

him to do it, or give him the authority to do it. The House is going to take that bill up tomorrow or the next day. We will take it up immediately thereafter. But the President could keep us from having to go through that routine if he is sincere in wanting to do what is right for the veterans of our country.

I want to say thank you to Senator WARNER for starting this process, for bringing it to our attention. I also want to say, because there are people on the floor here, that the authorization bill for the Department of Defense that just passed was obviously tough. It was a close vote. A lot of people are responsible for the authorization going through, making sure that the Defense Department does have the funding that it needs, especially in this time when we have young men and women going to Bosnia and who will be there and will look to us for the stability of funding to make sure that they have what they need.

I thank Senator THURMOND, the chairman of the committee, for his leadership. He did a wonderful job. Without him, this bill would not have gone through. There are two or three other people who were integral to this process, and I want to say that Senator WARNER from Virginia, Senator LOTT from Mississippi, and Senator COHEN from Maine were essential to getting this bill through, to working it and staying with it and not giving up, despite the differences on the two sides of the aisle.

So I thank the Senator from Virginia, and I commend him for getting his letter to the President. I hope the President will respond to the veterans and give them a Christmas present. They should not be put at peril and should not have to worry about it.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I thank my colleague from Texas for her thoughtful remarks. Indeed, she deserves an equal amount of credit for getting this conference report passed. True, our distinguished whip, Mr. LOTT, Mr. COHEN, and others, took active negotiating roles, but she, too, was there. We thank her.

I am delighted that the Senator mentioned the soldiers, sailors, airmen, and marines going to Bosnia because this letter, Mr. President, reflects the sentiment of the Congress of the United States toward veterans. But they will be veterans some day. It is the continuity of the treatment of veterans by the Congress of the United States that enables this country to continue to get the finest and the best qualified to come in and wear the uniforms of our armed services today, tomorrow, and in the future. So each time we deal with a veterans issue, we should think about the current generation serving, for they will some day be veterans, together with their families and loved ones.

I ask unanimous consent that this letter prepared by the Senator from Texas, Senator SIMPSON, Senator DOLE, myself, and others, be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the letter was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

U.S. SENATE,

Washington, DC, December 19, 1995.

The PRESIDENT,
The White House,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT: We are disappointed that you chose to veto the 1996 Veterans Affairs, Housing, and Urban Development and Independent Agencies appropriations bill. Your veto threatens hardships for our nation's veterans, unless you exercise your authority to ensure basic entitlements required by law are continued.

We consider it an unresolved issue whether the "faithful execution of the laws" clause of Article II of the Constitution permits the President, in the absence of an appropriation, to enter into any obligation to pay benefits that are expressly required by law. It is our view that veterans' benefits have the same status as other earned benefits upon which people depend to live, and should be designated as essential and payments continued.

Assistant Attorney General Walter Dellinger, in his memorandum interpreting earlier Department of Justice opinions on the consequences of a lapse of appropriations, writes that, "Efforts should be made to interpret a general statute such as the Antideficiency Act to avoid the significant constitutional questions that would arise were the Act read to critically impair the exercise of constitutional functions assigned to the executive." Rather than avoiding this question, or ceding authority to Congress, we believe you should act to carry out the laws of the United States for the benefit of veterans.

If you decide not to declare veterans benefits essential, we intend to bring up a funding resolution quickly to provide necessary appropriations. We hope you will act first, making such action unnecessary.

Sincerely,

John Warner; Alan Simpson; Kay Bailey Hutchison; Bob Dole; Lauch Faircloth; Dan Coats; Pete V. Domenici; Rod Grams; Jon Kyl; Bill Frist; Richard Shelby; Craig Thomas; Richard G. Lugar; Alfonse D'Amato; Conrad Burns; Mitch McConnell; Ted Stevens; John H. Chafee; Judd Gregg; Bob Smith; Larry Pressler; Thad Cochran; Chuck Grassley; Jim Jeffords; Connie Mack; John McCain; Nancy Landon Kassebaum; Rick Santorum; Spencer Abraham; Olympia Snowe; Frank H. Murkowski; Dirk Kempthorne; John Ashcroft; Don Nickles; Trent Lott; Strom Thurmond; Larry E. Craig; Slade Gorton.

Mr. LOTT addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Mississippi.

THE DOD AUTHORIZATION CONFERENCE REPORT

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I, too, want to join in saying how pleased I am that we have passed this very important piece of legislation. There was extensive debate today, and I think all the important points have been made. I am proud of the Senate, that we did get it passed and sent it to the President. The defense of our country should be our highest priority. We have lived up to that responsibility in the passage of this legislation.

I want to, again, commend the distinguished chairman of the Armed Services Committee, the Senator from South Carolina, for his dogged persistence in moving this legislation. Without his efforts, without his coming on to the floor of the Senate and in committee and grabbing us by the arm and saying, "We have to move this issue," and, "Let us get agreement on missile defense and on the B-2. We have to move this legislation," it would not have happened, in spite of the efforts of all of us. But he just stayed with it and we got it done. This should be the Thurmond bill because he really made it happen.

I have enjoyed working with all the members of the Armed Services Committee, especially the Senator from Virginia, Senator WARNER, and all of the others. I want to say, also, I think a lot of staff on both sides of the aisle need to be recognized. There are too many to name, but Senator THURMOND's staff, Senator WARNER's fine staff, and my own staff assistant, Sam Adcock, put a lot of time in this bill, and they should be congratulated.

I certainly agree with the Senator from Texas—with the letter she has developed to say that we should make sure that our veterans are paid, and there is no reason why they should not be. I assume they will be taken care of by administrative decision.

A BALANCED BUDGET

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I would like to also talk a little bit about the joint resolution. An effort was made to call it up tonight. This joint resolution passed the House of Representatives just yesterday by an overwhelming vote of 351-40; over 130 Democrats voted for it. This joint resolution is pretty simple and direct and to the point. It just says that as we voted a month ago on a similar resolution, which the President signed, that the Congress is reaffirming its commitment to a balanced budget in 7 years with honest numbers, as scored by the Congressional Budget Office. That is all it does.

Now, when the distinguished majority leader attempted to bring this joint resolution up in the Senate that passed the House overwhelmingly yesterday, there was objection to it by the minority leader, but he indicated if we could add the additional language that we had in our earlier resolution, perhaps we could get it worked out and get it passed. I think we should be able to do that. We worked on that language earlier. We are all committed to making sure that Medicare is protected and, in fact, strengthened. We are all committed to a strong national defense and agriculture programs, along with the whole list of issues that we included in that earlier legislation. So I think we can probably work that out and get it agreed to tomorrow. I hope so.

We have had the additional development now that it appears that maybe