

12, 1995, the gentlewoman from Colorado [Mrs. SCHROEDER] is recognized during morning business for 5 minutes.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pain that I come to this House floor as the senior woman in this House to discuss what I watched yesterday in the press conference coming from Salt Lake City by our colleague. No, I am not here to talk about shedding tears. I have been one to shed tears. In fact, if Members of Congress had corporate sponsors like race car drivers do, my corporate sponsor would probably be Kleenex. But I am here to remind this body that shedding tears does not shed us of our responsibilities that we take when we assume this very solemn task of stewardship for the people in our district when they send us here to represent them.

I watched and was terribly troubled, because I think it is time we as Members of this body realize that when we get elected, we are the ones that get elected. Our spouses do not get elected. Our staffs do not get elected. If we choose to delegate some authority to our spouses or to our staffs, then we must stand and take the responsibility for that delegation. Because only our name is on that ballot, and that ballot is a very, very sacred act in the democracy. When you vote for a person, you are to get that person or that person's judgment, and that is all we have that holds representative government together.

So as I watched yesterday and I heard the many explanations, I was even further troubled by the explanation that, even though everybody knows none of us are allowed to receive more than \$1,000 to campaign with from either a spouse or a family member or a friend or anybody. No one is allowed to receive more than \$1,000. You can only spend more than that if it happens to be your own money.

And so hearing that, "Oh, well, I did it but, you see, you cannot give an election back, so on with the show."

Well, you may not be able to give an election back, but I must say you can step down. You can step down. If any American went out and procured items with illegally-gotten money and that was discovered, they would have to give it back. They would have to give it back. You can never undo what was wrong, but you try to make recompense.

I think we have these laws that we either honor or, if we are going to ignore them, find out about them later and say, "So be it," it does not work. It does not work.

Saying that you signed blank statements and you are very sorry that they filled them in, hey, let us see the average American be able to use that defense with the Internal Revenue Service: "I just signed a blank 1040. Someone filled it in, and I did not really mean to do it." That does not work. None of us are allowed to delegate our citizen responsibility, our representative responsibility, unless we are will-

ing to stand and take the consequences for it.

So I think in this society where there has been so much talk about people trying to become victims and "Because I am a victim, therefore I am not responsible," that does not work.

This great democracy only works if every one of us stands up and takes responsibility for what we undertook and takes responsibility for being the captain of our own ship and our own lives.

So it is with great pain that I say these things today, because obviously my colleague has been very hurt and been very hurt in love, which many people can be hurt. But that does not give people an excuse to walk away from their duties or to overlook all the different things that went on that should have been warning signals, and I do not think we should allow that to be used in this case, either.

So I hope all of us take that seriously, think about our responsibility seriously and wonder how in the world this democracy can ever work if we allow people to be able to shed tears and be able to shed responsibility, or claim victimhood and therefore shed responsibility.

Responsibility is not another layer of skin like a snake has, and you can just say, "Oops, I am out of there, I am someone new."

No, we must be held accountable for our acts. That is the very, very basis of this Government. And yesterday for me was a very sad day.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12, rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 2:30 p.m.

Accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 41 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until 2 p.m.

□ 1430

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. EWING) at 2 o'clock and 30 minutes p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF INTENTION TO OFFER PRIVILEGED RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE EXPULSION OF REPRESENTATIVE WALTER R. TUCKER III, FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 2(a)(1) of rule IX of the House of Representatives, I hereby give notice of my intention to offer a resolution which raises a question of the privileges of the House. The form of the resolution is as follows:

A resolution providing for the expulsion of Representative Walter R. Tucker, III from the House. *Resolved*, That pursuant to article I, section 5, clause 2 of the United States Constitution, Representative Walter R.

Tucker, III, be, and he hereby is expelled, from the House of Representatives.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will announce scheduling of that privileged resolution within 2 legislative days.

PRINTING OF PROCEEDINGS HAD DURING RECESS

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the proceedings had during the recess be printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will entertain fifteen 1-minutes on each side.

SECRETARY OF ENERGY MISUSES PUBLIC FUNDS

(Mr. CHABOT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, more than a month ago I came to this floor and called upon President Clinton to dismiss the Secretary of Energy, Hazel O'Leary. I said that she should not remain in office for even 1 more day after we learned of her use of public funds to rank news reporters based on their treatment of her.

But, Mr. Speaker, while the White House condemned her conduct the President allowed Secretary O'Leary to remain and to continue spending public funds. Now we learn that she has soaked the taxpayers for millions more by living the high life on foreign junkets—while padding the payroll here at home.

Half a million dollars for a trip to Pakistan? Unbelievable. \$850,000 for a trip to China? That's an outrage. No wonder this administration has such difficulty swallowing a balanced budget and letting taxpayers keep more of their own money. Cabinet status ought not entitle one to take a perpetual five-star vacation at taxpayer expense. Instead of dismissing these concerns, this time the President ought to dismiss Secretary O'Leary.

FULL FUNDING FOR LIHEAP

(Mr. MOAKLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, winters in Massachusetts can get pretty cold. This Sunday, with the windchill, it went down to below zero—and we're not even half way into December.

These low temperatures mean that a lot of homes can get dangerously cold