

Mr. DOMENICI. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SIMON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SIMON. Mr. President, I want to speak just briefly on two subjects.

TRIBUTE TO DANIEL E. MOSS

Mr. SIMON. Mr. President, I served for 10 years over in the House. Handling the garage entrance there has been a police officer, D.E. Moss. I learned today he is retiring today. I am probably like most of my colleagues. We just do not thank people around here enough. Here is an officer who is great to us who serve in Congress. More importantly, he has been great to the public. He has just made a great impression for the U.S. Government and has served our people well.

I think of him. I think of Ed Litton who is down in the Dirksen Building, an officer who works there at the subway. But it is true of the people who record what we have to say, whether it has merit or not, the people who sit at the front desks, the pages, the people who work the doors, all the people who really make this place function so well.

D.E. Moss' retirement is a good occasion to remember that we are in debt to a great many people.

Mr. CRAIG. Would the Senator from Illinois allow me just a few comments in that regard?

Mr. SIMON. I would be pleased to yield to my colleague.

TRIBUTE TO SENATOR PAUL SIMON

Mr. CRAIG. While I do not want to speak of Mr. Moss—and I am pleased you recognized him—I want to speak about you for just a moment, and to thank you for the relationship you and I have had on the issue of the balanced budget amendment to the Constitution. We were not successful a few moments ago on another very important vote.

But I must say, in all fairness—and I want the Record to show this—that over the years that you and I have worked side by side on this issue, I think most of the public watching would have said, "Isn't that interesting. Here is a liberal and a conservative."

We took the politics out of this. It was a bipartisan effort, a strong one, on the part of the Senator from Illinois and this Senator. Out of that relationship and our commitment for fiscal responsibility, I have developed a very fond respect for you and all of the work you do. While you and I disagree on a lot of issues, we have worked together very, very well.

Let me thank you publicly, and for the Record, for the tremendous effort you put forth and the contribution you have made toward bringing a balanced budget amendment to the Constitution to the American people. A very special thanks to the senior Senator from Illinois.

Mr. SIMON. I thank my colleague from Idaho, and my thanks to Senator COVERDELL from Georgia. I knew Senator CRAIG when he was Congressman CRAIG. We said hello, but that was just about it. But I had a chance to work with Senator CRAIG here and came to have great respect for him. I am grateful to all those who were helpful to us: Senator HATCH, Senator THURMOND, Senator HEFLIN, Senator BRYAN, others in both political parties.

A balanced budget constitutional amendment, one of these days, has to pass. The question is, how much we are going to hurt our Nation before we pass it. There is just no question, if we had passed it back when John F. Kennedy complained about spending \$9 billion on interest—today we are spending \$344 billion on gross interest—what a much better country we would have. We cannot wait another 5 or 10 years. We are going to have chaos.

THE GROWTH OF LEGALIZED GAMBLING

Mr. SIMON. Mr. President, I will speak just briefly on another subject. That is, Senator LUGAR and I and Senator WARNER and a total of 25 of us on both sides have introduced a bill to say, let us have a study of the growth of legalized gambling in our country.

This is not the most Earth-shaking thing, but the fastest growing industry in our country is legalized gambling. And there are problems with that. It is the only form of addiction that Government promotes. We would be shocked if we saw a sign saying, "Smoke Marlboro cigarettes. You know, they're fun to smoke" or "Drink more whiskey. You'll really have a good time," because both of those provide revenue for Government. But we do not seem to be shocked when there are billboards, like on the south side of Chicago, saying, "The Illinois lottery—this is your way out." This is the impoverished area of Chicago. That is not the way out for people. It is education. It is hard work. It is the kind of things that we know have to be done.

So Senator LUGAR, Senator WARNER, and I introduced this legislation. To the credit of Senator STEVENS and his committee, it was reported out by voice vote. Now we want to move it through the Senate. The House has already passed a bill. We have to work the two out.

My hope is that we could get this done quickly. I spoke last week to Senator DOLE. I would love to see, before BOB DOLE leaves, the Senate have us pass this legislation.

The New York Times 3 days ago had an editorial urging the Senate to pass this legislation.

The Christian Science Monitor has an editorial. The last paragraph reads:

It's time society knew the real costs of gambling. The Senate should pass the measure without delay.

I hope we do this. I have no illusions. We are not going to stop legalized gambling in this country. We are not going to close Las Vegas or Atlantic city. But I think we should be looking at the possibility of steps to limit the growth. For example, you can now or shortly will be able to, on the Internet, gamble by computer using your American Express or Visa or some card. We do not know where that is going to lead. I think a commission ought to be looking into this.

There are people who get addicted. I got into this because my mother is a member of a Lutheran Church in Collinsville, IL. And a substitute teacher at a Lutheran school of that church, unknown to her family, got addicted to gambling. They thought the money was going for rent and paying the bills and so forth. One day they came home and there was a note saying you could find her in the shopping mall parking lot. She had committed suicide. She went to a riverboat casino and got addicted. And you know, these stories multiply.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD these two editorials.

There being no objection, the editorials were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From the New York Times, June 3, 1996]

GAMBLING IN THE SENATE

Despite intense opposition from the gambling industry, the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee has approved a worthwhile measure to create a national commission to review the social and economic impact of casinos and state-run lotteries. Chances are good that it would win easy approval by the Senate, much as a similar bill unanimously passed the House in March. But there remains a danger that Senate Republican leaders may try to kill the measure quietly by failing to allow time for a vote on the Senate calendar.

The bill approved by the Senate committee is a somewhat watered down version of the House plan, which was proposed by Representative Frank Wolf, a Virginia Republican. But it is a marked improvement over the revision proposed earlier by Ted Stevens of Alaska, the committee chairman. The compromise fashioned by Mr. Stevens and the bill's sponsors—Richard Lugar, Republican of Indiana, and Paul Simon, Democrat of Illinois—grants the commission adequate subpoena power and a sufficiently broad mandate to examine gambling's consequences in communities around the country.

As various forms of gambling have spread across the nation, there has been little effort to examine the economic and social impact. State and local political leaders faced with deciding whether to approve gambling in their area, or expand its presence, often have little hard information available to assess the advantages and disadvantages to their communities.

Bob Dole, now in his final days as Senate majority leader, has indicated support for a Federal commission, despite heavy financial support for his Presidential campaign from