

made such cogent speeches in support of the amendment. Regrettably, it was defeated 51-49.

I understand that debate on S. 1026 will continue today and perhaps into next week and that other amendments relating to ABM and NMD may be offered. I hope that our serious concerns about these issues as well as others outlined in the Statement of Administration Position may yet be addressed. But let me be clear: unless the unacceptable missile defense provisions are deleted or revised and other changes are made to the bill bringing it more in line with administration policy, the President's advisors will recommend that he veto the bill.

Sincerely,

ANTHONY LAKE,
Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs.

CLOTURE MOTION

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, I do not know of any other alternative than to file cloture, which probably the Democrats have made a decision they do not want this bill to pass and that we cannot obtain cloture, but I send a cloture motion to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on S. 1026, the Department of Defense authorization bill:

Bob Dole, Strom Thurmond, John Warner, Bob Smith, R.F. Bennett, Spencer Abraham, D. Nickles, C.S. Bond, Trent Lott, Jon Kyl, Craig Thomas, Larry E. Craig, Connie Mack, Dan Coats, Bill Cohen, John McCain.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. DOLE. So, Mr. President, I do not see any reason to keep my colleagues here any longer. But it is fairly clear to this Senator that for reasons stated by the Democratic leader, we will not complete action on this bill tonight. But it will be the intention of the majority leader that after, hopefully, disposition of the Treasury, Postal bill we will go back to this bill tomorrow afternoon.

There will be votes tomorrow. There will be a lot of votes tomorrow. I do not want anybody to leave town thinking, "Oh, well, we have got that taken care of." But, again, let me say to my colleagues, I would hope that we could cooperate here in the next 4 or 5 days and try to get out of here for at least part of the August recess. And I know everybody has plans or would like to have plans. Everybody asks, "Why can't we say now we can leave next Friday?" This is a good reason why we cannot say we can leave next Friday. We could have finished this bill by this morning or tomorrow afternoon, but we are told that is not possible. If we took all these amendments we could not go to final passage.

It is pretty obvious that there may be enough Members on the other side

to prevent us from obtaining cloture. And even if cloture is obtained, you have 30 hours. That would take some time.

So there will be no more votes this evening. And we will do our best to proceed tomorrow on the Treasury, Postal bill.

MORNING BUSINESS

(During today's session of the Senate, the following morning business was transacted.)

LOOKING TO THE FUTURE OF VERMONT'S ENVIRONMENT

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, one of the most important aspects of the quality of life in my native State of Vermont is the quality of our environment. We can swim in our lakes, fish in our streams, camp on public lands, hike through the woods, and breathe fresh air without risking our health. The quality of Vermont's environment is recognized nationally and drives much of the economy for us in Vermont.

Vermont's environmental quality depends on Federal environmental laws to set standards and fund cleanups—this is an undisputed fact. The Clean Air Act has reduced air lead levels by 99 percent, carbon dioxide emissions by 50 percent, sulfur dioxide by 40 percent, and acid rain chemicals by 27 percent. However, many cities have experienced ozone levels this summer that are twice the maximum healthy limit. Some Americans simply cannot take an afternoon walk without experiencing breathing troubles. Polluters do not have the right to deprive people of an afternoon walk, and as a Senator from a State downwind of one of the country's biggest ozone generators in the country, New York City, I am concerned. Clearly, we have more work to do.

In 1970, 60 percent of Vermont's communities discharged raw sewage into the State's waterways and bacteria consumed so much oxygen that many of the State's streams could not support fish. Through the Clean Water Act and other efforts, we have provided at least secondary waste treatment facilities for all communities and reduced point-source phosphorus pollution by 80 percent. With the Department of Agriculture's help, more than 400 Vermont farmers have contributed a total of \$5.8 million to match \$13.4 million of Federal funding to reduce the phosphorus runoff from farms. On the other hand, 1,500 hazardous waste sites in Vermont threaten the groundwater for some of the 120,500 public and private wells, and the State recently had to issue a mercury warning for Vermont fish. We still have work to do to protect our children and our communities from water pollution.

Vermont's fish and wildlife populations are relatively healthy because of international wildlife treaties and

domestic efforts to protect habitat in Vermont. Where we once had abandoned farms and woodlots during the Depression, we now have the Green Mountain National Forest—350,000 acres of habitat for black bears, songbirds, and even Atlantic salmon. In 1985 Vermont had its first nesting pair of peregrine falcons since the 1950's; last year 11 pairs fledged 31 peregrine chicks. Still, nine species of native mussels are threatened by the zebra mussel, and heavy metals such as cadmium have been found in moose and deer liver. Without constant vigilance, certain fish and wildlife populations may slip into decline as they have in other parts of the country.

I am proud to share these successes, and hope that others will join me in enjoying the fruits of our efforts to protect the environment. The results of our hard work have made Vermont a better place to live for families. Vermont's quality environment provides activities like swimming, snowmobiling, boating, fishing, hunting, hiking, and camping that keep us refreshed and entertained all year long. Many of these activities are Vermont traditions which have been passed from generation to generation. I do not want to give these up.

I also want to make people aware, however, of an effort to turn back the clock on these successes. There is a new four-part strategy in Congress to dismantle environmental protections in our great country. The anti-environment lobbyists and some Members of Congress are using indirect, backdoor efforts to gut the statutes that have helped us clean up and protect our environment. I want people to understand what the new majority is doing so that we can turn back these attacks.

The first step in this strategy is to cut the funding of environmental and natural resource agencies. This year alone, the House of Representatives cut the Fish and Wildlife Service by almost 25 percent, the National Biological Service by 30 percent, and the Environmental Protection Agency's [EPA] enforcement budget by 50 percent. Without officially repealing the Endangered Species Act, the Clean Water Act, or the Clean Air Act, the new majority has made it nearly impossible for the Government to carry out these goals.

Their second step is to create regulatory gridlock. The so-called Regulatory Reform Act forces agencies to do study after study, each one subject to lawsuits from well-financed corporate industries. The EPA estimates that the studies will require hundreds of new staff and delay new environmental rules by several years, if not indefinitely. By cutting the budget but increasing the workload, it is clear that some people want to tie the hands of the EPA so it is powerless to protect the environment. They are saying, "Go ahead and pollute because we don't give a hoot."