

much higher risk of needing all kinds of intensive medical care at the time of birth.

These are some of the most expensive babies born in America today. And yet for a few dollars a week with the Women, Infants and Children Program, we can dramatically reverse these pregnancies and the birth weight of the newborn infants and their lives there ever after. Because some 40 percent of these low birth weight babies with the complications that many of them encounter at that time come back to us in the need of special education, of therapies and other programs to help them. But this is preventable with the Women, Infants and Children Program. Yet at the earliest stages of life, when children are struggling to thrive and survive, when women are struggling to provide a normal pregnancy, a full-term pregnancy, resulting in a healthy baby, we see \$25 million taken out of this bipartisan program that has received universal praise and success in every study conducted. Whether in the universities, whether by government, whether by foundations, all of them praise the success in changing the outcome of these pregnancies.

When you consider in this country that 60 percent of all of the pregnancies in this country are unwanted, unintended, and that half of those are resolved by abortion, and now we put into the equation the likelihood of giving birth to a low birth weight baby with all of these complications, we create much more trauma around birth and the expectation of the birth of a child than there should be for these families. But the Republican budget cuts this program.

In the new nutrition program, \$7 billion cut from what it would take to maintain the children currently on the program in the next 5 years. In my district, the Mount Diablo School District, that is about half a million dollars. Fewer lunches for fewer children or smaller lunches. The Richmond School District, the same kind of choices. The State of California, \$1 billion in nutrition that goes to low-income working families and to poor families to feed their children.

The Food Stamp Program, same families, yet getting another cut, trying to provide nutrition for their children. The day care feeding program, family day care, where working parents leave their children for the hours they are at work, the nutrition program is being cut, raising the price of day care \$15 a week, maybe \$60 a month for people who are not working for all that high wages, trying to provide child care for their children.

The fact we see drug-free schools, programs started by Nancy Reagan, she was in town this last week testifying about the drug activity, and yet that program is being cut.

Summer youth employment: The greatest determinant of keeping children out of problems when they are adolescents and young people is to pro-

vide them employment, job experience, work experience. Half of the money for this program in most communities is put up by the private sector. That program is being zeroed out.

So you can see why the Republicans are so nervous about being anti-child, because on the facts, on the language of their bills, on the numbers of their cuts, and the impact on these programs, children are going to be hurt. This is not an abstract notion, ladies and gentlemen; these are the facts of the bills that will be coming to the floor this next week.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. WYNN] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. WYNN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

THE GREATEST BATTLE OF WORLD WAR II

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 4, 1995, the gentleman from California [Mr. DORNAN] is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. DORNAN. Mr. Speaker, as I said a few moments ago in my 1-minute speech, I would be spending the better part of this next hour on America's most costly battle, the one that Winston Churchill said was the greatest battle in American history, the campaign in the Ardennes Forest of Europe. Churchill was correct. If we go by "killed in action and wounded in action," his words were true. His exact words were, "This is undoubtedly the greatest battle of the war, and will, I believe, be regarded as an ever famous American victory."

Before I do that, it is my desire, Mr. Speaker, to read slowly an article from the Washington Post on Wednesday that I believe is the great moral battle of our time. The unending death total of almost 4,500 Americans in their mother's wombs every single day. Still, a million and a half abortions every year. It is a death toll that is way past 30 million just since the Roe versus Wade decision, one of the most evil decisions by a court in all of recorded history, a decision based on a total lie.

Norma McCovey, who was named Jane Roe as her nom de guerre, her war title, war against the preborn, never did have an abortion. She tried to kill all three of her daughters that are still estranged from her. They are all in their middle twenties to early thirties now, and they are all saying when their mother is willing to apologize for having tried to kill them then they will reconcile with her.

She is on the road, not a very high IQ lady, on the road for Planned Parenthood and NARAL and other ferociously pro-abortion groups. And she is a sad figure, because she never was raped. And the whole case in Texas by a very

poorly prepared attorney general of Texas was based on a lie. She never was raped, I repeat, never did abort one of her three pregnancies. The three daughters live to this day. And on that lie, we did something as loathsome as keeping about four million Americans enslaved, Americans of African heritage, right up through the bloodiest conflict that America has ever known, 618,000 dead from all the American States on both sides, in a Nation that, including the non-free Americans, was only about 37 or 38 million people. And we killed off in their child bearing years through disease and combat, combat far less than those that died of diseases, 618,000 Americans. And here we are doubling that total every year with abortion alone.

□ 1330

This article is by a friend of mine who is an excellent actor. You can see him doing many commercials in any given year. He is a good character actor, but beyond that he teaches law at Pepperdine and he is an excellent philosopher, an observant individual, Benjamin J. Stein. And here is what he writes in Wednesday's Washington Post, one of America's three big liberal papers of record. The title of Ben's article is "Deep Sixed by the GOP."

"'A bureaucrat is a Democrat who has a job that a Republican wants.' So said Eleanor Roosevelt in 1946 when she was helping to campaign against the Republican tide in Congress. It didn't help, but it made a valid point. There's no particular pride in coining phrases and slogans and in posturing after moral superiority if all you really want is a job," that someone else has, "and the pose of moral superiority is your pitch."

"This comes to mind because of a recent spate of back pedaling among Republicans about the right-to-life issue. From what I hear," says Ben Stein, "it's coming from across the board, in Congress and elsewhere," across our land, "and there is not a single GOP Presidential hopeful at this point who is in favor of a right-to-life amendment to the Constitution or of repealing Roe versus Wade in any way."

I might put in an important footnote at that point, Mr. Speaker. This Member, who aspires to the greatest office in this land or any other, I not only have a right-to-life amendment, and have had in every one of nine Congresses that I have been here, but I have always been for repealing Roe versus Wade, a repeal of the Supreme Court decision of infamous and heinous ill repute that was based on a lie.

And the lawyer, Sarah Weddington of Texas, knew it was a lie and told her client Norma McCovey, Jane Roe, to continue lying. She wasn't raped and has never been subjected to an abortion.

Back to Ben Stein. Now to some of us, abortion is the preeminent moral issue of the century. It's not a medical