

the gentleman from Colorado, Mr. DAN SCHAEFER, that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 651.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. DAN SCHAEFER of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 651 and to insert extraneous material on the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

#### EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT IN WASHINGTON STATE

Mr. DAN SCHAEFER of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 652) to extend the deadline under the Federal Power Act for the construction of a hydroelectric project located in the State of Washington, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 652

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. EXTENSION OF DEADLINE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the time period specified in section 13 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 806) that would otherwise apply to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission project numbered 9025, the Commission shall, upon the request of the project licensee, in accordance with the good faith, due diligence, and public interest requirements of that section and the Commission's procedures under that section, extend the time period during which the licensee is required to commence construction of the project for not more than 3 consecutive 2-year periods.

(b) APPLICABILITY.—An extension under subsection (a) shall take effect for a project upon the expiration of the extension, issued by the Commission under section 13 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 806), of the period required for commencement of construction of the project.

(c) REINSTATEMENT OF EXPIRED LICENSE.—If the license for the project referred to in subsection (a) has expired prior to the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall reinstate the license effective as of the date of its expiration and extend the time required for commencement of construction of the project as provided in subsection (a) for not more than 3 consecutive 2-year periods, the first of which shall commence on the date of such expiration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. DAN SCHAEFER], and the gentleman from Texas, [Mr. HALL] each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Colorado, [Mr. DAN SCHAEFER].

(Mr. DAN SCHAEFER of Colorado asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DAN SCHAEFER of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 652, similar to H.R. 651, would authorize FERC to extend the deadline for the construction of the Hancock Creek Project, a 6-megawatt project in King County, WA, for up to three additional 2-year periods.

According to the project's sponsor, construction has not commenced for the lack of a power purchase agreement. There is a reason for the subcommittee to act as the construction deadline expires on June 21 of 1997. FERC has no objection to this bill, H.R. 652, and I would urge support for the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

(Mr. HALL of Texas asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, today again I rise in support of H.R. 652, also introduced by a fine young man, the gentleman from Washington, Mr. RICK WHITE. This bill simply allows the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to extend the construction deadline for the Hancock Creek project in King County, WA.

As the chairman stated, this is exactly like H.R. 651, a similar bill we just finished speaking in support of. H.R. 652 authorizes FERC to extend the commencement of the construction for the 6.3-megawatt project in Washington State for up to 6 years. With this extension, the hydroelectric project would have a full 10 years.

I strongly urge Members to vote in support of H.R. 652 and allow this project sufficient time to commence its construction.

Mr. DAN SCHAEFER of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume the gentleman from Washington [Mr. WHITE].

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, once again I thank the chairman and ranking member for bringing this bill forward. It is exactly like H.R. 651. They both should pass for the same reasons.

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. DAN SCHAEFER of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Colorado, Mr. DAN SCHAEFER, that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 652.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof), the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. DAN SCHAEFER of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legisla-

tive days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the bill, H.R. 652, and to insert extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

#### DESIGNATING THE RESERVOIR CREATED BY TRINITY DAM IN THE CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT, CALIFORNIA, AS "TRINITY LAKE"

Mr. DOOLITTLE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 63) to designate the reservoir created by Trinity Dam in the Central Valley project, California, as "Trinity Lake".

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 63

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. DESIGNATION OF TRINITY LAKE.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The reservoir created by Trinity Dam in the Central Valley project, California, and designated as "Clair Engle Lake" by Public Law 88-662 (78 Stat. 1093) is hereby redesignated as "Trinity Lake".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in any law, regulation, document, record, map, or other paper of the United States to the reservoir referred to in subsection (a) shall be considered to be a reference to "Trinity Lake".

(c) REPEAL OF EARLIER DESIGNATION.—Public Law 88-662 (78 Stat. 1093) is repealed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California [Mr. DOOLITTLE] and the gentleman from Hawaii [Mr. ABERCROMBIE] each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California [Mr. DOOLITTLE].

Mr. DOOLITTLE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this basically is a simple name change to relieve a lot of confusion surrounding the name of this particular reservoir. Everything else in the area is referred to as Trinity Dam or Trinity Power Plant. Making this Trinity Lake would relieve the confusion and would, frankly, enhance the efforts of the communities to appeal more to tourism, which is what they are hoping to do.

Mr. Speaker, I know of no opposition to this. Similar legislation passed the House in the last Congress, but the Senate took no action. This did not have any problem coming out of our committee, and I urge our colleagues to support the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ABERCROMBIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I note for the RECORD that Clair Engle was a distinguished member of the House of Representatives from California, and also a U.S. Senator, and that we recognize the practical reasons for this name change.

We also note that this action in no way diminishes the respect we have for