

long before the demise of the Soviet Union rendered the United States the sole remaining superpower, President Kennedy and many others recognized the dangers inherent in nuclear testing, and the many benefits of a test ban.

A test ban will curb the spread of nuclear weapons, helping to keep them out of the hands of rogue states and terrorists. A test ban will mean that children do not have to grow up in areas contaminated by nuclear explosions. A test ban will mean that money spent on maintaining test sites and running tests—hundreds of millions of dollars a year in the United States alone—could be spent on education, health, and other priorities of the American people. In short, a nuclear test ban will enhance the military, political, and economic security of our Nation. That's why President Clinton has signed and 158 countries in the United Nations have endorsed the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty. That is also why 80 percent of Americans are calling upon us to ratify it.

When President Kennedy began test ban negotiations 34 years ago, he was realistic about the challenges in negotiating with the Soviet Union. He said, "Our hopes must be tempered with the caution of history, but with our hopes go the hopes of all mankind." Today, Mr. President, history and hope are on our side. Now is the time to conclude the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty. Now is our chance to fulfill the hopes of all mankind.●

MICHIGAN'S 1997 BLUE RIBBON SCHOOLS

● Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I rise today in tribute of seven truly exceptional educational institutions in my State of Michigan. On Friday, May 23, the U.S. Department of Education announced the recipients of the 1997 Blue Ribbon School Awards. It gives me great pleasure to recognize today before my colleagues each of these schools and commend them on this prestigious award.

To be named a blue ribbon school is no small achievement; it requires the successful passage of a rigorous nomination and screen process. The Department of Education review panel evaluates as conditions of effective schooling the following: leadership; teaching environment; curriculum and instruction; student environment; parent and community support; and organizational vitality. The review panel also considers objective indicators of success, such as: Student performance on measures of achievement; daily student and teacher attendance rates; students' postgraduation pursuits; school, staff, and student awards; and high student retention-graduation rates.

Obviously, those select few schools afforded the status of Blue Ribbon Awards are more than deserving of the national attention that accompanies such an honor. I would like to take a

moment to individually recognize each of the Michigan elementary and middle schools, and the dedicated principals under whose leadership these schools have thrived, for entry into the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

Anna M. Joyce Elementary School, Detroit, MI, Mr. Leslie Brown, principal.

Brace-Lederle Elementary School, Southfield, MI, Dr. Bobbie K. Hentrel, principal.

Grand View Elementary School, Grandville, MI, Mr. Rich Doyle, principal.

Lincoln Park Elementary School, Norton Shores, MI, Ms. Tresea Goff, principal.

Pine Tree Elementary School, Lake Orion, MI, Mrs. Beverly Tepper, principal.

Roguewood School, Rockford, MI, Mrs. Sharon Bennett, principal.

Troy Union Elementary School, Troy, MI, Dr. Ronald J. O'Hara, principal.

Educating our children is no simple task, and everyone involved with the success of these blue ribbon schools deserves to feel a great sense of pride. On behalf of all my fellow Senators I extend to the staff, students, and parents of each of these communities my most sincere congratulations and best wishes for the even brighter future that awaits them.●

ESTATE TAX LAWS MUST BE REFORMED

● Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, I want Congress to act decisively to stop our estate tax laws from hindering the transfer of family businesses and family farms and ranches to the next generation. These family enterprises are the major creators of new wealth and new jobs in this country. Yet in far too many cases, our estate tax laws force the children and grandchildren who inherit a modestly sized family business to sell it, or a large part of it, to pay off huge estate taxes. I want our tax laws to assist the transfer of family enterprises so they can continue to generate jobs and new wealth. Instead our estate tax laws now hinder that transfer.

I've authored legislation in several Congresses to allow family farms, ranches, and other small family businesses to be passed along to the next generation without being loaded up with massive estate tax debt. The legislation I've introduced in this Congress increases the unified estate and gift tax exemption from \$600,000 to \$1 million. In addition, it provides a new \$1 million exclusion for family business assets. Together, my proposals would allow a family business, valued up to \$2 million, to be passed to the children and grandchildren to operate without any estate tax liability.

A number of my colleagues in the Senate share my concerns about estate taxes. In fact, I worked with a core group of Senators, including Senators

GRASSLEY, LOTT, NICKLES, and BAUCUS for several months this spring to develop a comprehensive, bipartisan estate tax relief bill. This effort led to the introduction of a bipartisan bill, called the Estate Tax Relief for the American Family Act of 1997 (S. 479), which includes a number of important provisions including proposals to increase the unified estate and gift tax exemption and to target additional support for family-owned and operated businesses. Most of the changes recommended in this legislation are long-overdue, and I will work with my colleagues to include them in revenue legislation this Congress.

I have decided to add my name as a cosponsor of S. 479 because I support the primary thrust and goals of this initiative. I want to send a reminder to those calling for tax cuts that estate tax relief for family businesses is not a partisan issue. It is important for the survival of our Nation's family businesses, and it should be included in the balanced budget tax relief package now being drafted in Congress.

Although I am adding my name as a cosponsor to signal a bipartisan desire to pass some estate tax relief, I do want to see one provision of this bill changed. The cut in the estate tax rate for estates in the \$2.5 million to \$11 million range is, I believe, excessive. I would prefer to use the money available for estate tax reduction for a larger exemption at the bottom rather than additional tax breaks at the top.

But I hope that when estate tax relief is enacted that the work we have done together will contribute to helping family businesses and family farms and ranches to be passed on to the children who will continue to operate them.●

THANKING THE LANGUAGE SERVICES SECTION OF THE CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE FOR ITS SUPPORT TO THE SENATE BANKING COMMITTEE

● Mr. D'AMATO. Mr. President, I rise today to thank the language services section of the Congressional Research Service for its support to the Senate Banking Committee in our inquiry into the disposition of heirless assets in Swiss banks, before, during, and after World War II. During the course of our inquiry thousands of pages of documentation have been examined as we have tried to establish the ultimate disposition of assets which were deposited in Swiss banks by Holocaust victims prior to World War II.

Hundreds of pages of these historical documents were written in various languages which dealt with extremely technical matters. It was imperative that the Banking Committee obtain accurate translations for these documents. The language services section never let us down.

I would especially like to recognize David Skelly who provided translation support in the German and French languages. Mr. Skelly worked with my