

in negotiating many of its provisions, including: a more reasonable radon standard that will save New England water suppliers and their ratepayers millions of dollars without compromising public health; and the authorization of five small system water technology centers at academic institutions around the country to assist in developing and testing affordable treatment technologies for small systems. One of these centers I hope will be established at the University of New Hampshire, which has extensive knowledge and experience in water technology.

So today, Mr. President, I am pleased that the Senate is giving approval of these much needed reforms to the Safe Drinking Water Act. This bill received the unanimous support of the Environment and Public Works Committee, of which I am a member, as well as the coalition representing State and municipal government and public water supply community. I now urge the House to act expeditiously on its reauthorization bill so that our communities can soon receive the regulatory relief and financial assistance they need.

AMENDMENT NO. 3076

(Purpose: To strike the provisions with respect to comparative risk assessment)

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I just referred to the fact that we would be dropping section 28 from the bill in accordance with an agreement with Senator MOYNIHAN and others.

I now send to the desk an amendment to accomplish that, and I ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The bill clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Rhode Island [Mr. CHAFEE], for himself, Mr. KEMPTHORNE, Mr. BAUCUS, and Mr. REID, proposes an amendment numbered 3076.

Beginning on page 179, line 16, strike section 28 of the bill and renumber subsequent sections accordingly.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendment.

The amendment (No. 3076) was agreed to.

Mr. CHAFEE. I move to reconsider the vote.

Mr. KEMPTHORNE. I move to lay it on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNANIMOUS-CONSENT AGREEMENT

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that there be 40 minutes equally divided on the Boxer

amendment, community right to know, and following the conclusion or yielding back of time, the Senate proceed to vote on or in relation to the Boxer amendment without any intervening action or amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PRIVILEGE OF THE FLOOR

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that Tom Irvin, a legislative fellow in my subcommittee, be permitted privileges of the floor during my statement.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE 20TH ANNIVERSARY OF IDEA

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I rise to acknowledge the 20th anniversary of the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act [IDEA].

It is important to pause today and recognize the impact that this law has had on the lives of millions of children with disabilities and their families during the last two decades. Through this law we deliver on a timeless simple promise—every child with a disability shall have a free appropriate public education—no more, no less.

The Senate Subcommittee on Disability Policy, which I chair, is involved in the reauthorization of IDEA. As the new chairman of the subcommittee, I wanted to get the facts before we began the reauthorization process. The subcommittee held four hearings on the law in May and July of this year. The first hearing on May 9, which I cochaired with my friend from California, Mr. CUNNINGHAM of the other body, was a joint congressional hearing on the 20th anniversary of IDEA.

During the course of that hearing we heard from Members who were original cosponsors of the legislation in 1975, judges and attorneys involved with the landmark court cases that served as catalysts for IDEA, and former congressional staff and advocates for children with disabilities, who facilitated its historic passage.

That hearing sent a valuable message to students with disabilities, their families, and educators. Members of Congress have a longstanding interest in assuring a free appropriate public education and early intervention services for infants, toddlers, children, and youth with disabilities. Designing and sustaining the Federal role in assisting States with these responsibilities is founded on bipartisan cooperation.

There are many challenges that face America's young people: What to choose for a life's work, how to evaluate advice, how to judge one's own progress, and how to define personal satisfaction and happiness. Their approach to these questions will be colored by the behavior of adults around them. Do we celebrate individual abilities and differences? Do we encourage

cooperation and collaboration in school? Do we respect and recognize the opinions of young people? Do we promote goal setting based on interests and abilities?

How we answer these questions with regard to young people with disabilities is a barometer. If young people with disabilities are exposed to the experiences of their peers, if we help them become a valued member of their peer group, if we take into account their choices, and if we help them become the best they can be, they and their nondisabled friends learn a valuable lesson. They learn that adults care, that we are fair, and that we can be trusted.

My good friend from Iowa and I released the first draft of the authorization bill for IDEA on November 20. As we developed the draft, we were always conscious of these young people and their future.

We have spent many months reading and talking to people about how to best serve children with disabilities through IDEA. Five major principles influenced our drafting efforts.

First, children with disabilities and their families should be the central focus of our drafting efforts.

Second, if a provision in IDEA works, don't undo it.

Third, add incentives that encourage schools to serve children, based on needs, not because of disability labels.

Fourth, add incentives that encourage and prepare schools to include children with disabilities in schoolwide innovation, reform efforts, and assessments of student progress.

Fifth, clearly link discretionary programs to the State grant programs, so that discretionary grants help educators educate children with disabilities and help families contribute in meaningful ways to the educational process of their children.

We have done what we set out to do. We have crafted a bill that will take us into the next century, a bill that celebrates the legacy established 20 years ago today, a bill that gives parents and educators the tools they need to help young people with disabilities succeed, and a bill that delivers on that timeless simple promise—a free appropriate public education for each child with a disability.

Such an education is an investment in people whose hopes, opportunities, and achievements are dependent on us. As we proceed with the reauthorization process, I urge my colleagues to join me in celebrating a law that works, a law that endures, a law that is most necessary. Although the difference it has made may be measured in dollars and judged in terms of children served, its impact is more pervasive, more powerful. Services it funds have led to words read, concepts understood, steps taken, and words spoken—often for the first time. As such experiences are repeated, young people with disabilities develop pride and increased confidence