

AMENDMENT NOS. 2025, 2031, 2032, 2041, AND 2042,
WITHDRAWN

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the pending amendments numbered 2025, 2031, 2032, 2041, and 2042 are withdrawn.

The Chair recognizes the Senator from North Carolina.

Mr. HELMS. I thank the Chair. The Chair is absolutely correct. Mr. President, I believe there is a time agreement on this of 4 hours equally divided.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct. There are 4 hours on the managers' time and the bill.

Mr. HELMS. Very well. Mr. President, before I begin, I will yield to the Senator from Montana to speak as in morning business.

I ask unanimous consent that it be in order for me to yield to the distinguished Senator 6 minutes, not to be charged to either side, at which time the time will begin running on the bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Montana is recognized.

GO GRIZ

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce a critically important resolution. It will restore the honor of our country, and my State of Montana in particular, in the face of an impudent affront leveled against us by the Governor of West Virginia.

Let me begin with a question. What would possess as many as 5,000 Montanans to leave our beautiful State and travel to a small town in West Virginia—of all places—for the weekend?

There is only one answer—and that is Grizzly fever.

As I have proudly told many of my colleagues, the University of Montana Grizzlies are traveling to Huntington, WV, to take on the Thundering Herd of Marshall University in the NCAA, Division I-AA National Championship. And on Saturday night, they will come home to Missoula as the national champions.

It takes a good football team to get that far. But the Grizzlies are not just a good football team—they are a great football team.

How great are the Grizzlies, some may ask?

Great enough to have trounced their playoff opponents. During the three playoff games, the Grizzlies scored a total of 156 points. Their three opponents managed to score a paltry 14 points; and two out of the three playoff games were Grizzly shutouts.

And the Grizzlies are great enough to have what I believe is the finest quarterback in college football today. Dave Dickenson, from Great Falls, is a three-time first team academic all-American, a first team all-American quarterback, and Dave will probably receive the Walter Payton Award next week as the best Division I-AA player in America.

Many West Virginians—including my friends Senator BYRD and ROCKE-

FELLER—may take pride in Marshall's winning record up to this point. That is fine. I see nothing wrong with acknowledging the accomplishment of the second-best team. But Governor Caperton crossed the line when he signed a proclamation naming December 16—the day of the game—Marshall University Day.

Now, normally, I am a strong supporter of States rights. But Governor Caperton has gone too far. His proclamation is a slap in the face to me and every other self-respecting Montanan. And it is an insult to the good sense of every American who follows college football.

Mr. President, sometimes State governments make mistakes. And on occasions like this one, they are whoppers. The time has come for Congress to step in and set things right.

That is why I am introducing my resolution today. It would recognize the Montana Grizzlies as the new national champions by proclaiming all of next week Montana Grizzlies Appreciation Week. It would also declare the unfortunate, unjust, and illegitimate proclamation by the Governor of West Virginia null and void.

If you still doubt the need for this resolution, tune in on Saturday. The game starts at 10 Montana time—that's noon in Washington on ESPN. It will be a great game.

Mr. HELMS. I can see why the Senator was eager to make a speech and make a reference to Montana. I congratulate him.

FOREIGN RELATIONS REVITALIZATION ACT

The Senate continued with the consideration of the bill.

Mr. HELMS. Here we are, Mr. President. As I was saying a few minutes ago, at long last, S. 908 is the pending business before the U.S. Senate—S. 908 being the plan to reorganize the State Department—a plan much maligned by all the bureaucrats who do not want to be folded into the State Department. They do not want to save any money. To their chagrin, it looks to me like we are going to save some money, not as much as we would have liked, but that is an issue we can work on in conference with the House. S. 908 was reported to the Senate more than 6 months ago, and I have never seen as many erroneous news reports about a piece of legislation in all of my 23 years in the Senate. The administration at every turn has vowed—and I use the administration's words—vowed to "delay, postpone, obfuscate and derail" S. 908. They made no bones about it. All of that was ignored by the great media of this country. There was just one Senator who was holding up the whole works—that fellow from North Carolina, HELMS—and they went after HELMS with a feverish attitude.

Our Democratic colleagues signed up and have refused to allow the Senate to work its will, but that did not make any difference to the news media. They

reported that it was HELMS doing the holding up, when actually it was the administration and the Democrat Members of the Senate. Now, there was one Senator who was willing to negotiate and participate in the process, Senator KERRY of Massachusetts, to whom I shall forever be grateful.

It needs to be made clear that the Senator from North Carolina has never, never demanded that I get my way as press report after press report claimed. I have never demanded that the Senate accept this authorization bill or that the administration agree to downsize Government by eliminating a few Federal agencies. I have never demanded that the Senate accept this authorization bill or that the administration agree to downsize Government and abolish some Federal agencies. I had hoped all of that would happen, and the bill was drafted for that purpose, but I never made any demand for anything—except that the Senate be allowed to vote on S. 908. I said from the very beginning, "Let me have a vote and you will have your ambassadors." I have asked only that the Senate be allowed to conduct its legislative responsibilities and vote. Not once did I stipulate that S. 908 had to pass but just that it be voted upon. But the Democrats were afraid that if it were put up for a vote, the Senate would agree to abolish three Federal agencies—what a tragedy that would have been.

Since this process began months ago, the Foreign Relations Committee has acted on at least 58 of President Clinton's ambassadorial nominees—most of them political appointees, I might add. The committee has acted on six tax treaties and assorted other international treaties in that same time period. I have asked myself many times, what have we received in return? Until this date, nothing; nothing. There goes that obfuscation, delay, postponement, derailment.

I take issue with those in the administration and with my colleagues, especially the distinguished Senator from Connecticut [Mr. DODD], who at one point asserted that it was the "height of irresponsibility to hold up nearly all other committee business over one piece of legislation." CHRIS DODD knows better than that, Mr. President. He is in charge of the political wing of the Democratic Party. He is perhaps experiencing a convenient amnesia, forgetting that as chairman of the Foreign Relations Subcommittee on Western Hemisphere in 1992, Senator DODD himself refused to schedule any subcommittee ambassadorial nomination hearings for an entire year. So when Senator DODD made his extravagant statement, I respond, "Look who is talking."

I could go on, but suffice it to say many of my Democrat colleagues have engaged in a bit of injured innocence when they weep such copious tears about the delay in Senate confirmation of several nominees. Now, were it not