

Anniversaries are a time to reflect upon a steadfast tradition of service. They are also a time to look toward new horizons. Kiwanis have made it their responsibility to serve those in need by keeping pace with the ever increasing challenges facing mankind.

Mr. Speaker, it is obvious that the community and the members of the club have greatly benefited from the effort that was started in 1921. I ask my colleagues to join me today in recognizing the achievements of the Ottawa Kiwanians and encourage them to continue to uphold what has become the standard for service in Ohio.

TRIBUTE TO DR. EARL CRANE

HON. JERRY LEWIS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, September 27, 1996

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I would like to bring to your attention the fine work and outstanding public service of Dr. Earl R. Crane, who has made and continues to make a tremendous difference in the lives of children in California through his efforts with the Children's Dental Health Center in San Bernardino. Dr. Crane will be recognized for his 40 years of work with the naming of the Dr. Earl R. Crane Children's Dental Health Center on October 3, 1996.

Dr. Crane came to San Bernardino in 1942 as an army dentist at San Bernardino Army Air Base where he settled, and later met and married his wife, Marilyn. Recognizing the need for low-cost dental services for children, Dr. Crane enlisted the support of the Assistance League of San Bernardino, the dental community, and local schools and established the Children's Dental Health Center.

The dental center provides services to children of the working poor in San Bernardino. The goal of the center is to help those who are not on public assistance and who have no dental insurance. Hundreds of students, referred by area schools, are served each year with thousands of varying dental procedures at little or no cost. In addition, all students in the local school district are screened for dental health by the center in the first grade.

Since 1949, the dental center has been located in the Assistance League building. Over the years, Dr. Crane has served on the dental center board and as a liaison between the dental community and the center. The Assistance League of San Bernardino, which has sponsored this philanthropic effort for the children of our community since its inception, has decided to honor the man who founded the center and remains active in its success.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that you join me and our colleagues in recognizing the inspiring efforts of Dr. Earl Crane in making a tremendous difference in the lives of thousands of children during the last 40 years. It is only appropriate that the House recognize this outstanding man at the dedication of the Dr. Earl R. Crane Children's Dental Health Center.

TRIBUTE TO CLEO FIELDS

HON. JUANITA MILLENDER-McDONALD

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, September 26, 1996

Ms. MILLENDER-McDONALD. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to thank my colleague, the gentleman from Illinois, for yielding time to me to honor one of this body's most distinguished gentleman, the honorable CLEO FIELDS from the 4th district of Louisiana.

While it pleases me to pay tribute to my dear friend, it saddens me to know that the reason I am here is because of an arbitrary rule change. My son Keith, who is about CLEO's age, tells me, "Mom, you have to be a student of the game", the game being sports.

Over the years I've read a sports page or two. And in my readings I have found that whenever we African-Americans began to excel at a particular sport, there is a "rule change". When Lou Alcindor—also known as Kareem Abdul-Jabbar—began playing college basketball, the NCAA outlawed the slam dunk—a rule change. When Wilt Chamberlain scored more than 100 points in one night, the NBA had a rule change. When Willie Brown became the most powerful speaker ever to preside over the California State Assembly, there was a rule change. And when the Nation's youngest State senator was elected to serve in this body, the most deliberative body in the world, there was a rule change.

These rule changes indicate one thing to me: The struggle has not been ended. There are battles to be fought and wars yet to be won.

It has truly been a pleasure to serve with CLEO on the Small Business Committee. I only wish that we could have served together longer. I have never seen a young man who was so wise beyond his years. He participated in some of the great debates of our committee. He brought clarity to the issues and always answered the call to defend the rights of minority and disadvantaged businesses.

At a time when more of our young black males are in jail than in our universities, we can look to the CLEO FIELDS' of this Nation and know that there is hope. When his son, Cleo Brandon Fields, looks for a role model, we know that his father, CLEO FIELDS, will be there.

As a mother, I am proud to say that I know this young giant, CLEO FIELDS. As a member of the Congressional Black Caucus, I will remember his service and his sacrifice. As an African-American, I will remember that the struggle is not over. And while the rules may change—and change often—we are still in the game.

Godspeed to you, CLEO FIELDS. And may His blessings follow you, Deborah, and Brandon in all of your future endeavors.

PROBLEMS WITH EPA'S IMPLEMENTATION OF CLEAN AIR ACT SECTION 183(e)

HON. GARY A. CONDIT

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, September 27, 1996

Mr. CONDIT. Mr. Speaker, as part of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments, Congress

mandated that EPA examine the Volatile Organic Compounds [VOC's] emissions from various consumer and commercial products for the sole purpose of determining which of these VOC emissions contribute to ozone levels which violate the national ambient air quality standard for ozone. After this determination was made, EPA was to list those categories of consumer or commercial products that the Administrator determined, based on the study, accounted for at least 80 percent of the VOC emissions, on a reactivity-adjusted basis, from consumer or commercial products in areas that violate the ozone standard. At that time, the Administrator was to divide the list into 4 groups establishing priorities for regulation based on the criteria established in this law. Every 2 years after promulgating such list, the Administrator is to regulate one group of categories until all 4 groups are regulated.

EPA has recently proposed a rule under Clean Air Act Section 183(e), the law I just described, that would limit the VOC content of paints and coatings. In doing so, EPA has violated not only the letter and intent of this law, but also the intent of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act [SBREFA], an act that we overwhelmingly passed to protect small businesses from draconian rules such as the one EPA is now proposing. I have been made aware that the overwhelmingly negative impact of this rule will fall predominantly on the shoulders of small paint manufacturers, those who are the least able to bear this burden, the very result we passed SBREFA to avoid.

Clean Air Act Section 183(e) directs EPA to follow certain steps in regulating the emissions of VOC's from consumer and commercial products. The act directs EPA to report to Congress after studying the reactive adjusted basis of emissions of various VOC chemicals from consumer and commercial products. This Report to Congress was supposed to determine the potential extent to which VOC emissions from paints and coatings, and other consumer and commercial products contribute to the exceedance of the ozone standard.

Clean Air Act Section 183(e) sets forth the specific criteria that EPA "shall" use in conducting this Report to Congress. These criteria are, in effect, a mini risk assessment/cost benefit mandate. Section 183(e) sets forth the specific criteria that EPA shall use in conducting this study: The uses, benefits and commercial demand of consumer and commercial products; the health or safety functions (if any) served by such consumer and commercial products; those consumer and commercial products which emit highly reactive VOC's into the ambient air; those consumer and commercial products which are subject to the most cost-effective controls; and the availability of alternatives (if any) to such consumer and commercial products which are of comparable costs, considering health, safety, and environmental impacts. It is important to note that the use of "shall" by Congress means that EPA has no discretion in altering, ignoring, or adding to this list.

After the completion of this study, EPA is to prioritize the regulation of consumer and commercial products, based on this study. "Upon submission of the final report * * * the Administrator shall list those categories of consumer or commercial products that the Administrator determines, based on the study, that account for at least 80 percent of the VOC emissions,