

And who disagrees with the proposition, therefore, that we should not include \$12 billion in Social Security cuts that were included as an offset for on-budget spending in the Finance Committee amendment?

That is what we are being asked to vote on: To honor, to not include and, therefore, to not violate our trust.

This is a motion that ought to be adopted unanimously by voice vote. I cannot believe that Members of the Senate are going to vote against a motion that effectively says we will dishonor our commitment to maintain the integrity of the Social Security trust fund, that we will include language that is contrary to the spirit and intent and very language that we committed ourselves to in 1990 and, therefore, that we should consummate that disavowal by raiding the Social Security trust fund of \$12 billion to support spending unrelated to Social Security obligations.

Those are the questions: To honor, to violate, to include, to maintain our sense of honor and responsibility to the Social Security trust fund.

I urge, Mr. President, my colleagues' adoption of this motion to instruct our conferees and that our conferees follow our admonition as they proceed in the conference committee on the reconciliation legislation.

Thank you, Mr. President.

EXHIBIT 1

Mr. GRAHAM. Mr. President, I am directing my attention to section 7482 of the legislation, which begins on page 45 and states:

"Cost-of-Living Adjustments During Fiscal Year 1996.

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of any program within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Finance of the United States Senate which is adjusted for any increase in the consumer price index for all urban wage earners and clerical workers (CPI-W) for the United States city average of all items, any such adjustment which takes effect during fiscal year 1996 shall be equal to 2.6 percent."

It is to that section, Mr. President, that I direct the point of order. I raise the point of order under section 310(d) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 against the pending amendment because it counts \$12 billion in cuts to Social Security which is off budget to offset spending in the amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from New Mexico wish to be heard on this point of order?

Mr. DOMENICI. I want to say the dollar numbers being referred to are actual. That is all I want to say.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Who yields time? The Senator from Michigan has 34 seconds remaining.

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I yield back the remainder of my time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, one more motion to instruct the conferees is in order.

Mr. GRAHAM. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNANIMOUS-CONSENT AGREEMENT

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, notwithstanding the previous order, I ask unanimous consent that Senator KENNEDY be recognized at 4:30 p.m. today to make a motion to instruct conferees with respect to the reconciliation bill, and that the House message on H.R. 2491 be laid aside until that time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that there now be a period for the transaction of morning business until 3 p.m. today, during which Senators may speak for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, the impression will not go away: The \$4.9 trillion Federal debt stands today as a sort of grotesque parallel to television's energizer bunny that appears and appears and appears in precisely the same way that the Federal debt keeps going up and up and up.

Politicians like to talk a good game—and talk is the operative word—about reducing the Federal deficit and bringing the Federal debt under control. But watch how they vote. Control, Mr. President. As of the close of business, Thursday, November 9, the total Federal debt stood at exactly \$4,983,863,012,854.62 or \$18,918.83 per man, woman, child on a per capita basis. *Res ipsa loquitur*.

Some control.

POSITION ON VOTES

Mr. AKAKA. Mr. President, had I been present for votes on Thursday, November 9, 1995, I would have voted the following way:

Senate vote 564 on House Joint Resolution 115, the continuing resolution, I would have voted "no."

Senate vote 565 on House Joint Resolution 115, the continuing resolution, I would have voted "no."

Senate vote 566 on House Joint Resolution 115, the continuing resolution, I would have voted "no."

Senate vote 567 on House Joint Resolution 115, the continuing resolution, final passage, I would have voted "no."

Senate vote 568 on H.R. 2586, the temporary debt limit increase, I would have voted "no."

Senate vote 569 on H.R. 2586, the temporary debt limit increase, final passage, I would have voted "no."

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. COATS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. GORTON). Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. COATS. Mr. President, are we in morning business?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate is in morning business.

BUDGET STANDOFF

Mr. COATS. Mr. President, over the weekend, both in reading various newspaper accounts and watching the television accounts of the so-called standoff between the Congress and the President over the question of the continuing resolution, funds to keep the Government moving forward, the debt limit, and the budget battle that is currently underway in this Congress, I was particularly taken by an article in Saturday's Washington Post written by Ann Devroy titled, "For Clinton, the Battle Is To Stand Firm."

What was interesting is that apparently the President's advisers and pollsters have determined that, as Ann Devroy says, "President Clinton's reputation for vacillation has made his political need to display strength of character now a silent partner in the nonnegotiation drama" that is currently taking place here in Washington.

It goes on to say that the President's closest adviser is exulting that polling has shown that the President is beginning to make progress on what he stands for.

The article goes on to say that various sources that Ann Devroy has talked to say—and she quotes—"We were told that what people in their focus groups cite as what they disliked most about Clinton is he is weak, vacillating, opportunist, flip-flops constantly."

"Pollsters of both parties have"—again, quoting from the article—"Pollsters of both parties have throughout the Clinton presidency identified as a significant problem for the president the perception that he has no core beliefs."

So what we have seen here now in the past couple of weeks, particularly over this past weekend, and, undoubtedly, we will see this week, is an attempt by the President, on the advice of his counselors, on the advice of his pollsters, and on the advice of those conducting his focus groups, to shore up his character, to define something of what the President believes in and, therefore, "Stand firm, do not negotiate with the Congress to find a break to the impasse that currently exists between the executive branch and the congressional branch."

That is what is guiding the decision-making process in the White House and the President's statements.

So, all the President's protestations about the Congress trying to shut down the Government, denying opportunities