

I want to thank everyone in the delegation who has supported this project, and urge my colleagues to support the conference report.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the conference report.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BE-REUTER). The question is on the conference report.

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XV, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 395, nays 19, not voting 19, as follows:

[Roll No. 419]

YEAS—395

Abercrombie	Cox	Gunderson
Ackerman	Coyne	Gutierrez
Allard	Cramer	Gutrecht
Andrews	Crane	Hall (OH)
Archer	Crapo	Hall (TX)
Armey	Cremeans	Hamilton
Bachus	Cummings	Hansen
Baesler	Cunningham	Harman
Baker (CA)	Danner	Hastert
Baker (LA)	Deal	Hastings (WA)
Baldacci	DeFazio	Hayworth
Ballenger	DeLauro	Hefley
Barcia	DeLay	Hefner
Barr	Dellums	Hilleary
Barrett (NE)	Deutsch	Hilliard
Bartlett	Diaz-Balart	Hinchee
Barton	Dickey	Hobson
Bass	Dicks	Hoke
Bateman	Dingell	Holden
Becerra	Dixon	Horn
Bentsen	Doggett	Hostettler
Bereuter	Doolittle	Houghton
Bevill	Dornan	Hoyer
Bilbray	Doyle	Hunter
Bilirakis	Dreier	Hutchinson
Bishop	Duncan	Hyde
Bliley	Edwards	Inglis
Blumenauer	Ehlers	Istook
Blute	Ehrlich	Jackson (IL)
Boehlert	Engel	Jackson-Lee
Boehner	English	(TX)
Bonilla	Ensign	Johnson (CT)
Bonior	Eshoo	Johnson (SD)
Bono	Evans	Johnson, E. B.
Borski	Everett	Johnson, Sam
Boucher	Ewing	Jones
Brewster	Farr	Kanjorski
Browder	Fattah	Kaptur
Brown (FL)	Fawell	Kasich
Brown (OH)	Fields (LA)	Kelly
Brownback	Flake	Kennedy (MA)
Bryant (TN)	Flanagan	Kennedy (RI)
Bryant (TX)	Foglietta	Kennelly
Bunn	Foley	Kildee
Burr	Forbes	Kim
Burton	Ford	King
Buyer	Fowler	Kingston
Callahan	Fox	Kleczka
Calvert	Franks (CT)	Klink
Camp	Franks (NJ)	Knollenberg
Campbell	Frelinghuysen	Kolbe
Canady	Frisa	LaFalce
Cardin	Frost	LaHood
Castle	Funderburk	Lantos
Chabot	Gallegly	Largent
Chambliss	Gejdenson	Latham
Chapman	Gekas	LaTourette
Chenoweth	Gephardt	Laughlin
Christensen	Geren	Lazio
Chrysler	Gilchrest	Leach
Clay	Gillmor	Levin
Clayton	Gilman	Lewis (CA)
Clement	Gonzalez	Lewis (GA)
Clinger	Goodlatte	Lewis (KY)
Clyburn	Goodling	Lightfoot
Coble	Gordon	Lincoln
Coburn	Goss	Linder
Coleman	Graham	Lipinski
Collins (GA)	Green (TX)	Livingston
Combest	Greene (UT)	LoBiondo
Condit	Greenwood	Lofgren
Conyers		Longley
Costello		Lowe
		Lucas

Luther	Payne (VA)
Maloney	Pelosi
Manton	Peterson (MN)
Manzullo	Petri
Martinez	Pickett
Martini	Pombo
Mascara	Pomeroy
Matsui	Porter
McCarthy	Portman
McCollum	Poshard
McCreery	Pryce
McDade	Quillen
McDermott	Quinn
McHale	Radanovich
McHugh	Rahall
McInnis	Ramstad
McIntosh	Rangel
McKeon	Reed
McKinney	Regula
McNulty	Richardson
Meehan	Riggs
Meek	Rivers
Menendez	Roberts
Metcalf	Roemer
Meyers	Rogers
Mica	Rohrabacher
Millender-	Ros-Lehtinen
McDonald	Rose
Miller (CA)	Roth
Miller (FL)	Roukema
Minge	Royal-Allard
Mink	Rush
Moakley	Sabo
Molinari	Salmon
Mollohan	Sanders
Montgomery	Sawyer
Moorhead	Saxton
Moran	Scarborough
Morella	Schaefer
Murtha	Schiff
Myers	Schroeder
Myrick	Schumer
Nadler	Scott
Nethercutt	Seastrand
Ney	Serrano
Norwood	Shadegg
Nussle	Shaw
Oberstar	Shays
Obey	Shuster
Ortiz	Sisisky
Orton	Skaggs
Owens	Skeen
Oxley	Skelton
Packard	Slaughter
Pallone	Smith (MI)
Parker	Smith (NJ)
Pastor	Smith (TX)
Paxon	Smith (WA)
Payne (NJ)	Solomon

NAYS—19

Barrett (WI)	Hoekstra	Royce
Beilenson	Jacobs	Sanford
Berman	Klug	Sensenbrenner
Cooley	Markey	Stockman
Filner	Neal	Stump
Frank (MA)	Neumann	
Hancock	Olver	

NOT VOTING—19

Brown (CA)	Fields (TX)	Hergert
Collins (IL)	Furse	Jefferson
Collins (MI)	Ganske	Johnston
Cubin	Gibbons	Peterson (FL)
de la Garza	Hastings (FL)	Torkildsen
Durbin	Hayes	
Fazio	Heineman	

□ 1351

Messrs. NEAL of Massachusetts, BARRETT of Wisconsin, HOEKSTRA, and MARKEY changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. STEARNS changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the conference report was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed bills and a concurrent resolution of the following titles, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 1080. An act to amend chapters 83 and 84 of title 5, United States Code, to provide additional investment funds for the Thrift Savings Plan, to permit employees to gain additional liquidity in their Thrift Savings Accounts, and for other purposes;

S. 1965. An act to prevent the illegal manufacturing and use of methamphetamine;

S. 2085. An act to authorize the Capital Guide Service to accept voluntary services; and

S. Con. Res. 71. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to the persecution of Christians worldwide.

"DEAR COLLEAGUE" LETTER FROM THE PAST APPLICABLE TO THE PRESENT

(Mr. HOKE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOKE. Mr. Speaker, I would like to read from a "Dear Colleague" that was signed by the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. LEWIS] who just spoke, as well as the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. VOLKMER] just a few years ago.

Quote,

As the Ethics Committee prepares its recommendations to the full House, it should release only the information which the committee agrees is relevant and necessary to support its findings. To ask a Member, any Member, to also respond in the court of public opinion to allegations, rumors and innuendo not deemed worthy of charge by the Committee would be totally unfair and a perversion of the process. Especially in a time of press sensationalism.

Public release of material not germane to formal Committee action would be similar to the process used during the Joe McCarthy era: Ignore the discipline of due process and firm evidence, and dump unproven allegations out in public and let the ensuing publicity destroy the person's reputation and career.

Signed, RICHARD GEPHARDT, PAT SCHROEDER, HAROLD VOLKMER, JOHN LEWIS, JOHN DINGELL, MARTIN FROST, et cetera.

Mr. Speaker, I include the following for the RECORD:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,

Washington, DC, April 13, 1986.

Re: Wright case raises crucial fairness issue

DEAR COLLEAGUE: Calls by some Members of this House for release of all gathered background material on Speaker Wright—no matter how irrelevant to specific recommendations of the Ethics Committee—threatens every Member of Congress. And it should offend every Member who values this institution and fair play.

We all support the ability and the obligation of the Ethics Committee to take a close, hard look at all responsibly made charges formally brought against any House Member. But, every Member, from the newest freshman up to the Speaker, is entitled to protection and fair treatment at the conclusion of the internal inquiry.

This requires that only supporting material on those charges the Committee decides to proceed on should be released. Releasing