

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

TRIBUTE TO SIGNET BANK OF MARYLAND

HON. BENJAMIN L. CARDIN

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay special tribute to the Signet Bank of Maryland on its 200th anniversary of serving the Baltimore community. Originally established as the Bank of Baltimore on Christmas Eve 1795 by the Maryland General Assembly, Signet Bank of Maryland is the direct descendant of that original bank.

For two centuries, the Bank of Baltimore and its descendants have remained on the same site, at the heart and core of Baltimore. The bank has steered a steady and profitable course through the War of 1812, numerous financial panics of the 19th century, the Great Fire of Baltimore in 1904 and the Great Depression.

In 1985, Union Trust Bancorp, a descendant of the original Bank of Baltimore, and Bank of Virginia Co. merged to create a \$7 billion multibank institution. The name was changed to Signet Banking Corp. a year later. Presently, Signet Bank of Maryland is a full-service commercial bank with 87 locations throughout central Maryland, the Eastern Shore, and the Maryland suburbs of Washington DC. In offering specialized services for retail and commercial banking, international trade finance, trust, asset-based lending and leasing, cash management, real estate, insurance and consumer financing, Signet is an important contributor to the prosperity of Baltimore and Maryland.

A subsidiary of Signet Banking Corp., which is approximately a \$10 billion multibank holding company, Signet Bank of Maryland and its ancestors have been the financial home for many generations of Baltimoreans. Signet has shared in Maryland's rich history and there is little doubt that it will continue to be a major contributor to our community into the 21st century.

I hope my colleagues will join me in extending congratulations to Signet Bank of Maryland in celebrating its 200-year history in Maryland.

TRIBUTE TO THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF MODESTO

HON. GARY A. CONDIT

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. CONDIT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and congratulate the League of Women Voters of Modesto for its 48 years of dedicated service to the voters of our community in the 18th Congressional District. This year marks the 75th anniversary of the League of Women Voters of the United States. During its historic past throughout our Nation, the league has encouraged the in-

formed and active participation of citizens in government as well as influenced public policy through education and advocacy.

The League of Women Voters of Modesto under the leadership of its first president in 1947, Helen Pierce, to its current president, Julie Saugstad, has provided a driving force in our community to keep our voters abreast of the issues facing our local communities as well as the Nation. In the 1950's, the Modesto league began studying local government institutions under the leadership of Esther Beard Brack and Mary Johnson, founding members and former presidents. With the aid of former president, Thelma Van Overbeek, the league opened its first office. As the 1960's progressed, so did the league's involvement with issues on both the State and local levels. The work of then presidents Doris Scanlon and Irene Chadwick made it possible for the league to hold televised Candidate's Nights. In the 1970's, the league began holding a weekly television program to educate the community about pressing issues. In addition, it began printing its ever-popular Facts for Voters in both English and Spanish. At that time, the league worked under the direction of Connie Harris, Carole Davis, and Alita Roberts.

The league of Modesto continued its work in the 1980's by actively participating in local activities. Local member Kenni Friedman went on to become president of the League of Women Voters of California. Former local presidents, Myrtle Osner, Dorothy Schmidt, Jean Hamp, and Lisa Howard along with the rest of the members brought the league into the 1990's by their continued participation in government. The league can proudly reflect on two of its local members Councilmember Friedman of Modesto and Supervisor Pat Paul of Oakdale who have been elected to local government posts.

The league has continued its original mission of providing voter service and education by its candidates forums, production of Facts for Voters, and the lobbying of government bodies.

Mr. Speaker, on March 25, 1995, the League of Women Voters of Modesto will be recognized for its years of service at the Stanislaus County Commission for Women 16th Annual Outstanding Women Celebration. Since the inception of this annual event, 32 members of the league have been recognized as Outstanding Women.

I am proud to represent such fine members of our community as well as to recognize the league for its invaluable service.

INTRODUCTION OF PRESIDIO LEGISLATION

HON. NANCY PELOSI

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, today, I am introducing bipartisan legislation to create a Pre-

sidio Trust at the Presidio in San Francisco which is included in the national park system as part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

I am pleased to be joined in this bipartisan effort by my colleague from California, Representative STEPHEN HORN, and by Representative BENJAMIN GILMAN from New York. These Members, from east to west, appreciate the national significance of the Presidio and the need for innovative ways to reduce Federal costs for its operations.

Presidio Trust legislation, H.R. 3433, was considered by the Congress in the last session where it passed the House and was reported by a 20 to 0 vote in Senate committee. It was not taken up by the full Senate in the final days of the session.

Creation of a Presidio Trust would enable Federal costs for this national park to be reduced considerably. The structure of the trust is based on the study of 19 management models by independent financial and real estate experts who determined that this legislative proposal would be successful in reducing costs to the Government.

The legislation calls for private-sector expertise and management of the Presidio's extensive nonpark properties. There are over 900 structures at the Presidio, almost half of which are historic. A significant number of these properties could be leased with revenues retained to support renovation and operation of the park's facilities.

A small board of planning and financial experts would direct the trust's activities and the National Park Service would continue its traditional management of resource protection and open-space park areas.

Today's legislation differs from H.R. 3433 in its provision for a smaller, more efficient board of experts, and its streamlined management structure. The bill's financing provisions are subject to appropriations and additional private or other financing possibilities are included.

A more detailed summary of the legislation is included below:

SUMMARY OF PRESIDIO TRUST LEGISLATION, 104TH CONGRESS

Background: The Presidio is a scenic and historic former Army post that is now included in the national park system as part of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area. Because the Presidio contains substantial building space, it offers an opportunity, unique within the national park system—to generate revenues from building leases. In order to realize the savings that this opportunity affords, a public-private management entity (Presidio Trust) with specialized financing and managerial expertise is needed.

The Presidio Trust would manage the renovation and leasing of specific Presidio properties transferred by the National Park Service. Ownership would be vested with the federal government and the Presidio would be operated as a national park with the Park Service continuing its traditional management of open space areas and visitor and public safety services.

● This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

The Presidio Trust is based on studies of 19 management models by independent financial and real estate experts. The Trust would be equipped with the following authorities:

The Presidio Trust would have managerial jurisdiction over certain Presidio properties. It would manage the rehabilitation of these properties and would lease buildings to rent-paying tenants.

Revenues from leases would be retained and used to offset costs at the Presidio, driving operating costs down and reducing the need for federal appropriations.

Capital improvements would be financed primarily from private sources. The Trust could augment or leverage private lending through credit enhancement, direct loans, and bonding. Such financing would be subject to review and approval by the Treasury Department.

Oversight of the Trust would be achieved through routine reporting and auditing requirements.

The Trust would adhere to the enabling legislation for the GGNRA and the Presidio General Management Plan.

For nearly 150 years, the federal government has invested in the Presidio as an Army post. The best way to protect this asset is by creating a management and financial mechanism that will enable it to be used and to pay for itself.

The Presidio Trust offers a good government approach that recognizes fiscal realities and offers a less costly, more business-like approach to the management of important federal assets at the Presidio.

EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR ADDITIONAL DISASTER ASSISTANCE AND RESCISSIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995

SPEECH OF

HON. ROSA L. DeLAURO

OF CONNECTICUT

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 15, 1995

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1158) making emergency supplemental appropriations for additional disaster assistance and making rescissions for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1995, and for other purposes:

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Chairman, during debate on the Young amendment to H.R. 1158 that restored funding for veterans' medical care and cut funding for the AmeriCorps national service program, it was charged that AmeriCorps is hurting military recruiting. This is an absolutely false charge concocted to justify an appalling amendment that pits veterans who served our country against young people serving their communities. There also is no evidence to support this charge. To refute this charge, I am submitting for the RECORD the following letter form Assistance Secretary of Defense for Force Management, Frederick Pang:

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE,
Washington, D.C., March 15, 1995.

Hon. BOB STUMP,
House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. STUMP: I understand that you are considering introducing an amendment to reduce funding for national service based on testimony from Sergeant Major of the Marine Corps, Harold G. Overstreet. This letter provides the Department's position re-

garding the possible effects of national service on military recruiting.

During his testimony before the House Committee on National Security on March 7, Sergeant Major Overstreet discussed results from the Marine Corps' Youth Attitude and Awareness Study that suggested national service is a threat to military recruiting. This survey is administered twice a year to a sample of 800 unmarried men, ages 16-19 years, with no military service. In particular, Sergeant Major Overstreet indicated that 47 percent of the young men responding to the survey would consider enrolling in a national service program. He also said 56 percent of the respondents believed that national service offers a better way to obtain money for college than does the military.

Unfortunately, Sergeant Major Overstreet's testimony did not include all the salient facts about national service from the survey. When asked if they were aware of national service, only 11 percent of respondents answered yes. The percentages mentioned above came after the interviewers had explained national service to the respondents. The proportions who indicated awareness of national service in October 1993 and in February 1994 were 15 and 8 percent, respectively.

At yesterday's hearing before the Personnel Subcommittee of the Committee on National Security, the Chairman asked the Service Personnel Chiefs if national service was causing recruiting problems. Each stated unequivocally that national service has not had a negative impact on recruiting. In addition, I am told that Lieutenant General G.R. Christmas further indicated that the types of people attracted to national service were very unlikely to be interested in joining the Marine Corps.

While I share Sergeant Major Overstreet's concerns about future recruiting challenges, I believe he overstated the potential impact of national service on recruiting. Given the small size of the current national service program, the greater value of the military educational benefits (Montgomery GI Bill), and the greater depth of training available in today's Armed Forces, we maintain that military recruiting is in no danger from national service. Fiscal Year 1994 was the third best recruiting year in the history of the All-Volunteer Force. In terms of recruit quality, 96 percent of new enlistees were high school diploma graduates and 72 percent scored above average on the enlistment test. Recruiting also is going well in Fiscal Year 1995.

I appreciate the opportunity to clarify the Department's position on this issue.

Sincerely,

F. PANG.

ST. PETERSBURG INTERNATIONAL FOLK FAIR SOCIETY CELEBRATES 20TH ANNIVERSARY

HON. C.W. BILL YOUNG

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, this weekend I had the opportunity to participate in the St. Petersburg International Folk Fair Society's [SPIFFS] 20th annual International Folk Fair, one of our city's great annual traditions.

As in past years, thousands of people flocked to the Thunderdome, the future home of major league baseball's Tampa Bay Devil Rays, to sample the ethnic cuisines of 55 nationalities and to walk through the cultural exhibits of the SPIFFS world village.

It was in 1976 that Bethia Caffery, a former columnist for the St. Petersburg Evening Independent, brought together a small group of our community's prominent ethnic leaders to organize SPIFFS as part of the city of St. Petersburg's Bicentennial Celebration. Their early successes turned this small, loosely organized group into a full time organization that now provides year-round programs throughout Pinellas County and the Tampa Bay area. They have also become a tremendous resource for our local schools to educate students about the history and culture of countries around the world, large and small.

This year's fair included the colorful Ukrainian Academy of Dance from Toronto, Canada, and Step Dancers from Ireland. Additional entertainment was provided by the various local groups that comprise SPIFFS. For me, however, the significance of SPIFFS takes hold during the opening ceremonies where the flags of the nations of SPIFFS gather around the Stars and Stripes. It is then that each of us puts away ethnic political differences to join in giving thanks for the freedoms of America and to pledge allegiance to the United States.

Mr. Speaker, it is with great admiration that I congratulate SPIFFS, its officers, and its societies for the contributions they have made to our community and to our country over these past 20 years. I salute them for their work, look forward to next year's fair, and thank the St. Petersburg International Folk Fair Society for making their Representative in Congress proud of their efforts to educate each of us about our Nation's great ethnic diversity and heritage.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. HERBERT H. BATEMAN

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. BATEMAN. Mr. Speaker, on March 15, I was recorded in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD as not voting during Rollcall Vote No. 241 although I was on the floor at that time and cast an "aye" vote. Evidently, a mechanical error led to this discrepancy. I have therefore submitted this statement so that my views on this matter are readily available to my constituents.

HONORING THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE NEW YORK CITY LANDMARKS LAW

HON. CAROLYN B. MALONEY

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mrs. MALONEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to the 30th anniversary of the New York City landmarks law, as well as the efforts of Barbaralee Diamonstein-Spielvogel and the New York Landmarks Preservation Foundation. On April 19, 1965, after years of seeing New York's building heritage destroyed, Mayor Robert Wagner signed the landmark law. It is because of this milestone legislation that New York City leads the Nation in the preservation of its landmarks.

In commemoration of this anniversary, an unprecedented number of organizations and individuals have collaborated to arrange over 75 diversified programs, and activities scheduled over the next several months, with Barbaralee Diamonstein-Spielvogel and the New York Landmarks Preservation Foundation coordinating these efforts. The number and variety of these projects vividly demonstrates that preservation is not just the province and concern of a limited constituency. This anniversary brings into focus over 30 years of awareness on the part of historians, preservationists, architects, appointed and elected officials, and concerned citizens that New York is a city of enormous architectural resources.

Because of the landmarks law, these landmarks resources are being held in trust for the use, pleasure, and instruction of future generations. In the last 30 years the landmarks law has preserved 1,021 of the city's individual landmarks, 66 historic districts, and 93 interiors. Though this may sound like a lot of property, it is actually less than 2 percent of real estate in New York, and there is still much that must be accomplished.

I ask that my colleagues join me in saluting the 30th anniversary of the New York City landmarks law. May we all take this opportunity to renew our commitment to the past 30 years of preservation and to see that our commitment to future preservation of these landmarks continues for the next 30 years.

CONGRATULATING JUAN TAITANO
EVANGELISTA

HON. ROBERT A. UNDERWOOD

OF GUAM

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. UNDERWOOD. Mr. Speaker, I would like to commend and congratulate Mr. Juan Taitano Evangelista, for having been awarded the Purple Heart that he so rightfully deserved for having been wounded in action while in the service of our Nation's military during World War II on Guam.

Tun Juan, the son of Pedro T. and Rosalia C. Evangelista was born in the city of Agana on October 14, 1923. In the summer of 1944, right after liberation, he served as a civilian scout of the American troops. He joined the Guam Combat Patrol at the age of 18 in the fall of 1944 and was wounded in the neck by Japanese sniper fire while on duty in the city of Agana.

Forty years later, the Secretary of the Air Force, acting for the Secretary of Defense, decided to recognize the service of Guam Combat Patrol members as active duty military service. Tun Juan was awarded the World War II Victory Medal. Although the Victory Medal was presented under direct orders from the Commandant of the Marine Corps, specific details of recipients' service records were not examined. Tun Juan's wartime injury was not taken into account.

Another decade passed before full recognition could finally be bestowed upon Tun Juan. Despite proper documentation and testimony from credible witnesses, several obstacles still presented themselves. Providing proof that he was not a foreign national was the last of these hurdles. This was, however, the easiest to overcome. Tun Juan has always been and always will be a true American.

Sharing in this recognition is his supportive family. His wife, Tan Pricilla Camacho Evangelista, his 17 children, 48 grandchildren and 3 great-grandchildren are all equally deserving of recognition because they have been, through the years, the source of Tun Juan's motivation. On behalf of the people of Guam, I commend Tun Juan Taitano Evangelista for the wartime service that he rendered our Nation and congratulate him for having been finally awarded the Purple Heart Medal that he deserved.

“WOMEN'S RIGHTS” CONFERENCE
IN BEIJING, CHINA?

HON. GERALD B.H. SOLOMON

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I commend this article by Dr. Nicholas Eberstadt of the American Enterprise Institute and Harvard University to you concerning the irony of the U.S. decision to hold a conference on women in Beijing.

[From the Washington Times, Mar. 13, 1995]

U.N. SUMMIT FOLLIES

(By Nicholas Eberstadt)

Somewhere within the United Nation's vast New York headquarters, there must be an official charged with finding the most inappropriate spot on earth for each new U.N. summit.

How else to explain the upcoming U.N. World Conference on Women in Beijing—a capital that has championed coercive abortions, and revived female infanticide? Or the choice of Copenhagen—exemplar of the discredited and hypertrophied “social welfare state”—as the venue for this week's U.N. World Summit for Social Development?

Though ostensibly organized to push for the eradication of global poverty, the proceedings of the Copenhagen Summit often sounded like the work of a cruel satirist intent upon discrediting this same cause:

First Lady Hillary Clinton, whose disastrous “health care reform” initiative had just helped her husband's party lose control of both houses of Congress, arrived to instruct the summit's 13,000 delegates on the development strategies they should undertake in their own lands.

The non-aligned “Group of 77,” apparently unaware that the Cold War was over, proposed a program of “new and additional” aid for Third World governments, arguing that such subventions would be in the national interest of donor countries.

Meanwhile, off-stage, diplomats were concentrating upon a substantive question: Who would fill the top United Nation's Children's Fund (UNICEF) slot just opened by the sudden death of the American James P. Grant? The United States, it was widely agreed, no longer could lay exclusive claim to this plum job. According to rumors the British candidate, Richard Jolly, looked strong—except that U.N. Secretary General Boutros Boutros-Ghali wanted a woman . . .

Thus the Copenhagen Summit closes like so many U.N. conferences before it: forgettable, superficial, at moments plainly silly. And in the final analysis, this gathering has done another disservice to its nominal beneficiaries, the world's poor.

In the comfortable surroundings of the Copenhagen Summit, very few delegates were prepared to deal with some of the uncomfortable truths about global poverty: that na-

tional wealth must be created, rather than wished into existence, or extorted from countries that have accumulated it; that free international trade, and free blows of private investment, help create national wealth; that governments throughout the Third World routinely exacerbate poverty through unwise or even destructive policies and practices; or that the economic success of such countries as Taiwan and South Korea was sparked by the termination of their “development assistance” programs.

Unending state-to-state transfers of concessional aid will not solve the problems of the world's poor. To the contrary, as we are learning with sub-Saharan Africa and elsewhere, unconditional funding for irresponsible regimes can lead to economic ruin and national impoverishment. Such blunt themes, unfortunately, seem too serious for the light comedies we have come to expect from major U.N. productions.

TRIBUTE TO LORETTA COLLIER

HON. JULIAN C. DIXON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. DIXON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to my good friend Loretta Collier, who retired from the State of California Department of Corrections on November 1, 1994. On Thursday, March 30, 1995, Loretta's colleagues and many friends, will gather at the Holiday Inn Crown Plaza in Los Angeles to honor her for her outstanding contributions to the Department of Corrections and the community. Loretta is a very good friend of many year standing, and I am especially proud to have this opportunity to share just a few of her distinguished accomplishments with my colleagues.

Loretta was born in St. Louis, MO, to Lucy and Raymond Collier. The eldest of three children, she graduated from St. Louis' renowned Vashon High School in 1957, and in 1961 received her undergraduate degree in sociology from Lincoln University in Jefferson City, MO. Loretta pursued graduate studies at the Washington University Brown School of Social Work. She also attended 2 years at the West Los Angeles School of Law.

Prior to settling in Los Angeles, Loretta worked for the Missouri division of welfare as a child welfare worker. In 1966, she moved to Cleveland, OH, and was employed as a counselor for the Neighborhood Youth Corps.

Three years later, Loretta moved to Los Angeles and joined the Los Angeles County Probation Department as a deputy probation officer. She spent a decade with the probation department, resigning in December 1979 to accept a new position as an administrative hearing officer for then-Los Angeles County District Attorney John Van De Kemp.

In June 1980, Loretta was appointed by then-Governor Jerry Brown to a 4-year term on the Board of Prison Terms as a parole commissioner. With her appointment to this important position, she became only the third African-American woman to hold such a position since the board was constituted in 1931. During her tenure, she presided over the parole hearings of a number of some of this country's most infamous criminals, including Sirhan Sirhan and Leslie Van Houton.

In 1989 Loretta was promoted to the position of associate chief deputy parole commissioner responsible for a geographical area that stretched from Fresno, CA to the Mexican border and from the Pacific Ocean to the Arizona border.

Loretta's last 2 years with the California Department of Corrections were spent as the senior administrative hearing officer. In light of her considerable expertise in parole matters, she was called upon to implement new procedures and policies related to the parole revocation process. In addition, she developed training programs for new deputy parole commissioners, and the staffs of the Parole and Community Services Division and the Department of Corrections. She represented the board on local television programs and on radio talk shows, as well.

In addition to her professional responsibilities as an authority on parole matters, Loretta served as a member of the California Probation, Parole, and Correctional Association. She has served as treasurer of the California Democratic Party, and is a former member of the Los Angeles County Democratic Central Committee. She is a member of the Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority, the New Frontier Democratic Club, the Urban League, the NAACP, and the Black Women's Forum.

In recognition of her numerous and distinguished contributions to the Los Angeles community, Loretta has been honored as Los Angeles County Democrat of the Year; listed in Who's Who in American Politics; and received the Outstanding Community Service Award, presented by the New Frontier Democratic Club. In 1993, she was further honored when Vashon High School inducted her into the school's distinguished Hall of Fame.

Although she has officially retired, Loretta has turned her considerable energies to other community activities. She currently serves on a subcommittee which aids the Rebuild L.A. Project, and continues her involvement with the Crenshaw 28th Street YMCA and the Crenshaw Corridor Project.

Mr. Speaker, nearly 60 years ago, the late, renowned French-born American author and diarist Anaïs Nin noted that "Each friend represents a world in us, a world possibly not born until they arrive, and it is only by this meeting that a new world is born." By her celebrated accomplishments, Loretta Collier has made this world a better place for all of us. And by her loyal and steadfast friendship, she has immeasurably enriched my world. I am pleased and honored to have this opportunity to salute her and ask that you please join me in extending to her the very best for a future that is filled with great happiness, great health, and great prosperity.

U.S.-PAKISTAN RELATIONS

HON. DAN BURTON

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, each and every one of us was shocked by the deaths of the two American consular officers in Pakistan. I am sure that every Member in the House of Representatives would like to send their deepest condolences to the families of these two Americans.

Immediately following this tragedy, Prime Minister Benazir Bhutto sent a letter of condolence to the White House and vowed to bring to justice those responsible for this crime. I would like to commend this action. Over the past year, Pakistan has been the recipient of many unsubstantiated statements in the House regarding its role in world terrorism. In fact, there now exists a coordinated campaign in the House to brand Pakistan as a terrorist state.

In that regard, I would call my colleague's attention to a March 10, editorial in the Wall Street Journal which says "the murders should not become an excuse for the United States to turn away from Pakistan, a moderate Moslem nation." As the United States continues to work toward improved relations with this valuable ally, we should value not only what Pakistan did for the United States during the cold war, but what a modern Pakistan will mean to us in the future. Pakistan should be looked upon as a progressive, modern, and democratic bridge to 1 billion Moslems strategically located around the Earth.

[From the Wall Street Journal, Mar. 10, 1995]

DEATH IN PAKISTAN

Americans are not killed very often in Pakistan, but when political killers do get U.S. citizens in their sights, the assaults tend to be spectacularly brutal. After a Pakistani mob stormed and torched the U.S. Embassy in Islamabad in 1979, staffers hiding in a vault were saved only at the last moment from mass suffocation. Ambassador Arnold Raphael died in the still unexplained C-130 crash that killed President Zia ul Haq near Bahawalpur in 1988. On Tuesday, an unknown number of gunmen opened up on a U.S. consular van in Karachi, killing two junior diplomats and wounding a third.

After the Zia crash, the American embassy, for still unexplained reasons, refused to let FBI experts join the Pakistani team investigating suspected sabotage. This time, Bill Clinton has vowed to pursue the killers, and G-men have been dispatched to join the search. With the help of the experienced Pakistanis, they may actually find out who pulled the triggers. But Jackie Van Landingham and Gary Durell were not picked out as targets because of some widespread anti-Americanism. The bullets that killed them were aimed at Pakistan itself.

Theories about traffickers angered by U.S. drug-fighting efforts, or about Islamists bent on revenge for the recent extradition of an alleged terrorist from Pakistan to New York miss the point. The killings come on the eve of a visit to Washington by Prime Minister Benazir Bhutto. She goes in search of a restoration of U.S. aid and greater economic ties, and will now arrive in a country that sees Pakistan through a glass even more darkly than before. The radicals may hope that the American companies that have signed mega-deals for energy projects will now get cold feet and that Pakistan will become a no-go zone for foreigners in general, with all their sorely needed capital.

Sound familiar? Perhaps like Egypt, where antigovernment Islamists have systematically targeted the tourist industry? Or like Bangladesh, where power-hungry opposition forces have used the hapless feminist writer Taslima Nasrin to get Muslim mobs on the streets? Despite their proven ability to whip up crowds, Pakistan's radical Islamic parties are political failures. They have stood for election and been rejected by a solid majority of Pakistani voters. Now they, or some other frustrated power-seekers, may be going for the cheap option of destabilization.

The murders should not become an excuse for the U.S. to turn away from Pakistan, a moderate Muslim nation with which relations have lately been rocky. Given Karachi's recent history of random sniping and bloodshed, it's alarming that U.S. government vehicles are not adequately bulletproof—if also testimony to the safety that Americans feel there. And Pakistan should certainly re-think the yellow license-plating of all diplomatic cars with numbers that identify each car by country. On Tuesday, that big American 64 was an easy bull's-eye.

And Americans everywhere should prepare for at least one nasty aftershock. When Ambassador Raphael died with Zia, the 100% of Pakistanis who are conspiracy theorists seriously entertained the notion that the plane was brought down by the CIA. Sooner or later, some will want to blame the U.S. for the Karachi shooting as well.

But letting this tragedy sour the overdue rapprochement between Pakistan and the U.S., once allies in winning the cold war, would only hand a victory to Pakistan's radical fringe. And as bad, it would lend one more brick to those in the U.S. who want to build an isolation wall against a world that still needs American leadership and friendship.

A SALUTE TO AN ORDINARY HERO

HON. JAMES L. OBERSTAR

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, at a time when we see, hear, and read seemingly endless reports of young people engaged in crime, drug use, and self-absorption, at a time when the reputation of our military forces has been tainted by events such as the Tailhook scandal, it is uplifting to read of an act of heroism by a young sailor from Wrenshall, MN, in my congressional district.

Scott Gardner serves as a machinist and fireman aboard the repair ship USS *Yellowstone*. Last month, when the ship was docked in Nassau, Bahamas, Gardner and his fellow crewmembers came to the rescue of 50 passengers aboard a sinking ferryboat. Gardner and his buddies pulled 38 people—including two infants—from the water that day. Such rescues are not new to Gardner; last year in Greece he jumped into the water to save a German sailor who had fallen off the dock.

I am proud of Scott Gardner and his buddies, Mr. Speaker, and I believe all America should be proud of them as well. Therefore, I commend to your attention and that of my colleagues the story of these events as reported by the Duluth News Tribune.

In reading this article, Mr. Speaker, what impressed me the most was Gardner's attitude toward his heroism. To him, these acts were not heroic; they were reflexive, natural. In his view, he could have acted no other way under the circumstances.

Scott Gardner and his equally heroic crewmates do not wear stars on their shoulders or "scrambled eggs" on their caps. They are not Annapolis graduates or the products of elite special forces training programs. They are ordinary sailors who saw their duty and responded without question or hesitation. These young members of the U.S. Navy acted in the highest traditions of the service, and displayed

for the rest of the world the best of the American character.

[From the Duluth News-Tribune, Mar. 3, 1995]

A SAILOR, A SAVIOR—WRENSHALL MAN, CREW SAVE 38

(By Jason Skog)

When Scott Gardner heard there were babies on board the rapidly sinking water taxi, he jumped from the pier into the swift Bahamian channel's current and began saving lives.

After throwing dozens of life jackets into the water and swimming some frightened tourists to safety, Gardner and his fellow U.S. Navy crew members saved 38 people.

Gardner, a 24-year-old Wrenshall native serving aboard the USS Yellowstone, was docked in Nassau, Bahamas, on Feb. 22 when the alarms sounded. He humbly retold his story of heroism and bravery from a pay phone in Norfolk, VA., where his ship was docked this week.

Gardner's latest rescue came almost a year after he got wet saving a drunken German sailor from drowning off the coast of Corfu, Greece.

It was around dinner time and Gardner was washing up when the Yellowstone's alarm sounded, signaling somebody was in danger.

He grabbed his gear and ran up top to the deck, where he saw a boat in the channel sinking quickly. Panicked and without life jackets, people on the sinking boat began jumping into the water.

"We were throwing life jackets off the pier, but we couldn't throw them all the way and they were washing against the pier," Gardner said.

Gardner said he wasn't sacred.

"I saw them and something just clicks and you say, 'Hey, idiot. Go in and help.'"

When the rescue ended, the Navy crew learned there were nearly 50 people aboard the flooded boat. Gardner's crew alone had saved 38 of them, including two babies. The others were saved by passing boats.

The Navy's Yellowstone is a repair ship that fixes other boats. Gardner, who joined the navy in 1992 after graduating from technical college, works as a machinist and fireman on the ship.

The captain of the Yellowstone had planned to stop in the Bahamas for a little fun, sun and snorkeling. The crew had just finished training drills in Cuba and the captain felt his crew needed a break before sailing to the Mediterranean Sea.

And if the alarm sounds again?

"If they made the call at 2 a.m., we'd be running down the pier," Gardner said. "Because if we were in the water, we'd want somebody running to save us."

PROMINENT CIS SCIENTISTS
PROTEST CHECHNYA

HON. CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, as I noted yesterday, Russia has now passed the hundredth day of its armed intervention in Chechnya. The brutality continues. Moscow gives soothing words about a cease-fire, but the shelling and the bombing continue unabated.

With this in mind, I would call attention to an open letter that I recently received, courtesy of the American Foreign Policy Council. This letter was written by three of the most prominent

scientists currently living in the former Soviet Union. In this letter, A. Belavin, a physicist, and two mathematicians, V. Drinfeld and B. Feigin, characterize Moscow's actions as "genocide and crimes against humanity" and say, as I have maintained all along, that "these actions cannot be considered merely an internal affair of Russia." I urge President Clinton and others in his administration to keep in mind the powerful message in this letter, and hope that it would be included in the President's briefing book for his upcoming trip to Moscow.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that the full text of this letter be inserted in the RECORD at this time.

DEAR FRIEND: We are compelled to write to you from the feeling that terrible crimes committed by Russian authorities and armed forces in Chechnya are not accidental, and that we are all responsible for them. These crimes, according to the testimony of journalists, human rights defenders, and mothers of the soldiers fighting there, include not only the bombing of towns and villages inhabited by civilians, but also the capturing of hostages, robberies, [and] the organization of filtration camps where people, incarcerated on the basis of their race, and cruelly beaten, tortured, maimed and murdered.

All these actions should be characterized as GENOCIDE and crimes against humanity. They cannot be considered merely an internal affair of Russia.

The Chechen crisis is not accidental. It reveals the criminal essence of the political regime that is being formed in Russia. The most dangerous aspect of the present situation is the absence of a clear appreciation of this fact.

Instead, in the public opinion, especially in the West, there still exists the myth that Russia is moving toward democracy and reforms and, unless Yeltsin is supported, fascists of the type of Zhirinovskiy will take over.

We consider this opinion as deeply erroneous. Supporting democracy and human rights by words, the regime is persecuting them in a cynical and brutal way [sic]. Many facts give evidence for this, such as beatings and killings of honest journalists and human rights defenders who get and publish information dangerous for the regime, the criminal and corrupted methods of privatization, and many other things. Now there is an attempt of annihilation of a whole nation.

Acting by fascist methods, the regime uses Zhirinovskiy and the threat of fascism for manipulating public opinion.

Russia is not moving by the path of democracy and human rights. A new regime, unusual in its cruelty and falsehood, is being born. Whether the criminal regime or democracy with a human face will take over in Russia, will, in the first place, depend on people in Russia, our ability to understand the danger and take responsibility, [and] our courage and will to stand against evil.

However, the realization by people in the West of the true state of affairs of Russia and the support of democracy, not Yeltsin, are also crucial.

We ask your help in spreading our letter.

A. BELAVIN,
V. DRINFELD,
B. FEIGIN.

THE ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF
FULL COMPETITION IN ALL
TELECOMMUNICATIONS MAR-
KETS

HON. DAVID E. BONIOR

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, in July 1993, I submitted for our colleagues highlights of the first WEFA [Wharton Econometric Forecasting Associates] Group study on the economic benefits of full competition in all telecommunications markets. In that study, the WEFA Group predicted that more than 3 million new jobs would be created over the next 10 years if all lines-of-business restrictions were lifted on the regional Bell companies.

The biggest obstacle to fulfilling the promise of telecommunications to the American people is the maintenance of policies at the Federal and State levels of government that restrict competition in communications markets. Regulation has failed to keep pace with the changes that have occurred in the telecommunications industry and the laws governing the industry are seriously outdated and need to be reformed. As Congress takes on the task of reforming and updating the Nation's telecommunications laws, policymakers should be mindful of the results of the most recent study by the WEFA Group that evaluated the economic impact of removing all regulatory barriers to entry in communications and permitting full competition in all communications markets.

Under one scenario, WEFA estimated the effects of immediate and simultaneous removal of all restrictions on competition in telecommunications, long distance, information services, and equipment manufacturing markets as well as the replacement of rate-of-return regulation with price regulation in all Federal and State jurisdictions. The predicted response by the economy, as determined by the WEFA Group—perhaps the Nation's preeminent economic forecasting group—gives overwhelming evidence and support that such change in policy is needed in the national interest. Under this scenario the economy would stand to gain an additional 3.4 million jobs over the next decade compared to the baseline forecast. In my home State of Michigan, immediate regulatory relief for all telecommunications companies would create more than 35,000 new jobs throughout the entire State's economy by 1998 and nearly 71,500 jobs by the turn of the century. Because telecommunications is so important to the functioning of the economy, the additional jobs created by the change of policy would be spread across all States and all major industry groups. Job gains would be realized as lower prices, service enhancements, and technology innovations all serve to boost economic activity. The surge in job growth would, in effect, discount the unemployment rate at the end of the 10-year period by 0.4 percent of a percentage point compared to the baseline forecast. At the conclusion of my remarks, I will include a statement by Morton Bahr, president of the Communications Workers of America, commending the WEFA Group study and stating that "we applaud any legislation that will bring jobs to communications workers and

benefits to American consumers as quickly as possible."

Other significant improvements to economic growth obtain to the economy in this scenario in addition to the employment gains. Real Gross Domestic Product [GDP] is \$298 billion higher growing 0.5 percent faster on average over the 10-year period and the change in policy assumed in the scenario generates more than \$900 billion more real disposable income. The balance of trade improves \$14 billion due to lower domestic inflation and strengthened U.S. global competitiveness. The Federal budget deficit improves by more than \$140 billion over the next decades as higher incomes boost tax revenues. Other indicators of economywide growth show dramatic increases in automobile sales and housing starts and curbing or downward pressure on consumer price inflation and long-term interest rates.

Consumers benefit tremendously under the WEFA Group study scenario of full, immediate, and simultaneous competition in all communications markets. With this change in policy, over the 10-year period, consumers reap nearly \$550 billion in savings from the increased competition and the lower prices it generates compared to the baseline scenario and the continuation of the status quo in telecommunications policy. The \$550 billion in consumer savings is spread across all communications markets. More competition in the long-distance market produces \$333 billion in consumer savings from lower rates. More competition in the cellular market yields \$107 billion in consumer savings from lower rates. More competition in the local exchange market for telephone service picks up another \$32 billion in consumer savings from lower rates.

WEFA Group compared the full, immediate, and simultaneous competition scenario with two other scenarios that would have delayed the introduction of full competition in all communications markets for 3- and 5-year periods, respectively. The cost of delay and staggered competition to the economy and to consumers, as estimated by the WEFA Group, are quite significant. Furthermore, this realization underscores the importance of Congress acting now to change and reform the Nation's telecommunications laws but in so doing avoid the delay of full competition. For example, the 3- and 5-year delay scenarios create 1.5 million and 1.9 million fewer jobs, respectively, than are created in the full, immediate, and simultaneous competition scenario over the same time period. WEFA also found that every year of delay in the introduction of full competition in communications markets costs consumers \$55 billion in lost savings in telecommunications services and \$40 billion in lost savings on long-distance rates.

The WEFA Group study findings are in keeping with earlier studies undertaken in this area, for example the study during the last Congress by the President's Council of Economic Advisors, which confirm large gains to consumer welfare and economic growth from the unleashing of restrained competition in telecommunications markets. Those of us in the Congress who are about to take up telecommunications reform legislation should be guided and instructed by the essential findings of the recent WEFA Group study, that is, the Nation's economy and consumers would fare best with a change in policy that produced competition now in all communications markets. Continuation of the current telecommunications policy or a change of policy that pro-

duced more regulatory barriers, delay, and uncertainty would not be in the best interest of consumer welfare and economic growth. There are some interests who are pushing Congress to, in fact, stagger, delay, or sequence competition in various telecommunications markets. However, if you listen very carefully to the proponents of this argument, you will note that the markets they serve today would be the last to face the new competition, if ever, under their proposal. We need to enact legislation that gives all players a fair and equal opportunity to compete in any market they choose to enter and, therefore, need to eliminate these lines-of-business restrictions on the Bell companies as soon as possible.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, I include remarks from several Wall Street analysts who dispute the notion that there is real price competition in the long-distance telephone marketplace—a key finding of the WEFA study.

STATEMENT OF MORTON BAHR, PRESIDENT, COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS OF AMERICA, ON THE WEFA GROUP STUDY ON COMMUNICATIONS COMPETITION

WASHINGTON, DC.—The recently released study on communications competition by the WEFA Group confirms what we in CWA have known for years—that delaying full competition in the communications marketplace is costing America hundreds of thousands of jobs every year.

Congress tried to pass legislation last year that would have deregulated markets and created jobs. The opportunity is at hand again and it's time we get it right, because every year we delay is another year of lost jobs and lost consumer benefits.

CWA recognizes that competition will ultimately mean a boom in new services and new industries, and an explosion in jobs in every state and every industry in the country. That's why we support the deregulation of America's telecommunications markets as soon as possible.

America shouldn't have to wait for Information Age benefits when communications workers are ready to build the infrastructure now. We applaud any legislation that will bring jobs to communications workers and benefits to American consumers as quickly as possible. Full competition will do that, delayed completion won't.

THE VIEW FROM WALL STREET: COMPETITION IN THE LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE MARKET

AT&T and its rivals are pushing some prices up after almost 10 years of steady discounting. This gives AT&T more room to grow profits, and it creates an umbrella over MCI and Sprint, allowing them to raise prices, too.—Kenneth Leon, Bear Stearns, 10/20/92.

AT&T, MCI, and Sprint all have high-quality earnings because they operate in a stable, oligopolistic industry * * * without serious price competition. [T]he only real threat [is] posed by the Regional phone companies which are unlikely to gain regulatory freedom to enter this business for at least 3-5 years.—Philip A. Managieri, Cowen, 8/23/93.

Margins improved for all four [long distance] carriers, reflecting an impact from price increases and steady declines in access costs.—Daniel P. Reingold and Richard C. Toole, Merrill Lynch, 2/10/94.

The combination of a cozy oligopoly that wishes to avoid price wars and falling operating expenses primarily due to [exchange] access cost reductions is an unbeatable environment in which to do business.—Timothy N. Weller and Nick Frelinghuysen, Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette, 6/1/94.

The long distance industry is one of today's premier growth industries. Where else

can you find: (1) double-digit unit volume growth, (2) declining unit costs, on a nominal as well as real basis, (3) a \$10 billion barrier to entry, (4) a benign, stable oligopoly where the price leader [AT&T] is looking to generate cash to fund other ventures, and (5) a prohibition on competition * * * It is rare to see a full-fledged price war in an oligopolistic market, witness soft drinks. The same holds true in the long distance market.—G.W. Woodlief and E. Struminger, Dean Witter, 10/28/94.

Many investors still seem to believe that there has been some sort of "price war" among the major interexchange carriers. The fact is that although interstate telephone rates have come down by about 50% over the past decade, the entire decline has been "funded" by decreases in the amounts paid by interexchange carriers to the local exchange carriers for "access."—John Bain, Raymond James & Assoc., 1/12/95.

Overall, MCI's new Friends & Family program looks like just another round of discounting funded by previously announced increases in the base rates. By focusing on the discount instead of the rate, the industry has been able to quietly raise base rates while spending millions of dollars promoting ever-increasing discounts.—D. Reingold and M. Kastan, Merrill Lynch, 1/20/95.

Regardless of your carrier, you are paying higher and higher rates if you are among the tens of millions of Americans who have not signed up for a discount calling plan. The person paying the retail rate is bearing the disproportionate burden. And these are probably the people who can't afford to make a lot of phone calls and therefore [do not] qualify for those cheaper plans.—D. Briere, TeleChoice Inc., 1/21/95.

AT&T now has the same revenues as the entire Bell system just before the break up in 1984, when they spun off about 85 percent of their assets.—John Bain, Raymond James & Assoc., 1/24/95.

MCI . . . filed for a 3.9% across-the-board rate increase. We fully expect AT&T, Sprint, and the second tier carriers to follow suit. This move by MCI is extremely bullish for the long distance stocks since it sends a clear message to the investment community that the long distance industry will practice 'safe pricing' which will lead to stable revenue per minute trends.—Jack B. Grubman, Salomon Brothers, 2/6/95.

A TRIBUTE TO THE ORKAND CORPORATION

HON. CONSTANCE A. MORELLA

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mrs. MORELLA. Mr. Speaker, I rise to pay tribute to the Orkand Corp., with headquarters in Silver Spring, MD, on the occasion of the company's 25th anniversary. Over the past quarter of a century, Orkand has grown from 2 to 2000 employees and is a leader in the high technology market.

The company has a long history of service to the Federal Government. More recently, the Orkand Corp. has begun serving the private sector, most notably healthcare researchers. The company has enhanced the critical work performed by several Federal agencies, including the Departments of Energy, Housing and Urban Development, Labor, State, the U.S. Postal Service and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

The Company's founder and president, Dr. Donald S. Orkand, is an individualist, a man who believes in equal opportunity for all men and women. On the occasion of the company's milestone anniversary, Dr. Orkand has taken the opportunity to reflect on the accomplishments of the past and to launch his company's plans to deliver its unique brand of client-centered information into the 21st century. I am proud to pay tribute to the Orkand Corp., and I am honored to add my voice to the praises of the many friends and colleagues who gather to salute Dr. Donald Orkand and his outstanding company.

"BE YOUR BEST DAY"

HON. DEBORAH PRYCE

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. PRYCE. Mr. Speaker, I rise to applaud the efforts of our Nation's Girl Scouts and the activities that they took part in as part of Be Your Best Day on March 14, 1995.

On this day, Girl Scouts across the country encouraged people of all ages and walks of life to improve themselves, help a friend, or better their community.

I would particularly like to submit for the RECORD the activities of several Girl Scout troops in Columbus, OH:

Eighty girls participated in conducting science experiments on the Darby Creek, a National Scenic River, to determine the kinds of service projects they will need to maintain its pristine condition.

Approximately 50 girls participated in an I'm Safe, Alert and Alive program that enabled them to take the information and share it with young girls.

Brownie Girl Scouts, age 6 to 8, participated in dancercize, an activity that taught them how to remain fit through dancing.

Fifty girls participated in a 1-day seminar on health and fitness, focusing on basic nutrition and fitness techniques. Junior Girl Scouts were paired with Brownie Girl Scouts to share the information with them.

Two Girl Scouts troops collected food and clothing items and donated them to a social service agency for distribution.

Brownie and Junior Girl Scouts participated in a computer basics course to learn more about technology and to encourage them to pursue math and science curricula.

Several Girl Scout troops filled out pledge cards to be their best on that day and to emphasize community service throughout the year.

Mr. Speaker, as a former Girl Scout myself, I would like to commend these Scouts for their efforts. They are true examples of young women dedicated to improving their own lives and making their communities better places to live.

SEAL OF OHIO GIRL SCOUT
COUNCIL, INC.,

Columbus, OH, March 1, 1995.

Memo To: Deb Fiddelke, Ass't. to U.S. Representative Deborah Pryce

From: Donna Hughes, Public Relations Director

Re: Be Your Best Day activities in Columbus

Below are some of the activities Girl Scout troops in Seal of Ohio Girl Council have planned to do for Girl Scouts of the U.S.A.'s BE YOUR BEST DAY, Tuesday, March 14 or during Girl Scout Week, March 12-18.

1. 80 girls will participate in conducting science experiments on the Darby Creek, a National Scenic River, to determine the kinds of service projects they will need to establish to maintain its pristine condition.

2. Approximately 50 girls will participate in a "I'm Safe, Alert and Alive" program that will enable them to take the information and share it with younger girls.

3. Brownie Girl Scouts, ages 6-8, will participate in Dancercize, an activity that will teach them how to remain fit through dancing. This also enables them to earn a Try-It badge.

4. Scheduled later in the week, primarily due to time, 50 girls will participate in a one-day seminar on health and fitness. It will focus on basic nutrition and fitness techniques. The Junior Girl Scouts will then be paired with Brownie Girl Scouts to share the information with them.

5. Two troops are collecting food and clothing items to donate to a social service agency to distribute to clients.

6. Brownie and Junior Girl Scouts are participating in Computer Basics to learn more about the technology and how it can help them in school by encouraging them to pursue math and science curricula.

7. Troops are filling out pledge cards they have designed, pledging to be their best on March 14 and to put an increase emphasis on community service throughout the year.

The main thrust behind Be Your Best Day is to highlight the values of Girl Scouts and raise the visibility of the kind of contemporary issues Girl Scouts are confronting on a daily basis, and to get the community involved with Girl Scouts to address some of these concerns. If you need additional information about Be Your Best Day, do not hesitate to call.

GIRL SCOUTS OF THE U.S.A.,

Washington, DC, February 21, 1995.

Hon. DEBORAH PRYCE,

U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR CONGRESSWOMAN PRYCE: As a woman who has risen to the top in government because of your commitment to contemporary issues, you have shown how important it is for people to pull together and make their community a better place to live.

We would like to invite you to help us call other Americans to action on March 14, 1995. The event is "Girl Scouts' Be Your Best Day." On that day we are encouraging people—children, teens, adults and senior citizens to improve themselves, help a friend or better the community.

In the spirit of the day, we would like you to get involved, perhaps in your hometown, either individually working with a special cause, or with a local Girl Scouts troop. Should you not be with your constituents on that day, perhaps you would consider joining Girl Scouts in our nation's capital who will be participating in a series of very special activities, including an intergenerational aerobics workout program.

We hope you will join us on "Girl Scouts' Be Your Best Day," and would like to assure you that you do not need to make a major time commitment. We will be alerting the media about people's involvement in this day. Even half an hour of your time, whether it be speaking out to an important issue or promising to adhere to a personal resolution will help increase visibility for the hundreds of volunteers across the United States who will be participating that day.

Sincerely,

B. LARAE ORULLIAN,
National President.

CONGRESSIONAL REFORM

HON. LEE H. HAMILTON

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. HAMILTON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to insert my Washington Report for Wednesday, March 22, 1995, into the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

IMPROVING CONGRESSIONAL REFORM EFFORTS

The House got off to a good start this session by passing a series of internal reforms aimed at making the institution more open, efficient, and accountable. Yet in some ways the reforms are not working as well as expected. We need to revisit the changes made, as well as expand the scope of our reform effort into new areas.

Procedural reforms: On the first day of the 104th Congress, the House passed several procedural reforms—including measures to open up floor procedures, simplify the committee structure, and require Congress to comply with the same laws it passes for everyone else. These will not revolutionize the House, but they do move us in the right direction. Many were based on the work of last session's Joint Committee on the Organization of Congress. Yet there is some disappointment about the overall impact of the reforms. In many ways the House is less open and deliberative than it was last session.

A central theme of the reforms was to improve the work of congressional committees, since that is where the real work of Congress takes place. Yet the effectiveness of the committee reforms—reducing Members' committee assignments, banning proxy voting, and opening up committees further to the public—has been undermined by the new leadership's desire to pass key legislation within 100 days. The new congressional compliance bill, for example, passed the House without a single day of consideration by a House committee, even though much of the language was entirely new. No committee hearings were held on the highly complex unfunded mandates bill and only cursory hearings were held on the crime bills. Passing reforms to ban proxy voting or to open up committee deliberations makes little difference if an important bill simply bypasses the committee.

Another major reform was the promise by the new leadership to open up floor proceedings by allowing Members more opportunities to offer amendments. Yet this has simply not happened for several key bills. Many bills—from congressional compliance to the balanced budget amendment—came to the floor with limited or no opportunity for Members to amend them. The spending cut bill considered by the House last week put four-fifths of discretionary spending off limits to amendments—only those areas the committee wanted cut could be cut. It is unrealistic to expect every bill to come to the floor under open rules. That would be too unwieldy, and most Members recognize that. What is needed is that we have generous enough rules so Members can vote on the major policy issues on a particular bill; and that has not happened several times this year.

Rushing legislation through leads to mistakes. Sometimes we need to slow down to do things right and to make sure that all voices have been heard. We need a balance. The pace of legislation is important to the work product—too slow and the result is gridlock, too fast and the result is mistakes and unintended consequences.

Hearings are expected this summer on how well the new reforms are working. That is

certainly appropriate. We also should consider additional procedural reforms. One change I favor is having the House regularize the congressional reform process—taking reform up every Congress rather than having one-shot, omnibus packages every twenty years. Reform should be a continual, ongoing process. We should also streamline the budget process, publicize hidden spending projects and tax breaks, and take steps to improve public understanding of Congress.

Ethics reforms: Although we have made some progress on procedural reform in the House, not enough attention has been given to other kinds of institutional reforms—in particular various ethics reforms. It is worthwhile to change our committee or floor procedures, but at a more basic level we need to ensure the basic integrity of the legislative process. We need to pass strong lobbying reform and a ban on lobbyists' gifts to Members, as well as pass campaign finance reform that reduces the role of PACs and monied special interests. Such measures will make it clear to the American people that special interests are not getting favored consideration from policymakers.

We also need to improve our procedures for enforcing House ethics rules. I have introduced a proposal to set up an outside panel of citizens to investigate Member misconduct. That will give our disciplinary proceedings much more credibility. Another priority should be broadening our "preventive ethics" efforts—greater informational, outreach efforts by the Ethics Committee to head off possible cases of Member or staff misconduct before they occur.

One of our top priorities in institutional reform should be making sure that the American people have confidence in the integrity and accountability of the legislative process.

Excessive partisanship: I am also concerned about the heightened partisan tensions in Congress and the increased interest among Members on both sides of the aisle in scoring political points and embarrassing the other side. Many observers feel that the House has become too negative, too bitter, too contentious. That has a clear impact on our ability to come together to pass legislation for the good of the country—indeed it can be a much greater roadblock to effective governance than many of the procedures we reformed on the first day of this session.

Excessive partisanship is not easily addressed through rules changes or reform packages, but it is a problem that we need to start thinking seriously about. One option might be to ask the Ethics Committee to issue clearer guidelines for Members on when spirited debate has stepped over the line and is bringing discredit upon the institution. Another step would be better enforcement of rules now in place to encourage basic civility among Members.

Conclusion: The House has taken some initial steps this session toward reform, but much more needs to be done. To really improve the way we do business, our reforms need to be more effective and much broader in scope.

IN SUPPORT OF AN OPEN MEDIA
IN TAIWAN

HON. TORRICELLI

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 1995

Mr. TORRICELLI. Mr. Speaker, I commend the following for the RECORD:

A PLEA TO AMERICAN FRIENDS OF TAIWAN FOR
A FAIRER TELEVISION MEDIA SYSTEM IN MY
COUNTRY

(By Trong R. Chai, Ph.D.)

Distinguished Members of Congress, Ladies and Gentlemen: Every time I revisited Capitol, I felt so good, as if I came back to my old sweet home. During my long residency in America, I frequently visited this place, as an advocate for human rights for the Taiwanese and for security and independence for Taiwan, my country. Since I left the U.S. for Taiwan at the turn of the last decade, I have continued to champion the same cause and values.

I am here today to call your attention to the problem of equal opportunity for the opposition to access the television media in Taiwan.

At the present, all the three nationwide television stations have been firmly controlled by the ruling Koumintang (KMT) party. By manipulation of content and twist of reporting language, in the gubernatorial and mayoral elections of last December, for example, all television stations depicted the KMT as the defender of order, stability, and prosperity, while demeaning the Democrat progressive party (the DPP), the largest opposition party, as an underminer toward social unrest and a solicitor of China's military attack. In addition, by disparity of coverage, the KMT candidates were given close to 90 percent of news coverage, whereas the DPP candidates, receiving more than 40% of popular votes, were given less than 10% of exposure, thereby creating unfair elections.

The government of Taiwan has decided to license one more nationwide television station next month to three groups submitted applications for the license last June, and one of them was submitted a KMT leader. The followup question is, "Will the fourth television station be granted to a non-KMT group?" This question is so important to the process of democratization in Taiwan that I believe each of you here in this room will be concerned with.

Distinguished Members, and dear friends of Taiwan: Your past influence on Taiwan's democratic development has been enormous and deeply appreciated by the people of Taiwan. Especially, at crucial milestones in the last decade, your voices helped Taiwan successfully end the old one-party dictatorship and create a two-party system, lift the 38 year-old martial law, and close the darkest record of human rights violations; thereby bringing real hopes for democratic reforms and freedom from fear. Now, at this critical juncture in selecting the winner of the fourth nationwide television station, would you give us a timely and most crucial support to ensure that this winner will be a non-KMT applicant so that democracy will not be an empty slogan but a real way of life in Taiwan.

Thank you for your attention and support.

HONORING GREEK INDEPENDENCE
DAY

HON. DAVID E. BONIOR

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join the Greek community to celebrate the 174th anniversary of Greek independence.

On March 25, 1821, the Archbishop of Patras blessed the Greek flag at the Aghia Lavra monastery near Kalavrita, marking the beginning of the Greek war of independence

in which nearly 400 years of Ottoman rule was turned aside.

Since the war for independence, Greece has become a steadfast ally of the United States. But that alliance and freedom have not come without a price. More than 600,000 Greeks died while fighting with the Allied forces in World War II against fascism.

Ancient Greece was the birthplace of democratic values. It brought forth the notion that the ultimate power to govern belongs in the hands of the people. It inspired a system of checks and balances to ensure that one branch of government does not dominate any other branch.

These ideals inspired our Founding Fathers as they wrote the Constitution. In the words of Thomas Jefferson, "to the ancient Greeks * * * we are all indebted for the light which led ourselves out of Gothic darkness."

These democratic principles, formed more than 2,500 years ago, have affected change around the world. Witness our own Revolutionary War, the renewal of Greek independence, and the dramatic recent changes in Eastern Europe, the former Soviet States, and around the globe.

Today, the United States is enriched not only by Greek principles but also by its sons and daughters. Greek-Americans have made major contributions to American society, including our arts, sports, medicine, religion, and politics.

My home State of Michigan has been enhanced by the Greek community. In Macomb and St. Clair Counties, we are served by St. John's Greek Orthodox Church and Assumption Greek Orthodox Church. These institutions provide a multitude of community services and add to the rich diversity of the area.

In this changing world of ours, the challenges today include protecting the integrity of the borders of Greece and promoting the democratic ideals which originated in that country. Let us not forget the sacrifices Greeks have made to preserve freedom and enhance democracy.

Mr. Speaker, I join the people of Greece and those of Greek ancestry around the world celebrating Greek Independence Day. I salute all of them for the tremendous contributions to freedom and human dignity which they have made.

TRIBUTE TO LEROY HARRIS

HON. BOBBY L. RUSH

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Speaker, I rise today with great sadness to ask my colleagues to join me in expressing our respects and sympathies to the family of Leroy Harris, who passed from this life on March 20, 1995, at the age of 81.

Mr. Harris was born in Mobile, AL. He was both a businessman and professional athlete, having been a semi-professional baseball player in the old Negro Baseball League from 1935-45. After his career as a pitcher, which was reported to be exemplary, Mr. Harris worked at American Radiators and later was an employee for the New York Telephone Co. in Buffalo, N.Y. until his retirement in 1977. Always a hard worker, Mr. Harris bought a taxi

cab business after his retirement from the telephone company and successfully ran the operation there until his health failed him in 1992. Since that time, he was fortunate to spend his remaining days with his family and friends in Chicago, IL.

Mr. Harris leaves behind a large family of sons, daughters, grandchildren, and great grandchildren. I ask my colleagues, then, to join with me in expressing our deep condolences to the extended Harris family. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I yield back my time.

HONORING OLYMPIC DIVING
CHAMPION PAT McCORMICK

HON. ESTEBAN EDWARD TORRES

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize Olympic Diving Champion Pat McCormick. Pat is America's most successful female Olympic diver, having won two Gold medals at the 1952 Melbourne Games and two more at the 1956 Helsinki Games.

At Melbourne, Pat won both the 10 meter platform and 3 meter springboard competition. She repeated her Gold Medal performance in both events at Helsinki. She is the only woman to have ever won four Gold Medals in these events. Adding to her Olympic Golds, Pat also garnered 27 National Diving Titles during her illustrious career. She received additional recognition in 1956 when she was awarded the coveted Sports Illustrated Sullivan Award as the Nation's most outstanding amateur athlete of the year.

Pat, a long-time resident of Seal Beach, CA, will be inducted into the Orange County Sports Hall of Fame, on March 25, 1995. On display at the Hall of Fame in the "Pat McCormick Exhibit," will be her four Olympic Gold Medals.

Following her retirement from competition, Pat established the Pat McCormick Education Foundation to provide at-risk students an opportunity to graduate from high school and pursue a college education. The Education Foundation provides motivation, counseling, tutoring, and funding all the way through college for participating students. As told by Pat on numerous occasions, the foundation has helped high school students destined for academic failure to become honor students at many of our Nation's top universities.

Mr. Speaker, it is with pride that I rise to recognize Pat McCormick on the occasion of her Gold Medal Retirement Celebration, and I ask my colleagues to join me in extending best wishes and congratulations to Pat, our Gold Medal champion.

BART CHARLOW HONORED FOR
LEADERSHIP IN MENTAL
HEALTH CARE

HON. ZOE LOFGREN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and commend Mr. Bart Charlow for his uncompromising advocacy on behalf of mental health care in Santa Clara County, CA,

which includes the 16th Congressional District that I represent in this 104th Congress.

For 15 years, Mr. Charlow has actively helped families—and particularly children—touched by mental illness to overcome disability and lead rich and productive lives. As president and CEO of the Adult and Child Guidance Center in San Jose, CA, he fashioned mental health services specially designed to address the needs of many of the community's most neglected populations. As a result, the Adult and Child Guidance Center offers one-of-a-kind programs for adolescents, the hearing impaired, and Southeast Asian immigrants, among others. True to its charitable nature, the center strives to provide a treatment alternative for those who fall short of public-sector assistance.

Those who know Mr. Charlow know that his efforts carry far beyond his own organization. During my tenure as a local government official, I worked closely with Mr. Charlow and others to build a comprehensive system of mental health care for the needy and to preserve those vital health services as local government budgets for such services shrank. As president of the local contract agencies association and delegate to the countywide mental health board budget committee, he was key to these efforts.

Mr. Charlow has participated on too many community boards to mention at this time, yet it is worth noting that he has placed a particular emphasis—importantly—on efforts helping children.

Mr. Speaker, on March 27, 1995, Mr. Charlow will be honored by colleagues and friends for his intelligent and passionate leadership in the field of mental health. I would like to express my own gratitude to Mr. Charlow on behalf of my constituents in the 16th district and the U.S. House of Representatives.

TRIBUTE TO WILLIAM O. HIATT

HON. IKE SKELTON

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, today I wish to honor an outstanding Missourian, William O. Hiatt, Jr., of Sedalia, who was recently the recipient of the Center for Human Services' Life Achievement Award. This lifetime achievement award is a tribute for his many years of service to the Children's Therapy Center located in Sedalia, MO.

Hiatt has been involved with the center since 1967. During those years he has been a member of the board and served as president from 1982 until 1992. Hiatt worked for Missouri Public Service, until his retirement 8 years ago. He is also actively involved with other community organizations, such as the United Way, Lions Club, and the Boy Scouts.

The Center for Human Services has benefited from the countless contributions by William Hiatt. I urge my colleagues to join me in commending him for his dedication and perseverance on all his achievements through the years.

CUT THE TECHNO-PORK

HON. FORTNEY PETE STARK

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, Mr. T.J. Rodgers, the CEO of Cypress Semiconductor located in San Jose, CA, wrote the following memo for the Red Herring magazine, January 1995 issue.

He makes some excellent points: Government megascience programs all too often become the grossest of pork projects. Keep it small, keep it simple, keep it seed money for merit-based research is his message. It is a message worth heeding.

The article follows:

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR

January 9, 1994.

To: The Congress of the United States of America.

From: T.J. Rodgers, CEO of Cypress Semiconductor.

Re: Cut the Techno-Pork!

My advice to the new Congress on technology policy is to kill government science megaprograms, get out of the technology-subsidy business, and double science and technology funding for universities through thousands of small grants. These priorities are particularly important for Republicans who find big-science wonders hard to resist.

With the possible exception of the Manhattan Project, government science megaprograms have a terrible record of return on the taxpayers' investment. Remember synfuels? This scheme to create gasoline from coal followed the classic, eight-step scenario for wasteful government megaprograms:

(1) Scare the hell out of them. (What happens when the oil cartel shuts off the gasoline?)

(2) Declare that the program is so big, only the government can pull it off. (Translation: No other sucker could be convinced to invest in this loser.)

(3) Get expert advice. (Translation: Listen to oil industry lobbyists who are paid to know that what is good for the oil industry is good for America.)

(4) Create a consensus. (Translation: Spread the pork out to enough states to get the bill passed.)

(5) Execute. (Translation: Use government funds to hire a large P.R. staff.)

(6) Fail.

(7) Lose \$88 billion.

(8) Blame the Republicans for underfunding the project.

Remember the superconducting supercollider (SSC)? I debated a particle physicist from the University of Texas-Arlington on National Public Radio on its merits. He claimed that \$12 billion was a cheap price to discover the sixth and elusive "top quark" subatomic particle. I argued that the genius of the physics community would find a cheaper way to float the top quark in electric and magnetic fields long enough to take its picture. A few weeks later, Congress canceled the SSC. A few weeks after that, the top quark had its first snapshot taken at Chicago's Fermi labs. Then, a Texas entrepreneur proclaimed the \$4 billion 10-mile hole in the ground created for the SSC an ideal spot for growing mushrooms.

Boeing and Lockheed have just teamed up to work on Space Shuttle II. What did Space Shuttle I accomplish to justify the next multibillion dollar investment? Certainly, it launched many satellites, but they could

have been launched more cheaply with disposable rockets. Indeed, if the American taxpayer had not been forced to subsidize those shuttle satellite launches (wiping out any possible competition that would have had to pay full cost), there might now be a viable private American corporation capable of launching satellites—a boon to the entrepreneurs waiting in line for years for a satellite launch.

NASA has run out of useful work for the shuttle, let alone its successor. So we are bombarded by reports of German and Russian astronauts using the Canadian robot arm to perform ecology experiments. The large P.R. efforts that form in step 5 of all government megascience endeavors have learned that spreading the pork (step 4) now must be both an international and a politically correct endeavor.

Some shuttle experiments—at a cost of about \$500 million each—are simply ludicrous. Who cares or will ever care if spiders spin their webs differently in zero gravity? And technology on men are having a field day. One University of Houston professor convinced NASA to spend \$2.5 billion on five shuttle flights to make space-grown gallium arsenide (GaAs) semiconductor wafers, the starting material for GaAs computer chips. The flight produced five wafers at a cost of about \$100 million each. The promise is that in the near-perfect vacuum of space, the shuttle will produce GaAs semiconductor wafers nearly perfect in crystal structure. Eventually, the space-grown wafer cost is projected to drop to \$10,000 per wafer.

I am a member of the board of directors of the largest GaAs chip maker in the United States. Here are the facts:

(1) Current terrestrial GaAs wafers cost \$500.

(2) The hypothesized improvement in the crystal structure of space-grown wafers is irrelevant, since the GaAs chip manufacturing process destroys and rebuilds the crystal as part of the process.

(3) All GaAs companies would go out of business if their wafers cost \$10,000 each.

The basic problem with megaprogram funding is that particle physicists, space scientists, and big-company technology experts can have their way with a lay Congress that barely comprehends the complex technologies it is funding. And even that minimal comprehension comes only when huge sums are expended on ever-increasing congressional staffs.

After eliminating the big-science megaprograms, Congress should attack the technology subsidies that Secretary of Labor Rober Reich reasonably calls "corporate welfare". The corporate subsidy most often touted as a success by the Clinton administration (yes, they speak on both sides of the issue) is Sematech, the Austin-based semiconductor research facility that has been given \$1 billion in two five-year grants so far. A reasonably well-run organization, Sematech recently announced it would not seek a third \$500-million grant. (Of course, the original Sematech promise was that it would not come back to Congress the second time.) The Clinton administration believes Sematech should be replicated in other industries. But its record is not one that warrants replication:

Sematech has as members only 12 of America's 200 semiconductor companies.

Two of Sematech's original 14 members quit because even with their dues halved by government subsidy they could not justify the investment.

The big companies that control Sematech's board designed the consortium's dues structure to prevent small, entrepreneurial companies from joining. A \$20-million chip company that may someday be the next Intel must pay 5 percent of revenue, while Intel it-

self pays only 0.15 percent of its revenue—a 33-to-1 ratio, which is the primary reason so few companies joined Sematech originally. Of course, Intel, which makes over \$1 billion a quarter in pre-tax profits, needs the subsidy a lot less than the small companies that were excluded. But the political system provides the opposite results: Only big companies can muster the lobbying resources to convince Congress to subsidize them. And why would they share the pork with the upstarts?

Sematech used its government subsidy to attack directly the other 100-plus American chip companies that were not Sematech members. After the checks were signed and the TV lights turned off, Sematech began granting funds to companies that make the critical equipment for the production of computer chips—in return for contracts to hold back the most advanced equipment from all but Sematech members for up to one year. (The deals, which Sematech denied repeatedly, were discovered during a lawsuit.) It is no wonder that Sematech insisted on and received antitrust immunity as part of its funding legislation.

If Sematech's silicon-chip subsidy represents the Clinton/Gore model for government subsidies, it's up to the new Republican Congress to stop its replication. Let's not copy a system that allows well-heeled corporations to use their lobbying clout to entrench themselves with taxpayer subsidies, to the detriment of new companies with new ideas.

The flow of bright, well-educated technologists into industry is much more important to American high-tech businesses than are subsidies to prop up ailing giants. And by cutting out science megaprograms and corporate technology subsidies, the new Congress can both cut the federal budget and free up funds to increase university research funding.

Many Silicon Valley venture capitalists—no friends of big government—believe that the defunct DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) was one of the most effective government technology programs. They credit it with funding such winning pre-venture capital investments as the UNIX computer operating system work done by Sun Microsystems founder Bill Joy.

DARPA funded my doctoral studies on transistor physics at Stanford. The high-performance chips I worked on may or may not have improved national defense, but I became one of the hundreds of DARPA-funded Ph.D.s who flooded into Silicon Valley from Stanford and Berkeley. What caused an unlikely agency like DARPA to provide decent return on government investment?

DARPA conducted classified military research, which kept Congress on a need-to-know basis. Thus DARPA projects avoided having to spread the pork or to hire a P.R. staff to maintain viability.

DARPA contracts were awarded by competent technical experts on a merit basis without much political consideration. DARPA also had a "customer," the Pentagon, that had at least a long-run interest in the usefulness of what it funded.

DARPA tended to fund the large number of small programs, rather than wasteful megaprojects. The agency was on the right side of the economic tradeoff that demands the sacrifice of 1,000 chances to fund the next Bill Joy/Sun Microsystems in order to fund one superconducting supercollider.

Unfortunately, today's ARPA, the non-defense version of the old DARPA, is drifting back into politics. Members of Congress fantasize about "dual use" (military and commercial) technology, with the hope of picking losers and winners, the latter preferably in their districts. There are debates about

where the "retraining" funds should be spent when military programs are shut down.

Some of this is inevitable—ARPA's mission is hazier and more politicized than DARPA's. But the agency's best chance for success is if Congress leaves it alone, allowing it to set technical priorities and give out thousands of small grants to universities based only on a peer-review meritocracy.

The new Congress has an opportunity to shrink the federal government and simultaneously help America's technology industries. It involves getting politics out of the laboratory and supporting education on a non-partisan, merit basis.

OPPOSITION TO SUMMER YOUTH PROGRAM RESCISSIONS

HON. JACK QUINN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. QUINN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in opposition to the proposed elimination of the Summer Youth Program. I fully support the program and will fight to restore its funding when the rescissions bill is sent to the conference committee later this year.

At the same time, I encourage private sector businesses to contribute to the Summer Youth Program so they may make a contribution to the communities in which they do business. In these times of tight budgetary constraints, it is my hope that local businesses can assist in ways that the Government can no longer afford.

Although I support the Summer Youth Program, I also saw the need for reducing the deficit. If we continue to spend money we don't have, we will be passing the financial burden on to our children.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues, especially the members of the Appropriations Committee, to work to restore the funds necessary to continue the summer youth program.

FAIR COMPENSATION FOR KRIS MURTY

HON. RONALD D. COLEMAN

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. COLEMAN. Mr. Speaker, today I have introduced legislation which would allow for the Federal Government to right an injustice wrought upon one of its own over 8 years ago. In January 1985, the Department of the Army extended a job offer to Mr. Kris Murty, then of Houston, TX, for a position at Ft. Bliss, TX. He received orders authorizing reimbursement for miscellaneous expenses, unexpired lease expenses, and temporary quarters subsistence expense. It was with this understanding that Mr. Murty accepted the position. Upon his relocation to Ft. Bliss Mr. Murty was awarded an advance for his travel costs.

Several months later, Mr. Murty was notified that the Army had erred. At that time, Mr. Murty was instructed that he must make restitution for the Army's mistake. Without recourse, his wages were garnished.

Mr. Murty acted in good faith with the Department of Army. His acceptance of the position hinged on the Army's assurances that it

would cover these expenses. Mr. Murty has spent the last 8 years exhausting all possible avenues of redress. His last recourse is the bill of private relief which I have introduced today.

The Comptroller General of the United States has reviewed Mr. Murty's claim and agrees that his case deserves to be favorably considered by Congress. I urge the committee of jurisdiction to take up this legislation expeditiously so that this issue will be fairly and judiciously settled once and for all.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. DAN MILLER

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, during Rollcall Vote 265, the Roukema amendment, I was unfortunately unable to be present.

I would have voted "yes" on the amendment.

TRIBUTE TO MR. AND MRS. HEINDL

HON. WILLIAM F. CLINGER, JR.

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. CLINGER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mr. and Mrs. Heindl for the many acts of kindness they have bestowed on our community. It is an honor and a privilege to express my gratitude to this generous couple. Truly, the Heindls epitomize the type of people that make our local communities great. These are the real life heroes that kindle the spirit of giving in each one of us.

When we look at role models in history, the ones who get recognized the most are sometimes the least worthy. I hope that volunteers like the Heindls continue to be recognized as they are most deserving. One of the traits that make people like the Heindls so special is that they do it out of the goodness of their heart. The only motives behind their actions is the hope that those around them will in some way be bettered by their hard work. I can speak for everyone when I say that we have all been touched by their philanthropy.

One of the most important facets in our society is the education system; it lays the foundation for future leaders. Contributions, like those of the Heindls, prove to enhance the system and benefit community members for years to come. The Ridgway residents I am speaking of today have made significant contributions to the Ridgway Area Public Schools. They have selflessly donated their time and resources to ensure that new facilities would be constructed for use by all students. By giving of themselves so freely, they set an example for all of us to follow.

Mr. Speaker, it is my distinct pleasure to recognize Mr. and Mrs. Heindl for all of their kindness and dedicated service on behalf of the Ridgway community. I extend to them my best wishes for continued health and happiness.

WISHING "BO" WILBURN AND
SUSIE BOWES WELL ON THEIR
WEDDING DAY

HON. JACK FIELDS

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. FIELDS. Mr. Speaker, thank you for allowing me to take a moment to wish two very special people well as they prepare to join in holy matrimony this Saturday in Texas.

Mark "Bo" Bryan Wilburn of Dayton, TX, will marry Kathleen Sue Bowes of Houston at the Heaven on Earth plantation in Missouri City, TX, this Saturday. "Bo" is a peace officer in the Houston area, while Susie is a fifth grade teacher at Timber Elementary School in Humble. Following their wedding, the couple plans to live in the Humble area.

"Bo" is the son of Tom and Janet Wilburn of Dayton, TX, and Susie is the daughter of William and Barbara Bowes of Houston. Since I first took office in January 1981, Barbara has served as my district coordinator, while Bill has for many years served as chairman of my Service Academy Nominations Board.

Mr. Speaker, thank you for allowing me this opportunity to observe this upcoming union, and thank you for joining with me in wishing "Bo" and Susie much happiness on their wedding day and throughout their lives together.

CELEBRATING THE LIFE OF JIM GRANT

HON. BENJAMIN A. GILMAN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, this week I was privileged to participate in a very special event to mark the life of Jim Grant, one of the most extraordinary public servants the world has ever seen, who died earlier this year at the age of 77.

Memorial services are often held at which the passing of a noted public figure is lamented. But, for those who gathered in the Russell caucus room to remember Jim Grant, it was in celebration of a life that was devoted with energy, enthusiasm, endless persistence and, yes, joy, to saving and improving the lives of children in the world's poorest countries.

Those who offered remembrances of Jim Grant included Congressmen DAVID OBEY and TONY HALL; Warren Unna, John Sewell, president of the Overseas Development Council; Dr. Richard Jolly of UNICEF; Mrs. Margaret Catley-Carlson of the Population Council, and two of Jim's sons, John and James D. Musical interludes were provided by the World Children's Choir.

One of Jim's greatest gifts was his ability to imbue others with that same sense of demanding dedication that motivated his own life, and that was how the speakers recalled him.

Jim Grant was one of the most remarkable men it has ever been my privilege and my pleasure to know and to work with.

Never elected to public office, he nonetheless was one of the most effective politicians and diplomats I can recall, particularly when it came to working the Halls of Congress.

His special constituents were the children in the world's poorest countries. He worked tirelessly to improve their conditions.

Jim used his role as executive director of UNICEF as a bully pulpit to prod, pull, and pummel the international community into facing the awful realities of malnourishment and disease that annually claims the lives of millions of children.

Jim Grant placed special emphasis on adapting new findings in the drug and health industries—immunization, breastfeeding, oral rehydration therapy—to low-cost applications that parents could use at home to care for their children.

He was relentless in pursuit of resources to support programs to save and improve the lives of children. Jim's motto was, the difficult gets done immediately, the impossible takes a little longer.

Jim was a leader who went out to see for himself. No project was too remote to escape his interest. Traveling with Jim in Africa meant bouncing around in Land Rovers and Jeeps to check on village health programs in the remote bush.

His flair for promotion and publicity enabled him to attract as celebrity spokesmen for UNICEF leading figures of the entertainment world such as Danny Kaye, Peter Ustinov, Harry Belafonte and Audrey Hepburn, to name just a few.

Shakespeare's Marc Antony lamented in his funeral oration for Julius Caesar that the "good that men do is oft interred with their bones." In Jim Grant's case the good he has done lives on.

During his tenure as the executive director of UNICEF, immunization levels in developing countries increased from 20 percent in 1980 to nearly 80 percent today the number of polio victims fell from 500,000 a year to fewer than 100,000. More than a million lives are saved each year thanks to the oral rehydration therapy works makes Jim strongly advocated.

Jim Grant was an American hero and a world treasure. His presence is greatly missed, but his spirit and his good works continue as a legacy of his persistence, his energy and his humanity. We shall all miss him.

TRIBUTE TO JOHN BYRNE

HON. BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH

OF NEVADA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mrs. VUCANOVICH. Mr. Speaker, I want to salute John Byrne upon his retirement from the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, the I.B.E.W.

Mr. Byrne graduated from Storey County High School in May 1943, and completed his electrical apprenticeship in Medford, OR, in 1947. He returned to Reno in 1950 as general foreman for Landa Electric and became a member of the I.B.E.W. Local Union No. 401, in Reno, in 1951.

From 1957 to 1966 he served as financial secretary/business manager of the I.B.E.W. Local Union No. 401, until his appointment as secretary/business representative of Northern Nevada Building Trades Council. He was re-elected secretary/business representative in 1967 and 1969.

In January 1971 he accepted an interim appointment as secretary/business representative of the Honolulu Building Trades Council. However, he returned to Reno in July 1971 when he was reelected as financial secretary and business manager of I.B.E.W. Local Union No. 401, a post in which he served until 1987.

In addition, Mr. Byrne has been active in his community throughout his life. He served on the Washoe County Building Code Appeal Board from 1960 to 1964, the Reno Electrical Board of Examiners from 1960 to 1966, the Nevada Employment Security Board of Review from 1963 to 1971, the Nevada State Apprenticeship Council from 1963 to 1971, the Nevada OSHA Review Board from 1981 to 1985, the Governor's Committee for the Restoration of Virginia City, the Nevada State Industrial Safety Code Revision Committee, and the Construction Opportunity Trust. He also served as chairman of the Nevada OSHA Review Board from 1985 to 1989, president of the California State Electrical Association from 1982 to 1983, and coordinator of the Construction Opportunity Trust.

Further, Mr. Byrne's achievements were recognized by the Northern Nevada Chapter of the Associated General Contractors who awarded him their prestigious Service, Integrity, Responsibility [S.I.R.] Award, the only time that award has been given to a labor representative in Nevada.

I would like to extend my sincere thanks to Mr. Byrne for his accomplishments and my warm wishes for an enjoyable retirement.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. BOBBY L. RUSH

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Speaker, I was unavailable to cast my vote on the Archer en bloc amendment, rollcall No. 258. I had been in a meeting off the floor during this 5-minute vote, and was unable to return to the floor before the 5-minute period had ended. Had I been present I would have voted "no" on the Archer en bloc amendment.

HONORING JAMES C. HOUGE ON HIS RETIREMENT FROM THE MONTEBELLO POLICE DEPARTMENT

HON. ESTEBAN EDWARD TORRES

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize James C. Houge, who is retiring from the Montebello Police Department after 30 years of dedicated and superior service. Lieutenant Houge will be honored at a special ceremony on Friday, March 24, 1995.

Born in Baldwin Park, CA, Lieutenant Houge attended local schools and earned his associate of science degree from Mount San Antonio Junior College. He enlisted in the U.S. Army on October 31, 1961, and was honorably discharged on October 21, 1963.

In 1965, Lieutenant Houge began his tenure serving the people of Montebello as a police

officer. In 1971, his on-the-job performance earned him the status of senior officer. Three years later he was promoted to detective and, in 1979, sergeant. In 1985, Lieutenant Houge was instrumental in leading an investigation which resulted in the seizure of 131 kilos of high-grade cocaine, approximately \$300,000 of U.S. currency and the arrest of three foreign nationals. On March 26, 1987, he achieved his present rank of lieutenant and was assigned the responsibility of overseeing the department's K-9 unit.

In recognition of his dedicated and committed service, in 1992, Lieutenant Houge was awarded the Career Contribution Management Award.

Mr. Speaker, it is with pride that I rise to recognize one of Montebello's finest, James C. Houge, on the occasion of his retirement from the Montebello Police Department and I ask my colleagues to join me in saluting him for his 30 years of outstanding service to the residents and community of Montebello.

TRIBUTE TO THE SANTA CLARA COUNTY LEGAL AID SOCIETY ADVOCATES FOR JUSTICE HONOREES

HON. ZOE LOFGREN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to the five honorees who will be receiving awards tonight, March 23, on the occasion of the 35th anniversary of the Legal Aid Society of Santa Clara County.

The honorees will include the Rotary Club of San Jose, the law firm of Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati, Santa Clara law professor Eric Wright, and deputy district attorney Rolanda Pierre-Dixon. Plaintiffs cocounsel Morrison & Forester will be receiving the prestigious W. Robert Morgan Legal Services Award for donating its services to school desegregation suit against San Jose Unified School District.

President of the board of the Legal Aid Society, Susan L. Sutton said, "Our mission is to be the catalyst for community—we want to ensure that the right thing happens on behalf of our client community without regard to the client's ability to pay. We understand that in some segments of the country that's an idea that has gone out of fashion. But it's still a notion of some currency here in San Jose, good people of this community need to be recognized for their contributions. That is why we created our Advocates for Justice Program."

The Legal Aid Society of Santa Clara County provides legal advice and representation for the indigent community in civil matters including family law, custody, visitation, support, and domestic violence, government benefits, health access advocacy, consumer rights, landlord-tenant law, fair housing, home financing and foreclosure issues, individuals rights and immigration, offers family law and housing self-help clinics, and mediation assistance in landlord-tenant matters.

The following honorees were selected for their community contributions:

Rotary Club of San Jose. This 435 member volunteer organization participates in a broad spectrum of community activities. The club is honored by LASSCC for its works in conjunc-

tion with the San Jose Housing Authority, building the 102 unit Marrone Garden complex off Branham Lane in San Jose.

Jim San Sebastian chaired the Rotary committee that had the mission to promote a moderate income senior citizen housing complex in San Jose, for which the club donated personal, professional, and financial assistance beginning in 1988. The project opened in September 1994, and since that time the club members continue to provide assistance to the residents. The Rotary Club's financial contributions totaling \$100,000 stacked a library, bought a piano, and provided art work for the project of one bedroom apartments that are available for seniors. The Rotary members' contribution of time was priceless.

Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati. For its pro bono and philanthropic aid to the community, particularly for the efforts of Larry Sonsini in setting the tone of the largest private law firms in the county. The work of Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati in the Silicon Valley has resulted in jobs for local residents of all ages, backgrounds, and abilities as various high technology clients have prospered. LASSCC believes a growing economy offers more access to the community's mainstream of its client base. That alone would be basis for an Advocates for Justice Award. But Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich, & Rosati has contributed generously to charitable causes with attorneys time and their money.

Prof. Eric Wright. Professor Wright has brought a number of agencies together in creating the East San Jose Community Law Center. Professor Wright sought and obtained two separate grants in 1993 to establish a low-income law office in East San Jose. Starting on a shoe-string budget the law center represented day laborers on their wage and hour claims at the outset.

After receiving a grant from the Legal Services Corporation and from the U.S. Department of Education, the center branched further into employment law and immigration law services. Professor Wright is the unpaid center director and has added a community law practice class to the Santa Clara University Law School curriculums well as a street law class involving students in teaching law to middle school and high school students in low income areas of San Jose.

Deputy District Attorney Rolanda Pierre Dixon. Ms. Pierre-Dixon's job requires her to prosecute domestic violence crimes. It doesn't require her to give more than eight speeches a month on that issue to schools and community groups. She is recognized as the Advocate for Justice for her tireless voluntarism on domestic violence issues and her work with community legal groups, including serving on the board of LASSCC. She is past president of the South Bay Black Lawyers, the chair of the Santa Clara County Bar Association and committee on minority access to the Santa Clara County Bar Association.

Morrison & Foerster. The winner of the W. Robert Morgan Legal Services Award is Morrison & Foerster. Their activities cover a full range of public interest work from staffing of legal services clinics and counseling over 140 nonprofit organizations, to handling high impact litigation. The areas of greatest effort during the past year were assisting children in poverty, civil rights and civil liberties cases, representing immigrants, handling issues of

housing and homelessness, and AIDS related matters.

W. Robert Morrison is a founder and benefactor of LASSCC where personal and professional activities exemplify the highest possible commitment to community service.

Morgan & Foerster attorneys spent over 65,000 hours on pro bono work in 1993, and average of 123 hours per lawyer. Among other accomplishments, the firm won a \$1 million civil rights jury verdict for Latin women who were strip-searched after they were arrested while attending a school board meeting; obtained HMO coverage of life-saving home nursing care for critically ill infants; won an order safeguarding a Chinese citizen brought into a U.S. court to give testimony coerced with threats of execution; and sought writs of habeas corpus for persons under death sentence in four States.

All of these distinguished recipients should be commended on their extraordinary work in the service of others.

TRIBUTE TO THE LATE CAPT.
MARK P. MCCARTHY

HON. IKE SKELTON

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, today I wish to pay tribute to a member of the U.S. Air Force 510th Fighter Squadron, Capt. Mark P. McCarthy, who died while participating in aerial combat maneuvers over the Adriatic Sea on January 26, 1995, Captain McCarthy was a devoted aviator who faithfully served the Air Force and his country.

Hand-picked to assist the 510th Fighter Squadron as assistant operations officer, Captain McCarthy displayed leadership qualities which earned him the utmost respect as an aviator. In addition, his superb instructional abilities led to the squadron's 100-percent success rate supporting U.N. resolutions by enforcing the no-fly zone over Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Captain McCarthy's commendable military record is reflected through his abundant accomplishments. He was named distinguished graduate at the pilot instructor course, AT-38 Fighter lead in, F-16 RTU, and Squadron Officer School, and Squadron Top Gun on many occasions. In addition, he was twice named Air Training Command Professional Performer. His decorations include the Air Medal with one oak leaf cluster, Aerial Achievement Medal with one oak leaf cluster, Meritorious Service Medal, Air Force Achievement Medal, Combat Readiness Medal, National Defense Service Medal, and the Southwest Asia Service Medal with one device.

I know that the Members of this body join me in sending the deepest sympathy to Captain McCarthy's entire family. A devoted husband and father, he is survived by his wife Patricia, his three children, Bryan, David, and Christina, his parents, General and Mrs. McCarthy, his sisters, Kathleen, Susan, and Ann, his brother Michael, and Patricia's parents, Colonel and Mrs. Harry MacGregor.

IN MEMORY OF EDWARD ROBERTS—WORLD LEADER FOR THE DISABLED

HON. FORTNEY PETE STARK

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, Edward Roberts, a highly acclaimed activist for the rights of persons with disabilities and a personal friend, died March 14 of cardiac arrest at his home in Berkeley, CA.

Mr. Roberts was a tireless fighter for the rights of the disabled, even though he himself was severely disabled. At the age of 14, Edward Roberts became paralyzed from the neck down as a result of polio. Although this paralysis would dramatically change his life, Mr. Roberts found the courage to not only exist, but to excel. He became infuriated when a counselor informed him he would never work because of his disability. Ironically, Mr. Roberts later became the supervisor of that same counselor.

A driving force in Edward Roberts life was his love of a challenge. Being able to move only one finger, Mr. Roberts was one of the first disabled individuals to attend the University of California at Berkeley. While attending college, he and a group of his fellow classmates organized a counseling program for persons with disabilities. This innovative program was named "Rolling Quads".

After graduation, Mr. Roberts continued his fight to enhance the lives of those who had disabilities. In 1972, he cofounded the Center for Independent Living. This program won acclaim for the incredible work it accomplished and for the fact that it was the first organization run by and for persons with disabilities. This program was an inspiration to people around the Nation. It spawned 400 similar institutions throughout the United States.

In 1975, Gov. Jerry Brown appointed Edward Roberts to head the State Department of Rehabilitation. He utilized the organization's 2,500 employees and its \$140 million budget to implement programs that promoted self-reliance for those with disabilities. Mr. Roberts ran this organization until 1983, at which time he founded the World Institute on Disability [WID]. This think tank is involved in creating

and monitoring programs that help individuals with disabilities.

Over his 56 years, Edward Roberts positively impacted a countless number of lives. Deborah Kaplan, the president of the WID Program and a disability rights lawyer, said "There are literally thousands of people whose lives have been influenced by Ed." Through his leadership skills as well as his intense drive to overcome discrimination, Mr. Roberts was a great role model for those with and without disabilities. Friends as well as colleagues will mourn this immense loss.

LIHEAP

HON. JACK QUINN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 23, 1995

Mr. QUINN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to speak to an issue of utmost importance to my district in western New York.

Mr. Speaker, I applaud congressional efforts to trim Federal spending and reduce our deficit. We are making some bold and difficult decisions. The rescissions bill takes many steps in the right direction.

It is an injustice, however, to eliminate programs—which unlike the Small Business Administration's Tree Planting Program—people depend upon to meet their basic needs.

I am referring to the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program or LIHEAP. I know this might not be a big concern to citizens in Florida or Arizona—but to those who live in areas like Buffalo, NY, it can be a matter of life or death.

LIHEAP provides fuel assistance to disabled, working poor, and low-income senior citizens who cannot meet their own total energy needs; 55 percent of households receiving assistance have at least one child under age 18 and 43 percent include senior citizens.

Some argue that LIHEAP was conceived in a time of energy crisis and that is no longer needed. We must remember, however, that energy is still not affordable to everyone.

LIHEAP recipients have an average income of \$8,257 per year—without some assistance their heat could be cut off; 18 percent of their incomes are spent on energy needs.

LIHEAP is a vital program which is certainly not pork or luxurious Federal spending.

I am very worried about the families and seniors from my district and districts across the Nation who may be unable to properly heat their homes next winter. I hope that the good and bad aspects of eliminating the LIHEAP Program will be more properly addressed during the appropriations process.