

of debate on the conference report to be divided as follows: Senator LUGAR, 2 hours; Senator LEAHY, 1 hour; Senator DASCHLE or designee, 3 hours; further, that immediately following the expiration or yielding back of time, the Senate proceed to vote on the adoption of the conference report with no intervening action.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. DASCHLE. Reserving the right to object, and I will not object, I will only again point out to my colleague from Alaska that we would enter into a unanimous-consent agreement today for all of the package the Senator from Alaska referred to except the Utah wilderness. We will do it this morning. We can pass that bill by 11:15. It is now 11:14. So if the Senator from Alaska is prepared to drop the one controversial bill we will enter into an agreement today, unanimous-consent agreement, passing all the rest. If he is prepared to do that, I am prepared to do that right now.

But I have no objection to the request propounded by the majority leader having to do with the farm bill conference report.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DOLE addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, let me add my hope that we can resolve the problem. I know there are a number of projects, including the Presidio, that I support, and hopefully this will—now and then we get things resolved around here. Maybe we can do this in the next few days. But we would like to in the interim, if we could, do the line-item veto and the farm bill conference report. That will give us some time, if there is any negotiating opportunities, to do that. It is also my hope that we can have a time agreement on the line-item veto. I understand that the distinguished Senator from West Virginia, Senator BYRD, would like us to at least proceed and then perhaps enter into a time agreement a bit later.

Mr. DASCHLE. It is my understanding, Mr. President, that is correct, the Senator from West Virginia is prepared at some point to enter into a time agreement. We assume he will be on the floor shortly, and we can discuss the matter with him at that time.

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, let me indicate on this side of the aisle, for the present time the Senator from New Mexico, Senator DOMENICI, will be the manager in charge of the time on this side for the line-item veto.

Mr. BUMPERS addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arkansas.

Mr. BUMPERS. Mr. President, notwithstanding the unanimous-consent agreement, I ask unanimous consent that I be permitted to speak for 2 minutes on the cloture vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection? Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### PRESIDIO PROPERTIES ADMINISTRATION ACT

Mr. BUMPERS. Mr. President, I want to echo what our distinguished minority leader has said. There are over 50 pieces of parks or public lands legislation in the bill on which we just refused to invoke cloture. I have two pieces of legislation in that package that are very important to me. I received no pleasure in voting against cloture and knowing that I have to start all over again moving those two bills.

I do not mind telling you this is a lousy way to legislate. It is like hanging a Damocles sword over your head by saying, "If you will vote for these 52 goodies, you are going to have to choke this bad one down too"; 49 Senators said they were not willing to do that.

They are all good pieces of legislation. If we want to sit here and talk about who had holds on those bills over the past few months, or the minimum wage bill, that is fine. However, that does not solve anything. As the minority leader stated, within 30 seconds we can pass more than 50 bills, 100 to zip, by simply removing the Utah wilderness bill.

Having said that, let me also say these things are no fun. Nobody has more respect for the two Senators from Utah than I do. Senator BENNETT and I have worked together for endless hours trying to reform the concessions policies of the National Park System.

Therefore, it is not easy for me to filibuster and require a cloture vote on something that is so important to the Senators from Utah. But there are times, regardless of how close a friend you may be and how much respect you may have for another Senator, that you have to stand up for something you really feel is critically important. Perhaps the majority leader and the minority leader could sit down with the Senator from Alaska, who is chairman of our committee, and with Secretary Babbitt.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator's time has expired.

Mr. BUMPERS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent for 1 additional minute.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BUMPERS. And come back to this floor and do something very responsible that would be very pleasing to the people of this country. If the people of our country saw the Democrats and the Republicans joining hands, to pass more than 50 pieces of legislation in a bipartisan spirit, everyone in America would applaud. I promise you it would lift the morale of the country ever so slightly.

We ought to do it, and we certainly ought to do it before we check out of here tonight. I want to sit down with the two Senators from Utah. As I have suggested, perhaps the majority and minority leaders can participate along with the chairman and ranking member of the Energy Committee, and Sec-

retary Babbitt and work on the Utah wilderness bill. I would like to get that contentious item off of the calendar.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. I agree.

Mr. BUMPERS. People operating in good faith around here can do it. I am very pleased with the outcome of the cloture vote. I want my colleagues from Utah to know they are my friends. I hope we can work something out with regards to this legislation. I yield the floor.

Mrs. BOXER addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from California.

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, do I need unanimous consent to speak for 1 minute?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Yes.

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for 1 minute on the subject.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. BOXER. I thank my colleagues for their patience.

I just feel for some of us here in the Senate, particularly the two Senators from California, feel it is an awfully difficult situation when you have worked so long and hard and you have built up the kind of bipartisan support that we have for the Presidio, from the majority leader, to the minority leader, to Senator BEN NIGHTHORSE CAMPBELL, who literally came in and saved the thing, to Senator BUMPERS for being there for us through all the ups and downs of this battle, and to see it all come down in a crashing blow because of another issue, is awfully difficult for all of us.

I do hope that we can work something out on Utah wilderness, either by saying that it will come up in another context on its own—it does deserve the attention on its own. I support what Senator BUMPERS recommended, which is a high-powered meeting with the Senators themselves, a high-powered meeting to sit down with those who have taken such an interest in this, Senator BRADLEY and others, to try and resolve these differences and these problems.

I just want to say that we have a crown jewel of a national park in the Presidio, but if we do not quickly set up a trust and get to work making sure that there is upkeep, that the buildings are put to good and proper use, and that the income from those buildings go to repair the facilities and keep them pristine, we will lose this priceless jewel. I do not think anyone wants that to happen.

I was very pleased that Senator DASCHLE made a unanimous-consent request to pass Presidio on its own, because I think that we need to keep coming back to that point. There is no controversy there. I was heartened by the majority leader's comments that he is going to do what he can to make it happen. The clock is ticking on this priceless jewel. I hope we can reach across party lines as we did when we gained all the support to solve the

Utah wilderness problem, pass this bill, without that attached to it.

I think we could all go home as Republicans and Democrats and be proud of what we have done. Thank you, Mr. President.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for 2 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I support the comments of my colleague on the Presidio. I have lived all my life one block from the Presidio. I know it well. The Presidio bill is predicated on something that is unique. It is a private-public partnership whereby the more than 500 historic buildings and the additional buildings would be leased out, with a hope that over a 15-year-period it would be able to make public areas of the Presidio self-supporting.

Having said this, I am hopeful that every Member of this body could realize the longer it takes to get a bill, the more in jeopardy that plan becomes. Because of the rains, because of the fact that many of these buildings are now boarded up, they are subject to intrusion, to vandalism; they are subject to the absence of an adequate policing authority on that 1,500-acre post. The Presidio, by each day of delay, is placed in jeopardy.

I am also hopeful, and I address these remarks to the distinguished majority leader, that he would be willing to become a party to negotiations which I think can go on, on the subject of the Utah wilderness, so that we might be able to get an agreement that would be satisfactory to the two Senators from Utah, as well. I think it is possible. I think that every area is not the same as Yellowstone or Yosemite. They have certain unique characteristics which need to have attention, as well.

I am hopeful, Mr. Leader, that in the ensuing days, perhaps under your auspice, there might be negotiations which could be carried out. At least we should try and see if we cannot get some agreement which can either enable the package to move ahead as a package, or enable the Presidio, something which my colleague just said, does have unanimous consent in this body, to move ahead.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, I am happy to indicate for the record that I would be pleased to try to be helpful in an effort to resolve the differences. Obviously, the one big difference is the Utah wilderness provision. The other projects, I understand, are not particularly controversial. I indicate that I am happy to be of help, or to take the leadership and try to bring people together. I have already spoken briefly to the distinguished Senator from Alaska, Senator MURKOWSKI. It is the hope in the next few days we can make some progress.

#### LEGISLATIVE LINE-ITEM VETO ACT OF 1995—CONFERENCE REPORT

The Senate continued with consideration of the conference report.

Mr. DOLE. I understand the distinguished Senator from West Virginia is on his way to the floor. Hopefully, we can have the agreement before we commence the debate on the line-item veto because debate is 10 hours in the agreement. We would like to have it immediately start taking affect. If we speak for an hour or two beforehand, that would be an additional time.

The Senator from New Mexico will be here, as will others who are interested in this issue. Hopefully, we will not use the full 10 hours, have a vote early this evening, and then take up the farm bill conference report tonight.

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, do I understand that we are awaiting the approval of the other side for the unanimous consent?

Mr. DOLE. Senator BYRD.

Mr. MCCAIN. If I could, Mr. Leader, while we are waiting for Senator BYRD, I express my appreciation for the work of Senator LOTT, who brought together some very different views on this issue. He did, I think, a magnificent job in reconciling the differences that we had on this side of the aisle.

I also want to thank the Senators from Alaska and New Mexico who obviously have a very deep and abiding interest, given their responsibilities as chairmen of the respective committees. Again, I also thank you for your leadership in making this nearly come to reality.

I understand that Senator BYRD will have certain motions to be made on this issue.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, before we enter into the time agreement, while Senator MCCAIN and Senator COATS are on the floor, I want to congratulate them. This has been a long and arduous effort on both their parts. They have been single minded and resourceful about wanting to get line-item veto in as part of the legislation that Congress passed, and pass on some additional authority to the President.

I think the bill we have come up with, while there are some compromises from their original stand and certainly some from the original stand of the bill that left the Senate floor, I think we have a good bill. I think history is going to be made some time before too late in the evening, and it will be passed here in the Senate.

I think it is a well-rounded bill. It is a little broader than the original concept of line-item veto, but overall, I extend my hearty congratulation and most sincere feelings to them about their efforts, the two Senators who have led this cause.

I also want to comment on what our distinguished whip did. I want to say thanks to Senator LOTT. It was not as easy as some think to put this together. He brought us together. I want to thank our distinguished majority

leader because he actually said to the whip, "Let's get it done." Our distinguished whip takes that kind of a challenge as a serious one, and it did not take too long for us to get the job done.

With that, until Senator BYRD arrives, unless someone else wants the floor, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll. The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I understand that Senator SNOWE from Maine wants to address the Senate with reference to the death of Senator Muskie.

I yield the floor.

Mr. MOYNIHAN addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New York is recognized.

Mr. MOYNIHAN. Mr. President, I will take just a moment of the Senate's time to prepare for a general debate. I ask unanimous consent that I may proceed for 4 minutes as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### THE EPA STUDY ON ACID RAIN

Mr. MOYNIHAN. Mr. President, New York State, or upstate New York, has been shocked—I think that is a fair term—and finds itself in near disbelief to learn that the Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] has closed the Ithaca station, which is part of a broad network of monitoring stations that collect data critical to understanding the impact of acid rain on the Adirondack Preserve. There is little enough institutional memory around Washington, but one should think the EPA would know that the concern about acid rain began with the disappearance of trout from a number of lakes in the higher Adirondacks. This was a puzzle and, in the end, it was resolved by a fish biologist at Cornell University, Dr. Carl Scofield, who traced the cycle: acid rain caused by increasingly acidified air released aluminum from the granite surrounding the lakes. That aluminum leached into the lakes and was absorbed into fish gills. The fish died.

In 1980, I obtained approval of legislation—the Acid Precipitation Act—which was based on a bill I introduced here in the Congress the year before. My bill was incorporated as title VII into the Energy Security Act of 1980—Public Law 96-294—and directed the EPA to study, over a 10-year period, just what was going on—not to panic, not to go screaming to high Heaven that the skies were opening with awful substances that would burn holes in our children's heads, and things like that—but just to say, "What is this?"