carry, why don't you take out the very first one." I want to punch a hole to indicate one is down. We will be back for nine more.

GIFT BAN

(Mr. WISE asked was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, while we are on the subject of reform Democrats, from fruit baskets to first-class flights, it is time to give it up. It is time to pass the gift ban for lobbyists.

In his State of the Union message, the President encouraged Congress to voluntarily abandon lobbyist gifts and trips. He is right.

□ 1030

We do not need a law to do what each of us can do individually. Today, I am implementing in our Charleston, Martinsburg, and Washington offices all the provisions of the reform law that still have not passed. That means no lobbyist-provided meals, no lobbyist gifts, and no lobbyist trips.

Food, like fruit baskets, will either be declined or given to charity. Happily there will not be much change for our office. I believe we have received three cans of popcorn and one birthday cake in the last few months.

Mr. Speaker, as I do this in my office, so does Congress need to pass the lobby reform legislation that puts this into law. Twice last year I voted to make it law. Two weeks ago I voted to make it law.

As I have put this gift ban into effect and made it the law of the West Virginia Second Congressional District office, Mr. Speaker, now I ask you to help make it the law of the Nation.

WE WILL WORK TO KEEP OUR PROMISES

(Mr. HAYWORTH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, I am glad the balanced budget amendment passed the House yesterday. We have demonstrated to the people that we have heard their concerns, and the Republican controlled Congress will continue to work to keep our promises with them by enacting the rest of the Contract With America.

But I have to say I am disappointed that the Barton language, which provided a protection to the taxpayers by preventing tax increases without a three-fifths majority vote, did not pass. In fact, even though 97 percent of Republicans voted for this language, less than 20 percent of the Democrats voted for it. I guess it is hard for the Democrats to accept that the days of tax and tax and spend and spend are over.

I want to assure the hard working taxpayers in our country that the fight is not over. We will spend the next year building support for a tax limitation amendment and bring it back to the floor for a vote.

I am confident that with hard work, we will win. In the meantime, let us continue to pass the rest of the Contract With America.

URGING PASSAGE OF THE GIFT BAN

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, we need to take up the President's challenge and ban gifts of any kind from paid lobbyists, and we need to restrict the amount of royalty income that Members of Congress are permitted to receive to one-third of their annual salary. The Bryant bill would do just that. It is the toughest lobby reform and ethics legislation ever considered by Congress.

We must pass the Bryant bill, because it is not enough for each Member of Congress to simply pledge that we will not longer take gifts or accept royalties, we also need to enforce disclosure by lobbyists. The American people have a right to know what legislation these groups are attempting to influence and how much money they are spending in those efforts.

On January 4, the first day of the 104th Congress, House Democrats moved to impose tough gift restrictions and royalty limits. But that effort failed—not a single Republican voted for the gift ban.

It is time for Republicans to live up to their rhetoric on reform. Perks and privileges demean this institution and everyone who serves here. Let us pass the gift ban, now.

CELEBRATING THE PASSING OF THE BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT

(Mr. HOKE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOKE. Mr. Speaker, today is a great day to celebrate the passing of the balanced budget amendment. I want to give you two particular reasons that we should celebrate.

First of all is that we yesterday fixed the flaw in the Constitution that Thomas Jefferson talked about over 200 years ago in 1787. He said "There is one omission that I fear in this Constitution, and that is that we have not restricted the ability of the United States Government to borrow money."

Well, as we restricted it yesterday with a three-fifths requirement to raise the ceiling on the debt.

The second thing I want to particularly celebrate is that this passage of the balanced budget amendment is one more step; maybe it is a giant step, maybe it is a baby step, but my goodness, it is a step toward restoring confidence in the American people's ability to elect people that will actually do what they say they will do, and their ability to trust their elected representatives. By keeping our promises, we are restoring confidence in this institution and confidence in America.

MAJORITY URGED TO JOIN IN EFFORT TO CLEAN UP CONGRESS

(Ms. WOOLSEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, why does the majority continue to resist a ban on gifts from lobbyists? We hear them telling the American people they are for openness in Government. They say they want to "shine some sunlight on this institution." Well, Mr. Speaker, I ask that some light be shed on this question: Why did the majority oppose us on the first day of the 104th Congress when we offered an amendment to ban gifts from lobbyists?

On Tuesday night, the President asked us not to wait for legislation. He asked us to start now by adopting individual office policies not to accept gifts from lobbyists. Mr. Speaker, I did that in 1993, as did many of my reform-minded colleagues.

Many more of us will heed the President's request. Mr. Speaker, I urge the majority to join in our effort to clean up Congress. Now is the time to prove to the American people that we stand for real reform.

A GREAT NIGHT FOR THE CAUSE OF LIBERTY

(Mr. JONES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. JONES. Mr. Speaker, last night was a great night for the cause of liberty.

Not only did we pass a balanced budget amendment to the Constitution, but we also proved to the American people that, working together under Republican leadership, we can move this country forward, and we showed the American people that they were right to trust Republicans with the responsibility of leading this Nation.

But our work is just beginning. We still have a long way to go. We have to pass an unfunded-mandates bill, a crime bill, a line-item-veto bill, and the other items in the Contract With America.

Still, we are off to a great start. We have reformed Congress, and we have now taken the first step towards finally balancing the budget.

Some of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle said it could not be done. What is even more shocking is that some of them said it should not be done. But by passing the balanced budget amendment, we proved last night that things really are changing in Washington.

ENACT LOBBYING REFORM

(Mr. KLINK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KLINK. Mr. Speaker, you know, the President was right when he addressed us on Tuesday night: Congress cannot be for sale. We cannot have the appearance that Members of Congress are for sale.

Imagine, if you would, if we keep going the direction we are, Members of Congress looking like race car drivers with various parts of our anatomy adorned with the corporate logos of those companies who come here to lobby; your sleeve could say IBM, the other sleeve could say AT&T, you could have a ballcap on that would say General Motors, or a tie that would flash Gannett.

This is the whole idea.

I could see the House, or Congress, in fact, could end up looking like Three Rivers Stadium with corporate banners hanging from the backs of the galleries.

We must first act voluntarily so that Members say Congress is not for sale, and they do not accept those gifts.

Next we must enact strong legislation. Last year the House voted on two separate occasions by margins of 3 to 1, yet on January 4, the first day of this Congress, it was the Democrats that moved to impose the tough gift restrictions and royalty limits, but not one single member of the opposition party would join us in that, and I know there are Members over there, if they give it a second thought, would be much stronger.

THE BURDEN OF UNFUNDED MANDATES

(Mr. MARTINI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. MARTINI. Mr. Speaker, most of us know how difficult it is to be a local official. Let us not make it any tougher. The burden of unfunded mandates had not gone away since last week.

Local governments are still toiling under their yoke losing money in paperwork complying with one-size-fits-all regulations from Congress.

I ask the opponents of this bill, how many times do you need to hear the following before you understand: There is nothing in this bill that prevents us from passing an unfunded mandate if we deem it in the national interest.

The difference this legislation will make is that from now on, we will be fully aware of what we are mandating. Before this legislation, all we knew was the good we wanted to do. After we pass this bill, we will also know what it costs to do the good. The latter is just as important as the former.

HELP US PASS LOBBYING REFORM

(Ms. FURSE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. FURSE. Mr. Speaker, before I came to Congress, I was a community organizer, I was a farmer, and, you know, nobody gave me any gifts to encourage me to do my job.

I do not see why it should be any different now I am in Congress. Well, on January 4 the Democrats put forward a bill to ban gifts from lobbyists, but,

you know, we failed in that bill, and we failed because not one Republican would join us in banning gifts from lobbyists.

Now, last October Speaker GINGRICH said he would work to pass a bill that would ban gifts from special interests, so now, Mr. Speaker, we have a bill; we have a bill that would do just that, House Resolution 40, introduced by my colleague, the gentleman from Texas [Mr. BRYANT]. It will do that.

Let us, all of us, pass that bill. But, you know, we are going to need Republicans to help us do that, so let us do the job we were sent to do. We are paid to do it. We do not need gifts from people who just want to influence us.

□ 1040

CHANGE MEANS LESS GOVERNMENT, MORE FREEDOM

(Mr. LINDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, "The country needs and, unless I mistake its temper, the country demands bold, persistent experimentation. It is common sense to take a method and try it. If it fails, admit it frankly and try another. But above all, try something."

These words were spoken by Franklin Delano Roosevelt. True words are timeless. Today, the Republicans are going the answer the country's demand for less Government and more freedom. Common sense tells us that the burgeoning bureaucracy of the past 40 years has failed. So it is time to try something else. The balanced budget has passed. A presidential line item veto, term limits, cutting spending first, and cutting taxes will follow.

Our country can no longer afford to hold on to the 40 years of failed methods simply for sentimental reasons. The rationale that "that's the way we've always done it" no longer applies. It is not good enough to try more and more of the same thing. We must try something different. And we will start with keeping our promises.

DEAL OF THE CENTURY

(Mr. VOLKMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Speaker, 1 week ago today the House Ethics Committee was appointed. I call on the Ethics Committee to begin an immediate in-depth investigation into the deal-of-the-century book deal. The Ethics Committee must review this contract and possible conflicts immediately. I am sure they will find the necessity to call for an independent counsel.

Mr. Speaker, the House deserves to know how long this relationship or partnership has been ongoing. The Republicans should not oppose having an independent counsel to review this