

Spratt	Tiahrt	Waxman
Stearns	Torkildsen	Weldon (FL)
Stenholm	Torres	Weldon (PA)
Stockman	Torricelli	Weller
Stokes	Towns	White
Studds	Trafficant	Whitfield
Stump	Tucker	Wicker
Talent	Upton	Williams
Tanner	Velazquez	Wilson
Tate	Vento	Wise
Tauzin	Visclosky	Wolf
Taylor (MS)	Volkmer	Woolsey
Taylor (NC)	Vucanovich	Wyden
Tejeda	Waldholtz	Wynn
Thomas	Walker	Yates
Thompson	Walsh	Young (AK)
Thornberry	Wamp	Young (FL)
Thornton	Ward	Zeliff
Thurman	Watts (OK)	Zimmer

NOES—14

Abercrombie	Gonzalez	Stark
Conyers	Johnston	Stupak
Dellums	Kennedy (RI)	Waters
Dingell	McDermott	Watt (NC)
Fattah	Mink	

NOT VOTING—12

Ackerman	Dickey	Payne (NJ)
Army	Ewing	Pelosi
Brown (CA)	Frost	Reynolds
Chapman	Kolbe	Shuster

□ 1826

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN EN-GROSSMENT OF H.R. 483, MEDICARE SELECT EXPANSION

Mr. BLILEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that in the engrossment of the bill, H.R. 483, the Clerk be authorized to make technical corrections and conforming changes to the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HOBSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. BLILEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks, and include extraneous material, on the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

FURTHER MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A further message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Edwin Thomas, one of his secretaries.

□ 1830

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. RADANOVICH). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 4, 1995, and under a previous order of the House,

the following Members are recognized for 5 minutes each:

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. WYNN] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. WYNN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

NATIONAL FORMER PRISONER OF WAR RECOGNITION DAY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. BILIRAKIS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, since 1987, Congress has approved legislation declaring April 9 as "Former Prisoner of War Recognition Day." These men and women are among our greatest patriots and I cannot think of a group more deserving of remembrance and special recognition than our former prisoners of war.

Under the new rules adopted at the start of this session, Congress will not enact commemorative legislation this year. That being the case, we should take the time now to honor the Americans held captive in past conflicts and wars.

All those who have been prisoners of war know the true meaning of freedom and have paid a tremendous price for the liberty we all cherish. Their service and sacrifice, and that of their fellow veterans, make possible our way of life.

Some of you may wonder why April 9 was chosen as a day for recognition for former prisoners of war. It was on April 9, 1942, that the largest contingent of American forces ever were taken prisoner with the fall of Bataan in the Philippines during World War II.

Many of those taken prisoner did not survive the infamous Bataan Death March that followed or the nearly 4 years of captivity in deplorable prisoner of war camps throughout the Far East. Many of those that did survive were left with permanent disabilities from the brutalities that they endured.

The 9th of April is also the day on which Gen. Robert E. Lee surrendered to Gen. Ulysses S. Grant at Appatamax, VA, to end the Civil War between the North and South. On that day, prisoners from both sides were released and allowed to return home.

While April 9 commemorates the fall of Bataan and the release of prisoners at the end of the Civil War, the significance of this day extends to all Americans who were ever held prisoner by enemy forces. The brutal treatment and torture to which these POW's were subjected by their captors in violation of fundamental standards of morality and international law ensured that many did not survive.

Yet, despite the suffering inflicted upon them, American POW's have demonstrated an unflinching devotion to duty, honor, and country. Their service

helped preserve our freedom through two world wars, regional conflicts of the cold war era, and since. They have given more than most Americans will be called upon to give for their country.

Today, the American Ex-Prisoners of War, an organization comprised of former POW's—both military and civilian—is raising funds to build the National Prisoner of War Museum. This museum will be located at the site of the Civil War prison camp in Andersonville, GA. It will be a legacy for all generations that follow and will contain historic accounts and memorabilia that pertain to former American prisoners from all wars.

Former Prisoner of War Recognition Day serves as a poignant reminder of the sacrifice and commitment of all the American men and women whose patriotism has been tested by the chains of enemy captivity.

Their experiences underscore our debt to those who place their lives in harm's way and stand willing to trade their liberty for ours. As a Nation, we must always remember the sacrifices made by our men and women in uniform.

I hope all of my colleagues will join me in paying special tribute to former prisoners of war. There is little we can do to repay these men and women, but we can recognize their invaluable contribution.

REPORT ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND NATURAL RESOURCES—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Resources:

To the Congress of the United States:

The United States has always been blessed with an abundance of natural resources. Together with the ingenuity and determination of the American people, these resources have formed the basis of our prosperity. They have given us the opportunity to feed our people, power and industry, create our medicines, and defend our borders—and we have a responsibility to be good stewards of our heritage. In recent decades, however, rapid technological advances and population growth have greatly enhanced our ability to have an impact on our surroundings—and we do not always pause to contemplate the consequences of our actions. Far too often, our short-sighted decisions cause the greatest harm to the very people who are least able to influence them—future generations.

We have a moral obligation to represent the interests of those who have no voice in today's decisions—our children and grandchildren. We have a responsibility to see that they inherit a

productive and livable world that allows their families to enjoy the same or greater opportunities than we ourselves have enjoyed. Those of us who still believe in the American Dream will settle for no less. Those who say that we cannot afford both a strong economy and a healthy environment are ignoring the fact that the two are inextricably linked. Our economy will not remain strong for long if we continue to consume renewable resources faster than they can be replenished, or nonrenewable resources faster than we can develop substitutes; America's fishing and timber-dependent communities will not survive for long if we destroy our fisheries and our forests. Whether the subject is deficit spending or the stewardship of our fisheries, the issue is the same: we should not pursue a strategy of short-term gain that will harm future generations.

Senators Henry Jackson and Ed Muskie, and Congressman John Dingell understood this back in 1969 when they joined together to work for passage of the National Environmental Policy Act. At its heart, the National Environmental Policy Act is about our relationship with the natural world, and about our relationship with future generations. For the first time, the National Environmental Policy Act made explicit the widely-held public sentiment that we should live in harmony with nature and make decisions that account for future generations as well as for today. It declared that the Federal Government should work in concert with State and local governments and the citizens of this great Nation "to create and maintain conditions under which man and nature can exist in productive harmony, and fulfill the social, economic, and other requirements of present and future generations of Americans."

Over the past 25 years, America has made great progress in protecting the environment. The air is cleaner in many places than it was, and we no longer have rivers that catch on fire. And yet, this year in Milwaukee, more than 100 people died from drinking contaminated water, and many of our surface waters are still not fit for fishing and swimming. One in four Americans still lives near a toxic dump and almost as many breathe air that is unhealthy.

In order to continue the progress that we have made and adequately provide for future generations, my Administration is ushering in a new era of common sense reforms. We are bringing together Americans from all walks of life to find new solutions to protect our health, improve our Nation's stewardship of natural resources, and provide lasting economic opportunities for ourselves and for our children. We are reinventing environmental programs to make them work better and cost less.

My Administration is ushering in a new era of environmental reforms in many ways. Following is a description of a few of these reforms, grouped into

three clusters: first, stronger and smarter health protection programs such as my proposed Superfund reforms and EPA's new common sense approach to regulation; second, new approaches to resource management, such as our Northwest forest plan, that provide better stewardship of our natural resources and sustained economic opportunity; and third, the promotion of innovative environmental technologies, for healthier air and water as well as stronger economic growth now and in the future.

Stronger and Smarter Health Protection Programs. Throughout my Administration, we have been refining Government, striving to make it work better and cost less. One of the best places to apply this principle in the environmental arena is the Superfund program. For far too long, far too many Superfund dollars have been spent on lawyers and not nearly enough have been spent on clean-up. I've directed my Administration to reform this program by cutting legal costs, increasing community involvement, and cleaning up toxic dumps more quickly. The reformed Superfund program will be faster, fairer, and more efficient—and it will put more land back into productive community use.

Similarly, EPA is embarking on a new strategy to make environmental and health regulation work better and cost less. This new common sense approach has the potential to revolutionize the way we write environmental regulations. First, EPA will not seek to adopt environmental standards in a vacuum. Instead, all the affected stakeholders—representatives of industry, labor, State governments, and the environmental community—will be involved from the beginning. Second, we will replace one-size-fits-all regulations with a focus on results achieved with flexible means. And at last, we're taking a consistent, comprehensive approach. With the old piecemeal approach, the water rules were written in isolation of the air rules and the waste rules, and too often led to results that merely shuffled and shifted pollutants—results that had too little health protection at too great a cost. With its new commonsense approach, EPA will address the full range of environmental and health impacts of a given industry—steel or electronics for example—to get cleaner, faster, and cheaper results.

Better Stewardship of our Natural Resources. Just as representative of our new approach to the environment—and just as grounded in common sense—is the Administration's commitment to ecosystems management of the Nation's natural resources. For decades ecologists have known that what we do with one resource affects the others. For instance, the way we manage a forest has very real consequences for the quality of the rivers that run through the forest, very real consequences for the fishermen who depend on that water for their livelihood,

and very real consequences for the health of the community downstream. But until recently, government operations failed to account adequately for such interaction. In many cases, several Federal agencies operated independently in the same area under different rules. In many cases, no one paused to ponder the negative consequences of their actions until it was too late.

Often, these consequences were catastrophic, leading to ecological and economic train wrecks such as the collapse of fisheries along the coasts, or the conflict over timber cutting in the Pacific Northwest. When I convened the Forest Conference earlier this year I saw the devastating effects of the Federal Government's lack of foresight and failure to provide leadership. Here, perhaps more than anywhere else, is a case study in how a failure to anticipate the consequences of our actions on the natural environment can be devastating to our livelihood in the years ahead. Our forest plan is a balanced and comprehensive program to put people back to work and protect ancient forests for future generations. It will not solve all of the region's problems but it is a strong first step at restoring both the long-term health of the region's ecosystem and the region's economy.

Innovative Environmental Technologies. Environmental and health reforms such as EPA's common sense strategy and natural resource reforms such as the forest plan provide an opportunity, and an obligation, to make good decisions for today that continue to pay off for generations to come. In much the same way, sound investments in environmental technology can ensure that we leave to future generations a productive, livable world. Every innovation in environmental technology opens up a new expanse of economic and environmental possibilities, making it possible to accomplish goals that have eluded us in the past. From the very beginning, I have promoted innovative environmental technologies as a top priority. We've launched a series of environmental technology initiatives, issued a number of Executive orders to help spur the application of these technologies, and taken concrete steps to promote their export. Experts say the world market for environmental technology is nearly \$300 billion today and that it may double by the year 2000. Every dollar we invest in environmental technology will pay off in a healthier environment worldwide, in greater market share for U.S. companies, and in more jobs for American workers.

Innovations in environmental technology can be the bridge that carries us from the threat of greater health crises and ecological destruction toward the promise of greater economic prosperity and social well-being. Innovation by innovation, we can build a world transformed by human ingenuity

and creativity—a world in which economic activity and the natural environment support and sustain one another.

This is the vision that Jackson, Muskie, and Dingell articulated more than two decades ago when they wrote in the National Environmental Policy Act that we should strive to live in productive harmony with nature and seek to fulfill the social and economic needs of future generations. We share a common responsibility to see beyond the urgent pressures of today and think of the future. We share a common responsibility to speak for our children, so that they inherit a world filled with the same opportunity that we had. This is the vision for which we work today and the guiding principle behind my Administration's environmental policies.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, April 6, 1995.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon [Mr. DEFAZIO] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. DEFAZIO addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of remarks.]

HIGHER EDUCATION ASSISTANCE NEEDED IN AMERICA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Colorado [Mrs. SCHROEDER] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, I take the well tonight to talk about student loans and what is happening with our young people. We have had several events in my district on student loans. When you look at the numbers in the State of Colorado, over 90,000 young people are receiving student loans. They are very concerned about having to start paying interest from the minute they get that loan while they are in school, because it will really increase the price.

We have also had a lot of the direct lending going on in Colorado, and that makes a tremendous amount of sense, because it cuts out the middleman and gives you more money for loans, and it also means that the school is much more involved with the young person and the young person is not as apt to take the money and go spend it for something other than school. If the school is doing the lending, the school is going to be much more certain that the student comes and the student goes to class. If they are not and they bought a pickup with it or something instead, they will know.

I think the most moving thing that happened at our very first student loan meeting in Colorado was that Dikembe Mutombo came. Maybe many of you do not know him, but he is a very prominent basketball player for the Denver Nuggets. He got off the plane, went to the meeting, and went immediately

back to the airport to meet his next game.

He said he knew personally how very, very, very much government aid can help in getting an education; that he would not have gotten even his education if it had not been for the U.S. Government helping him and Georgetown helping him, and he could not possibly believe we would be doing anything to make this more difficult in this country.

You see, today we had a vote on the tax cuts, and people said well, that is the crown jewel of the contract. Let me tell you, I think the crown jewels of this country are our kids, and we have seen a tremendous war on kids I think these last 100 days. Whether you are talking about knocking out Big Bird and Bert and Ernie, about the only decent things left to watch on TV, whether you are talking about cutting back on the nutrition programs, whether you are talking about the great cuts in the math and science programs for public schools, whether you are talking about doing away with summer jobs, we totally zeroed that out, whether you are talking about what we did to the National Service Program, which was the program that allowed young people to work in their community and for that get credit for going on to school or get credit that would be relieving them from some of their student loans. That got really devastated. We had 511 kids that will be knocked out in my district on that alone.

So we are starting to get all these phone calls from young people saying well, what happened? My city tells me there will not be any summer jobs. And we say that is right. Zero means none.

I do not know what happens in the cities this summer. I certainly hope people find other ways to do it. But you know, you cannot keep telling kids to say "no" to things if there is nothing for them to say "yes" to. And if they do not think they can go on to school, and they are certainly going to think that as you see Pell grants reduced, the work study programs reduced, national service dissipated, and obviously we are taking in fewer and fewer young people in the military, so the Montgomery GI Bill is going to be less and less of an option for many, they are seeing doors slammed in their face every single day. And these young people are the stockholders in the 21st century. They are going to be the ones that provide either that this country has great leadership and continues to remain prominent on the world stage, or, if we do not have them educated, if we do not have them prepared to compete, they are the ones that are going to allow this country to sink.

So I think the one thing that we ought to be doing in this Congress is hold young people harmless from this debt and all these cuts we are making in order to provide tax cuts. I think we ought to do that because these young people did not cause this debt. They are going to inherit it, and they are going to need all the skills they can

have to be able to figure out how to deal with it. And I just find it absolutely amazing they are the first ones we are offering up as a sacrifice to the debt.

Every American home I know, when that family is in trouble economically, they sit at that kitchen table and they work that budget every way they know how to hold those children harmless as long as they possibly can from any economic downturn in the family. We all know the stories. We have all heard about our own families and the sacrifices they made to get us where we are.

I think it is outrageous that we go after the young people first. That is what we did in these first 100 days, and I hope it stops.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. SAXTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. SAXTON addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. CLINGER] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. CLINGER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. BURTON addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

GUAM COMMONWEALTH

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Guam [Mr. UNDERWOOD] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UNDERWOOD. Mr. Speaker, as a former academic administrator, I would like to add my words of strong support to the statement just made by the gentlewoman from Colorado. One of the most stirring things about America is the ability to get ahead, and you get ahead through higher education. The proposals from the other side of the aisle are unconscionable and put a heavy burden on our young people. I might add I received an e-mail from one of the students at college at the University of Guam that told me the proposal being advanced is like paying for a mortgage and not even seeing the house yet. It is paying for a mortgage in advance.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today on an entirely different topic.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to draw attention to Guam's guest to improve its relationship with the Federal Government through the establishment of the Commonwealth of Guam. On February