

Gordon	McCarthy	Rose
Green	McDermott	Roybal-Allard
Gutiérrez	McHale	Rush
Hall (OH)	McKinney	Sabo
Hamilton	McNulty	Sanders
Harman	Meehan	Sawyer
Hastings (FL)	Meek	Schroeder
Hefner	Menendez	Schumer
Hilliard	Miller (CA)	Scott
Hinchey	Mineta	Serrano
Holden	Minge	Skaggs
Hoyer	Mink	Slaughter
Jackson-Lee	Mollohan	Spratt
Johnson (SD)	Moran	Stenholm
Johnson, E. B.	Murtha	Stokes
Johnston	Nadler	Stupak
Kanjorski	Neal	Tanner
Kaptur	Oberstar	Taylor (MS)
Kennedy (MA)	Obey	Tejeda
Kennedy (RI)	Olver	Thompson
Kennelly	Ortiz	Thornton
Kildee	Orton	Thurman
Kleczyka	Owens	Torres
Klink	Pallone	Torrice
LaFalce	Pastor	Traficant
Levin	Payne (NJ)	Velazquez
Lewis (GA)	Payne (VA)	Vento
Lincoln	Pelosi	Visclosky
Lipinski	Peterson (FL)	Volkmer
Lofgren	Peterson (MN)	Ward
Lowe	Pickett	Waters
Luther	Pomeroy	Watt (NC)
Maloney	Poshard	Wilson
Manton	Rangel	Wise
Markey	Reed	Woolsey
Martinez	Richardson	Wyden
Mascara	Rivers	Wynn
Matsui	Roemer	

NOT VOTING—24

Abercrombie	Hunter	Smith (MI)
Becerra	Jefferson	Stark
Brown (CA)	Lantos	Studds
Dooley	Mfume	Towns
Foglietta	Moakley	Tucker
Frost	Oxley	Waxman
Gillmor	Pryce	Williams
Hastert	Reynolds	Yates

□ 2033

So the motion was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WALKER). Pursuant to clause 5 of rule I, the pending business is the question of agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal of the last day's proceedings.

The question is the Chair's approval of the Journal.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair announces that further proceedings on the postponed suspension motions are further postponed until tomorrow.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH, Member of Congress:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, June 30, 1995.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you pursuant to Rule L (5) of the Rules of the House that my office has received a subpoena for testimony and documents concerning constituent casework. The subpoena was issued by the Superior Court of New Jersey in Morris County.

After consultation with the General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and precedents of the House.

Sincerely,

CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH,
Member of Congress.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12 and under a previous order of the House, the following Members are recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New York [Mr. OWENS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. OWENS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio [Ms. KAPTUR] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Ms. KAPTUR addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

REPUBLICAN BELIEFS AND GOVERNMENT RUN AMOK

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. KINGSTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, a friend of mine, State Representative Garland Penhalser recently asked me why I was a Republican, and what we were doing up here, and what this think was all about. Garland is a State representative who has been doing a tremendous job in Atlanta in the State capitol down there making changes. He just wanted to hear it from me what he already knew, I guess.

What I replied is that generally what the Republican Party believes up here is believing in people versus believing in Georgia. We support private sector solutions to problems, not Government solutions to problems. We stand for less regulation. We stand for less taxes, less bureaucracy, less micromanagement out of Washington, and certainly, more personal freedom.

With that in mind, Mr. Speaker, there are so many great examples of micromanagement out of Washington and Government run amok, if you will. A book has been written recently entitled "The Death of Common Sense,"

and many people have read the book. Recently, the mayor of Kingsland, GA, Keith Dixon, gave a copy of it to me. Just thumbing through there, there were a lot of great examples of crazy things that our Government does.

One of the examples took place in Yorktown, NC, with the Amoco Oil Co. The EPA came in there, and because there was a pollutant in the air called benzene, and benzene is an extremely dangerous pollutant, EPA ordered Amoco to install a new type of filtering system to their smokestacks. It cost Amoco \$31 million. As we know, Americans all over the country paid for that in higher gas prices at the pump. Let us not fool ourselves that Amoco paid more dividends to their stockholders because of that. They did what any business would do and they passed the cost on to consumers.

The irony of it was that the smokestacks were not emitting benzene. The benzene was coming from the loading dock area. That problem could have been easily remedied by changing the loading procedure. The only problem, Mr. Speaker, was that the EPA did not have jurisdiction over the loading dock, so the benzene is still in the air, and yet Amoco oil had to pay \$31 million for it.

Mr. Speaker, there are other examples of that. I see the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. FOX] is here and wants to join us. I yield to my friend, the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. FOX].

Mr. FOX of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding to me.

Mr. Speaker, I think the point is well made by him, and I appreciate him being a champion here for small business and for the importance of the individual. I had a situation in my district in Montgomery County, PA, where we had a gentleman who was trying to work with the Federal Government, a \$25,000 contract. The problem he had was 187 pages of Federal documents to be filled out. The problem with 187 pages was not just the number of pages, but also it would require him to hire an accountant, an attorney, and an engineer. What little profit there is in a \$25,000 contract, there was not really much for him.

The fact is, he told me, and he was right, the Government, the Federal Government, is not user-friendly. It does not make sense for him to try to give the best product at the best price to the Federal Government when he can sell it elsewhere without all the needless regulation and the burdensome paperwork that made it actually a disincentive to deal with our Federal Government.

Mr. KINGSTON. It is ridiculous, because I think the bureaucracy in many, many cases, and even probably in most cases, wants to do the right thing. The problem is these very laws, and we are going from manuals now that have a 4,000, 5,000, 10,000 pages to do anything, and these laws that are well-intended