



United States  
of America

# Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 105<sup>th</sup> CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 143

WASHINGTON, THURSDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1997

No. 144

## Senate

The Senate met at 9 a.m., and was called to order by the President pro tempore [Mr. THURMOND].

### PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Lloyd John Ogilvie, offered the following prayer:

Almighty God, we are accountable to You. You have given us life, loved and guided us, and entrusted to us responsibilities to be assumed and done for Your glory. In all our ways, we will acknowledge You and You shall direct our paths.

Today, as we continue these "Character Counts" prayers and thank You for the pillar of character called responsibility, we praise You that You have given us minds to know Your thoughts, goodness to strengthen our emotions, and resoluteness to motivate our wills. The central purpose of our lives is to listen for Your commands and to obey with passion. Help us to do the best we can with all that we have, so that we may serve You with excellence.

Lord, You have given each of us a realm of responsibility. We are stewards of the blessings You have given us. All that we have and are is a gift from You to be used for the relationships You have given us. Help us to be generous and kind as we assume responsibility for loved ones, friends, people for whom we work or those who work for us.

Lord, help us never forget that we must account for how responsible we were to You in carrying out our responsibilities. Through our Lord and Saviour. Amen.

### RECOGNITION OF THE ACTING MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The able acting majority leader is recognized.

Mr. KEMPTHORNE. Thank you, Mr. President.

### SCHEDULE

Mr. KEMPTHORNE. Mr. President, this morning the Senate will be in a period of morning business to accommodate a number of Senators who have requested time to speak. At 11 a.m., under the previous order, the Senate will conduct a cloture vote on the modified committee amendment to Senate bill 1173, the ISTEPA reauthorization bill. Following that vote, the Senate will vote on passage of House Joint Resolution 97, the continuing resolution. Therefore, Members can anticipate two consecutive rollcall votes beginning at approximately 11 a.m. today. If cloture is not invoked at 11:00 a.m., a second cloture vote is expected to occur later in the afternoon. Hopefully, the Senate can make good progress on the highway legislation during today's session.

As a reminder to all Members, a third cloture motion was filed last evening in the event that cloture is not invoked during today's session. If needed, that vote will occur on Friday at a time to be determined later. In addition, if any appropriations conference reports become available, the Senate is expected to consider those reports in short order. Therefore, Members can anticipate rollcall votes throughout today's session of the Senate.

### MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SANTORUM). Under the previous order, there will now be a period for the transaction of morning business, not to extend beyond the hour of 11 a.m. with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 5 minutes each.

Mr. KEMPTHORNE. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call.

Mr. KEMPTHORNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

### ANN'S CAMPAIGN FOR A SAFER AMERICA

Mr. KEMPTHORNE. Mr. President, my children attend a high school in Fairfax County. It is a high school that has great diversity, great hope, great potential. It is a school that you might say is in some transition. The school has seen a great deal of improvement, has a great deal of camaraderie, a great spirit at this public high school. It is Mount Vernon High School. The teachers care, the parents care, the administrators care. My kids have made terrific friends at this school, friends that indeed will last a lifetime.

One student, Ann Harris, became one of my daughter's absolute best friends. They had morning period together. They had one book that they would share, they would make notes and they would pass it each day with the thoughts that they had in their heart and they would share it back and forth.

Ann Harris's father, Coleman, has been PTA president for 3 years. His wife, Jean, you could not ask for a better booster for that high school. They want to make sure that that high school is a safe place for kids, and they have done a terrific job.

March 29 of this year my wife and I were driving when the cell phone rang. I answered, and it was my daughter. I could tell that something was very wrong because of the anguish in her voice. She said, "Dad, when will you and Mom be home?" And I said we will be home very soon. Then my daughter started crying and she said, "Ann Harris is dead." And I said, "What?" And she said, "Ann is dead," and she continued to cry. I tried to ask her what in the world had happened, and she said she has been shot.

We later learned that she had been shot in a drive-by. So here is Coleman and Jean Harris, doing all they can as

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper containing 100% post consumer waste

S11001

parents, all that teachers and administrators can do to make sure that you have a safe school, a safe environment, and a safe neighborhood.

But here is the irony. That shooting did not take place in our neighborhood. It took place 3,000 miles away over spring break because of something going on in that community where some guy, for whatever reason, got offended and fired into the back of that automobile, ending the lovely life of a 17-year-old girl.

Ann Harris was a model student and a model citizen. She was an A student. She was an outstanding athlete, a great tennis player. She had been accepted to Purdue University. She is gone because somebody—somebody—just undertook a senseless and pointless act that extinguished the life of so much potential.

I can tell you that not just my daughter cried but a whole community has cried in mourning the loss of Ann Harris. I don't think there is a sweeter smile that I have seen on anybody than on the face of Ann Harris.

We talk about this today on the floor of the U.S. Senate because how many times throughout the United States in any of our communities do we pick up newspapers and find out that a young life has been extinguished because of some senseless, violent act? We read about it all the time.

In 1994, more than 2,600 juveniles between the ages of 10 and 17 were murdered. That is a rate of seven per day. One in five of these victims was killed by another juvenile. The number of juveniles arrested for violent crimes has increased 60 percent in the last 10 years. During that same time, murder arrests rose 125 percent. Our young people are the most frequent victims of violent crime. They are raped, robbed or assaulted at a rate five times higher than adults. The homicide rate for youths in the United States is 10 times higher than in Canada, 15 times higher than in Australia, 28 times higher than in France and Germany. This increase in juvenile crime has been linked to the increase in youth gang activity. Gangs are now present in all 50 States, in large cities, small cities, and in rural communities.

I think it is appropriate for the U.S. Senate to salute the life of Ann Harris and all of these young Americans that we have lost who have been senselessly killed for no reason.

At graduation this past June, they still called Ann's name, and her brother and sisters came across the stage to accept her diploma. Waiting on stage to meet each graduate were Coleman and Jean Harris. They hugged every student, just as they hoped that they would be hugging Ann on receiving her diploma.

May this tragic event somehow cause all of us to look around our own communities. With us today are Coleman and Jean Harris; Ann's high school principal, Calanthia Tucker; Fairfax County school board member, Kris

Amundson; members of the church, the pastor.

All of us today salute and celebrate the life of Ann Harris and the life of the young people that aren't with us. Let us, as parents and as adults, redouble our efforts. What have we done lately for our children and for our community? Have we gotten involved in our children's schools to make sure they are safe, that they are drug free? Have we demonstrated with organizations like Parents and Youth Against Drug Abuse that that is the right thing to be doing? Have you worked with local law enforcement agencies to develop safer neighborhoods and a support system?

Ann's parents have continued their efforts to promote safer schools in safer neighborhoods. They have started with what is called Ann's Campaign, "Ann's Campaign For A Safer America." The focus of the campaign is to help youth and adults live the kind of life exemplified by Ann, a life that radiated kindness, warmth and compassion for others. That describes Ann Harris.

In just a few months, Ann's Campaign has grown from a simple concept born of love to a national organization with a web site that offers encouragement, support, and information to interested persons. Ann's Campaign provides links to other support groups such as Mothers Against Violence in America and Students Against Violence Everywhere. Through this type of networking, the Harris's hope to promote a positive message to young people that together we really can build a better America and a safer America.

I send my sincere thoughts and prayers to the Harris family on their loss, my admiration and support for their effort to make our world a little bit better place to live. As the model of Ann's Campaign advocates, we need to encourage each other to smile more, to care more, to love more, and to be more understanding. If we save just one life, we have paid the finest tribute in the world to Ann Harris, and we can do so. This senseless loss of life of our young people must come to an end.

So while my heart is sad, it also celebrates. My family knew Ann Harris. All the kids at Mount Vernon High School knew Ann Harris, and for the rest of their lives they will know the joy that she brought to them, and through Ann's Campaign it can bring to others throughout the United States.

Mr. President, I referenced Ann's Campaign and the fact that they have a Web site. Anybody who wishes to access that, if they simply access "annscampaign.org," they would have access to that Web site. I acknowledge that Senator CHUCK ROBB of Virginia, whose alma mater is Mount Vernon High School, intends to be speaking on this issue today, too, as well as Senator SAM BROWNBACK of Kansas, who will be coming down and speaking on this issue.

I mentioned about the parents and all of us getting involved. I am very proud of my wife, who is now the President of the PTA of Mount Vernon High School. Now, it is with pleasure that I turn to my colleague from Idaho, the senior Senator from Idaho, Senator CRAIG, who has comments with regard to Ann Harris.

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I thank my colleague, Senator KEMPTHORNE, for taking out this time to reference what tragically has become all too common in America today—the loss of a beautiful person and the repercussion of that loss on the family of Coleman and Jean Harris. I must tell you, I did not know Ann, but I do know Coleman and Jean, the parents of Ann. I watched as the community around where Senator KEMPTHORNE and I live mourned the loss of this beautiful young girl and felt the tragedy of it all.

I don't know what we do about crime in America today. The statistics this morning were, as I drove in from the Mount Vernon area to our Nation's Capital, that the number of violent crimes is down in America. That is always positive and it is always good. When Ann left home here in northern Virginia to go to Tacoma, WA, with her friends to see friends, she did not expect to be treated violently or to become involved in a violent episode, because the perpetrator of the incident that killed Ann Harris broke the law.

So is the answer today adding more laws to the books? It really doesn't seem to be. What Coleman and Jean Harris are doing today may well be a piece of an answer that allows citizens of this country not only to express themselves, but to recognize that this is a people problem that we are dealing with today, that it is a societal problem in our country, that stacking laws upon laws that people refuse to live by, if they decide to constantly be a breaker of the law, doesn't solve the problem.

Now, when I came to work yesterday morning, I was involved in the standard traffic gridlock that oftentimes we become involved in in this immediate metropolitan area. There were times when my temper flared and I thought, why should this happen? Yet, I calmed myself and relaxed as much as I could to cope, so that I would not misjudge or cause a bad action. Certainly that kind of reaction, or whatever may have caused a reaction that caused the death of Ann Harris, is something that I think we all need to deal with. Thank goodness, the parents of this beautiful girl have said, "We are going to do something about it. In the name of Ann Harris, Ann's Campaign, we are going to do something about it."

They have not approached Senator KEMPTHORNE and me and said we want more laws. What they have said is, "We want a campaign nationwide that recognizes that if you smile more and care more and you love more and you have more understanding and you bring back to the culture of this society

some of those underpinnings that kept us whole and kept a human relationship going for so long that seems to have broken down, that may have caused the death of Ann Harris, and certainly does cause deaths around the country in drive-by shootings and those kinds of things that just seem to be baseless types of crimes, that our society can, by these actions and by this action of the Harrises, become a better and a safer place to live. That is what we must all dedicate a part of our time to.

DIRK KEMPTHORNE and I are lawmakers, and we could probably pass another law. Certainly, in the passion and emotion of these kinds of incidents happening, all of us want to reach out and do something about it and do it quickly. Well, this Senate and this Congress, for the last decade, has passed a lot of laws that deals with violent actions of our citizens. Yet, somehow we are told by sociologists today that we must prepare ourselves for a very violent generation of juveniles. While adult crime goes down, as I referenced, juvenile crime seems to go up. I suspect that when society as a whole does what Coleman and Jean Harris are now doing on behalf of the beautiful daughter they lost, and more and more citizens speak up and become involved, and our communities and our churches and all of the institutions of our society bind together in intolerance of this kind of activity, that we will once again become a safer place to live.

So let me thank my colleague again for this time and this recognition. We must continue to use any pulpit we can to speak out, and certainly the Harrises have. They have every reason to. I applaud them for their action and want to be a part of it where I can be as I ask other citizens to in the name of Ann and Ann's Campaign so that we can all smile a little more in a less violent society.

Thank you, Mr. President.

Mr. KEMPTHORNE addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Idaho.

Mr. KEMPTHORNE. Mr. President, I thank the Senator from Idaho for his very thoughtful comments that he made this morning and for the sincerity by which I know he has delivered them.

I now, with a great deal of honor, yield to the Senator from Washington, Senator MURRAY, for her comments as well.

Mrs. MURRAY addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Washington.

Mrs. MURRAY. Thank you, Mr. President.

Mr. President, I stand with my colleagues from Idaho today out of anger, sadness, and commitment. A beautiful young woman, Ann Harris, was murdered in my State of Washington. She was murdered by a young man in a random act of violence as she rode in a car with her friends through a Tacoma neighborhood.

Her death is an outrage. We all should be furious. But the saddest comment is that to so many young people, Ann is simply another statistic. To too many she's just "another homicide." A 17-year-old girl is murdered by a young college football player. Our eyes just glaze at the headline and move on.

This time, her parents, her friends, my colleagues and I, and many individuals and organizations across America are not going to let her murder be only a small blip on the television screen. We will not forget her—or any of the other hundreds of children and young people murdered each year.

Her parents, Coleman and Jean, have organized "Ann's Campaign for a Safer America." Even in their tragic loss and profound grief, they pledged to themselves and their lost daughter that they would work to stop violence and stop our national indifference to it. Ann's Campaign's focus will be to encourage, motivate, educate, and help youth and adults alike to live the life Ann radiated—a life that said every day and in every way: smile more, care more, love more, and be more understanding. They will help us all focus on the good and learn to stop violence.

Mr. President, this is not about guns. This is about an attitude among too many young people "on the street" that violence is an acceptable alternative. We adults, we Members of Congress, must send the message to our kids and young adults that when someone is killed it will not pass by unnoticed. As adults we must let them know killing and maiming is appalling—and totally unacceptable.

To too many of them it is a quick news piece and it's gone. To too many of them it is "just another funeral." But to parents and family and friends it is a light gone out, a hope not realized, a life not fulfilled.

Mr. President, there is hope that we can make a change in the apathy of our young people. In addition to Ann's parents, a friend of mine from Mercer Island, Pam Eakes, formed an organization called Mothers Against Violence in America.

After hearing about one too many children who lost their lives to violence, she resolved to make a difference, to make kids think about their actions, to teach them empathy, to teach them nonviolence.

Mothers Against Violence also supports families of victims. There is nothing worse than a parent's loss of a child. They feel helpless, and often guilty, like they somehow are to blame for not giving their child full protection from all danger. They are innocent victims, too, and desperately need the support that only others who have suffered their loss can give.

I want to again offer my sincere condolences to Ann's parents. They are so brave to wage this war against apathy and indifference and for love and caring and understanding. Every time they discuss these issues, their own wounds are opened. I thank them and I

thank Pam Eakes and a member of my staff, Mary Glenn, and all of the mothers and fathers who have taken their grief and have woven it into a mission to change the world.

Mr. President, they cannot fight alone. We all must get involved and teach our children—and each other—that violence is unacceptable. We can make a difference by joining organizations like Mothers Against Violence or Ann's Campaign and working with them to teach and support. And we can start organizations across America to save our children from violence.

Young people can no longer believe that an angry action of one moment is only that. It is not just an action. It is murder. It is wrong and it will be punished. It is time to stop the violence.

I know that I will continue my personal fight against violence in America. And I urge all of our colleagues to join us in this campaign.

Thank you, Mr. President.

Mr. KEMPTHORNE. Mr. President, in listening to the Senator from Washington, we hear not only an effective Senator speak but we also hear a mother speak. I know of the beautiful children she has.

I commend all of the Senators who have spoken on this issue this morning.

Carved in granite behind me are words "In God We Trust."

Today, I just say thank God for Ann Harris. I can think of no finer tribute than for us here on the floor of the U.S. Senate to officially acknowledge Ann's Campaign as it goes nationwide because this lovely lady's life is going to continue to do wonderful things for this country.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

Mr. BROWNBACK addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kansas.

Mr. BROWNBACK. Mr. President, I rise today to pay tribute to Ann Harris and her memory.

The Harris family, who I have known for over 20 years, recently suffered the loss of their 17-year-old daughter, Ann. She was the innocent victim of a drive-by shooting.

It is a gross understatement to say that that moment changed their lives forever, but it certainly did exactly that. Confronted with such an atrocity, many people would have used the occasion to question the existence of evil in our society and to ask why such a horrible event could have happened to such an innocent person, and to simply ask the question of "Why? Why? Why? Why has our society become so crime-ridden? Why was such an innocent girl's life taken? Why Ann's life?"

Members have a picture of Ann at their desk. This is Ann's Campaign which they have launched.

When their daughter was shot, the Harris family had an occasion to ask just those same questions that I asked, but they did not ask just those questions. They went further and asked the deeper questions.

They realized that by turning this extraordinary incident, extraordinarily terrible incident—and also by us changing our ordinary incidents—events in our lives into true occasions of loving and of serving God, our communities, and one another, that we begin to change society, not to mention ourselves, for the better. And more importantly, we change them in a way that mitigates against the evil influences that have come to dominate many aspects of this culture.

The Harris family could have used the horrors of this world as an excuse to turn away from God, but, you know, they didn't. Instead, they turned to God and asked quietly, asked humbly, not why—but what? "What do you want us to do? What can we do to make the world a better place? What can we do to keep the memory of our daughter alive?"

Out of that question came a wonderful foundation dedicated to preserving the memory of the daughter the Harris family lost and to fighting the spread of violent crime in our society.

Ann's Campaign for a Safer America—that is what this card is—was established by Jean and Coleman Harris following the brutal death of their daughter. Ann's Campaign for a Safer America seeks to encourage, motivate, educate, and help youth and adults alike to live the life radiated like their daughter did—a life that said every day and in every way: smile more, care more, love more and be more understanding.

The Harris family is combating violence by combating the problems that often lead to violence. And I believe Ann's Campaign is a unique opportunity to help contribute to the restoration of our culture by directly combating the influences that denigrate and ultimately compromise our moral worth as a nation.

The Harris family has turned a horrible event into an occasion of enriching the community and the country. We too can turn the events of our lives, the extraordinary, the terrible, and the good, along with the ordinary, into occasions of remembering to help others, to serve and to love, and to ask the question: Not why, but what? What? What should I be doing? How should I serve?

So I am joined by my colleague, Senator KEMPTHORNE, and several others, in this privilege of highlighting Ann's Campaign that we note here today.

I have a tie on as well that has smiling faces of children from around the world. That was the Ann Harris who I knew. I even knew her while her mother was pregnant with her. She had just a delightful smile and was a joy of life that was taken brutally.

I applaud what the Harris family has done, taking that incident and turning it into something of: What can we say to our culture? How can we change? Not "Why?" But "What?" I applaud what they are doing. I ask and hope and encourage my colleagues to look at

this as a campaign that they can help in as well as other people from around this Nation.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

Mr. ROBB. Mr. President, last spring, a bright young Fairfax County high school senior was murdered while visiting friends in Washington State.

Ann Harris was an honor student, a student leader, a gifted athlete, and a member of the Virginia All-State Chorus. Although she didn't live to graduate from Mount Vernon High School—where I graduated over 40 years ago—she carried a 3.4-grade point average and had been accepted, early admissions, to Purdue University. Last spring, Ann had a future filled with unlimited possibilities.

This fall, as I know her family continued to struggle with their loss, many of her friends in Mount Vernon's Class of 1997 left home to attend the college of their own choice. But they left home with a chilling loss of innocence—the innocence of those who don't know what it's like to lose someone you care about to a senseless act of violence.

We want our young people to be safe. Safe in our schools. Safe in our homes. Safe on our streets. We want them to live and learn and contribute to our country.

Ann's family joins us in the gallery today. Let us take this time to recommit ourselves to working for a safer America for all our children. Ann Harris deserved a future limited only by the borders of her dreams. And her friends deserved the innocence of not knowing someone—when you're 17 years old—who loses their future to a senseless act of violence.

I will conclude by commending Ann's family for creating Ann's Campaign for a Safer America. This campaign encourages all of us to live life as their daughter would have lived—to "smile more, care more, love more and understand more." As the father of three daughters whose smiles have brightened many rooms, I thank you for your efforts.

Mr. INHOFE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent I be recognized as in morning business for 10 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### GLOBAL WARMING

Mr. INHOFE. Mr. President, today we will be having some conversation on the floor concerning the global warming treaty. I will make a few comments concerning that in that I am the chairman of the Clean Air Committee of the Environment and Public Works Committee. We have had extensive hearings on this. I will review just very briefly what we have learned from the hearing that we held in our subcommittee in the Environment and Public Works Committee insofar as global warming is concerned.

In July, the Environmental Committee had a hearing on the global climate

change treaty and we heard from five top scientists.

The conclusions I found were very interesting, particularly since last night when I watched Administrator Carol Browner talk about the scientific evidence that is conclusive concerning global climate change. That is not at all what we found in our hearing. We had five of the top scientists around. While there is a large body of scientific research, there is much controversy and disagreement in scientific facts being misrepresented by the administration and the press.

Four things that we came to a conclusion on were, No. 1, we don't know how much human activity has influenced the climate. One scientist before our committee said it could be as much as 6 percent.

Second, if you look at satellite data, we are not sure if there has been any global warming. We had a very interesting session that lasted more than an hour with viewing the satellites and what conclusions could come, and there was no conclusive evidence that there has actually been any global warming.

Three, even if we eliminate all man-made emissions, it may not have a noticeable impact on the environment, and the treaty may only eliminate emissions here in the United States and not in the entire world.

Four, when asked, all five scientists stated that we would not have the uncertainties understood by this December, when the administration plans on making a decision regarding the treaty.

Now, we found out yesterday that the President came and made his announcement. It is kind of interesting, Mr. President, because we passed a resolution on the floor of the Senate, by 95 to 0, that said we would reject any type of a treaty that came from Kyoto that didn't treat the developing nations the same as the developed nations. So the President came out with something where he is calling for a binding 30 percent reduction in emission levels by the year 2012. He calls this an important first step, with more reductions to follow.

As chairman of the Armed Services Readiness Subcommittee, I can tell you that this is going to have a profound negative affect on our ability to defend America, as the President stated yesterday that the military accounts for 43 percent of the Federal energy use. The Federal Government cannot reduce by 30 percent or more without significant cuts in the military. I think this equates to something like a 3 to 7 times greater cut than the Btu tax of 1993.

One of the things that bothered me more than anything else is the moving target that we are dealing with. In March of 1995 in a House Commerce Committee hearing, Congressmen DINGELL and SCHAEFER raised concerns that the new targets may not apply to all countries equally, and on behalf of

the administration, Mr. Rafe Pomerance, a Deputy Assistant Secretary of the State Department said, "Our goal, Mr. Chairman, is that all parties participate in this next round of negotiations. We want to see that all governments participate and help define the post-2000 regime."

One month later, the administration signed on to the Berlin Mandate to review the commitments made to reduce the greenhouse gases and adopt targets for further reductions. The conference differentiated between developed and developing nations. They signed on to this, totally at odds and contradicting the commitment made to the Congressmen.

In June 1996, Mr. Pomerance stated, "Are we going to agree to legally binding instrument in Geneva? No way." One month later, Under Secretary Wirth announced that the United States supported a legally binding emissions target.

I want to also say that this has not changed since September 1996. It is before the same Commerce Committee. Assistant Secretary of State Eileen Claussen told Congressman DINGELL and the committee that the United States would not be bound before we have completed the economic analysis and assessments. We have just learned that the administration's efforts to analyze the economic effects has failed. The models they used did not work, and we will not understand the effect on our nation's economy certainly before December.

The reason I am concerned about this is, there is a very interesting parallel between what they are trying to do in the absence of any scientific evidence in global climate change, which has a dramatic deteriorating effect on our ability to be competitive on a global basis and on the ambient air changes promulgated by this administration. We all know that, just about a year ago, Carol Browner came out and unilaterally suggested—and now has promulgated—the rule change to lower the ambient air standards in both particulate matter and in ozone. We find that during the various hearings that we have had that Mary Nichols, who is immediately under Carol Browner, said that the cost would be \$9 billion to put these standards in—the cost to the American people. At the same time, the President's Economic Advisory Committee said it was \$60 billion a year. The Reason Foundation estimated the costs between \$90 billion and \$150 billion. This would cost the average family of four some \$1,700 a year.

They talk about the deaths, and Carol Browner reused this yesterday. There would be 60,000 premature deaths. Those deaths were lowered by the EPA last November to 40,000; then in December to 20,000, and in April to 15,000. Then the scientist who discovered the mathematic mistake now says it's less than 1,000. In our committee, Mary Nichols admitted these regulations would not save any lives over the next 5 years.

I have watched how Carol Browner goes around and makes promises. She says to the mayors of America, "This isn't going to affect you." She says to the farmers, "This isn't going to affect you." She says to small businesses, "This won't affect you." To some of the parishes in Louisiana that were found to be out of attainment, she said, "This isn't going to make you do anything because the problem is for the neighboring State of Texas to the west; they are going to have to do this."

So, Mr. President, I only ask the question, why is this obsession taking place in the administration if there is no scientific justification on either global warming or ambient air standards? Why are they trying to do this in eroding our personal freedoms? I think probably the best way to answer that is to read an article in *Forbes* magazine, called "Watch Out For This Woman; The EPA's Carol Browner is exploiting health and the environment to build a power base."

If you read this article, Mr. President, it says:

If science isn't Browner's strong point, political tactics are. Her enemies can only envy the way the EPA uses the courts.

... For her part, Browner often dismisses as simple male chauvinism any criticism of her hardball tactics.

... She learned politics working on Gore's Senate staff, where she rose to be his legislative director before heading back to Florida to head the State environmental commission.

... She is an environmentalist zealot.

Mr. President, I know my time has expired. I ask unanimous consent that this article be printed in the *RECORD*.

There being no objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the *RECORD*, as follows:

[From *Forbes* magazine, Oct. 20, 1997]

CAROL BROWNER, MASTER OF MISSION CREEP  
(By Pranay Gupte and Bonner R. Cohen)

As the center of that enormous rent-seeking organization known as the federal government, Washington, D.C. has evolved its own vocabulary. There is, in bureaucratese, an innocent-sounding but insidious phrase: mission creep. Mark it well: Mission creep explains a lot about how big government grows and grows and grows.

Mission creep is to a taxpayer-supported organization what new markets are to a business organization. It involves a gradual, sometimes authorized, sometimes not, broadening of a bureaucracy's original mission. It is a way to accrete money and power beyond what Congress originally approved when it funded an agency.

Playing mission creep is an old game in Washington. But no one has ever played the game with more skill than Carol M. Browner, Bill Clinton's choice to head the Environmental Protection Agency.

From a modest beginning a quarter-century ago, the agency has grown to employ nearly 20,000 people and control an annual budget of \$7 billion. But these numbers are a poor measure of the agency's power: Because its regulations have the force of law, the agency can jail people, close factories and override the judgments of local authorities.

In its quest for power and money, the agency has imposed many unnecessary costs on American industry, and ultimately on the American people—costs that do more to sat-

isfy bureaucratic zeal than to clean the air or the water.

The EPA was established in 1970 by an executive order issued by President Richard M. Nixon. Rachel Carson, a patron saint of the environmental movement, had made a huge impact with her emotional tract, *Silent Spring*, a few years earlier.

The public was right to be alarmed. Industrialization has imposed hidden costs in the form of polluted air, despoiled streams, unsightly dumps and a general degradation of the landscape. Concerns about pollution could, of course, have been dealt with by existing agencies, but that is not the nature of American politics. Politicians must be seen to be doing something dramatic. Creating new agencies makes favorable waves in the media.

Nixon created a new agency. Pulled together from a hodgepodge of existing federal programs, the EPA never had a congressional charter that would have defined its regulatory activities. It was simply given the task of carrying out the provisions of what, over time, became 13 environmental statutes, each with its own peculiarities and constituencies.

Without perhaps fully comprehending the issues, Nixon made the new EPA the instrument for a tremendous power grab by the federal government. Most environmental problems—chemical spills, groundwater contamination, abandoned dump sites—are purely local in nature. But suddenly they were federal matters. In the name of a greener, cleaner Earth, Washington mightily increased its power to intervene in the daily lives of its citizens. It was a goal so worthy that few people saw the dangers inherent in it. Mission creep had begun.

In 1978 then-EPA administrator Douglas Costle cleverly shifted the focus of the agency. Henceforth the EPA would protect not just the environment but your health. "Costle became determined to convince the public that [the] EPA was first and foremost a public health agency, not a guardian of bugs and bunnies," wrote Mark K. Landry, Marc J. Roberts and Stephen R. Thomas in their book, *The Environmental Protection Agency: Asking the Wrong Questions from Nixon to Clinton*.

People do care about forests and wildlife, but they care much more about themselves and their families. There is a strong strain of hypochondria in the American people, and nothing grabs our attention faster than an alleged threat to our health. If the alleged threat involves cancer, it is almost guaranteed to make the six o'clock news. Costle shrewdly exploited cancerphobia to expand his agency's reach and to wring money from Congress. He launched the EPA on a cancer hunt, looking for carcinogens in foods and air and water, even in the showers we take.

Carcinogens, of course, abound in nature, ordinary sunlight being one of the most prevalent. So it is with many man-made substances. The exposure to background levels of these carcinogens is so minimal in most cases as to pose no serious threat in the overwhelming majority of cases. Never mind: EPA scientists, following the agency's cancer-risk guidelines, were soon ignoring the age-old admonition that the "dose makes the poison." If it was man-made and carried carcinogens, the EPA would root it out. As one EPA scientist explained it to *FORBES*: "At EPA, we're not paid not to find risks."

Under the mantra of "one fiber can kill," the EPA in the 1980s mounted a costly and probably self-defeating nationwide effort to rip asbestos out of schools. Simply sealing the substance would have kept the fibers away from kids at a fraction of the cost. But it would not have yielded the same harvest in headlines.

Even more than her predecessors—and possessing much greater resources—Carol Browner presents herself as the great family physician. “There isn’t a decision I make on any given day that’s not related to the health of the American people,” she tells FORBES. Browner, it’s worth noting, is a lawyer with no medical training.

After all, she reminds us, she’s the mom of a young boy. Attendees of Capitol Hill hearings snicker at her constant references to her son, Zachary, when she testifies on environmental issues. But she never misses a chance to repeat the message. “If we can focus on protecting the children . . . we will be protecting the population at large, which is obviously our job,” she tells FORBES.

Who said that was her job? Nobody, but that’s what mission creep is all about.

Last September Browner announced the release of a new EPA report setting forth a broad national agenda to protect children from environmental risks. She followed up the report with the creation earlier this year of the Office of Children’s Health Protection at EPA.

There was no congressional mandate, but Congress meekly went along by failing to challenge the agency’s justification of the program. Who would want to face reelection accused of being callous toward children? Especially when the EPA’s kept researchers stand by ready to produce scare studies on EPA money (see box, p. 172).

Where most agency chiefs tremble at criticism from Congress, Browner has a platform from which she can counterattack. An EPA-funded newsletter was recently distributed by the National Parents Teachers Association. At the time an internal EPA memo noted: “The PTA could become a major ally for the Agency in preventing Congress from slashing our budget.” Thus does Browner’s EPA use taxpayer money to fight efforts to trim the federal budget.

On Mar. 15, 1995 David Lewis, an EPA scientist attached to the agency’s laboratory in Athens, Ga., was told by his supervisor that EPA employees with connections to members of Congress should use their influence to sway lawmakers against a bill proposed by Representative Clifford Stearns (R-Fla.)—if it could be done “without getting into trouble.” Stearns’ bill would have reduced funding for EPA. The scientist later said in a deposition: “We were being asked to do this during government business hours, and the purpose was to protect EPA funding levels.” This request on the part of high-level EPA officials to lobby Congress on government time is under investigation by the House Government Reform and Oversight Committee.

Had this been a Republican administration and had the department involved been other than the EPA, one can imagine the outcry in the media.

Asked about the growing criticism of her tactics, Browner blatantly ducks the question with: “This isn’t about me. It never has been about me. It’s about the air being cleaner. Is the water going to be safer? It’s about business going to be able to find a better solution to our environmental problems.”

It’s really about politics. When supportive lawmakers ask to borrow EPA experts for their staffs, the EPA hastens to comply. Requests from liberal Democrats almost always are filled, those from Republicans rarely. A request by Representative Richard Pombo (R-Calif.) for an EPA detailee was rejected on Jan. 2, 1997 on the grounds that “new procedures” were being written. Less than four weeks later (Jan. 28), a similar request from liberal Democrat Representative Charles Rangel of New York was approved, without reference to any “new procedures.”

Since 1995 her office has approved all requests for employee details to four Democratic lawmakers—Senator Frank Lautenberg (D-N.J.), Senator John Kerry (D-Mass.), Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan (D-N.Y.) and Rangel. Of the four GOP requests, three were rejected.

Browner was at her politically impressive best in this summer’s debate over the EPA’s tougher clean air standards. Because air quality levels have improved markedly since passage of the Clean Air Act amendments of 1990, it was widely hoped—especially in areas that badly need new jobs—that the standards would not be further tightened. The EPA’s own data showed that levels of the particulates have dropped dramatically over the past decade. Many local governments, anxious for jobs and economic development, were looking forward to being removed from the list of so-called nonattainment areas for ozone and particulate matter, or PM.

In July the EPA finalized new tighter standards for ozone and PM. For communities that had made expensive efforts to comply with the current law, the higher standards were like a baseball player, having rounded third base and heading toward home, being told he had to circle the bases again to score.

A good many congresspeople were outraged. Browner’s insistence on imposing the new standards in the face of nothing more than scanty scientific evidence unleashed howls of protest from elected officials in the affected communities.

Legally, Browner was probably in the right. In its haste to seem to be attending to the environment, Congress failed to exert control over EPA standards and regulations.

There was nonetheless quite a donnybrook, with veteran Democrat John Dingell of Michigan leading the charge against Browner. A lot of jobs were at stake in Michigan, still headquarters of the U.S. auto industry. Congress, he insisted, should be consulted. Dingell was not alone.

With lots of support from Vice President Al Gore’s office, Browner went to work putting down the congressional revolt. Her testimony before Congress was, by general agreement, brilliant, though her facts were often shaky.

Until then, Bill Clinton had remained on the sidelines. But Browner maneuvered the President into a corner, where he faced the politically embarrassing choice of supporting her controversial initiatives or disavowing his outspoken EPA administrator. Clinton then got to the head of the parade by declaring his support for Browner. The game was over. Browner 1, Congress 0.

If EPA’s new standards survive congressional and legal challenges, state and local governments will have to devise elaborate State Implementation Plans, or SIPs, detailing their strategies for complying with the agency’s latest regulatory diktat. And in accordance with the Clean Air Act, it will be up to the EPA to approve or disapprove the SIPs. The estimated cost of compliance with the new standards for the Chicago area alone is projected to be between \$3 billion and \$7 billion.

“I wish we never had that fight with Congress,” she tells Forbes. “I wish it could have been avoided. I think it came at great expense to the country. I think it was very unfortunate.” Note the implication: The way it could have been avoided was for Congress to avoid challenging her.

You can admire Browner’s skill and still be appalled by what she is doing. “This is by far the most politicized EPA I’ve seen in my three decades of working in state governments,” says Russell J. Harding, director of Michigan’s Department of Environmental Quality. “It is an agency driven more by sound bites than by sound science.”

Says Barry McBee, chairman of the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission: “EPA continues to embody an outdated attitude that Washington knows best, that only Washington has the capability to protect our environment. States are closer to the people they protect and closer to the resources and can do a better job today.”

As a weapon to humble the state regulatory bodies, Carol Browner’s EPA has embraced the politically correct concept of “environmental justice.” This broadens EPA’s mandates even beyond protection of everyone’s health.

In early 1993 Browner set up the Office of Environmental Justice within EPA which, among other things, passes out taxpayer-funded grants for studying the effects of industrial pollutants on poorer, mostly black, communities. In 1994 the White House supported this initiative by ordering federal agencies to consider the health and environmental effects of their decisions on minority and low-income communities.

That’s the rhetoric. The reality is that the federal agencies have a new weapon for overruling state agencies. Browner’s EPA recently delayed the approval of a \$700 million polyvinyl chloride plant to be built by Japanese-owned Shintech in the predominantly black southern Louisiana town of Convent. Louisiana’s Department of Environmental Quality had already given the go-ahead; the plant would have created good-paying jobs and opportunities in an area suffering from 60% unemployment and low incomes. But the EPA argued that blacks would suffer disproportionately from potentially cancer-causing emissions of the plant in an area already lined with chemical factories of all descriptions.

Louisiana Economic Development Director Kevin Reilly was enraged. “It is demeaning and despicable for these people to play the race card,” he says, pointing out that poor people and blacks would have gained economically and were at little health risk. The scientific evidence bears Reilly out: A recent article in the Journal of the Louisiana Medical Society found that cancer incidence in the area is in most cases no higher than nationally.

But never mind the facts: This kind of decision has less to do with science than with power politics. It delivers the message: Don’t mess with the EPA. “Carol Browner is the best hardball player in the Clinton Administration,” says Steven J. Milloy, executive director of The Advancement of Sound Science Coalition in Washington, a longtime critic of EPA who acknowledges receiving funding from industry. “She has the 105th Congress completely intimidated by her debating skills and her sheer grasp of facts, however questionable. She eats their lunch.”

Like many Clintonites, Browner takes her own good time about responding to congressional requests for EPA documents. When word got out that EPA was developing a series of proposals for reducing U.S. emissions of man-made greenhouse gases, the House Commerce Committee asked for a copy. The EPA ignored the request for two years.

When the proposals were leaked to the committee late last week, it was immediately clear why EPA had stiffed Congress. The document was loaded with proposals for raising taxes to pay for new EPA initiatives. Produced in the agency’s Office of Policy, Planning & Evaluation and dated May 31, 1994, EPA’s “Climate Change Action” recommends a new 50-cent-per-gallon gasoline tax, with an estimated cost to motorists of \$47 billion in the year 2000 alone. Seven other tax increases were recommended: a “greenhouse gas tax,” a “carbon tax,” a “btu tax,” an “at-the-source ad-volorem tax” on the value of the fuel at the source of extraction,

an "end-use ad valorem tax" on the value of the fuel at the point of sale, a "motor fuels tax" on the retail price of gasoline and diesel, an "oil import fee." Also recommended: A new federal fee on vehicle emissions tests of \$40 per person to "shift the cost of vehicle inspection from the state to the vehicle owner."

How could they hope to get so many new taxes through a tax-shy Congress? The "Climate Change Action Plan" contains repeated references to how each of the above taxes and fees can be imposed under existing laws. Talk about taxation without representation.

It's not entirely surprising that Browner and her crew think in terms of government-by-edict. Browner's extraordinary power is in many ways a consequence of Congress' delegation of its lawmaking power to the EPA. It has let the agency micromanage environmental activities throughout the nation with little regard for either local wishes or the cost. This negligence has permitted the agency to ignore scientific data that conflict with agency orthodoxy. The EPA is in many ways becoming a state within the state.

"This is Washington at its worst—out-of-touch bureaucrats churning out red tape with reckless abandon. The EPA hasn't taken into account an ounce of reality," says Representative Fred Upton (R-Mich.), a frequent critic, referring to the new clean air rules.

If science isn't Browner's strong point, political tactics are. Her enemies can only envy the way the EPA uses the courts. An organization such as the Natural Resources Defense Council will go into federal court and sue to force the EPA to do something. The EPA will wink and, after the courts expand its mandate, see to it that big legal fees go to the NRDC.

Mission creep, in short, takes many forms and its practitioners have many ways to expand the public purse.

For her part, Browner often dismisses as simple male chauvinism any criticism of her hardball tactics. "I think sometimes that it's an issue of men and women," she says, coyly.

Such cute demagoguery aside, there is no doubting Browner's sincerity. She is an environmentalist zealot. She was clearly behind the decision to tighten the clean air standards to what many people regard as unreasonable levels. If not a tree-hugger she is philosophically close to Al Gore and his quasi-religious environmentalism.

After graduating from University of Florida law school, Browner (both of whose parents were college teachers) went to work for a Ralph Nader-affiliated consumer advocate group. There she met her husband, Michael Podhorzer, who still works there.

She learned politics working on Gore's Senate staff, where she rose to be his legislative director before heading back to Florida to head the state environmental commission.

After the EPA, what's next for this tough and aggressive politician? If Al Gore's presidential hopes aren't dashed by the fund-raising scandals, there's vice presidential slot on the Democratic ticket up for grabs in 2000. A female environmentalist and mother of a young boy would do a lot to bolster Gore's otherwise soggy appeal.

In a statement to Forbes, Gore went so far as to try to claim for Browner some of the credit for the current economic prosperity. "She has helped prove," he declares, "that a healthy environmental and a strong economy are inextricably linked."

If not a vice presidential run, what? Could Browner be nominated by the Clinton Administration to be the next head of the United Nations' environment program? Or would the Administration nominate her as the new

U.N. Deputy Secretary General? Either position would give Browner instant international visibility, which couldn't hurt her political prospects in Washington.

One way or another, you are going to be hearing a lot more about Carol M. Browner; whenever you do, it's unlikely to be good news for business—and it may not even be good news for the environmental.

Mr. FORD addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky.

Mr. FORD. Mr. President, I believe that we have 30 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. There are 30 minutes under the control of the Senator from North Carolina [Mr. FAIRCLOTH] and the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. FORD].

Mr. FORD. Mr. President, the Senator from North Carolina is here. So with your permission, we will proceed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky is recognized.

Mr. FORD. I thank the Chair.

(The remarks of Mr. FORD and Mr. FAIRCLOTH pertaining to the introduction of S. 1310 are located in today's RECORD under "Statements on Introduced Bills and Joint Resolutions.")

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BROWNBACK). The Senator from Nebraska is recognized. There will now be 35 minutes under control of the Senator from Idaho [Mr. CRAIG] and the Senator from Nebraska [Mr. HAGEL].

#### THE GLOBAL CLIMATE TREATY

Mr. HAGEL. Mr. President, yesterday the President of the United States announced the United States negotiating position on the U.N. global climate treaty. Some have called the President's position a compromise. I would say that is the case only if you define compromise as an action that would have devastating consequences for the United States without any meaningful progress toward the overall goal.

This is how an editorial in Investors Business Daily defined the President's proposal yesterday morning. This doesn't make any sense. "Signing a treaty that hobbles U.S. growth getting no environmental payoff in return." Now, here is what does make sense. "Listening to science rather than overheated rhetoric and acting on the basis of real events, not computer models."

The President's announcement follows along the same lines of what this administration has been pushing in international circles for years. No matter how he wraps his package, the President is still talking about making the United States, our businesses, our people, subject to legally binding international mandates while letting more than 130 nations off the hook. Most important for this body, the U.S. Senate, is how does the administration's position stack up against the Byrd-Hagel resolution which passed this body in July by a vote of 95 to zero? The Clinton administration's position announced yesterday falls woefully short on all counts.

The President obviously realizes this since he stated yesterday that America cannot wait for the U.S. Senate on this issue. The President said:

I want to emphasize that we cannot wait until the treaty is negotiated and ratified to act.

This flies in the face of the Constitution and the powers it gives to the U.S. Senate to give approval for the ratification of treaties. Why does the President's proposal fall short? Regarding participation by the developing nations, the Byrd-Hagel resolution states very clearly that no treaty will get the support of the U.S. Senate unless, and I read from the Byrd-Hagel resolution, " \* \* \* unless the protocol or agreement also mandates new specific scheduled commitments to limit or reduce greenhouse gas emissions for developing country parties within the same compliance period."

That is very clear. I noted some of my colleagues yesterday, and others, have said what the President proposed yesterday is in full compliance with Byrd-Hagel. I strongly recommend to those colleagues who actually believe that, that they go back and read the Byrd-Hagel resolution. It is only five pages long. It is not legal. It is very clearly understood by everyone.

What this means also is that support of the U.S. Senate is contingent upon China, Mexico, India, Brazil and the other 130 developing nations committing to specific limitations on greenhouse gas emissions within the same time period as the United States and the other industrialized nations. Anything less, anything less than this, what is clearly defined in the Byrd-Hagel resolution put forward by the U.S. Senate, is not in compliance and it is the U.S. Senate that will have the final say on any treaty signed by the administration in Kyoto, Japan, in December.

At the same time President Clinton was calling for "meaningful participation"—those were his words—meaningful participation by the developing countries, at the same time he was saying that, this is what his negotiator in Bonn, Germany, Ambassador Mark Hambley, was saying in a prepared release. "In our view," said Ambassador Hambley, the President's negotiator in Bonn Germany this week—"In our view, this proposal is fully consistent with the Berlin mandate—it imposes no new substantive commitments on developing countries now. Instead, it calls for such obligations to be developed following the third conference of the parties" in Kyoto in December.

I think that is rather clear, what Ambassador Hambley said: That the Third World, the developing nations, would not be called upon for any commitments, any obligations in this treaty. It is obvious that this administration has no intention of ensuring that the developing countries have to meet the same obligations as the United States.

What about the second condition of the Byrd-Hagel resolution, which stated the Senate would not ratify a treaty that would cause serious economic harm to the United States? Most of the economic impact studies are based exactly on what the President proposed yesterday, in terms of timetables, targets, reducing emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2010, and excluding the developing nations from any binding limitations of greenhouse gases. The President's own analysis shows that this will require a 30-percent cut in projected energy use by the year 2010.

So, we are going to cut our energy use, between now and the year 2010, by 30 percent; at the same time the administration says we don't have an economic analysis to really understand what economic impact this might have on our economy, on jobs. After a year and a half of the administration promising to me and others in both the House and the Senate that they would come forward with an economic model and economic analysis showing that there would be no harm to our economy, they have now said: Well, economic models don't mean anything. But we are going to surge forward and sign that treaty having no understanding whatsoever of what it might do to our economy, to jobs.

I have seen studies, I have seen economic models and analyses done by the AFL-CIO, done by independent economists, done by business, done by industry, done by the agriculture industry, farmers, ranchers. The results are not good. Here is what these studies have shown: Job losses in the millions for this country, lower economic growth in this country meaning a lower standard of living and less opportunities for all Americans, energy rationing. What the Clinton administration is talking about is the rationing of energy use in the United States.

Remember the gas lines the last time this country rationed energy use in the 1970's? I remember them very well. Energy taxes—I know the administration has said we don't think this is going to require any taxes. We are not sure, but we will kind of get going, sign that treaty and bind the United States to these commitments, and allow an international body to enforce and police and administer it. Maybe we will need more taxes, who knows, they say.

In an October 4 article in the Washington Times an unnamed Clinton administration official said that the President's proposal would raise energy taxes up to five times greater than the Btu tax the Clinton administration proposed back in 1993. That is devastating. That is devastating. Much of the State that I represent, Nebraska, is agricultural. Agriculture is an energy-intensive industry. When you start talking about raising taxes on energy five times greater than what President Clinton proposed in 1993, that will put literally thousands of farmers and ranchers and agricultural interests out of business. What I find incredible

about this is at the same time the President is asking for fast-track legislation because we are trying to do something about our deficit of payments, deficit in the balance of payments to China, to Japan, all the other areas of trade we are trying to pursue, what this would do is go the other way, make our products less competitive because they would cost more. Higher prices for all goods because of higher energy costs mean American goods cost more worldwide, making American products and services less competitive in the world market. And when you are allowing China and Mexico and Brazil and India, South Korea, and 130 other nations not to legally bind themselves to this, what do you think happens in the world marketplace? Our products cost more, our services cost more, and these other nations' economies will thrive as their products cost less. Does that put us in a stronger competitive position worldwide? I don't think so.

The real question is, for what? Why are we doing this? Why are we doing this? The nations that would be excluded, the over 130 nations that would be excluded from this treaty are the nations that will be responsible for 60 percent of the world's greenhouse gas emissions within the next 20 years. Not the United States, the nations that we are not asking to bind themselves to this treaty.

China, which has said very forcefully that it will never agree to legally binding emission limits, will be the largest emitter of greenhouse gases by the year 2015. By 2025, China will surpass the United States, Japan and Canada combined, as the greatest emitter of greenhouse gases in the world. Yet we are not asking them to sign up to any legally binding mandate to do something about their greenhouse gas emissions. So how can any treaty that exempts these 134 nations be at all effective in reducing global greenhouse gas emissions? It will not. This is folly. This is feel-good folly. It makes great press, but it is insane that we would bind our Nation to this kind of folly and allow these other nations to go untouched.

What President Clinton proposed yesterday is for the American people to bear the cost and suffer the pain of a treaty that will not work. That is the legacy, or more appropriately the lunacy he would leave to the children of America. I have always said that this debate is not about who is for or against the environment. That is not the debate. We are all concerned about the environment. We are concerned about the environment we leave to our children and our grandchildren, our future generations. But let's use some common sense here. Let's use some American common sense.

Mr. President, in its present form, this treaty will not win Senate approval. We can do better. We must do better. Our future generations are counting on us to do better. Let's bring

some balance, some perspective and some common sense to this issue and do it right.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

Mr. CRAIG addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Idaho.

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I join with my colleague, the Senator from Nebraska, this morning to speak out against the proposal that our President yesterday announced to the Nation and to the world as it relates to this country's concept of how the world ought to be when it comes to the issue of global warming.

But first let me thank the Senator from Nebraska for the leadership role he is taking on behalf of a very large bipartisan coalition of Senators in bringing clarity to this issue and demonstrating what is a clear opposing point of view, an opposing point of view based on science, an opposing point of view based on economics and an opposing point of view based on one of the largest coalition-building efforts I have witnessed, at least in my public life, between labor and business and public officials in this country.

The Senator spoke out very clearly this morning on the discrepancy as it relates to what our President announced yesterday compared to what the Hagel-Byrd resolution that was adopted by the Senate some months ago spoke to. That was, if we are to enter an agreement, that agreement must be, by its definition, a world agreement, that all parties involved, that is, all nations of the world, must come together in recognition of what may or may not be an environmental problem.

I am disappointed that the President of the United States, clearly recognizing the constitutional obligation of this body, chose largely, yesterday, in his proposal, to ignore us. While he gave us lip service and while his spokespeople have given us lip service over the last several months since the almost unanimous adoption of the Hagel-Byrd resolution, I must tell you that what our President laid down for his negotiators in Bonn yesterday is not reflective of what he has been saying or what his people have been saying.

To the parliamentarians of the world, it is important that you understand that we are not a parliament and the President is not a prime minister. He does not speak for the majority of the U.S. Congress. He speaks for himself and for what I believe to be a narrow interest of people whose agendas take them well beyond just the concept of a better environment, but to a desire to do some industrial or economic planning nationwide, if not universally, all without any reliance whatsoever on the good judgment of the American consumer and/or the free market that this country has relied on since its very beginning.

"Serious harm," those are important words. Those are words that the Hagel-

Byrd resolution spoke to, "serious harm to the U.S. economy." Important words, simple words, easy to understand, a relatively small measurement and threshold to be understood by anyone negotiating a treaty that, in the long term, might bind this country in an international obligation.

We will not, nor should we, seriously harm our citizens, the economy in which they live, and the opportunities for which they strive. And yet, the President, we believe, ignored that and talked about the need for catastrophic emissions reductions by the year 2012. Mr. President, 2012. A long time off? No, not really; clearly within my lifetime, clearly within everybody's reasonable imagination, and something that if you are to accomplish a 30-percent reduction of fossil fuel emissions off from the current path, then you must start now in significant ways to change that and alter it. It is something that you do not wait until you get out to 2008 and then you say, "Oh, my goodness." Because if we are to be responsible in relation to a negotiated treaty, a "binding" relationship by that point would draw us into a situation that we could not meet, or, if we chose to meet it, we would truly handicap the economy of this country.

This Senator will not vote to make our country and its citizens second class to the rest of the world. I cannot nor will I do that nor do I believe any Senator in this body will knowingly vote in that way. Yet, the President is proposing that we allow 130 economies, 130 nations of the world, be exempt, to be able to do anything they choose while we would choose to restrict and control ourselves.

Mr. President, we are a nation today that is proud of its environmental legacy. We have moved faster and more directly in the last two decades to improve the environment in which our citizens live than any other nation of the world, and we have paid a big price for it. But we have been willing to pay it. We have been willing to pay it and able to pay it because we are a rich nation. Rich nations move to do things to clean up their environment. Poor nations simply cannot afford to. They are too busy trying to feed themselves, clothe themselves and put shelters over the heads of their citizens. All of those items in this country are secondary considerations because we take them for granted, because we are rich, and we are rich because of a free-market system unfettered by Government rule and regulation and, in my opinion, by the silly politics that this administration perpetrates today on faulty science or certainly a lack of science or a knowledge of what all of this means.

I have to say, in all fairness, the President gave some reasonable suggestions for conservation, and there is no question we ought to create the kind of incentives within our economy that move our citizens, and the economy that drives us, toward conservation. That is fair and that is reason-

able, and we could assume a better world with all of that in mind.

But the thing that frustrates me most is that there is emerging out of all of the current negotiations a reminder that the developing world is saying something to us that is most significant, and I am not sure that our President is listening at this moment. They are, in essence, saying, and when they laid down their position on the table in Bonn on October 22, that developing countries are demanding reductions of 35 percent below 1990 levels of emissions and that fines be assessed against the United States and the other developed nations if those targets are missed. They want global warming gas reductions, but guess who is supposed to pay for it? Not the consumers of the developing world, but us rich Americans. Rich Americans are supposed to pay for any economic inconvenience the developing world would encounter because we are foolish enough to agree to impose these kinds of reduction targets on ourselves.

I am sorry, Mr. President, I don't buy that, the American consumer is not about to buy it, nor do I believe the U.S. Senate will.

So in 10 to 14 years, at about the time that the baby boomers are retiring and our Social Security system is challenged, at about the time when we are once again going to have to make tough decisions in this country about our social character and the economics that drive our social well-being, the President yesterday said we are going to lay yet a bigger burden on the economy; we are going to say that you are going to have to be at a certain level of emissions reductions and, if not, we are going to take drastic measures to drive up the cost of energy, to drive down the amount of consumption, and that's what we are prepared to do based on faulty science and interesting politics.

I suggest, Mr. President, that what you have proposed to the world and to the Nation and to this Congress is unacceptable. It certainly appears to be unacceptable at this moment to the U.S. Senate and to all who have spent any time studying the critical issue of global warming.

While this Nation will continue to strive for a cleaner world—and it should—and a cleaner nation and will be reasonable and responsible players, we expect the rest of the world to do the same. But we can also understand that where a nation tries to feed itself and clothe itself and cause its citizens, by the economy in which they live, to rise to a higher standard of living, we understand that we have had that privilege and opportunity over the years and we should not restrict nor should we cause them to achieve anything less.

Our technology can assist, and we need to be there to help. But I suggest, Mr. President, that binding obligations, no matter how far out you push them to allegedly conform with what our country believes ought to be done,

simply do not work. This proposal won't work. I agree with my colleague from Nebraska, this Senate, in my opinion, will not concur in this, will not agree to the kind of treaty that our President and his associates are attempting to cause the rest of the world to agree to.

So, Mr. President, I hope that you understand and I hope the world understands that this Senate, the Senate responsible for the ratification of these kinds of agreements, will, at this time, not ratify what you are proposing.

Mr. THOMAS addressed the Chair. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Wyoming.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. President, I join my colleagues for just a few moments with respect to the question that we are addressing this morning, that question of global warming, but more particularly the specifics with respect to it.

I am sure you already heard, but let me say again, there was a measure adopted by this Senate 95-0 that expressed two main points: One, the United States should not be signatory to any treaty that would "result in serious harm to the U.S. economy." And, No. 2, that mandates developing countries to have specific scheduled commitments to limit or reduce greenhouse gas emissions within the same compliance period.

So we have been working at this for some time. We have had several hearings in our Committee on the Environment and Public Works and also in Energy. We have had representatives of the administration there. This goes clear back to Rio, I think, in 1992. It goes back more specifically now to Geneva about a year ago, in which promises were apparently made at that meeting with respect to what the United States would do. We called the Assistant Secretary to our committee to talk about that. He indicated, no, that wasn't true, there were no commitments made. In fact, I think there were.

Now we move on to the meeting in Bonn, which will go on almost immediately, and then the Kyoto meeting to take place something over a month from now.

So this is the result of a good long time in planning and a good long time in difficulty in trying to bring together the issues as they relate to developed countries, as they relate to developing countries.

The President has finally made somewhat of an understandable statement. We have not had that before.

Just 2 weeks ago we had another hearing in our committee, brought the Assistant Secretary on Global Affairs to talk to us, asked specific questions about what they had in mind without any specific answers. There was no response from the administration's witness.

So now the President has come forth with statements. That is good. We should have had them some time before, statements which he indicates—

and I quote—"Would be painless and even economically beneficial." Of course that is what he would say. Many people disagree with that, including myself. I cannot imagine that whatever we do that is meaningful is going to "be painless and economically beneficial." But specifically, of course we have not had time to analyze the full thing.

It talks about reaching 1990 levels by the year 2010, emission levels that occurred in 1990, reaching back to those by 2010, with some cap by 2008. And then to move below the 1990 levels by 2020. He calls that a fairly modest proposal.

Interesting how often these things are set out. I think if you go back, you find that the air quality statutes were given a great deal of time before implementation, so the argument was, "Don't worry, don't worry about some regulation. Don't worry about the cost because it's way out in the future." I do not think that is a good recommendation.

We should worry about what the impacts are on the economy, what the impacts are on costs, what the impacts are on our ability to compete in the world and worry about them regardless of the fact that they are out there.

China, on the other hand, and some of the other countries that are developing countries, ask for a 15 percent reduction from the 1990 levels by 2010, a 7.5 percent reduction by 2025, 7.5 below 1990. Remember, the President said we will not reach 1990 until 2010. The Chinese and their group also want a 35 percent reduction from 1990 levels by the year 2020.

The problem, of course, is, as we go into this negotiation—and those who are involved say, "Well, they've set the parameters, somehow the results will be between these two." That is kind of scary. The President is saying, this is where we are. They are saying, we want to be way up here. And probably they will end up somewhere in between.

I go back to the action of the Senate which 95 to nothing said we will not accept a treaty that does the kinds of things that we have already talked about.

So, Mr. President, I know this is a difficult problem. But I agree with my friend, the Senator from Idaho. We have done a good job of emissions.

I have been to China several times, and I can tell you, if you want to look forward to where the emissions problems are going to be, it is going to be there in those developing countries.

I think we need to make the changes that we want to have happen in our country, encourage others. But I am very concerned about us going to this meeting in Kyoto and coming out seeking to agree to the kinds of things that have been set forth by the developing countries who wish not to have any containment put on theirs.

So we are looking for a fair agreement. We are looking for some kind of an arrangement that will allow us to

continue to do what we have done and we are proud of doing.

I think, Mr. President, that you need to be more specific than you have been with this idea that we want you to do some things, and then we will decide later what the reimbursement is going to be, we will decide later what the incentives are going to be, which I understand is what the President said yesterday.

So I think we need to continue. And I want to say to my friend from Nebraska that he has done an excellent job of holding hearings, taking positions, following this issue, which is one of the most important issues to the future of the country. And I commend him for that and join with him.

I yield the floor.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, the old adage says everybody likes to talk about the weather, but nobody can do anything about it. A particularly strong El Nino has meteorologists predicting strange weather this year, so expect lots of people to be talking about the weather in the months ahead. But in a new twist, many will claim that there is something we can do about the weather as well.

I'm talking about efforts to curb global warming. And if you'll pardon the pun, this is one of the hottest debates we are likely to see over the next year.

Is human activity the cause of this particularly strong El Nino, or the warming that some say is underway? Or is this just natural climate variation? Scientists are divided. The prestigious journal *Science*, in its issue of May 16, says that climate experts are a long way from proclaiming that human activities are heating up the earth. Indeed, the search for the human fingerprint in observed warming is far from over with many scientists saying that a clear resolution is at least a decade away. We continue to spend over \$2 billion each year on the U.S. Global Climate Change Research Program for the simple reason that the science is not settled.

One thing that scientists can agree on is that the Earth's climate has always changed—the ice core and fossil records bear that out. Hippos once grazed in European rivers. Sea levels were low enough during periodic ice ages to allow humans to walk from Asia to North America. The climate changes. It always has. And it will continue to change regardless of what we do or don't do.

Yesterday, the President revealed his negotiating position on a new climate treaty. He has proposed reducing our carbon emissions to 1990 levels between 2008 and 2012. The Department of Energy estimates that we will have to engage in a crash course of research and development, plus impose a \$50 per ton carbon permit price—or tax—to achieve this target.

Talks are underway at this moment in Bonn, and everyone is preparing for December negotiations in Kyoto,

Japan. It is almost certain that legally binding targets and timetables will be a central feature of the new climate treaty expected to emerge in Kyoto—and that these targets and timetables will not apply to developing nations. Even if you are a proponent of strong action to address increasing concentrations of atmospheric carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases thought to warm the Earth's climate, there are plenty of good reasons to oppose selectively applied, legally binding targets and timetables for greenhouse gas reductions as the President has proposed.

First, these are really just emissions controls targeted at just a few of the 168 nations that are parties to this treaty. Aside from being just plain unfair, these new emissions controls will be devastating to large sectors of our economy. They will raise energy prices in the United States, Canada, Australia, and Europe—while China, South Korea, and Mexico are specifically exempted from them.

As a consequence, energy-intensive industrial production, capital, jobs, and emissions will shift from the U.S. to developing nations not subjected to the new controls. What will result from that? According to a study by the Department of Energy: 20 to 30 percent of the U.S. chemical industry could move to developing countries over 15 to 30 years, with 200,000 jobs lost; U.S. steel production could fall 30 percent with accompanying job losses of 100,000; All primary aluminum plants in the United States could close by 2010; many petroleum refiners in the Northeast and Gulf Coast could close, and imports would displace more domestic production.

Needless to say, China, South Korea, Mexico, and some of our other most competitive trading partners salivate at the prospect of this monumental shift in capital, production, and jobs.

Putting economic and competitive aspects aside for a moment, it's important to ask the questions: Will these emissions controls applied only to a few nations work? Can they decrease emissions and stabilize atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations?

The answer is no. Actual global emissions won't decrease—only their point of origin will change. In fact, because our industrial processes are more energy efficient than those found in most developing nations, global carbon emissions per unit of production would actually increase under the administration's approach.

In other words, the United States and a few leading industrial nations would suffer domestic economic pain, without realizing any global environmental gain.

The U.S. Senate has passed a resolution by a vote of 95 to 0 urging that the new climate treaty avoid legally binding targets and timetables on developed nations unless there are "new, specific scheduled commitments to limit or reduce greenhouse gas emissions for Developing Country Parties within the same compliance period."

Thus, we have the makings of a train wreck: The developing nations will not participate in a climate treaty that contains legally binding targets and timetables that apply to them. Yet, the U.S. Senate is unwilling to ratify a treaty that does not contain new commitments for developing countries.

There are other practical problems as well. Legally binding targets and timetables would be impossible to verify and enforce. For example, how does one measure the methane being produced by a rice paddy or landfill? How do you calculate the carbon dioxide being sequestered by a forest? While good scientific estimates can be offered, the legally binding nature of the controls might require greater precision. What kind of new strict and intrusive international regulatory regime would be needed for enforcement?

These are all questions that have not been answered in the rush toward Kyoto. Practically speaking, legally binding targets and timetables won't reduce global emissions. In addition, they present potentially insurmountable implementation problems, and would even kill the treaty. Thus, they endanger well meaning efforts to address the global climate issue.

If we want to keep the new treaty from becoming an international embarrassment as an environmental initiative, we should reconsider the rush to Kyoto and hammer out solutions that can really work.

So, you may ask—what can really work? How does one generate large amounts of carbon-free electricity for a growing economy here at home and a developing world abroad? There are two ways in the short term—hydropower and nuclear.

So what is our official U.S. policy toward hydropower? Domestically, we are studying tearing down a few dams out west. Environmental interests want to tear down, for example, the Glen Canyon Dam on the Colorado River in Northern Arizona in hopes of "restoring the natural wonder of the once wild Glen Canyon." In so doing, we would: Drain Lake Powell—a 252 square mile lake which guarantees water supplies for Los Angeles, Phoenix, and Las Vegas; Eliminate the source of carbon-free electricity for four million consumers in the Southwest; Scuttle a \$500 million tourist industry and the water recreation area frequented by 2.5 million visitors each year.

On the international front, we have refused to participate in efforts such as China's "Three Gorges Dam," a project that will produce electricity equivalent to thirty-six 500 megawatt coal plants.

Of course, all this makes no sense if you claim that carbon emissions are your preeminent environmental concern.

Let's turn to nuclear, which produces 22% of our electricity and about 17% of global electricity. The President says he will veto our nuclear waste bill, and that could cause some of our nuclear

plants to close prematurely as they run out of space for spent fuel. And we can't sell nuclear technology to China, something we hope to change in the very near future.

Well, you can't be anti-nuclear, anti-hydropower, and anti-carbon. Let's do the math: Coal produces 55% of our electricity, and our coal use is likely to decrease in the face of: A new climate treaty; the EPA's new air quality standards on ozone and particulate matter; the EPA's tightened air quality standards on oxides of sulphur and nitrogen; the EPA's proposed regional haze rule; and the possibility of a new EPA mercury emissions rule.

So if you knock coal out of the picture, what's next? Nuclear is in second place with 22% of our electrical generation. But as I mentioned, the President has threatened to veto our nuclear waste bill, and we haven't ordered a new nuclear plant since 1975. Moreover, if we can't recover "stranded costs" of nuclear power plants in the electricity restructuring effort, you can say goodbye to nuclear.

What's next? Hydropower produces 10%. But all of our large hydropower potential outside Alaska has been tapped, and as I mentioned earlier, the administration is entertaining notions of tearing down some dams.

What's next? Natural Gas produces 10% of electricity generation. Gas also emits carbon, although not as much as coal. So expect gas generation to increase, demand to rise, prices to increase and shortages to result from time to time. Does that sound like a solid strategy on which to gamble our economy?

No coal, no nukes, no hydro; that leaves us with 13% of our generation capacity. What's left? Wind power? I like wind and solar, but you can't count on them all the time. And recently, the Sierra Club came out against wind farms in California, calling them "cuisinarts for birds."

So the choices are tough, and a dose of realism is badly needed down at EPA and the White House. To sum things up, we are negotiating a treaty in Kyoto that is unrealistic, can't be verified, and can't achieve the advertised results. If this were an arms control treaty, we'd be guilty of unilateral disarmament if we were to agree to it.

We should reconsider this rush to Kyoto and a new treaty. There is no reason to join the lemmings in their rush over the cliff. The carbon problem didn't appear overnight. It won't be addressed overnight. We have time to devise and consider balanced approaches that can work. Time will allow new energy and efficiency technologies to mature. Time will provide for global solutions that include the developing nations. Time will allow us to sharpen our science and better understand the true threat of climate change, if it is indeed a dangerous threat.

Mr. TORRICELLI addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. HAGEL). The Senator from New Jersey.

Mr. TORRICELLI. Thank you, Mr. President.

#### THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Mr. TORRICELLI. Mr. President, since the founding of our Republic, we have faced a dilemma as old perhaps as the concept of democracy itself. That is how the Nation is governed: With an informed electorate, but at the same time we can protect the national security by containing information which might be used against ourselves.

This debate has largely, though not exclusively, been settled by the judgment that we are best served by informing the people so they can make the proper judgments about choosing the leadership of our country.

Indeed, this is the philosophy that gave rise to the first amendment to the Constitution, but perhaps more exactly also to article I, section 9, which reads, "a regular Statement and Account of the Receipts and Expenditures of all public money shall be published from time to time."

There has, however, in spite of this general judgment of the need to govern the Nation based on the best possible information to the electorate, and in spite of this rather specific constitutional provision, been a notable and exceptional exception in the Nation's accounting.

I speak obviously of the Central Intelligence Agency in its half-century determination to keep its accounting, its expenditures, private from the people of the United States. And, indeed, during both times of national conflict and in the broad period of the cold war it was a policy with a considerable rationale.

The United States faced, in the Soviet Union, an adversary which if in possession of our expenditures of the intelligence community would learn a great deal about our national intentions and our capabilities. But now some 7 years after the end of the cold war, there is no longer a rationale for not sharing with the American people at least the aggregate amount of spending of the American intelligence community.

I do not speak, obviously, of specific requirements for expenditures in individual programs or even broad categories of expenditures but whether or not the American people should be informed of the total aggregate spending since the United States no longer faces an adversary which, if in possession of that amount of expenditures, could make real use of it.

Last Wednesday, George Tenet, the new Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, perhaps because of this changed situation, took a very important step. In response to a Freedom of Information Act request filed by the Federation of American Scientists, Director Tenet ended 50 years of what

may have been unconstitutional secrecy and finally disclosed the aggregate budget numbers of the U.S. intelligence community.

I take the floor today, Mr. President, to applaud President Clinton and Director Tenet for taking this first step, but note with some considerable regret that this judgment was made in response to a lawsuit filed against the administration not with the support of this Congress and, indeed, in spite of a vote taken in response to an amendment that I offered on the floor of this Senate.

While I applaud Director Tenet, I also speak with regret that while the budget numbers were offered this year, they specifically were not made as a change in permanent policy, therefore, raising the specter that the American people are being provided this information in 1997, with the possibility they may never be given this information again.

That perhaps leads to the most cynical interpretation of all, that what is really feared by the intelligence community is not the sharing of this aggregate amount of spending with foreign adversaries, but if the American people have this number they would be able to gauge this year to next, to next, and into the future whether or not the intelligence budget of this country is rising or falling, whether it is too large or too small.

What is feared is that the American people will be as engaged in this debate as they are about Social Security spending or health care or education spending or even defense spending, which routinely is a part of the American political debate.

A 1-year number provides precious little information for public debate about the adequacy or the excessive nature of our spending. What, of course, is peculiar about this inability to inform the public is that defense spending, equally or arguably far more important to national security, is so routinely debated. Perhaps that is the reason why defense spending in the Nation today, excluding intelligence, is now 4 percent lower than defense spending in 1980, why in real dollar terms there has been in the last 7 years such a dramatic reduction in defense expenditures, while according to the Brown report, intelligence spending since 1980 in the United States has risen by 80 percent, an increase in spending almost without parallel.

It is worth noting as well, Mr. President, that in the bipartisan Brown Commission report, the commission could find no systematic basis upon which the intelligence budget is even created. In the Commission's words, "Most intelligence agencies seemed to lack a resource strategy apart from what is reflected in the President's 6-year budget projection. Indeed, until the intelligence community reforms its budget process, it is poorly positioned to implement these strategies."

Mr. President, other countries in the democratic family of nations have long

recognized the need to include defense and intelligence priorities in their national debate over budgetary matters. Indeed, Australia, Britain, and Canada long ago lifted this veil of secrecy. I think, indeed, even the State of Israel, which today faces potentially more serious adversaries at the very heart of their democracy with a daily terrorist threat, long ago decided that its democracy was better served by sharing this information then continuing with the veil of secrecy.

So, Mr. President, in this notable year when for the first time the American people are given access to this information about intelligence spending, the burden now passes to this Congress whether or not we will allow this to be a single exception, or indeed we will now take the challenge and make this a permanent change in how we govern the national intelligence community.

I close, therefore, Mr. President, with the words of Justice Douglas, who in 1974 wrote in making a judgment about whether or not the budget should be revealed, "If taxpayers may not ask that rudimentary question, their sovereignty becomes an empty symbol and a secret bureaucracy is allowed to run our affairs."

More than 20 years later, Mr. President, this Senate still faces the same judgment. Director Tenet has met his responsibilities. I am proud that President Clinton allowed him to proceed. Now the question rests with us.

I yield the floor.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BROWNBACK). The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, we are preparing to cast a vote on a cloture motion in another 10 minutes or so, and I thought it would be useful to take the floor of the Senate and describe not only for our colleagues but for those who watch the proceedings of this body what exactly is happening.

We are nearing the end of a legislative session. We expect from what the leaders have indicated that the Senate will continue for perhaps another 2½ weeks at the most. We have on the floor of the Senate a piece of legislation that we should consider and we should pass. It is called the ISTEIA or the highway reauthorization bill. It is a very important piece of legislation.

Just prior to having this legislation on the floor of the Senate, we had a piece of legislation called campaign finance reform. That is a piece of legislation we should pass as well. It is interesting that both pieces of legislation

were brought to the floor and tied up with ropes procedurally so that no one could do anything with either piece of legislation.

Why? One underlying reason: Because there are some in this Chamber who do not want to allow an up-or-down vote on campaign finance reform. They want to crow about campaign finance reform and how much they support it. They want to go out and talk about their desire to have campaign finance reform, but they don't want to allow this Chamber an opportunity to vote on campaign finance reform.

The fact is the American people know better. The American people know this system is broken and ought to be fixed. They know we need campaign finance reform, and they know that the votes exist in the Senate to pass a campaign finance reform bill. In fact, we have demonstrated on procedural votes there are at least 52, 54, perhaps 55 Senators who will vote for campaign finance reform. But can we get to the vote? No. Why? Because procedurally those who control this Senate have tied ropes around both campaign finance reform and now the highway bill in a manner designed to prevent having an uncomfortable vote on campaign finance reform.

When I talk about using ropes, I am talking about procedures called "filling the tree." It is probably a foreign language to people who don't know what happens in the Senate, but it is a rarely used approach, filling the tree, which means establishing through parliamentary devices a series of amendments, first degree and second degree, that offset each other sufficient so when you are finished filling the tree, no one can move and no one can do anything.

The highway reauthorization, which is on the floor now, was brought to the floor and the tree was filled immediately. As I said, it is a rarely used device and almost always used to prevent something from passing.

Mr. TORRICELLI. Will the Senator yield?

Mr. DORGAN. I am happy to yield to the Senator.

Mr. TORRICELLI. I think the Senator from North Dakota makes an important point to the Senate, and that is that many of the American people are asking why, with all that we now know about campaign finance abuse and with the continued erosion of confidence in our electoral system, why a majority of this Senate is not prepared to vote for campaign finance reform.

The simple truth is, a majority of the U.S. Senate would vote today for campaign finance reform, for the most meaningful change in how money is raised and spent and we govern our elections in a generation. But a majority of this Senate is being prohibited from casting votes for this fundamental change, first by the Republican leadership, which is so intent on preventing a vote of the McCain-Feingold bill that it will filibuster, and second,

as the Senator from North Dakota has pointed out, by prohibiting procedurally the offering of any amendments to other legislation that will allow us to make campaign finance reform part of other legislation enacted on this Senate floor.

It is cynical. It is a deliberate, partisan tactic to keep an advantage in the financing of campaigns in this country. The cost is enormous. The cost is enormous, not simply in delaying other legislation, in stopping the work of this Congress, but in continuing and even fueling the erosion of confidence in the American people in the ability of this Senate to solve a real and legitimate problem.

Mr. DORGAN. The Senator from New Jersey is absolutely correct.

The Senator from Wisconsin, Senator FEINGOLD, who is on the floor, has, along with the Senator from Arizona, Senator MCCAIN, brought to us a bipartisan proposal to say, "Let's fix this issue. Let's do something meaningful about campaign finance reform."

Every day you look in the paper and there is something new, some new revelation about what has happened in campaign finances, and it is not good. It has been Democrats a good number of times, and I understand that, and I am uncomfortable with that. Today it happens to be Republicans in the Washington Post—\$1 million-plus passed from big donors to other groups, then out to campaigns. So what you have is big money being moved into campaigns with an inability to trace any portion of the funds. Yesterday, the same thing, in a little race going on up in New York. Right now, \$800,000 put into that race in issue advertising which is unfortunately, under today's system, a legal form of cheating.

I think it would be in the best interest of the American people that we brought to the floor of the U.S. Senate an opportunity to vote yes or no, up or down, on campaign finance reform and stop the silly dance going on.

Mr. TORRICELLI. If the Senator would yield—and I am interested in hearing Senator FEINGOLD on this issue—I think it is important that the American people now understand this is not a choice between a current campaign finance system in the country being governed under existing statutes or an alternative offered by Mr. MCCAIN and Mr. FEINGOLD. The simple fact is there is no governing law of American political campaigns today.

The legal system, which for more than 20 years has governed the financing of our campaign system, has collapsed. Corporate money is flowing into this system. Independent organizations are beginning to dominate the system. Even the political parties risk becoming side voices in a larger chorus. The system in this country of governing our campaigns has ended. The only issue is whether this Senate is now going to allow the majority to govern by passing a new system which will install some new integrity into our

system of government. That is, indeed, the issue.

Mr. DORGAN. One of the reasons we are told they don't want to have a vote on this is because money is speech, they say. If that is the case, there are a lot of folks in this country who are voiceless in American politics.

There is too much money ricocheting off the walls in politics. We need to do something about it. Campaign finance reform of the type offered by Senator FEINGOLD and Senator MCCAIN is a step in the right direction. All we need to do is be allowed to have a vote on campaign finance reform.

Mr. FEINGOLD. Mr. President, on that point, let me agree strongly with the Senator from New Jersey and the Senator from North Dakota and highlight what will happen in a couple of minutes.

We will have a cloture vote that is purportedly on the issue of highway spending, but it is not about highway spending. It is not about transportation. It is not about investing in infrastructure. Those votes will come later. The vote we are going to have in a few minutes is about whether the first session of the 105th Congress is going to adjourn for the year without one single substantive vote on the issue of campaign finance reform and all the scandals that we have seen here in Washington. That is what is going on here. That is exactly what the American people have to be told in a straightforward manner.

The discussion that we just had here indicated what really happened a couple of weeks ago on the campaign finance reform bill. We thought we were going to have a serious debate on that issue. We thought there was going to be an opportunity not only to debate the overall bill but to offer Senators what Senators come here to do—the opportunity to offer amendments and modifications.

I was ready for that debate. These Senators were ready for that debate. The Senator from Arizona was ready for that debate. Even the junior Senator from Kentucky, the leading opponent of campaign finance reform, said he was ready for that debate.

Well, we were wrong, Mr. President. We never had such a debate. We never had such amendments voted on. We had a sham, a con game played on the American people. We had a process that was purposely rigged so that one way or the other the Republicans and Democrats would have to filibuster, or better yet, if possible, make both of them filibuster.

So my point is this: Let's have that debate. Let's have serious, substantive votes on this issue. Let's let Senators amend and modify and give their good ideas to the bill and then let the chips fall where they may.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

#### FIVE IMPRESSIVE WINNERS OF IMMIGRATION ESSAY CONTEST

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, a few months ago, the American Immigra-

tion Lawyers Association held an essay contest entitled "Celebrate America" for children in grades four through seven. The children were asked to write on the subject, "Why I Am Glad America Is a Nation of Immigrants." Hundreds of children entered the contest, and I congratulate all the participants.

The winner of the contest was Veronica Curran, a fifth grader in St. Mark's School in Shoreline, WA, who wrote about her family's extraordinary immigrant history—she and each of her brothers and sister were adopted from different countries. Eric Eves of Goulds, FL, Crystal Kohistani of Plymouth, MN, and Joseph Opromollo of Morris Plains, NJ, wrote other top essays. All of the essays reflect pride in America's immigrant heritage, and emphasize the benefits of immigration for the United States.

I congratulate each of these young writers, and I ask unanimous consent that the five winning essays from the "Celebrate America" essay contest be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the essays were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

COMING FROM AFAR  
(By Veronica Curran)

Most immigrants are not famous people. They are just regular, ordinary people, like my family and me.

In America, people have opportunities. They have a chance to use their talents to improve America. My family is a good example of why immigrants are good for this country.

My father's family immigrated from Ireland. They lived on a very poor farm which was too small to support everyone. They came to Montana and worked long hours in a dangerous copper mine. They saved their money for their children to get a good education. My father is now a teacher. America helped their family and they helped America.

My mother's family were printers who moved from Switzerland, then to America. They were in trouble for printing books against the government. They were looking for freedom to express themselves. They helped America by being good thinkers.

Many students have immigrant stories like these. But my family's story is different because my brothers, sister and I were adopted from different countries. We all have our own stories. My oldest brother immigrated from Colombia. My sister's ancestors immigrated from Portugal. My other brother and I immigrated from India.

If America was not a nation of immigrants, my family would not have been created. Because America welcomes people from all over the world, our family members have come together to become American citizens. I hope we will grow up to help America.

LIKE A TREE  
(by Eric Eves)

Like a tree, America is supported by many roots. Long ago Vikings used to tell tales of an enormous tree that supported the entire universe. The roots of this mighty tree grew down into the underworld. Its trunk held the earth and its evergreen boughs reached beyond the sky. When I think of the United States, I can't help but think of the United States as that tree. We are one of the most powerful nations on earth today, much thanks to our many roots that have come

from all corners of the world. Our evergreen boughs reach beyond the earth to space itself. It has taken many different people and many different kinds of people to make the United States what it is today.

Like a tree, America had to start from a seed—this seed being the natives, the Indians. It is believed that the Indians migrated from northeastern Asia, thousands of years ago, when there was a land bridge that linked North America to Asia. As we know, after the voyage of Christopher Columbus, Europeans started to immigrate to North America. This was the birth of our nation.

Like a tree, America started with a seed, was born, then it started to grow its roots—immigration. These immigrants have come and made the nation strong with their many strengths. Immigrants to the United States are people who have left their homeland for many reasons: war, social upheaval, economic calamities, political and religious persecution, but the greatest reason for people to come to America has been the desire to find greater opportunities. The United States has been known for a nation of immigrants. Since its birth it has taken in more than 55 million people, from every corner of the world. These people are welcomed and many have made enormous contributions to the culture and to the economy of the United States.

Like a tree, America has become a mighty nation with its roots grown from immigrants. Roots, anchor a tree in the ground, holding it firmly in place, so, that it doesn't blow over when storm winds blow. The roots of America, like a tree, has thousands of different people branching from it, spreading out in every direction. It is the roots of a tree that have more growth than its trunk or leaves, and, this we see here in America. Immigration has fed our country and made it what it is today—A Mighty Nation.

#### THE LONG JOURNEY TO AMERICA

(By Crystal Kohistani)

My story begins in 1983 when I was born. I was my parents first child. Both my parents were born and raised in Afghanistan, where I also was born. The religion in Afghanistan is Islam. The language is Farsi.

I was one year old and my brother, who was also born in Afghanistan, was eleven months old, when a war broke out between the Russian and Afghan communists against Muslim Afghans. The Russian communists wanted to overpower the Afghan country. Many people died. Innocent people. They bombed homes and shot people who would not side with them. One of those people was my grandfather. He was a highly respected, wealthy man. The communists wanted him to side with them, but when he refused they shot him to death. They thought since he was a leader to people, that the people would do whatever he did. When my parents heard of this they became scared and decided to leave the country. We started our Journey in 1984. My father had to leave a day earlier than us. He got on a bus that would transfer workers from and to the University of Afghanistan. My father was good friends with the driver so he agreed to drive him one hour out of town to a village. The next day a jeep came for us at 12:00 A.M. We had to leave at dark so no one would see us leaving. The communists would not let anyone leave the country. If you were caught, they either arrested you or shot you. We had sold our belongings and took our clothing and some food with us. The jeep took us to the village and we met with my father. From there, four armed men with horses and donkeys met us. We had to pay these men for the donkeys and horses. We also had to pay ten thousand dollars for each one of us to be transferred.

These men were to take us to Pakistan. They took us from village to village. My mother held me on her horse and my father held my brother on foot. We had some family with us so there wasn't enough horses or donkeys for my father. Then early in the morning we reached another home. This home contained many people; a tiny room for two people were given to us. We had about ten people with us. We spent the night there. The next day we all got sick. Luckily my mother had medication with her. Then we set out again. We came to a bombed out house and spent another night there. We were all wet from the rain storm that had hit. We were so tired and hungry. Most of the places we stayed in were very dirty and smelly. They had rats, lizards and bugs. We had to sleep on the bare floor. We changed our clothes and got our rest. Later we headed towards a desert with little food and water. We saw many snakes. When we came out of the desert we were greeted by a wet and muddy path. Because of this we had to pass through the mountains. On the way a man tried to kidnap by brother from my mother, but when they saw the armed men they ran away. After the mountains we reached a dangerous valley, where many had died. After seven days we had reached the border of Pakistan. The officers at the border asked us some questions and then let us through. We thanked the men that helped us. The men returned to Afghanistan, perhaps to help another family. We got in touch with our relatives in Pakistan. They came, picked us up from the border and helped us look for a house. We lived in Pakistan for two years. After two years, my uncle, who lived in Minnesota sponsored us. We went from Pakistan, to Japan, to California, to Colorado, and finally to Minneapolis, Minnesota. I was three and half years old when I came here. I did not know any English. I am thirteen years old today. I am glad that I am here today, safe with my family. It was very hard for me to have two cultures. It confused me. But now I have learned to maintain two cultures. Some day I do hope I can go back to my country to visit. Although America will always be my country, for I was raised here. Right now there is war in Afghanistan still, but this time it's with the Tallibans. They are taking the religion Islam too far. They make it seem like a horrible religion, but it's not. What the Talliban are requiring of the religion is not what the Holy Quran is requiring. I am glad I am here today to tell the story of my dangerous migration. So that my grand children and so on can tell the story of their ancestor's migration. And some day I hope that the world can live in peace.

#### WHY I AM GLAD AMERICA IS A NATION OF IMMIGRANTS

(By Joseph Opromollo)

Red, yellow, olive,  
Black, brown, white.  
Splashes of color from God's own brush.  
Splashes of color upon  
Blue, green, brown,  
Grey, red, beige.  
Splashes of color which form an  
Endless rainbow,  
Which bleed together and blend into one.

The above symbolizes the diversity that is found in the United States of America. I am glad that the United States is a nation of immigrants. God has created all different nationalities of people to live on this world. For what reasons? For war? To fight each other because of our differences? No. I believe it was to live together in harmony and peace. This is why America is considered a melting pot.

In school I have learned many interesting facts about America's past. Although life

was often hard for the immigrants, their fight for freedom allowed all to live peacefully together. I know if my great-grandparents did not dream of the freedom they would find in the U.S. and had not immigrated, I would not be here today.

Where else in the world can you find friends of every race, color and nationality? Like the colors of nature, the colors found in America add variety to our lives. Like the colors of an artist's palette, they can exist side by side and can also blend to form new colors. I am proud to live in America.

#### CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the clerk will report the motion to invoke cloture.

The bill clerk read as follows:

#### CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the modified committee amendment to S. 1173, the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act:

Senators Trent Lott, John H. Chafee, Paul Coverdell, Christopher Bond, Jesse Helms, Michael B. Enzi, John Ashcroft, Don Nickles, Craig Thomas, Mike DeWine, Richard Lugar, Pat Roberts, Ted Stevens, Wayne Allard, Dirk Kempthorne, and Larry Craig.

#### CALL OF THE ROLL

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the quorum call has been waived.

#### VOTE

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the modified committee amendment to S. 1173, a bill to authorize funds for the construction of highways, for highway safety programs, and for mass transit programs, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are required. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk called the roll.

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 48, nays 52, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 275 Leg.]

#### YEAS—48

Abraham	Enzi	Kyl
Allard	Faircloth	Lott
Ashcroft	Frist	Lugar
Bennett	Gorton	McConnell
Bond	Gramm	Murkowski
Brownback	Grams	Nickles
Burns	Grassley	Roberts
Campbell	Gregg	Roth
Chafee	Hagel	Sessions
Coats	Hatch	Shelby
Cochran	Helms	Smith (NH)
Coverdell	Hutchinson	Smith (OR)
Craig	Hutchison	Stevens
D'Amato	Inhofe	Thomas
DeWine	Jeffords	Thurmond
Domenici	Kempthorne	Warner

#### NAYS—52

Akaka	Daschle	Johnson
Baucus	Dodd	Kennedy
Biden	Dorgan	Kerrey
Bingaman	Durbin	Kerry
Boxer	Feingold	Kohl
Breaux	Feinstein	Landrieu
Bryan	Ford	Lautenberg
Bumpers	Glenn	Leahy
Byrd	Graham	Levin
Cleland	Harkin	Lieberman
Collins	Hollings	Mack
Conrad	Houye	McCain

Mikulski	Robb	Thompson
Moseley-Braun	Rockefeller	Torricelli
Moynihan	Santorum	Wellstone
Murray	Sarbanes	Wyden
Reed	Snowe	
Reid	Specter	

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BURNS). On this vote, the yeas are 48, the nays are 52. Three-fifths of the Senators duly chosen and sworn not having voted in the affirmative, the motion is rejected.

FURTHER CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1998

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to consideration of House Joint Resolution 97 with the joint resolution to be considered read for the third time.

The question is now on the passage of House Joint Resolution 97.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on the passage of the joint resolution. On this question, the yeas and nays have been ordered, and the clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 100, nays 0, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 276 Leg.]  
YEAS—100

Abraham	Feingold	Lugar
Akaka	Feinstein	Mack
Allard	Ford	McCain
Ashcroft	Frist	McConnell
Baucus	Glenn	Mikulski
Bennett	Gorton	Moseley-Braun
Biden	Graham	Moynihan
Bingaman	Gramm	Murkowski
Bond	Grams	Murray
Boxer	Grassley	Nickles
Breaux	Gregg	Reed
Brownback	Hagel	Reid
Bryan	Harkin	Robb
Bumpers	Hatch	Roberts
Burns	Helms	Rockefeller
Byrd	Hollings	Roth
Campbell	Hutchinson	Santorum
Chafee	Hutchison	Sarbanes
Cleland	Inhofe	Sessions
Coats	Inouye	Shelby
Cochran	Jeffords	Smith (NH)
Collins	Johnson	Smith (OR)
Conrad	Kempthorne	Snowe
Coverdell	Kennedy	Specter
Craig	Kerrey	Stevens
D'Amato	Kerry	Thomas
Daschle	Kohl	Thompson
DeWine	Kyl	Thurmond
Dodd	Landrieu	Torricelli
Domenici	Lautenberg	Warner
Dorgan	Leahy	Wellstone
Durbin	Levin	Wyden
Enzi	Lieberman	
Faircloth	Lott	

The joint resolution (H.J. Res. 97) was passed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Who seeks recognition? The majority leader.

THE SENATE SCHEDULE

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, for the information of all Senators, under the

provisions of rule XXII, the second cloture vote will occur immediately, unless changed by unanimous consent. We had the first cloture vote, which was not agreed to. Then we had the vote on the continuing resolution. I am glad we got that done now.

My intent had been to have the second cloture vote later on in the day to give Members time to assess where we were on the ISTEA, and see if they would like to have an ISTEA bill and see if there is a way to sort of get things that are wrapped around the axle moved in such a way that we could go forward with this very important transportation infrastructure bill. But I understand our Democratic colleagues will not grant consent for the cloture vote to occur at 3 o'clock today. They want the cloture vote right now. I don't think that is wise. I think we need 3 hours here to sort of assess where we are, have some discussions, and then have a vote.

So, with that in mind, I will shortly move to recess the Senate, then, until 3 o'clock today. Therefore, Senators can expect the next vote to occur at 3 p.m., on the second cloture motion with regard to the ISTEA highway infrastructure extension bill, and hopefully we will have some greater success there.

If we don't get cloture—and I had hoped we would on the second cloture vote—we have a cloture motion filed and we will have another cloture vote on Friday. I know some Senators have things they need to do. I know there will be some Senators absent and therefore it would be even more difficult to get the cloture vote to pass on Friday.

If we don't get cloture then, as majority leader I have to make a call, after consultation with Members on both sides of this very important ISTEA transportation bill, as to whether we just pull it down and then next week try to move to other issues. We may have to have debate and votes on the Federal Reserve nominees. We have two Federal Reserve nominees that there is a hold on. It would be my intent to call those up because I don't think we ought to delay Federal Reserve nominees for any of our maneuverings around here. That could possibly be done on Monday.

We also have a judge on the calendar that we have cleared, except a vote is going to be required. So we probably would have that vote on Monday at 5 o'clock. And again, I am not locking all these in. I am just trying to advise Members where we are.

Then we could very well move to a variety of bills that are pending—they are very serious—that we would like to get done before we adjourn for the end of the year. That would include, of course, Amtrak reform, which we need very badly. A lot of good work has been done on it. We have, of course, a threatened Amtrak strike that we may have to act on. We have the juvenile justice bill. We have the adoption and

foster care bill. I thought we had bipartisan agreement on that, but there seem to be some problems with it. But we will begin to look at bringing up other bills. Also, then, next week we hope to begin the fast-track legislation, with the intent of completing action one way or the other on fast track early the first week in November.

So that is kind of where it is. I think my inclination now is, if we don't get cloture this afternoon and we don't get cloture tomorrow, then we would have to just say, well, campaign finance reform took down the very important ISTEA infrastructure bill. That is kind of where we are, and I am prepared now to move that the Senate stand in recess until 3 p.m. today.

Mr. DORGAN. Will the Senator yield for a question?

Mr. LOTT. I will yield to the Senator for a question.

Mr. DORGAN. The Senator mentioned fast track. I would not expect us to have fast consideration of fast track. I would expect that piece of legislation would take some significant time. But that wasn't the reason I asked the Senator to yield.

There clearly is a wrench in the crankcase here and we are not moving. I suspect the Senator from Mississippi, the majority leader, feels the wrench is he's not able to get cloture on the highway bill and others feel that the wrench is that we are not able to get a vote on the McCain-Feingold legislation. I wonder whether we wouldn't, in the coming days, be able to accomplish both purposes. Are there circumstances under which we might be able to expect that we can proceed on the highway bill and proceed to find a way to have a vote in some fashion on the McCain-Feingold campaign finance reform bill?

Mr. LOTT. We have already had votes on the McCain-Feingold issue. It may not have been the way that some Senators would have liked to have had it, but we have had votes on it. There is not a consensus on what to do on campaign finance reform at this time that could get the approval of the Senate, which requires 60 votes. I mean, that is what the Senator from North Dakota has indicated he is going to force on the fast track. He's probably going to have a filibuster and we'll have to get 60 votes on cloture to move on fast track. He may be successful in blocking fast track, which the President is very anxious to get and, in a meeting earlier this week, requested that I schedule it before we go out, and I want to do that. But he understands full well what the rules of the Senate are, and he's going to take full advantage of them, and that's his right.

So, the same is applicable here. There is no consensus yet on how we can come together on campaign finance reform. This issue will come up again. I don't think it makes good sense for it to come up again this year. It will come up again in the future. I assume it will come up in a very different form in the future. Maybe not.

Maybe in many different forms. I realize Senators are going to try to have it considered again at a later time and, as the majority leader, the floor leader of the Senate, it would be my intent to try to schedule it in some orderly way, where Senators will know when it is coming. I have already indicated, and Senator DASCHLE has indicated, that we would like to see some action take place on it by the first week in March, either during that week or earlier perhaps. But we would need to look at the calendar for the year and look at the President's Day recess and work around that.

I don't see right now an agreement on how that would come up, because I just think the atmosphere, again, is not such that we can get an agreement worked out. Some people said, "Oh, well, let's just have it freewheeling and let everybody offer whatever amendment they want to and see what happens." I'm not sure that's going to do us any good or the country any good, where we have a bunch of amendments where we try to pin each other's ears back and at the end of the day we have a filibuster and get nothing and we start off the year in a cranky mood and had a great roar and accomplish nothing.

I am prepared to continue to work with Senators on both sides of the aisle on both sides of the issue and look to how that is going to be handled next year. I am prepared to say now that I realize it is going to come up and I will schedule it. But I have not been able to get an agreement as to how that would be done, and I don't think we are going to get that done at this time.

Mr. DORGAN. Will the Senator from Mississippi yield?

Mr. LOTT. I will yield further.

Mr. DORGAN. One additional comment. I understand the points the Senator from Mississippi makes. He indicates he would bring it to the floor, that is campaign finance reform. He did that. But when the Senator from Mississippi announces, "I don't understand how it would come up," it would come up in the regular order, offered as an amendment. The dilemma we have at the moment is the regular order is not allowed because we have a procedure on the highway reauthorization bill to fill the tree, which prevents a second-degree amendment at some point to get back into consideration of it.

I understand and accept all the points the Senator from Mississippi made about cloture and all those issues. I would just say this, that I think you only have to pick up the paper every single day to see the problems that exist all around in campaign finance reform. I think the Senator from Wisconsin and the Senator from Arizona have crafted an approach that we at least ought to be able to express ourselves on in some detail.

Bringing the campaign finance reform bill to the floor did not include the opportunity to actually get to

those votes. We hope very much to have that kind of opportunity one way or the other in the future. That was the reason I inquired of the Senator from Mississippi to see whether we might not get to that point at some early point in the consideration of the Senate in the final days.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, again I want to emphasize that on this campaign finance issue, the idea of adding more laws on the books on top of the laws that are already there that are already impossible to comply with in many respects, and certainly not without lawyers and accountants and advisers to make sure that you are complying with the already convoluted, difficult campaign law requirements, we had three cloture votes recently on the campaign finance bill and we had two other cloture votes on the paycheck equity. We have had five votes. Cloture was not achieved, and cloture is very important. Just like what are we trying to do on ISTEA? Get cloture. What am I going to have to do on fast track? I am going to have to try to get cloture to cut off an extended debate so we can get to the substance of the issue and bring it to a head. We have had five votes. It's not as if we have not voted on this. Consensus is not there.

As far as picking up the paper and seeing the problems, yes, you can pick up the paper and see how the existing laws are being violated or maneuvered. Without saying who did it, which side, the fact of the matter is, what we need to do is to see if we can find ways to encourage people and get people to comply with existing laws before we start trying to add a whole bunch more on top of it that would limit free speech, that would limit people's abilities to have a fair shot at getting elected. That is what is at stake here. That is what I would like to be able to do, is maintain the ability to get my message across.

In my State, if I cannot raise the money to get my message across, there are those who are going to try to get it across for me, some of those same newspapers you are talking about. Yes, if I had to depend on them, I wouldn't be here. So what you are talking about is trying to find a way where a guy like TRENT LOTT can't get an opportunity to get his message across to the constituents. I don't want to give that up. I think I have a right to be able to raise the funds to try to make my case to the constituents of my State. I don't think—we cannot limit advocacy. We can't do that. This is still America.

But, again, to put it back in the calmer voice, we know it's going to come back up. Maybe someday we will quit trying to trump each other and try to see if there is some way maybe there might be some things that need to be done that we can agree on. I don't think we are there yet.

I would be glad to yield to Senator MCCAIN.

Mr. MCCAIN. I have just one comment. I understand the position the

majority leader is in and the majority on this side of the aisle. I think we would all agree that the way we are going to move forward on this issue is if we all sit down together and try to work out something that is agreeable and fair, not only in our minds but in the minds of objective observers. I would, again, urge—the Senator from Kentucky is here on the floor—if we could just agree that we will take up this legislation sometime next year, with a certain amount of amendments and a cloture vote, leaving on both sides the right to filibuster if it is not agreeable to either side. But to not allow a single amendment that addresses this issue is what is frustrating, I think, clearly to the Senator from Wisconsin and me.

So, I urge all of my colleagues on both sides of this issue, if we could just sit down and say, "OK, we will take up this issue at a date certain and we will give it a certain amount of consideration." It doesn't have to be unlimited amendments. It doesn't have to be even a large number of amendments. But, then, if at the end of that debate and voting and having Senators on record on the issue, we could then either filibuster or, which I think is the most likely result, is we could agree on a campaign finance reform that would be agreeable to all sides, we could move forward to the benefit of the American people. I want to thank the Senator, the distinguished majority leader. I thank the Democrat leader. I think that good effort has been made.

But all of us need to sit down and agree on this so we can address this issue, and the reality is, as the distinguished majority leader knows, we are going to address it sooner or later. I hate to see it hold up ISTEA. I don't like to see it hold up fast track. Clearly, it is in all of our interests not to have to impede the progress of the Senate.

I thank the majority leader for yielding to me, and I thank him for his continued courtesy to me on this issue which, obviously, he just displayed he feels very, very strongly about.

(Mr. GREGG assumed the chair.)

Mr. LOTT. I yield to Senator MCCONNELL.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Let me say, I agree with Senator MCCAIN. I think the issue at this point is really whether we are going to finish the highway bill and some other important legislation pending in the Senate. We had 7 to 9 days of debate on campaign finance reform. The majority leader is absolutely correct, there is no way he can or any of us can prevent further debate on this issue. As a matter of fact, we have been debating it for 10 years. It comes back almost every year.

I don't object to that. As someone who has not been in sympathy with McCain-Feingold, I certainly don't object to the debate. I enjoy it. We had 27 speakers on my side of the issue when we debated it a few weeks ago, and I don't mind debating it again.

Mr. McCAIN. Will the Senator yield for a question?

Mr. McCONNELL. Yes.

Mr. McCAIN. Will he allow votes on amendments? That is the key to this. It is fun to debate. I enjoyed it, but at sometime or another, the Senate has to be on record on this issue.

So I respectfully request that he agree to some kind of format that we could agree on where there are votes, and if the Senator still does not agree, then he can filibuster or the majority on either side can filibuster depending on the result. That is the question I ask.

Mr. McCONNELL. I say to my friend from Arizona, I am open to discussion about having lots of amendments on both sides and lots of debates, lots of votes. But it seems to me the issue here, 3 weeks before we get out, is whether we are going to finish other important legislation the majority leader would like to advance and I am sure the minority leader would, too.

We will have that debate next year. I am more than happy to discuss the context of the debate, the timing of the debate. I am confident that an issue this controversial will always be determined in a 60-vote context, as much as the Senator from North Dakota will assure that is what will happen on fast track. I am open to that discussion.

What I would like to see us to do is go on and pass some of the much-needed legislation the majority leader would like to push forward in the remaining weeks of the session.

Mr. KERRY. Will the majority leader yield?

Mr. LOTT. Yes, and after that, it will be my intention to yield the floor so Senator DASCHLE can make some comments and then after that, I will move the Senate stand in recess until 3 o'clock. I would like for Senator DASCHLE to have some time first.

Mr. KERRY. I say to the majority leader, obviously the leader takes precedence.

Mr. LOTT. Did you want to ask a question? I can yield the floor so he can have some time.

Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, it was my intention, before the leader came to the floor, and also in response to the Senator from Kentucky, to point out that the issue is not really simply whether or not we can finish the so-called important business of the Senate if that business is limited to the definition of the Senator from Kentucky, which is ISTEAA and a few other matters.

Mr. LOTT. If you will yield, it is ISTEAA, it is fast track, it is Amtrak, it is juvenile justice, it is foster care and adoption, even maybe the Endangered Species Act—I have not had a chance to meet with the interested parties there—product liability. We have a lot of stuff we can do here in the next 2 weeks if we can get a process to achieve that.

Mr. KERRY. And I think every Senator on this side agrees with that, but

the question is larger than just that. The question is whether the entire caucus on the Democratic side and a portion of the Republican caucus is going to be permitted to know with certainty that an issue of equal and, in many people's judgment, greater importance, campaign finance reform, is going to receive its proper hearing on the floor of the Senate.

I think what the Senator from Arizona was asking the Senator from Kentucky didn't really get an answer. It is one thing to say we are willing to sit down and discuss this. That discussion has to come to cloture before we are able to proceed, because we are determined to know that we are going to have adequate capacity to be able to bring up amendments and have that kind of a thorough vetting of this issue.

Now, I agree with the Senator from Kentucky. This will take 60 votes. I think everybody over here understands that. And clearly we are going to have to come together in this process to arrive at those 60 votes. That is going to require us to do precisely what the leader said, which is not to be jockeying for advantage one over the other, and to find an evenhanded way to approach this. Right now we are not even having that discussion. So we are operating in a vacuum where we are being asked to accede to going forward on certain legislation without the understanding that we will be able to vote and to have these amendments come to the floor.

This can be resolved in 1 hour. It can be resolved in half an hour if the majority leader were permitted to simply say to us, we will have a date certain when we return in the winter, and with that date certain, we will have *x* number of amendments with a period of time to vote, and we will be able to take up campaign finance reform.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I have said I know this issue will come up again, rightly or wrongly, and I would like to schedule it in a way for everybody to know when it is coming up. I think Senator DASCHLE and I can agree on that. What I can't guarantee the Senator from Massachusetts is a process that would match or fit his word "proper" or "adequate." It is in the eye of the beholder. What you think might be proper may not be what some other Senator thinks is proper as to how it should be considered. And also, if you are talking about setting up a process where at the end you win on the McCain-Feingold version, whether it is the first or second one, we don't think that is proper.

So if the idea is you have to have a process where we can have a great big fight, after which nothing happens, or whereby you can be relatively assured you are going to be able to win the issue, we can't agree to that.

Mr. KERRY. But, if the majority leader will yield for a minute, I think we just agreed it is going to take 60 votes. The question is, we are never

going to get to the point of understanding whether we can muster the 60 votes if we can't even have one vote on one of the major amendments that begins to sort out where people are and where you can find the common ground.

Mr. LOTT. We tried to get the vote on the paycheck equity amendment, and cloture was defeated twice on that. The situation may be different 3 or 4 months from now. I think the atmosphere is charged now in a way that makes it difficult for us to define now what the process will be. By the end of February, the first of March, something that might appear impossible now we might be able to work out. We can continue to talk about how we would do that.

Now, in the meantime, time marches on. The calendar is moving on. We are struggling to have committees meet that, by the way, need to meet so they can confirm Foreign Service or Ambassador nominations and judges. We are having trouble with that. We are trying to see if we can continue to move some of these people on the Executive Calendar. We have Members who are working on the highway transportation bill. Senator CHAFEE has been here now for a week and nothing has happened. Senator BYRD is very interested in this bill and has an amendment on which he has been working with Senator GRAMM and others. Senator BAUCUS is very anxious to see if we can't get going forward.

It is the usual process around here. Sometimes you get just completely bollixed. The only way you change that is you start moving—you move a little here, you move a little there. Senator DASCHLE and I have been trying to do that a little bit this week. We made a little progress here, a little progress there. If we can get these wheels creaking and moving forward, then who knows what will happen.

As long as we are hunkered down, saying, "We've got to get this agreed to before we do that; if we don't get that, you don't get this," and we wind up getting nothing. I hope that is not what we will do. We can see. I hope we can get cloture on ISTEAA. If we got cloture this afternoon, we would still be performing a miracle if we finish this bill by next Thursday, and if we don't get it done next week, how do we get fast track where we have been assured we are going to have extended debate on that, and maybe other games being played with that one?

I think we need to move the ball forward, get cloture, get on this bill, get some of these amendments offered that are very important and very critical to various States, the entire country. There are some other issues that will be hotly debated on this bill. We will still be here, and we will still have time to have meetings and talk about what we are going to do.

I think I just saw probably the greatest exchange between my two great friends of Scottish descent, McCAIN and McCONNELL, a moment ago. Who knows

what great things might happen once we start moving things forward?

Mr. FORD. Don't bet on that.

Mr. LOTT. Don't bet on that? The Senator from Kentucky will make sure that doesn't happen. I yield the floor so Senator DASCHLE can comment on his own time, and then I will move to stand in recess after that.

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, I thank the distinguished majority leader for his comments and applaud him for making the effort that he has over the last several days in working with us to see if we can't find a way with which to resolve this impasse.

I want to clarify a couple of matters that I think ought to be understood as we work our way through the impasse. The first is that a cloture vote, a victory on cloture on the Chafee amendment may move the ball ahead slightly, but there are scores of Chafee amendments, all of which will be subject to the same cloture vote process, each taking 30 hours. So if you multiply 30 hours times 30 amendments, that is a lot of time, and we don't have a lot of time.

It is not only the amendments, but it is the titles themselves, the banking, the finance, the commerce titles that have to be added to the trunk of the bill. They, too, will be subject to cloture and will require a substantial amount of time.

So unless we get an agreement, even if the caucus, even if our Democratic caucus would vote for cloture, there are Senators who would oppose moving the ISTEA bill forward without an agreement, which brings us to the need to vote for cloture in any case.

So it is with unanimity the Democrats are hoping that we can work with our friends in the majority to see if we can't reach that agreement.

As to the agreement, the clarification I wish to make goes along the lines of what the distinguished Senator from Massachusetts has just noted, and others. What do we want? Well, we want a date certain. We would like the assurance that the so-called parliamentary tree is not filled; that we have an opportunity, as Senator McCAIN noted, to offer amendments. We would like to take the bills in sequence—the McCain-Feingold and then perhaps the Lott bill having to do with the labor unions. That would be the desirable approach, a sequence of consideration, first of McCain-Feingold and then of the Lott bill.

We recognize that every amendment and the bill itself would be subject to the rules of the Senate which means you have to have 60 votes. It would seem to me that if you don't get 60 votes, you pull the amendment and would move on to another one. If we filed cloture on an amendment or required a 60-vote threshold, you could get through these amendments pretty quickly. If you don't get it, it falls, and we just keep going. Ultimately, if we don't get 60 votes on McCain-Feingold, it falls; it is over.

I do not think it would take that long. I think we could work through a procedure that would bring us to some closure on this bill. That is all we can ask. We cannot do anything more than make our best effort to persuade and come up with a parliamentary process that will allow us the right to protect Senators as Members of the minority, whatever the minority may be, on a given issue. And I believe a process like that would work.

Senator LOTT has been, I think, fair in his willingness to consider almost anything. We have Senators who are unable to agree at this point. But like others before me, I am hopeful that we can get an agreement, that cloture votes will not be necessary, that we can then finish ISTEA, that we can then move on to nominations and another array of issues next week. That is within our grasp, but it will take an agreement.

I think it is fair to say that it will not matter how many cloture votes we take, I do not think the votes will be different. A majority of the Senate voted against cloture this morning—a majority. Forty-five Democrats and seven Republicans voted against cloture. A majority, it seems to me, now want to resolve this matter.

So I am hopeful, Mr. President, we can do that. I think we can do it. I will stand ready to meet with anybody to come to some conclusion on how we might proceed. But I hope we do not give up.

Under the rules, as I understand them, we will go into a recess until 3 o'clock?

#### RECESS

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I move now that the Senate stand in recess until 3 p.m. today.

The motion was agreed to, and at 12:16 p.m., the Senate recessed until 3 p.m.; whereupon, the Senate reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. KEMPTHORNE).

#### APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES—S. 830

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to the order of October 9, 1997, the Chair appoints the following conferees on Senate bill 830.

The Presiding Officer appointed Mr. JEFFORDS, Mr. COATS, Mr. GREGG, Mr. FRIST, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. DODD, Mr. HARKIN, and Ms. MIKULSKI conferees on the part of the Senate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair, in his capacity as a Senator from Idaho, suggests the absence of a quorum.

The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the clerk will report the motion to invoke cloture.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

#### CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the modified committee amendment to S. 1173, the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act:

Trent Lott, John Chafee, Paul Coverdell, Christopher Bond, Jesse Helms, Mike Enzi, John Ashcroft, Don Nickles, Craig Thomas, Mike DeWine, Richard Lugar, Pat Roberts, Ted Stevens, Wayne Allard, Dirk Kempthorne, and Larry Craig.

#### CALL OF THE ROLL

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the quorum call has been waived.

#### VOTE

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the modified committee amendment to Senate bill 1173, a bill to authorize funds for construction of highways, for highway safety programs, and for mass transit programs, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are required under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. FORD. I announce that the Senator from Maryland [Mr. SARBANES] and the Senator from Maryland [Ms. MIKULSKI] are necessarily absent.

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 48, nays 50, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 277 Leg.]

#### YEAS—48

Abraham	Enzi	Kyl
Allard	Faircloth	Lott
Ashcroft	Frist	Lugar
Bennett	Gorton	McConnell
Bond	Gramm	Murkowski
Brownback	Grams	Nickles
Burns	Grassley	Roberts
Campbell	Gregg	Roth
Chafee	Hagel	Sessions
Coats	Hatch	Shelby
Cochran	Helms	Smith (NH)
Coverdell	Hutchinson	Smith (OR)
Craig	Hutchison	Stevens
D'Amato	Inhofe	Thomas
DeWine	Jeffords	Thurmond
Domenici	Kempthorne	Warner

#### NAYS—50

Akaka	Feinstein	Mack
Baucus	Ford	McCain
Biden	Glenn	Moseley-Braun
Bingaman	Graham	Moynihan
Boxer	Harkin	Murray
Breaux	Hollings	Reed
Bryan	Inouye	Reid
Bumpers	Johnson	Robb
Byrd	Kennedy	Rockefeller
Cleland	Kerrey	Santorum
Collins	Kerry	Snowe
Conrad	Kohl	Specter
Daschle	Landrieu	Thompson
Dodd	Lautenberg	Torricelli
Dorgan	Leahy	Wellstone
Durbin	Levin	Wyden
Feingold	Lieberman	

#### NOT VOTING—2

Mikulski Sarbanes

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote the yeas are 48, the nays are 50.

Three-fifths of the Senators duly chosen and sworn not having voted in the affirmative, the motion is not agreed to.

Mr. D'AMATO addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New York is recognized.

Mr. D'AMATO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I might proceed for up to 5 minutes as if in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will please come to order. The unanimous-consent request has been made.

The Senator from Massachusetts.

Mr. KERRY. I simply ask unanimous consent that I be permitted to follow for up to 5 minutes.

Mr. SHELBY. Reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alabama.

Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, I thought there was some kind of order here. Am I misinformed?

How much time does the Senator from Massachusetts want?

Mr. KERRY. Five minutes.

Mr. SHELBY. I have no objection to that because I am looking for about 20 or 30 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there is no objection, the Senator from New York is recognized for up to 5 minutes, followed by the Senator from Massachusetts for 5 minutes.

The Senator from New York is recognized.

#### HONG KONG STOCK MARKET DECLINE

Mr. D'AMATO. Mr. President, last night, the Hong Kong stock market lost 10 percent of its value. In the past week it has dropped 25 percent of its value. Panic stock selling has taken over the Hong Kong market. All stock markets around the world are declining very heavily. And as of 2:30 this afternoon the Dow Jones industrial average was down 215 points.

This is no coincidence. This is not just the normal fluctuation of the stock market. This is a warning sign of what could be yet to come in Hong Kong now that the Communist Chinese have taken over.

I have spoken out before on this floor about the dangers of the Communist takeover in Hong Kong and, regretfully, my fears appear to be coming true. There is a simple but profound lesson here for Americans and for all freedom-loving people around the world. Until recently, Hong Kong was an oasis of economic vibrance and freedom surrounded by the Communist dictatorship on the Chinese mainland. Hong Kong was economically strong because Hong Kong was free.

Freedom knows no boundaries. Whether it is in America or Europe or

Africa or Latin America or Asia, freedom is what creates the opportunity for people and ideas to prosper, but wherever the Communists have ruled freedom dies.

Mr. President, the collapse of communism in Russia and Eastern Europe is one of the epic stories of our time, a true triumph of the human spirit against the forces of oppression. Unfortunately, the brave people of Hong Kong are suffering a reversal. It is tragic to see a free people come under the yoke of Communist rule.

There is still freedom of Hong Kong today, but the warning signs are ominous. We Americans, as the world's foremost champions of freedom, must remain vigilant in our efforts to prevent the Chinese Communists from imposing the full force of their dictatorship on the people of Hong Kong. I pledge to do that, and I encourage all of my colleagues to join me in this noble effort to be vigilant and not to permit the compromise of freedom on the altar of greed and profits.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Massachusetts is recognized for up to 5 minutes.

#### THE CLIMATE CHANGE TREATY

Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, the United States is currently engaged in negotiating a climate change treaty. This is a negotiation that we have literally only just really engaged in, in the sense that we have only now made clear to the world what our negotiating position will be, the critical elements from which we will proceed. I was somewhat troubled this morning to hear a number of our colleagues come to the floor of the Senate and, frankly, either considerably misstate or considerably misrepresent the very straightforward words of the President yesterday with respect to this subject. The following is the position that the President articulated yesterday.

No. 1, it is the goal of the United States to find a binding treaty which includes not just developed nations but developing nations as well.

No. 2, the U.S. goal is a binding treaty that seeks to bring greenhouse gases to 1990 levels between 2008 and 2012, so as to minimize economic costs to the United States.

And, finally, No. 3, the United States now will undertake policies to fully leverage market mechanisms, innovation, technology, and American ingenuity to make achieving emissions reductions less costly.

I remind my colleagues that all of these positions are completely within the framework of the resolution that the Senate passed, the Byrd-Hagel resolution. That resolution specifically said it must "mandate new commitments to limit or reduce greenhouse gas emissions for the annex I parties, unless the protocol or other agreement"—and I want to emphasize here, "other agreement." The President in

his proposal has made allowance for the very "other agreement" potential that was contemplated in the resolution we passed. It specifically requires that other agreement, or the principal agreement, mandate new, and specific scheduled reductions for the developing countries within the same compliance period.

The second requirement that the Senate passed was that whatever agreement we reached would not result in serious harm to the economy of the United States. Let me emphasize, the term is "serious harm to the economy of the United States." Any fair reading of the President's remarks outlining our position would find that the President is completely within the framework of the Senate resolution. And yet, today, we really heard Senators completely misrepresenting that position and asserting that it is somehow outside of the Byrd-Hagel resolution.

I ask unanimous consent the full text of the President's comments be printed in the RECORD so people can judge for themselves the degree with which we are in compliance.

There being no objection, the remarks were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

#### REMARKS BY THE PRESIDENT ON GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE BEFORE THE NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY

The PRESIDENT. Thank you very much. Mr. Murphy, Mr. Vice President, to all of you who are here. I thank especially the members of Congress who are here, the leaders of labor and business who are here, all the members of the administration, and especially the White House staff members that the Vice President mentioned and the Secretary of Energy, the Administrator of the EPA, and the others who have helped us to come to this moment.

On the way in here we were met by the leaders of the National Geographic, and I complimented them on their recent two-part series on the Roman Empire. It's a fascinating story of how the Empire rose, how it sustained itself for hundreds of years, why it fell, and speculations on what, if any, relevance it might have to the United States and, indeed, the West.

And one of the gentlemen said, well, you know, we got a lot of interesting comments on that, including a letter referencing a statue we had of the bust of Emperor Vespasian. And one of our readers said, why in the world did you put a statue of Gene Hackman in a piece on the Roman Empire? (Laughter.) And I say that basically to say, in some senses, the more things change, the more they remain the same. (Laughter.)

For what sustains any civilization, and now what will sustain all of our civilizations, is the constant effort at renewal, the ability to avoid denial and to proceed into the future in a way that is realistic and humane, but resolute. Six years ago tomorrow, not long after I started running for President, I went back to my alma mater at Georgetown and began a series of three speeches outlining my vision for America in the 21st century—how we could keep the American Dream alive for all of our people, how we could maintain America's leadership for peace and freedom and prosperity, and how we could come together across the lines that divide us as one America.

And together, we've made a lot of progress in the last nearly five years now that the

Vice President and I have been privileged to work at this task. At the threshold of a new century, our economy is thriving, our social fabric is mending, we've helped to lead the world toward greater peace and cooperation.

I think this has happened, in no small measure, in part because we had a different philosophy about the role of government. Today, it is smaller and more focused and more oriented toward giving people the tools and the conditions they need to solve their own problems and toward working in partnership with our citizens. More important, I believe it's happened because we made tough choices but not false choices.

On the economy, we made the choice to balance the budget and to invest in our people and our future. On crime, we made the choice to be tough and smart about prevention and changing the conditions in which crime occurs. On welfare, we made the choice to require work, but also to support the children of people who have been on welfare. On families, we made the choice to help parents find more and better jobs and to have the necessary time and resources for their children. And on the environment, we made the choice to clean our air, water, and land, to improve our food supply, and to grow the economy.

This kind of commonsense approach, rooted in our most basic values and our enduring optimism about the capacity of free people to meet the challenges of every age must be brought to bear on the work that remains to pave the way for our people and for the world toward a new century and a new millennium.

Today we have a clear responsibility and a golden opportunity to conquer one of the most important challenges of the 21st century—the challenge of climate change—with an environmentally sound and economically strong strategy, to achieve meaningful reductions in greenhouse gases in the United States and throughout the industrialized and the developing world. It is a strategy that, if properly implemented, will create a wealth of new opportunities for entrepreneurs at home, uphold our leadership abroad, and harness the power of free markets to free our planet from an unacceptable risk; a strategy as consistent with our commitment to reject false choices.

America can stand up for our national interest and stand up for the common interest of the international community. America can build on prosperity today and ensure a healthy planet for our children tomorrow.

In so many ways the problem of climate change reflects the new realities of the new century. Many previous threats could be met within our own borders, but global warming requires an international solution. Many previous threats came from single enemies, but global warming derives from millions of sources. Many previous threats posed clear and present danger; global warming is far more subtle, warning us not with roaring tanks or burning rivers but with invisible gases, slow changes in our surroundings, increasingly severe climatic disruptions that, thank God, have not yet hit home for most Americans. But make no mistake, the problem is real. And if we do not change our course now, the consequences sooner or later will be destructive for America and for the world.

The vast majority of the world's climate scientists have concluded that if the countries of the world do not work together to cut the emission of greenhouse gases, then temperatures will rise and will disrupt the climate. In fact, most scientists say the process has already begun. Disruptive weather events are increasing. Disease-bearing insects are moving to areas that used to be too cold for them. Average temperatures are rising. Glacial formations are receding.

Scientists don't yet know what the precise consequences will be. But we do know enough now to know that the Industrial Age has dramatically increased greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, where they take a century or more to dissipate; and that the process must be slowed, then stopped, then reduced if we want to continue our economic progress and preserve the quality of life in the United States and throughout our planet. We know what we have to do.

Greenhouse gas emissions are caused mostly by the inefficient burning of coal or oil for energy. Roughly a third of these emissions come from industry, a third from transportation, a third from residential and commercial buildings. In each case, the conversion of fuel to energy use is extremely inefficient and could be made much cleaner with existing technologies or those already on the horizon, in ways that will not weaken the economy but in fact will add to our strength in new businesses and new jobs. If we do this properly, we will not jeopardize our prosperity—we will increase it.

With that principle in mind, I'm announcing the instruction I'm giving to our negotiators as they pursue a realistic and effective international climate change treaty. And I'm announcing a far-reaching proposal that provides flexible market-based and cost-effective ways to achieve meaningful reductions here in America. I want to emphasize that we cannot wait until the treaty is negotiated and ratified to act. The United States has less than 5 percent of the world's people, enjoys 22 percent of the world's wealth, but emits more than 25 percent of the world's greenhouse gases. We must begin now to take out our insurance policy on the future.

In the international climate negotiations, the United States will pursue a comprehensive framework that includes three elements, which, taken together, will enable us to build a strong and robust global agreement. First, the United States proposes at Kyoto that we commit to the binding and realistic target of returning to emissions of 1990 levels between 2008 and 2012. And we should not stop there. We should commit to reduce emissions below 1990 levels in the five-year period thereafter, and we must work toward further reductions in the years ahead.

The industrialized nations tried to reduce emissions to 1990 levels once before with a voluntary approach, but regrettably, most of us—including especially the United States—fell short. We must find new resolve to achieve these reductions, and to do that we simply must commit to binding limits.

Second, we will embrace flexible mechanisms for meeting these limits. We propose an innovative, joint implementation system that allows a firm in one country to invest in a project that reduces emissions in another country and receive credit for those reductions at home. And we propose an international system of emissions trading. These innovations will cut worldwide pollution, keep costs low, and help developing countries protect their environment, too, without sacrificing their economic growth.

Third, both industrialized and developing countries must participate in meeting the challenge of climate change. The industrialized world must lead, but developing countries also must be engaged. The United States will not assume binding obligations unless key developing nations meaningfully participate in this effort.

As President Carlos Menem stated forcefully last week when I visited him in Argentina, a global problem such as climate change requires a global answer. If the entire industrialized world reduces emissions over the next several decades, but emissions from the developing world continue to grow at

their current pace, concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere will continue to climb. Developing countries have an opportunity to chart a different energy future consistent with their growth potential and their legitimate economic aspirations.

What Argentina, with dramatic projected economic growth, recognizes is true for other countries as well: We can and we must work together on this problem in a way that benefits us all. Here at home, we must move forward by unleashing the full power of free markets and technological innovations to meet the challenge of climate change. I propose a sweeping plan to provide incentives and lift road blocks to help our companies and our citizens find new and creative ways of reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

First, we must enact tax cuts and make research and development investments worth up to \$5 billion over the next five years—targeted incentives to encourage energy efficiency and the use of cleaner energy sources.

Second, we must urge companies to take early actions to reduce emissions by ensuring that they receive appropriate credit for showing the way.

Third, we must create a market system for reducing emissions wherever they can be achieved most inexpensively, here or abroad; a system that will draw on our successful experience with acid rain permit trading.

Fourth, we must reinvent how the federal government, the nation's largest energy consumer, buys and uses energy. Through new technology, renewable energy resources, innovative partnerships with private firms and assessments of greenhouse gas emissions from major federal projects, the federal government will play an important role in helping our nation to meet its goal. Today, as a down payment on our mission solar roof initiative, I commit the federal government to have 20,000 systems on federal buildings by 2010.

Fifth, we must unleash competition in the electricity industry, to remove outdated regulations and save Americans billions of dollars. We must do it in a way that leads to even greater progress in cleaning our air and delivers a significant down payment in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Today, two-thirds of the energy used to provide electricity is squandered in waste heat. We can do much, much better.

Sixth, we must continue to encourage key industry sectors to prepare their own greenhouse gas reduction plans, and we must, along with state and local government, remove the barriers to the most energy efficient usage possible. There are ways the federal government can help industry to achieve meaningful reductions voluntarily, and we will redouble our efforts to do so.

This plan is sensible and sound. Since it's a long-term problem requiring a long-term solution, it will be phased in over time. But we want to get moving now. We will start with our package of strong market incentives, tax cuts, and cooperative efforts with industry. We want to stimulate early action and encourage leadership. And as we reduce our emissions over the next decade with these efforts, we will perform regular reviews to see what works best for the environment, the economy, and our national security.

After we have accumulated a decade of experience, a decade of data, a decade of technological innovation, we will launch a broad emissions trading initiative to ensure that we hit our binding targets. At that time, if there are dislocations caused by the changing patterns of energy use in America, we have a moral obligation to respond to those to help the workers and the enterprises affected—no less than we do today by any change in our economy which affects people through no fault of their own.

This plan plays to our strengths—innovation, creativity, entrepreneurship. Our companies already are showing the way by developing tremendous environmental technologies and implementing commonsense conservation solutions.

Just yesterday, Secretary Pena announced a dramatic breakthrough in fuel cell technology, funded by the Department of Energy research—a breakthrough that will clear the way toward developing cars that are twice as efficient as today's models and reduce pollution by 90 percent. The breakthrough was made possible by our path-breaking partnership with the auto industry to create a new generation of vehicles. A different design, producing similar results, has been developed by a project funded by the Defense Advanced Research Products Agency and the Commerce Department's National Institute of Science and Technology.

The Energy Department discovery is amazing in what it does. Today, gasoline is used very inefficiently in internal combustion engines—about 80 percent of its energy capacity is lost. The DOE project announced yesterday by A.D. Little and Company uses 84 percent of the gasoline directly going into the fuel cell. That's increased efficiency of more than four times traditional engine usage.

And I might add, from the point of view of all the people that are involved in the present system, continuing to use gasoline means that you don't have to change any of the distribution systems that are out there. It's a very important, but by no means the only, discovery that's been made that points the way toward the future we have to embrace.

I also want to emphasize, however, that most of the technologies available for meeting this goal through market mechanisms are already out there—we simply have to take advantage of them. For example, in the town of West Branch, Iowa, a science teacher named Hector Ibarra challenged his 6th graders to apply their classroom experiments to making their school more energy efficient. The class got a \$14,000 loan from a local bank and put in place easily available solutions. The students cut the energy use in their school by 70 percent. Their savings were so impressive that the bank decided to upgrade its own energy efficiency. (Laughter.)

Following the lead of these 6th graders—(laughter)—other major companies in America have shown similar results. You have only to look at the proven results achieved by companies like Southwire, Dow Chemical, Dupont, Kraft, Interface Carpetmakers, and any number of others in every sector of our economy to see what can be done.

Our industries have produced a large group of efficient new refrigerators, computers, washer/dryers, and other appliances that use far less energy, save money, and cut pollution. The revolution in lighting alone is truly amazing. One compact fluorescent lamp, used by one person over its lifetime, can save nearly a ton of carbon dioxide emissions from the atmosphere, and save the consumer money.

If over the next 15 years everyone were to buy only those energy-efficient products marked in stores with EPA's distinctive "Energy Star" label, we could shrink our energy bills by a total of about \$100 billion, over the next 15 years and dramatically cut greenhouse gas emissions.

Despite these win-win innovations and commitments that are emerging literally every day, I know full well that some will criticize our targets and timetables as too ambitious. And, of course, others will say we haven't gone far enough. But before the debate begins in earnest, let's remember that over the past generation, we've produced tremendous environmental progress, including

in the area of energy efficiency, at far less expense than anyone could have imagined. And in the process, whole new industries have been built.

In the past three decades, while our economy has grown, we have raised, not lowered, the standards for the water our children drink. While our factories have been expanding, we have required them to clean up their toxic waste. While we've had record numbers of new homes, our refrigerators save more energy and more money for our consumers.

In 1970, when smog was choking our cities, the federal government proposed new standards for tailpipe emissions. Many environmental leaders claim the standards would do little to head off catastrophe. Industry experts predicted the cost of compliance would devastate the industry. It turned out both sides were wrong. Both underestimated the ingenuity of the American people. Auto makers comply with today's much stricter emissions standards for far less than half the cost predicted, and new cars emit on average only 5 percent of the pollutants of the cars built in 1970.

We've seen this pattern over and over and over again. We saw it when we joined together in the '70s to restrict the use of the carcinogen, vinyl chloride. Some in the plastics industry predicted massive bankruptcies, but chemists discovered more cost-effective substitutes and the industries thrived. We saw this when we phased out lead and gasoline. And we see it in our acid rain trading program—now 40 percent ahead of schedule—at costs less than 50 percent of even the most optimistic cost projections. We see it as the chlorofluorocarbons are being taken out of the atmosphere at virtually no cost in ways that apparently are beginning finally to show some thickening of the ozone layer again.

The lesson here is simple: Environmental initiatives, if sensibly designed, flexibly implemented, cost less than expected and provide unforeseen economic opportunities. So while we recognize that the challenge we take on today is larger than any environmental mission we have accepted in the past, climate change can bring us together around what America does best—we innovate, we compete, we find solutions to problems, and we do it in a way that promotes entrepreneurship and strengthens the American economy.

If we do it right, protecting the climate will yield not costs, but profits, not burdens, but benefits; not sacrifice, but a higher standard of living. There is a huge body of business evidence now showing that energy savings give better service at lower cost with higher profit. We have to tear down barriers to successful markets and we have to create incentives to enter them. I call on American business to lead the way, but I call upon government at every level—federal, state, and local—to give business the tools they need to get the job done, and also to set an example in all our operations.

And let us remember that the challenge we face today is not simply about targets and timetables. It's about our most fundamental values and our deepest obligations.

Later today, I'm going to have the honor of meeting with Ecumenical Patriarch Bartholomew I, the spiritual leader of 300,000,000 Orthodox Christians—a man who has always stressed the deep obligations inherent in God's gift to the natural world. He reminds us that the first part of the word "ecology" derives from the Greek word for house. In his words, in order to change the behavior toward the house we all share, we must rediscover spiritual linkages that may have been lost and reassert human values. Of course, he is right. It is our solemn obliga-

tion to move forward with courage and foresight to pass our home on to our children and future generations.

I hope you believe with me that his is just another challenge in America's long history, one that we can meet in the way we have met all past challenges. I hope that you believe with me that the evidence is clear that we can do it in a way that grows the economy, not with denial, but with a firm and glad embrace of yet another challenge of renewal. We should be glad that we are alive today to embrace this challenge, and we should do it secure in the knowledge that our children and grandchildren will thank us for the endeavor.

Thank you very much.

Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, I also point out it is true that yesterday the group of 77 and China proposed a 15 percent reduction in greenhouse gases by the year 2010 under a framework that would exempt developing nations. That is a proposal that would do serious harm to the U.S. economy. It does completely ignore the growing contributions of developing nations to the problem. It anticipates a command-and-control model that would undermine all of the opportunities for cost savings inherent in the market-based solutions that the President has proposed. I believe that is a proposal that U.S. Senators ought to oppose, and I am confident we would. But that is not what the President will agree to. That is not what the President has proposed. That is not, clearly, the negotiating framework within which the United States will attempt to approach this treaty.

I urge my colleagues to read the remarks of the President so they will understand how fully it is within the framework of the resolution that the Senate passed. I hope my colleagues will stand back and really make judgments based on a fair appraisal of our negotiating position and ultimately what we hope to achieve in Kyoto.

Mr. President, before I yield, I would just say it is my hope, obviously, we are about to be able to talk about the framework in which we are going to proceed on campaign finance reform. I would like to thank all of those parties who have worked together to try to come to what I think is a reasonable agreement on that.

I yield the floor.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alabama.

Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I may proceed as in morning business for 20 minutes.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, reserving the right to object—of course, I will not object—I wonder if I could get consent to be recognized after the majority leader and the minority leader, who are going to be recognized a little later? Following their recognition, I would like to be recognized.

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I would object to that. I only can assume that the Senator wants to speak first. The business before us will be the ISTEA legislation.

Mr. BYRD. Yes.

Mr. CHAFEE. As manager, normally I would be the first, the one who would be recognized first, under that. I don't want to waive that.

Mr. BYRD. I ask unanimous consent that after Mr. CHAFEE is recognized, in that order, after the two leaders, then Mr. CHAFEE, if I could be recognized?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the unanimous consent request by the Senator from Alabama? Without objection, it is so ordered. The Senator from Alabama is recognized for up to 20 minutes.

Is there objection to the unanimous consent request by the Senator from West Virginia, that he would follow the Senator from Rhode Island? If not, it is so ordered.

Mr. BYRD. I thank the distinguished Senator from Alabama for his characteristic courtesy.

Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, at this point I yield 2 minutes of my time to the distinguished Senator from Idaho.

#### NUCLEAR WASTE

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, today Richard Wilson, who is the Assistant Administrator for the Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Air and Radiation, has announced that they have given preliminary certification to the waste isolation pilot plant in Carlsbad, NM. To Idaho and to the Nation, this is good news, because for the first time in decades we are on the threshold of beginning to move radioactive waste to a permanent repository, and the waste isolation pilot plant in Carlsbad will handle the transuranic waste, a majority of which is stored in my State of Idaho. This is consistent with an agreement that DOE struck with the State of Idaho over a year ago. EPA's action today is also consistent with a request by Congress that EPA review the facility in Carlsbad, NM, to make sure that it met the standards that we had asked for human safety, environmental protection, and of course dealing with any potential radiation. They believe it does not. Now they must go to the public process.

We hope they will move as quickly as possible in that, because Idaho and the rest of the country deserves to know that by 1998 we will begin to see nuclear waste moving to a safe, permanent repository that this Government and this Senate has asked for well over a decade ago.

I thank my colleague from Alabama for yielding.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alabama.

Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished Senator from Florida.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Florida is recognized.

#### LET LIVAN BE SEEN

Mr. MACK. Mr. President, tonight millions of Americans will settle into

their easy chairs to watch game 5 of the World Series. They will see 22-year-old Cuban-born pitching sensation Livan Hernandez take the mound in his second World Series start for the Florida Marlins.

And for the first time in this Series, the people of Cuba may have the opportunity to join the millions around the world to cheer Livan. Thanks to the graciousness of Major League Baseball and interim Commissioner Bud Selig—Radio and TV Marti will broadcast tonight's game to the people of Cuba.

Now it is up to Fidel Castro to allow his people to watch their hero pitch. Cuba has consistently jammed Marti's broadcast signal. But tonight should be different. Tonight should be special because it is Livan's night.

Mr. Castro, I have a message for you from the American people and baseball fans everywhere: Stop the jamming. Let Livan be seen in Cuba.

For the good of your people and for the good of the game we all love so dearly, please, let Livan be seen.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alabama.

#### UNITED STATES-CHINA SUMMIT

Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, this weekend, Chinese President Jiang Zemin arrives in the United States for the first state visit by a Chinese official since 1985. As you know, China has been described by many experts as the No. 1 foreign policy challenge that the United States will face in the 21st century. Next week's summit will help set our course as we respond to that challenge.

I have traveled to China six times since I first visited in 1983. Most recently, I traveled to Beijing, Shanghai and Hong Kong during the August recess where I met with numerous senior Chinese leaders, including the Chinese Foreign Minister.

In my many visits and contacts, I have witnessed the enormous, and overall positive, changes that have taken place in China since the death of Mao. Yet, while China today is clearly not the China of the cultural revolution, neither is it a "former Communist country," as President Clinton has suggested.

As chairman of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, I am especially interested in Chinese foreign and military policies and Chinese intelligence activities, particularly those that pose potential threats to vital American interests. Last month, I convened in the Intelligence Committee exhaustive hearings into Chinese threats to United States national security, including the reported Chinese plan to influence United States elections.

I am well aware that there is no country that poses such risks, such opportunities, and such dilemmas for United States foreign and security policy. It is clear that China today, as an emerging economic and military power

in the post-cold war, has the option, and increasingly the will, to challenge vital United States interests around the globe.

It is equally clear that despite the demise of communism virtually everywhere around the globe, and despite China's extensive and impressive economic liberalization, the Chinese regime remains determined to maintain its repressive domestic political system.

I will shortly address these issues in greater detail, but, first, I would like to make just a few general points.

When President Clinton meets with President Jiang, he will have the opportunity to define the United States-China relationship in a way that defends vital United States interests and promotes the values upon which our country was founded, while recognizing at the same time legitimate Chinese interests and aspirations.

But President Clinton, I believe, must make it clear that if China wishes to be accepted as a responsible world power, it must act as a responsible world power. If China wishes to work together to promote peace and stability in the region and the world at large, as President Jiang suggested in a press interview last weekend, it must not undermine peace and stability in Asia and around the world by reckless and aggressive actions. And President Jiang, I believe, is wrong when he invokes, for example, Einstein and the theory of relativity to justify China's refusal to comply with norms and ideals which, while not yet universal, are on the march worldwide.

Relativity, as most of you know, is an immutable law of physics. Relativism is something altogether different, and it is not a concept to which we as Americans subscribe.

President Clinton, I believe, must respectfully make it clear that the President of China is wrong when he says that "democracy and human rights are relative concepts and not absolute and general."

Our Founding Fathers did not speak in relative terms when they wrote:

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed.

The courageous demonstrators in Tiananmen Square echoed these ideals when they tried to peacefully exercise their right of consent. They adopted our Statue of Liberty as their symbol, and we saw it brutally destroyed by Chinese tanks on TV.

In one final general point, we sometimes hear the refrain from the Chinese that they do not wish to be bound by sets of rules and norms that they had no say in creating.

There are certain truths that are not limited by time and geography, and the "inalienable Rights" spoken of by the

Founding Fathers, I believe, are among them.

Proliferation and security issues are very important. With the end of the cold war, and the end of the Soviet massive military threat that had provided the glue for the United States-China relationship since its beginning, China has increasingly been willing to challenge core United States interests, by the destabilizing proliferation of weapons technology, and by direct and indirect threats against United States friends and allies.

In June of this year, the CIA's non-proliferation center reported that China was "the most significant supplier of [weapons of mass destruction]-related goods and technology to foreign countries" in the second half of 1996.

China's sales of antiship cruise missiles, ballistic missile technology, chemical weapons, materials and nuclear technology to Iran, a hostile country whose military forces threaten United States interests in an area of vital national concern, directly endanger the lives of American soldiers, sailors and airmen, and, as we know, threaten our ability to defend our interests in the region.

Further, these same weapons serve to intimidate our friends and our allies in the Persian Gulf region. The last time the United States was compelled to defend its interest in the region in Operation Desert Storm, we were able to create a coalition of friendly states, many of which were willing to accept the deployment of United States forces on their soil. Who can say, though, in the future that our allies would respond in the same way in a future conflict if they were faced by a credible threat of Iranian missiles bearing nuclear, chemical or biological warheads?

The threat from Chinese technology sales is not limited, Mr. President, to weapons of mass destruction. Accurate, conventionally armed missiles, especially antiship cruise missiles like the C-802's that China has sold to Iran, pose a serious danger to United States forces. Remember the U.S.S. Stark. Bear in mind that the single greatest American loss of life in the Persian Gulf war occurred when an Iraqi Scud missile with a conventional payload struck a barracks in Dhahran, Saudi Arabia.

It is difficult to speak of "working together to promote peace and stability" when, for example, China has reportedly supplied Iran with hundreds of missile guidance systems, and in the second half of 1996 contributed "a tremendous variety of assistance" to Iran's missile program, according to the CIA.

The transfer of nuclear and missile technology to Pakistan, despite repeated United States objections, jeopardizes the stability of South Asia and flies in the face of United States non-proliferation goals, even though it is less of a direct threat to United States forces. But by increasing the likelihood of a nuclear war that could kill mil-

lions of innocent people, China jeopardizes its claim to be seen as a responsible world power.

It is in this context that we consider the administration's reported plans to announce the implementation of the 1985 United States-China Agreement for Nuclear Cooperation. This agreement cannot, by law, be implemented until the President certifies to Congress that China has met a number of conditions, notably, one, that effective measures are in place to ensure that any United States assistance is used for the intended peaceful activities; and, two, China has provided additional information on its nuclear non-proliferation policies, and that based on this and all other information, including intelligence information, China is not in violation of paragraph 2 of section 129 of the Atomic Energy Act which, among other things, bars United States nuclear assistance to any country that has assisted any other country to acquire nuclear capabilities and has failed to take sufficient steps to terminate such assistance.

According to press reports, Mr. President, China has made or is willing to make a number of commitments in order to obtain this certification. United States diplomats are now in Beijing trying to nail down an agreement on these issues. And at this stage, after years of hair splitting and denying with respect to similar commitments in the past—hair splitting and denial, I might add, on the part of both Governments—these commitments must be, I believe, unambiguous and in writing if they are to convince the United States Congress.

Just last week, China joined the Zangger Committee, which imposes some modest controls on nuclear exports. The administration also reportedly believes that China has complied with its May 1996 commitment not to provide assistance to any unsafeguarded nuclear facility.

In addition, China has reportedly agreed to cease selling antiship cruise missiles to Iran. While agreement on nuclear cooperation is not conditioned on such transfers of advanced conventional weapons, it would certainly be difficult for the administration to argue for nuclear cooperation while China was continuing to sell advanced munitions that could be targeted on U.S. naval vessels protecting freedom of navigation in the Persian Gulf.

As a result of these actions, and other actions, administration officials believe they can make the statutorily required certification, if not at the summit, then in the foreseeable future. If and when such a certification is made, the Congress will have the opportunity to review and, if necessary, overturn this certification.

As chairman of the Intelligence Committee, I am asking the Director of Central Intelligence to provide the Intelligence Committee with the information upon which the administration would base its determination. The com-

mittee will also closely scrutinize this intelligence to ensure that it does support the administration's determination, whatever it is.

But, Mr. President, without prejudging my decision, should the matter come before the Senate, I have the following concerns about early implementation of a nuclear agreement. It seems likely today, Mr. President, and for the immediate future that China lacks the military forces to seriously challenge the U.S. military power in the region.

However, Mr. President, as the only great power whose defense spending has increased in recent years, China is acquiring advanced missile, naval, air, amphibious, and other forces capable of projecting power in East Asia and the Pacific region.

In addition, Mr. President, the Chinese military apparently has learned the lessons of the American victory in the Persian Gulf war, which demonstrated the superiority of modern technology.

Second, in its commitments to date, China has, in effect, agreed only to control sales to unsafeguarded nuclear facilities. This commitment sounds useful on its face, but it is potentially meaningless in countries like Iran and Pakistan that are reportedly pursuing a clandestine military program, because equipment, materiel, and know-how from safeguarded facilities can be transferred to other unsafeguarded facilities, as we all know.

Third, Mr. President, the Congress will want to closely scrutinize the text of any commitments by the Chinese Government.

In particular, I believe we must ascertain whether these recent promises are limited to halting any future cooperation or trade in strategic technology or, Mr. President, whether they also apply to ending existing contracts and transactions that have been ongoing.

If they are only to apply to future activities, then I would be concerned that a whole host of ongoing and dangerous cooperative ventures between China and Iran and other countries would in effect be "grandfathered" and thus not prohibited.

Fourth, China must recognize that mere grudging compliance with the letter of its international agreements does not make China a responsible member of the world community. I believe, Mr. President, that China must go beyond a narrow reading of its obligations to demonstrate by actions as well as words that it accepts, as it has not done in the past, that the spread of dangerous and destabilizing military technologies is not in anyone's interest, including China's.

China, I believe, should, therefore, cease its cooperation with all Iranian nuclear, missile, and other military programs, even if a particular transaction may be permissible under the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, the Missile Technology Control Regime, or other international legal agreements.

I would like to know, Mr. President, how the Chinese foreign and military policy in Asia will work in the future.

In the wake of the cold war, China, which for years viewed the U.S. presence in East Asia and the Western Pacific as a stabilizing force, now resents a security structure that is increasingly viewed as intended—to quote some of them—to “contain” China. Most troublesome, China has shown a willingness to pursue its goals in the region by the threat or use of force.

Mr. President, as we were reminded in last year’s Taiwan Straits crisis, Beijing has never renounced the use of force to reunify Taiwan with the mainland.

President Clinton, I believe, will have an opportunity to have a serious discussion with the Chinese President about how bracketing Taiwan with missiles, followed by a thinly veiled threat against the United States, comports with his stated goals of “maintaining peace and stability in the region and the world at large.”

Our President also must make clear, I believe, our determination that the Taiwan issue be resolved peacefully so that China will never be tempted to resolve it by force.

In addition, Mr. President, to tension over Taiwan, China has used and threatened force to enforce its other claims in the South China Sea. This undermines a lot of allies and friends.

It seems likely that today and for the immediate future, Mr. President, China lacks the military forces to seriously challenge U.S. military power in the region. However, as the only great power whose defense spending has increased in recent years, China is certainly acquiring advanced missile, naval, air, amphibious and other forces capable of projecting power, as I reminded my colleagues just a few minutes ago.

Mr. President, to speak of human rights in the area there, in 1996, in a damning and exhaustive report on Chinese human rights practices, the State Department concluded that “almost all public dissent against the central authorities was silenced by intimidation, exile, or imposition of prison terms or administrative detention.”

In addition to its suppression of political dissent, China continues to maintain a cruel and massive network of forced labor camps. They continue also an inhumane one-child policy, including forced abortion, repression of religious groups, use of forced labor, and ongoing repression in Tibet.

President Clinton, I believe, must place President Jiang on notice that Americans are offended by the notion that human rights are “relative” and that their practices fit within an acceptable definition of human dignity.

I believe, Mr. President, we must ask ourselves, how much real progress can we make in our relationship with China as long as the regime feels compelled to stamp out every ounce of political dissent and believes that it can-

not survive without the “laogai” labor camp system?

Mr. President, on a somewhat more positive note, economic developments, both within China and between China and the United States, continue to generally move in the right direction. However, we encourage China to continue to take the painful but necessary steps to qualify China for membership in the World Trade Organization, notably in the area of opening China’s markets. The sooner they do, I believe, the better off they will be.

We are also encouraged to see some meaningful progress on the protection of intellectual property rights.

Americans support China in its search for prosperity for its people. But we do not, Mr. President, support, and will not tolerate, attempts to build prosperity by ignoring the rules of international trade. Nor will Americans support prosperity built, even in part, on the backs of forced laborers or prosperity that is the result of a Faustian pact in which the Chinese people are forced to effectively surrender their political and human rights in return for economic growth.

Mr. President, let me sum up and be clear on where I stand. I support, as most of us do, a strong United States-China relationship, and I have always done so. President Clinton can work with President Jiang to raise Sino-United States relations to a new high level, as the Chinese President has requested.

But to truly protect American interests and reflect American values, this relationship cannot be based on ceremony alone. We cannot gloss over problems or sweep them under the rug or keep them unfulfilled—and unenforced—as promises.

I believe, Mr. President, it must be based on responsible international behavior with respect to nonproliferation and on refraining from the threat or use of force. Our relationship must be based on steady and consistent progress toward political as well as economic freedom in China.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate majority leader is to be recognized.

In his absence, the Chair recognizes the Senator from West Virginia.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, I had wanted to take the floor to speak on the highway bill, but Mr. CHAFEE was here and he indicated he wanted to get the floor first. As he is the manager of the bill, I have no quarrel with that, so I will not speak on that subject at the moment. I also indicated I would expect to follow both leaders. Inasmuch as none of these aforementioned Senators is seeking recognition at this time, I have sought recognition and will speak briefly but not talk at the moment on the highway bill.

#### LINE-ITEM VETO

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, I will speak with reference to the so-called

line-item veto of the fiscal year 1998 Military Construction Appropriations Act.

I received a letter today from Mr. Franklin D. Raines, Director of the Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, which I shall read into the RECORD. The letter is dated October 23, 1997. It is addressed to me. It reads as follows:

I am writing to provide the Administration’s views on S. 1292, the bill Disapproving the Cancellations Transmitted by the President on October 6, 1997.

We understand that S. 1292 would disapprove 36 of the 38 projects that the President canceled for the FY 1998 Military Construction Appropriations Act. The Administration strongly opposes this disapproval bill. If the resolution were presented to the President in its current form, the President’s senior advisers would recommend that he veto the bill.

The President carefully reviewed the 145 projects that Congress funded that were not included in the FY 1998 Budget. The President used his authority responsibly to cancel projects that were not requested in the budget, that would not substantially improve the quality of life of military service members and their families, and that would not begin construction in 1998 because the Defense Department reported that no design work had been done on it. The President’s action saves \$287 million in budget authority in 1998.

While we strongly oppose S. 1292, we are committed to working with Congress to restore funding for those projects that were canceled as a result of inaccuracies in the data provided by the Department of Defense.

Sincerely, Franklin D. Raines, Director.

The letter indicates that an identical letter was sent to the Honorable TED STEVENS.

Mr. President, we have all heard that the devil is in the details and that it is advisable always to read the fine print. I take the floor at this time, as I have indicated already, just mainly because nobody else is seeking recognition and I am waiting an opportunity to talk further with respect to the highway bill.

Now, as I look at this letter more closely, it says—I have already read it in its entirety—it says in part, “The Administration strongly opposes this disapproval bill. If the resolution were presented to the President in its current form, the President’s senior advisers would recommend that he veto the bill.”

Now, early today, Senator STEVENS, the chairman of the Appropriations Committee, met with the Appropriations Committee and discussed a measure of disapproval of the President’s cancellation of 36 of the 38 projects from the fiscal year 1998 Military Construction Appropriations Act. The committee met and reported out the disapproval measure by a very wide margin. I think that only two votes were cast against reporting the measure. So that has been done.

With reference to the letter from Mr. Raines, let me say at the beginning, I have great respect for Mr. Raines, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. He is a very able director and a very honorable man, as far as I

know. He has always treated me as I hope to be treated. And as I expect to treat others. I respect the President and the Presidency, so what I say has nothing to do with the individuals personally.

That being said, let me more particularly call attention to this sentence: "The administration strongly opposes this disapproval bill," Mr. Raines says. "If the resolution were presented to the President in its current form, the President's senior advisers would recommend that he veto the bill."

My response would be, so what? Go ahead, veto the bill.

Now, more particularly I call attention to the second sentence in the third paragraph, which reads as follows: "The President used his authority responsibly to cancel projects that were not requested in the budget."

Now, Mr. President, the word that intrigues me in this sentence is the word "authority." "The President used his authority responsibly to cancel projects that were not requested in the budget." Now, where does one go, may I ask, to find the President's "authority" to cancel projects that were not requested in the budget? From what act does he derive his authority to cancel projects solely on the basis that they were not requested in the budget? Does one go to the Constitution?

Well, let's see if we can find it in the Constitution. Therein, in article II, section 3, I note these words:

He [meaning the President of the United States] shall from time to time give to the Congress Information of the State of the Union, and recommend to their Consideration such Measures as he shall judge necessary and expedient. . . .

That is what the Constitution says with respect to the President's making recommendations to Congress. So, he submits his State of the Union message, he submits his budget, and so on, but "He shall . . . recommend to their Consideration such Measures as he shall judge necessary and expedient."

But does that language give him authority to "cancel projects that were not requested in the budget?" That language doesn't do it.

Well, let's turn to the language that speaks specifically of the President's veto authority. That is in section 7 of article I.

Every bill which shall have passed the House of Representatives and the Senate, shall, before it becomes a Law, be presented to the President of the United States; if he approves he shall sign it, but if not he shall return it, with his Objections to that House in which it shall have originated, who shall enter the Objections at large on their Journal, and proceed to reconsider it.

It doesn't say there in that section that he shall not sign a bill if it contains any items not requested in the budget. It says, "if he approves [the bill] he shall sign it, but if not [meaning if he doesn't approve it] he shall return it, with his Objections to that House in which it shall have originated."

So I find no authority in the Constitution for the President to cancel

projects solely for the reason that they were not requested in the budget.

Now, let's take a look at the Line-Item Veto Act, Public Law 104-130. Let's see what it says. This is the act under which the President has acted. This is the deformed, malformed, illegitimate end-run that Congress made around the Constitution when it passed that bill. This is the act that we, in one of our weakest moments in the history of the country, passed and gave the President this so-called "authority." But let's see if even in that monstrosity there is authority to cancel projects solely on the basis that they were not requested in the budget. Let's see. Let's read:

Section. In general—notwithstanding the provisions of parts A and B, and subject to the provisions of this part, the President may, with respect to any bill or joint resolution that has been signed into law pursuant to Article I, Section 7 of the Constitution of the United States, cancel in whole (1) any dollar amount of discretionary budget authority; (2) any item of new direct spending; or (3) any limited tax benefit, if the President, A, determines that such cancellation will (1) reduce the Federal budget deficit; (2) not impair any essential government functions; and (3) not harm the national interest.

It doesn't say that the President has authority to cancel projects because they were not requested in the budget. It doesn't say that at all. It doesn't say that the President may cancel items that were not included in the budget. It doesn't say that at all. It says that if he determines that such cancellation will reduce the Federal budget deficit, or not impair any essential Government functions, not harm the national interest"—all three.

So I simply wanted to bring to the Members' attention this letter, in which the very distinguished and highly respected Franklin D. Raines, Director of the Office of Management and Budget states:

The President used his authority responsibly to cancel projects that were not requested in the budget.

I don't find anywhere in the Constitution, or in the ill-advised act itself, any authority for the President to cancel a project simply because it was not requested in the budget.

Well, so much for that. I think we can expect this administration, or any other administration, as long as this act is on the statute books, to expand upon it, to read into it whatever they want to see, read into it whatever they want to read into it. Here is a good example of it. We have now found that they are interpreting the act to give the President the authority to cancel projects on the basis that they were not requested in the budget.

Additionally, in the last paragraph, Mr. Raines says.

. . . we are committed to working with Congress to restore funding for those projects that were canceled as a result of inaccuracies in the data provided by the Department of Defense.

So the President, in this letter, through his Director of OMB—I would

have appreciated it if the President had written the letter himself and signed it himself. But we are told here by the President through his Director of OMB that, indeed, projects were canceled as a result of inaccuracies in the data provided to the Department of Defense.

Now he says they are committed to working with Congress to restore funding. How are they going to do that? The President can't go back now that he has unilaterally amended that law—the fiscal year 1998 Military Construction Appropriations Act. Now that he has unilaterally amended that law, he cannot go back and put those items into law. He has unilaterally amended it after he signed it into law, so he can't go back and put those items in. The heads have been severed from those items. They are dead, dead, dead. So he cannot go back and breathe new life into those items. How is he going to restore funding? He says he is going to veto this disapproval resolution. That is not going to help if he vetoes that act.

But we are told that if the resolution reported out of committee disapproving 36 of the projects is presented to the President in its current form, the President's senior advisers would recommend he veto that bill. That is not going to help restore the projects that were vetoed by mistake. So we have to start all over again, unless we can override that veto. It takes two-thirds of both Houses to do it. The old chickens are coming home to roost.

So my advice to Members is that they go back—and my office will be very happy to assist any Member who wishes to have assistance in the matter—go back and read all of my speeches against the line-item veto. If they will assure me they will do that, I will quit talking. I will quit making speeches on this subject. But all Members who voted for this pernicious piece of legislation will have to assure me and have to show me that they are going back and reading every speech that I have made over the years in opposition to a so-called "line-item veto." If they will do that, then I will quit talking on it. But I think that those Members who voted for that abominable piece of legislation and who are now bellyaching about it should be required to go back and read every one of those speeches all over again. Read them again.

Then I would suggest that they read the Constitution, because it is he who has read it lately that counts. I guess that should be the way of thinking of it, how lately have we read it?

Let me just read one section, the very first sentence of the Constitution. I am reading it so it will not only sound authentic but it will look to be authentic because I am reading it. I am not repeating it from memory. I am reading it. Here it is from the Constitution:

All legislative powers herein granted—

If legislative powers are not "herein granted," they don't exist, do they?

All legislative powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States

which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.

That is it. That is the whole kit and caboodle. That is where the authority rests to legislate. The authority to legislate rests right there. And it doesn't include the President of the United States. Only the Congress can legislate.

Point No. 2: To amend a bill or resolution is to legislate.

Am I correct? Yes.

To amend a bill is to legislate. To amend a bill is to act within—is to act pursuant to that first section of the first article, which I have just read.

Point No. 3: To move to strike an item is a motion and is a legislative act.

To move to strike. That is a legislative act. And it is vested only in the Congress of the United States by virtue of that one sentence that I have just read.

Right? Correct.

Now, the act that Senators are griping about says that the President—any President—after having signed a bill into law may within the next 10 seconds, may within the next 10 minutes, may within the next day, may within the next 5 days go back and take a new look at that law, and he may move to strike. He may not only move to strike; he may strike items from that law.

If the distinguished Senator from Indiana [Mr. COATS], let us say, who is presiding over this Chamber at this moment, moves in this Chamber to strike an item from a bill, that is a legislative act.

So, if he moves to strike an item, or if he is moving to amend a bill, he has to have a majority of this body to support his motion to strike or cancel. And, if he gets a majority, if all Members are here and voting, he will have to have 51 Members—51 votes, including his own—to succeed in striking or cancelling that item from the bill. But he has not finished yet. If he accomplishes that, a majority of the other body also has to agree to his motion to strike, and a majority of the other body, if everyone is present and voting over there, would be 218.

So he has to have 218 votes in that other body to support his motion to strike or cancel this item from an appropriations bill—218 in the other body, 51 in this body. If all Members are present, he has to have 269 Members of both bodies supporting his motion to cancel.

That is a legislative act. Does anyone disagree with that? No. Nobody disagrees with that. That is all accurately and correctly stated.

But the Congress passed an act. We in the Senate voted for it on March 23, 1995, and it went to conference. And it lay dormant in conference for about a year. Finally, I think it was Mr. Dole who got behind it and urged the leadership in both Houses to pass that act because he anticipated being the first to wield the line-item veto pen.

So it was brought back as a conference report. And, on March 27, 1996, the Senate stabbed itself in the back and adopted that conference report giving the President of the United States—any President; not just this one; any President of the United States—the authority to unilaterally cancel or amend a law. He may do it all by himself. He doesn't have to have 218 Members of the other body. He doesn't have to have 51 in this body. He can simply call Mr. Raines and others in the executive branch together and say, "What do you find in this bill, this appropriations act, that Congress has just sent me here? I have signed it into law. I didn't have to wait. I just went ahead and signed it. Now it is a law and no longer a bill. It is a law. But I have the authority now to singlehandedly amend that law."

Senator COATS didn't have that kind of authority. Only a majority of both Houses could amend a bill.

I cannot for the life of me understand how grown men and women who have sworn to support and defend the Constitution of the United States right there at that desk with their hands on the Bible—most of them had their hands on the Bible or swore an oath by it—I cannot for the life of me understand how grown men and women who are supposed to have read that Constitution, who are willing to stand up there and before God and men swear to support and defend that Constitution, how they would then turn right around and pass legislation that flies directly in the face of the first sentence of the Constitution, which says that "All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives." Were they using the Constitution as their guide? No. Were they using the polls as their guide? In all likelihood, I suppose they were, because the overwhelming majority of the American people favor a line-item veto.

I am going to quit very quickly.

Well, I wrestle with my imagination. I ponder over this question. And I try to come to some logical conclusion as to why Congress did what it did. Oh, I know there are some folks who will say, "Well, you can expect Senator BYRD to be against the line-item veto because he likes pork." He likes pork. Let me tell Senators one thing. This Senator will not, will not, will not negotiate with this President or any other President over an item from West Virginia that he wishes to line-item veto. I will not negotiate with him. They may call and say, look, if you will do this or that, we will not line-item veto that item. My answer will be, "Go to it. Veto it."

You mean that Senator BYRD would not negotiate with the White House over a piece of pork for his State? You try me and see. No. I am not for negotiating. When it has reached that point, the subcommittees and committees have acted and have conducted

hearings and earmarked the legislation and it has come before the Senate and the House—there may have been efforts to strike it out along the way, there may not have been, but once it reaches that point and comes back in the conference report, no, I am not negotiating with any President. If he wants to veto, go to it. I think there is a principle that is far more important here than pork for West Virginia or any other State.

So there it is. "Lay on, Macduff; and damn'd be him that first cries out 'hold, enough.'"

I guess there is a song which says, "I'll still be wondering why." And so I am still going to be wondering why. Whatever got into the heads and minds and hearts and livers of the Members of these two bodies that they would be so gullible as to hand to this President or any other President part of the people's power over the purse, which, according to the Constitution of the United States, is vested right here in the hands of the directly elected representatives of the people.

Well, think about it because you are going to hear more about it. You are going to see more line-item vetoes. And if they want to line-item veto pork for West Virginia, "Lay on, Macduff." I am not negotiating.

But I hope Members will think about it and will conclude that it was a mistake and that come the appropriate time they will vote to repeal that nefarious act. And I hope that Members will not bow down and scrape and negotiate with the White House about it. Let the President veto it. He has the right to veto under the Constitution any bill he wants to veto. He has that right according to the Constitution. He has that right.

I am not willing to negotiate to keep him from doing it. If he vetoes it, I know what our rights are. The Congress may uphold his veto or it may reject it. So let's go by this Constitution, and if Members are worth their salt, having made this mistake, they will not make the additional mistake of negotiating with any administration to keep their little items from being vetoed. Because if we do that, we merely legitimize the wrongful act that Congress has already committed. I do not believe in legitimizing it. Let the President veto it. Go to it.

Mr. President, I thank all Senators for listening. Those who didn't listen, they will have further opportunity to listen. And I hope that at least those who read the RECORD 50 years from now will find that somebody up here had read the Constitution lately.

I yield the floor.

Mr. CHAFEE addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Rhode Island.

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I see the distinguished senior Senator from West Virginia still here. Previously, I had said that I wanted to go ahead of the Senator on some discussion in connection with the bill that is before us, the

ISTEA bill. Does the Senator want to go ahead now on that to discuss something? I understand he is not going to present any motions or anything but discuss it.

Mr. BYRD. Not at the moment. I may come back shortly. But I do thank the Senator from Rhode Island for his kind offer.

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. COATS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. CHAFEE). Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. COATS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I be allowed to speak as in morning business for up to 10 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### CHILD CARE

Mr. COATS. Mr. President, today the White House is sponsoring an all-day conference on child care. I believe the President and First Lady have correctly identified this as an important issue to families, and particularly to working families in America. A number of experts have been invited to testify and to participate in panel discussions throughout the day.

This is an important but yet also a very complex issue. The complexity of the issue is that there is one segment of our population that seriously needs high-quality day care in order to work—work that for many has been required through welfare reform. Others work out of economic necessity; both mother and father need to be employed. And again for others, who are single parents raising their children, they need to provide the financial wherewithal to do that. The focus on the child care conference at the White House correctly focuses on this segment of our population.

The conference will focus on three questions: how to increase access for child care; how to make it more affordable; and how to guarantee the quality of child care so that children will be safe.

But, what the conference did not focus on was another segment of the population, in fact a majority segment of the population, the nearly 50 percent who do not have both parents working and another 25 percent who do not work out of the home full time. One of the questions, unfortunately, that will not be discussed at the White House today is how we can ensure that we are not discouraging or sending the wrong signals to the second segment, those parents, those mothers who stay home and do not work and those parents who keep one parent at home raising the child while the other works or they

take separate shifts or they have worked out arrangements to raise their own children.

There is a legitimate need, I believe, to address the first question, how we provide child care for working families, for single mothers, for welfare mothers and others. But there is also a legitimate and essential question that needs to be discussed along with that, and that is what can we do to help those who have made the decision to stay at home?

We have recently had some exciting developments concerning infant brain development, about the much earlier than originally thought development, the connection of synapses that occur, the billions of these connections that occur at very, very early ages and how important it is to recognize that and to make sure that children receive the correct upbringing, stimulation and so forth to foster that development.

Again, unfortunately, there has been little discussion along with that about the critical nature of the emotional development of the infant, because, after all, as many experts have told us, it is the emotional development of the infant that is the fuel that drives the automobile, to use a metaphor. Unfortunately, there has been little discussion about this in the recent child care debate that focuses on those early years and the need for correct and effective childhood development. Recently, as chairman of the Subcommittee on Children and Families, I held a hearing in which we heard testimony from Dr. Diane Fisher, who is a practicing clinical psychologist. I want to quote from her:

Imagine a brilliant, stimulated, optimally educated child who is lacking in self-esteem, self-control, identity or discipline. This in fact is what we are hearing about in our schools today—privileged, indulged children who are wired to the Internet but without a moral compass or a sense of connection to the adults who are supposed to be present in their lives.

Our committee heard about how mothers are biologically hard wired to form a close emotional tie with their children; that this bonding experience is not a quick experience, something to be accomplished in a matter of weeks or even months, but something that is a gradual process that proceeds slowly and over time. Anybody who is a parent knows that. We don't need studies or experts to come and testify as to the kind of bonding that takes place between parents and children, particularly mother and child, in those first critical early months and years and then throughout their growing experience for the next 15 or 20 years or so.

For the last 15 years I have been involved, first, as the ranking Republican on the Early Childhood, Youth and Families Committee in the House of Representatives during my service there and in the last 9 years as chairman or ranking member of the Children and Families Subcommittee here in the Senate. Over that time I have listened to and read and personally vis-

ited experts in the field—sociologists, psychologists, child development experts, and so forth—who have impressed upon me the absolutely critical element of the emotional attachment, the emotional connection, the bonding process between mother and child with infants, and mothers and fathers with their children, and how absolutely essential this correct attachment is for successful childhood development.

Most of this is not accomplished through a complex formula. It is not accomplished through a lot of educational training, academic training, or how-to books. It is accomplished intuitively by a mother motivated by love and enjoyment of that child. It takes an enormous amount of love and motivation to want to pay attention to the subtle cues that an infant or a young child sends on a moment-by-moment, hour-by-hour, daily basis. Frankly, it is very rare to find a caregiver who is either able or motivated by that same degree of love and attention and motivation to pay that kind of attention to a child. Often they have a number of children to look out for, and it is just keeping some semblance of order in the child-care facility that becomes the paramount challenge for the child-care provider.

We talk a lot about and they are talking today at the White House a lot about the term quality. Often that is used by the experts, or those who are discussing this, as a code word, "quality" meaning we need more control, we need more regulation, we need more oversight of child care facilities.

The quality of child care, for those children, especially children 0 to 3, is more than just having developmentally appropriate materials or an effective well-located site staffed by trained individuals that is important in child care, although it is only one form of child care, but quality is, I believe, more clearly related, and according to the experts we had testify before our committee, more clearly related to love and nurture and, as such, I believe, we have to recognize that it is a child's mother, a child's father that are in the best position to offer that love and nurture to their children.

As one mother told me, and this is someone who holds an advanced degree in family therapy, an expert in the field of raising children, she said a baby, a young child, needs to be adored. There isn't a child care provider in the world that can adore my child like I can adore my child. Only a mother can truly adore a child, provide the kind of nurturing that children need when they are growing up. We know that and most American people know this.

A recent Gallup poll for the Los Angeles Times said 73 percent of the American public believes too many children are being raised in day care and not nearly enough are being raised by their mother at home, and children fare best when raised by their mother at home. That figure was up from 68

percent who responded that way in 1987.

If we truly believe in quality child care, then I believe we should focus much of our attention, not just on ways in which we can provide improved quality care for children in day care settings, for those mothers who have no choice, for those families that have no choice, for those welfare mothers who have no choice but to move into the workplace, but we should also provide equal attention to those initiatives that can make it easier for families to have at least one parent remain at home, those families that can juggle their work schedules so that the primary care for their child is from parent to child rather than from paid provider to child.

The White House is going to be issuing a number of initiatives, according to reports, about how we as a society, both the private sector and the public sector, can provide assistance for child care facilities to improve the quality and access to child care. But shouldn't we also be discussing the positive family friendly policies that can provide assistance to those who have the ability or make the choice to stay at home with their children, like extended job protected leave?

As a Republican conservative, I broke with many of my fellow colleagues on the issue of family leave. I believe it is an important provision to guarantee that mothers have the chance of taking at least 12 weeks after the child is born to be with that child, but beyond that, the initiatives of part-time work, flextime, comptime, job sharing, telecommuting, and other corporate policies which a majority of families would prefer if they had the option, because many parents are willing to work less and provide more care for their own children if it is possible for them to do so and still maintain economic viability.

According to a 1991 survey sponsored by the Hilton Hotel Corp., two-thirds of Americans said they would take salary reductions in order to get more time off from work. There is another way we can focus Federal attention appropriately on making it easier for families to provide care for children at home: Tax fairness.

In my time in the Congress, I haven't agreed on too many issues with former Representative Pat Schroeder, but one thing she said that I did identify with and I have always remembered is she said you can get a bigger tax break for breeding racehorses than you can for raising children, and she was right. The Tax Code over the years has penalized parents for spending time with their children by narrowly linking tax benefits to day care expenses and provisions on the other side of the equation. The dependent care tax credit, for example, is constructed in such a way that the more time a child spends in day care and the higher, therefore, the family's day care expenses, the greater the tax benefits.

Mr. President, I don't want to ignore the reality that growing economic and cultural pressures make it difficult for parents to spend as much time with their children as they would like. We all face that problem. Tying tax benefits to day care expenses makes matters worse, not better. It penalizes parents for caring for their own children by redistributing income by those who make extensive use of out-of-home professional day care services. Tax benefits which favor day care over parental care should be replaced, I suggest, by increasing benefits for all families with young children.

While I fully expect that the White House Conference on Child Care will emerge with new policy recommendations, such as model standards for quality care or the expansion of the military model of child care in the private sector, I would caution that we need to pay equal attention to the facts that we have learned about the critical importance, especially in early years, about the need of strong attachment between mother, father and child.

We also must ask the question: Are there policies which we can support and provide leadership on that will, in fact, make that attachment a true priority? Because if we have learned anything over the past couple of decades, it is how critical that attachment between child and family, mother and child, father and child is and the uncomfortable fact that for many, quality child care, though important, can never be an effective substitute for parental attachment.

I hope, Mr. President, that in this day of focus on provision of child care, we can also focus our attention on what true quality care is and look for ways in which we can initiate and implement policies in the Congress and in the workplace that can provide mothers and families with this very, very important and essential element to successful child raising.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

Mr. ABRAHAM addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Michigan.

Mr. ABRAHAM. Thank you, Mr. President. I also thank the Presiding Officer for giving this Senator the opportunity to speak at this point as opposed to presiding. I appreciate his consideration.

#### UNITED STATES-CHINA RELATIONS

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I rise today to address the direction of our country's relationship with the People's Republic of China. As we speak, the Clinton administration is busily preparing for next week's state visit of Chinese President Jiang Zemin. A state visit is the most formal and ceremonial diplomatic event hosted by the United States. It involves champagne receptions and flattering toasts.

While United States-Chinese relations are crucial and important for both countries, I believe it would be in-

appropriate for President Clinton to welcome the Chinese leader in a state visit at this time.

The United States, the world's leading free nation, should not give a red carpet welcome to China's Communist leadership until we see greater strides on human rights, religious freedom and other issues in that country. Rather than a ceremonial visit, we should be holding a working visit with the Chinese leadership, concentrating on the very real issues which exist between our two nations.

In my view, the President should put specific demands on the Chinese leadership, calling for improved human rights policies and an end to weapons proliferation.

Mr. President, China's record of human rights abuses and repression of religious faith is long and disturbing.

Peaceful advocates of democracy and political reforms have been sentenced to long terms in prisons where they have been beaten, tortured, and denied needed medical care.

Women pregnant with their second or third child have been coerced into abortions.

Religious meeting places have been forcibly closed.

Tibetan monks refusing to condemn their religious leader, the Dalai Lama, have been forced from their monasteries; some of their leaders have disappeared.

The President's own State Department Report on Human Rights confirms these allegations.

And recent claims by the Chinese Government that Catholics in particular are few in number and not mistreated have been directly contradicted by the Vatican.

According to the Vatican news agency, Chinese reports simply ignore the existence of 8 million Catholics loyal to the Pope, as well as China's violent actions in closing down secret churches and arresting religious leaders.

China also has engaged in weapons proliferation that endangers our national security.

Although China signed the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty and agreed to abide by the terms of the Missile Technology Control Regime in 1992, violations of both agreements continue. Especially worrisome are Chinese sales of weapons technologies to countries which are trying to develop weapons of mass destruction, countries which America regards as rogue nations.

Chinese weapons exports also have more directly threatened Americans here on United States soil. Companies associated with China's Communist People's Liberation Army the PLA, have been caught attempting to sell smuggled assault weapons to street gangs in Los Angeles.

The Clinton administration's response to these dangerous actions, in my judgment, has been inadequate to say the least.

Last December, the administration welcomed China's Defense Minister,

Gen. Chi Haotian, to Washington. Mr. Chi was one of the People's Liberation Army officers who led the military assault against the citizens of the Chinese capital on June 4, 1989—the massacre in Tiananmen Square.

Now the administration wants to welcome President Jiang with pomp and circumstance. These actions indicate that, where China is concerned, what we have is not a policy of constructive engagement, but one of unconditional engagement.

By agreeing to this state visit without receiving any significant concession on human rights, religious freedom and weapons proliferation, the administration may be squandering its strongest source of leverage with Beijing.

None of this is to recommend cutting off all dialog between the United States and China. Again, I would not object to having a visit for working-level purposes. But I feel the symbolism of a state visit is inappropriate given the current situation in China and our fundamental disagreements.

For this reason, I have cosponsored a resolution, with Senators FEINGOLD and HELMS, to downgrade the upcoming event from a state visit to a working visit. And I urge my colleagues to cosponsor this resolution as well.

We must work, Mr. President, to put United States-China relations on a more substantive basis. And that requires hard work and tough negotiations.

The President must call for specific actions on the part of the Chinese leadership that will improve that country's treatment of its own people and stop its destabilizing activities in the world at large.

According to the Wall Street Journal, "[China] doesn't plan to discuss issues such as human rights" at this upcoming conference. A Chinese Embassy spokesman even said "we do not welcome" advice on such matters.

But, welcome or not, President Clinton must insist that China's leaders address crucial issues like human rights. Indeed, in my view, the administration has a moral duty to press a whole host of issues on the Chinese Government that it may not welcome, but that are of great importance to the people of China, to the United States, and to the world.

Specifically, I believe President Clinton should demand:

First, that the Chinese Government dismantle nonreciprocal tariff and nontariff barriers to American exports to China, and stop the continued export to the United States of products made with prison labor;

Second, that the Chinese Government cease persecuting Chinese Christians, as well as members of other religious faiths, and release all persons incarcerated for their religious or other human rights related activities;

Third, that China end its coercive family planning practices, including its practice of forced abortion, forced sterilization and infanticide;

Fourth, that the Chinese Government stop its activities leading to proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and advanced ballistic missile technology; and

Fifth, that the Chinese Government stop its evasion of United States export control and other laws.

Mr. President, by making these demands on the Chinese Government, the President would put in place the structure needed for a coherent China policy; a policy aimed at protecting our national interests and improving human rights conditions in China.

In addition, I believe it is crucial that the President express his determination to uphold and fully implement the Taiwan Relations Act. This act provides the framework for strong economic and security relations between the United States and the democratic government of Taiwan. Full implementation will show our commitment to freedom in the Asian-Pacific region.

If no progress is made through these means, Mr. President, Congress must act. If the Chinese leadership is not willing to make significant reforms on its own, we must pass legislation targeting its improper activities.

In preparation for that contingency, I have joined with a bipartisan group of colleagues to introduce the China Policy Act of 1997.

This legislation will set in motion a policy that will encourage the Chinese Government to reform its human rights policies, and end its sales of arms and weapons technology to renegade regimes like Iran.

To begin with, Mr. President, the bill contains targeted sanctions aimed directly at Chinese companies that engage in weapons and weapons technology proliferation.

The bill would institute targeted sanctions against PLA companies found to have engaged in weapons proliferation, illegal importation of weapons to the United States or military or political espionage in the United States. The U.S. Government also would publish a list of other PLA-controlled companies.

This would allow American companies and consumers to decide whether they wish to purchase products manufactured in whole or in part by the Communist Chinese Army.

As important, the bill includes provisions to encourage internal liberalization and cultural exchanges between our two countries. It would increase funding for international broadcasting to China, including Radio Free Asia and the Voice of America.

It also would increase funding for National Endowment for Democracy and the United States Information Agency student, cultural, and legislative exchange programs in China.

The bill would contain a variety of other provisions likewise aimed at trying to address the concerns on a targeted basis, Mr. President, as opposed to the approach which has been taken,

in my judgment, for too long, an approach which has focused exclusively on the issue of most-favored-nation treaty status with respect to the relationship between the United States and China.

I think the proper way to address the concerns that many of us have is to focus on the specific concerns themselves and to impose, if appropriate, sanctions with regard to those concerns on a targeted basis.

I firmly believe that it is America's duty as well as our interest to make the extra effort required to promote freedom and democracy in China and to integrate her into the community of nations.

I urge my colleagues to support this resolution and I call on the President to demand that the Government of the People's Republic of China bring itself into compliance with international standards on human rights and religious freedom.

Mr. President, I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. ABRAHAM). Without objection, it is so ordered.

---

#### ISTEA

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, I have been waiting all week to talk about some very, very important things in the highway and transportation reauthorization bill, also known as ISTE or NEXTEA. I am disappointed we have been unable to move to that bill because I think everyone here can agree we have journeyed far in the transportation area not only over the last 6 years under the just-expired ISTE bill but over the last century. We are ready to embark upon the next leg of that journey. I am very distressed and saddened that our colleagues are not willing to move forward on it.

I think everyone in this body and certainly most of our constituents around the country know the importance and the role that transportation plays in our everyday lives and especially in our economy. Our economic stability and progress is tied directly to transportation.

In my opinion, what really worked, what really got us moving on transportation infrastructure in this Nation was President Dwight Eisenhower's vision of an interstate system. That succeeded in building the first network of modern high-speed roads linking our States with each other and with markets around the world.

As my dear friend and colleague from Virginia, Senator WARNER, often says, this is one world market. Our country's transportation infrastructure makes it so.

Mr. President, my home State of Missouri has always been a leader in the

area of transportation. As one example, the first construction contract awarded under the interstate system was awarded for part of Interstate 70 near St. Charles, MO. In fact, the first three contracts awarded under this system were Missouri contracts. I think this demonstrates one more time Missouri's fundamental commitment to and belief in essential infrastructure.

Even though my friend and colleague from New York, Senator MOYNIHAN, and I had some differences of opinion during the 1991 debate, I do agree with many of my colleagues when they give Senator MOYNIHAN and the 1997 ISTEA bill credit for moving our transportation policy forward. The 1991 bill was landmark legislation that enabled us to craft a new generation of highway and transit programs.

Now, let us all recognize that transportation in this country includes everything from transit systems, rail, waterways, air, pipelines, et cetera. However, as we move forward, we must build our new policy solidly on our commitment to the concrete and asphalt reality that roads and bridges are, and will continue to be the foundation of our transportation system. The new policy will be only as good as the foundation on which it is built.

This country has an inadequate highway infrastructure that contributes to 114 deaths on our Nation's highways each day. This is the equivalent of a major airline disaster each and every day of the year. And, tragically, many of these fatalities are our Nation's children. As a matter of fact, motor vehicle accidents are the No. 1 cause of death of American children of all ages. That is truly a remarkable and distressing and tragic fact.

I have to share with you, Mr. President, the fact that Missouri's highway fatality rate is above the national average. I was reminded of these highway tragedies just this past week during the Columbus Day work period in the State, as I have been on every opportunity I have had to travel around the State of Missouri. As I went back and forth across the State, I saw along the roads the little white crosses that had been marked for deaths of motorists and their passengers on Missouri's highways. Some of the highways have very, very frequent intervals of white crosses. And at every stop where I talked with people and listened to them talk about transportation, they told me of friends, neighbors, and loved ones who had been lost in highway accidents. Almost everyone of us in Missouri have experienced or know somebody who has experienced the loss of a loved one or a dear friend. Earlier this year, my good friend Gary Dickenson of Chillicothe, MO, was driving from Chillicothe toward Kansas City where he had business interests, where he traveled frequently on Highway 36, a highway that, because of the traffic, should have been a four-lane, divided highway. It was, in fact, a two-way, two-lane highway. He met a car driven

by a stranger to that part of the road who had crossed over the center line and he was killed.

We have had hearings in Missouri where families who have come to testify for the needs of highways have told us about the tragedy that their families have felt, like the Winkler family in Moberly, and many others, who lost a loved one because someone not familiar with that highway, not realizing that that heavily traveled road was a two-way road rather than a divided highway, crossed the center line and was in the wrong lane and crashed head-on into a fatal traffic accident.

Now, some fatalities on our roads are as a result of drunken driving and improper child safety restraints. But it is clear to me that the major role in these fatalities is the unsafe condition and inadequate capacity of our highways, and we really can't allow this to continue. It is totally unacceptable and we have to do something about it. We must improve upon our existing infrastructure and we have to determine better ways to manage our transportation needs, not only to address the tremendous safety needs, but for our economic competitiveness.

We must not forget that Americans depend upon our transportation infrastructure, mainly our roads and bridges, each day, to get to and from work, school, the shopping center, doctor appointments, ball games, to see friends, and to go to church. But we also know that those highways and byways, those roads and those bridges are vitally important to maintaining economic prosperity. They take workers to and from jobs, and bring goods and supplies into the workplace, and they bring the finished products out. And only if they do so in an efficient and effective manner can we make sure that our products are competitive against the products of other nations in the world.

Well, the condition of our roads and bridges, once the envy of the world, should embarrass all of us. I have listened over the years, and just recently on the Senate floor, to my colleagues from Northeastern States talking about their transportation needs and how they think they are somehow more deserving of additional highway funds than are the Southern and Midwestern and the Western States. On this floor, before the Columbus Day State work period, a Senator from the Northeast alluded to that part of the country as "the crux of our economic mix."

Well, Mr. President, I have to disagree and, like my colleagues who make those statements, be a little parochial because I argue that the crux is the middle part of the country. It is Michigan, it is Missouri, it is Iowa, it is Arkansas, it is Illinois, it is Kansas, it is Oklahoma, it is Louisiana, it is Minnesota, it is Wisconsin, it is Louisiana, it is Mississippi, and Texas. Why, Mr. President? Because not only is this the heartland of the country, but in my

opinion this is where the country's current and future growth will be.

Now, my State of Missouri is "geographically privileged" to be located not only near the geographic center of the United States, and it not only has the demographic or population center of the United States, but it is at the center or at the confluence of our Nation's two greatest waterways, the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers. Not only has Missouri proven itself to be the gateway to the West, but today it is the gateway to the North, South, East and West. Like spokes from a bicycle wheel, Missouri's roads and bridges are fast becoming the arteries that feed not only our country's heartland, but the whole of North America.

Already, according to the Federal Highway Administration, Missouri has the country's sixth largest highway system. According to the Road Information Program, vehicle travel in Missouri grew by 51 percent between 1985 and 1995, compared to a national average of 37 percent. It is the home of the second and third largest rail hubs, the second fastest-growing airport in the world, and the second largest inland port in the United States.

A further example of the dynamic growth in Missouri is Branson, MO, population 4,725. I hope my colleagues—and not just those of us who enjoy country music—know about Branson, because in 1996 alone, Branson was visited by 5.8 million guests. That requires a lot of transportation to bring that many people into a community of less than 5,000 residents.

In addition, we look at our two largest trading partners, Canada and Mexico. One of the main north-south highway routes in this country is Interstate 35 from Laredo, TX, through Oklahoma, Kansas, Missouri, Iowa, and Duluth, MN.

Unfortunately, many coastal States forget about inland States when it comes to the global economy. But for our State of Missouri, and many other "inland" States, our highway infrastructure, coupled with rail, air and waterways, makes us strong players in "our one-world market."

Missouri alone serves over 100 different countries around the world with our exports. In 1995, our exports exceeded \$5.5 billion.

Not only does Missouri export electronics, machinery, and chemicals, but Missouri is one of the largest exporters in the country of agricultural products. In overall agricultural exports, Missouri is ranked 15th among all 50 States in the value of its agricultural exports. Missouri is the sixth largest soybean producer and eighth largest feed corn producer in the country. Missouri ranks 6th in rice production and 13th in wheat production.

If we in Missouri are going to continue to compete globally for foreign trade opportunities of the next century, not only do Missourians need "fair" trade to compete, but we need a "fair" return of our transportation dollars so Missourians have "fair" access

to global markets which coastal States now dominate because they already enjoy such access. A fair return to Missouri is imperative because Missouri's highways and bridges are in tremendous need of more dollars—more of our dollars that we have been sending to Washington, more of our dollars that we have shared in large measure with other States. It used to be, prior to the 1991 act, that we were getting about 75 cents back on every dollar we sent to Washington. We got it up to 80 cents after 1991. And we are hoping—hoping against hope—that maybe we can pass a measure which will get us up to 92 cents, still sharing 8 cents of every dollar that we send to Washington with other States for their transportation needs.

Permit me to quote from testimony provided by Tom Boland, a good friend and chair of the Missouri Highway and Transportation Commission, at a field hearing we held in which the chairman of the committee, the distinguished Senator from Rhode Island, Senator CHAFEE, and the Senator from Virginia, Senator WARNER, were kind enough to participate. Mr. Boland said:

In Missouri, we can demonstrate the need for increased Federal funding to improve the safety of our highways and bridges all too well. Let me take you on a short tour down the Missouri and Mississippi Rivers. The Missouri River enters the State at our far northwest corner, flows southward to Kansas City, then crosses the entire State and joins the Mississippi River at St. Louis. The Mississippi River forms the entire eastern boundary of Missouri.

More than 40 bridges on the State and Federal highway system cross these two rivers in Missouri. More than half of these bridges are structurally deficient or functionally obsolete when evaluated by Federal criteria. They are too narrow or have severe weight restrictions, or both, that prevent commercial vehicle use and obstruct the economic vitality of many of our communities.

Using the Federal Highway Administration rating system, Missouri has approximately 11,000 centerline miles of highways rating fair or worse, or a lower rate. This is nearly one-third of the total State highway system. According to the Surface Transportation Policy Project Report, 81 percent of Missouri's urban highways alone are not in good condition. Over 42 percent of Missouri's 23,000 bridges are substandard.

Missouri has transportation needs that need to be met.

Ever since my arrival in the U.S. Senate, I have worked on transportation issues, mainly on getting my State of Missouri a fair return on its highway dollars. I will be honest; it has been an uphill battle. Even under the bill as reported from the Environment and Public Works Committee, Missouri, and several others, are still donor States. As a member of the committee, I worked with my colleagues, Senators CHAFEE, WARNER, and BAUCUS, to come up with a formula that

was fair. Again, let me be honest; it is not everything I would like. If I got what I wanted, Missouri would be getting a return of \$1.72 or \$2.15 on every dollar they sent in. That is the return that some of the Northeastern States are receiving. But Missouri is not receiving that much.

Yet, I am the sponsor of this bill because it has moved the formula by leaps and bounds in the right direction, and I believe it is a reasonable compromise. It is a compromise that recognizes both the political realities of this place and, I think, the legitimate concerns of all the States involved.

The bill which I am proud to have sponsored with a number of my colleagues addresses three of the top priorities I have.

The bill, No. 1, increases the overall amount of transportation dollars that we invest in our infrastructure.

Two, it gives a fairer return of transportation dollars to the State of Missouri.

And, three, it provides additional flexibility to State and local planners, decisionmakers, and officials to address their specific transportation needs.

I hope that we can move forward on this vitally important legislation so we can address the numerous issues pending, such as transit, safety, and the Finance Committee title, which includes another critically important issue to Missouri and the rest of the country—that is ethanol.

The Finance Committee amendment includes an extension to 2007 of ethanol's tax incentives. This exemption promotes energy security by lowering our dependence on foreign oil. It is cleaner burning. It is a cleaner burning fuel, so it is good for the environment. And it is a renewable resource that really benefits our rural economy. The Senate voted overwhelmingly this summer to support this extension in the Taxpayers' Relief Act, and we defeated those who attempted to end the exemption in 1998. Senator GRASSLEY and others have done an outstanding job of leading our bipartisan coalition. I am proud to be part of that coalition, and I expect us to prevail if and when we are challenged again on this issue.

Another amendment that is important will reauthorize the act providing assistance to States for fish restoration, wetlands restoration and boat safety, commonly known as "Wallop-Breaux." I am particularly interested in a new provision to authorize a new "National Outreach and Communications Program" designed to introduce additional segments of the public—especially America's youth—to the healthy fun of fishing and boating, to increase awareness of boating and fishing opportunities, and to promote safe and environmentally sound boating and fishing practices. Fishing is very important, in my State, to the recreational industry, and it is a favorite pastime of thousands and thousands of enthusiasts. I was out there, I confess.

Most people with good judgment wouldn't be out on a wind-blown lake in 35 degree temperature getting their feet wet, getting cold to the bone but going after the mighty sport fish, a tremendously important part of our heritage, and I am going to keep doing it until one of these days I quit being outsmarted by the fish.

Mr. President, moving our transportation policy into the 21st century will be a challenge. There is no denying that. I hope we can move forward and move forward soon on this vitally important legislation so that these amendments that I have mentioned and other important amendments can be debated and voted on.

It is important to realize that maintaining our Nation's roads and bridges is not a glamorous undertaking, but as with the debate raging in education circles about improving our Nation's crumbling schools, so goes the equally important debate about improving public infrastructure.

Mr. President, as we prepare and plan our transportation policy for the 21st century, I hope all of us remember four basic principles that our new policy must ensure. First and foremost is safety, but also fairness, efficiency, and economic competitiveness.

Mr. President, when we do move to the consideration of this bill—as I said, I hope that will be soon—I intend to offer an amendment with Senator BREAUX, an amendment that has been cleared on both sides of the aisle, because it makes good sense. This is an amendment that affects both the EPA and the Corps of Engineers. They reviewed the amendment. They have no objection to it. It is consistent with administration policy and its Federal guidance issued November 1995. It is supported by the Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. And, beyond that, it is good for wetlands protection. It promotes private-sector efforts to protect wetlands. And it saves money that can be used on highways or other authorized uses under this act. Truly a win-win-win amendment.

Now that I have your rapt attention, let me tell you what this amendment would do.

This amendment provides that when highway projects result in impacts to wetlands that require compensation mitigation under current law, preference should be given, to the extent practicable, to private-sector mitigation banks. The amendment mandates that the banks be approved in accordance with the administration's Federal guidance on mitigation banking issued in 1995, and it requires that the bank be within the service area of the impacted wetlands.

The administration's definition of mitigation banking is

... the restoration, creation, enhancement and, in exceptional circumstances, preservation of wetlands and/or aquatic resources expressly for the purpose of providing compensatory mitigation in advance of authorized impacts to similar resources.

Mitigation is usually accomplished by restoring or creating other wetlands. Isolated, on-site mitigation projects, however, are expensive and costly to maintain. Wetlands mitigation banks are typically large tracts of land that have been restored as wetlands.

A State department of transportation building a highway project which impacts wetlands merely buys credits generated in the bank based on the acreage and quality of the restored wetlands in order to satisfy its obligation to mitigate the harm to the impacted wetlands by the construction of the highway. The bank sponsor assumes full responsibility for maintaining the restored wetlands site, and the State department of transportation has thus fulfilled its mitigation requirement.

The amendment does not change in any way the mitigation required. It provides simply that mitigation banking will be the preferred alternative once mitigation requirements are determined.

Last year, the Committee on Environment and Public Works held a hearing where witnesses from the administration, the private sector, the environmental community, and the scientific community spoke to the promise of mitigation banking as being an important instrument to protect wetlands and to do so with less red tape and, most importantly, at less expense to our highway and transportation programs.

Now, this proposal is strongly supported by the Missouri and the Ohio Departments of Transportation and by the nationwide association AASHTO. A September letter from the Ohio Director of Transportation notes that "the Ohio department's costs for on-site mitigation have ranged as high as \$150,000 an acre when the cost of design, real estate, construction and mitigation monitoring were combined. These costs are not out of line with the high end costs experienced by many other departments of transportation around the country. Our lowest costs for on-site mitigation have generally exceeded \$35,000 per acre. The cost of banking, in our experience, has ranged from around \$10,000 to \$12,000 per acre and includes all of the above-cited cost factors. This equates to about one-quarter the cost of our average on-site mitigation."

In Florida, the department of transportation pays its department of environmental protection \$75,000 for every acre it impacts for mitigation. By contrast, the Florida wetlands bank acres in Broward County are sold for a reported \$50,000 to \$55,000. The State of Illinois in the Chicago area has had a similar experience.

The savings can be significant and they can be achieved because of specialization and economies of scale. As a result, less Federal highway money is spent on mitigating impacts to wetlands. More Federal highway money is

made available for highway construction. And the wetlands, wildlife and conservation benefits are achieved in the most efficient manner possible. The Vice President and others have said we should pursue ways in which we can make environmental protection a profitable enterprise while actually reducing the permit process times for citizens weaving their way through the burdensome wetlands permitting process.

This does just that. Many agree that mitigation banks, which must be approved, will have a greater long-term rate of success in protecting wetlands because, one, the people who sell the credits are in the business of wetlands protection; two, the banks are easy to regulate and be held accountable; three, there is more time and flexibility for a bank to procure and identify high-quality wetlands.

Again, this is a good amendment. It is good for the environment. It is good for the efficiencies. It will save highway dollars and make sure we deliver the wetlands protection with the wildlife, environmental and conservation benefits that go along with it in the most efficient use possible of our precious highway dollars.

I hope that all of my colleagues will support the bipartisan amendment when we are enabled to present it in the Chamber in the consideration of the highway transportation reauthorization bill, ISTEA.

Mr. President, I see others in the Chamber so I will yield the floor at this time. I thank the Chair.

#### MITIGATION BANKING

Mr. BREAUX. Mr. President, I'm pleased to cosponsor with Senator BOND the mitigation banking amendment to the highway bill. I thank Senator BOND for his leadership and am pleased to continue working with him on wetlands-related issues.

The Bond-Breaux amendment is direct and straightforward. It simply says that mitigation banking shall be the preferred means, to the maximum extent practicable, to mitigate for wetlands or natural habitat which are affected as part of a Federal-aid highway project and whose mitigation is paid for with Federal-aid funds.

In addition, the amendment identifies three factors that are to be met in order to use a mitigation bank: first, the affected wetlands or natural habitat are to be in a bank's service area; second, the bank has to have enough credits available to offset the impact; and third, the bank has to meet federally approved standards.

So, Senator BOND and I, through this amendment, are simply trying to establish a reasonable, responsible wetlands and natural habitat mitigation policy as part of the Federal-aid highway program.

Our proposal has two key components: First, we say give mitigation banking a preference, to the maximum extent practicable, which is reasonable. Second, we say a bank should meet cer-

tain conditions to ensure its effectiveness and viability, which is being responsible.

Let me emphasize that our amendment does not mandate the use of mitigation banks. Nor does the amendment require their use nor does it say they shall be the sole means or the only method used to mitigate affected wetlands or natural habitat.

The Bond-Breaux amendment simply says mitigation banks shall be the preferred means, to the maximum extent practicable, and they must meet certain responsible conditions before they can be used.

Louisiana's transportation department officials have said that the State already uses mitigation banks and areas as an option for some of its highway projects.

Mitigation banks can offer several advantages when constructed and operated responsibly. They can achieve economies of scale. They can provide larger, higher quality and diverse habitat and they can make mitigation costs less expensive when compared to costs for some isolated mitigation sites which are not part of a bank.

The Bond-Breaux amendment certainly is in line with the environmental provisions and direction of the proposed highway bill we have before the Senate, S. 1173.

For these reasons, I urge the Senate's adoption of the amendment when it comes up for consideration.

Mr. CHAFEE addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Rhode Island.

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate proceed to a period for the transaction of morning business with Senators permitted to speak for up to 5 minutes until the hour of 6:30 p.m.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### THE VERY BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, at the close of business yesterday, Wednesday, October 22, 1997, the Federal debt stood at \$5,421,844,508,272.92. (Five trillion, four hundred twenty-one billion, eight hundred forty-four million, five hundred eight thousand, two hundred seventy-two dollars and ninety-two cents)

One year ago, October 22, 1996, the Federal debt stood at \$5,228,756,000,000. (Five trillion, two hundred twenty-

eight billion, seven hundred fifty-six million)

Five years ago, October 22, 1992, the Federal debt stood at \$4,062,097,000,000. (Four trillion, sixty-two billion, ninety-seven million)

Ten years ago, October 22, 1987, the Federal debt stood at \$2,384,316,000,000. (Two trillion, three hundred eighty-four billion, three hundred sixteen million)

Fifteen years ago, October 22, 1982, the Federal debt stood at \$1,140,017,000,000 (One trillion, one hundred forty billion, seventeen million) which reflects a debt increase of more than \$4 trillion—\$4,281,827,508,272.92 (Four trillion, two hundred eighty-one billion, eight hundred twenty-seven million, five hundred eight thousand, two hundred seventy-two dollars and ninety-two cents) during the past 15 years.

#### IMMIGRATION EXTENSION IN THE CONTINUING RESOLUTION

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Mr. President, I would like to make several comments on the extension of the provision of section 245(I) which is in the continuing resolution we passed today.

This provision of the Immigration and Nationality Act allowed foreign nationals to adjust their status while remaining in this country after either entering the United States illegally or remaining in this country after their visa expired and they became illegal.

Either way, these individuals have entered this country without having respect for our laws or have remained here because of little or no respect for our laws.

On August 22, 1996, this body passed legislation to attempt to enforce stricter penalties against those foreign nationals that arrive in the United States illegally or remain hidden in the workforce illegally after their visas expire. The law we passed required illegal aliens to leave this country and go through the proper channels of immigration from their homeland or remain here and be subject to a 3- or 10-year bar from reentry into our country.

The Illegal Immigration Act of 1996 calls for a mandatory 3-year bar against that illegal alien from entering this country if he or she has remained illegally in this country for 180 days after April 1, 1997.

If he or she remains here for 1 year after April 1, 1997, that bar is 10 years.

It appears in just over 1 year from passing this legislation and just at the time the 180 day timeframe kicks in—now this body is attempting to provide a loophole for illegal aliens to remain in this country with little or no consequence.

I am opposed to this extension. And I will not vote for any legislation that permanently extends the cut off period. What we are doing is rewarding illegal behavior.

I sometimes wonder why we have immigration laws that we do not enforce?

Our immigration policy in this country is a mess. We don't have a policy, because if we make one we make exceptions to it almost immediately. Here we are 1 year later and we are providing extensions already. When is this kind of legislating going to stop?

For as little as \$1,000, someone can remain in this country illegally. This is a small price to pay to enable someone with little regard for our laws to remain in this great country.

Mr. President, what kind of signal does it send to hardworking, law-abiding Americans—that you can come to this country illegally and stay here illegally, for as little as \$1,000.

I think we send the signal that anybody can come to the United States at anytime and stay here for as long as they want.

Maybe I have the answer to the respect for our laws that some noncitizens have. I have also received information from the Bureau of Prisons that in the Federal prison system approximately 26.6 percent of the Federal inmates are not U.S. citizens as of June 1997. To take care of these prisoners is costing U.S. taxpayers \$687 million a year.

By the U.S. Congress extending the ability to adjust status to persons that have little regard for our laws with such little consequence, we are only condoning illegal actions and opening the door to further crime.

Illegal immigrants have put a burden on our Federal system which we cannot sustain and remain solvent. This is wrong. We as a country cannot continue to fix the errors of illegal immigrants. They should be held accountable for their actions.

Mr. President, it is a privilege to be in this great country. We must request all residents, whether citizens or noncitizens, of the United States adhere to our laws. And our message should be consistent.

For these reasons, I am strongly opposed to the extension of 245(I) that is in the continuing resolution. I am further opposed to any effort to make permanent changes to this law that would weaken our immigration policy.

#### MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Mr. Williams, one of his secretaries.

##### EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations which were referred to the appropriate committees.

(The nominations received today are printed at the end of the Senate proceedings.)

#### MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

At 11:47 a.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by

Ms. Goetz, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has agreed to the following concurrent resolution:

S. Con. Res. 56. Concurrent resolution authorizing the use of the rotunda of the Capitol for a ceremony honoring Leslie Townes (Bob) Hope by conferring upon him the status of an honorary veteran of the Armed Forces of the United States.

The message also announced that the House has passed the following bill, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 1534. An act to simplify and expedite access to the Federal courts for injured parties whose rights and privileges, secured by the United States Constitution, have been deprived by final actions of Federal agencies, or other government officials or entities acting under color of State law; to prevent Federal courts from abstaining from exercising Federal jurisdiction in actions where no State law claim is alleged; to permit certification of unsettled State law questions that are essential to resolving Federal claims arising under the Constitution; and to clarify when government action is sufficiently final to ripen certain Federal claims arising under the Constitution.

The message further announced that the House insists upon its amendments to the bill (S. 830) to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Public Health Service Act to improve the regulation of food, drugs, devices, and biological products, and for other purposes, and asks a conference with the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon; and appoints Mr. BLILY, Mr. BILIRAKIS, Mr. BARTON, Mr. GREENWOOD, Mr. BURR, Mr. WHITFIELD, Mr. DINGELL, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. WAXMAN, and Mr. KLING, as the managers of the conference on the part of the House.

##### ENROLLED JOINT RESOLUTION SIGNED

A message from the House of Representatives, delivered by one of its reading clerks, announced that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled joint resolution:

H.J. Res. 97. Joint resolution making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1998, and for other purposes.

The enrolled joint resolution was signed subsequently by the President pro tempore [Mr. THURMOND].

At 5:59 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mr. Hays, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, with an amendment, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

S. 1139. An act to reauthorize the programs of the Small Business Administration, and for other purposes.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. STEVENS, from the Committee on Appropriations, with an amendment:

S. 1292. A bill disapproving the cancellations transmitted by the President on October 6, 1997, regarding Public Law 105-45.

EXECUTIVE REPORTS OF  
COMMITTEES

The following executive reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. HATCH, from the Committee on the Judiciary:

Charles Vincent Serio, of Louisiana, to be United States Marshal for the Eastern District of Louisiana for the term of four years.

Joaquin L. G. Salas, of Guam, to be United States Marshal for the District of Guam and concurrently United States Marshal for the District of the Northern Mariana Islands for the term of four years.

Jose Gerardo Troncoso, of Nevada, to be United States Marshal for the District of Nevada for the term of four years.

Kenneth Ray McFerran, of Arkansas, to be United States Marshal for the Western District of Arkansas for the term of four years.

(The above nominations were reported with the recommendation that they be confirmed, subject to the nominees' commitment to respond to requests to appear and testify before any duly constituted committee of the Senate.)

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND  
JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second time by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. FORD (for himself, Mr. HELMS, Mr. FAIRCLOTH, Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. CLELAND, Mr. HOLLINGS, and Mr. THURMOND):

S. 1310. A bill to provide market transition assistance for tobacco producers, tobacco industry workers, and their communities; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

By Mr. LOTT (for himself, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. REID, Mr. D'AMATO, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. COVERDELL, Mr. HELMS, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. BROWNBAC, Mr. BENNETT, Mr. CAMPBELL, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. MACK, Mr. SHELBY, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. HOLLINGS, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. NICKLES, Mr. CLELAND, Mr. INOUE, Mr. DORGAN, Mr. BRYAN, Mr. ABRAHAM, and Mr. REED):

S. 1311. A bill to impose certain sanctions on foreign persons who transfer items contributing to Iran's efforts to acquire, develop, or produce ballistic missiles; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. ABRAHAM:

S. 1312. A bill to save lives and prevent injuries to children in motor vehicles through an improved national, State, and local child protection program; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND  
SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. FORD):

S. Res. 138. A resolution authorizing expenditures for consultants by the Committee on Rules and Administration; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. JEFFORDS (for himself, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. DODD, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. DEWINE, Mr.

WELLSTONE, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. REED, Mr. LEVIN, and Mr. INOUE):

S. Res. 139. A resolution to designate April 24, 1998, as "National Child Care Professional's Day", and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED  
BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. FORD (for himself, Mr. HELMS, Mr. FAIRCLOTH, Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. CLELAND, Mr. HOLLINGS AND Mr. THURMOND):

S. 1310. A bill to provide market transition assistance for tobacco producers, tobacco industry workers, and their communities; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

THE LONG-TERM ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE FOR  
FARMERS ACT

Mr. FORD. Mr. President, on June 20, the attorneys general of several States emerged from a Washington hotel conference room to announce a proposed national tobacco settlement. The announcement sent Washington spin doctors to work, pronouncing the defeat of public enemy number one—the tobacco industry. Press release after press release painted a picture of fat cat tobacco executives, rich at the expense of public health, finally being called to account.

But this picture of tobacco is not what I see when I go home to Kentucky. There I see hard-working farmers trying to make an honest living off a crop that has helped hundreds of communities in my State thrive for centuries.

Maybe you've forgotten about the farmer. That wouldn't surprise me. They weren't in the room during the tobacco negotiations. They were not included in the final settlement, and to date, the only plan that mentions them would put them out of business.

Mr. President, it is as if the thousands of men and women who have been the bedrock of hundreds of communities simply no longer have any value.

Sixty thousand farm families produce tobacco in 119 of 120 counties in my State. While tobacco uses only 1 to 2 percent of their acreage, it produces 20 to 25 percent of their farm income. Along with these farm families are tens of thousands of workers who warehouse, process and manufacture tobacco. They all live in communities where every tobacco dollar has a multiplier effect on the local economy, rolling over three to four times.

And they're the reason I am here today.

Mr. President, I am pleased to join several of my colleagues in introducing legislation which addresses the needs of tobacco farmers, tobacco workers, and their communities and should provide the framework for taking care of them in any comprehensive legislation.

First and foremost, "taking care of them" means protecting the tobacco program.

Opponents of the program claim they're not attacking farmers, but

with the program goes stability, with the program goes the small family farmer, and with the program goes hundreds of small rural communities.

Mr. President, the program is the key to preventing fence row to fence row production.

It is the key to keeping tobacco prices high.

And it is the key to keeping tobacco production in the hands of small family farms and keeping rural communities alive.

Without the program, look for cheap cigarettes, look for the size of farms—at the very least—to triple in size. Look for family farms to go out of business, and look for the rural communities they sustain, to shut down.

What are the benefits of killing the program? For hard-working family farmers there simply are none.

That is why killing the program is a nonstarter. And even though criticisms are based either on misconceptions or misrepresentations of the program, we're willing to address them by covering all these costs with our legislation. But make no mistake, we're not willing to eliminate the program.

The legislation we're introducing today follows the principles every one of my colleagues went on record supporting in a September 9 Sense of the Senate amendment. We all agreed that tobacco growers should be fairly compensated as part of any Federal legislation to implement the tobacco settlement. We all agreed tobacco growing communities should be provided sufficient resources to adjust to the economic impact of any settlement legislation. We all agreed compensation to farmers and their communities should come from funds provided within the parameters of the national settlement, as paid by tobacco manufacturers. And we all agreed the tobacco program should be maintained and operated at no net cost to the taxpayer.

These four simple principles will mean the difference between a productive future for tobacco farmers and a "for sale" sign up at the end of the driveway—the difference between communities where a farmer's children stay to raise their children and a ghost town.

At the core of the legislation is the establishment of a Tobacco Community Revitalization Trust Fund. The trust fund will provide compensation for farmers, investment funds for communities, and education and retraining funds, all within the parameters of the tobacco program and the national tobacco settlement dollar figure.

First, the fund will provide tobacco quota holders with "Payments for Lost Tobacco Quota" based on the drop in the amount of tobacco they can grow. The national tobacco settlement could cause consumption to drop substantially, which would translate into deep cuts in each farm's tobacco quota and each farmer's income. Under our bill, quota holders will receive \$4 per pound per year for every pound by which the

quota drops below their base quota. A maximum lifetime limit on payments will be set for quota holders at 8 times the number of pounds in their base quota. Those who lease quota or grow tobacco as a tenant farmer will receive \$2 per pound, with a life time cap of \$4 per pound.

Second, the trust fund will make payments to cover all administrative costs associated with the production of tobacco. This will include salaries at USDA to administer the tobacco program, and any shortfall in the provision of crop insurance for tobacco farmers. This should finally put a stop to false claims that tobacco growers receive subsidies from the Federal Government.

Third, the trust fund will provide Farmer Opportunity grants for higher education. Tobacco farmers and their dependents will be eligible for higher education grants of up to \$1,700 per year—which is the current average size of a Pell grant—to attend a university, community college, vocational school, or other recognized institution. Academic eligibility standards will be modeled after Pell grants, including requirements that students maintain satisfactory progress toward the completion of their degree, and maintain at least a C average. Funding will be provided to cover up to 25,000 individuals from tobacco farm families.

Mr. President, the tobacco program has long meant the difference between whether a family can afford to send their children to college or whether their education stops after grade 12. We need to do everything we can to preserve a farm family's ability to provide their children with access to higher education opportunities.

Fourth, the fund will provide benefits to displaced workers from tobacco warehousing, processing, and manufacturing operations. This program is modeled after the NAFTA Trade Adjustment Assistance Program for Displaced Workers. Under these provisions, workers who lose their jobs can receive tobacco readjustment allowances, employment services, job training, job search allowances, and relocation allowances, all of which are modeled after the NAFTA benefits and services.

And fifth, the fund will provide economic development assistance to tobacco growing communities hit hard by the national tobacco settlement.

The economic development fund will begin at \$400 million per year minus the amount used for administrative costs of the tobacco program, distributed through block grants to tobacco growing States.

States can use the funding to provide several types of assistance including rural business enterprise grants, farm ownership loans, activities which create farm and off-farm employment, activities which expand infrastructure facilities, and services which help diversify local economies, long-term business technical assistance, grants to ag-

ricultural organizations to help tobacco growers find supplemental agricultural activities, and activities which create or expand locally owned value-added agricultural processing and marketing operations.

Providing stability, preserving traditions, keeping farms in the hands of families, protecting hundreds of communities, Mr. President, I believe this legislation will give tobacco farmers, tobacco industry workers and tobacco growing communities the resources to deal with the national tobacco settlement likely to impact them.

With the tobacco program completely funded by tobacco growers or the industry itself, antitobacco advocates can no longer take aim at the farmer under the pretense of fiscal responsibility. And with a sense of stability and predictability, farmers can begin to prepare for the future in a responsible and thoughtful way.

I plan on sharing this proposal with my colleagues involved in writing comprehensive legislative proposals to implement the national tobacco settlement, but I hope all my colleagues interested in this issue and interested in preserving a farming tradition will take a close look at this program so that we can move forward in helping tobacco farm families and their communities.

Mr. President, we have not just singled out the farmer. We have included the total community, from education to job opportunity, whatever it might be, so we have taken in the whole community. I am very pleased with the hard work and support that has been given to me by Senator MCCONNELL, Senator FAIRCLOTH, Senator HELMS, and others to make this introduction so important today.

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Mr. President, I rise as an original cosponsor of this bill, the LEAF Act. I want to thank Senator FORD for the hard work and the leadership role he has taken over his years in the Senate on this bill and in support of the tobacco industry as a whole and, especially, the farmers involved in it.

There has been a lot of talk on this floor about farmers. Everyone is against tobacco, but they are for farmers. Everyone pledges to help the farmers. This bill is a blueprint for that help. This plan offers assistance to the tobacco community across North Carolina, Kentucky, and the entire producing area, including Virginia, South Carolina, Georgia, and Tennessee. These people are the men and women in tobacco fields and cigarette factories and their communities.

There are 18,000 tobacco farmers in North Carolina and thousands more throughout the Southeast. The farmers of my State collect more than \$1 billion in receipts each year from tobacco alone. That is a big number, but it is spread over many small farms. Everyone in Washington talks about the small farmer, the family farm, but North Carolina is the State of small

farms. The average farm size in North Carolina is just 159 acres, one-third of the national average, which is 469 acres. It is difficult at best to make a living on a small farm. Tobacco kept these people alive on small farming operations over the last 60 years. Tobacco produces roughly \$1,200 an acre in net profit. There isn't anything else they can plant that comes close to this, even remotely close. Tobacco keeps the family farm together, and, Mr. President, it keeps the family on the farm. That is why we are here with this bill and the reason I am here this morning.

The impact of this proposed tobacco settlement would throw thousands of small farmers off their land and immediately into bankruptcy. It is up to us to step up and to help them through this transition.

I have talked about farmers so far and only farmers, but the economic impact of tobacco and this proposed settlement is not limited to farmers. There are 20,000 working people in factories across North Carolina manufacturing tobacco products. They pay mortgages, buy groceries and struggle to meet tuition bills. They are simply middle-class American people. However, tobacco is their livelihood, and Congress has set its sights on destroying their livelihood. That is simply what has happened here.

The entire tobacco sector employs 100,000 people in North Carolina. That is \$7 billion in business in the State. It is 8 percent of the work force and represents a lot of families. I am here to attempt to stand up for these people.

Next year the Congress will take up an agreement that deals a real blow to the livelihood of these thousands of people. Tobacco production is expected to drop significantly under the proposed agreement. The farmers and factory workers are in the cross hairs of the tobacco settlement, and whether the antitobacco crowd is aiming at them or not, they are the ones who are going to be hit. This bill tells them that Congress will try to lessen the effects on the innocent parties, the hard-working men and women in the tobacco fields and on the factory floor.

Senator FORD explained these transition payments to farmers. The Freedom to Farm Act moved farmers to an unregulated market and included substantial transition payments to assist them through this change. However, there was nothing in that bill designed to cut production of corn, wheat or any other crop. This proposed tobacco settlement takes aim at this crop, however, so the transition payments are a necessity.

The amount of money in this bill for the farmers and factory workers is modest compared to the amount of money that others seeking from the settlement. Somewhere in the neighborhood of \$28 billion would be involved in Senator FORD's bill. Now, it might interest you to know that the hundreds of trial lawyers involved in this potential settlement expect to receive up to

\$45 billion, almost two times as much as we are asking for the more than 150,000 people effected by this settlement.

The farmers face a situation where the Government will target their crop and cut its production. We need the transition money. How many people, farmers or not, could stand a quick reduction of 30 percent of their income due to the intended actions of the Federal Government? That is simply what we are talking about here—reducing the tobacco farmer's income by 30 percent. This bill is about the future of communities and literally big sections of our State. The bill includes farm opportunity scholarships to allow the farmers and their children additional educational opportunities. It also provides for rural development to enable these communities to survive the transition. This bill tells farmers that Congress is not leaving them without any options for the future. It tells them the rhetoric against tobacco is not really against them. At this moment they believe that it is and have every reason to think so.

This bill is a chance to back up all the rhetoric about being against tobacco but for farmers. If we are for farmers, we will pass this bill. I hope my colleagues will join me, Senator FORD, Senator MCCONNELL, and Senator HELMS in support of this bill.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I thank Senator FORD for his important work and his leadership on this issue. It is so vital to the State we jointly represent.

I am pleased to be on the floor of the Senate today to talk about an industry that has played an integral role in our country's history and continues to shape the cultural and economic landscape of the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The industry, of course, is tobacco. And for the next few minutes I want to discuss tobacco and the shifting political terrain that will affect the 136,000 farmers who produce this agricultural commodity.

This summer a group of States attorneys general, representatives of the major tobacco companies, and public health officials negotiated an agreement that would limit the companies' legal liability in exchange for their promise to help reduce smoking and compensate States for past damages caused by use of their product. This agreement obviously must be passed by the Congress and signed by the President to have the force of law, and that process is now what best could be described as in its initial stages.

To my deep disappointment, tobacco farmers were not included in these negotiations. They had no seat at the table. Not surprisingly, there is not a single penny in this \$368 billion pool of money for tobacco farmers, even though they will be the ones most directly impacted by the agreement. On the other hand, the agreement allows for the compensation of well-heeled sporting enterprises such as auto rac-

ing and rodeos in the event they lose sponsorship dollars but not a penny goes to the hard-working tobacco farmers who may well be driven off their family farms because of an agreement to which they were not a party.

Today, along with Senator FORD, the principal craftsman of this bill, Senator HELMS and Senator FAIRCLOTH, I propose to right that wrong by supporting a package that will provide for these farmers' well-being. Today, my colleagues and I are introducing the Long-term Economic Assistance for Farmers Act, what we call the LEAF Act, which creates an umbrella "Tobacco Community Revitalization Fund." The fund, to be paid for from moneys within the existing \$368-billion settlement, will stabilize the incomes of tobacco farmers by providing payments for lost tobacco quota to tobacco quota holders, tenants and those who lease quota. Quota holders who produce their own tobacco will be paid \$4 a pound in any given year for every pound their quota falls behind their average 1994-1966 quota level. In the case of leased tobacco and tenant farmers, payments will be \$2 a pound.

A portion of the fund will also be used for Tobacco Community Economic Development Grants which will help transition tobacco dependent communities to a more diversified economic base. The economic development grants will be used for costs incidental to the tobacco program, economic development grants to States, farmer opportunity grants for education and training, and assistance for displaced tobacco industry workers.

Mr. President, most agree that tobacco farmers and their communities should not bear the brunt of the agreement's dislocating effects. For instance, Minority Leader DASCHLE has said that "We need to address some of the concerns that were not addressed in the agreement \* \* \* especially those dealing with small farmers." The President himself has said, "Any tobacco legislation must protect tobacco farmers and their communities." Even tobacco's most committed foes such as former FDA Commissioner David Kessler recognize that, as he put it, "farmers should not be left out" of the agreement. The LEAF Act does provide for farmers. It provides compensation for reduced quota to owners and those who produce the tobacco. It provides opportunities for tobacco farmers to diversify their crops. It provides economic stability for small tobacco farmers and their tobacco communities. It provides education and training opportunities for tobacco farmers and their dependents. It keeps farmers like mine in Kentucky in the business of producing this legal agricultural commodity.

So, Mr. President, I rise in support of the LEAF Act. I thank Senator FORD for his leadership and tireless efforts to protect our tobacco growers and their communities. I believe Senator FORD's bill provides the best alternative for our growers.

Having said that, I realize we face an uphill battle. Today's political environment for tobacco interests is darkened mightily. In today's Senate, outrageously unfair amendments that deny basic crop insurance to tobacco farmers are only narrowly defeated. The ceaseless assault on tobacco has left the tobacco grower imperiled. In this context it may be difficult to sustain the political support necessary to enact all of the bill's provisions. I personally will fight for the Ford package, but I also will be cognizant of political reality. It is my fervent hope that we can incorporate the LEAF Act into any settlement legislation.

If that is not achievable, I will not be discouraged from pursuing alternative ways to best provide tobacco farmers' needs.

Finally, Mr. President, as Congress discusses the proposed tobacco settlement, I urge my colleagues to remember that our decisions will not affect some nameless, faceless machine. Rather, our actions here will bear directly on thousands of hard-working tobacco farmers, men and women who pay their taxes, go to church, raise their families, and do their best to provide for future generations. We owe it to them to ensure that today's changes in the tobacco culture leave them with a stable future as well.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

Mr. FORD. Let me thank my colleagues for their remarks. One of the things that we have to take into consideration is that this bill is a bill that looks not only to the farmer but to his family, his children for education, and economic development in the community. I hope people understand, I hope my colleagues understand, that this bill incorporates payment for everything, even the shortfall in the crop insurance. So there should not be these so-called cheap shots, as my colleague from Kentucky explained, as it relates to the tobacco farmer, under this proposal. If you take a look, I would hope Senators will understand that. We have worked very hard putting this package together and hopefully it will be accepted within the parameters of any agreement.

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, I too am pleased to be an original cosponsor of Senator FORD's bill, titled the Long-term Economic Assistance for Farmers Act (S. 1310). The able senior Senator from Kentucky is to be commended for offering this legislation.

Mr. President, as farmers and rural communities in tobacco-growing States come to terms with the national tobacco settlement, this bill will address some of the needs sure to arise during this critical economic adjustment period. I believe this legislation is a good starting point for helping these farmers, their families, and their communities.

Obviously, it is too much to hope that everybody affected by the settlement will be satisfied with every provision in this bill, but it is important

that we begin to take steps to ensure farmers the same stability and predictability that the tobacco companies sought when they negotiated the national tobacco settlement.

Mr. President, let me make it clear that—and I believe Senator FORD and all other supporters of this legislation agree—that this is only a starting point. It may be—after consultation with growers, companies and other affected parties—that only minor changes in this legislation need to be made. Or, it may be—that a significant overhaul in our approach to this issue is needed.

Whatever the future holds, of this tobacco growers may be assured: I will do everything proper in my power to protect their interests. I have often been criticized for standing up for the livelihoods of tobacco farmers—and I suppose I will be criticized many times more in the future. Let the critics proceed, but I shall never retreat from my convictions that the hard-working families deserve to be recognized for the good citizens and splendid families that they are.

So, Mr. President, again I commend my friend from Kentucky, Mr. FORD, for his tireless effort to protect tobacco farmers, and I am honored to stand with him once again.

By Mr. LOTT (for himself, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. REID, Mr. D'AMATO, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. COVERDELL, Mr. HELMS, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. BROWNBAC, Mr. BENNETT, Mr. CAMPBELL, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. MACK, Mr. SHELBY, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. HOLLINGS, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. NICKLES, Mr. CLELAND, Mr. INOUE, Mr. DORGAN, Mr. BRYAN, Mr. ABRAHAM, and Mr. REED):

S. 1311. A bill to impose certain sanctions on foreign persons who transfer items contributing to Iran's efforts to acquire, develop, or produce ballistic missiles; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

THE IRAN MISSILE PROLIFERATION SANCTIONS  
ACT OF 1997

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Iran Missile Proliferation Sanctions Act of 1997. I am pleased to be joined in this bipartisan effort by Senator LIEBERMAN, as well as Senators MCCONNELL, REID, D'AMATO, BOXER, COVERDELL, HELMS, DURBIN, MCCAIN, BROWNBAC, BENNETT, CAMPBELL, FEINGOLD, MACK, SHELBY, WYDEN, HUTCHINSON, FEINSTEIN, HOLLINGS, MIKULSKI, NICKLES, CLELAND, INOUE, DORGAN, and BRYAN.

This is very important legislation which addresses a serious threat, in my opinion, to American security: Iran's relentless efforts to acquire ballistic missile technology. There is no doubt that Iran is the major proliferation danger in the world today. Iran is committed to developing nuclear, chemical and biological weapons and the means to deliver them.

The consequences of Iran's ballistic missile development would be disastrous. Iran actively supports terrorist groups around the world. Earlier this year, a German court found Iran's intelligence services responsible for assassinations on German soil. There is a very real possibility that Iran was behind the murder of 19 Americans in the Khobar Towers bombing on June 25, 1996.

News reports now indicate that Iran is developing two missiles with ranges of 800 or more than 1,200 miles. Such missiles would be able to reach American forces stationed in the Persian Gulf. They would be able to reach Israel. They would be able to reach our NATO ally, Turkey. They would be able to reach all the way into Central Europe, as a matter of fact.

The terrorist regime in Iran has already demonstrated its willingness and ability to use bombings and hit squads to support its radical agenda in the Middle East and in Europe. We cannot sit back and allow Tehran to acquire ballistic missile capability that could hit even more targets with the push of a button, possibly even with nuclear warheads.

This administration's track record on dealing with Iran is not encouraging. We are always anxious to work with the administration in these important foreign policy issues. In 1995, with great fanfare, the administration announced it was strongly opposed to the sale of Russian nuclear reactors to Iran and the issue would be handled in the commission headed by Vice President GORE and Russian Prime Minister Chernomyrdin. In the intervening 2 years there has been no progress in halting that sale, or sales of this type.

In 1995 the administration gave a green light to Iranian extremists who gained a foothold in Europe by arming the Bosnian Government. The residue of that green light still affects the situation in Bosnia today. So, there are problems, obviously, in this area.

When the news reports in the Washington Times over the last month indicated that there were very serious concerns about Russian support for Iran's missile technology programs, many of us on Capitol Hill looked for action. Vice President GORE, we were told, would raise the issue with the Prime Minister when he was in Russia, but the response that he received apparently was to call the news report "stupid" and "not worthy of comment."

I think, after consultation with the administration, that this legislation is necessary because not enough has been done to address this Iranian missile development. I believe it is clear that existing United States law has been broken by Russian entities. Emissaries have gone to Moscow, information has been shared. Yet, no sanctions action has been taken by the administration.

This legislation is necessary because it is time to act. Many have recently expressed concern about Congress imposing "unilateral" sanctions. My re-

sponse is that Congress will step into a vacuum and take unilateral action when inadequate action is being taken in other areas.

The legislation is quite simple. It requires the President to report in 30 days, and every 180 days thereafter, on entities that have transferred or attempted to transfer goods, technology, technical assistance or facilities that contribute to Iran's efforts to acquire, develop or produce ballistic missiles.

The legislation requires three sanctions on any such entities: No export of American arms, no export of restricted dual-use items, and no American Government assistance. So it is a targeted sanction, aimed at the entities involved in these actions.

Congress has established with successive administrations, special criteria in existing law for each of these three things. Our legislation simply says if you help Iran acquire ballistic missile capability, you will not get arms, controlled exports, or taxpayer-financed aid.

Similar bipartisan legislation is being introduced in the House today. I refer back to my opening remarks. There are already, I believe some 26 Senators who are cosponsoring on both sides of the aisle, from all regions of the country and all philosophical spectrums.

I hope the Senate will take action on this legislation before the end of the session. Certainly, it will provide, hopefully, some additional impetus for the administration to aggressively address this issue. A number of changes have been made in the legislation to meet policy and legal concerns of the administration, and I hope the administration will see the merits of imposing these serious and rapid sanctions on entities which aid Iran's efforts to threaten American forces and American allies.

We cannot stand mute. We cannot ignore this very serious matter. We will continue to work with the administration and support any aggressive efforts that they care to use. But after serious consideration, and after consultation particularly with Senator LIEBERMAN, I thought it was important that we go ahead and introduce this legislation today, and explain why we are doing it.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 1311

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

This Act may be cited as the "Iran Missile Proliferation Sanctions Act of 1997".

**SEC. 2. REPORTS ON MISSILE PROLIFERATION TO IRAN.**

(a) REPORTS.—Except as provided in subsection (c), at the times specified in subsection (b), the President shall submit to the Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives and the Committee

on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report identifying every foreign person with respect to whom there is credible evidence indicating that that person, on or after August 8, 1995—

(1) transferred goods or technology, or provided technical assistance or facilities, that contributed to Iran's efforts to acquire, develop, or produce ballistic missiles; or

(2) attempted to transfer goods or technology, or attempted to provide technical assistance or facilities, that would have contributed to Iran's efforts to acquire, develop, or produce ballistic missiles.

(b) **TIMING OF REPORTS.**—The reports under subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, not later than 180 days after such date of enactment, not later than 360 days after such date of enactment, and annually thereafter.

(c) **EXCEPTION FOR PERSONS PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED OR SANCTIONED OR SUBJECT TO WAIVER.**—Any person who—

(1) was identified in a previous report submitted pursuant to subsection (a);

(2) has engaged in a transfer or transaction that was the basis for the imposition of sanctions with respect to that person pursuant to section 73 of the Arms Export Control Act or section 1604 of the Iran-Iraq Arms Non-Proliferation Act of 1992; or

(3) may have engaged in a transfer or transaction, or made an attempt, that was the subject of a waiver pursuant to section 4, is not required to be identified on account of that same transfer, transaction, or attempt, in any report thereafter submitted pursuant to this section.

### SEC. 3. IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS.

(a) **REQUIREMENT TO IMPOSE SANCTIONS.**—

(1) **REQUIREMENT TO IMPOSE SANCTIONS.**—The sanctions described in subsection (b) shall be imposed on—

(A) any foreign person identified under subsection (a)(1) of section 2 in a report submitted pursuant to that section; and

(B) any foreign person identified under subsection (a)(2) of section 2 in a report submitted pursuant to that section, if that person has been identified in that report or a previous report as having made at least 1 other attempt described in subsection (a)(2) of that section.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE OF SANCTIONS.**—The sanctions shall be effective—

(A) 30 days after the date on which the report triggering the sanction is submitted, if the report is submitted on or before the date required by section 2(b);

(B) 30 days after the date required by section 2(b) for submitting the report, if the report triggering the sanction is submitted within 30 days after that date; and

(C) immediately after the report triggering the sanction is submitted, if that report is submitted more than 30 days after the date required by section 2(b).

(b) **DESCRIPTION OF SANCTIONS.**—The sanctions referred to in subsection (a) that are to be imposed on a foreign person described in that subsection are the following:

(1) **ARMS EXPORT SANCTION.**—For a period of not less than 2 years, the United States Government shall not sell to that person any item on the United States Munitions List as of August 8, 1995, and shall terminate sales to that person of any defense articles, defense services, or design and construction services under the Arms Export Control Act.

(2) **DUAL USE SANCTION.**—For a period of not less than 2 years, the authorities of section 6 of the Export Administration Act of 1979 shall be used to prohibit the export of any goods or technology on the control list established pursuant to section 5(c)(1) of that Act to that person.

(3) **UNITED STATES ASSISTANCE.**—For a period of not less than 2 years, the United States Government shall not provide any assistance in the form of grants, loans, credits, guarantees, or otherwise, to that person.

### SEC. 4. WAIVER.

The President may waive the imposition of any sanction that otherwise would be required to be imposed pursuant to section 3 on any foreign person 15 days after the President determines and reports to the Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate that, on the basis of information provided by the person, or otherwise obtained by the President, the President is persuaded that the person did not, on or after August 8, 1995—

(1) transfer goods or technology, or provide technical assistance or facilities, that contributed to Iran's efforts to acquire, develop, or produce ballistic missiles; or

(2) attempt on more than one occasion to transfer goods or technology, or to provide technical assistance or facilities, that would have contributed to Iran's efforts to acquire, develop, or produce ballistic missiles.

### SEC. 5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING ACTIONS BY GOVERNMENT OF PRIMARY JURISDICTION.

As part of each report submitted pursuant to section 2, the President shall include the following information with respect to each person identified in that report:

(1) A statement regarding whether the government of primary jurisdiction over that person was aware of the activities that were the basis for the identification of that individual in the report.

(2) If the government of primary jurisdiction was not aware of the activities that were the basis for the identification of that individual in the report, an explanation of the reasons why the United States Government did not inform that government of those activities.

(3) If the government of primary jurisdiction was aware of the activities that were the basis for the identification of that individual in the report, a description of the efforts, if any, undertaken by that government to prevent those activities, and an assessment of the effectiveness of those efforts, including an explanation of why those efforts failed.

(4) If the government of primary jurisdiction was aware of the activities that were the basis for the identification of that individual in the report and failed to undertake effective efforts to prevent those activities, a description of any sanctions that have been imposed on that government by the United States Government because of such failure.

### SEC. 6. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) **GOVERNMENT OF PRIMARY JURISDICTION.**—The term "government of primary jurisdiction" means the government under whose laws a foreign person is organized, or the government of the place where a foreign person is headquartered or habitually resides.

(2) **FOREIGN PERSON.**—The term "foreign person" means a natural person as well as a corporation, business association, partnership, society, trust, any other nongovernmental entity, organization, or group, and any governmental entity operating as a business enterprise, and any successor or subsidiary of any such entity that is organized, headquartered, or habitually resides outside the United States.

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, I am pleased to join my friend and colleague Senator LOTT, and the other cosponsors, in offering this bill which ad-

resses what I believe is one of the most serious and urgent national security threats facing this country. Ballistic missiles in the hands of a nation that has been our most implacable foe in the recent past and that has been the single most intransigent supporter of terrorism against this Nation and our allies should fill any right thinking person anywhere with the most profound fear and concern. Indeed, we here in this body have often expressed our concern. We have given the administration the tools to address this problem, specifically in the Arms Export Control Act and in the Iran-Iraq Sanctions Act. Regrettably, our concern and these tools have not yet resolved this threat. In fact, it is widely and reliably reported that persons in Russia continue to provide both technology and assistance to Iran such that Iran may be now only 8 months from acquiring ballistic missiles that could be combined with weapons of mass destruction to threaten United States forces and our allies and friends in the Middle East. And soon after that, our forces and allies throughout Europe.

This would be a profound change in the balance of power in the region, and strike a serious, perhaps fatal blow to our ability to contain Iran until it becomes a responsible member of the community of nations. It would allow Iran to threaten friendly Arab states, making it harder for them to cooperate with the United States. It would raise the risks to U.S. military forces in the region. And it would threaten the free flow of oil in this critical region, which could create crises in places far from the Persian Gulf.

We must act to try to prevent this from happening. We must tell Russia in no uncertain terms that we are serious, and that the time for slow progress in shutting off Russian assistance is past.

Many of us are aware that the degree of government control over dangerous technology in the former Soviet Union has eroded considerably. While trying to remedy this potentially frightful situation, the Russian Government must contend with other pressing internal issues. The results are that persons or groups within Russia have had the latitude to transfer technology to rogue states such as Iran.

We have the opportunity as well as the obligation to stand up, be counted, and take reasonable steps to deter this type of potentially cataclysmic activity. While we cannot expect to prevent all such technology transfers to rogue states, we do have the ability to check the flow of it through sanctions aimed at persons engaged in such activity. We also are able and must take appropriate action against those governments that condone such activity, whether they are organizing and abetting such transfer or merely looking the other way when their citizens engage in these activities.

For many years, the United States and the few other members of the mis- sile club of nations could be reasonably

assured that these missiles armed with nuclear weapons would not be used. That was because the leaders of these nations were generally reasoned individuals who shared many of the same goals. As this technology has spread to other countries—and continues to expand at an alarming rate—some of the leaders share very different views on methods to solve confrontation. We have to actively guard against these weapons becoming available to what most of the world considers to be unstable states governed by leaders whose thinking is outside the mainstream.

We have been engaged in dialogue across a wide spectrum with our friends and allies in trying to prevent this from happening. As I mentioned earlier, the prospect of a nuclear capable, militarily powerful Iran armed with ballistic missiles, is clearly not in our national interests. Our efforts at putting controls on the flow of technology to rogue states have been laudable, but the sieve has been leaking.

The sanctions we are proposing will further stop the diffusion of technology and lead toward a more stable Middle East. I fully support this effort because it will help prevent further technology transfer into an area that has seen several major wars in the last thirty years and that remains a region of vital national interest not only to us but to most of the industrial world.

In closing, I want to take this opportunity to express my thanks to Senator LOTT for his leadership in this matter. This is an important step toward a safer world.

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, the subject of the transfer of sensitive missile, weapons of mass destruction, and advanced conventional weapons technology to Iran is far more complicated than most of us would like to admit. As neighbors in a volatile region, Russia and Iran have a long history of mutual antipathy alternating with periods of intense cooperation. The official atheism of the former Soviet Union was anathema to the Islamic tenets of revolutionary Iran. The former enjoyed the benefits of a sizable buffer between Russia proper and the Persian kingdom-turned-fundamentalist regime.

With the disintegration of the U.S.S.R. and the emergence of independent Islamic governments along its southern frontier, Russia no longer enjoys the security it once maintained. Certainly, the absence of the kind of domestic and foreign security apparatus characteristic of its totalitarian past has exacerbated the problem of stemming Islamic influence, and Russia has sought to maintain an active military role in the region to prevent the spread of such influence, as well as of the kind of fighting that ravaged Tajikistan for years. The state of its economy, combined with its desire to maintain the best possible relations with Iran, have led Russia to pursue policies thoroughly inimical to vital United States interests in the Middle East.

Herein lies the problem. It is in the interests of the United States for Russia to develop economically, obviously through free market mechanisms. It is in Russia's interest to have access to Iranian oil, to the revenue generated by sales to Teheran of whatever the latter will buy, and to be able to maintain cordial relations with a regime that possesses, albeit less so since its presidential election, the wherewithal to destabilize the region. Consequently, any decision to impose sanctions on Russia for its sale of missile and other advanced weapons technologies to Iran understandably should come only after an extraordinarily cautious appraisal of the potential ramifications of doing so.

I stand before the Senate today to state as emphatically as I can that such sanctions must be imposed. While news reports of missile technology sales, in violation of both the 1987 Missile Technology Control Regime and the 1992 Iran-Iraq Arms Non-Proliferation Act, have appeared in great numbers over the past several months, the problem clearly has history going back years that the administration continues to ignore at our and our allies peril. Were the problem not one of such duration, the Iran-Iraq Arms Non-Proliferation Act, of which I was a principal sponsor along with then-Senator AL GORE, would not have been necessary 5 years ago. Were the problem a recent manifestation of Iranian ambitions and Russian inability or unwillingness to control the flow of militarily sensitive technologies, I would be willing to respect the administration's prerogative in the conduct of United States foreign policy.

Such, however, is not the case. Developments involving Russia and Iran—and I am not intending to ignore China, simply focusing on a more immediate and larger scale problem of the moment—are indicative of a more systemic problem not conducive to quiet diplomacy and seemingly endless patience. The Teheran Times boasted in November 1995 of Russia's intransigence in the face of United States efforts at dissuading it from providing Iran with nuclear technology. Earlier that year, Russia's Minister for Atomic Energy, Viktor Mikhailov, spoke of his Government's intention to sell Iran a centrifuge for the enrichment of used nuclear fuel. More recently, reports of contracts being signed between Russian companies and research institutes—organizations with which the Government maintains an integral relationship—for the provision of missile components, including guidance systems, laser equipment, wind tunnels for the testing of warheads and missiles, and militarily sensitive materials like tungsten-coated graphite, all illuminate a problem of enormous magnitude that, Moscow's protestations notwithstanding, nevertheless reflect minimal effort on that government's part to impede the flow of such technology to Iran.

Russia sees its economic interests as lying very much in closer relations with Iran. Pipelines transporting Caspian Sea oil and natural gas present Russia with potential revenue in the hundreds of millions of dollars, should it prevail in dictating future pipeline routes. Iran's announcement last year of a joint shipping venture with Russia similarly illuminated the depth of the growing economic relationship between the two countries. The economic importance of Iran to Russia and Russia's lack of viable exports other than the very weapon systems that threaten United States interests in the Middle East have created a dilemma, but one with which we must come to grips.

Moscow, similarly, must confront the implications of its actions or inactions with respect to the transfer of militarily sensitive technology. It clearly places enormous economic importance on its relationship with Iran, but it needs to be reminded that it fails within the range of the very missiles it is helping Iran to develop. Russia may, in the end, find itself selling Teheran the rope with which to hang itself.

The administration must comply with existing United States laws. It must take Russia to task, in the form of economic sanctions, for the continuing problem of missile technology transfer to Iran. Russia must be made to see that its economic well-being does not lie with transactions that threaten United States interests. Russia desperately wants recognition as a major global player despite its inability to influence events militarily or economically far beyond its borders. When the United States, Germany, or Japan coughs, much of the industrialized world catches cold. When Russia coughs, Moscow catches cold. If Russia wants to see the Group of Seven be permanently enlarged by one, it must accept that its economic future lies with the democracies of North America, Europe, and Asia—not with rogue regimes that seek to threaten the interests of those nations.

The Iran-Iraq Arms Non-Proliferation Act mandates sanctions against both foreign companies and governments for the transfer of missile, chemical, biological and nuclear weapon technologies as well as advanced conventional systems. It further provides for discretionary sanctions. Russia has thoroughly violated the act, as well as the MTCR. Not only has it transferred to Iran missile and nuclear technology, it has sold to Teheran advanced surface-to-air missile systems, three Kilo-class attack submarines with which Iran fully intends to assert its control over the vital Strait of Hormuz, modern T-72 main battle tanks, and MiG-29 fighter and Su-24 strike aircraft. If the cumulative effect of these weapon sales does not violate both the MTCR and the Iran-Iraq Act, then nothing does. And, Mr. President, as a principal sponsor of the latter legislation, I can personally attest that, irrespective of administration determinations constructed to suit its policy preferences,

these transfers from Russia do violate both the letter and the intent of the law.

The administration must act on this issue of utmost importance to United States national security interests. The Middle East lies at the center of our National Security Strategy and the force structure exercises that repeatedly postulate the likelihood of future conflict in that strife-torn region. The administration has not presented to Congress any reason, compelling or otherwise, for its refusal to abide by Public Law 102-484 and the MTCR. Congress must demand that it do so, or impose sanctions accordingly. Its failure to do so is inexcusable. The ramifications of that failure will be serious indeed, and the costs will inevitably be paid in American blood.

That is why we are introducing legislation to toughen existing statutes by making the imposition of sanctions more certain and requiring that the administration report to Congress information on weapons sales that will better enable the legislative branch of Government to determine for itself whether past failures to impose sanctions have been warranted. Governments must be held accountable when entities within their borders act dangerously irresponsible.

The administration must comply with the law, or sacrifice its role in the formulation of U.S. foreign policy in one of the most important regions of the world.

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, I rise today in support of the Iran Missile Proliferation Act of 1997, introduced by Senators LIEBERMAN and LOTT. This legislation is critically needed because of dangerous recent developments in the Middle East, namely disturbing reports that indicate Iran is acquiring terrifying weapons of mass destruction at an alarming pace.

Iran has become the most serious threat to stability in the Middle East and is rapidly developing the means to strike Israel. Very recently, Israeli and American intelligence have discovered that, due largely to technology obtained from Russia, Iran may soon have the capability to begin assembling and testing ballistic missiles capable of reaching Israel and other vital targets in the Middle East.

Russian companies are providing Iran with crucial technologies, including wind tunnels for the design of missiles, lasers, and special materials for missile construction. There are even reports of over 9,000 Russian advisers working in Iran on a variety of military projects, and Iran earlier this year tested a Soviet-designed rocket engine.

Iran, one of America's foremost self-proclaimed enemies, has been linked to numerous anti-Israel terrorist attacks ranging from taking hostages and hijacking airlines to carrying out assassinations and bombings. These incidents include the taking of more than 30 Western hostages in Lebanon from 1984 through 1992, the bombings of the

United States Embassy and the French-United States Marine barracks in Beirut in 1983 and the Buenos Aires terrorist attacks on the Israeli Embassy in 1992 and on the Argentine Jewish communal building in 1994. An Iranian ballistic missile capability would have enormous strategic repercussions for the Persian Gulf and the Middle East. Iran possesses chemical weapons, and quite possibly could be only a few years away from acquiring nuclear weapons.

Clearly, the United States must adopt a stronger approach toward Russia. To its credit, the administration has tried every diplomatic effort with Russia. Vice President GORE and other senior officials have addressed this issue at the most senior levels of the Russian Government, including with President Yeltsin and Prime Minister Chernomyrdin, but these efforts have met with little success. Further discussions are set for November, however, and I believe Congress must act now to enact a more forceful policy which will ensure Russian cooperation.

The Lott-Lieberman legislation requires the President to submit a report to Congress 30 days after enactment, providing a list of the entities that have been implicated in the transfer or attempted transfer of goods, technology, or technical assistance that has contributed to Iran's efforts to acquire, develop, or produce ballistic missiles. Highly targeted sanctions will be imposed on these entities 30 days after the submission of the report, unless the President waives them under limited circumstances.

I urge my colleagues to support this vital measure which takes concrete steps to halt the spread of ballistic missile technology to Iran and to preserve peace and stability in the Middle East.

Mr. BENNETT. I am pleased to join with the Distinguished Majority Leader in sponsoring S. 1311 regarding arms sales to Iran. This is very critical legislation. If the relevant governments cannot regain control over their weapons sellers, Iran will have a ballistic missile capability within months instead of years.

Mr. President, on Tuesday, Secretary of State Madeleine Albright told me, "Dealing with proliferation is the highest priority item of this administration." In the national security field, she has the right sense of priority. And certainly, Iran is the leading problem country.

The legislation we are introducing today calls on the administration to report on which foreign entities are contributing to Iran's missile ambitions. For example, the Washington Times has recently reported on a number of important Russian organizations involved in this trade. Special metals and associated technology are said to be involved. If necessary, sanctions against the named entities will be imposed.

I hope sanctions will not be necessary. I have some confidence that

foreign government leaders will fulfill their commitments. But it may become necessary. We already know Iran has a chemical warfare capability and we suspect it has nuclear and germ warfare ambitions. We cannot allow a sponsor of state terrorism like Iran to obtain a ballistic missile delivery system.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that an article from the October 20, 1997, issue of the Washington Times be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

RUSSIA SELLS IRAN MISSILE METALS

(By Bill Gertz)

A secret Russian production center completed a deal with Iran late last month to supply high-strength steel and special foil for Iran's long-range missile program. The Washington Times has learned.

According to a classified United States intelligence report, the Russian Scientific and Production Center Inor concluded an agreement in late September to provide an Iranian factory with four special metal alloys used in long-range missiles.

The report contradicts assurances made by Russian officials only days before the report that Russia had no involvement with the Iranian missile program.

The report, labeled "secret," says a two-month effort by Inor to market four alloys to Iran's Instrumentation Factories Plan, part of the Iranian Defense Industries Organization, has "borne fruit" with the Iranian agreement to buy the material.

"With an eye to establishing a long-term business relationship, the Russian firm offered to give the Iranian firm a discount on the total value of the invoice," the report states.

The deal, worked out between Inor Director L.P. Chromova and the Iranian factory director identified as A. Asgharzadeh, amounted to \$48,000 for 620 kilograms of alloy, plus several hundred dollars in shipping and packaging costs.

Efforts to locate and contact Inor were unsuccessful, and a U.S. official said details about the facility are known only to the CIA.

A CIA spokesman declined to comment. The deal includes Inor's offer to provide "thermal treatment" for the alloys "so that the Iranians could process the material themselves," the report said.

The Iranians have bought 240 kilograms of the high-strength steel alloy known as "21HKMT" for \$24,000, the report said. The steel will be sent in bars that U.S. officials say the Iranians will shape for missile-casing material.

The remaining materials are alloy foil designated by Inor as "49K2F," "CUBE2" and "5ON" that are being sold in sheets 0.2 millimeter and 0.4 millimeter thick.

The special foil is used to shield guidance equipment in missiles—material that is needed only for longer-range missiles.

"This gets into the whole business of the longer-range ballistic missiles that they are seeking to develop," said one Clinton administration official familiar with the issue. "There are a number of countries that are very, very concerned about these Shahab-3 and Shahab-4 missiles."

During meetings with Vice President Al Gore in Moscow Sept. 19 and 20, senior Russian officials, including Russian President Boris Yeltsin and Prime Minister Victor Chernomyrdin, provided the administration with "commitments" that Russia is not assisting Iran's missile program, according to a senior White House official.

Asked if the administration believes those commitments have halted the missile trade, the senior officials said: "The answer is, we are not satisfied. We're still concerned about ongoing activities."

The official declined to comment on the Inor case, but said, "to the extent that we see activities going on that we think are contrary to the assurances we've gotten from the Russians, we are making an effort to bring that to their attention and asking them to follow up."

One official said "21HKMT" is a specialty steel that Iran does not produce. The steel is a key material used by North Korea and Iran for missiles, but it is not controlled under the 31-nation Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR).

The Clinton administration has sought to add the alloy to the MTCR control list, but those efforts have been blocked by Russia and France, the official said.

Inor is one of several Russian scientific and production centers identified by U.S. intelligence agencies as being involved in Iran's development of a liquid-fuel missile similar in design to North Korea's Nodong missile.

In 1996, Inor prepared several contracts with Iran's Shahid Hemmat Industrial Group, which is in charge of Iran's liquid-fuel missile program. Inor brokered deals to supply the Iranians with laser equipment, special mirrors used in missile testing, maraging steel used in missile casings and composite graphite-tungsten material.

Russia's Central Aerohydrodynamic Institute has been helping Iran build a wind tunnel.

The Times disclosed last month that several Russian entities were involved in Iran's program to build two derivatives of the Nodong missile, the Shahab-3 and Shahab-4, that will be fielded within three years.

According to an Israeli military intelligence report provided to the CIA and the Pentagon in January, the Iranians have worked closely with the Russian Space Agency; Rosvoorouzhnie, the Russian government arms-export agency; the Bauman Institute; the missile manufacturer NPO Trud; a firm called Polyus and other institutes.

The Israeli intelligence report identified Yuri Koptev, head of the Russian Space Agency, as being connected to the project. Mr. Koptev is Mr. Yeltsin's representative in talks with the United States on the issue.

Asked about Mr. Koptev's role in the Iranian program, the senior White House official said Mr. Koptev was "irate" during the meetings in Moscow and felt disclosure of his role was "an unfair slam."

The official said Mr. Koptev has been helpful in seeking to resolve U.S. concerns.

Mr. Koptev told U.S. officials attending the Moscow meeting that he did not want U.S. aid to the Russian space program to "collapse" because of U.S. opposition to the Russia-Iran cooperation, which Mr. Koptev described as "important in my world, but a secondary issue," the official said.

The official said he believes the Shahab-3 is "within Iran's basic technical capabilities." For the Shahab-4, "I think the Iranians are more heavily dependent on external, and in particular, Russian, assistance" to field the system.

By Mr. ABRAHAM.

S. 1312. A bill to save lives and prevent injuries to children in motor vehicles through an improved national, State, and local child protection program; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

THE CHILD PASSENGER PROTECTION ACT

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, today I rise to introduce legislation designed

to increase the awareness and education of parents and public safety professionals with respect to the proper use and installation of child safety seats.

This legislation, the Child Passenger Protection Act of 1997, is nearly identical to legislation introduced in the other Chamber earlier this year by the gentlewoman from Maryland, Representative MORELLA. It would make \$7.5 million [i.e., seven point five million] dollars available to the Secretary of Transportation in each of the next two fiscal years—FY '98 and '99—for the purpose of assisting State highway agencies, police departments, and child passenger safety organizations in setting up and promoting such programs.

To receive funding under this bill, a program must focus on preventing death and injury to children under the age of 5 years old. The program must educate the public about all aspects of the proper installation of child restraints using seat belt hardware and other supplemental hardware or modification devices. The program must also educate the public with respect to the appropriate child restraint design selection and placement as well as harness threading and harness adjustment. Finally, the program must train and retrain child passenger safety professionals, police officers, fire and emergency medical personnel, and other educators concerning all aspects of child restraint use.

As the parents of three children under the age of 5, all of whom still ride in child car safety seats, my wife and I can attest to the fact that these considerations require a great deal of attention. My wife Jane serves as Honorary Chairperson of the Detroit SAFE KIDS Coalition and has been deeply involved in the issue of car seat safety for some time, along with a number of other child protection advocacy issues. This past Labor Day, I was the sponsor of a Senate resolution that provided permission to the National SAFE KIDS Coalition to use the Capitol Building grounds for the kickoff event of the National SAFE KIDS Buckle Up Campaign. The entire Abraham family participated in this event. Our family has filmed Public Service Announcements on this issue for the National SAFE KIDS Campaign and we are planning to sponsor and to participate in car seat safety check events in the coming months back in Michigan.

Based on our shared experience, I can assure my colleagues that there is often tremendous confusion among both parents and public safety personnel when it comes to the proper selection, installation and use of child restraint devices in motor vehicles. Results from regional child restraint clinics demonstrated between 70 and 90 percent of child restraints are incorrectly installed or otherwise misused, which is often caused by the complication and wide variety in seat belt and child restraint designs. And while there are several public-private partnership pro-

grams which exist that focus on the dangers of air bags and the proper placement of children in cars equipped with air bags, many of these programs fall short of specifically educating parents and public safety officials on the proper methods for installing and using child safety seats.

It is my hope that we can focus the country's attention on this serious problem and, in the process, prevent needless death and injury among young children. While this legislation alone will by no means solve the problem, I believe it is a positive step towards better educating parents and public safety officials on this important public safety issue.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 1312

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

This Act may be cited as the "Child Passenger Protection Act".

**SEC. 2. FINDINGS.**

Congress finds the following:

(1) The annual losses in the United States from motor vehicle collisions are estimated to exceed 800 deaths and 80,000 injuries to children under the age of 5.

(2) It is estimated that properly used child restraints in motor vehicles can reduce the chance of serious or fatal injury in a motor vehicle collision—

(A) by a factor of 69 percent with respect to infants; and

(B) by a factor of 47 percent with respect to children under the age of 5.

(3) Some of the most common seating position designs that have emerged in motor vehicles during the last decade make secure installation of child restraints difficult and, in some circumstances, impossible.

(4) Results from regional child restraint clinics demonstrated that 70 to 90 percent of child restraints are improperly installed or otherwise misused and the improper installation or other misuse is largely attributable to the complication and wide variations in seat belt and child restraint designs.

(5) There is an immediate need to expand the availability of national, State, and local child restraint education programs and supporting resources and materials to assist agencies and associated organizations in carrying out effective public education concerning child restraints.

**SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.**

In this Act:

(1) CHILD RESTRAINT EDUCATION PROGRAM.—The term "child restraint education program" includes a publication, audiovisual presentation, demonstration, or computerized child restraint education program.

(2) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Transportation.

(3) STATE.—The term "State" means any State of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Northern Mariana Islands, and any other territory or possession of the United States.

**SEC. 4. CHILD PASSENGER EDUCATION.**

(a) AWARDS.—The Secretary may enter into contracts or cooperative agreements

with, and may make grants to, State highway agencies and child passenger safety organizations that are recognized for their experience to obtain and distribute national, State, and local child restraint education programs and supporting educational materials.

(b) **USE OF FUNDS.**—Funds provided to an agency or organization under a contract, cooperative agreement, or grant under subsection (a) shall be used to implement child restraint programs that—

(1) are designed to prevent deaths and injuries to children under the age of 5; and

(2) educate the public concerning—

(A) all aspects of the proper installation of child restraints using standard seatbelt hardware, supplemental hardware and modification devices (if needed), including special installation techniques; and

(B) appropriate child restraint design selection and placement and in harness threading and harness adjustment; and

(3) train and retrain child passenger safety professionals, police officers, fire and emergency medical personnel, and other educators concerning all aspects of child restraint use.

(c) **DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.**—An agency or organization that receives funds made available to the agency or organization under a contract, cooperative agreement, or grant under subsection (a) shall, in carrying out subsection (b)—

(1) use not more than 25 percent of those funds to support nationwide child restraint education programs that are in operation at the time that the funds are made available;

(2) use not more than 25 percent of those funds to support State child restraint education programs that are in operation at the time that the funds are made available; and

(3) use at least 50 percent of those funds to implement national, State, and local child restraint education programs that are not in operation at the time that the funds are made available.

#### SEC. 5. APPLICATIONS AND REPORTS.

(a) **APPLICATIONS.**—To enter into a contract, cooperative agreement, or grant agreement under section 4(a), the appropriate official of an agency or organization described in that section shall submit an application to the Secretary at such time, in such manner, and accompanied by such information as the Secretary may reasonably require.

(b) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The appropriate official of each agency or organization that enters into a contract, cooperative agreement, or grant agreement under section 4(a) shall prepare and submit to the Secretary, an annual report for the period covered by the contract, cooperative agreement, or grant agreement.

(2) **REPORTS.**—A report described in paragraph (1) shall—

(A) contain such information as the Secretary may require; and

(B) at a minimum, describe the program activities undertaken with the funds made available under the contract, cooperative agreement, or grant agreement, including—

(i) any child restraint education program that has been developed directly or indirectly by the agency or organization and the target population of that program;

(ii) support materials for such a program that have been obtained by that agency or organization and the method by which the agency or organization distributed those materials; and

(iii) any initiatives undertaken by the agency or organization to develop public-private partnerships to secure non-Federal support for the development and distribution of child restraint education programs and materials.

#### SEC. 6. REPORT TO CONGRESS.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Secretary shall prepare, and submit to Congress, a report on the implementation of this Act that includes a description of the programs undertaken and materials developed and distributed by the agencies and organizations that receive funds under section 4(a).

#### SEC. 7. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

For the purpose of carrying out section 4, there are authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Transportation \$7,500,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 and 1999, of which not more than \$350,000 may be spent in any fiscal year for administrative costs.

#### ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 61

At the request of Mr. LOTT, the name of the Senator from Georgia [Mr. CLELAND] was added as a cosponsor of S. 61, a bill to amend title 46, United States Code, to extend eligibility for veterans' burial benefits, funeral benefits, and related benefits for veterans of certain service in the United States merchant marine during World War II.

S. 173

At the request of Mr. DEWINE, the name of the Senator from New Jersey [Mr. TORRICELLI] was added as a cosponsor of S. 173, a bill to expedite State reviews of criminal records of applicants for private security officer employment, and for other purposes.

S. 263

At the request of Mr. MCCONNELL, the name of the Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. GREGG] was added as a cosponsor of S. 263, a bill to prohibit the import, export, sale, purchase, possession, transportation, acquisition, and receipt of bear viscera or products that contain or claim to contain bear viscera, and for other purposes.

S. 328

At the request of Mr. HUTCHINSON, the name of the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. MCCONNELL] was added as a cosponsor of S. 328, a bill to amend the National Labor Relations Act to protect employer rights, and for other purposes.

S. 412

At the request of Mr. LAUTENBERG, the name of the Senator from North Carolina [Mr. HELMS] was added as a cosponsor of S. 412, A bill to provide for a national standard to prohibit the operation of motor vehicles by intoxicated individuals.

S. 537

At the request of Ms. MIKULSKI, the name of the Senator from Montana [Mr. BAUCUS] was added as a cosponsor of S. 537, a bill to amend title III of the Public Health Service Act to revise and extend the mammography quality standards program.

S. 766

At the request of Ms. SNOWE, the name of the Senator from New Jersey [Mr. TORRICELLI] was added as a cosponsor of S. 766, a bill to require equitable coverage of prescription contra-

ceptive drugs and devices, and contraceptive services under health plans.

S. 927

At the request of Ms. SNOWE, the names of the Senator from Mississippi [Mr. LOTT] and the Senator from Maryland [Mr. SARBANES] were added as cosponsors of S. 927, a bill to reauthorize the Sea Grant Program.

S. 943

At the request of Mr. SPECTER, the names of the Senator from Connecticut [Mr. DODD] and the Senator from Washington [Mrs. MURRAY] were added as cosponsors of S. 943, a bill to amend title 49, United States Code, to clarify the application of the Act popularly known as the "Death on the High Seas Act" to aviation accidents.

S. 990

At the request of Mr. FAIRCLOTH, the name of the Senator from Mississippi [Mr. COCHRAN] was added as a cosponsor of S. 990, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to establish the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging.

S. 995

At the request of Mr. LAUTENBERG, the name of the Senator from Oregon [Mr. WYDEN] was added as a cosponsor of S. 995, a bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to prohibit certain interstate conduct relating to exotic animals.

S. 1096

At the request of Mr. KERREY, the names of the Senator from Illinois [Ms. MOSELEY-BRAUN], the Senator from Texas [Mrs. HUTCHISON], and the Senator from West Virginia [Mr. ROCKEFELLER] were added as cosponsors of S. 1096, a bill to restructure the Internal Revenue Service, and for other purposes.

S. 1124

At the request of Mr. KERRY, the name of the Senator from New York [Mr. MOYNIHAN] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1124, a bill to amend title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to establish provisions with respect to religious accommodation in employment, and for other purposes.

S. 1153

At the request of Mr. BAUCUS, the names of the Senator from Oregon [Mr. WYDEN] and the Senator from Florida [Mr. MACK] were added as cosponsors of S. 1153, a bill to promote food safety through continuation of the Food Animal Residue Avoidance Database program operated by the Secretary of Agriculture.

S. 1212

At the request of Mr. DORGAN, the name of the Senator from New York [Mr. MOYNIHAN] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1212, a bill to amend the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 to clarify that records of arrival or departure are not required to be collected for purposes of the automated entry-exit control system developed under 110 of such Act for Canadians who are not otherwise required to possess a visa,

passport, or border crossing identification card.

S. 1225

At the request of Mr. HUTCHINSON, the name of the Senator from Oklahoma [Mr. NICKLES] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1225, a bill to terminate the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

S. 1283

At the request of Mr. BUMPERS, the names of the Senator from Hawaii [Mr. AKAKA], the Senator from Michigan [Mr. ABRAHAM], the Senator from Virginia [Mr. ROBB], and the Senator from West Virginia [Mr. ROCKEFELLER] were added as cosponsors of S. 1283, a bill to award Congressional gold medals to Jean Brown Trickey, Carlotta Walls LaNier, Melba Patillo Beals, Terrence Roberts, Gloria Ray Karlmark, Thelma Mothershed Wair, Ernest Green, Elizabeth Eckford, and Jefferson Thomas, commonly referred collectively as the "Little Rock Nine" on the occasion of the 40th anniversary of the integration of the Central High School in Little Rock, Arkansas.

AMENDMENT NO. 1397

At the request of Mr. GRAMM the name of the Senator from Kansas [Mr. BROWNBACK] was added as a cosponsor of Amendment No. 1397 intended to be proposed to S. 1173, a bill to authorize funds for construction of highways, for highway safety programs, and for mass transit programs, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. BYRD the names of the Senator from Colorado [Mr. CAMPBELL], the Senator from North Dakota [Mr. CONRAD], the Senator from Idaho [Mr. CRAIG], the Senator from Ohio [Mr. GLENN], the Senator from North Carolina [Mr. HELMS], the Senator from Michigan [Mr. LEVIN], and the Senator from Idaho [Mr. KEMPTHORNE] were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 1397 intended to be proposed to S. 1173, supra.

At the request of Mr. BYRD the name of the Senator from Indiana [Mr. COATS] was withdrawn as a cosponsor of amendment No. 1397 intended to be proposed to S. 1173, supra.

#### SENATE RESOLUTION 138—AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURES FOR CONSULTANTS

Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. FORD) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 138

*Resolved*, That section 16(b) of Senate Resolution 54, 105th Congress, agreed to February 13, 1997, is amended by striking "\$300,000" and inserting "\$400,000".

#### SENATE RESOLUTION 139—TO DESIGNATE NATIONAL CHILD CARE PROFESSIONAL'S DAY

Mr. JEFFORDS (for himself, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. DODD, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. WELLSTONE, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. REED, Mr. LEVIN,

and Mr. INOUE) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 139

Whereas more than 12,000,000 children under age 5, including half of all infants under age 1, in the United States, spend at least part of their day in the care of someone other than their parents;

Whereas there are millions of additional children under the age of 12 in the United States who are in some form of child care at the beginning and end of the school day as well as during school holidays and vacations;

Whereas for parents who must work, child care services that are dependable and of high quality make it easier to find and keep a job;

Whereas good child care helps parents reach and maintain economic self-sufficiency;

Whereas a solid partnership between parents and loving, trained child care professionals is essential to ensure the quality of child care, whether that care is provided in the home of the child, in a family child care setting, with relatives, or in a child care center;

Whereas the availability of child care that is reliable, convenient, and affordable is essential to maintaining and expanding the workforce of the United States and is vital for a parent making a successful transition from welfare to work;

Whereas for the millions of children in the care of someone other than their parents, child care provides the foundation upon which their future education will be built, and such care provides the basis on which the future workforce of the United States will be formed;

Whereas poor compensation and limited opportunities for professional training and education contribute to high staff turnover among child care providers, which disrupts the creation of the strong provider-child relationships that are critical to the healthy development of children;

Whereas the quality of child care has decisive and long-lasting effects on how children develop socially, emotionally, and academically, and how the children cope with stress;

Whereas studies indicate that children who require child care services do better in child care settings with trained, licensed, and accredited child care professionals; and

Whereas a national day of recognition for child care professionals will help people in the United States understand and appreciate the role of child care for working families, will highlight the importance of the parent-provider partnership, will provide opportunities to showcase skilled, nurturing providers and quality child care settings, and will energize more capable people to become child care professionals: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved*, That the Senate designates April 24, 1998, as "National Child Care Professional's Day". The Senate requests that the President issue a proclamation calling on the people of the United States to observe the day with appropriate programs, ceremonies, and activities.

Mr. JEFFORDS. Mr. President, I rise today to submit a Senate resolution to designate the fourth Friday in April, April 24, 1998, as National Child Care Professional's Day.

For the more than 12 million children under the age of five—including half of all infants under 1 year of age—who spend at least part of their day being cared for by someone other than their parents—it is important that we recognize the skills and dedication of the child care providers who take care of

them. Child care professionals take care of our Nation's children in homes and centers throughout the country. They assume this responsibility for little pay, long hours, and few, if any benefits beyond the hugs and smiles of the children for which they care.

As the public dialog on child care moves to the forefront, we must keep in mind the people who are caring for our children, while their mothers and fathers work. If we want to move child care from babysitting to early childhood education we have to concentrate much of our efforts on professional development for child care providers. And we have to support efforts to make child care a valued profession—one that attracts the best and brightest and pays enough to keep them caring for our children.

Since 1990, the costs of child care have risen about 6 percent annually. This is almost triple the annual increase in the cost of living. At the same time, there are strong indicators that the quality of child care has significantly decreased during the same period of time. Parents are paying more bet getting less.

The quality of child care in America is very troubling. A recent nationwide study found that 40-percent of the child care provided to infants in child care centers was potentially injurious. Fifteen percent of center-based child care providers for all pre-schoolers are so bad that a child's health and safety are threatened; 70-percent are mediocre—not hurting or helping children; and 15-percent actively promote a child's development. Center-based child care, the object of this study, is the most heavily regulated and frequently monitored type of child care. There are strong indications that care for children in less regulated settings, such as family-based child care and in-home care, is far worse.

Unless we are willing to provide the support and assistance that is needed to help child care providers improve the services they provide to our children, there is little real hope for enhancing the quality of child care.

Since the 1970's there has been a decline in child care teacher salaries. In 1990, teachers in child care centers earned an average of \$11,500 a year. Assistant teachers, the largest growing segment of child care professionals, were paid 10- to 20-percent less than child care teachers. The 1990 annual income of regulated family child care providers was \$10,944 which translates to about \$4 an hour. Nonregulated family child care, generally comprised of providers taking care of a smaller number of children, earned an average of \$4,275 a year—substantially less than minimum wage.

With these wages, it is easy to understand why more child care providers do not participate in professional training or attend college classes to improve their skills. The costs of applying for

and receiving certification as a qualified child care professional are minimal, but understandably out of reach for many child care providers.

Think about it. At the most important time in the development of a child's brain, more than 12 million children are being cared for by people who are paid less than the person who picks up your garbage each week, are required to have less training than the person who cuts your hair, and less skill-based testing than the person delivering packages to your house. Child care providers play an important role in a child's development, for they help fine-tune the child's capacity to think and process information, social skills, emotional health, and acquisition of language.

That is why this resolution is so important. Good child care enables parents to work and maintain economic self-sufficiency—the goal of last year's welfare reform legislation. This resolution is a small step to increase the public's awareness of the need to support and recognize the vital work provided by child care professionals.

On April 24, 1998, I hope each of us will visit a child care center or family-based child care provider in our State. Lead efforts to celebrate the contributions that child care professionals make to our society, our economy, our families, and most of all—our children.

Mr. KENNEDY. I'd like to join Senator JEFFORDS in submitting the Senate resolution declaring the last Friday in April National Child Care Professional's Day.

Child care is a vital part of the fabric of the daily lives of millions of America families. The majority of children today have working parents who must find some way of ensuring that their children are safe and well-cared for during working hours.

Millions of children of all income levels are cared for by someone other than their parents. Each day, approximately 13 million children spend some or all of their day in some type of child care.

Research demonstrates that the quality of these settings makes a significant difference in children's health, safety and early learning. Yet far too many children are being cared for in poor quality settings that jeopardize their safety and development.

We know how to do it better, and it is long past time to start doing it. A sensible action plan includes better staff training, requiring basic health and safety protections, monitoring programs, informing parents, and improving staff salaries.

Today, Senator JEFFORDS, Senator DODD, Senator ROBERTS, and I are submitting a bipartisan Senate resolution to designate the last Friday in April every year as National Child Care Professional's Day, starting next April—April 24, 1998.

Child Care professionals are indispensable to the future of the Nation's children. Children deserve the best we can provide. Parents deserve the peace of

mind that comes with knowledge that their children are in safe, healthy surroundings that encourage, not undermine their development.

Quality child care is essential for healthy child growth and healthy child development. By honoring child care providers and the child care profession in this way, Congress will be taking a significant step toward giving them the stronger support and the greater encouragement and the higher priority they deserve.

## AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

### THE INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION ACT OF 1997

#### HUTCHINSON AMENDMENT NO. 1398

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. HUTCHINSON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill (S. 1173) to authorize funds for construction of highways, for highway safety programs, and for mass transit programs, and for other purposes; as follows:

Beginning on page 91, strike line 21 and all that follows through page 103, line 10, and insert the following:

#### SEC. 1116. INTERNATIONAL TRADE CORRIDOR AND BORDER CROSSING PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) BORDER STATE.—The term "border State" means a State of the United States that—

(A) is located along the border with Mexico; or

(B) is located along the border with Canada.

(2) BORDER STATION.—The term "border station" means a controlled port of entry into the United States located in the United States at the border with Mexico or Canada, consisting of land occupied by the station and the buildings, roadways, and parking lots on the land.

(3) FEDERAL INSPECTION AGENCY.—The term "Federal inspection agency" means a Federal agency responsible for the enforcement of immigration laws (including regulations), customs laws (including regulations), and agriculture import restrictions, including the United States Customs Service, the Immigration and Naturalization Service, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, the Food and Drug Administration, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Department of State.

(4) GATEWAY.—The term "gateway" means a grouping of border stations defined by proximity and similarity of trade.

(5) INTERNATIONAL TRADE CORRIDOR.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The term "international trade corridor" means a north-south corridor identified by the Secretary that—

(i) is of international trade significance and provides national economic benefits;

(ii) connects Mexico, the United States, and Canada;

(iii) provides intermodal connections;

(iv) accounts for a high percentage of truck-borne commodities moving interstate and internationally;

(v) directly benefits impoverished areas; and

(vi) connects military installations.

(B) DISCRETION.—To maintain flexibility and permit a targeted national approach, the

Secretary may exercise discretion in the application of the criteria under subparagraph (A).

(6) NON-FEDERAL GOVERNMENTAL JURISDICTION.—The term "non-Federal governmental jurisdiction" means a regional, State, or local authority involved in the planning, development, provision, or funding of transportation infrastructure needs.

(b) BORDER CROSSING GRANTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make grants to States and to metropolitan planning organizations designated under section 134 of title 23, United States Code.

(2) USE OF GRANTS.—The grants shall be used to pay the costs of feasibility studies, planning, location and routing studies, preliminary engineering and design, environmental reviews, final engineering, acquisition of rights-of-way, and construction as a supplement to funding made available under other provisions of this Act and under title 23, United States Code.

(3) CONDITION OF GRANTS.—As a condition of receiving a grant under paragraph (1), a State transportation department or a metropolitan planning organization shall certify to the Secretary that it commits to be engaged in joint planning with its counterpart agency in Mexico or Canada.

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$1,400,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with subsection (f).

(c) INTERNATIONAL TRADE CORRIDOR GRANTS.—

(1) GRANTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make grants to States to encourage cooperative multistate corridor analysis of, and planning for, the safe and efficient movement of goods along and within international trade corridors or interstate trade corridors of national importance and to pay the costs of feasibility studies, planning, location and routing studies, preliminary engineering and design, environmental reviews, final engineering, acquisition of rights-of-way, and construction.

(B) IDENTIFICATION OF CORRIDORS.—Each corridor referred to in subparagraph (A) shall be cooperatively identified by the States along the corridor.

(2) CORRIDOR PLANS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—As a condition of receiving a grant under paragraph (1), a State shall enter into an agreement with the Secretary that specifies that, in cooperation with the other States along the corridor, the State will submit a plan for corridor improvements to the Secretary not later than 2 years after receipt of the grant.

(B) COORDINATION OF PLANNING.—Planning with respect to a corridor under this subsection shall be coordinated with transportation planning being carried out by the States and metropolitan planning organizations along the corridor and, to the extent appropriate, with transportation planning being carried out by Federal land management agencies, by tribal governments, or by government agencies in Mexico or Canada.

(3) MULTISTATE AGREEMENTS FOR TRADE CORRIDOR PLANNING.—The consent of Congress is granted to any 2 or more States—

(A) to enter into multistate agreements, not in conflict with any law of the United

States, for cooperative efforts and mutual assistance in support of interstate trade corridor planning activities; and

(B) to establish such agencies, joint or otherwise, as the States may determine desirable to make the agreements effective.

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$3,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with subsection (f).

(d) FEDERAL ASSISTANCE FOR TRADE CORRIDORS AND BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE SAFETY AND CONGESTION RELIEF.—

(1) APPLICATIONS FOR GRANTS.—The Secretary shall make grants to States or metropolitan planning organizations that submit an application that—

(A) demonstrates need for assistance in carrying out transportation projects that are necessary to relieve traffic congestion or improve enforcement of motor carrier safety laws; and

(B) includes strategies to involve both the public and private sectors in the proposed project.

(2) SELECTION OF STATES, METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS, AND PROJECTS TO RECEIVE GRANTS.—In selecting States, metropolitan planning organizations, and projects to receive grants under this subsection, the Secretary shall consider—

(A) the annual volume of commercial vehicle traffic at the border stations or ports of entry of each State as compared to the annual volume of commercial vehicle traffic at the border stations or ports of entry of all States;

(B) the extent to which commercial vehicle traffic in each State has grown since the date of enactment of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 103-182) as compared to the extent to which that traffic has grown in each other State;

(C) the extent of border transportation improvements carried out by each State since the date of enactment of that Act;

(D) the reduction in commercial and other travel time through a major international gateway expected as a result of the project;

(E) the extent of leveraging of Federal funds provided under this subsection, including—

(i) use of innovative financing;

(ii) combination with funding provided under other sections of this Act and title 23, United States Code; and

(iii) combination with other sources of Federal, State, local, or private funding;

(F) improvements in vehicle and highway safety and cargo security in and through the gateway concerned;

(G) the degree of demonstrated coordination with Federal inspection agencies;

(H) the extent to which the innovative and problem solving techniques of the proposed project would be applicable to other border stations or ports of entry;

(I) demonstrated local commitment to implement and sustain continuing comprehensive border planning processes and improvement programs; and

(J) other factors to promote transport efficiency and safety, as determined by the Secretary.

(3) USE OF GRANTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—A grant under this subsection shall be used to develop project plans, and implement coordinated and comprehensive programs of projects, to improve efficiency and safety.

(B) TYPE OF PLANS AND PROGRAMS.—The plans and programs may include—

(i) improvements to transport and supporting infrastructure;

(ii) improvements in operational strategies, including electronic data interchange and use of telecommunications to expedite vehicle and cargo movement;

(iii) modifications to regulatory procedures to expedite vehicle and cargo flow;

(iv) new infrastructure construction;

(v) purchase, installation, and maintenance of weigh-in-motion devices and associated electronic equipment in Mexico or Canada if real time data from the devices is provided to the nearest border station and to State commercial vehicle enforcement facilities that serve the border station; and

(vi) other institutional improvements, such as coordination of binational planning, programming, and border operation, with special emphasis on coordination with—

(I) Federal inspection agencies; and

(II) their counterpart agencies in Mexico and Canada.

(4) CONSTRUCTION OF TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT PURPOSES.—At the request of the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with the Attorney General, the Secretary may transfer, during the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2001, not more than \$10,000,000 of the amounts made available under paragraph (5) to the Administrator of General Services for the construction of transportation infrastructure necessary for law enforcement in border States.

(e) COORDINATION OF PLANNING.—

(1) PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT OF BORDER STATIONS.—The General Services Administration shall be the coordinating Federal agency in the planning and development of new or expanded border stations.

(2) COOPERATIVE ACTIVITIES.—In carrying out paragraph (1), the Administrator of General Services shall cooperate with Federal inspection agencies and non-Federal governmental jurisdictions to ensure that—

(A) improvements to border station facilities take into account regional and local conditions, including the alignment of highway systems and connecting roadways; and

(B) all facility requirements, associated costs, and economic impacts are identified.

(f) COST SHARING.—A grant under this section shall be used to pay the Federal share of the cost of a project. The Federal share shall not exceed 80 percent.

(g) USE OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS.—If the total amount of funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund under this section but not allocated exceeds \$4,000,000 as of September 30 of any year, the excess amount—

(1) shall be apportioned in the following fiscal year by the Secretary to all States in accordance with section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code;

(2) shall be considered to be a sum made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that the amount shall not be subject to section 133(d) of that title; and

(3) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title.

(h) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out subsections (b), (c), and (d) \$125,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

SANTORUM AMENDMENT NO. 1399  
(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. SANTORUM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, *supra*; as follows:

At the end add the following:  
**SEC. —. GRANT-BACKED TRANSPORTATION FINANCE.**

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the "Grant-Backed Transportation Finance Act of 1997".

(b) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The economic vitality of the Nation and the quality of life of its citizens depend on increased investment in transportation infrastructure for the movement of people and goods, including highways, roads, and bridges and transit and airport equipment and facilities.

(2) Improving mobility will increase productivity and competitiveness, strengthen the Nation's capacity for noninflationary economic growth, and contribute to environmental quality.

(3) The Nation's need to build, maintain, and reconstruct transportation facilities, and to provide additional transportation infrastructure investment in both rural and urban areas, exceeds available resources under traditional funding programs.

(4) User fees can finance transportation facilities efficiently and equitably over the useful lives of these capital assets.

(5) Recent Federal initiatives are helping States innovatively finance capital investment in transportation facilities.

(6) Grant-backed financing is an innovative way to finance transportation infrastructure that uses future Federal transportation payments to pay debt service or to credit enhance State transportation financings, prudently leveraging limited Federal, State, local, and public-private partnership resources to meet critical transportation investment needs.

(7) Bonds with grant-backed financing could be issued or credit enhanced by a limited-purpose State entity and secured with the State's assignment of its formula grant payments from the Highway or Mass Transit Accounts of the Highway Trust Fund or the Airport and Airway Trust Fund to the limited-purpose entity which shall first apply such funds to pay principal and interest on grant financed bonds issued by the limited-purpose entity, or to fund credit enhancements for bonds secured with other State, local, or public-private revenues, and, then, transfer the remaining funds to the State.

(8) Grant-backed financing enables State and local governments and their transportation agencies, authorities, and infrastructure banks to benefit immediately from the State's future authorized Federal transportation grants.

(9) With grant-backed financing State and local governments could—

(A) start and complete transportation infrastructure projects years sooner than using traditional programs, putting new and rehabilitated transportation facilities in use more quickly;

(B) avoid project inflation costs;

(C) reduce the interest and credit enhancement costs of borrowing; and

(D) accelerate project-generated economic activity.

(c) STATE ELECTION TO PROVIDE GRANT-BACKED TRANSPORTATION FINANCING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If a State makes an election described in paragraph (2) with respect to any portion of the amounts payable to the State from the Highway or Mass Transit Accounts of the Highway Trust Fund or the Airport and Airway Trust Fund as authorized by title 23 or 49, United States Code, the Secretary of the Treasury shall deposit such

portion in a designated account in the name of the limited-purpose entity designated in such election for the fiscal year with respect to which such election is made and for each succeeding fiscal year until such limited-purpose entity's bonds, together with the interest thereon, or credit enhancements provided by such limited-purpose entity on other State, local, or public-private bonds have been fully met and discharged.

(2) ELECTION DESCRIBED.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—An election described in this paragraph is an irrevocable election made by a State (in such form and manner as determined by the Secretary of the Treasury) by which the State, in its sole discretion and at its sole liability, designates a portion of amounts described in paragraph (1) for deposit in a designated account to be used by a limited-purpose entity described in subparagraph (B) only for purposes described in subparagraph (C).

(B) LIMITED-PURPOSE ENTITY DESCRIBED.—A limited-purpose entity described in this subparagraph is an entity designated in the election and enabled by the State only—

(i) to receive funds from the Highway or Mass Transit Accounts of the Highway Trust Fund or the Airport and Airway Trust Fund;

(ii) to issue up to a specified amount of bonds secured by those funds or to fund up to a specified amount of credit enhancements for bonds secured with other State, local, or public-private revenues, or both; and

(iii) to enter into agreements with the State governing the disbursement of the proceeds of bonds issued by such limited-purpose entity.

(C) PURPOSES DESCRIBED.—Purposes described in this subparagraph for funds received under an election under this subsection are—

(i) to pay any principal and interest due on prior outstanding bonds secured in whole or in part with Federal formula grant payments;

(ii) to pay any principal and interest due on such bonds issued by the limited-purpose entity, and to fund any reserves or other credit enhancements established in connection with limited-purpose entity bonds or other State, local, or public-private bonds; and

(iii) thereafter to be used by such State as it determines.

(d) NO FEDERAL GUARANTEE OF BONDS.—Bond issues supported in whole or in part by Federal payments subject to an election described in subsection (c)(2) shall not be considered subject to either a direct or indirect Federal guarantee for the purposes of section 149(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Nor shall the exercise of State discretion irrevocably designating a specific State account in the name of a limited-purpose entity for receipt of Federal transportation payments under an election described in subsection (c)(2) be considered either a direct or indirect Federal guarantee for the purposes of such section 149(b).

(e) NO FEDERAL REMEDY FOR BOND-HOLDERS.—No bondholder of a bond described in subsection (d) shall have any right or remedy against the Federal Government. Neither a State pledge, the pledge of a State entity, nor the exercise of State discretion irrevocably designating a specific State account in the name of a limited-purpose entity for receipt of Federal transportation payments shall shift liability for any grant, bond principal, interest, premium, or other payment to the Federal Government.

(f) RESEARCH AND IMPLEMENTATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Transportation shall—

(A) complete an analysis and make a report of such analysis to Congress and the

States of the availability and potential impact of grant-backed financing based on revenue dedicated to the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund and the Airport and Airway Trust Fund; and

(B) select at least 6 State, local, or public-private partnership highway, road, bridge, transit, or airport bond financings, including at least 1 financing by a State infrastructure bank established under section 350 of the National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-59), to receive technical assistance and to encourage elections under subsection (c) with respect to such financings.

(2) REPORTS.—The Secretary of Transportation shall provide a biennial report on the use of grant-backed financing to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure in the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works in the Senate. Such report shall describe the pilot projects selected under paragraph (1)(B), the elections made under subsection (c), and specify any actions Congress or the Secretary of Transportation can take to facilitate the use of grant-backed financing.

BOND AMENDMENTS NOS. 1400-1401

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. BOND submitted two amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

AMENDMENT No. 1400

At the appropriate place in subtitle D of title I, insert the following:

**SEC. 14. SENSE OF SENATE CONCERNING LONGER COMBINATION VEHICLES.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

(1) section 127(d) of title 23, United States Code, contains a prohibition that took effect on June 1, 1991, concerning the operation of certain longer combination vehicles, including certain double-trailer and triple-trailer trucks;

(2) reports on the results of recent studies conducted by the Federal Government describe, with respect to longer combination vehicles—

(A) problems with the adequacy of rearward amplification braking;

(C) the difficulty in making lane changes; and

(D) speed differentials that occur while climbing or accelerating; and

(3) surveys of individuals in the United States demonstrate that an overwhelming majority of residents of the United States oppose the expanded use of longer combination vehicles.

(b) LONGER COMBINATION VEHICLE DEFINED.—In this section, the term "longer combination vehicle" has the meaning given that term in section 127(d)(4) of title 23, United States Code.

(c) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that the prohibitions and restrictions under section 127(d) of title 23, United States Code, as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act, should not be amended so as to result in any less restrictive prohibition or restriction.

AMENDMENT No. 1401

On page 95, strike lines 9 through 14 and insert the following:

along and within international or interstate trade corridors of national importance (including the international trade corridor designated under subparagraph (C)).

(B) IDENTIFICATION OF CORRIDORS.—Subject to subparagraph (C), each corridor referred to in subparagraph (A) shall be cooperatively identified by the States along the corridor.

(C) DESIGNATION OF CORRIDOR.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the Interstate Route 35 Corridor from Laredo, Texas, through Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, to Wichita, Kansas, to Kansas City, Kansas/Missouri, to Des Moines, Iowa, to Minneapolis, Minnesota, to Duluth, Minnesota is designated as an international trade corridor of national importance.

BOND (AND BREAUX) AMENDMENT NO. 1402

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. BOND (for himself and Mr. BREAUX) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

Beginning on page 181, strike line 20 and all that follows through page 183, line 23, and insert the following:

processes. With respect to participation in a natural habitat or wetland mitigation effort related to a project funded under this title that has an impact that occurs within the service area of a mitigation bank, preference shall be given, to the maximum extent practicable, to the use of the mitigation bank if the bank contains sufficient available credits to offset the impact and the bank is approved in accordance with the Federal Guidance for the Establishment, Use and Operation of Mitigation Banks (60 Fed. Reg. 58605 (November 28, 1995)) or other applicable Federal law (including regulations).

"(N) Publicly-owned intracity or intercity passenger rail or bus terminals, including terminals of the National Railroad Passenger Corporation and publicly-owned intermodal surface freight transfer facilities, other than seaports and airports, if the terminals and facilities are located on or adjacent to National Highway System routes or connections to the National Highway System selected in accordance with paragraph (2).

"(O) Infrastructure-based intelligent transportation systems capital improvements.

"(P) In the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, any project eligible for funding under section 133, any airport, and any seaport.

"(Q) Publicly owned components of magnetic levitation transportation systems."

**SEC. 1235. ELIGIBILITY OF PROJECTS UNDER THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.**

Section 133(b) of title 23, United States Code, (as amended by section 1232(c)), is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking "and publicly owned intracity or intercity bus terminals and facilities" and inserting ", including vehicles and facilities, whether publicly or privately owned, that are used to provide intercity passenger service by bus or rail";

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking "and bicycle" and inserting "bicycle"; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", and the modification of public sidewalks to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.);";

(3) in paragraph (4)—

(A) by inserting ", publicly owned passenger rail," after "Highway";

(B) by inserting "infrastructure" after "safety"; and

(C) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", and any other noninfrastructure highway safety improvements";

(4) in paragraph (11)—

(A) in the first sentence—

(i) by inserting "natural habitat and" after "participation in" each place it appears;

(ii) by striking "enhance and create" and inserting "enhance, and create natural habitats and"; and

(iii) by inserting "natural habitat and" before "wetlands conservation"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following: "With respect to participation in a natural habitat or wetland mitigation effort related to a project funded under this title that has and impact that occurs within the service area of a mitigation bank, preference shall be given, to the maximum extent practicable, to the use of the mitigation bank if the bank contains sufficient available credits to offset the impact and the bank is approved in accordance with the Federal Guidance for the Establishment, Use and Operation of Mitigation Banks (60 Fed. Reg. 58605 (November 28, 1995)) or other applicable Federal law (including regulations)."; and

**JEFFORDS AMENDMENTS NOS.  
1403-1410**

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. JEFFORDS submitted eight amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

**AMENDMENT No. 1403**

On page 247, strike line 3 and insert the following:

**SEC. 1504. CONTROL OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING.** Section 131(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking "(d) In" and inserting the following:

"(d) INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL AREAS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—In"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) LIMITATION ON NEW SIGNS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to this paragraph, no new sign, display, or device may be erected under paragraph (1) after the date of enactment of this paragraph.

"(B) EXCEPTION.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), a State may permit a person, at the person's option, to erect in the State a sign, display, or device in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (1) upon removal without payment of just compensation under subsection (g) of a sign, display, or device lawfully erected under this subsection.

"(ii) STATEWIDE LIMITATION.—The total number of signs, displays, and devices erected and maintained under this subsection in a State shall not exceed the total number of signs, displays, and devices lawfully erected before the date of enactment of this paragraph under this subsection in the State and in existence on that date.".

**Subtitle F—Planning**

**AMENDMENT No. 1404**

On page 247, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

**SEC. 1504. CONTROL OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING.** Section 131(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking ", or in unzoned" and all that follows through "Secretary".

**AMENDMENT No. 1405**

On page 247, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

**SEC. 1504. CONTROL OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING.** Section 131 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (t) as subsection (u); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (s) the following:

"(t) LIMITATION ON VEGETATION REMOVAL.—For the purpose of subsection (b), a State

shall not be considered to have made provision for effective control of the erection and maintenance of outdoor advertising signs, displays, and devices if the State carries out or permits the removal of vegetation in, or other alteration of, a right-of-way referred to in subsection (b) for the purpose of improving the visibility of any outdoor advertising sign, display, or device located outside the right-of-way.".

**AMENDMENT No. 1406**

On page 247, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

**SEC. 1504. CONTROL OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING.** Section 131 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—

(A) by striking "(d) In" and inserting the following:

"(d) INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL AREAS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—In"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) APPLICABILITY OF JUST COMPENSATION REQUIREMENTS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), just compensation under subsection (g) shall not be paid on the removal of any sign, display, or device lawfully erected under State law after the date of enactment of this paragraph.

"(B) EXCEPTION.—A State may permit a person, at the person's option, to erect in the State a sign, display, or device in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (1) on removal without payment of just compensation under subsection (g) of a sign, display, or device lawfully erected under this subsection.";

(2) in the first sentence of subsection (g), by striking "and not permitted under subsection (c) of this section, whether or not removed pursuant to or because of this section" and inserting "and removed under this section"; and

(3) in subsection (k), by striking "Subject to compliance with subsection (g) of this section for the payment of just compensation, nothing" and inserting "Nothing".

**AMENDMENT No. 1407**

On page 247, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

**SEC. 1504. CONTROL OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING.** Section 131 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (t) as subsection (u); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (s) the following:

"(t) STATE INVENTORY OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING SIGNS, DISPLAYS, AND DEVICES.—

"(1) REQUIREMENT.—For the purpose of subsection (b), a State shall not be considered to have made provision for effective control of the erection and maintenance of outdoor advertising signs, displays, and devices unless the State maintains, and annually submits to the Secretary, an inventory of all outdoor advertising signs, displays, and devices in the State for which the effective control is required under this section, including a specification of whether each sign, display, or device is illegal, non-conforming, or conforming under State law.

"(2) STATE SCENIC BYWAYS.—The State inventory required by paragraph (1) shall identify each sign, display, or device described in paragraph (1) that is located along a highway on the Interstate System or Federal-aid primary system designated as a scenic byway under a program of the State described in subsection (s).

"(3) USE OF STATE INVENTORIES.—The Secretary shall use the State inventories submitted under this subsection to carry out this section.".

**AMENDMENT No. 1408**

On page 247, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

**SEC. 1504. CONTROL OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING.**

Section 131 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—

(A) by striking "(d) In" and inserting the following:

"(d) INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL AREAS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—In";

(B) in the first sentence of paragraph (1) (as so designated), by striking ", or in unzoned" and all that follows through "Secretary"; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) LIMITATION ON NEW SIGNS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to this paragraph, no new sign, display, or device may be erected under paragraph (1) after the date of enactment of this paragraph.

"(B) APPLICABILITY OF JUST COMPENSATION REQUIREMENTS.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), just compensation under subsection (g) shall not be paid upon the removal of any sign, display, or device lawfully erected under State law after the date of enactment of this paragraph.

"(C) EXCEPTION.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), a State may permit a person, at the person's option, to erect in the State a sign, display, or device in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (1) upon removal without payment of just compensation under subsection (g) of a sign, display, or device lawfully erected under this subsection.

"(ii) STATEWIDE LIMITATION.—The total number of signs, displays, and devices erected and maintained under this subsection in a State shall not exceed the total number of signs, displays, and devices lawfully erected before the date of enactment of this paragraph under this subsection in the State and in existence on that date.";

(2) in the first sentence of subsection (g), by striking "and not permitted under subsection (c) of this section, whether or not removed pursuant to or because of this section" and inserting "and removed under this section";

(3) in subsection (k), by striking "Subject to compliance with subsection (g) of this section for the payment of just compensation, nothing" and inserting "Nothing";

(4) by redesignating subsection (t) as subsection (v); and

(5) by inserting after subsection (s) the following:

"(t) STATE INVENTORY OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING SIGNS, DISPLAYS, AND DEVICES.—

"(1) REQUIREMENT.—For the purpose of subsection (b), a State shall not be considered to have made provision for effective control of the erection and maintenance of outdoor advertising signs, displays, and devices unless the State maintains, and annually submits to the Secretary, an inventory of all outdoor advertising signs, displays, and devices in the State for which the effective control is required under this section, including a specification of whether each sign, display, or device is illegal, or nonconforming, or conforming under State law.

"(2) STATE SCENIC BYWAYS.—The State inventory required by paragraph (1) shall identify each sign, display, or device described in paragraph (1) that is located along a highway on the Interstate System or Federal-aid primary system designated as a scenic byway under a program of the State described in subsection (s).

"(3) USE OF STATE INVENTORIES.—The Secretary shall use the State inventories submitted under this subsection to ensure compliance with subsection (d)(2)(C)(ii) and to carry out this section.

“(u) LIMITATION ON VEGETATION REMOVAL.—For the purpose of subsection (b), a State shall not be considered to have made provision for effective control of the erection and maintenance of outdoor advertising signs, displays, and devices if the State carries out or permits the removal of vegetation in, or other alteration of, a right-of-way referred to in subsection (b) for the purpose of improving the visibility of any outdoor advertising sign, display, or device located outside the right-of-way.”

## AMENDMENT NO. 1409

On page 247, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

**SEC. 1504. CONTROL OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING.**

Section 131 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—

(A) by striking “(d) In” and inserting the following:

“(d) INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL AREAS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) APPLICABILITY OF JUST COMPENSATION REQUIREMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), just compensation under subsection (g) shall not be paid on the removal of any sign, display, or device lawfully erected under State law after the date of enactment of this paragraph.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—A State may permit a person, at the person’s option, to erect in the State a sign, display, or device in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (1) on removal without payment of just compensation under subsection (g) of a sign, display, or device lawfully erected under this subsection.”;

(2) in the first sentence of subsection (g), by striking “and not permitted under subsection (c) of this section, whether or not removed pursuant to or because of this section” and inserting “and removed under this section”;

(3) in subsection (k), by striking “Subject to compliance with subsection (g) of this section for the payment of just compensation, nothing” and inserting “Nothing”

(4) by redesignating subsection (t) as subsection (v); and

(5) by inserting after subsection (s) the following:

“(t) STATE INVENTORY OF OUTDOOR ADVERTISING SIGNS, DISPLAYS, AND DEVICES.—

“(1) REQUIREMENT.—For the purpose of subsection (b), a State shall not be considered to have made provision for effective control of the erection and maintenance of outdoor advertising signs, displays, and devices unless the State maintains, and annually submits to the Secretary, an inventory of all outdoor advertising signs, displays, and devices in the State for which the effective control is required under this section, including a specification of whether each sign, display, or device is illegal, nonconforming, or conforming under State law.

“(2) STATE SCENIC BYWAYS.—The State inventory required by paragraph (1) shall identify each sign, display, or device described in paragraph (1) that is located along a highway on the Interstate System or Federal-aid primary system designated as a scenic byway under a program of the State described in subsection (s).

“(3) USE OF STATE INVENTORIES.—The Secretary shall use the State inventories submitted under this subsection to carry out this section.

“(u) LIMITATION ON VEGETATION REMOVAL.—For the purpose of subsection (b), a State shall not be considered to have made provision for effective control of the erection and maintenance of outdoor advertising

signs, displays, and devices if the State carries out or permits the removal of vegetation in, or other alteration of, a right-of-way referred to in subsection (b) for the purpose of improving the visibility of any outdoor advertising sign, display, or device located outside the right-of-way.”

## AMENDMENT NO. 1410

On page 414, strike line 22 and insert the following:  
U.S.C. 307 note; 105 Stat. 2189).

**SEC. 2105. RAIL AND PORT ACCESS MODERNIZATION.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

(1) the growth of commerce in northern New England is hampered by a decaying rail infrastructure;

(2) during the 5-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, international trade shipping is projected to increase by more than 20 percent;

(3) in the shipping industry, there is a widespread international trend for shippers to use only ports with double-stack rail access;

(4) aging rail lines and constricted passage in older industrial States are—

(A) limiting the movement of cargo and individuals throughout that area; and

(B) restricting access to deepwater ports; and

(5) improving rail lines and double-stack freight rail passage to allow rail connections to and through other States and provinces will enable the economy of the older industrial region to grow and prosper by bringing new industry into the region that will result in growth in high wage jobs.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) FUND.—The term “Fund” means the Older Industrial Rail Modernization and Port Access Fund established by subsection (c)(7).

(2) OLDER INDUSTRIAL REGION.—The term “older industrial region” means the northeastern area of the United States.

(3) OLDER INDUSTRIAL STATE.—The term “older industrial State” means—

(A) Vermont;

(B) Maine; and

(C) New Hampshire.

(4) RAIL PROJECT.—The term “rail project” means a project for the acquisition, rehabilitation, or improvement of railroad facilities or equipment, as described in section 511 of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (45 U.S.C. 831).

(5) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Transportation.

(c) DIRECT FEDERAL ASSISTANCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—

(A) GRANTS.—Subject to the availability of appropriations, the Secretary shall make a grant under this subsection to each older industrial State that submits an application to the Secretary that demonstrates, to the satisfaction of the Secretary, a need for assistance under this subsection in carrying out 1 or more transportation projects described in paragraph (2), (3), (4), or (5) that are necessary to improve rail transport in that State.

(B) GRANT AGREEMENT.—The Secretary shall enter into a grant agreement with each older industrial State that receives a grant under this subsection. At a minimum, the agreement shall specify that the grant recipient will meet the applicable requirements of this section, including the cost-sharing requirement under paragraph (6)(B).

(2) GRANTS FOR PORT ACCESS.—The Secretary shall make grants under this subsection for the purposes of connecting all railroads to ports and ensuring that double-stack rail cars can travel freely throughout older industrial States.

(3) GRANTS FOR BRIDGE AND TUNNEL OBSTRUCTION REPAIR AND REPLACEMENT.—The

Secretary shall make grants under this subsection for the purpose of enlarging tunnels and embankments, removing, repairing, or replacing bridges or other obstructions that inhibit the free movement of freight or passenger rail cars and the use of double-stack rail cars.

(4) GRANTS FOR REPAIR OF RAILROAD BEDS.—The Secretary shall make grants under this subsection for the purposes of repairing, upgrading, and purchasing railbeds and tracks, including improving safety of all railroad tracks.

(5) GRANTS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF INTERMODAL FACILITIES.—The Secretary shall make grants under this subsection for the purposes of constructing, operating, and maintaining train maintenance facilities and facilities for the transfer of goods and individuals between other transportation modes, including—

(A) intermodal truck-train transfer facilities;

(B) passenger rail stations; and

(C) bulk fuel transfer facilities.

(6) FUNDING LIMITATIONS ON EXPENDITURES OF FUNDS.—

(A) FUNDING.—The grants made under this subsection shall be made with funds transferred from the Fund.

(B) COST-SHARING.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—A grant made under this subsection shall be used to pay the Federal share of the cost of a project conducted under a grant agreement.

(ii) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of a project referred to in clause (i) shall be 80 percent of the cost of the project.

(C) ALLOCATION AMONG STATES.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2001, the Secretary shall, in making grants under this subsection, allocate available amounts in the Fund among older industrial States in accordance with a formula established by the Secretary in accordance with clause (ii).

(ii) ALLOCATION FORMULA.—In making grants under this subsection, for each of the fiscal years specified in clause (i), the Secretary shall allocate an equal amount of the amounts available from the Fund to each of the older industrial States that submits 1 or more grant applications that meet the requirements of this subsection.

(7) OLDER INDUSTRIAL RAIL MODERNIZATION AND PORT ACCESS FUND.—

(A) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the Treasury of the United States a trust fund, to be known as the “Older Industrial Rail Modernization and Port Access Fund”. The Fund shall consist of—

(i) such amounts as are appropriated to the Fund; and

(ii) any interest earned on investment of amounts in the Fund under subparagraph (B).

(B) INVESTMENT OF FUND.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall invest such portion of the Fund as is not, in the judgment of the Secretary, required to meet then current withdrawals. Those investments may be made only in interest-bearing obligations of the United States or obligations guaranteed as to both principal and interest by the United States. For that purpose, those obligations may be acquired—

(I) on original issue at the issue price, or

(II) by purchase of outstanding obligations at the market price.

(ii) SALE OF OBLIGATION.—Any obligation acquired by the Fund (except special obligations issued exclusively to the Fund) may be sold by the Secretary of the Treasury at the market price. The special obligations may be redeemed at par plus accrued interest.

(iii) CREDITS TO FUND.—The interest on, and the proceeds from, the sale or redemption of, any obligations held in the Fund shall be credited to and form a part of the Fund.

(C) TRANSFERS FROM FUND.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall, on the request of the Secretary of Transportation, transfer from the Fund to the Secretary of Transportation, any amounts that the Secretary of Transportation determines to be necessary to carry out the grant program under this subsection.

(D) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Not more than 1 percent of the amounts in the Fund may be used by the Secretary of Transportation to cover administrative expenses for carrying out the grant program under this subsection.

(8) APPLICABILITY OF TITLE 23.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, funds made available to an older industrial State under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the manner provided for funds apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code.

(9) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—  
(A) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Fund to carry out this subsection \$65,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2001.

(B) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—The amounts appropriated pursuant to this paragraph shall remain available for obligation until the end of the third fiscal year following the fiscal year for which the amounts are appropriated.

(d) RAILROAD LOAN AND ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.—

(1) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this subsection is to provide assistance for rail projects in older industrial States.

(2) ISSUANCE OF OBLIGATIONS.—The Secretary shall issue to the Secretary of the Treasury notes or other obligations pursuant to section 512 of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (45 U.S.C. 832), in such amounts, and at such times, as may be necessary, during the period that the guaranteed obligation is outstanding, to—

(A) pay any amounts required pursuant to the guarantee of the principal amount of an obligation under section 511 of that Act (45 U.S.C. 831) for any eligible rail project described in paragraph (3); and

(B) meet the applicable requirements of this subsection and sections 511 and 513 of that Act (45 U.S.C. 832 and 833).

(3) ELIGIBILITY.—A rail project that is eligible for assistance under this subsection is a rail project—

(A) for a railroad that is located in an older industrial State; and

(B) that promotes the mobility of goods and individuals.

(4) LIMITATION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the aggregate unpaid principal amounts of obligations that may be guaranteed by the Secretary under this subsection may not exceed \$50,000,000 during any of fiscal years 1998 through 2001.

(5) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Transportation, to be used by the Secretary to make guarantees under this subsection, \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2001.

(e) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to Congress and the Governor of each older industrial State a report concerning the rehabilitation of the rail infrastructure of older industrial States.

GRAHAM AMENDMENT NO. 1411

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. GRAHAM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

On page 30, line 1, strike "and".

On page 30, line 13, strike the period at the end and insert "; and".

On page 30, between lines 13 and 14, insert the following:

"(C) for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, a State's total apportionments described in subclauses (I) and (II) of subparagraph (A)(i) for the fiscal year is not less than 90 percent of the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in the State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year in which data is available."

On page 5, line 8, insert "(a) IN GENERAL.—" before "For".

On page 7, between lines 20 and 21, insert the following:

(b) REDUCTION OF SUMS.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), the sums made available under subsection (a) shall be reduced on a pro rata basis by the amount necessary to offset the budgetary impact resulting from adoption of this amendment.

On page 5, line 8, insert "(a) IN GENERAL.—" before "For".

On page 7, between lines 20 and 21, insert the following:

(b) EFFECT OF INCREASED AVAILABLE AMOUNTS.—The increased funding levels provided by this amendment shall not take effect unless the amounts made available under subsection (a) are increased above the levels of those amounts in the modified Committee amendment filed in the Senate on October 8, 1997.

LAUTENBERG (AND DEWINE)  
AMENDMENT NO. 1412

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. LAUTENBERG (for himself and Mr. DEWINE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle D of title I, add the following:

**SEC. 14. NATIONAL STANDARD TO PROHIBIT OPERATION OF MOTOR VEHICLES BY INTOXICATED INDIVIDUALS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 153 the following:

**"§154. National standard to prohibit operation of motor vehicles by intoxicated individuals**

"(a) WITHHOLDING OF APPORTIONMENTS FOR NONCOMPLIANCE.—

"(1) FISCAL YEAR 2001.—The Secretary shall withhold 5 percent of the amount required to be apportioned to any State under each of paragraphs (1)(A), (1)(C), and (3) of section 104(b) on October 1, 2000, if the State does not meet the requirements of paragraph (3) on that date.

"(2) SUBSEQUENT FISCAL YEARS.—The Secretary shall withhold 10 percent (including any amounts withheld under paragraph (1)) of the amount required to be apportioned to any State under each of paragraphs (1)(A), (1)(C), and (3) of section 104(b) on October 1, 2001, and on October 1 of each fiscal year thereafter, if the State does not meet the requirements of paragraph (3) on that date.

"(3) REQUIREMENTS.—A State meets the requirements of this paragraph if the State has enacted and is enforcing a law that considers an individual who has an alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or greater while operating a motor vehicle in the State to be driving—

"(A) while intoxicated; or

"(B) under the influence of alcohol.

"(b) PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY; EFFECT OF COMPLIANCE AND NONCOMPLIANCE.—

"(1) PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY OF WITHHELD FUNDS.—

"(A) FUNDS WITHHELD ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 30, 2002.—Any funds withheld under subsection (a) from apportionment to any State on or before September 30, 2002, shall remain available until the end of the third fiscal year following the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized to be appropriated.

"(B) FUNDS WITHHELD AFTER SEPTEMBER 30, 2002.—No funds withheld under this section from apportionment to any State after September 30, 2002, shall be available for apportionment to the State.

"(2) APPORTIONMENT OF WITHHELD FUNDS AFTER COMPLIANCE.—If, before the last day of the period for which funds withheld under subsection (a) from apportionment are to remain available for apportionment to a State under paragraph (1)(A), the State meets the requirements of subsection (a)(3), the Secretary shall, on the first day on which the State meets the requirements, apportion to the State the funds withheld under subsection (a) that remain available for apportionment to the State.

"(3) PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY OF SUBSEQUENTLY APPORTIONED FUNDS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Any funds apportioned under paragraph (2) shall remain available for expenditure until the end of the third fiscal year following the fiscal year in which the funds are so apportioned.

"(B) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN FUNDS.—Sums not obligated at the end of the period referred to in subparagraph (A) shall—

"(i) lapse; or

"(ii) in the case of funds apportioned under section 104(b)(1)(A), lapse and be made available by the Secretary for projects in accordance with section 118.

"(4) EFFECT OF NONCOMPLIANCE.—If, at the end of the period for which funds withheld under subsection (a) from apportionment are available for apportionment to a State under paragraph (1)(A), the State does not meet the requirements of subsection (a)(3), the funds shall—

"(A) lapse; or

"(B) in the case of funds withheld from apportionment under section 104(b)(1)(A), lapse and be made available by the Secretary for projects in accordance with section 118."

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 153 the following:

"154. National standard to prohibit operation of motor vehicles by intoxicated individuals."

LAUTENBERG AMENDMENT NO.  
1413

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. LAUTENBERG submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

Strike pages 257 through 263 and insert the following:

"implemented, indicates total resources from public and private sources that are reasonably expected to be available to carry out the plan and recommends any additional financing strategies for needed projects and programs.

"(3) COORDINATION WITH CLEAN AIR ACT AGENCIES.—In metropolitan areas that are in nonattainment for ozone or carbon monoxide under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.), the metropolitan planning organization shall coordinate the development of a

long-range transportation plan with the process for development of the transportation control measures of the State implementation plan required by that Act.

"(4) PARTICIPATION BY INTERESTED PARTIES.—Before adopting a long-range transportation plan, each metropolitan planning organization shall provide citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of transportation agency employees, freight shippers, private providers of transportation, and other interested parties with a reasonable opportunity to commit on the long-range transportation plan.

"(5) PUBLICATION OF LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN.—Each long-range transportation plan prepared by a metropolitan planning organization shall be—

"(A) published or otherwise made readily available for public review; and

"(B) submitted for information purposes to the Governor at such times and in such manner as the Secretary shall establish.

"(h) METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

"(1) DEVELOPMENT.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—In cooperation with the State and any affected public transit operator, the metropolitan planning organization designated for a metropolitan area shall develop a transportation improvement program for the area for which the organization is designated.

"(B) OPPORTUNITY FOR COMMENT.—In developing the program, the metropolitan planning organization, in cooperation with the State and any affected public transit operator, shall provide citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of transportation agency employees, other affected employee representatives, freight shippers, private providers of transportation, and other interested parties with a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed program.

"(C) FUNDING ESTIMATES.—For the purpose of developing the transportation improvement program, the metropolitan planning organization, public transit agency, and State shall cooperatively develop estimates of funds that are reasonably expected to be available to support program implementation.

"(D) UPDATING AND APPROVAL.—The program shall be updated at least once every 2 years and shall be approved by the metropolitan planning organization and the Governor.

"(2) CONTENTS.—The transportation improvement program shall include—

"(A) a list, in order of priority, of proposed federally supported projects and strategies to be carried out within each 3-year-period after the initial adoption of the transportation improvement program; and

"(B) a financial plan that—

"(i) demonstrates how the transportation improvement program can be implemented;

"(ii) indicates resources from public and private sources that are reasonably expected to be available to carry out the program; and

"(iii) identifies innovates financing techniques to finance projects, programs, and strategies.

"(3) INCLUDED PROJECTS.—

"(A) Chapter 1 and chapter 53 projects.—A transportation improvement program developed under this subsection for a metropolitan area shall include the projects and strategies within the area that are proposed for funding under chapter 1 of this title and chapter 53 of title 49.

"(B) CHAPTER 2 PROJECTS.—

"(i) REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT PROJECTS.—Regionally significant projects proposed for funding under chapter 2 of this title shall be identified individually in the transportation improvement program.

"(ii) OTHER PROJECTS.—Projects proposed for funding under chapter 2 of this title that

are not determined to be regionally significant shall be grouped in 1 line item or identified individually in the transportation improvement program.

"(C) CONSISTENCY WITH LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN.—Each project shall be consistent with the long-range transportation plan developed under subsection (g) for the area.

"(D) REQUIREMENT OF ANTICIPATED FULL FUNDING.—The program shall include a project, or an identified phase of a project, only if full funding can reasonably be anticipated to be available for the project within the time period contemplated for completion of the project.

"(4) NOTICE AND COMMENT.—Before approving a transportation improvement program, a metropolitan planning organization shall, in cooperation with the State and any affected public transit operator, provide citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of transportation agency employees, private providers of transportation, and other interested parties with reasonable notice of and an opportunity to comment on the proposed program.

"(5) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in subsection (i)(4) and in addition to the transportation improvement program development required under paragraph (1), the selection of federally funded projects for implementation in metropolitan areas shall be carried out, from the approved transportation improvement program—

"(i) by—

"(I) in the case of projects under chapter 1, the State; and

"(II) in the case of projects under chapter 53 of title 49, the designated transit funding recipients; and

"(ii) in cooperation with the metropolitan planning organization.

"(B) MODIFICATIONS TO PROJECT PRIORITY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, action by the Secretary shall not be required to advance a project included in the approved transportation improvement program in place of another project of higher priority in the program, except where either such project is relevant to a determination of conformity with the Clean Air Act, nor shall any such action be required to change the indicated source of funding for any project.

"(i) TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT AREAS.—

"(1) DESIGNATION.—

"(A) REQUIRED DESIGNATIONS.—The Secretary shall designate as a transportation management area each urbanized area with a population of over 200,000 individuals.

"(B) DESIGNATIONS ON REQUEST.—The Secretary shall designate any additional area as a transportation management area on the request of the Governor and the metropolitan planning organization designated for the area.

"(2) TRANSPORTATION PLANS AND PROGRAMS.—Within a transportation management area, transportation plans and programs shall be based on a continuing and comprehensive transportation planning process carried out by the metropolitan planning organization in cooperation with the State and any affected public transit operator.

"(3) CONGESTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.—Within a transportation management area, the transportation planning process under this section shall include a congestion management system that provides for effective management of new and exist".

#### HOLLINGS AMENDMENTS NOS. 1414-1415

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. HOLLINGS submitted two amendments intended to be proposed

by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1414

On page 235, beginning with line 18, strike through line 16 on page 236.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1415

On page 229, beginning with line 8, strike through line 17 on page 235.

#### HOLLINGS (AND McCAIN) AMENDMENT NO. 1416

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. HOLLINGS (for himself and Mr. McCAIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

At the end of the amendment, insert the following:

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; APPLICATION WITH PRECEDING PROVISIONS AND AMENDMENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997".

(b) APPLICATION.—The provisions of this Act appearing after this section, including any amendment made by any such provision, supersede any provision appearing before this section to the extent that the provisions or amendments appearing after this section conflict with and cannot be reconciled with the provisions (including amendments) appearing before this section. For purposes of this subsection, conflicts of enumeration or lettering of subdivisions of any provision of law amended by this Act, and conflicts of captions of any provision of law amended by this Act, shall be ignored.

#### SEC. 2. AMENDMENT OF TITLE 49, UNITED STATES CODE.

Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or a repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of title 49, United States Code.

#### SEC. 3. TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; application with preceding provisions and amendments.

Sec. 2. Amendment of title 49, United States Code.

Sec. 3. Table of contents.

#### Title I—Highway Safety

Sec. 101. Highway safety programs.

Sec. 102. National driver register.

Sec. 103. Authorizations of appropriations.

#### Title II—Hazardous materials transportation reauthorization

Sec. 201. Findings and purposes; definitions.

Sec. 202. Handling criteria repeal.

Sec. 203. Hazmat employee training requirements.

Sec. 204. Registration.

Sec. 205. Shipping paper retention.

Sec. 206. Unsatisfactory safety rating.

Sec. 207. Public sector training curriculum.

Sec. 208. Planning and training grants.

Sec. 209. Special permits and exclusions.

Sec. 210. Administration.

Sec. 211. Cooperative agreements.

Sec. 212. Enforcement.

Sec. 213. Penalties.

Sec. 214. Preemption.

Sec. 215. Judicial review.

Sec. 216. Hazardous material transportation reauthorization.

Sec. 217. Authorization of appropriations.

#### Title III—Comprehensive One-call Notification

Sec. 301. Findings.

Sec. 302. Establishment of one-call notification programs.

Title IV—Motor Carrier Safety

- Sec. 401. Statement of purpose.
- Sec. 402. Grants to States.
- Sec. 403. Federal share.
- Sec. 404. Authorization of appropriations.
- Sec. 405. Information systems and strategic safety initiatives.
- Sec. 406. Improved flow of driver history pilot program.
- Sec. 407. Motor carrier and driver safety research.
- Sec. 408. Authorization of appropriations.
- Sec. 409. Conforming amendments.
- Sec. 410. Automobile transporter defined.
- Sec. 411. Repeal of review panel; review procedure.
- Sec. 412. Commercial motor vehicle operators.
- Sec. 413. Penalties.
- Sec. 414. International registration plan and international fuel tax agreement.
- Sec. 415. Study of adequacy of parking facilities.
- Sec. 416. National minimum drinking age—technical corrections.
- Sec. 417. Application of regulations.
- Sec. 418. Authority over charter bus transportation.
- Sec. 419. Federal motor carrier safety investigations.
- Sec. 420. Foreign motor carrier safety fitness.
- Sec. 421. Commercial motor vehicle safety advisory committee.
- Sec. 422. Waivers; exemptions; pilot programs.
- Sec. 423. Commercial motor vehicle safety studies.
- Sec. 424. Increased MCSAP participation impact study.

Title V—Rail and Mass Transportation Anti-terrorism; Safety

- Sec. 501. Purpose.
- Sec. 502. Amendments to the "wrecking trains" statute.
- Sec. 503. Terrorist attacks against mass transportation.
- Sec. 504. Investigative jurisdiction.
- Sec. 505. Safety considerations in grants or loans to commuter railroads.
- Sec. 506. Railroad accident and incident reporting.
- Sec. 507. Vehicle weight limitations—mass transportation buses.

Title—VI Sportfishing and Boating Safety.

- Sec. 601. Amendment of 1950 Act.
- Sec. 602. Outreach and communications programs.
- Sec. 603. Clean Vessel Act funding.
- Sec. 604. Boating infrastructure.
- Sec. 605. Boat safety funds.

**TITLE I—HIGHWAY SAFETY**

**SEC. 101. HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS.**

(a) UNIFORM GUIDELINES.—Section 402(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 4007" and inserting "section 4004".

(b) ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS.—Section 402(b) of such title is amended—

(1) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (A) and subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1) and inserting a semicolon;

(2) by inserting ", including Indian tribes," after "subdivisions of such State" in paragraph (1)(C);

(3) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (1)(C) and inserting a semicolon and "and"; and

(5) by striking paragraphs (3) and (4) and redesignating paragraph (5) as paragraph (3).

(c) APPORTIONMENT OF FUNDS.—Section 402(c) of such title is amended by—

(1) by inserting "the apportionment to the Secretary of the Interior shall not be less

than three-fourths of 1 percent of the total apportionment and" after "except that" in the sixth sentence; and

(2) by striking the seventh sentence.

(d) APPLICATION IN INDIAN COUNTRY.—Section 402(i) of such title is amended to read as follows:

"(i) APPLICATION IN INDIAN COUNTRY.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—For the purpose of application of this section in Indian country, the terms 'State' and 'Governor of a State' include the Secretary of the Interior and the term 'political subdivision of a State' includes an Indian tribe. Notwithstanding the provisions of subparagraph (b)(1)(C) of this section, 95 percent of the funds apportioned to the Secretary of the Interior under this section shall be expended by Indian tribes to carry out highway safety programs within their jurisdictions. The provisions of subparagraph (b)(1)(D) of this section shall be applicable to Indian tribes, except to those tribes with respect to which the Secretary determines that application of such provisions would not be practicable.

"(2) INDIAN COUNTRY DEFINED.—For the purposes of this subsection, the term 'Indian country' means—

"(A) all land within the limits of any Indian reservation under the jurisdiction of the United States, notwithstanding the issuance of any patent, and including rights-of-way running through the reservation;

"(B) all dependent Indian communities within the borders of the United States whether within the original or subsequently acquired territory thereof and whether within or without the limits of a State; and

"(C) all Indian allotments, the Indian titles to which have not been extinguished, including rights-of-way running through such allotments."

(e) RULEMAKING PROCESS.—Section 402(j) of such title is amended to read as follows:

"(j) RULEMAKING PROCESS.—The Secretary may from time to time conduct a rulemaking process to identify highway safety programs that are highly effective in reducing motor vehicle crashes, injuries and deaths. Any such rulemaking shall take into account the major role of the States in implementing such programs. When a rule promulgated in accordance with this section takes effect, States shall consider these highly effective programs when developing their highway safety programs."

(f) SAFETY INCENTIVE GRANTS.—Section 402 of such title is amended by striking subsection (k) and inserting the following:

"(k)(1) SAFETY INCENTIVE GRANTS: GENERAL AUTHORITY.—The Secretary shall make a grant to a State that takes specific actions to advance highway safety under subsection (l) of this section. A State may qualify for more than one grant and shall receive a separate grant for each subsection for which it qualifies. Such grants may only be used by recipient States to implement and enforce, as appropriate, the programs for which the grants are awarded.

"(2) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—No grant may be made to a State under subsection (l) or (m) of this section in any fiscal year unless such State enters into such agreements with the Secretary as the Secretary may require to ensure that such State will maintain its aggregate expenditures from all other sources for the specific actions for which a grant is provided at or above the average level of such expenditures in its 2 fiscal years preceding the date of the enactment of this subsection.

"(3) MAXIMUM PERIOD OF ELIGIBILITY; FEDERAL SHARE FOR GRANTS.—Each grant under subsection (l) or (m) of this section shall be available for not more than 6 fiscal years beginning in the fiscal year after September 30, 1997, in which the State becomes eligible for

the grant. The Federal share payable for any grant under subsection (l) or (m) shall not exceed—

"(A) in the first and second fiscal years in which the State receives the grant, 75 percent of the cost of implementing and enforcing, as appropriate, in such fiscal year a program adopted by the State;

"(B) in the third and fourth fiscal years in which the State receives the grant, 50 percent of the cost of implementing and enforcing, as appropriate, in such fiscal year such program; and

"(C) in the fifth and sixth fiscal years in which the State receives the grant, 25 percent of the cost of implementing and enforcing, as appropriate, in such fiscal year such program.

"(l) ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING COUNTERMEASURES: BASIC GRANT ELIGIBILITY.—The Secretary shall make grants to those States that adopt and implement effective programs to reduce traffic safety problems resulting from persons driving under the influence of alcohol. A State shall become eligible for one or more of three basic grants under this subsection by adopting or demonstrating the following to the satisfaction of the Secretary:

"(1) BASIC GRANT A.—At least 7 of the following:

"(A) .08 BAC PER SE LAW.—A law that provides that any individual with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or greater while operating a motor vehicle shall be deemed to be driving while intoxicated.

"(B) ADMINISTRATIVE LICENSE REVOCATION.—An administrative driver's license suspension or revocation system for persons who operate motor vehicles while under the influence of alcohol which requires that—

"(i) in the case of a person who, in any 5-year period beginning after the date of enactment of this subsection, is determined on the basis of a chemical test to have been operating a motor vehicle under the influence of alcohol or is determined to have refused to submit to such a test as proposed by a law enforcement officer, the State agency responsible for administering drivers' licenses, upon receiving the report of the law enforcement officer—

"(I) shall suspend the driver's license of such person for a period of not less than 90 days if such person is a first offender in such 5-year period; and

"(II) shall suspend the driver's license of such person for a period of not less than 1 year, or revoke such license, if such person is a repeat offender in such 5-year period; and

"(ii) the suspension and revocation referred to under clause (A)(i) of this subparagraph shall take effect not later than 30 days after the day on which the person refused to submit to a chemical test or received notice of having been determined to be driving under the influence of alcohol, in accordance with the State's procedures.

"(C) UNDERAGE DRINKING PROGRAM.—An effective system, as determined by the Secretary, for preventing operators of motor vehicles under age 21 from obtaining alcoholic beverages. Such system shall include the issuance of drivers' licenses to individuals under age 21 that are easily distinguishable in appearance from drivers' licenses issued to individuals age 21 years of age or older.

"(D) STOPPING MOTOR VEHICLES.—Either—

"(i) A statewide program for stopping motor vehicles on a nondiscriminatory, lawful basis for the purpose of determining whether the operators of such motor vehicles are driving while under the influence of alcohol, or

"(ii) a statewide Special Traffic Enforcement Program for impaired driving that emphasizes publicity for the program.

“(E) REPEAT OFFENDERS.—Effective sanctions for repeat offenders convicted of driving under the influence of alcohol. Such sanctions, as determined by the Secretary, may include electronic monitoring; alcohol interlocks; intensive supervision of probation; vehicle impoundment, confiscation, or forfeiture; and dedicated detention facilities.

“(F) GRADUATED LICENSING SYSTEM.—A three-stage graduated licensing system for young drivers that includes nighttime driving restrictions during the first 2 stages, requires all vehicle occupants to be properly restrained, and makes it unlawful for a person under age 21 to operate a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of .02 percent or greater.

“(G) DRIVERS WITH HIGH BAC'S.—Programs to target individuals with high blood alcohol concentrations who operate a motor vehicle. Such programs may include implementation of a system of graduated penalties and assessment of individuals convicted of driving under the influence of alcohol.

“(H) YOUNG ADULT DRINKING PROGRAMS.—Programs to reduce driving while under the influence of alcohol by individuals age 21 through 34. Such programs may include awareness campaigns; traffic safety partnerships with employers, colleges, and the hospitality industry; assessment of first time offenders; and incorporation of treatment into judicial sentencing.

“(I) TESTING FOR BAC.—An effective system for increasing the rate of testing for blood alcohol concentration of motor vehicle drivers at fault in fatal accidents.

“(2) BASIC GRANT B.—Either of the following:

“(A) ADMINISTRATIVE LICENSE REVOCATION.—An administrative driver's license suspension or revocation system for persons who operate motor vehicles while under the influence of alcohol which requires that—

“(i) in the case of a person who, in any 5-year period beginning after the date of enactment of this subsection, is determined on the basis of a chemical test to have been operating a motor vehicle under the influence of alcohol or is determined to have refused to submit to such a test as requested by a law enforcement officer, the State agency responsible for administering drivers' licenses, upon receiving the report of the law enforcement officer—

“(I) shall suspend the driver's license of such person for a period of not less than 90 days if such person is a first offender in such 5-year period; and

“(II) shall suspend the driver's license of such person for a period of not less than 1 year, or revoke such license, if such person is a repeat offender in such 5-year period; and

“(ii) the suspension and revocation referred to under clause (A)(i) of this subparagraph shall take effect not later than 30 days after the day on which the person refused to submit to a chemical test or receives notice of having been determined to be driving under the influence of alcohol, in accordance with the State's procedures; or

“(B) .08 BAC PER SE LAW.—A law that provides that any person with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or greater while operating a motor vehicle shall be deemed to be driving while intoxicated.

“(3) BASIC GRANT C.—Both of the following:

“(A) FATAL IMPAIRED DRIVER PERCENTAGE REDUCTION.—The percentage of fatally injured drivers with 0.10 percent or greater blood alcohol concentration in the State has decreased in each of the 3 most recent calendar years for which statistics for determining such percentages are available; and

“(B) FATAL IMPAIRED DRIVER PERCENTAGE COMPARISON.—The percentage of fatally injured drivers with 0.10 percent or greater blood alcohol concentration in the State has

been lower than the average percentage for all States in each of such calendar years.

“(4) BASIC GRANT AMOUNT.—The amount of each basic grant under this subsection for any fiscal year shall be up to 15 percent of the amount apportioned to the State for fiscal year 1997 under section 402 of this title.

“(5) ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING COUNTERMEASURES: SUPPLEMENTAL GRANTS.—During the period in which a State is eligible for a basic grant under this subsection, the State shall be eligible to receive a supplemental grant in no more than 2 fiscal years of up to 5 percent of the amount apportioned to the State in fiscal year 1997 under section 402 of this title. The State may receive a separate supplemental grant for meeting each of the following criteria:

“(A) OPEN CONTAINER LAWS.—The State makes unlawful the possession of any open alcoholic beverage container, or the consumption of any alcoholic beverage, in the passenger area of any motor vehicle located on a public highway or the right-of-way of a public highway, except—

“(i) as allowed in the passenger area, by a person (other than the driver), of any motor vehicle designed to transport more than 10 passengers (including the driver) while being used to provide charter transportation of passengers; or

“(ii) as otherwise specifically allowed by such State, with the approval of the Secretary, but in no event may the driver of such motor vehicle be allowed to possess or consume an alcoholic beverage in the passenger area.

“(B) MANDATORY BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION TESTING PROGRAMS.—The State provides for mandatory blood alcohol concentration testing whenever a law enforcement officer has probable cause under State law to believe that a driver of a motor vehicle involved in a crash resulting in the loss of human life or, as determined by the Secretary, serious bodily injury, has committed an alcohol-related traffic offense.

“(C) VIDEO EQUIPMENT FOR DETECTION OF DRUNK DRIVERS.—The State provides for a program to acquire video equipment to be used in detecting persons who operate motor vehicles while under the influence of alcohol and in prosecuting those persons, and to train personnel in the use of that equipment.

“(D) BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION FOR PERSONS UNDER AGE 21.—The State enacts and enforces a law providing that any person under age 21 with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.02 percent or greater when driving a motor vehicle shall be deemed to be driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence of alcohol, and further provides for a minimum suspension of the person's driver's license for not less than 30 days.

“(E) SELF-SUSTAINING DRUNK DRIVING PREVENTION PROGRAM.—The State provides for a self-sustaining drunk driving prevention program under which a significant portion of the fines or surcharges collected from individuals apprehended and fined for operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol are returned to those communities which have comprehensive programs for the prevention of such operations of motor vehicles.

“(F) REDUCING DRIVING WITH A SUSPENDED LICENSE.—The State enacts and enforces a law to reduce driving with a suspended license. Such law, as determined by the Secretary, may require a 'zebra' stripe that is clearly visible on the license plate of any motor vehicle owned and operated by a driver with a suspended license.

“(G) EFFECTIVE DWI TRACKING SYSTEM.—The State demonstrates an effective driving while intoxicated (DWI) tracking system. Such a system, as determined by the Secretary, may include data covering arrests,

case prosecutions, court dispositions and sanctions, and provide for the linkage of such data and traffic records systems to appropriate jurisdictions and offices within the State.

“(H) ASSESSMENT OF PERSONS CONVICTED OF ABUSE OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES; ASSIGNMENT OF TREATMENT FOR ALL DWI/DUI OFFENDERS.—The State provides for assessment of individuals convicted of driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence of alcohol or controlled substances, and for the assignment of appropriate treatment.

“(I) USE OF PASSIVE ALCOHOL SENSORS.—The State provides for a program to acquire passive alcohol sensors to be used by police officers in detecting persons who operate motor vehicles while under the influence of alcohol, and to train police officers in the use of that equipment.

“(J) EFFECTIVE PENALTIES FOR PROVISION OR SALE OF ALCOHOL TO PERSONS UNDER 21.—The State enacts and enforces a law that provides for effective penalties or other consequences for the sale or provision of alcoholic beverages to any individual under 21 years of age. The Secretary shall determine what penalties are effective.

“(6) DEFINITIONS.—For the purposes of this subsection, the following definitions apply:

“(A) 'Alcoholic beverage' has the meaning such term has under section 158(c) of this title.

“(B) 'Controlled substances' has the meaning such term has under section 102(6) of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802(6)).

“(C) 'Motor vehicle' means a vehicle driven or drawn by mechanical power and manufactured primarily for use on public streets, roads, and highways, but does not include a vehicle operated only on a rail line.

“(D) 'Open alcoholic beverage container' means any bottle, can, or other receptacle—

“(i) which contains any amount of an alcoholic beverage; and

“(ii) (I) which is open or has a broken seal, or

“(II) the contents of which are partially removed.

“(m) STATE HIGHWAY SAFETY DATA IMPROVEMENTS.—The Secretary shall make a grant to a State that takes effective actions to improve the timeliness, accuracy, completeness, uniformity, and accessibility of the State's data needed to identify priorities within State and local highway and traffic safety programs, to evaluate the effectiveness of such efforts, and to link these State data systems, including traffic records, together and with other data systems within the State, such as systems that contain medical and economic data:

“(I) FIRST-YEAR GRANT ELIGIBILITY.—A State is eligible for a first-year grant under this subsection in a fiscal year if such State either:

“(A) Demonstrates, to the satisfaction of the Secretary, that it has—

“(i) established a Highway Safety Data and Traffic Records Coordinating Committee with a multi-disciplinary membership including the administrators, collectors, and users of such data (including the public health, injury control, and motor carrier communities) of highway safety and traffic records databases;

“(ii) completed within the preceding 5 years a highway safety data and traffic records assessment or audit of its highway safety data and traffic records system; and

“(iii) initiated the development of a multi-year highway safety data and traffic records strategic plan to be approved by the Highway Safety Data and Traffic Records Coordinating Committee that identifies and prioritizes its highway safety data and traffic records needs and goals, and that identifies performance-based measures by which

progress toward those goals will be determined; or

“(B) Provides, to the satisfaction of the Secretary—

“(i) certification that it has met the provisions outlined in clauses (A)(i) and (A)(ii) of subparagraph (A) of this paragraph;

“(ii) a multi-year plan that identifies and prioritizes the State's highway safety data and traffic records needs and goals, that specifies how its incentive funds for the fiscal year will be used to address those needs and the goals of the plan, and that identifies performance-based measures by which progress toward those goals will be determined; and

“(iii) certification that the Highway Safety Data and Traffic Records Coordinating Committee continues to operate and supports the multi-year plan described in clause (B)(ii) of this subparagraph.

“(2) FIRST-YEAR GRANT AMOUNT.—The amount of a first-year grant made for State highway safety data and traffic records improvements for any fiscal year to any State eligible for such a grant under subparagraph (1)(A) of paragraph (A) of this subsection shall equal \$1,000,000, subject to the availability of appropriations, and for any State eligible for such a grant under subparagraph (1)(B) of this subsection shall equal a proportional amount of the amount apportioned to the State for fiscal year 1997 under section 402 of this title, except that no State shall receive less than \$250,000, subject to the availability of appropriations. The Secretary may award a grant of up to \$25,000 for one year to any State that does not meet the criteria established in paragraph (1). The grant may only be used to conduct activities needed to enable that State to qualify for first-year funding to begin in the next fiscal year.

“(3) STATE HIGHWAY SAFETY DATA AND TRAFFIC RECORDS IMPROVEMENTS; SUCCEEDING-YEAR GRANTS.—A State shall be eligible for a grant in any fiscal year succeeding the first fiscal year in which the State receives a State highway safety data and traffic records grant if the State, to the satisfaction of the Secretary:

“(A) Submits or updates a multi-year plan that identifies and prioritizes the State's highway safety data and traffic records needs and goals, that specifies how its incentive funds for the fiscal year will be used to address those needs and the goals of the plan, and that identifies performance-based measures by which progress toward those goals will be determined;

“(B) Certifies that its Highway Safety Data and Traffic Records Coordinating Committee continues to support the multi-year plan; and

“(C) Reports annually on its progress in implementing the multi-year plan.

“(4) SUCCEEDING-YEAR GRANT AMOUNTS.—The amount of a succeeding-year grant made for State highway safety data and traffic records improvements for any fiscal year to any State that is eligible for such a grant shall equal a proportional amount of the amount apportioned to the State for fiscal year 1997 under section 402 of this title, except that no State shall receive less than \$225,000, subject to the availability of appropriations.”

(g) OCCUPANT PROTECTION PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 410 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**“§410. Safety belts and occupant protection program**

“The Secretary shall make basic grants to those States that adopt and implement effective programs to reduce highway deaths and injuries resulting from persons riding unrestrained or improperly restrained in motor vehicles. A State may establish its eligi-

bility for one or both of the grants by adopting or demonstrating the following to the satisfaction of the Secretary:

“(1) BASIC GRANT A.—At least 4 of the following:

“(A) SAFETY BELT USE LAW FOR ALL FRONT SEAT OCCUPANTS.—The State has in effect a safety belt use law that makes unlawful throughout the State the operation of a passenger motor vehicle whenever a person in the front seat of the vehicle (other than a child who is secured in a child restraint system) does not have a safety belt properly secured about the person's body.

“(B) PRIMARY SAFETY BELT USE LAW.—The State provides for primary enforcement of its safety belt use law.

“(C) CHILD PASSENGER PROTECTION LAW.—The State has in effect a law that requires minors who are riding in a passenger motor vehicle to be properly secured in a child safety seat or other appropriate restraint system.

“(D) CHILD OCCUPANT PROTECTION EDUCATION PROGRAM.—The State demonstrates implementation of a statewide comprehensive child occupant protection education program that includes education about proper seating positions for children in air bag equipped motor vehicles and instruction on how to reduce the improper use of child restraints systems. The States are to submit to the Secretary an evaluation or report on the effectiveness of the programs at least three years after receipt of the grant.

“(E) MINIMUM FINES.—The State requires a minimum fine of at least \$25 for violations of its safety belt use law and a minimum fine of at least \$25 for violations of its child passenger protection law.

“(F) SPECIAL TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM.—The State demonstrates implementation of a statewide Special Traffic Enforcement Program for occupant protection that emphasizes publicity for the program.

“(2) BASIC GRANT B.—Both of the following:

“(A) STATE SAFETY BELT USE RATE.—The State demonstrates a statewide safety belt use rate in both front outboard seating positions in all passenger motor vehicles of 80 percent or higher in each of the first 3 years a grant under this paragraph is received, and of 85 percent or higher in each of the fourth, fifth, and sixth years a grant under this paragraph is received.

“(B) SURVEY METHOD.—The State follows safety belt use survey methods which conform to guidelines issued by the Secretary ensuring that such measurements are accurate and representative.

“(3) BASIC GRANT AMOUNT.—The amount of each basic grant for which a State qualifies under this subsection for any fiscal year shall equal up to 20 percent of the amount apportioned to the State for fiscal year 1997 under section 402 of this title.

“(4) OCCUPANT PROTECTION PROGRAM: SUPPLEMENTAL GRANTS.—During the period in which a State is eligible for a basic grant under this subsection, the State shall be eligible to receive a supplemental grant in a fiscal year of up to 5 percent of the amount apportioned to the State in fiscal year 1997 under section 402 of this title. The State may receive a separate supplemental grant for meeting each of the following criteria:

“(A) PENALTY POINTS AGAINST A DRIVER'S LICENSE FOR VIOLATIONS OF CHILD PASSENGER PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS.—The State has in effect a law that requires the imposition of penalty points against a driver's license for violations of child passenger protection requirements.

“(B) ELIMINATION OF NON-MEDICAL EXEMPTIONS TO SAFETY BELT AND CHILD PASSENGER PROTECTION LAWS.—The State has in effect safety belt and child passenger protection

laws that contain no nonmedical exemptions.

“(C) SAFETY BELT USE IN REAR SEATS.—The State has in effect a law that requires safety belt use by all rear-seat passengers in all passenger motor vehicles with a rear seat.

“(5) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this subsection—

“(A) ‘Child safety seat’ means any device except safety belts, designed for use in a motor vehicle to restrain, seat, or position children who weigh 50 pounds or less.

“(B) ‘Motor vehicle’ means a vehicle driven or drawn by mechanical power and manufactured primarily for use on public streets, roads, and highways, but does not include a vehicle operated only on a rail line.

“(C) ‘Multipurpose passenger vehicle’ means a motor vehicle with motive power (except a trailer), designed to carry not more than 10 individuals, that is constructed either on a truck chassis or with special features for occasional off-road operation.

“(D) ‘Passenger car’ means a motor vehicle with motive power (except a multipurpose passenger vehicle, motorcycle, or trailer) designed to carry not more than 10 individuals.

“(E) ‘Passenger motor vehicle’ means a passenger car or a multipurpose passenger motor vehicle.

“(F) ‘Safety belt’ means—

“(i) with respect to open-body passenger vehicles, including convertibles, an occupant restraint system consisting of a lap belt or a lap belt and a detachable shoulder belt; and

“(ii) with respect to other passenger vehicles, an occupant restraint system consisting of integrated lap and shoulder belts.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The chapter analysis for chapter 4 of that chapter is amended by striking the item relating to section 410 and inserting the following:

“410. Safety belts and occupant protection program”.

(h) DRUGGED DRIVER RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.—Section 403(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” before “In addition”;

(2) by striking “is authorized to” and inserting “shall”;

(3) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as subparagraphs (A) and (B); and

(4) by inserting after subparagraph (B), as redesignated, the following:

“(C) Measures that may deter drugged driving.”

**SEC. 102. NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER.**

(a) TRANSFER OF SELECTED FUNCTIONS TO NON-FEDERAL MANAGEMENT.—Section 30302 is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(e) TRANSFER OF SELECTED FUNCTIONS TO NON-FEDERAL MANAGEMENT.—(1) The Secretary may enter into an agreement with an organization that represents the interests of the States to manage, administer, and operate the National Driver Register's computer timeshare and user assistance functions. If the Secretary decides to enter into such an agreement, the Secretary shall ensure that the management of these functions is compatible with this chapter and the regulations issued to implement this chapter.

“(2) Any transfer of the National Driver Register's computer timeshare and user assistance functions to an organization that represents the interests of the States shall begin only after a determination is made by the Secretary that all States are participating in the National Driver Register's ‘Problem Driver Pointer System’ (the system used by the Register to effect the exchange of motor vehicle driving records), and that the system is functioning properly.

“(3) The agreement entered into under this subsection shall include a provision for a

transition period sufficient to allow the States to make the budgetary and legislative changes they may need to pay fees charged by the organization representing their interests for their use of the National Driver Register's computer timeshare and user assistance functions. During this transition period, the Secretary (through the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration) shall continue to fund these transferred functions.

"(4) The total of the fees charged by the organization representing the interests of the States in any fiscal year for the use of the National Driver Register's computer timeshare and user assistance functions shall not exceed the total cost to the organization for performing these functions in such fiscal year.

"(5) Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to diminish, limit, or otherwise affect the authority of the Secretary to carry out this chapter."

(b) ACCESS TO REGISTER INFORMATION.—Section 30305(b) is amended—

(1) by striking "request," in paragraph (2) and inserting the following: "request, unless the information is about a revocation or suspension still in effect on the date of the request";

(2) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following:

"(7) The head of a Federal department or agency that issues motor vehicle operator's licenses may request the chief driver licensing official of a State to obtain information under subsection (a) of this section about an individual applicant for a motor vehicle operator's license from such department or agency. The department or agency may receive the information, provided it transmits to the Secretary a report regarding any individual who is denied a motor vehicle operator's license by that department or agency for cause; whose motor vehicle operator's license is revoked, suspended or canceled by that department or agency for cause; or about whom the department or agency has been notified of a conviction of any of the motor vehicle-related offenses or comparable offenses listed in subsection 30304(a)(3) and over whom the department or agency has licensing authority. The report shall contain the information specified in subsection 30304(b).

"(8) The head of a Federal department or agency authorized to receive information regarding an individual from the Register under this section may request and receive such information from the Secretary."

(3) by redesignating paragraphs (7) and (8) as paragraphs (9) and (10); and

(4) by striking "paragraph (2)" in paragraph (10), as redesignated, and inserting "subsection (a) of this section".

### SEC. 103. AUTHORIZATIONS OF APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS.—The following sums are authorized to be appropriated out of the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) CONSOLIDATED STATE HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS.—

(A) For carrying out the State and Community Highway Safety Program under section 402 of title 23, United States Code, by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, except for the incentive programs under subsection (l) of that section—

- (i) \$117,858,000 for fiscal year 1998;
- (ii) \$123,492,000 for fiscal year 1999;
- (iii) \$126,877,000 for fiscal year 2000;
- (iv) \$130,355,000 for fiscal year 2001;
- (v) \$133,759,000 for fiscal year 2002; and
- (vi) \$141,803,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(B) To carry out the alcohol-impaired driving countermeasures incentive grant provisions of section 402(l) of title 23, United

States Code, by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration—

- (i) \$30,570,000 for fiscal year 1998;
- (ii) \$28,500,000 for fiscal year 1999;
- (iii) \$29,273,000 for fiscal year 2000;
- (iv) \$30,065,000 for fiscal year 2001;
- (v) \$38,743,000 for fiscal year 2002; and
- (vi) \$39,815,000 for fiscal year 2003.

Amounts made available to carry out subsection (l) are authorized to remain available until expended, provided that, in each fiscal year the Secretary may reallocate any amounts remaining available under subsection (l) of section 402 of title 23, United States Code, as necessary to ensure, to the maximum extent possible, that States may receive the maximum incentive funding for which they are eligible under these programs.

(C) To carry out the occupant protection program incentive grant provisions of section 410 of title 23, United States Code, by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration—

- (i) \$13,950,000 for fiscal year 1998;
- (ii) \$14,618,000 for fiscal year 1999;
- (iii) \$15,012,000 for fiscal year 2000;
- (iv) \$15,418,000 for fiscal year 2001;
- (v) \$17,640,000 for fiscal year 2002; and
- (vi) \$17,706,000 for fiscal year 2003.

Amounts made available to carry out subsection (m) are authorized to remain available until expended, provided that, in each fiscal year the Secretary may reallocate any amounts remaining available under subsection (m) to subsections (l), (n), and (o) of section 402 of title 23, United States Code, as necessary to ensure, to the maximum extent possible, that States may receive the maximum incentive funding for which they are eligible under these programs.

(D) To carry out the State highway safety data improvements incentive grant provisions of subsection 402(n) of title 23, United States Code, by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration—

- (i) \$8,370,000 for fiscal year 1998;
- (ii) \$8,770,000 for fiscal year 1999;
- (iii) \$9,007,000 for fiscal year 2000; and
- (iv) \$9,250,000 for fiscal year 2001.

Amounts made available to carry out subsection (n) are authorized to remain available until expended.

(E) To carry out the drugged driving research and demonstration programs of section 403(b)(1) of title 23, United States Code, by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, \$2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003.

Amounts made available to carry out subsection (o) are authorized to remain available until expended, provided that, in each fiscal year the Secretary may reallocate any amounts remaining available under subsection (o) to subsections (l), (m), and (n) of section 402 of title 23, United States Code, as necessary to ensure, to the maximum extent possible, that States may receive the maximum incentive funding for which they are eligible under these programs.

(2) SECTION 403 HIGHWAY SAFETY AND RESEARCH.—For carrying out the functions of the Secretary, by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, for highway safety under section 403 of title 23, United States Code, there are authorized to be appropriated \$60,100,000 for each of fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, and \$61,700,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) PUBLIC EDUCATION EFFORT.—Out of funds made available for carrying out programs under section 403 of title 23, United States Code, for each of fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003, the Secretary of Transportation shall obligate at least \$500,000 to educate the motoring public on how to share

the road safely with commercial motor vehicles.

(4) NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER.—For carrying out chapter 303 (National Driver Register) of title 49, United States Code, by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration—

- (i) \$1,605,000 for fiscal year 1998;
- (ii) \$1,680,000 for fiscal year 1999;
- (iii) \$1,726,000 for fiscal year 2000;
- (iv) \$1,772,000 for fiscal year 2001;
- (v) \$1,817,000 for fiscal year 2002; and
- (vi) \$1,872,000 for fiscal year 2003.

### TITLE II—HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORTATION REAUTHORIZATION

#### SEC. 201. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES; DEFINITIONS.

(a) FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.—Section 5101 is amended to read as follows:

##### "§ 5101. Findings and purposes

"(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds with respect to hazardous materials transportation that—

"(1) approximately 4 billion tons of regulated hazardous materials are transported each year and that approximately 500,000 movements of hazardous materials occur each day, according to the Department of Transportation estimates;

"(2) accidents involving the release of hazardous materials are a serious threat to public health and safety;

"(3) many States and localities have enacted laws and regulations that vary from Federal laws and regulations pertaining to the transportation of hazardous materials, thereby creating the potential for unreasonable hazards in other jurisdictions and confounding shippers and carriers that attempt to comply with multiple and conflicting registration, permitting, routings, notification, loading, unloading, incidental storage, and other regulatory requirements;

"(4) because of the potential risks to life, property and the environment posed by unintentional releases of hazardous materials, consistency in laws and regulations governing the transportation of hazardous materials, including loading, unloading, and incidental storage, is necessary and desirable;

"(5) in order to achieve greater uniformity and to promote the public health, welfare, and safety at all levels, Federal standards for regulating the transportation of hazardous materials in intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce are necessary and desirable;

"(6) in order to provide reasonable, adequate, and cost-effective protection from the risks posed by the transportation of hazardous materials, a network of adequately trained State and local emergency response personnel is required;

"(7) the movement of hazardous materials in commerce is necessary and desirable to maintain economic vitality and meet consumer demands, and shall be conducted in a safe and efficient manner;

"(8) primary authority for the regulation of such transportation should be consolidated in the Department of Transportation to ensure the safe and efficient movement of hazardous materials in commerce; and

"(9) emergency response personnel have a continuing need for training on responses to releases of hazardous materials in transportation and small businesses have a continuing need for training on compliance with hazardous materials regulations.

"(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this chapter are—

"(1) to ensure the safe and efficient transportation of hazardous materials in intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce, including the loading, unloading, and incidental storage of hazardous material;

"(2) to provide the Secretary with preemption authority to achieve uniform regulation

of hazardous material transportation, to eliminate inconsistent rules that apply differently from Federal rules, to ensure efficient movement of hazardous materials in commerce, and to promote the national health, welfare, and safety; and

“(3) to provide adequate training for public sector emergency response teams to ensure safe responses to hazardous material transportation accidents and incidents.”.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—Section 5102 is amended by—

(1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) ‘commerce’ means trade or transportation in the jurisdiction of the United States—

“(A) between a place in a State and a place outside of the State;

“(B) that affects trade or transportation between a place in a State and a place outside of the State; or

“(C) on a United States-registered aircraft.”;

(2) by striking paragraphs (3) and (4) and inserting the following:

“(3) ‘hazmat employee’ means an individual who—

“(A) is—

“(i) employed by a hazmat employer,

“(ii) self-employed, or

“(iii) an owner-operator of a motor vehicle; and

“(B) during the course of employment—

“(i) loads, unloads, or handles hazardous material;

“(ii) manufactures, reconditions, or tests containers, drums, or other packagings represented as qualified for use in transporting hazardous material;

“(iii) performs any function pertaining to the offering of hazardous material for transportation;

“(iv) is responsible for the safety of transporting hazardous material; or

“(v) operates a vehicle used to transport hazardous material.

“(4) ‘hazmat employer’ means a person who—

“(A) either—

“(i) is self-employed,

“(ii) is an owner-operator of a motor vehicle, or

“(iii) has at least one employee; and

“(B) performs a function, or uses at least one employee, in connection with—

“(i) transporting hazardous material in commerce;

“(ii) causing hazardous material to be transported in commerce, or

“(iii) manufacturing, reconditioning, or testing containers, drums, or other packagings represented as qualified for use in transporting hazardous material.”;

(3) by striking “title.” in paragraph (7) and inserting “title, except that a freight forwarder is included only if performing a function related to highway transportation”;

(4) by redesignating paragraphs (9) through (13) as paragraphs (12) through (16);

(5) by inserting after paragraph (8) the following:

“(9) ‘out-of-service order’ means a mandate that an aircraft, vessel, motor vehicle, train, other vehicle, or a part of any of these, not be moved until specified conditions have been met.

“(10) ‘package’ or ‘outside package’ means a packaging plus its contents.

“(11) ‘packaging’ means a receptacle and any other components or materials necessary for the receptacle to perform its containment function in conformance with the minimum packaging requirements established by the Secretary of Transportation.”; and

(6) by striking “or transporting hazardous material to further a commercial enter-

prise;” in paragraph 12(A), as redesignated by paragraph (4) of this subsection, and inserting a comma and “transporting hazardous material to further a commercial enterprise, or manufacturing, reconditioning, or testing containers, drums, or other packagings represented as qualified for use in transporting hazardous material”.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The chapter analysis of chapter 51 is amended by striking the item relating to section 5101 and inserting the following:

“5101. Findings and purposes”.

**SEC. 202. HANDLING CRITERIA REPEAL.**

Section 5106 is repealed and the chapter analysis of chapter 51 is amended by striking the item relating to that section.

**SEC. 203. HAZMAT EMPLOYEE TRAINING REQUIREMENTS.**

Section 5107(f)(2) is amended by striking “and sections 5106, 5108(a)–(g)(1) and (h), and”.

**SEC. 204. REGISTRATION.**

Section 5108 is amended by—

(1) by striking subsection (b)(1)(C) and inserting the following:

“(C) each State in which the person carries out any of the activities.”;

(2) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) FILING SCHEDULE.—Each person required to file a registration statement under subsection (a) of this section shall file that statement annually in accordance with regulations issued by the Secretary.”;

(3) by striking “552(f)” in subsection (f) and inserting “552(b)”;

(4) by striking “may” in subsection (g)(1) and inserting “shall”; and

(5) by inserting “or an Indian tribe,” in subsection (i)(2)(B) after “State.”.

**SEC. 205. SHIPPING PAPER RETENTION.**

Section 5110(e) is amended by striking the first sentence and inserting “After expiration of the requirement in subsection (c) of this section, the person who provided the shipping paper and the carrier required to maintain it under subsection (a) of this section shall retain the paper or an electronic image thereof, for a period of 1 year after the shipping paper was provided to the carrier, to be accessible through their respective principal places of business.”.

**SEC. 206. UNSATISFACTORY SAFETY RATING.**

Section 5113(d) is amended by striking “Secretary, in consultation with the Interstate Commerce Commission,” and inserting “Secretary”.

**SEC. 207. PUBLIC SECTOR TRAINING CURRICULUM.**

Section 5115 is amended by—

(1) by striking “DEVELOPMENT AND UPDATING.—Not later than November 16, 1992, in” in subsection (a) and inserting “UPDATING.—In”;

(2) by striking “develop and” in the first sentence of subsection (a);

(3) by striking the second sentence of subsection (a);

(4) by striking “developed” in the first sentence of subsection (b);

(5) by inserting “or involving an alternative fuel vehicle” after “material” in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (b)(1); and

(6) by striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:

“(d) DISTRIBUTION AND PUBLICATION.—With the national response team, the Secretary of Transportation may publish a list of programs that use a course developed under this section for training public sector employees to respond to an accident or incident involving the transportation of hazardous material.”.

**SEC. 208. PLANNING AND TRAINING GRANTS.**

Section 5116 is amended by—

(1) by striking “of” in the second sentence of subsection (e) and inserting “received by”;

(2) by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) MONITORING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary of Transportation shall monitor public sector emergency response planning and training for an accident or incident involving hazardous material. Considering the results of the monitoring, the Secretary shall provide technical assistance to a State, political subdivision of a State, or Indian tribe for carrying out emergency response training and planning for an accident or incident involving hazardous material and shall coordinate the assistance using the existing coordinating mechanisms of the National Response Team for Oil and Hazardous Substances and, for radioactive material, the Federal Radiological Preparedness Coordinating Committee.”; and

(3) by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(l) SMALL BUSINESSES.—The Secretary may authorize a State or Indian tribe receiving a grant under this section to use up to 25 percent of the amount of the grant to assist small businesses in complying with regulations issued under this chapter.”.

**SEC. 209. SPECIAL PERMITS AND EXCLUSIONS.**

(a) Section 5117 is amended by—

(1) by striking the section caption and inserting the following:

“§ 5117. Special permits and exclusions”;

(2) by striking “exemption” each place it appears and inserting “special permit”;

(3) by inserting “authorizing variances” after “special permit” the first place it appears; and

(4) by striking “2” and inserting “4” in subsection (a)(2).

(b) Section 5119(c) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(4) Pending promulgation of regulations under this subsection, States may participate in a program of uniform forms and procedures recommended by the working group under subsection (b).”

(c) The chapter analysis for chapter 51 is amended by striking the item related to section 5117 and inserting the following:

“5117. Special permits and exclusions”.

**SEC. 210. ADMINISTRATION.**

(a) Section 5121 is amended by striking subsections (a), (b), and (c) and redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (a) and (b).

(b) Section 5122 is amended by redesignating subsections (a), (b), and (c) as subsections (d), (e), and (f), and by inserting before subsection (d), as redesignated, the following:

“(a) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—To carry out this chapter, the Secretary of Transportation may investigate, make reports, issue subpoenas, conduct hearings, require the production of records and property, take depositions, and conduct research, development, demonstration, and training activities. After notice and an opportunity for a hearing, the Secretary may issue an order requiring compliance with this chapter or a regulation prescribed under this chapter.

“(b) RECORDS, REPORTS, AND INFORMATION.—A person subject to this chapter shall—

“(1) maintain records, make reports, and provide information the Secretary by regulation or order requires; and

“(2) make the records, reports, and information available when the Secretary requests.

“(c) INSPECTION.—

“(1) The Secretary may authorize an officer, employee, or agent to inspect, at a reasonable time and in a reasonable way, records and property related to—

“(A) manufacturing, fabricating, marking, maintaining, reconditioning, repairing, testing, or distributing a packaging or a container for use by a person in transporting hazardous material in commerce; or

“(B) the transportation of hazardous material in commerce.

“(2) An officer, employee, or agent under this subsection shall display proper credentials when requested.”.

#### SEC. 211. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.

Section 5121, as amended by section 310(a), is further amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(c) **AUTHORITY FOR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.**—To carry out this chapter, the Secretary may enter into grants, cooperative agreements, and other transactions with a person, agency or instrumentality of the United States, a unit of State or local government, an Indian tribe, a foreign government (in coordination with the State Department), an educational institution, or other entity to further the objectives of this chapter. The objectives of this chapter include the conduct of research, development, demonstration, risk assessment, emergency response planning and training activities.”.

#### SEC. 212. ENFORCEMENT.

Section 5122, as amended by section 310(b), is further amended by—

(1) by inserting “inspect,” after “may” in the first sentence of subsection (a);

(2) by striking the last sentence of subsection (a) and inserting: “Except as provided in subsection (e) of this section, the Secretary shall provide notice and an opportunity for a hearing prior to issuing an order requiring compliance with this chapter or a regulation, order, special permit, or approval issued under this chapter.”;

(2) by redesignating subsections (d), (e) and (f) as subsections (f), (g) and (h), and inserting after subsection (c) the following:

“(d) **OTHER AUTHORITY.**—

“(1) **INSPECTION.**—During inspections and investigations, officers, employees, or agents of the Secretary may—

“(A) open and examine the contents of a package offered for, or in, transportation when—

“(i) the package is marked, labeled, certified, placarded, or otherwise represented as containing a hazardous material, or

“(ii) there is an objectively reasonable and articulable belief that the package may contain a hazardous material;

“(B) take a sample, sufficient for analysis, of material marked or represented as a hazardous material or for which there is an objectively reasonable and articulable belief that the material may be a hazardous material, and analyze that material;

“(C) when there is an objectively reasonable and articulable belief that an imminent hazard may exist, prevent the further transportation of the material until the hazardous qualities of that material have been determined; and

“(D) when safety might otherwise be compromised, authorize properly qualified personnel to conduct the examination, sampling, or analysis of a material.

“(2) **NOTIFICATION.**—No package opened pursuant to this subsection shall continue its transportation until the officer, employee, or agent of the Secretary—

“(A) affixes a label to the package indicating that the package was inspected pursuant to this subsection; and

“(B) notifies the shipper that the package was opened for examination.

“(e) **EMERGENCY ORDERS.**—

“(1) If, through testing, inspection, investigation, or research carried out under this chapter, the Secretary decides that an unsafe condition or practice, or a combination

of them, causes an emergency situation involving a hazard of death, personal injury, or significant harm to the environment, the Secretary may immediately issue or impose restrictions, prohibitions, recalls, or out-of-service orders, without notice or the opportunity for a hearing, that may be necessary to abate the situation.

“(2) The Secretary’s action under this subsection must be in a written order describing the condition or practice, or combination of them, that causes the emergency situation; stating the restrictions, prohibitions, recalls, or out-of-service orders being issued or imposed; and prescribing standards and procedures for obtaining relief from the order.

“(3) After taking action under this subsection, the Secretary shall provide an opportunity for review of that action under section 554 of title 5.

“(4) If a petition for review is filed and the review is not completed by the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date the petition was filed, the action will cease to be effective at the end of that period unless the Secretary determines in writing that the emergency situation still exists.”.

#### SEC. 213. PENALTIES.

(a) Section 5123(a)(1) is amended by striking the first sentence and inserting the following: “A person that knowingly violates this chapter or a regulation, order, special permit, or approval issued under this chapter is liable to the United States Government for a civil penalty of at least \$250 but not more than \$27,500 for each violation.”.

(b) Section 5123(c)(2) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any good-faith efforts to comply with the applicable requirements, any history of prior violations, any economic benefit resulting from the violation, the ability to pay, and any effect on the ability to continue to do business; and”.

(c) Section 5124 is amended to read as follows:

#### “§ 5124. Criminal penalty

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—A person knowingly violating section 5104(b) of this title or willfully violating this chapter or a regulation, order, special permit, or approval issued under this chapter, shall be fined under title 18, imprisoned for not more than 5 years, or both.

“(b) **AGGRAVATED VIOLATIONS.**—A person knowingly violating section 5104(b) of this title or willfully violating this chapter or a regulation, order, special permit, or approval issued under this chapter, and thereby causing the release of a hazardous material, shall be fined under title 18, imprisoned for not more than 20 years, or both.”.

#### SEC. 214. PREEMPTION.

(a) **REQUIREMENTS CONTRARY TO PURPOSES OF CHAPTER.**—Section 5125(a)(2) is amended by inserting a comma and “the purposes of this chapter,” after “this chapter” the first place it appears.

(b) **DEADWOOD.**—Section 5125(b)(2) is amended by striking “prescribes after November 16, 1990.” and inserting “prescribes.”.

(c) **INDEPENDENT APPLICATION OF PREEMPTION STANDARDS.**—Section 5125 is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(h) **INDEPENDENT APPLICATION OF EACH STANDARD.**—Each preemption standard in subsections (a), (b)(1), (c), and (g) of this section and section 5119(c)(2) is independent in its application to a requirement of any State, political subdivision of a State, or Indian tribe.”.

#### SEC. 215. JUDICIAL REVIEW.

(a) Chapter 51 is amended by redesignating section 5127 as section 5128, and by inserting after section 5126 the following new section:

#### “§ 5127. Judicial review

“(a) **FILING AND VENUE.**—Except as provided in section 20114(c) of this title, a person

disclosing a substantial interest in a final order issued, under the authority of section 5122 or 5123 of this title, by the Secretary of Transportation, the Administrators of the Research and Special Programs Administration, the Federal Aviation Administration, or the Federal Highway Administration, or the Commandant of the United States Coast Guard (modal Administrator), with respect to the duties and powers designated to be carried out by the Secretary under this chapter, may apply for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia or in the court of appeals for the United States for the circuit in which the person resides or has its principal place of business. The petition must be filed not more than 60 days after the order is issued. The court may allow the petition to be filed after the 60th day only if there are reasonable grounds for not filing by the 60th day.

“(b) **JUDICIAL PROCEDURES.**—When a petition is filed under subsection (a) of this section, the clerk of the court immediately shall send a copy of the petition to the Secretary or the modal Administrator, as appropriate. The Secretary or the modal Administrator shall file with the court a record of any proceeding in which the order was issued, as provided in section 2112 of title 28.

“(c) **AUTHORITY OF COURT.**—When the petition is sent to the Secretary or the modal Administrator, the court has exclusive jurisdiction to affirm, amend, modify, or set aside any part of the order and may order the Secretary or the modal Administrator to conduct further proceedings. After reasonable notice to the Secretary or the modal Administrator, the court may grant interim relief by staying the order or taking other appropriate action when good cause for its action exists. Findings of fact by the Secretary or the modal Administrator, if supported by substantial evidence, are conclusive.

“(d) **REQUIREMENT FOR PRIOR OBJECTION.**—In reviewing a final order under this section, the court may consider an objection to a final order of the Secretary or the modal Administrator only if the objection was made in the course of a proceeding or review conducted by the Secretary, the modal Administrator, or an administrative law judge, or if there was a reasonable ground for not making the objection in the proceeding.

“(e) **SUPREME COURT REVIEW.**—A decision by a court under this section may be reviewed only by the Supreme Court under section 1254 of title 28, United States Code.”.

(b) The chapter analysis for chapter 51 is amended by striking the item related to section 5127 and inserting the following:

“5127. Judicial review.”.

“5128. Authorization of appropriations.”.

#### SEC. 216. HAZARDOUS MATERIAL TRANSPORTATION REAUTHORIZATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 51, as amended by section 215 of this Act, is amended by redesignating section 5128 as section 5129 and by inserting after section 5127 the following: “§ 5128. High risk hazardous material; motor carrier safety study

“(a) **STUDY.**—The Secretary of Transportation shall conduct a study—

“(1) to determine the safety benefits and administrative efficiency of implementing a Federal permit program for high risk hazardous material carriers;

“(2) to identify and evaluate alternative regulatory methods and procedures that may improve the safety of high risk hazardous material carriers and shippers;

“(3) to examine the safety benefits of increased monitoring of high risk hazardous material carriers, and the costs, benefits, and procedures of existing State permit programs;

"(4) to make such recommendations as may be appropriate for the improvement of uniformity among existing State permit programs; and

"(5) to assess the potential of advanced technologies for improving the assessment of high risk hazardous material carriers' compliance with motor carrier safety regulations.

"(b) TIMEFRAME.—The Secretary shall begin the study required by subsection (a) within 6 months after the date of enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997 and complete it within 30 months.

"(c) REPORT.—The Secretary shall report the findings of the study required by subsection (a), together with such recommendations as may be appropriate, within 36 months after the date of enactment of that Act."

(b) SECTION 5109 REGULATIONS TO REFLECT STUDY FINDINGS.—Section 5109(h) is amended by striking "not later than November 16, 1991," and inserting "based upon the findings of the study required by section 5128(a)."

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The chapter analysis for chapter 51, as amended by section 315, is amended by striking the item relating to section 5128 and inserting the following:

"5128. High risk hazardous material; motor carrier safety study

"5129. Authorization of appropriations".

**SEC. 217. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

Section 5129, as redesignated, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

"(a) GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Transportation to carry out this chapter (except sections 5107(e), 5108(g)(2), 5113, 5115, and 5116) not more than—

"(1) \$15,492,000 for fiscal year 1998;

"(2) \$16,000,000 for fiscal year 1999;

"(3) \$16,500,000 for fiscal year 2000;

"(4) \$17,000,000 for fiscal year 2001;

"(5) \$17,500,000 for fiscal year 2002; and

"(6) \$18,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.";

(2) by striking subsections (c) and (d) and inserting the following:

"(c) TRAINING CURRICULUM.—Not more than \$200,000 is available to the Secretary of Transportation from the account established under section 5116(i) of this title for each of the fiscal years ending September 30, 1999–2003, to carry out section 5115 of this title.

"(d) PLANNING AND TRAINING.—

(1) Not more than \$2,444,000 is available to the Secretary of Transportation from the account established under section 5116(i) of this title for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and such sums as may be necessary for fiscal years 1999–2003, to carry out section 5116(a) of this title.

"(2) Not more than \$3,666,000 is available to the Secretary of Transportation from the account established under section 5116(i) of this title for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and such sums as may be necessary for fiscal years 1999–2003, to carry out section 5116(b) of this title.

"(3) Not more than \$600,000 is available to the Secretary of Transportation from the account established under section 5116(i) of this title for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and such sums as may be necessary for fiscal years 1999–2003, to carry out section 5116(f) of this title."

**TITLE III—COMPREHENSIVE ONE-CALL NOTIFICATION**

**SEC. 301. FINDINGS.**

The Congress finds that—

(1) unintentional damage to underground facilities during excavation is a significant cause of disruptions in telecommunications, water supply, electric power and other vital public services, such as hospital and air traf-

fic control operations, and is a leading cause of natural gas and hazardous liquid pipeline accidents;

(2) excavation that is performed without prior notification to an underground facility operator or with inaccurate marking of such a facility prior to excavation can cause damage that results in fatalities, serious injuries, harm to the environment and disruption of vital services to the public; and

(3) protection of the public and the environment from the consequences of underground facility damage caused by excavations will be enhanced by a coordinated national effort to improve one-call notification programs in each State and the effectiveness and efficiency of one-call notification systems that operate under such programs.

**SEC. 302. ESTABLISHMENT OF ONE-CALL NOTIFICATION PROGRAMS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle III is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

**"Chapter 61. ONE-CALL NOTIFICATION PROGRAMS**

**"Sec.**

**"6101. Purposes**

**"6102. Definitions**

**"6103. Minimum standards for State one-call notification programs**

**"6104. Compliance with minimum standards**

**"6105. Review of one-call system best practices**

**"6106. Grants to States**

**"6107. Authorization of appropriations**

**"§ 6101. Purposes**

"The purposes of this chapter are—

"(1) to enhance public safety;

"(2) to protect the environment;

"(3) to minimize risks to excavators; and

"(4) to prevent disruption of vital public services,

by reducing the incidence of damage to underground facilities during excavation through the adoption and efficient implementation by all States of State one-call notification programs that meet the minimum standards set forth under section 6103.

**"§ 6102. Definitions**

"For purposes of this chapter—

"(1) ONE-CALL NOTIFICATION SYSTEM.—The term "one-call notification system" means a system operated by an organization that has as one of its purposes to receive notification from excavators of intended excavation in a specified area in order to disseminate such notification to underground facility operators that are members of the system so that such operators can locate and mark their facilities in order to prevent damage to underground facilities in the course of such excavation

"(2) STATE ONE-CALL NOTIFICATION PROGRAM.—The term "State one-call notification program" means the State statutes, regulations, orders, judicial decisions, and other elements of law and policy in effect in a State that establish the requirements for the operation of one-call notification systems in such State.

"(3) STATE.—The term 'State' means a State, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

"(4) SECRETARY.—The term 'Secretary' means the Secretary of Transportation.

**"§ 6103. Minimum standards for State one-call notification programs**

"(a) MINIMUM STANDARDS.—A State one-call notification program shall, at a minimum, provide for—

"(1) appropriate participation by all underground facility operators;

"(2) appropriate participation by all excavators; and

"(3) flexible and effective enforcement under State law with respect to participa-

tion in, and use of, one-call notification systems.

"(b) APPROPRIATE PARTICIPATION.—In determining the appropriate extent of participation required for types of underground facilities or excavators under subsection (a), a State shall assess, rank, and take into consideration the risks to the public safety, the environment, excavators, and vital public services associated with—

"(1) damage to types of underground facilities; and

"(2) activities of types of excavators.

"(c) IMPLEMENTATION.—A State one-call notification program also shall, at a minimum, provide for—

"(1) consideration of the ranking of risks under subsection (b) in the enforcement of its provisions;

"(2) a reasonable relationship between the benefits of one-call notification and the cost of implementing and complying with the requirements of the State one-call notification program; and

"(3) voluntary participation where the State determines that a type of underground facility or an activity of a type of excavator poses a *de minimis* risk to public safety or the environment.

"(d) PENALTIES.—To the extent the State determines appropriate and necessary to achieve the purposes of this chapter, a State one-call notification program shall, at a minimum, provide for—

"(1) administrative or civil penalties commensurate with the seriousness of a violation by an excavator or facility owner of a State one-call notification program;

"(2) increased penalties for parties that repeatedly damage underground facilities because they fail to use one-call notification systems or for parties that repeatedly fail to provide timely and accurate marking after the required call has been made to a one-call notification system;

"(3) reduced or waived penalties for a violation of a requirement of a State one-call notification program that results in, or could result in, damage that is promptly reported by the violator;

"(4) equitable relief; and

"(5) citation of violations.

**"§ 6104. Compliance with minimum standards**

"(a) REQUIREMENT.—In order to qualify for a grant under section 6106, each State shall, within 2 years after the date of the enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997, submit to the Secretary a grant application under subsection (b).

"(b) APPLICATION.—

"(1) Upon application by a State, the Secretary shall review that State's one-call notification program, including the provisions for implementation of the program and the record of compliance and enforcement under the program.

"(2) Based on the review under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall determine whether the State's one-call notification program meets the minimum standards for such a program set forth in section 6103 in order to qualify for a grant under section 6106.

"(3) In order to expedite compliance under this section, the Secretary may consult with the State as to whether an existing State one-call notification program, a specific modification thereof, or a proposed State program would result in a positive determination under paragraph (2).

"(4) The Secretary shall prescribe the form of, and manner of filing, an application under this section that shall provide sufficient information about a State's one-call notification program for the Secretary to evaluate its overall effectiveness. Such information may include the nature and reasons for exceptions from required participation,

the types of enforcement available, and such other information as the Secretary deems necessary.

“(5) The application of a State under paragraph (1) and the record of actions of the Secretary under this section shall be available to the public.

“(c) ALTERNATIVE PROGRAM.—A State may maintain an alternative one-call notification program if that program provides protection for public safety, the environment, or excavators that is equivalent to, or greater than, protection under a program that meets the minimum standards set forth in section 6103.

“(d) REPORT.—Within 3 years after the date of the enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997, the Secretary shall begin to include the following information in reports submitted under section 60124 of this title—

“(1) a description of the extent to which each State has adopted and implemented the minimum Federal standards under section 6103 or maintains an alternative program under subsection (c);

“(2) an analysis by the Secretary of the overall effectiveness of the State’s one-call notification program and the one-call notification systems operating under such program in achieving the purposes of this chapter;

“(3) the impact of the State’s decisions on the extent of required participation in one-call notification systems on prevention of damage to underground facilities; and

“(4) areas where improvements are needed in one-call notification systems in operation in the State.

The report shall also include any recommendations the Secretary determines appropriate. If the Secretary determines that the purposes of this chapter have been substantially achieved, no further report under this section shall be required.

#### “§ 6105. Review of one-call system best practices

“(a) STUDY OF EXISTING ONE-CALL SYSTEMS.—Except as provided in subsection (d), the Secretary, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies, State agencies, one-call notification system operators, underground facility operators, excavators, and other interested parties, shall undertake a study of damage prevention practices associated with existing one-call notification systems.

“(b) PURPOSE OF STUDY OF DAMAGE PREVENTION PRACTICES.—The purpose of the study is to assemble information in order to determine which existing one-call notification systems practices appear to be the most effective in preventing damage to underground facilities and in protecting the public, the environment, excavators, and public service disruption. As part of the study, the Secretary shall at a minimum consider—

“(1) the methods used by one-call notification systems and others to encourage participation by excavators and owners of underground facilities;

“(2) the methods by which one-call notification systems promote awareness of their programs, including use of public service announcements and educational materials and programs;

“(3) the methods by which one-call notification systems receive and distribute information from excavators and underground facility owners;

“(4) the use of any performance and service standards to verify the effectiveness of a one-call notification system;

“(5) the effectiveness and accuracy of mapping used by one-call notification systems;

“(6) the relationship between one-call notification systems and preventing intentional damage to underground facilities;

“(7) how one-call notification systems address the need for rapid response to situations where the need to excavate is urgent;

“(8) the extent to which accidents occur due to errors in marking of underground facilities, untimely marking or errors in the excavation process after a one-call notification system has been notified of an excavation;

“(9) the extent to which personnel engaged in marking underground facilities may be endangered;

“(10) the characteristics of damage prevention programs the Secretary believes could be relevant to the effectiveness of State one-call notification programs; and

“(11) the effectiveness of penalties and enforcement activities under State one-call notification programs in obtaining compliance with program requirements.

“(c) REPORT.—Within 1 year after the date of the enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997, the Secretary shall publish a report identifying those practices of one-call notification systems that are the most and least successful in—

“(1) preventing damage to underground facilities; and

“(2) providing effective and efficient service to excavators and underground facility operators.

The Secretary shall encourage States and operators of one-call notification programs to adopt and implement the most successful practices identified in the report.

“(d) SECRETARIAL DISCRETION.—Prior to undertaking the study described in subsection (a), the Secretary shall determine whether timely information described in subsection (b) is readily available. If the Secretary determines that such information is readily available, the Secretary is not required to carry out the study.

#### “§ 6106. Grants to States

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may make a grant of financial assistance to a State that qualifies under section 6104(b) to assist in improving—

“(1) the overall quality and effectiveness of one-call notification systems in the State;

“(2) communications systems linking one-call notification systems;

“(3) location capabilities, including training personnel and developing and using location technology;

“(4) record retention and recording capabilities for one-call notification systems;

“(5) public information and education;

“(6) participation in one-call notification systems; or

“(7) compliance and enforcement under the State one-call notification program.

“(b) STATE ACTION TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.—In making grants under this section the Secretary shall take into consideration the commitment of each State to improving its State one-call notification program, including legislative and regulatory actions taken by the State after the date of enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997.

“(c) FUNDING FOR ONE-CALL NOTIFICATION SYSTEMS.—A State may provide funds received under this section directly to any one-call notification system in such State that substantially adopts the best practices identified under section 6105.

#### “§ 6107. Authorization of appropriations

“(a) FOR GRANTS TO STATES.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary in fiscal year 1999 no more than \$1,000,000 and in fiscal year 2000 no more than \$5,000,000, to be available until expended, to provide grants to States under section 6106.

“(b) FOR ADMINISTRATION.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary

such sums as may be necessary during fiscal years 1998, 1999, and 2000 to carry out sections 6103, 6104, and 6105.

“(c) GENERAL REVENUE FUNDING.—Any sums appropriated under this section shall be derived from general revenues and may not be derived from amounts collected under section 60301 of this title.”

#### (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The analysis of chapters for subtitle III is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

#### “CHAPTER 61—ONE-CALL NOTIFICATION PROGRAM”.

(2) Chapter 601 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking “sections 60114 and” in section 60105(a) of that chapter and inserting “section”;

(B) by striking section 60114 and the item relating to that section in the table of sections for that chapter;

(C) by striking “60114(c), 60118(a),” in section 60122(a)(1) of that chapter and inserting “60118(a),”;

(D) by striking “60114(c) or” in section 60123(a) of that chapter;

(E) by striking “sections 60107 and 60114(b)” in subsections (a) and (b) of section 60125 and inserting “section 60107” in each such subsection; and

(F) by striking subsection (d) of section 60125, and redesignating subsections (e) and (f) of that section as subsections (d) and (e).

#### TITLE IV—MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY

##### SEC. 401. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE.

Chapter 311 is amended—

(1) by inserting before section 31101 the following:

#### “§ 31100. Purpose

“The purposes of this subchapter are—

“(1) to improve commercial motor vehicle and driver safety;

“(2) to facilitate efforts by the Secretary, States, and other political jurisdictions, working in partnership, to focus their resources on strategic safety investments;

“(3) to increase administrative flexibility;

“(4) to strengthen enforcement activities;

“(5) to invest in activities related to areas of the greatest crash reduction;

“(6) to identify high risk carriers and drivers; and

“(7) to improve information and analysis systems.”; and

(2) by inserting before the item relating to section 31101 in the chapter analysis for chapter 311 the following:

#### “§ 31100. Purposes”.

##### SEC. 402. GRANTS TO STATES.

(a) PERFORMANCE-BASED GRANTS.—Section 31102 is amended—

(1) by inserting “improving motor carrier safety and” in subsection (a) after “programs for”; and

(2) by striking “adopt and assume responsibility for enforcing” in the first sentence of paragraph (b)(1) and inserting “assume responsibility for improving motor carrier safety and to adopt and enforce”.

(b) HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.—Section 31102 is amended—

(1) by inserting a comma and “hazardous materials transportation safety,” after “commercial motor vehicle safety” in subsection (a); and

(2) by inserting a comma and “hazardous materials transportation safety,” in the first sentence of subsection (b) after “commercial motor vehicle safety”.

(c) CONTENTS OF STATE PLANS.—Section 31102(b)(1) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (Q) as subparagraphs (B) through (R), respectively;

(2) by inserting before subparagraph (B), as redesignated, the following:

“(A) implements performance-based activities by fiscal year 2000;”

(3) by inserting “(I)” in subparagraph (K), as redesignated, after “(c)”; and

(4) by striking subparagraphs (L), (M), and (N) as redesignated, and inserting the following:

“(L) ensures consistent, effective, and reasonable sanctions;

“(M) ensures that the State agency will coordinate the plan, data collection, and information systems with the State highway safety programs under title 23;

“(N) ensures participation in SAFETYNET by all jurisdictions receiving funding;”

(6) by striking “activities—” in subparagraph (P), as redesignated, and inserting “activities in support of national priorities and performance goals including—”;

(7) by striking “to remove” in clause (i) of subparagraph (P), as redesignated, and inserting “activities aimed at removing”; and

(8) by striking “to provide” in clause (ii) of subparagraph (P), as redesignated, and inserting “activities aimed at providing”.

#### SEC. 403. FEDERAL SHARE.

Section 31103 is amended—

(1) by inserting before “The Secretary of Transportation” the following:

“(a) COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY PROGRAMS AND ENFORCEMENT.—”;

(2) by inserting “improve commercial motor vehicle safety and” in the first sentence before “enforce”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(b) OTHER ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary may reimburse State agencies, local governments, or other persons up to 100 percent for those activities identified in 31104(f)(2).”.

#### SEC. 404. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 31104(a) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) GENERAL.—Subject to section 9503(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 9503(c)(1)), there are available from the Highway Trust Fund (except the Mass Transit Account) for the Secretary of Transportation to incur obligations to carry out section 31102 of this title, not more than—

“(1) \$80,000,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998;

“(2) \$82,000,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999;

“(3) \$84,000,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000;

“(4) \$86,000,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001;

“(5) \$88,000,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002; and

“(6) \$90,000,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003.”.

(b) AVAILABILITY AND REALLOCATION.—Section 31104(b)(2) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) Amounts made available under section 4002(e)(1) and (2) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 before October 1, 1996, that are not obligated on October 1, 1997, are available for obligation under paragraph (1) of this subsection.”.

(c) ALLOCATION CRITERIA.—Section 31104(f) is amended to read as follows:

“(f) ALLOCATION CRITERIA AND ELIGIBILITY.—

“(1) On October 1 of each fiscal year or as soon after that date as practicable, the Secretary, after making the deduction described in subsection (e) of this section, shall allocate, under criteria the Secretary prescribes through regulation, the amounts available for that fiscal year among the States with plans approved under section 31102 of this title.

“(2) The Secretary may designate—

“(A) no less than 5 percent of such amounts for activities and projects of na-

tional priority for the improvement of commercial motor vehicle safety; and

“(B) no less than 5 percent of such amounts to reimburse States for border commercial motor vehicle safety programs and enforcement activities and projects. These amounts shall be allocated by the Secretary to State agencies and local governments that use trained and qualified officers and employees in coordination with State motor vehicle safety agencies.”.

(d) OTHER AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 31104 is amended by striking subsection (g) and redesignating subsection (h) as subsection (g).

(2) Section 31104 is amended by striking subsection (i) and redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (h).

#### SEC. 405. INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND STRATEGIC SAFETY INITIATIVES.

Section 31106 is amended to read as follows:

##### “§31106. Information Systems and Strategic Safety Initiatives.

“(a) INFORMATION SYSTEMS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to establish motor carrier information systems and data analysis programs to support motor carrier regulatory and enforcement activities required under this title. In cooperation with the States, the information systems shall be coordinated into a network providing identification of motor carriers and drivers, registration and licensing tracking, and motor carrier and driver safety performance. The Secretary shall develop and maintain data analysis capacity and programs to provide the means to develop strategies to address safety problems and to use data analysis to measure the effectiveness of these strategies and related programs; to determine the cost effectiveness of State and Federal safety compliance, enforcement programs, and other countermeasures; to evaluate the safety fitness of motor carriers and drivers; to identify and collect necessary data; and to adapt, improve, and incorporate other information and information systems as deemed appropriate by the Secretary.

“(2) PERFORMANCE AND REGISTRATION INFORMATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT—

“(A) The Secretary shall include, as part of the motor carrier safety information network system of the Department of Transportation, an information system, to be called the Performance and Registration Information Systems Management, to serve as a clearinghouse and repository of information related to State registration and licensing of commercial motor vehicles and the safety system of the commercial motor vehicle registrants or the motor carriers operating the vehicles. The Secretary may include in the system information on the safety fitness of each of the motor carriers and registrants and other information the Secretary considers appropriate, including information on vehicle, driver, and motor carrier safety performance.

“(B) The Secretary shall prescribe technical and operational standards to ensure—

“(i) uniform, timely and accurate information collection and reporting by the States necessary to carry out this system;

“(ii) uniform State and Federal procedures and policies necessary to operate the Commercial Vehicle Information System; and

“(iii) the availability and reliability of the information to the States and the Secretary from the information system.

“(C) The system shall link the Federal motor carrier safety systems with State driver and commercial vehicle registration and licensing systems, and shall be designed—

“(i) to enable a State, when issuing license plates or throughout the registration period for a commercial motor vehicle, to deter-

mine, through the use of the information system, the safety fitness of the registrant or motor carrier;

“(ii) to allow a State to decide, in cooperation with the Secretary, the types of sanctions that may be imposed on the registrant or motor carrier, or the types of conditions or limitations that may be imposed on the operations of the registrant or motor carrier that will ensure the safety fitness of the registrant or motor carrier;

“(iii) to monitor the safety fitness of the registrant or motor carrier during the registration period; and

“(iv) to require the State, as a condition of participation in the system, to implement uniform policies, procedures, and standards, and to possess or seek authority to impose commercial motor vehicle registration sanctions on the basis of a Federal safety fitness determination.

“(D) Of the amounts available for expenditure under this section, up to 50 percent in each of fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 may be made available to carry out paragraph (a)(2) of this section. The Secretary may authorize the operation of the information system by contract, through an agreement with one or more States, or by designating, after consultation with the States, a third party that represents the interests of the States. Of the amounts made available to carry out subsection (a)(2) of this section, the Secretary is encouraged to direct no less than 80 percent to States that have not previously received financial assistance to develop or implement the Performance and Registration Information Systems Management system.

“(b) COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE DRIVER SAFETY PROGRAM.—The Secretary is authorized to establish a program focusing on improving commercial motor vehicle driver safety. The objectives of the program shall include—

“(1) enhancing the exchange of driver licensing information among the States and among the States, the Federal Government, and foreign countries;

“(2) providing information to the judicial system on the commercial motor vehicle driver licensing program; and

“(3) evaluating any aspect of driver performance and safety as deemed appropriate by the Secretary.

“(c) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, GRANTS, AND CONTRACTS.—The Secretary may carry out this section either independently or in cooperation with other Federal departments, agencies, and instrumentalities, or by making grants to and entering into contracts and cooperative agreements with States, localities, associations, institutions, corporations (profit or nonprofit) or other persons.”.

#### SEC. 406. IMPROVED FLOW OF DRIVER HISTORY PILOT PROGRAM.

The Secretary of Transportation shall carry out a pilot program in cooperation with one or more States to improve upon the timely exchange of pertinent driver performance and safety records data to motor carriers. The program shall—

(1) determine to what extent driver performance records data, including relevant fines, penalties, and failures to appear for a hearing or trial, should be included as part of any information systems under the Department of Transportation's oversight;

(2) assess the feasibility, costs, safety impact, pricing impact, and benefits of record exchanges; and

(3) assess methods for the efficient exchange of driver safety data available from existing State information systems and sources.

**SEC. 407. MOTOR CARRIER AND DRIVER SAFETY RESEARCH.**

Of the funds made available to carry out programs established by the amendments made by title II of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997, no less than \$10,000,000 shall be made available for each of fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 for activities designed to advance commercial motor vehicle and driver safety. Any obligation, contract, cooperative agreement, or support granted under this section in excess of \$250,000 shall be awarded on a competitive basis. The Secretary shall submit annually a report to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure on the research activities carried out under this section, including the amount, purpose, recipient and nature of each contract, cooperative agreement or award and results of such research activities carried out under this section, including benefits to motor carrier safety."

**SEC. 408. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**  
**Section 31107 is amended to read as follows:**  
**"§31107. Authorization of appropriations for information systems and strategic safety initiatives.**

"There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) for the Secretary to incur obligations to carry out section 31106 of this title the sum of \$10,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003. The amounts made available under this subsection shall remain available until expended."

**SEC. 409. CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**

The chapter analysis for chapter 311 is amended—

(1) by striking the heading for subchapter I and inserting the following:

"SUBCHAPTER I. STATE GRANTS AND OTHER COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE PROGRAMS.";

and

(2) by striking the items relating to sections 31106 and 31107 and inserting the following:

"31106. Information systems and strategic safety initiatives

"31107. Authorization of appropriations for information systems and strategic safety initiatives".

**SEC. 410. AUTOMOBILE TRANSPORTER DEFINED.**

Section 31111(a) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively; and

(2) by inserting before paragraph (2), as redesignated, the following:

"(1) 'automobile transporter' means any vehicle combination designed and used specifically for the transport of assembled highway vehicles, including truck camper units."

**SEC. 411. REPEAL OF REVIEW PANEL; REVIEW PROCEDURE.**

(a) REPEAL.—Subchapter III of chapter 311 is amended—

(1) by striking sections 31134 and 31140; and

(2) by striking the items relating to sections 31134 and 31140 in the chapter analysis for that chapter.

(b) REVIEW PROCEDURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 31141 is amended—

(A) by striking subsection (b) and redesignating subsections (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h) as subsections (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), and (g), respectively;

(B) by striking so much of subsection (b), as redesignated, as precedes paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

"(b) REVIEW AND DECISIONS BY THE SECRETARY.—

"(1) The Secretary shall review the laws and regulations on commercial motor vehicle safety in effect in each State, and decide—

"(A) whether the State law or regulation—

"(i) has the same effect as a regulation prescribed by the Secretary under section 31136 of this title;

"(ii) is less stringent than that regulation; or

"(iii) is additional to or more stringent than that regulation; and

"(B) for each State law or regulation which is additional to or more stringent than the regulation prescribed by the Secretary, whether—

"(i) the State law or regulation has no safety benefit;

"(ii) the State law or regulation is incompatible with the regulation prescribed by the Secretary under section 31136 of this title; or

"(iii) enforcement of the State law or regulation would cause an unreasonable burden on interstate commerce.";

(C) by striking paragraph (5) of subsection (b)(5), as redesignated, and inserting the following:

"(5) In deciding under paragraph (4) of this subsection whether a State law or regulation will cause an unreasonable burden on interstate commerce, the Secretary may consider the effect on interstate commerce of implementation of all similar laws and regulations of other States.";

(D) by striking subsections (d) and (e), as redesignated, and inserting the following:

"(d) WRITTEN NOTICE OF DECISIONS.—The Secretary shall give written notice of the decision under subsection (b) of this section to the State concerned."; and

(E) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g), as redesignated, as subsections (e) and (f), respectively.

(2) CONFORMING CHANGES.—

(A) The caption of section 31141 of such title is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 31141. Preemption of State laws and regulations".**

(B) The chapter analysis of chapter 311 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 31141 and inserting the following:

"31141. Preemption of State laws and regulations".

(d) INSPECTION OF VEHICLES.—

(1) Section 31142 is amended—

(A) by striking "part 393 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations" in subsection (a) and inserting "regulations issued pursuant to section 31135 of this title"; and

(B) by striking subsection (c)(1)(C) and inserting the following:

"(C) prevent a State from participating in the activities of a voluntary group of States enforcing a program for inspection of commercial motor vehicles; or"

(2) Subchapter IV of chapter 311 is amended—

(A) by striking sections 31161 and 31162; and

(B) by striking the items relating to sections 31161 and 31162 in the chapter analysis for that chapter.

(3) Section 31102(b)(1) is amended—

(A) by striking "and" at the end of subparagraph (P);

(B) by striking "thereunder." in subparagraph (Q) and inserting "thereunder; and"; and

(C) by adding at the end thereof the following:

"(R) provides that the State will establish a program (i) to ensure the proper and timely correction of commercial motor vehicle safety violations noted during an inspection carried out with funds authorized under section 31104 of this title; and (ii) to ensure that information is exchanged among the States in a timely manner."

(e) SAFETY FITNESS OF OWNERS AND OPERATORS.—Section 31144 is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 31142. Safety fitness of owners and operators**

"(a) PROCEDURE.—The Secretary of Transportation shall maintain in regulation a procedure for determining the safety fitness of owners and operators of commercial motor vehicles, including persons seeking new or additional operating authority as motor carriers under section 13902 of this title. The procedure shall include—

"(1) specific initial and continuing requirements to be met by the owners, operators, and other persons to demonstrate safety fitness;

"(2) a means of deciding whether the owners, operators, or other persons meet the safety requirements under paragraph (1) of this subsection; and

"(3) specific time deadlines for action by the Secretary in making fitness decisions.

"(b) PROHIBITED TRANSPORTATION.—Except as provided in sections 521(b)(5)(A) and 5113 of this title, a motor carrier that fails to meet the safety fitness requirements established under subsection (a) of this section may not operate in interstate commerce beginning on the 61st day after the date of the determination by the Secretary that the motor carrier fails to meet the safety fitness requirements and until the motor carrier meets the safety fitness requirements. The Secretary may, for good cause shown, provide a carrier with up to an additional 60 days to meet the safety fitness requirements.

"(c) RATING REVIEW.—The Secretary shall review the factors that resulted in a motor carrier failing to meet the safety fitness requirements not later than 45 days after the motor carrier requests a review.

"(d) GOVERNMENT USE PROHIBITED.—A department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government may not use a motor carrier that does not meet the safety fitness requirements.

"(e) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY; UPDATING OF FITNESS DETERMINATIONS.—The Secretary shall amend the motor carrier safety regulations in subchapter B of chapter III of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, to establish a system to make readily available to the public, and to update periodically, the safety fitness determinations of motor carriers made by the Secretary.

"(f) PENALTIES.—The Secretary shall prescribe regulations setting penalties for violations of this section consistent with section 521 of this title."

(f) SAFETY FITNESS OF PASSENGER AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL CARRIERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 5113 is amended—

(A) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

"(a) PROHIBITED TRANSPORTATION.—

"(1) A motor carrier that fails to meet the safety fitness requirements established under subsection 31144(a) of this title may not operate a commercial motor vehicle (as defined in section 31132 of this title)—

"(A) to transport hazardous material for which placarding of a motor vehicle is required under regulations prescribed under this chapter; or

"(B) to transport more than 15 individuals.

"(2) The prohibition in paragraph (1) of this subsection applies beginning on the 46th day after the date on which the Secretary determines that a motor carrier fails to meet the safety fitness requirements and applies until the motor carrier meets the safety fitness requirements."

(B) by striking "RATING" in the caption of subsection (b) and inserting "FITNESS";

(C) by striking "receiving an unsatisfactory rating" in subsection (b) and inserting "failing to meet the safety fitness requirements";

(D) by striking "has an unsatisfactory rating from the Secretary" in subsection (c) and

inserting "failed to meet the safety fitness requirements"; and

(E) by striking "RATINGS" in the caption of subsection (d) and inserting "FITNESS DETERMINATIONS";

(F) by striking ", in consultation with the Interstate Commerce Commission," in subsection (d); and

(G) by striking "ratings of motor carriers that have unsatisfactory ratings from" in subsection (d) and inserting "fitness determinations of motor carriers made by".

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) The caption of section 5113 of such chapter is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 5113. Safety fitness of passenger and hazardous material carriers".**

(B) The chapter analysis for such chapter is amended by striking the item relating to section 5113 and inserting the following:

"5113. Safety fitness of passenger and hazardous material carriers".

(g) DEFINITIONS.—

(1) Section 31101(1) is amended—

(A) by inserting "or gross vehicle weight, whichever is greater," after "rating" in subparagraph (A);

(ii) by striking "10,000" and inserting "10,001";

(B) by striking "driver; or" in subparagraph (B) and inserting "driver, or a smaller number of passengers including the driver as determined under regulations implementing sections 31132(1)(B) or 31301(4)(B)";

(C) by inserting "and transported in a quantity requiring placarding under regulations prescribed by the Secretary under section 5103" after "title" in subparagraph (C).

(2) Section 31132 is amended—

(A) by inserting "or gross vehicle weight, whichever is greater," after "rating" in paragraph (1)(A); and

(B) by adding at the end of paragraph (3) the following:

"For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'business affecting interstate commerce' means a business predominantly engaged in employing commercial motor vehicles in interstate commerce and includes all operations of the business in intrastate commerce which use vehicles otherwise defined as commercial motor vehicles under paragraph (1) of this section."

(h) EMPLOYEE PROTECTIONS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Transportation, in conjunction with the Secretary of Labor, shall report to the United States Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the United States House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure on the effectiveness of existing statutory employee protections provided for under section 31105 of title 49, United States Code. The report shall include recommendations to address any statutory changes as may be necessary to strengthen the enforcement of such employee protection provisions.

(i) INSPECTIONS AND REPORTS.—

(1) GENERAL POWERS OF THE SECRETARY.—Section 31133(a)(1) is amended by inserting "and make contracts for" after "conduct".

(2) REPORTS AND RECORDS.—Section 504(c) is amended by inserting "(and, in the case of a motor carrier, a contractor)" before the second comma.

**SEC. 412. COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE OPERATORS.**

(a) REPEAL OF OBSOLETE GRANT PROGRAMS.—Chapter 313 is amended—

(1) by striking sections 31312 and 31313; and

(2) by striking the items relating to sections 31312 and 31313 in the chapter analysis for that chapter.

(b) COMMERCIAL DRIVER'S LICENSE REQUIREMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 31302 is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 31302. Commercial driver's license requirement**

"No individual shall operate a commercial motor vehicle without a commercial driver's license issued according to section 31308 of this title."

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) The chapter analysis for that chapter is amended by striking the item relating to section 31302 and inserting the following:

"31302. Commercial driver's license requirement".

(B) Section 31305(a) is amended by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (8) as paragraphs (3) through (9), respectively, and by inserting after paragraph (1) the following:

"(2) may establish performance based testing and licensing standards that more accurately measure and reflect an individual's knowledge and skills as an operator;"

(c) COMMERCIAL DRIVER'S LICENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM.—Section 31309 is amended—

(1) by striking "make an agreement under subsection (b) of this section for the operation of, or establish under subsection (c) of this section," in subsection (a) and inserting "maintain";

(2) by striking subsections (b) and (c) and redesignating subsections (d), (e), and (f) as subsections (b), (c), and (d) respectively;

(3) by striking "Not later than December 31, 1990, the" in paragraph (2) of subsection (b), as redesignated, and inserting "The"; and

(4) by inserting after the caption of subsection (c), as redesignated, the following:

"Information about a driver in the information system may be made available under the following circumstances:"; and

(5) by starting a new paragraph with "(1) On request" and indenting the paragraph 2 ems from the lefthand margin.

(d) REQUIREMENTS FOR STATE PARTICIPATION.—Section 31311(a) is amended—

(1) by striking "31310(b)-(e)" in paragraph (15) and inserting "31310(b)-(e), and (g)(1)(A) and (2)";

(2) by striking paragraph (17); and

(3) by redesignating paragraph (18) as paragraph (17).

(e) WITHHOLDING AMOUNTS FOR STATE NON-COMPLIANCE.—Section 31314 is amended—

(1) by striking "(2), (5), and (6)" and inserting "(3), and (5)"; and

(2) by striking "1992" in subsections (a) and (b) and inserting "1995";

(3) by striking paragraph (1) of subsection (c);

(4) by striking "(2)" in subsection (c)(2);

(5) by striking subsection (d); and

(6) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (d).

(f) COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE DEFINED.—Section 31301 is amended—

(1) by inserting "or gross vehicle weight, whichever is greater," after "rating" each place it appears in paragraph (4)(A); and

(2) by inserting "is" in paragraph (4)(C)(ii) before "transporting" each place it appears and before "not otherwise";

(g) SAFETY PERFORMANCE HISTORY OF NEW DRIVERS; LIMITATION ON LIABILITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 5 is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

**"§ 508. Safety performance history of new drivers; limitation on liability**

"(a) LIMITATION ON LIABILITY.—No action or proceeding for defamation, invasion of privacy, or interference with a contract that is based on the furnishing or use of safety performance records in accordance with regulations issued by the Secretary may be brought against—

"(1) a motor carrier requesting the safety performance records of an individual under consideration for employment as a commer-

cial motor vehicle driver as required by and in accordance with regulations issued by the Secretary;

"(2) a person who has complied with such a request; or

"(3) the agents or insurers of a person described in paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection.

"(b) RESTRICTIONS.—

"(1) Subsection (a) does not apply unless—

"(A) the motor carrier requesting the safety performance records at issue, the person complying with such a request, and their agents have taken all precautions reasonably necessary to ensure the accuracy of the records and have fully complied with the regulations issued by the Secretary in using and furnishing the records, including the requirement that the individual who is the subject of the records be afforded a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the records;

"(B) the motor carrier requesting the safety performance records, the person complying with such a request, their agents, and their insurers, have taken all precautions reasonably necessary to protect the records from disclosure to any person, except for their insurers, not directly involved in forwarding the records or deciding whether to hire that individual; and

"(C) the motor carrier requesting the safety performance records has used those records only to assess the safety performance of the individual who is the subject of those records in deciding whether to hire that individual.

"(2) Subsection (a) does not apply to persons who knowingly furnish false information.

"(c) PREEMPTION OF STATE AND LOCAL LAW.—No State or political subdivision thereof may enact, prescribe, issue, continue in effect, or enforce any law (including any regulation, standard, or other provision having the force and effect of law) that prohibits, penalizes, or imposes liability for furnishing or using safety performance records in accordance with regulations issued by the Secretary. Notwithstanding any provision of law, written authorization shall not be required to obtain information on the motor vehicle driving record of an individual under consideration for employment with a motor carrier."

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The chapter analysis for that chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 507 the following:

"508. Safety performance history of new drivers; limitation on liability".

**SEC. 413. PENALTIES.**

(a) NOTIFICATION OF VIOLATIONS AND ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES.—Section 521(b)(1) is amended—

(1) by inserting: "with the exception of reporting and recordkeeping violations," in the first sentence of subparagraph (A) after "under any of those provisions,";

(2) by striking "fix a reasonable time for abatement of the violation," in the third sentence of subparagraph (A);

(3) by striking "(A)" in subparagraph (A); and

(4) by striking subparagraph (B).

(b) CIVIL PENALTIES.—Section 521(b)(2) is amended—

(1) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, any person who is determined by the Secretary, after notice and opportunity for a hearing, to have committed an act which is a violation of regulations issued by the Secretary under subchapter III of chapter 311 (except sections 31137 and 31138) or section 31502 of this title

shall be liable to the United States for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed \$10,000 for each offense. Notwithstanding any other provision of this section (except subparagraph (C)), no civil penalty shall be assessed under this section against an employee for a violation in an amount exceeding \$2,500.”;

(2) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (C) and (D), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following:

“(B) RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING VIOLATIONS.—

“(i) A person required to make a report to the Secretary, answer a question, or make, prepare, or preserve a record under section 504 of this title or under any regulation issued by the Secretary pursuant to subchapter III of chapter 311 (except sections 31137 and 31138) or section 31502 of this title about transportation by motor carrier, motor carrier of migrant workers, or motor private carrier, or an officer, agent, or employee of that person, who—

“(I) does not make that report;

“(II) does not specifically, completely, and truthfully answer that question in 30 days from the date the Secretary requires the question to be answered; or

“(III) does not make, prepare, or preserve that record in the form and manner prescribed by the Secretary,

shall be liable to the United States for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed \$500 for each offense, and each day of the violation shall constitute a separate offense, except that the total of all civil penalties assessed against any violator for all offenses related to any single violation shall not exceed \$5,000.

“(ii) Any such person, or an officer, agent, or employee of that person, who—

“(I) knowingly falsifies, destroys, mutilates, or changes a required report or record;

“(II) knowingly files a false report with the Secretary;

“(III) knowingly makes or causes or permits to be made a false or incomplete entry in that record about an operation or business fact or transaction; or

“(IV) knowingly makes, prepares, or preserves a record in violation of a regulation or order of the Secretary,

shall be liable to the United States for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed \$5,000 for each violation, provided that any such action can be shown to have misrepresented a fact that constitutes a violation other than a reporting or recordkeeping violation.”.

#### SEC. 414. INTERNATIONAL REGISTRATION PLAN AND INTERNATIONAL FUEL TAX AGREEMENT.

Chapter 317 is amended—

(1) by striking sections 31702, 31703, and 31708; and

(2) by striking the items relating to sections 31702, 31703, and 31708 in the chapter analysis for that chapter.

#### SEC. 415. STUDY OF ADEQUACY OF PARKING FACILITIES.

The Secretary shall conduct studies to determine the location and quantity of parking facilities at commercial truck stops and travel plazas and public rest areas that could be used by motor carriers to comply with Federal hours-of-service rules. Each study shall include an inventory of current facilities serving corridors of the National Highway System, analyze where specific shortages exist or are projected to exist, and propose a specific plan to reduce the shortages. The studies may be carried out in cooperation with research entities representing the motor carrier and travel plaza industry. The studies shall be completed no later than 36 months after enactment of this Act.

#### SEC. 416. NATIONAL MINIMUM DRINKING AGE—TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

Section 158 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “104(b)(2), 104(b)(5), and 104(b)(6)” each place it appears in subsection (a) and inserting “104(b)(3), and 104(b)(5)(B)”;

and

(2) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) AVAILABILITY OF WITHHELD FUNDS.—No funds withheld under this section from apportionment to any State after September 31, 1988, shall be available for apportionment to such State.”.

#### SEC. 417. APPLICATION OF REGULATIONS.

(a) APPLICATION OF REGULATIONS TO CERTAIN COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLES.—Section 31135 as redesignated, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(g) APPLICATION TO CERTAIN VEHICLES.—Effective 12 months after the date of enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997, regulations prescribed under this section shall apply to operators of commercial motor vehicles described in section 31132(1)(B) to the extent that those regulations did not apply to those operators before the day that is 12 months after such date of enactment, except to the extent that the Secretary determines, through a rulemaking proceeding, that it is appropriate to exempt such operations of commercial motor vehicles from the application of those regulations.”.

(b) DEFINITION.—Section 31301(4)(B) is amended to read as follows:

“(B) is designed or used to transport—

“(i) passengers for compensation, but does not include a vehicle providing taxicab service and having a capacity of not more than 6 passengers and not operated on a regular route or between specified places; or

“(ii) more than 15 passengers, including the driver, and not used to transport passengers for compensation; or”.

(c) APPLICATION OF REGULATIONS TO CERTAIN OPERATORS.—

(1) Chapter 313 is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

#### “§ 31318. Application of regulations to certain operators

“Effective 12 months after the date of enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997, regulations prescribed under this chapter shall apply to operators of commercial motor vehicles described in section 31301(4)(B) to the extent that those regulations did not apply to those operators before the day that is 1 year after such date of enactment, except to the extent that the Secretary determines, after notice and opportunity for public comment, that it is appropriate to exempt such operators of commercial motor vehicles from the application of those regulations.”.

(d) DEADLINE FOR CERTAIN DEFINITIONAL REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall issue regulations implementing the definition of commercial motor vehicles under section 31132(1)(B) and section 31301(4)(B) of title 49, United States Code, as amended by this Act within 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

#### SEC. 418. AUTHORITY OVER CHARTER BUS TRANSPORTATION.

Section 14501(a) is amended—

(1) by striking “route or relating” and inserting “route.”; and

(2) by striking “required.” and inserting “required; or to the authority to provide intrastate or interstate charter bus transportation.”.

#### SEC. 419. FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY INVESTIGATIONS.

The Department of Transportation shall maintain the level of Federal motor carrier

safety investigators for border commercial vehicle inspections as in effect on September 30, 1997, or provide for alternative resources and mechanisms to ensure an equivalent level of commercial motor vehicle safety inspections. Such funds as are necessary to carry out this section shall be made available within the limitation on general operating expenses of the Department of Transportation.

#### SEC. 420. FOREIGN MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY FITNESS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—No later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Transportation shall make a determination regarding the willingness and ability of any foreign motor carrier, the application for which has not been processed due to the moratorium on the granting of authority to foreign carriers to operate in the United States, to meet the safety fitness and other regulatory requirements under this title.

(b) REPORT.—Within 120 days after the date of enactment this Act, the Secretary of Transportation shall submit a report to the Senate Commerce, Science, and Transportation Committee and the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee on the application of section 13902(c)(9) of title 49, United States Code. The report shall include—

(1) any findings made by the Secretary under subsection (a);

(2) information on which carriers have applied to the Department of Transportation under that section; and

(3) a description of the process utilized to respond to such applications and to certify the safety fitness of those carriers.

#### SEC. 421. COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Transportation may establish a Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Advisory Committee to provide advice and recommendations on a range of regulatory issues. The members of the advisory committee shall be appointed by the Secretary from among individuals affected by the Department of Transportation under consideration by the Department of Transportation.

(b) FUNCTION.—The Advisory Committee established under subsection (a) shall provide advice to the Secretary on commercial motor vehicle safety regulations and assist the Secretary in timely completion of ongoing rulemakings by utilizing negotiated rulemaking procedures.

#### SEC. 422. WAIVERS; EXEMPTIONS; PILOT PROGRAMS.

(a) WAIVERS, EXEMPTIONS, AND PILOT PROGRAMS FOR CHAPTER 311.—Section 31136(e) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (5) and (6); and

(2) by striking the subsection caption and paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(e) WAIVERS, EXEMPTIONS, AND PILOT PROGRAMS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall, by regulation promulgated after notice and an opportunity for public comment and within 180 days after the date of enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997, establish procedures by which waivers, exemptions, and pilot programs under this section may be initiated. The regulation shall provide—

“(A) a process for the issuance of waivers or exemptions from any part of a regulation prescribed under this section; and

“(B) procedures for the conduct of pilot projects or demonstration programs to support the appropriateness of regulations, enforcement policies, waivers, or exemptions under this section.

“(2) **WAIVERS.**—The Secretary may grant a waiver that relieves a person from compliance in whole or in part with a regulation issued under this section if the Secretary determines that it is in the public interest to grant the waiver and that the waiver is likely to achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level of safety that would obtain in the absence of the waiver—

- “(A) for a period not in excess of 3 months;
- “(B) limited in scope and circumstances;
- “(C) for non-emergency and unique events; and
- “(D) subject to such conditions as the Secretary may impose.

“(3) **Exemptions.**—The Secretary may grant an exemption in whole or in part from a regulation issued under this section to a class of persons, vehicles, or circumstances if the Secretary determines, after notice and opportunity for public comment, that it is in the public interest to grant the exemption and that the exemption is likely to achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level of safety that would obtain in the absence of the exemption. An exemption granted under this paragraph shall be in effect for a period of not more than 2 years, but may be renewed by the Secretary after notice and opportunity for public comment if the Secretary determines, based on the safety impact and results of the first 2 years of an exemption, that the extension is in the public interest and that the extension of the exemption is likely to achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level of safety that would obtain in the absence of the extension.

“(4) **PILOT PROGRAMS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—In carrying out this section, the Secretary is authorized to carry out pilot programs to examine innovative approaches or alternatives to regulations issued under this title.

“(B) **REQUIREMENT FOR APPROVAL.**—In carrying out a pilot project under this paragraph, the Secretary shall require, as a condition of approval of the project, that the safety measures in the project are designed to achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level of safety that would otherwise be achieved through compliance with the standards prescribed under this title.

“(C) **EXEMPTIONS.**—A pilot project under this paragraph—

“(i) may exempt a motor carrier under the project from any requirement (or portion thereof) imposed under this title; and

“(ii) shall preempt any State or local regulation that conflicts with the pilot project during the time the pilot project is in effect.

“(D) **REVOCACTION OF EXEMPTION.**—The Secretary shall revoke an exemption granted under subparagraph (C) if—

“(i) the motor carrier to which it applies fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; or

“(ii) the Secretary determines that the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained before the exemption was granted.”

(b) **WAIVERS, EXEMPTIONS, AND PILOT PROGRAMS FOR CHAPTER 313.**—Section 31315 is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a) **IN GENERAL.**—” before “After notice”; and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(b) **WAIVERS, EXEMPTIONS, AND PILOT PROGRAMS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall, by regulation promulgated after notice and an opportunity for public comment and within 180 days after the date of enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997, establish procedures by which waivers,

exemptions, and pilot programs under this section may be initiated. The regulation shall provide—

“(A) a process for the issuance of waivers or exemptions from any part of a regulation prescribed under this section; and

“(B) procedures for the conduct of pilot projects or demonstration programs to support the appropriateness of regulations, enforcement policies, or exemptions under this section.

“(2) **WAIVERS.**—The Secretary may grant a waiver that relieves a person from compliance in whole or in part with a regulation issued under this section if the Secretary determines that it is in the public interest to grant the waiver and that the waiver is likely to achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level of safety that would obtain in the absence of the waiver—

- “(A) for a period not in excess of 3 months;
- “(B) limited in scope and circumstances;
- “(C) for non-emergency and unique events; and
- “(D) subject to such conditions as the Secretary may impose.

“(3) **Exemptions.**—The Secretary may grant an exemption in whole or in part from a regulation issued under this section to a class of persons, vehicles, or circumstances if the Secretary determines, after notice and opportunity for public comment, that it is in the public interest to grant the exemption and that the exemption is likely to achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level of safety that would obtain in the absence of the exemption. An exemption granted under this paragraph shall be in effect for a period of not more than 2 years, but may be renewed by the Secretary after notice and opportunity for public comment if the Secretary determines, based on the safety impact and results of the first 2 years of an exemption, that the extension is in the public interest and that the extension of the exemption is likely to achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level of safety that would obtain in the absence of the extension.

“(4) **PILOT PROGRAMS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—In carrying out this section, the Secretary is authorized to carry out pilot programs to examine innovative approaches or alternatives to regulations issued under this title.

“(B) **REQUIREMENT FOR APPROVAL.**—In carrying out a pilot project under this paragraph, the Secretary shall require, as a condition of approval of the project, that the safety measures in the project are designed to achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to, or greater than, the level of safety that would otherwise be achieved through compliance with the standards prescribed under this title.

“(C) **EXEMPTIONS.**—A pilot project under this paragraph—

“(i) may exempt a motor carrier under the project from any requirement (or portion thereof) imposed under this title; and

“(ii) shall preempt any State or local regulation that conflicts with the pilot project during the time the pilot project is in effect.

“(D) **REVOCACTION OF EXEMPTION.**—The Secretary shall revoke an exemption granted under subparagraph (C) if—

“(i) the motor carrier to which it applies fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; or

“(ii) the Secretary determines that the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained before the exemption was granted.”

**SEC. 423. COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY STUDIES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Transportation shall conduct a study of the im-

pact on safety and infrastructure of tandem axle commercial motor vehicle operations in States that permit the operation of such vehicles in excess of the weight limits established by section 127 of title 23, United States Code.

(b) **COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS WITH STATES.**—The Secretary shall enter into cooperative agreements with States described in subsection (a) under which the States participate in the collection of weight-in-motion data necessary to achieve the purpose of the study. If the Secretary determines that additional weight-in-motion sites, on or off the Dwight D. Eisenhower System of Interstate and Defense Highways, are necessary to carry out the study, and requests assistance from the States in choosing appropriate locations, the States shall identify the industries or transportation companies operating within their borders that regularly utilize the 35,000 pound tandem axle.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall transmit to the Congress a report on the results of the study, together with any related legislative or administrative recommendations. Until the Secretary transmits the report to the Congress, the Secretary may not withhold funds under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, from any State for violation of the grandfathered tandem axle weight limits under section 127 of that title.

**SEC. 424. INCREASED MCSAP PARTICIPATION IMPACT STUDY.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—If a State that did not receive its full allocation of funding under the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program during fiscal years 1996 and 1997 agrees to enter into a cooperative agreement with the Secretary to evaluate the safety impact, costs, and benefits of allowing such State to continue to participate fully in the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program, then the Secretary of Transportation shall allocate to that State the full amount of funds to which it would otherwise be entitled for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003. The Secretary may not add conditions to the cooperative agreement other than those directly relating to the accurate and timely collection of inspection and crash data sufficient to ascertain the safety and effectiveness of such State's program.

(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **REPORT.**—The State shall submit to the Secretary each year the results of such safety evaluations.

(2) **TERMINATION BY SECRETARY.**—If the Secretary finds such an agreement not in the public interest based on the results of such evaluations after 2 years of full participation, the Secretary may terminate the agreement entered into under this section.

(c) **PROHIBITION OF ADOPTION OF LESSER STANDARDS.**—No State may enact or implement motor carrier safety regulations that are determined by the Secretary to be less strict than those in effect as of September 30, 1997.

**TITLE V—RAIL AND MASS TRANSPORTATION ANTI-TERRORISM; SAFETY**

**SEC. 501. PURPOSE.**

The purpose of this title is to protect the passengers and employees of railroad carriers and mass transportation systems and the movement of freight by railroad from terrorist attacks.

**SEC. 502. AMENDMENTS TO THE “WRECKING TRAINS” STATUTE.**

(a) Section 1992 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 1992. Terrorist attacks against railroads

“(a) **GENERAL PROHIBITIONS.**—Whoever willfully—

“(1) wrecks, derails, sets fire to, or disables any train, locomotive, motor unit, or freight

or passenger car used, operated, or employed by a railroad carrier;

"(2) brings, carries, possesses, places or causes to be placed any destructive substance, or destructive device in, upon, or near any train, locomotive, motor unit, or freight or passenger car used, operated, or employed by a railroad carrier, without previously obtaining the permission of the carrier, and with intent to endanger the safety of any passenger or employee of the carrier, or with a reckless disregard for the safety of human life;

"(3) sets fire to, or places any destructive substance, or destructive device in, upon or near, or undermines any tunnel, bridge, viaduct, trestle, track, signal, station, depot, warehouse, terminal, or any other way, structure, property, or appurtenance used in the operation of, or in support of the operation of, a railroad carrier, or otherwise makes any such tunnel, bridge, viaduct, trestle, track, station, depot, warehouse, terminal, or any other way, structure, property, or appurtenance unworkable or unusable or hazardous to work or use, knowing or having reason to know such activity would likely derail, disable, or wreck a train, locomotive, motor unit, or freight or passenger car used, operated, or employed by a railroad carrier;

"(4) removes appurtenances from, damages, or otherwise impairs the operation of any railroad signal system, including a train control system, centralized dispatching system, or highway-railroad grade crossing warning signal on a railroad line used, operated, or employed by a railroad carrier;

"(5) interferes with, disables or incapacitates any locomotive engineer, conductor, or other person while they are operating or maintaining a train, locomotive, motor unit, or freight or passenger car used, operated, or employed by a railroad carrier, with intent to endanger the safety of any passenger or employee of the carrier, or with a reckless disregard for the safety of human life;

"(6) commits an act intended to cause death or serious bodily injury to an employee or passenger of a railroad carrier while on the property of the carrier;

"(7) causes the release of a hazardous material being transported by a rail freight car, with the intent to endanger the safety of any person, or with a reckless disregard for the safety of human life;

"(8) conveys or causes to be conveyed false information, knowing the information to be false, concerning an attempt or alleged attempt being made or to be made, to do any act which would be a crime prohibited by this subsection; or

"(9) attempts, threatens, or conspires to do any of the aforesaid acts,

shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both, if such act is committed, or in the case of a threat or conspiracy such act would be committed, within the United States on, against, or affecting a railroad carrier engaged in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, or if in the course of committing such acts, that person travels or communicates across a State line in order to commit such acts, or transports materials across a State line in aid of the commission of such acts; Provided however, that whoever is convicted of any crime prohibited by this subsection shall be:

"(A) imprisoned for not less than thirty years or for life if the railroad train involved carried high-level radioactive waste or spent nuclear fuel at the time of the offense;

"(B) imprisoned for life if the railroad train involved was carrying passengers at the time of the offense; and

"(C) imprisoned for life or sentenced to death if the offense has resulted in the death of any person.

"(b) PROHIBITIONS ON THE USE OF FIREARMS AND DANGEROUS WEAPONS.—

"(1) Except as provided in paragraph (4), whoever knowingly possesses or causes to be present any firearm or other dangerous weapon on board a passenger train of a railroad carrier, or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both, if such act is committed on a railroad carrier that is engaged in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, or if in the course of committing such act, that person travels or communicates across a State line in order to commit such act, or transports materials across a State line in aid of the commission of such act.

"(2) Whoever, with intent that a firearm or other dangerous weapon be used in the commission of a crime, knowingly possesses or causes to be present such firearm or dangerous weapon on board a passenger train or in a passenger terminal facility of a railroad carrier, or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both, if such act is committed on a railroad carrier that is engaged in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, or if in the course of committing such act, that person travels or communicates across a State line in order to commit such act, or transports materials across a State line in aid of the commission of such act.

"(3) A person who kills or attempts to kill a person in the course of a violation of paragraphs (1) or (2), or in the course of an attack on a passenger train or a passenger terminal facility of a railroad carrier involving the use of a firearm or other dangerous weapon, shall be punished as provided in sections 1111, 1112, and 1113 of this title.

"(4) Paragraph (1) shall not apply to:

"(A) the possession of a firearm or other dangerous weapon by an officer, agent, or employee of the United States, a State, or a political subdivision thereof, while engaged in the lawful performance of official duties, who is authorized by law to engage in the transportation of people accused or convicted of crimes, or supervise the prevention, detection, investigation, or prosecution of any violation of law;

"(B) the possession of a firearm or other dangerous weapon by an officer, agent, or employee of the United States, a State, or a political subdivision thereof, while off duty, if such possession is authorized by law;

"(C) the possession of a firearm or other dangerous weapon by a Federal official or a member of the Armed Forces if such possession is authorized by law;

"(D) the possession of a firearm of other dangerous weapon by a railroad police officer employed by a rail carrier and certified or commissioned as a police officer under the laws of a State, whether on or off duty; or

"(E) an individual transporting a firearm on board a railroad passenger train (except a loaded firearm) in baggage not accessible to any passenger on board the train, if the railroad carrier was informed of the presence of the weapon prior to the firearm being placed on board the train.

"(c) PROHIBITION AGAINST PROPELLING OBJECTS.—Whoever willfully or recklessly throws, shoots, or propels a rock, stone, brick, or piece of iron, steel, or other metal or any deadly or dangerous object or destructive substance at any locomotive or car of a train, knowing or having reason to know such activity would likely cause personal injury, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for not more than 5 years, or both, if such act is committed on or against a railroad carrier engaged in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, or if in the course of committing such act, that person travels or communicates across a State line in order to commit such act, or transports materials

across a State line in aid of the commission of such act. Whoever is convicted of any crime prohibited by this subsection shall also be subject to imprisonment for not more than twenty years if the offense has resulted in the death of any person.

"(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

"(1) 'dangerous device' has the meaning given to that term in section 921(a)(4) of this title;

"(2) 'dangerous weapon' has the meaning given to that term in section 930 of this title;

"(3) 'destructive substance' has the meaning given to that term in section 31 of this title, except that (A) the term 'radioactive device' does not include any radioactive device or material used solely for medical, industrial, research, or other peaceful purposes, and (B) 'destructive substance' includes any radioactive device or material that can be used to cause a harm listed in subsection (a) and that is not in use solely for medical, industrial, research, or other peaceful purposes;

"(4) 'firearm' has the meaning given to that term in section 921 of this title;

"(5) 'hazardous material' has the meaning given to that term in section 5102(2) of title 49, United States Code;

"(6) 'high-level radioactive waste' has the meaning given to that term in section 10101(12) of title 42, United States Code;

"(7) 'railroad' has the meaning given to that term in section 20102(1) of title 49, United States Code;

"(8) 'railroad carrier' has the meaning given to that term in section 20102(2) of title 49, United States Code;

"(9) 'serious bodily injury' has the meaning given to that term in section 1365 of this title;

"(10) 'spent nuclear fuel' has the meaning given to that term in section 10101(23) of title 42, United States Code; and

"(11) 'State' has the meaning given to that term in section 2266 of this title."

(b) In the analysis of chapter 97 of title 18, United States Code, item "1992" is amended to read:

"1992. Terrorist attacks against railroads".

**SEC. 503. TERRORIST ATTACKS AGAINST MASS TRANSPORTATION.**

(a) Chapter 97 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof of the following new section:

**"§ 1994. Terrorist attacks against mass transportation**

"(a) GENERAL PROHIBITIONS.—Whoever willfully—

"(1) wrecks, derails, sets fire to, or disables a mass transportation vehicle or vessel;

"(2) places or causes to be placed any destructive substance in, upon, or near a mass transportation vehicle or vessel, without previously obtaining the permission of the mass transportation provider, and with intent to endanger the safety of any passenger or employee of the mass transportation provider, or with a reckless disregard for the safety of human life;

"(3) sets fire to, or places any destructive substance in, upon, or near any garage, terminal, structure, supply, or facility used in the operation of, or in support of the operation of, a mass transportation vehicle, knowing or having reason to know such activity would likely derail, disable, or wreck a mass transportation vehicle used, operated, or employed by a mass transportation provider;

"(4) removes appurtenances from, damages, or otherwise impairs the operation of a mass transportation signal system, including a train control system, centralized dispatching system, or rail grade crossing warning signal;

“(5) interferes with, disables or incapacitates any driver or person while they are employed in operating or maintaining a mass transportation vehicle or vessel, with intent to endanger the safety of any passenger or employee of the mass transportation provider, or with a reckless disregard for the safety of human life;

“(6) commits an act intended to cause death or serious bodily injury to an employee or passenger of a mass transportation provider on the property of a mass transportation provider;

“(7) conveys or causes to be conveyed false information, knowing the information to be false, concerning an attempt or alleged attempt being made or to be made, to do any act which would be a crime prohibited by this subsection; or

“(8) attempts, threatens, or conspires to do any of the aforesaid acts—shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both, if such act is committed, or in the case of a threat or conspiracy such act would be committed, within the United States on, against, or affecting a mass transportation provider engaged in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, or if in the course of committing such act, that person travels or communicates across a State line in order to commit such act, or transports materials across a State line in aid of the commission of such act. Whoever is convicted of a crime prohibited by this section shall also be subject to imprisonment for life if the mass transportation vehicle or vessel was carrying a passenger at the time of the offense, and imprisonment for life or sentenced to death if the offense has resulted in the death of any person.

“(b) PROHIBITIONS ON THE USE OF FIREARMS AND DANGEROUS WEAPONS.—

“(1) Except as provided in paragraph (4), whoever knowingly possesses or causes to be present any firearm or other dangerous weapon on board a mass transportation vehicle or vessel, or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both, if such act is committed on a mass transportation provider engaged in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, or if in the course of committing such act, that person travels or communicates across a State line in order to commit such act, or transports materials across a State line in aid of the commission of such act.

“(2) Whoever, with intent that a firearm or other dangerous weapon be used in the commission of a crime, knowingly possesses or causes to be present such firearm or dangerous weapon on board a mass transportation vehicle or vessel, or in a mass transportation passenger terminal facility, or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both, if such act is committed on a mass transportation provider engaged in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, or if in the course of committing such act, that person travels or communicates across a State line in order to commit such act, or transports materials across a State line in aid of the commission of such act.

“(3) A person who kills or attempts to kill a person in the course of a violation of paragraphs (1) or (2), or in the course of an attack on a mass transportation vehicle or vessel, or a mass transportation passenger terminal facility involving the use of a firearm or other dangerous weapon, shall be punished as provided in sections 1111, 1112, and 1113 of this title.

“(4) Paragraph (1) shall not apply to:

“(A) the possession of a firearm or other dangerous weapon by an officer, agent, or employee of the United States, a State, or a political subdivision thereof, while engaged

in the lawful performance of official duties, who is authorized by law to engage in the transportation of people accused or convicted of crimes, or supervise the prevention, detection, investigation, or prosecution of any violation of law;

“(B) the possession of a firearm or other dangerous weapon by an officer, agent, or employee of the United States, a State, or a political subdivision thereof, while off duty, if such possession is authorized by law;

“(C) the possession of a firearm or other dangerous weapon by a Federal official or a member of the Armed Forces if such possession is authorized by law;

“(D) the possession of a firearm or other dangerous weapon by a railroad police officer employed by a rail carrier and certified or commissioned as a police officer under the laws of a State, whether on or off duty; or

“(E) an individual transporting a firearm on board a mass transportation vehicle or vessel (except a loaded firearm) in baggage not accessible to any passenger on board the vehicle or vessel, if the mass transportation provider was informed of the presence of the weapon prior to the firearm being placed on board the vehicle or vessel.

“(c) PROHIBITION AGAINST PROPELLING OBJECTS.—Whoever willfully or recklessly throws, shoots, or propels a rock, stone, brick, or piece of iron, steel, or other metal or any deadly or dangerous object or destructive substance at any mass transportation vehicle or vessel, knowing or having reason to know such activity would likely cause personal injury, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for not more than 5 years, or both, if such act is committed on or against a mass transportation provider engaged in or substantially affecting interstate or foreign commerce, or if in the course of committing such acts, that person travels or communicates across a State line in order to commit such acts, or transports materials across a State line in aid of the commission of such acts. Whoever is convicted of any crime prohibited by this subsection shall also be subject to imprisonment for not more than twenty years if the offense has resulted in the death of any person.

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

“(1) ‘dangerous device’ has the meaning given to that term in section 921(a)(4) of this title;

“(2) ‘dangerous weapon’ has the meaning given to that term in section 930 of this title;

“(3) ‘destructive substance’ has the meaning given to that term in section 31 of this title, except that (A) the term ‘radioactive device’ does not include any radioactive device or material used solely for medical, industrial, research, or other peaceful purposes, and (B) ‘destructive substance’ includes any radioactive device or material that can be used to cause a harm listed in subsection (a) and that is not in use solely for medical, industrial, research, or other peaceful purposes;

“(4) ‘firearm’ has the meaning given to that term in section 921 of this title;

“(5) ‘mass transportation’ has the meaning given to that term in section 5302(a)(7) of title 49, United States Code, except that the term shall include schoolbus, charter, and sightseeing transportation;

“(6) ‘serious bodily injury’ has the meaning given to that term in section 1365 of this title; and

“(7) ‘State’ has the meaning given to that term in section 2266 of this title.”

(b) The analysis of chapter 97 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof:

“1994. Terrorist attacks against mass transportation.”

**SEC. 504. INVESTIGATIVE JURISDICTION.**

The Federal Bureau of Investigation shall lead the investigation of all offenses under sections 1192 and 1994 of title 18, United States Code. The Federal Bureau of Investigation shall cooperate with the National Transportation Safety Board and with the Department of Transportation in safety investigations by these agencies, and with the Treasury Department’s Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms concerning an investigation regarding the possession of firearms and explosives.

**SEC. 505. SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS IN GRANTS OR LOANS TO COMMUTER RAILROADS.**

Section 5329 is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(c) COMMUTER RAILROAD SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS.—In making a grant or loan under this chapter that concerns a railroad subject to the Secretary’s railroad safety jurisdiction under section 20102 of this title, the Federal Transit Administrator shall consult with the Federal Railroad Administrator concerning relevant safety issues. The Secretary may use appropriate authority under this chapter, including the authority to prescribe particular terms or covenants under section 5334 of this title, to address any safety issues identified in the project supported by the loan or grant.”

**SEC. 506. RAILROAD ACCIDENT AND INCIDENT REPORTING.**

Section 20901(a) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.—On a periodic basis not more frequent than monthly, as specified by the Secretary of Transportation, a railroad carrier shall file a report with the Secretary on all accidents and incidents resulting in injury or death to an individual or damage to equipment or a roadbed arising from the carrier’s operations during that period. The report shall state the nature, cause, and circumstances of each reported accident or incident. If a railroad carrier assigns human error as a cause, the report shall include, at the option of each employee whose error is alleged, a statement by the employee explaining any factors the employee alleges contributed to the accident or incident.”

**SEC. 507. VEHICLE WEIGHT LIMITATIONS—MASS TRANSPORTATION BUSES.**

Section 1023(h)(1) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991, as amended (23 U.S.C. 127 note), is amended by striking “the date on which” and all that follows through “1995” and inserting “January 1, 2003”.

**TITLE —VI SPORTFISHING AND BOATING SAFETY**

**SEC. 601. AMENDMENT OF 1950 ACT.**

Whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision of the 1950 Act, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Act entitled “An Act to provide that the United States shall aid the States in fish restoration and management projects, and for other purposes,” approved August 9, 1950 (16 U.S.C. 777 et seq.).

**SEC. 602. OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAMS.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 2 of the 1950 Act (16 U.S.C. 777a) is amended—

(1) by indenting the left margin of so much of the text as precedes “(a)” by 2 ems;

(2) by inserting “For purposes of this Act—” after the section caption;

(3) by striking “For the purpose of this Act the” in the first paragraph and inserting “(1) the”;

(4) by indenting the left margin of so much of the text as follows “include—” by 4 ems;

(5) by striking “(a)”, “(b)”, “(c)”, and “(d)” and inserting “(A)”, “(B)”, “(C)”, and “(D)”, respectively;

(6) by striking “department.” and inserting “department.”; and

(7) by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(2) the term ‘outreach and communications program’ means a program to improve communications with anglers, boaters, and the general public regarding angling and boating opportunities, to reduce barriers to participation in these activities, to advance adoption of sound fishing and boating practices, to promote conservation and the responsible use of the nation’s aquatic resources, and to further safety in fishing and boating; and

“(3) the term ‘aquatic resource education program’ means a program designed to enhance the public’s understanding of aquatic resources and sport-fishing, and to promote the development of responsible attitudes and ethics toward the aquatic environment.”.

(b) FUNDING FOR OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM.—Section 4 of the 1950 Act (16 U.S.C. 777c) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (c), (d), and (e) as subsections (d), (e), and (f);

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following:

“(c) NATIONAL OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM.—Of the balance of each such annual appropriation remaining after making the distribution under subsections (a) and (b), respectively, an amount equal to—

“(1) \$5,000,000 for fiscal year 1998;

“(2) \$6,000,000 for fiscal year 1999;

“(3) \$7,000,000 for fiscal year 2000;

“(4) \$8,000,000 for fiscal year 2001;

“(5) \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; and

“(6) \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 2003,

shall be used for the National Outreach and Communications Program under section X08(d). Such amounts shall remain available for 3 fiscal years, after which any portion thereof that is unobligated by the Secretary of the Interior for that program may be expended by the Secretary under subsection (e).”.

(3) by inserting a comma and “for an outreach and communications program” after “Act” in subsection (d), as redesignated;

(4) by striking “subsections (a) and (b),” in subsection (d), as redesignated, “subsections (a), (b), and (c).”;

(5) by adding at the end of subsection (d), as redesignated, the following: “Of the sum available to the Secretary of the Interior under this subsection for any fiscal year, up to \$2,500,000 may be used for the National Outreach and Communications Program under section X08(d) in addition to the amount available for that program under subsection (c). No funds available to the Secretary under this subsection may be used to replace funding traditionally provided through general appropriations, nor for any purposes except those purposes authorized by this Act. The Secretary shall publish a detailed accounting of the projects, programs, and activities funded under this subsection annually in the Federal Register.”; and

(6) by striking “subsections (a), (b), and (c),” in subsection (e), as redesignated, and inserting “subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d).”.

(c) INCREASE IN STATE ALLOCATION.—Section 8 of the 1950 Act (16 U.S.C. 777g) is amended—

(1) by striking “12 1/2 percentum” each place it appears in subsection (b) and inserting “15 percent”;

(2) by striking “10 percentum” in subsection (c) and inserting “15 percent”;

(3) by inserting “and communications” in subsection (c) after “outreach”; and

(4) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (f); and by inserting after subsection (c) the following:

“(d) NATIONAL OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM.—

“(1) IMPLEMENTATION.—Within 1 year after the date of enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997, the Secretary of the Interior shall develop and implement, in cooperation and consultation with the Sport Fishing and Boating Partnership Council, a national plan for outreach and communications.

“(2) CONTENT.—The plan shall provide—

“(A) guidance, including guidance on the development of an administrative process and funding priorities, for outreach and communications programs; and

“(B) for the establishment of a national program.

“(3) SECRETARY MAY MATCH OR FUND PROGRAMS.—Under the plan, the Secretary may obligate amounts available under subsection (c) or (d) of section 604 of this Act—

“(A) to make grants to any State or private entity to pay all or any portion of the cost of carrying out any outreach or communications program under the plan; or

“(B) to fund contracts with States or private entities to carry out such a program.

“(4) REVIEW.—The plan shall be reviewed periodically, but not less frequently than once every 3 years.

“(e) STATE OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM.—Within 12 months after the completion of the national plan under subsection (d)(1), a State shall develop a plan for an outreach and communications program and submit it to the Secretary. In developing the plan, a State shall—

“(1) review the national plan developed under subsection (d);

“(2) consult with anglers, boaters, the sportfishing and boating industries, and the general public; and

“(3) establish priorities for the State outreach and communications program proposed for implementation.”.

#### SEC. 603. CLEAN VESSEL ACT FUNDING.

Section 4(b) of the 1950 Act (16 U.S.C. 777c(b)) is amended to read as follows:

“(b) USE OF BALANCE AFTER DISTRIBUTION.—

“(1) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998, of the balance remaining after making the distribution under subsection (a), an amount equal to \$51,000,000 shall be used as follows:

“(A) \$10,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary of the Interior for 3 years for obligation for qualified projects under section 5604(c) of the Clean Vessel Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 1322 note);

“(B) \$10,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary of the Interior for 3 years for obligation for qualified projects under section X05(d) of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997; and

“(C) \$31,000,000 shall be transferred to the Secretary of Transportation and shall be expended for State recreational boating safety programs under section 13106 of title 46, United States Code.

“(2) FISCAL YEARS 1999–2003.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the balance of each annual appropriation remaining after making the distribution under subsection (a), an amount equal to \$84,000,000, reduced by 82 percent of the amount appropriated for that fiscal year from the Boat Safety Account of the Aquatic Resources Trust Fund established by section 9504 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 9504) to carry out the purposes of section 13106(a) of title 46, United States Code, shall be used as follows:

“(A) \$10,000,000 shall be available for each fiscal year to the Secretary of the Interior

for 3 years for obligation for qualified projects under section 5604(c) of the Clean Vessel Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 1322 note);

“(B) \$10,000,000 shall be available for each fiscal year to the Secretary of the Interior for 3 years for obligation for qualified projects under section X05(d) of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997; and

“(C) the balance shall be transferred for each such fiscal year to the Secretary of Transportation and shall be expended for State recreational boating safety programs under section 13106 of title 46, United States Code.

“(3) Amounts available under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1) and paragraph (2) that are unobligated by the Secretary of the Interior after 3 years shall be transferred to the Secretary of Transportation and shall be expended for State recreational boating safety programs under section 13106(a) of title 46, United States Code.”.

#### SEC. 604. BOATING INFRASTRUCTURE.

(a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to provide funds to States for the development and maintenance of public facilities for transient nontrailerable recreational vessels.

(b) SURVEY.—Section 8 of the 1950 Act (16 U.S.C. 777g), as amended by section X03, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

“(g) SURVEYS.—

“(1) NATIONAL FRAMEWORK.—Within 6 months after the date of enactment of the Intermodal Transportation Safety Act of 1997, the Secretary, in consultation with the States, shall adopt a national framework for a public boat access needs assessment which may be used by States to conduct surveys to determine the adequacy, number, location, and quality of facilities providing access to recreational waters for all sizes of recreational boats.

“(2) STATE SURVEYS.—Within 18 months after such date of enactment, each State that agrees to conduct a public boat access needs survey following the recommended national framework shall report its findings to the Secretary for use in the development of a comprehensive national assessment of recreational boat access needs and facilities.

“(3) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (2) does not apply to a State if, within 18 months after such date of enactment, the Secretary certifies that the State has developed and is implementing a plan that ensures there are and will be public boat access adequate to meet the needs of recreational boaters on its waters.

“(4) FUNDING.—A State that conducts a public boat access needs survey under paragraph (2) may fund the costs of conducting that assessment out of amounts allocated to it as funding dedicated to motorboat access to recreational waters under subsection (b)(1) of this section.”.

(c) PLAN.—Within 6 months after submitting a survey to the Secretary under section 8(g) of the Act entitled “An Act to provide that the United States shall aid the States in fish restoration and management projects, and for other purposes,” approved August 9, 1950 (16 U.S.C. 777g(g)), as added by subsection (b) of this section, a State may develop and submit to the Secretary a plan for the construction, renovation, and maintenance of public facilities, and access to those facilities, for transient nontrailerable recreational vessels to meet the needs of nontrailerable recreational vessels operating on navigable waters in the State.

(d) GRANT PROGRAM.—

(1) MATCHING GRANTS.—The Secretary of the Interior shall obligate amounts made available under section 4(b)(1)(C) of the Act entitled “An Act to provide that the United States shall aid the States in fish restoration and management projects, and for other

purposes." approved August 9, 1950 (16 U.S.C. 777c(b)(1)(C)) to make grants to any State to pay not more than 75 percent of the cost to a State of constructing, renovating, or maintaining public facilities for transient nontrailerable recreational vessels.

(2) PRIORITIES.—In awarding grants under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall give priority to projects that—

(A) consist of the construction, renovation, or maintenance of public facilities for transient nontrailerable recreational vessels in accordance with a plan submitted by a State under subsection (c);

(B) provide for public/private partnership efforts to develop, maintain, and operate facilities for transient nontrailerable recreational vessels; and

(C) propose innovative ways to increase the availability of facilities for transient nontrailerable recreational vessels.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the term—

(1) "nontrailerable recreational vessel" means a recreational vessel 26 feet in length or longer—

(A) operated primarily for pleasure; or

(B) leased, rented, or chartered to another for the latter's pleasure;

(2) "public facilities for transient nontrailerable recreational vessels" includes mooring buoys, day-docks, navigational aids, seasonal slips, or similar structures located on navigable waters, that are available to the general public and designed for temporary use by nontrailerable recreational vessels; and

(4) "State" means each of the several States of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, the Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall take effect on October 1, 1997.

**SEC. 605. BOAT SAFETY FUNDS.**

(a) AVAILABILITY OF ALLOCATIONS.—Section 13104(a) of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking "3 years" in paragraph (1) and inserting "2 years"; and

(2) by striking "3-year" in paragraph (2) and inserting "2-year".

(b) EXPENDITURES.—Section 13106 of title 46, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the first sentence of subsection (a)(1) and inserting the following: "Subject to paragraph (2) and subsection (c), the Secretary shall expend in each fiscal year for State recreational boating safety programs, under contracts with States under this chapter, an amount equal to the sum of (A) the amount appropriated from the Boat Safety Account for that fiscal year and (B) the amount transferred to the Secretary under section 4(b) of the Act of August 9, 1950 (16 U.S.C. 777c(b))."; and

(2) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

"(c) Of the amount transferred for each fiscal year to the Secretary of Transportation under section 4(b) of the Act of August 9, 1950 (16 U.S.C. 777c(b)), \$5,000,000 is available to the Secretary for payment of expenses of the Coast Guard for personnel and activities directly related to coordinating and carrying out the national recreational boating safety program under this title. No funds available to the Secretary under this subsection may be used to replace funding traditionally provided through general appropriations, nor for any purposes except those purposes authorized by this Act. Amounts made available by this subsection shall remain available until expended. The Secretary shall publish annually in the Federal Register a detailed accounting of the projects, programs, and activities funded under this subsection."

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The caption for section 13106 of title 46, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 13106. Authorization of appropriations".**

(2) The chapter analysis for chapter 131 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 13106 and inserting the following:

"13106. Authorization of appropriations".

**TITLE VII—MISCELLANEOUS  
SEC. 701. ENFORCEMENT OF WINDOW GLAZING STANDARDS FOR LIGHT TRANSMISSION.**

Section 402(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "post-accident procedures." and inserting "post-accident procedures, including the enforcement of light transmission standards of glazing for passenger motor vehicles and light trucks as necessary to improve highway safety."

**MCCAIN AMENDMENTS NOS. 1417-1421**

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. MCCAIN submitted five amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

**AMENDMENT No. 1417**

On page 136, strike line 22 and insert the following: specified in subparagraph (G)."

**SEC. 11 . AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING FOR DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.**

Section 118(b)(2) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking "FUNDS.—Except as" and inserting the following: "FUNDS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(B) DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.—

"(i) DEFINITION.—In this subparagraph, the term 'demonstration project' means a demonstration project or program authorized under—

"(I) the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240);

"(II) the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-17);

"(III) the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-424); or

"(IV) any other law.

"(ii) PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if none of the funds allocated for a demonstration project in a State have been obligated by the date that is 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized, the funds and the authorization of the project shall lapse.

"(iii) TRANSITION PROVISION.—In the case of a demonstration project authorized before the date of enactment of this subparagraph for which funds are not obligated as described in clause (ii) as of that date, the funds and the authorization of the project shall lapse on that date."

**AMENDMENT No. 1418**

On page 136, strike line 22 and insert the following: specified in subparagraph (G)."

**SEC. 11 . AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING FOR DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.**

Section 118(b)(2) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking "FUNDS.—Except as" and inserting the following: "FUNDS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(B) DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.—

"(i) DEFINITION.—In this subparagraph, the term 'demonstration project' means a demonstration project or program authorized under—

"(I) the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240);

"(II) the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-17);

"(III) the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-424); or

"(IV) any other law.

"(ii) PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if none of the funds allocated for a demonstration project in a State have been obligated by the date that is 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized, the Secretary shall reallocate funds for the project to other States in the same manner as funds are apportioned under section 104(b).

"(iii) TRANSITION PROVISION.—In the case of a demonstration project authorized before the date of enactment of this subparagraph for which funds are not obligated as described in clause (ii) as of the date, the funds shall be reallocated in accordance with clause (ii) as soon as practicable after the date."

**AMENDMENT No. 1419**

Beginning on page 39, strike line 21 and all that follows through page 40, line 10, and insert the following:

(D) section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (23 U.S.C. 144 note; 92 Stat. 2714); and

(E) section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981 (95 Stat. 1701).

On page 44, strike line 5 and insert the following: date of enactment of this subparagraph).

"(3) DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.—

"(A) DEFINITION.—In this paragraph, the term 'demonstration project' means a demonstration project or program authorized under—

"(i) the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240);

"(ii) the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-17);

"(iii) the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-424); or

"(iv) any other law.

"(B) APPLICABILITY OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a demonstration project shall be subject to any limitation on obligations established by law that applies to Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs."

**AMENDMENT No. 1420**

Beginning on page 39, strike line 21 and all that follows through page 40, line 10, and insert the following:

(D) section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (23 U.S.C. 144 note; 92 Stat. 2714); and

(E) section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981 (95 Stat. 1701).

**AMENDMENT No. 1421**

On page 44, strike line 5 and insert the following: date of enactment of this subparagraph).

"(3) DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.—

"(A) DEFINITION.—In this paragraph, the term 'demonstration project' means a demonstration project or program authorized under—

"(i) the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240);

"(ii) the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-17);

"(iii) the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-424); or

"(iv) any other law.

"(B) APPLICABILITY OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision

of law, a demonstration project shall be subject to any limitation on obligations established by law that applies to Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs."

#### BOXER AMENDMENT NO. 1422

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mrs. BOXER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

Strike section 1407 and insert the following:

#### SEC. 1407. AUTOMATIC CRASH PROTECTION UNBELTED TESTING STANDARD.

(a) REVISION OF STANDARDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than December 31, 1998, the Secretary shall issue final regulations that revise the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 208 for occupant protection to require additional types of tests to protect all sizes of occupants (particularly children), conducted—

- (A) with or without manual safety belts;
- (B) at low and high speeds; and
- (C) from head-on and offset collisions.

(2) APPLICABILITY.—The final regulations issued under paragraph (1) shall require that all passenger cars and multipurpose vehicles comply with the additional testing requirements described in paragraph (1) beginning on September 1, 2001.

(b) CONSUMER INFORMATION.—

(1) AIRBAG INFORMATION.—The Secretary shall, by regulation, require the disclosure to purchasers of newly manufactured motor vehicles, critical information regarding the operation and characteristics of both driver- and passenger-side airbags.

(2) REQUIREMENTS FOR INFORMATION.—The information described in paragraph (1) shall include, at a minimum, information concerning, with respect to each covered airbag—

- (A) the airbag deployment threshold;
- (B) the maximum deployment force of the airbag;
- (C) the location of the airbag module;
- (D) the direction in which the airbag deploys;
- (E) the range of airbag intrusion into the seating area; and
- (F) the use of features (if any) to control the extent of airbag excursion.

(3) PLACEMENT OF INFORMATION.—The regulations issued under this subsection shall require that the information that is required to be disclosed under the regulation be disclosed—

- (A) on a window sticker of the motor vehicle involved; and
- (B) in the owners' manual of the motor vehicle involved.

(4) APPLICABILITY.—The regulations issued under this subsection shall require compliance not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act.

(c) SAFE PROCEDURES NOTIFICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than September 1, 1998, a manufacturer shall provide to each purchaser of a newly manufactured motor vehicle that is manufactured by that manufacturer, in the owners' manual of that motor vehicle, comprehensive information concerning the actions and precautions that are necessary to ensure proper occupant positioning in airbag-equipped seating positioning. That information shall—

- (A) be based on different sizes and ages of occupants;

(B) provide specific information concerning the safety of children and infants; and

(C) include information concerning the proper positioning of vehicle equipment, including seats and the steering column.

(2) PREVIOUS PURCHASERS.—With respect to an owner who purchases a newly manufac-

ured airbag equipped motor vehicle before the date specified in paragraph (1), the manufacturer of that motor vehicle shall provide to that owner the information described in paragraph (1) in a manner consistent with section 30118(c) of title 49, United States Code.

#### BOXER (AND WELLSTONE) AMENDMENT NO. 1423

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mrs. BOXER (for herself and Mr. WELLSTONE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

On page 345, line 6, strike "and".

On page 345, line 9, strike the period and insert "; and".

On page 345, between lines 9 and 10, insert the following:

"(H) research on telecommuting, research on the linkages between transportation, information technology, and community development, and research on the impacts of technological change and economic restructuring on travel demand, to be carried out by an information technology and transportation consortium composed of universities and other organizations under grants made, or cooperative agreements or contracts entered into, by the Secretary.

On page 415, line 15, before the period, insert the following: "; of which not less than \$2,000,000 for each fiscal year shall be available to carry out section 502(b)(2)(H)".

#### CAMPBELL (AND GRAMM) AMENDMENT NO. 1424

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. CAMPBELL (for himself and Mr. GRAMM) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

At the appropriate place in the bill, insert the following:

#### SEC. . LIMITATIONS.

(a) PROHIBITION ON LOBBYING ACTIVITIES.—(1) No funds authorized in this title shall be available for any activity to build support for or against, or to influence the formulation, or adoption of State or local legislation, unless such activity is consistent with previously-existing Federal mandates or incentive programs.

(b) Nothing in this section shall prohibit officers or employees of the United States or its departments or agencies from testifying before any State or local legislative body upon the invitation of such legislative body.

#### CAMPBELL AMENDMENT NO. 1425

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. CAMPBELL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

On page 30, strike line 1 and insert the following: "is not less than 0.90 for fiscal year 1998, 0.91 for fiscal year 1999, 0.92 for fiscal year 2000, 0.93 for fiscal year 2001, 0.94 for fiscal year 2002, 0.95 for fiscal year 2003; and".

On page 5, line 8, insert "(a) IN GENERAL.—" before "For".

On page 7, between lines 20 and 21, insert the following:

(b) REDUCTION OF SUMS.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), the sums made available under subsection (a) shall be reduced on a pro rata basis by the amount necessary to offset the budgetary impact resulting from adoption of this amendment.

On page 5, line 8, insert "(a) IN GENERAL.—" before "For".

On page 7, between lines 20 and 21, insert the following:

(b) EFFECT OF INCREASED AVAILABLE AMOUNTS.—The increased funding levels provided by this amendment shall not take effect unless the amounts made available under subsection (a) are increased above the levels of those amounts in the modified Committee amendment filed in the Senate on October 8, 1997.

#### DOMENICI AMENDMENTS NOS. 1426-1430

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. DOMENICI submitted five amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1426

At the appropriate place insert the following:

FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—

(1) the Senate agreed to abide by the levels and priorities of spending worked out in the Bipartisan Budget Agreement with a vote of 76 to 22 on the adoption of the fiscal year 1998 budget resolution on June 5, 1997;

(2) this agreement calls for \$146,000,000,000 in spending authority over the next 5 fiscal years for the reauthorization of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA); and

(3) to provide for additional transportation spending over this time period it will be necessary, so as not to increase the deficit and to remain in compliance with the Bipartisan Budget Agreement, to reduce spending for other appropriated Federal programs by an equivalent amount.

(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the Sense of the Senate that spending shall be eliminated for all Army procurement over the next 5 years in order to truly provide additional Federal spending for transportation.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1427

At the appropriate place insert the following:

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—

(1) the Senate agreed to abide by the levels and priorities of spending worked out in the Bipartisan Budget Agreement with a vote of 76 to 22 on the adoption of the fiscal year 1998 budget resolution on June 5, 1997;

(2) this agreement calls for \$146,000,000,000 in spending authority over the next 5 fiscal years for the reauthorization of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA); and

(3) to provide for additional transportation spending over this time period it will be necessary, so as not to increase the deficit and to remain in compliance with the Bipartisan Budget Agreement, to reduce spending for other appropriated Federal programs by an equivalent amount.

(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that spending shall be eliminated completely for the National Cancer Institute, National Heart and Lung Institute, National Institute for Diabetes, and AIDS research over the next 5 years in order to truly provide additional Federal spending for transportation.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1428

At the appropriate place insert the following:

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—

(1) the Senate agreed to abide by the levels and priorities of spending worked out in the Bipartisan Budget Agreement with a vote of 76 to 22 on the adoption of the fiscal year 1998 budget resolution on June 5, 1997;

(2) this agreement calls for \$146,000,000,000 in spending authority over the next 5 fiscal

years for the reauthorization of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA); and

(3) to provide for additional transportation spending over this time period it will be necessary, so as not to increase the deficit and to remain in compliance with the Bipartisan Budget Agreement, to reduce spending for other appropriated Federal programs by an equivalent amount.

(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that spending for the Head Start program over the next 5 years shall be terminated in order to truly provide additional Federal spending for transportation.

AMENDMENT NO. 1429

At the appropriate place insert the following:

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—

(1) the Senate agreed to abide by the levels and priorities of spending worked out in the Bipartisan Budget Agreement with a vote of 76 to 22 on the adoption of the fiscal year 1998 budget resolution on June 5, 1997;

(2) this agreement calls for \$146,000,000,000 in spending authority over the next 5 fiscal years for the reauthorization of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA); and

(3) to provide for additional transportation spending over this time period it will be necessary, so as not to increase the deficit and to remain in compliance with the Bipartisan Budget Agreement, to reduce spending for other appropriated Federal programs by an equivalent amount.

(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the Sense of the Senate that spending for the EPA over the next 5 years shall be terminated in order to truly provide additional Federal spending for transportation.

AMENDMENT NO. 1430

At the appropriate place insert the following:

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—

(1) the Senate agreed to abide by the levels and priorities of spending worked out in the Bipartisan Budget Agreement with a vote of 76 to 22 on the adoption of the fiscal year 1998 budget resolution on June 5, 1997;

(2) this agreement calls for \$146,000,000,000 in spending authority over the next 5 fiscal years for the reauthorization of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA); and

(3) to provide for additional transportation spending over this time period it will be necessary, so as not to increase the deficit and to remain in compliance with the Bipartisan Budget Agreement, to reduce spending for other appropriated Federal programs by an equivalent amount.

(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the Sense of the Senate that spending for the FBI, DEA, ATF, INS, and Secret Service over the next 5 years shall be reduced by \$30 billion in order to truly provide additional Federal spending for transportation.

DOMENICI (AND ALLARD)  
AMENDMENT NO. 1431

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. DOMENICI (for himself and Mr. ALLARD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

At the appropriate place insert:

**SEC. . REPEAL OF 4.3-CENT TRANSPORTATION MOTOR FUELS EXCISE TAX TRANSFERRED TO THE HIGHWAY TRUST FUND BY THE TAXPAYER RELIEF ACT OF 1997.**

(a) REPEAL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 4081 (relating to imposition of tax on gasoline and diesel fuel) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) REPEAL OF 4.3-CENT TRANSPORTATION MOTOR FUELS EXCISE TAX TRANSFERRED TO THE HIGHWAY TRUST FUND BY THE TAXPAYER RELIEF ACT OF 1997.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each rate of tax referred to in paragraph (2) shall be reduced by 4.3 cents per gallon.

“(2) RATES OF TAX.—The rates of tax referred to in this paragraph are the rates of tax otherwise applicable under—

“(A) subsection (a)(2)(A) (relating to gasoline and diesel fuel),

“(B) sections 4091(b)(3)(A) and 4092(b)(2) (relating to aviation fuel),

“(C) section 4042(b)(2)(C) (relating to fuel used on inland waterways),

“(D) paragraph (1) or (2) of section 4041(a) (relating to diesel fuel and special fuels),

“(E) section 4041(c)(3) (relating to gasoline used in noncommercial aviation), and

“(F) section 4041(m)(1)(A)(i) (relating to certain methanol or ethanol fuels).

“(3) COMPARABLE TREATMENT FOR COMPRESSED NATURAL GAS.—No tax shall be imposed by section 4041(a)(3) on any sale or use during the applicable period.

“(4) COMPARABLE TREATMENT UNDER CERTAIN REFUND RULES.—Each of the rates specified in sections 6421(f)(2)(B), 6421(f)(3)(B)(ii), 6427(b)(2)(A), 6427(l)(3)(B)(ii), and 6427(l)(4)(B) shall be reduced by 4.3 cents per gallon.

“(5) COORDINATION WITH MASS TRANSIT ACCOUNT.—The rate of tax specified in section 9503(e)(2) shall be reduced by .85 cent per gallon.”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) FLOOR STOCK REFUNDS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If—

(A) before the tax repeal date, tax has been imposed under section 4081 or 4091 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 on any liquid, and

(B) on such date such liquid is held by a dealer and has not been used and is intended for sale,

there shall be credited or refunded (without interest) to the person who paid such tax (hereafter in this subsection referred to as the “taxpayer”) an amount equal to the excess of the tax paid by the taxpayer over the amount of such tax which would be imposed on such liquid had the taxable event occurred on such date.

(2) TIME FOR FILING CLAIMS.—No credit or refund shall be allowed or made under this subsection unless—

(A) claim therefor is filed with the Secretary of the Treasury before the date which is 6 months after the tax repeal date, and

(B) in any case where liquid is held by a dealer (other than the taxpayer) on the tax repeal date—

(i) the dealer submits a request for refund or credit to the taxpayer before the date which is 3 months after the tax repeal date, and

(ii) the taxpayer has repaid or agreed to repay the amount so claimed to such dealer or has obtained the written consent of such dealer to the allowance of the credit or the making of the refund.

(3) EXCEPTION FOR FUEL HELD IN RETAIL STOCKS.—No credit or refund shall be allowed under this subsection with respect to any liquid in retail stocks held at the place where intended to be sold at retail.

(4) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection—

(A) the terms “dealer” and “held by a dealer” have the respective meanings given to such terms by section 6412 of such Code; ex-

cept that the term “dealer” includes a producer, and

(B) the term “tax repeal date” means the date of the enactment of this section.

(5) CERTAIN RULES TO APPLY.—Rules similar to the rules of subsections (b) and (c) of section 6412 of such Code shall apply for purposes of this subsection.

(c) ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES.—

(1) The United States Department of Treasury shall inform each State and territory Governor within seven days of enactment of this section—

(A) that this section has been enacted, and

(B) the estimated amount of Federal gas tax revenues no longer collected in their respective States between fiscal years 2000 and 2009 due to enactment of this section.

(2) Each State and territory may by October 1, 1999—

(A) adjust their respective State gas tax upward to make up for the Federal gas tax reduction enacted by this section for the purpose of transportation spending in that State,

(B) provide tax relief to their citizens by not increasing their State gas taxes equivalent to the reductions enacted by this section, or

(C) a combination of both (A) and (B).

DOMENICI AMENDMENTS NOS. 1432-1433

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. DOMENICI submitted two amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

AMENDMENT NO. 1432

At the appropriate place insert:

**SEC. . REPEAL OF TRANSFER OF GENERAL REVENUE PORTION OF HIGHWAY MOTOR FUELS TAXES INTO HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 (other than subsection (e)) is repealed.

(b) APPLICATION OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986.—The Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be applied and administered as if that section (and the amendments made by such section) had not been enacted.

AMENDMENT NO. 1433

At the appropriate place insert the following:

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, any amount of contract authority which is provided in this Act for the reauthorization of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991, which exceeds \$147,387,000,000 for fiscal years 1998 through 2002 shall only be available to the extent provided in advance in appropriation acts.

DOMENICI (AND CHAFEE)  
AMENDMENT NO. 1434

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. DOMENICI (for himself and Mr. CHAFEE) submitted two amendments intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

At the end of the bill, add the following:

**TITLE III—ADDITIONAL FUNDING**

**SEC. 3001. ADDITIONAL FUNDING.**

(a) HIGHWAYS.—

(1) APPORTIONMENT.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the following additional amounts shall be apportioned among the States so that each State’s percentage of the remainder for a fiscal year is equal to the State’s percentage of the sum of—

(A) the total apportionments made under section 1102 and the amendments made by section 1102; and

(B) the total amounts made available for metropolitan planning under section 104(f) of title 23, United States Code; for the current fiscal year.

(2) AMOUNTS.—The amounts referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

- (A) For fiscal year 1999, \$0.
- (B) For fiscal year 2000, \$0.
- (C) For fiscal year 2001, \$0.
- (D) For fiscal year 2002, \$0.
- (E) For fiscal year 2003, \$0.

(3) OBLIGATION OF AMOUNTS.—Amounts apportioned under paragraph (1)—

(A) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that—

(i) the amounts shall not be subject to paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code; and

(ii) 50 percent of the amounts shall be subject to section 133(d)(3) of that title;

(B) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title; and

(C) shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are apportioned.

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are provided in paragraph (2).

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code.

(b) MASS TRANSIT.—

(1) AUTHORIZATION.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the following additional amounts shall be made available to the Secretary to carry out sections 5307, 5309, 5310, and 5311 of title 49, United States Code.

(2) AMOUNTS.—

(A) SECTION 5307, 5310, and 5311.—The amounts referred to in paragraph (1) are the following amounts to carry out the purposes of section 5307, 5310 and 5311:

- (i) For fiscal year 1999, \$0.
- (ii) For fiscal year 2000, \$0.
- (iii) For fiscal year 2001, \$0.
- (iv) For fiscal year 2002, \$0.
- (v) For fiscal year 2003, \$0.

(B) SECTION 5309.—The amounts referred to in paragraph (1) are the following amounts to carry out the purposes of section 5309:

- (i) For fiscal year 1999, \$0.
- (ii) For fiscal year 2000, \$0.
- (iii) For fiscal year 2001, \$0.
- (iv) For fiscal year 2002, \$0.
- (v) For fiscal year 2003, \$0.

(3) OBLIGATION OF AMOUNTS.—Amounts made available under this subsection—

(A) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on Federal transit programs;

(B) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under the applicable section, except that funds provided to urbanized areas over 200,000 population under section 5307 shall not be available for operating assistance; and

(C) shall remain available for obligation for the same period of time as if the funds were provided under section 5338 of title 49.

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Mass Transit Account such sums as are provided in paragraph (2).

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall be available

for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned or allocated under sections 5307, 5309, 5310, and 5311 of title 49, United States Code.

(c) POTENTIAL INCREASE FOR TRANSPORTATION SPENDING.—If the fiscal year 1999, 2000, 2001, or 2002 concurrent resolution on the budget assumes higher budget authority and outlay levels for transportation spending than assumed in H. Con. Res. 84 (the fiscal year 1998 budget resolution), the budget resolution shall separately specify the increased budget authority levels for highways and mass transit spending and the outlays flowing from such levels for each fiscal year through fiscal year 2002. If the fiscal year 2003 concurrent resolution on the budget provides additional budget authority and outlays for transportation spending during fiscal year 2003, then that resolution shall separately specify the increased budget authority levels for highway and mass transit spending and the outlays flowing from such levels.

(d) EXPEDITED PROCEDURES.—

(1) DEFINITION OF HIGHWAY AND MASS TRANSIT FUNDING JOINT RESOLUTION.—In this section, the term “highway and mass transit funding joint resolution” means a joint resolution, the matter after the resolving clause of which consists solely of the following:

(A) With respect to section 1 of such joint resolution, each blank space being filled in with a specific dollar amount that does not exceed the budget authority level for highways pursuant to subsection (c).

(B) With respect to section 2 of such joint resolution, each blank space being filled in with a specific dollar amount that does not exceed the budget authority level for mass transit pursuant to subsection (c).

(C) With respect to section 3 of such joint resolution, each blank space being filled in by an amount that does not exceed the outlay level pursuant to subsection (c).

#### “SECTION 1. ADDITIONAL HIGHWAY FUNDING.

“Section 3001(a)(2) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 is amended—

“(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’;

“(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’;

“(3) in subparagraph (C), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’;

“(4) in subparagraph (D), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’; and

“(5) in subparagraph (E), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’.

#### “SEC. 2. ADDITIONAL MASS TRANSIT FUNDING.

“(a) Section 3001(b)(2)(A) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 is amended—

“(1) in clause (i), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’;

“(2) in clause (ii), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’;

“(3) in clause (iii), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’;

“(4) in clause (iv), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’; and

“(5) in clause (v), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’.

“(b) Section 3001(b)(2)(B) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 is amended—

“(1) in clause (i), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’;

“(2) in clause (ii), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’;

“(3) in clause (iii), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’;

“(4) in clause (iv), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’; and

“(5) in clause (v), by striking ‘\$0’ and inserting ‘\$\_\_\_\_\_’.

#### “SEC. 3. ADDITIONAL OUTLAYS FOR TRANSPORTATION.

“The discretionary spending limits set forth in section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 as adjusted pursuant to that Act are increased by the following amounts:

“(1) With respect to fiscal year 1999, \_\_\_\_\_ for nondefense outlays.

“(2) With respect to fiscal year 2000, \_\_\_\_\_ for discretionary outlays.

“(3) With respect to fiscal year 2001, \_\_\_\_\_ for discretionary outlays.

“(4) With respect to fiscal year 2002, \_\_\_\_\_ for discretionary outlays.”.

(2) IN THE SENATE.—

(A) INTRODUCTION AND REFERRAL.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—A highway and mass transit funding resolution introduced in the Senate shall be referred (for a period not to exceed 5 days of session, following the date of introduction) first to the Committee on Environment and Public Works and then to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs. If either committee fails to report the joint resolution within that period, that committee shall be automatically discharged from consideration of the resolution. In the case of the Committee on Environment and Public Works being discharged, the resolution shall then be referred to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs. In the case of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs being discharged, the resolution shall be placed on the Calendar.

(ii) MEASURE FROM THE HOUSE.—When the Senate receives from the House of Representatives a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution, such resolution shall not be referred to committee and shall be placed on the Calendar.

(B) LIMITATION ON AMENDMENTS.—Amendments to a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution considered under this section shall be limited to those amendments which either increase or decrease dollar amounts specified in the resolution; but in no case shall such an amendment exceed the levels set out in subsection (c). No motion to suspend the application of this subsection shall be in order, nor shall it be in order in either House for the presiding officer to entertain a request to suspend the application of this subsection by unanimous consent.

(C) FLOOR CONSIDERATION.—

(i) MOTION TO PROCEED.—A motion to proceed to the consideration of a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution under this subsection shall not be debatable. It shall not be in order to move to reconsider the vote by which the motion to proceed was adopted or rejected, although subsequent motions to proceed may be made under this paragraph.

(ii) TIME FOR CONSIDERATION.—After no more than 10 hours of consideration of a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution, the Senate shall proceed, without intervening action or debate to vote on the final disposition thereof to the exclusion of all motions, except a motion to reconsider or to table. The time for consideration shall be equally divided and controlled by the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader or their designees. A motion to recommit a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution shall not be in order.

(iii) POINTS OF ORDER WAIVED.—All points of order against the highway and mass transit funding joint resolution are waived.

(D) JOINT RESOLUTION FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—If prior to the conclusion of consideration pursuant to subparagraph (C)(ii) of a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution originated in the Senate, the Senate receives from the House of Representatives a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution, it shall be in order at the

conclusion of consideration of the Senate measure, without any intervening action or debate to proceed to the consideration of the House of Representatives measure, read it for the third time and vote on final disposition thereof to the exclusion of all motions, except a motion to reconsider or to table.

(E) SENATE MEASURE TO CALENDAR.—In the Senate, if a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution received from the House of Representatives is considered pursuant to subparagraph (D) then the Senate measure shall be returned to the Calendar.

(3) IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—

(4) APPLICATION OF EXPEDITED PROCEDURES.—The provisions of this subsection (including the waiver of all points of order under paragraph (2)(C)(iii)) shall only apply to a resolution that meets the definition of paragraph (1).

(5) SUNSET.—This subsection shall expire on September 30, 2003.

#### MCCONNELL (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENTS NOS. 1435-1438

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. MCCONNELL (for himself, Mr. GORTON, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. HUTCHINSON, and Mr. ASHCROFT) submitted four amendments intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, *supra*; as follows:

##### AMENDMENT NO. 1435

Beginning on page 77, strike line 16 and all that follows through page 79, line 13.

##### AMENDMENT NO. 1436

Beginning on page 77, strike line 16 and all that follows through page 79, line 13.

##### AMENDMENT NO. 1437

Strike section 1111 and insert the following:

#### SEC. 1111. EMERGING BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) EMERGING BUSINESS ENTERPRISE.—The term "emerging business enterprise" means a business that—

(A) has gross receipts not greater than the numerical size standard that the Administrator of the Small Business Administration has made applicable to the standard industrial classification in which the business performs the majority of its work; and

(B) has bid for Federal surface transportation contracts and subcontracts for not more than 9 years.

(2) FEDERAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION LAW.—The term "Federal surface transportation law" means the surface transportation provisions of this Act and titles 23 and 49, United States Code.

(3) PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT.—The term "preferential treatment" means the grant of an advantage to any person based on—

(A) any numerical goal, quota, timetable, benchmark, or set-aside, or other numerical objective, for the award of a contract or subcontract;

(B) any bid preference, cost preference, or price preference, including a bonus and an evaluation credit; or

(C) any requirement imposed in conjunction with any numerical objective for the award of a contract or subcontract.

(b) POLICY.—It is the policy of the United States to provide and encourage the maximum practicable opportunity for emerging business enterprises, including emerging business enterprises owned by members of a minority group based on race, color, or national origin (referred to in this section as "minorities") and women, to compete for prime contracts and subcontracts funded

under Federal surface transportation law, consistent with the fifth and 14th amendments to the Constitution.

(c) REQUIREMENT FOR EMERGING BUSINESS ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT AND OUTREACH.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Each State that receives funds made available under Federal surface transportation law shall engage in emerging business enterprise development and outreach to implement the policy set forth in subsection (b), including special outreach efforts to emerging business enterprises owned by minorities and women, consistent with this subsection and subsection (d), in carrying out programs under Federal surface transportation law.

(2) METHODS OF EMERGING BUSINESS ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT AND OUTREACH.—The emerging business enterprise development and outreach required to be engaged in by a State under paragraph (1) shall include—

(A) outreach to the emerging business enterprises in the construction industry in the State, and the recruitment of such enterprises, including—

(i) not less often than annually, a survey and a compilation of a list of such enterprises to determine the interest of the enterprises in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law;

(ii) not less often than annually, publication of a directory of the emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law;

(iii) on a regular basis, publication of contract opportunities through the Commerce Business Daily and through systems such as the Pro-Net system of the Small Business Administration;

(iv) on a regular basis, offering of seminars and other educational programs on the contracting requirements and procedures of the State to emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law;

(v) on a regular basis, provision of opportunities for emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law to meet and interact with other construction companies and with equipment dealers and material suppliers that support the construction industry in the State; and

(vi) each time that the State solicits bids or proposals for construction of a project funded under Federal surface transportation law—

(I) distribution of information on the project to emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts for such projects in the relevant geographical area; and

(II) express encouragement of such enterprises to compete for the opportunity to construct all or part of the project;

(B) professional and technical services and assistance with any requirements for prequalification or bonding, including—

(i) not less often than annually, publication of a directory of the bonding companies that service the construction industry in the State;

(ii) on a regular basis, provision of opportunities for emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law to meet and interact with the bonding companies that service the construction industry in the State;

(iii) on a regular basis, offering of seminars and other educational programs on—

(I) the purposes and criteria for prequalification and bonding; and

(II) the steps necessary to qualify a firm for bonding or to increase the firm's bonding limit;

(iv) on a regular basis, provision of accounting and other professional assistance to any emerging business enterprise that may require such assistance to qualify for bonding or to increase the firm's bonding limit; and

(v) on a regular basis, provision of information to emerging business enterprises regarding programs to guarantee a surety against loss resulting from the breach of the terms of a bond by an emerging business enterprise, including the program carried out by the Small Business Administration under part B of title IV of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 694a et seq.);

(C) professional and technical services and assistance with risk management and any insurance that the State may encourage or require contractors or subcontractors to carry, including—

(i) not less often than annually, publication of a directory of the insurance companies that service the construction industry in the State;

(ii) on a regular basis, provision of opportunities for emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law to meet and interact with the insurance companies that service the construction industry in the State; and

(iii) on a regular basis, offering of seminars and other educational programs on—

(I) risk management; and

(II) the steps necessary to obtain appropriate insurance, including any insurance that the State may require;

(D) professional and technical services and assistance with financial matters, including—

(i) not less often than annually, publication of a directory of the financial institutions that service the construction industry in the State;

(ii) on a regular basis, provision of opportunities for emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law to meet and interact with the financial institutions that service the construction industry in the State;

(iii) on a regular basis, offering of seminars and other educational programs on construction financing and the steps necessary to qualify a firm for a line of credit or increase the firm's credit limit; and

(iv) on a regular basis, provision of accounting and other professional assistance to any emerging business enterprise that may require such assistance to qualify for a line of credit or to increase the firm's credit limit;

(E) professional and technical services and assistance with general business management, estimating, bidding, and construction means and methods, including—

(i) on a regular basis, offering of seminars and other educational programs on general business management, estimating, bidding, and construction means and methods; and

(ii) on a regular basis, distribution, to all emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law, of information on seminars and other educational programs offered by other entities on general business management, estimating, bidding, and construction means and methods;

(F) periodic review of the State's construction plans and specifications to the extent necessary to ensure that the plans and specifications reflect the State's actual requirements; and

(G) periodic review by States of the implementation and impact of emerging business enterprise development and outreach efforts under this subsection, including an assessment of the impact of the efforts on the overall competitiveness of emerging business enterprises owned by minorities and women through consideration of factors such as—

- (i) working capital;
- (ii) net profit;
- (iii) bonding capacity; and
- (iv) graduation rates from the emerging business enterprise program under this section.

(3) REVIEW BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a biennial review and publish findings and conclusions on the nationwide impact of the emerging business enterprise development and outreach efforts under this subsection, including an assessment of the impact of the efforts on the overall competitiveness of emerging business enterprises owned by minorities and women through consideration of factors such as the factors specified in paragraph (2)(G).

(d) PROHIBITION ON DISCRIMINATION OR PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT.—No person in the United States shall, on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex, be subjected to discrimination or provided preferential treatment under any program or project (carried out directly or by grant or contract) receiving Federal financial assistance under this Act or any amendment made by this Act.

(e) STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in subsection (b), (c), or (d) shall be construed—

(1) in any way to limit or restrain the power of the judicial branch to order remedial relief to victims of discrimination under the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000a et seq.) or any other Federal statute; or

(2) to prohibit the Federal Government or any State or local government, consistent with subsection (d), from—

(A) encouraging enterprises owned by women and minorities to bid for contracts or subcontracts;

(B) requiring or encouraging any contractor or subcontractor to encourage enterprises owned by women and minorities to bid for contracts or subcontracts; or

(C) establishing overall annual goals for the participation of emerging business enterprises, including emerging business enterprises owned by minorities and women, in the emerging business enterprise development and outreach under subsection (c).

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1438

Strike section 1111 and insert the following:

#### SEC. 1111. EMERGING BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) EMERGING BUSINESS ENTERPRISE.—The term “emerging business enterprise” means a business that—

(A) has gross receipts not greater than the numerical size standard that the Administrator of the Small Business Administration has made applicable to the standard industrial classification in which the business performs the majority of its work; and

(B) has bid for Federal surface transportation contracts and subcontracts for not more than 9 years.

(2) FEDERAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION LAW.—The term “Federal surface transportation law” means the surface transportation provisions of this Act and titles 23 and 49, United States Code.

(3) PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT.—The term “preferential treatment” means the grant of an advantage to any person based on—

(A) any numerical goal, quota, timetable, benchmark, or set-aside, or other numerical

objective, for the award of a contract or subcontract;

(B) any bid preference, cost preference, or price preference, including a bonus and an evaluation credit; or

(C) any requirement imposed in conjunction with any numerical objective for the award of a contract or subcontract.

(b) POLICY.—It is the policy of the United States to provide and encourage the maximum practicable opportunity for emerging business enterprises, including emerging business enterprises owned by members of a minority group based on race, color, or national origin (referred to in this section as “minorities”) and women, to compete for prime contracts and subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law, consistent with the fifth and 14th amendments to the Constitution.

(c) REQUIREMENT FOR EMERGING BUSINESS ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT AND OUTREACH.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Each State that receives funds made available under Federal surface transportation law shall engage in emerging business enterprise development and outreach to implement the policy set forth in subsection (b), including special outreach efforts to emerging business enterprises owned by minorities and women, consistent with this subsection and subsection (d), in carrying out programs under Federal surface transportation law.

(2) METHODS OF EMERGING BUSINESS ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT AND OUTREACH.—The emerging business enterprise development and outreach required to be engaged in by a State under paragraph (1) shall include—

(A) outreach to the emerging business enterprises in the construction industry in the State, and the recruitment of such enterprises, including—

(i) not less often than annually, a survey and a compilation of a list of such enterprises to determine the interest of the enterprises in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law;

(ii) not less often than annually, publication of a directory of the emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law;

(iii) on a regular basis, publication of contract opportunities through the Commerce Business Daily and through systems such as the Pro-Net system of the Small Business Administration;

(iv) on a regular basis, offering of seminars and other educational programs on the contracting requirements and procedures of the State to emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law;

(v) on a regular basis, provision of opportunities for emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law to meet and interact with other construction companies and with equipment dealers and material suppliers that support the construction industry in the State; and

(vi) each time that the State solicits bids or proposals for construction of a project funded under Federal surface transportation law—

(I) distribution of information on the project to emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts for such projects in the relevant geographical area; and

(II) express encouragement of such enterprises to compete for the opportunity to construct all or part of the project;

(B) professional and technical services and assistance with any requirements for prequalification or bonding, including—

(i) not less often than annually, publication of a directory of the bonding companies that service the construction industry in the State;

(ii) on a regular basis, provision of opportunities for emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law to meet and interact with the bonding companies that service the construction industry in the State;

(iii) on a regular basis, offering of seminars and other educational programs on—

(I) the purposes and criteria for prequalification and bonding; and

(II) the steps necessary to qualify a firm for bonding or to increase the firm's bonding limit;

(iv) on a regular basis, provision of accounting and other professional assistance to any emerging business enterprise that may require such assistance to qualify for bonding or to increase the firm's bonding limit; and

(v) on a regular basis, provision of information to emerging business enterprises regarding programs to guarantee a surety against loss resulting from the breach of the terms of a bond by an emerging business enterprise, including the program carried out by the Small Business Administration under part B of title IV of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 694a et seq.);

(C) professional and technical services and assistance with risk management and any insurance that the State may encourage or require contractors or subcontractors to carry, including—

(i) not less often than annually, publication of a directory of the insurance companies that service the construction industry in the State;

(ii) on a regular basis, provision of opportunities for emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law to meet and interact with the insurance companies that service the construction industry in the State; and

(iii) on a regular basis, offering of seminars and other educational programs on—

(I) risk management; and

(II) the steps necessary to obtain appropriate insurance, including any insurance that the State may require;

(D) professional and technical services and assistance with financial matters, including—

(i) not less often than annually, publication of a directory of the financial institutions that service the construction industry in the State;

(ii) on a regular basis, provision of opportunities for emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law to meet and interact with the financial institutions that service the construction industry in the State;

(iii) on a regular basis, offering of seminars and other educational programs on construction financing and the steps necessary to qualify a firm for a line of credit or increase the firm's credit limit; and

(iv) on a regular basis, provision of accounting and other professional assistance to any emerging business enterprise that may require such assistance to qualify for a line of credit or to increase the firm's credit limit;

(E) professional and technical services and assistance with general business management, estimating, bidding, and construction means and methods, including—

(i) on a regular basis, offering of seminars and other educational programs on general business management, estimating, bidding, and construction means and methods; and

(ii) on a regular basis, distribution, to all emerging business enterprises interested in performing prime contracts or subcontracts funded under Federal surface transportation law, of information on seminars and other educational programs offered by other entities on general business management, estimating, bidding, and construction means and methods;

(F) periodic review of the State's construction plans and specifications to the extent necessary to ensure that the plans and specifications reflect the State's actual requirements; and

(G) periodic review by States of the implementation and impact of emerging business enterprise development and outreach efforts under this subsection, including an assessment of the impact of the efforts on the overall competitiveness of emerging business enterprises owned by minorities and women through consideration of factors such as—

- (i) working capital;
- (ii) net profit;
- (iii) bonding capacity; and
- (iv) graduation rates from the emerging business enterprise program under this section.

(3) REVIEW BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a biennial review and publish findings and conclusions on the nationwide impact of the emerging business enterprise development and outreach efforts under this subsection, including an assessment of the impact of the efforts on the overall competitiveness of emerging business enterprises owned by minorities and women through consideration of factors such as the factors specified in paragraph (2)(G).

(d) PROHIBITION ON DISCRIMINATION OR PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT.—No person in the United States shall, on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex, be subjected to discrimination or provided preferential treatment under any program or project (carried out directly or by grant or contract) receiving Federal financial assistance under this Act or any amendment made by this Act.

(e) STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in subsection (b), (c), or (d) shall be construed—

(1) in any way to limit or restrain the power of the judicial branch to order remedial relief to victims of discrimination under the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000a et seq.) or any other Federal statute; or

(2) to prohibit the Federal Government or any State or local government, consistent with subsection (d), from—

(A) encouraging enterprises owned by women and minorities to bid for contracts or subcontracts;

(B) requiring or encouraging any contractor or subcontractor to encourage enterprises owned by women and minorities to bid for contracts or subcontracts; or

(C) establishing overall annual goals for the participation of emerging business enterprises, including emerging business enterprises owned by minorities and women, in the emerging business enterprise development and outreach under subsection (c).

**DORGAN AMENDMENT NO. 1439**

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. DORGAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

Beginning on page 225, strike line 12 and all that follows through page 226, line 7, and insert the following:

“(5) REPEAT INTOXICATED DRIVER LAW.—The term ‘repeat intoxicated driver law’ means a State law that provides, as a minimum penalty, that an individual convicted of—

“(A) a second offense for driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence within 10 years of a previous conviction for that offense shall receive—

“(i) suspension of the individual's driver's license for not less than 1 year;

“(ii) mandatory impoundment of a vehicle owned by the individual for not less than 30 days;

“(iii) an assignment of not less than 180 days of community service; and

“(iv) mandatory alcohol abuse treatment;

“(B) a third offense for driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence within 10 years of a previous conviction for that offense shall receive—

“(i) permanent revocation of the individual's driver's license;

“(ii) mandatory forfeiture of a vehicle owned by the individual;

“(iii) an assignment of not less than 1 year of community service; and

“(iv) mandatory alcohol abuse treatment; and

“(C) a fourth or subsequent offense for driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence within 10 years of a previous conviction for that offense shall be imprisoned not more than 5 years, fined not more than \$500,000, or both.

**DORGAN (AND OTHERS)  
AMENDMENT NO. 1440**

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. BUMPERS, and Mr. WELLSTONE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle D of title I, add the following:

**SEC. 14 . OPEN CONTAINER LAWS.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 153 the following:

**§ 154. Open container requirements**

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE.—The term ‘alcoholic beverage’ has the meaning given the term in section 158(c).

“(2) MOTOR VEHICLE.—The term ‘motor vehicle’ means a vehicle driven or drawn by mechanical power and manufactured primarily for use on public highways, but does not include a vehicle operated exclusively on a rail or rails.

“(3) OPEN ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTAINER.—The term ‘open alcoholic beverage container’ has the meaning given the term in section 410(i).

“(4) PASSENGER AREA.—The term ‘passenger area’ shall have the meaning given the term by the Secretary by regulation.

“(b) PENALTY.—

“(1) GENERAL RULE.—

“(A) FISCAL YEAR 2000.—If, at any time in fiscal year 2000, a State does not have in effect a law described in subsection (c), the Secretary shall transfer 1.5 percent of the funds apportioned to the State for fiscal year 2001 under each of paragraphs (1)(A), (1)(C), and (3) of section 104(b) to the apportionment of the State under section 402.

“(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—If, at any time in a fiscal year beginning after September 30, 2000, a State does not have in effect a law described in subsection (c), the Secretary shall transfer 3 percent of the funds apportioned to the State for the following fiscal year under each of paragraphs (1)(A), (1)(C), and (3) of section 104(b) to the apportionment of the State under section 402.

“(c) OPEN CONTAINER LAWS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For the purposes of this section, each State shall have in effect a law that prohibits the possession of any open alcoholic beverage container, or the consumption of any alcoholic beverage, in the passenger area of any motor vehicle (including possession or consumption by the driver of the vehicle) located on a public highway, or the right-of-way of a public highway, in the State.

“(2) MOTOR VEHICLES DESIGNATED TO TRANSPORT MANY PASSENGERS.—For the purposes of this section, if a State has in effect a law that makes unlawful the possession of any open alcoholic beverage container in the passenger area by the driver (but not by a passenger) of a motor vehicle designed to transport more than 10 passengers (including the driver) while being used to provide charter transportation of passengers, the State shall be deemed to have in effect a law described in this subsection with respect to such a motor vehicle for each fiscal year during which the law is in effect.

“(d) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of a project carried out under section 402 with funds transferred under subsection (b) to the apportionment of a State under section 402 shall be 100 percent.

“(c) TRANSFER OF OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary transfers under subsection (b) any funds to the apportionment of a State under section 402 for a fiscal year, the Secretary shall allocate to the State an amount, determined under paragraph (2), of obligation authority distributed for the fiscal year for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for carrying out projects under section 402.

“(2) AMOUNT.—The amount of obligation authority referred to in paragraph (1) shall be determined by multiplying—

“(A) the amount of funds transferred under subsection (b) to the apportionment of the State under section 402 for the fiscal year; by

“(B) the ratio that—

“(i) the amount of obligation authority distributed for the fiscal year to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs; bears to

“(ii) the total of the sums apportioned to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (excluding sums not subject to any obligation limitation) for the fiscal year.

“(f) LIMITATION ON APPLICABILITY OF HIGHWAY SAFETY OBLIGATIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no limitation on the total of obligations for highway safety programs under section 402 shall apply to funds transferred under subsection (b) to the apportionment of a State under section 402.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 153 the following:

“154. Open container requirements.”

**CHAFEE AMENDMENTS NOS. 1441–1458**

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. CHAFEE submitted 18 amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

**AMENDMENT NO. 1441**

On page 130, line 6, insert “and classified pursuant to sections 181(a) or 186(a) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511(a) or 7512(a))” before “or classified as”.

**AMENDMENT NO. 1442**

On page 86, after line 25, add the following:

(c) IMPLEMENTATION OF PROVISIONS.—Nothing in any amendment made by subsection (a) affects the implementation of any provision of title 23, United States Code, or any regulation issued under that provision.

AMENDMENT No. 1443

On page 43, between lines 12 and 13, insert the following:

“(xi) amounts set aside under section 104(d) for operation lifesaver and railway-highway crossing hazard elimination in high speed rail corridors; and”.

AMENDMENT No. 1444

Beginning on page 7, strike line 16 and all that follows through line 20.

AMENDMENT No. 1445

On page 218, between lines 9 and 10, insert the following:

(e) AVAILABILITY SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATIONS ACTS.—Funds made available under this chapter shall be available only to the extent provided in appropriations acts.

AMENDMENT No. 1446

On page 5, strike lines 15 through 20 and insert the following:

title \$11,977,000,000 for fiscal year 1998,  
title \$11,949,000,000 for fiscal year 1999,  
title \$11,922,000,000 for fiscal year 2000,  
title \$11,950,000,000 for fiscal year 2001,  
title \$12,242,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and  
\$12,659,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

AMENDMENT No. 1447

On page 5, strike lines 15 through 20 and insert the following:

title \$11,977,000,000 for fiscal year 1998,  
title \$11,949,000,000 for fiscal year 1999,  
title \$11,922,000,000 for fiscal year 2000,  
title \$11,950,000,000 for fiscal year 2001,  
title \$12,242,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and  
\$12,659,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—  
On page 10, line 9, insert “and for the purposes specified in subparagraph (A),” before “in the ratio”.

On page 159, line 21, strike “selection” and insert “bidding”.

On page 159, line 22, before the period, insert the following: “in accordance with subparagraph (C)”.

On page 160, line 16, strike the quotation marks and the following period.

On page 160, between lines 16 and 17, insert the following:

“(C) PROCEDURES THAT MAY BE APPROVED.—Under subparagraph (A), the Secretary may approve, for use by a State, only procedures that consist of—

“(i) formal design-build contracting procedures specified in a State statute; or

“(ii) in the case of a State that does not have a statute described in clause (i), the design-build selection procedures authorized under section 303M of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253m).”.

On page 161, line 14, strike “selection” and insert “competitive bidding”.

On page 206, strike lines 15 through 19 and insert the following:

(6) NONSUBORDINATION.—The secured loan shall not be subordinated to the claims of any holder of project obligations in the event of liquidation of the assets of the obligor.

On page 206, between lines 23 and 24, insert the following:

(8) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—The proceeds of a secured loan under this chapter may be used for any non-Federal share of project costs required under title 23 or chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code, if the loan is repayable from non-Federal funds.

On page 212, strike lines 6 through 9 and insert the following:

(8) NONSUBORDINATION.—A direct loan under this section shall not be subordinated to the claims of any holder of project obligations in the event of liquidation of the assets of the obligor.

On page 217, after line 20, strike “\$2,000,000,000” each place it appears and insert “\$2,300,000,000”.

On page 219, line 13, strike “authorized to be appropriated” and insert “made available”.

On page 227, strike lines 5 through 13 and insert the following:

“(2) FISCAL YEAR 2003 AND FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—On October 1, 2002, and each October 1 thereafter, if a State has not enacted or is not enforcing a repeat intoxicated driver law, the Secretary shall transfer an amount equal to 3 percent of the funds apportioned to the State on that date under paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b) to the apportionment of the State under section 402 to be used for alcohol-impaired driving programs.

“(B) DERIVATION OF AMOUNT TO BE TRANSFERRED.—An amount transferred under subparagraph (A) may be derived—

“(i) from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(1);

“(ii) from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(3); or

“(iii) partially from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(1) and partially from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(3).

On page 294, lines 12 and 13, strike “paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b)” and insert “section 104(b)(1)”.

On page 340, line 8, strike “subsection” and insert “section”.

On page 343, line 4, strike “subsection” and insert “section”.

On page 403, strike lines 11 through 13 and insert the following:

“(B) electronic processing of registration information, driver licensing information, fuel tax information, inspection and crash data, and other safety information; and

On page 414, line 5, strike “that” and insert “only if the technologies”.

On page 415, line 14, strike “\$110,000,000” and insert “\$109,000,000”.

AMENDMENT No. 1448

At the end of subtitle A of title I, add the following:

**SEC. 11 . ADDITIONAL AUTHORIZATIONS OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

(a) AMOUNTS.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, of the amounts made available under subsection (c)—

(2) the remainder of the amounts shall be apportioned among the States so that each State's percentage of the remainder for a fiscal year is equal to the State's percentage of the sum of—

(A) the total apportionments made under section 1102 and the amendments made by section 1102; and

(B) the total amounts made available for metropolitan planning under section 104(f) of title 23, United States Code; for the current fiscal year.

(b) OBLIGATION OF AMOUNTS.—Amounts apportioned under subsection (a)(2)—

(1) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that—

(A) the amounts shall not be subject to paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code; and

(B) 50 percent of the amounts shall be subject to section 133(d)(3) of that title;

(2) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title; and

(3) shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are apportioned.

(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section—

- (2) \$6,000,000,000 for fiscal year 1999;
- (3) \$6,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2000;
- (4) \$6,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2001;
- (5) \$6,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; and
- (6) \$6,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2003;

AMENDMENT No. 1449

On page 104, strike lines 4 through 19 and insert the following:

(b) REMOVAL OF CORRIDOR.—Section 201(b) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), respectively;

(2) by striking “(b) The Commission” and inserting the following:

“(b) DESIGNATIONS.—  
“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission”; and  
(3) by adding at the end the following:  
“(2) REMOVAL OF CORRIDOR.—The Appalachian development highway system shall not include Corridor H in Virginia.”.

AMENDMENT No. 1450

On page 7, strike lines 16 through 20.

On page 43, line 12, strike “and”.

On page 43, line 13, strike “(xi)” and insert “(xii)”.

On page 85, line 10, strike “sections 103 and” and insert “section”.

On page 92, line 5, strike “(2)” and insert “(1)”.

On page 92, line 11, strike “(3)” and insert “(2)”.

On page 92, line 17, strike “(4)” and insert “(3)”.

On page 93, line 3, strike “(5)” and insert “(4)”.

On page 93, line 6, strike “(6)” and insert “(5)”.

On page 290, line 24, strike “agencies” and insert “departments”.

Beginning on page 91, strike line 24 and all that follows through page 92, line 4.

AMENDMENT No. 1451

On page 105, strike lines 17 through 20 and insert the following:

“(B) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall provide obligation authority for the amount made available under subparagraph (A) in an amount equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

“(i) the amount made available for the fiscal year under subparagraph (A); by

“(ii) the ratio that—

“(I) the amount of obligation authority made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs under appropriations Acts for the fiscal year; bears to

“(II) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for the fiscal year.”

AMENDMENT No. 1452

Beginning on page 225, strike line 12 and all that follows through page 227, line 13 and insert the following:

“(5) REPEAT INTOXICATED DRIVER LAW.—The term ‘repeat intoxicated driver law’ means a State law that provides, as a minimum penalty, that—

“(A) an individual convicted of a first offense for driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence shall receive a driver's license suspension for not less than 180 days;

“(B) an individual convicted of a second offense for driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence within 10 years after a previous conviction for that offense whose alcohol concentration with respect to the second offense was determined on the basis of a chemical test to be prohibited under State law shall receive—

“(i) a driver’s license suspension for not less than 1 year;

“(ii) an assessment of the individual’s degree of abuse of alcohol and treatment as appropriate; and

“(iii)(I) an assignment of not less than 30 days of community service; or

“(II) not less than 5 days of imprisonment; and

“(C) an individual convicted of a third or subsequent offense for driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence within 10 years after the previous conviction for that offense whose alcohol concentration with respect to the previous offense was determined on the basis of a chemical test to be prohibited under State law shall—

“(i) receive a permanent driver’s license revocation; and

“(ii) be subject to—

“(I) vehicle forfeiture; or

“(II) installation of an ignition interlock system.

“(b) TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—

“(1) FISCAL YEARS 2001 AND 2002.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—On October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001, if a State has not enacted or is not enforcing a repeat intoxicated driver law, the Secretary shall transfer an amount equal to 1½ percent of the funds apportioned to the State on that date under paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b) to the apportionment of the State under section 402—

“(i) to be used for alcohol-impaired driving countermeasures; or

“(ii) to be directed to State and local law enforcement agencies for enforcement of laws prohibiting driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence and other related laws (including regulations), including the purchase of equipment, the training of officers, and the use of additional personnel for specific alcohol-impaired driving countermeasures, dedicated to enforcement of the laws (including regulations).

“(B) DERIVATION OF AMOUNT TO BE TRANSFERRED.—An amount transferred under subparagraph (A) may be derived—

“(i) from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(1);

“(ii) from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(3); or

“(iii) partially from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(1) and partially from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(3).

“(2) FISCAL YEAR 2003 AND FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—On October 1, 2002 and each October 1 thereafter, if a State has not enacted or is not enforcing a repeat intoxicated driver law, the Secretary shall transfer 3 percent of the funds apportioned to the State on that date under each of paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b) to the apportionment of the State under section 402—

“(i) to be used for alcohol-impaired driving countermeasures; or

“(ii) to be directed to State and local law enforcement agencies for enforcement of laws prohibiting driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence and other related laws (including regulations), including the purchase of equipment, the training of officers, and the use of additional personnel for specific alcohol-impaired driving countermeasures, dedicated to enforcement of the laws (including regulations).

“(B) DERIVATION OF AMOUNT TO BE TRANSFERRED.—An amount transferred under subparagraph (A) may be derived—

“(i) from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(1);

“(ii) from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(3); or

“(iii) partially from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(1) and partially from the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(3).”

AMENDMENT NO. 1453

Beginning on page 91, strike line 24 and all that follows through page 92, line 4.

AMENDMENT NO. 1454

On page 7, strike lines 16 through 20.

On page 43, line 12, strike “and”.

Beginning on page 91, strike line 24 and all that follows through page 92, line 4.

AMENDMENT NO. 1455

On page 8, line 20, after “139(a)”, insert the following: “(as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”.

On page 275, line 8, insert “in the transportation improvement program” after “individually”.

On page 275, line 13, insert “in the transportation improvement program” after “individually”.

On page 265, line 17, insert “with respect to a transportation management area” after “(A)”.

On page 265, line 19, insert “for the transportation management area” before “complies”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1456

On page 301, line 11, strike “program”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1457

On page 266, line 11, strike “metropolitan” and insert “transportation management”.

On page 266, lines 12 and 13, strike “metropolitan planning organization” and insert “transportation management area”.

On page 8, lines 5 and 6, strike “National Highway System” and insert “Interstate and National Highway System program”.

On page 357, line 1, strike “SET ASIDE” and insert “SET-ASIDE”.

On page 266, lines 3 and 4, strike “metropolitan planning process is not certified,” and insert “transportation management area is not certified under subparagraph (A),”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1458

On page 43, line 12, strike “and”.

On page 43, between lines 15 and 16, insert the following:

“(xii) amounts set aside under section 104(d) for operation lifesaver and railway-highway crossing hazard elimination in high speed rail corridors; and”.

MOYNIHAN AMENDMENTS NOS. 1459-1492

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. MOYNIHAN submitted 34 amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

AMENDMENT NO. 1459

Beginning on page 21, strike line 15 and all that follows through page 23, line 8, and insert the following:

“(C) the total apportionments for fiscal year 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

“(i) demonstration projects under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240);

“(ii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title; and

“(iii) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943);

“(D) the product obtained by multiplying—

“(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); and

“(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

“(E) the product obtained by multiplying—

“(i) the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (C); by

“(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

“(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

“(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998—

“(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 145 percent; and

“(ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(E)(ii) shall be 103 percent.

Beginning on page 26, strike line 14 and all that follows through page 27, line 12, and insert the following:

“(ii) the product determined with respect to the State under paragraph (1)(E).

On page 30, strike lines 17 through 18 and insert the following table:

State	Percentage
Alaska .....	1.24
Arkansas .....	1.33
Delaware .....	0.47
Hawaii .....	0.55
Idaho .....	0.82
Maine .....	0.57
Montana .....	1.06
Nevada .....	0.73
New Hampshire .....	0.52
New Jersey .....	2.41
New Mexico .....	1.05
North Dakota .....	0.73
Rhode Island .....	0.58
South Dakota .....	0.78
Vermont .....	0.47
West Virginia .....	1.05
Wyoming .....	0.76

“(b) TREATMENT OF ALLOCATIONS.—

AMENDMENT NO. 1460

Beginning on page 21, strike line 15 and all that follows through page 23, line 15, and insert the following:

“(C) the product obtained by multiplying—

“(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

“(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

“(D) the product obtained by multiplying—

“(i) annual average of total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

“(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

“(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

“(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998—

“(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(C)(ii) shall be 145 percent; and

“(ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 107 percent.

“(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(C)(ii) of (1)(D)(ii), respectively, shall be a percentage equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

On page 24, line 10, strike “(1)(D)” and insert “(1)(C)”.

On page 24, line 19, strike “(1)(D)” and insert “(1)(C)”.

On page 26, line 17, strike "(1)(E)" and insert "(1)(D)".

On page 28, line 20, insert the following:  
 "(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subsection, in each of the fiscal years 1998 through 2003, funds apportioned under this subsection shall not increase Massachusetts's share to more than 75 percent of its total fiscal year 1997 Federal-aid highway apportionment." On page 30, line 11, strike "1102(c)(1)(D)" and insert "1102(c)(1)(C)".

AMENDMENT NO. 1461

On page 21, strike line 8 and all that follows through page 23, line 14, and insert the following:

"(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

"(i) apportionments authorized under section 104 of that title for the construction of the Interstate System;

"(ii) apportionments for the Interstate substitute program under section 103(e)(4) of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

"(iii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title; and

"(iv) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943); Interstate substitute

"(C) The product obtained by multiplying—

"(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

"(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

"(D) The product obtained by multiplying—

"(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

"(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

"(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

"(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year—

"(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(C)(ii) shall be 145 percent; and

"(ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 107 percent.

"(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—For each of fiscal years 1999 to 2003, the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(C)(ii) or (1)(D)(ii), respectively, shall be a percentage equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

On page 24, line 10, strike "(1)(D)" and insert "(1)(C)".

On page 24, line 19, strike "(1)(D)" and insert "(1)(C)".

On page 26, line 17, strike "(1)(E)" and insert "(1)(D)".

On page 30, line 11, strike "1102(c)(1)(C)" and insert "1102(c)(1)(C)".

AMENDMENT NO. 1462

On page 39, line 9, strike all that follows through line 16 and redesignate the following subparagraphs (B) through (H) as (A) through (G).

On page 43, line 3, strike all that follows through line 8 and redesignate the following clauses (x) and (xi) as (ix) and (x).

AMENDMENT NO. 1463

On page 5, line 12 through page 7, line 2, strike and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

"(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$12,788,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$12,625,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$12,644,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$12,742,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$13,045,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$13,595,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

"(A) \$4,919,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,934,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,967,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$5,004,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$5,092,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$5,239,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be used for Interstate maintenance component; and

"(B) \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,502,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,511,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,524,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,550,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,595,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be used for Interstate bridge component.

"(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,474,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,500,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,549,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,606,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,740,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,974,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

"(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,227,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,231,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,240,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,250,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,271,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,309,000,000 for fiscal year 2003."

On page 29, strike lines 1 through page 30, line 17 and substitute the following:

"(1) IN GENERAL.—In fiscal year 1998 and each fiscal year thereafter on October 1, or as soon as practicable thereafter, the Secretary shall allocate among the States specified in paragraph (3) amounts sufficient to ensure that the State's percentage of total apportionments for the fiscal year is—

"(A) not less than the percentage specified for the State in paragraph (3), but

"(B) not greater than the product determined for the State under section 1102(c)(1)(D) of the Intermodal Transportation Act of 1997 for the fiscal year.

"(2) TOTAL APPORTIONMENTS.—For the purposes of this paragraph each State's total apportionments for the fiscal year is defined as those made—

"(A) under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program; and

"(B) under section 1102(c) of the Intermodal Transportation Act of 1997 for ISTEA transition;

"(3) STATE PERCENTAGES.—The percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(A) for the specified State shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

State	Percentage
Alaska	1.25
Arkansas	1.34
Delaware	0.48
Hawaii	0.56
Idaho	0.83
Montana	1.07
Nevada	0.74
New Hampshire	0.53
New Jersey	2.42
New Mexico	1.06
North Dakota	0.74
Rhode Island	0.59
South Dakota	0.79
Vermont	0.48
Wyoming	0.77

AMENDMENT NO. 1464

On page 5, line 12 through page 7, line 2, strike and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

"(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$12,496,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$12,332,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$12,351,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$12,447,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$12,745,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$13,285,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

"(A) \$4,799,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,814,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,846,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,882,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,969,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$5,114,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, shall be used for Interstate maintenance component; and

"(B) \$1,460,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,465,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,474,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,486,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,512,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,557,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, shall be used for Interstate bridge component.

"(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,303,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,325,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,373,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,430,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,561,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,782,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

"(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,200,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,203,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,211,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,221,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,242,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,279,000,000 for fiscal year 2003."

On page 30, line 1 strike "0.90" and substitute "0.85."

On page 30, after line 17, replace the table with the following:

State	Percentage
Alaska	1.25
Arkansas	1.34
Delaware	0.48
Hawaii	0.56
Idaho	0.83
Montana	1.07
Nevada	0.74
New Hampshire	0.53
New Jersey	2.42
New Mexico	1.06
North Dakota	0.74
Rhode Island	0.59
South Dakota	0.79
Vermont	0.48
Wyoming	0.77

AMENDMENT NO. 1465

On page 5, line 12 through page 7, line 2, strike and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

"(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$12,051,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$11,878,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$11,890,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$11,987,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$12,316,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$12,857,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

"(A) \$4,628,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,636,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,665,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,702,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,802,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,948,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be used for Interstate maintenance component; and

"(B) \$1,408,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,419,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,432,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,462,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,506,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be used for Interstate bridge component.

"(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program

under section 133 of that title \$7,042,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,056,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,098,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,156,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,307,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,529,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,157,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,159,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,166,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,176,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,200,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,237,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.”

On page 29, strike lines 7 through page 29, line 19 and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

“(i) each State’s percentage of total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System Program, the Surface Transportation Program, Metropolitan Planning, and the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program; and bears to

On page 30, strike lines 2 through 6 and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

“(B) in the case of a State specified in paragraph (2) the State’s percentage of total apportionments for the fiscal year described in clause (i) of subparagraph (A) plus the apportionments under section 1102(c) of the (Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 for ISTEA transition is—”.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1466

On page 150, strike line 5 and insert the following:

(c) MINIMUM PER CAPITA INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 119 the following:

#### “§119A. Minimum per capita Interstate maintenance discretionary program

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a minimum per capita Interstate maintenance discretionary program (referred to in this section as the ‘program’) to ensure that each State that receives, for any fiscal year, less than 90 percent of the national average Federal-aid highway program apportionments per capita has sufficient resources to preserve and enhance the routes on the Interstate System in the State.

“(2) USE OF FUNDS.—Funds available for the program—

“(A) shall be used to supplement apportionments for the Interstate maintenance component of the Interstate and National Highway System program; and

“(B) may be used for any project eligible for funding under section 119.

“(3) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—Projects to be funded under the program shall be proposed by a State and selected by the Secretary.

“(b) ELIGIBILITY FOR PARTICIPATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each State with respect to which the total apportionments per capita (as determined under paragraph (2)(A)) is less than 90 percent of the national average of the total apportionments per capita (as determined under paragraph (2)(B)) shall be eligible to receive an allocation under the program.

“(2) DETERMINATIONS.—For each fiscal year, with respect to each State, the Secretary shall determine—

“(A) the quotient obtained by dividing—

“(i) the sum of—

“(I) the amounts apportioned to the State under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan plan-

ning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program; and

“(II) the amounts apportioned to the State under section 1102(c) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for ISTEA transition; by

“(ii) the population of the State (as determined based on the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce);

“(B) the quotient obtained by dividing—

“(i) the sum of the apportionments described in subparagraph (A)(i) to all States for the fiscal year; by

“(ii) the population of all of the States (as determined based on the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce); and

“(C) the difference between—

“(i) 90 percent of the amount determined under subparagraph (B); and

“(ii) the amount determined under subparagraph (A) with respect to the State.

“(c) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS FOR PROJECTS.—

“(1) DETERMINATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year, with respect to each State eligible under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall determine the percentage that—

“(i) the difference determined with respect to the eligible State under subsection (b)(2)(C); bears to

“(ii) the sum of the differences determined with respect to all eligible States.

“(B) ADJUSTMENT.—The Secretary shall—

“(i) reduce any percentage determined under subparagraph (A) that is greater than 12 percent to 12 percent; and

“(ii) redistribute the percentage points equal to any reduction under clause (i) among other eligible States in proportion to the percentages determined under subparagraph (A) with respect to those States.

“(2) AVAILABILITY TO STATES.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall allocate to each eligible State to carry out projects described in subsection (a)(2) an amount equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

“(A) the percentage for the eligible State determined under paragraph (1); by

“(B) the amount of funds made available to carry out the program for the fiscal year.

“(3) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—

“(A) DEADLINES FOR SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED PROJECTS.—The Secretary may establish deadlines for States to submit proposed projects for funding under this section, except that in the case of fiscal year 1998 the deadline shall not be earlier than January 1, 1998.

“(B) EFFECT OF FAILURE TO SUBMIT SUFFICIENT PROPOSED PROJECTS.—For each fiscal year, if a State does not have pending, by the deadline established under subparagraph (A), applications for projects with an estimated cost equal to at least 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2), the Secretary may distribute, to 1 or more other States, at the Secretary’s discretion, 1/3 of the amount by which the estimated cost of the State’s applications is less than 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2).

“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$100,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 119 the following:

“119A. Minimum per capita Interstate maintenance discretionary program.”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1467

Beginning on page 7, strike line 4 and all that follows through page 91, line 21 and insert the following:

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$191,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$84,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

#### SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

“(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than

bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall

be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (I)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (I)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”

(c) ISTEA TRANSITION.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

(A) the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(C) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

(i) apportionments authorized under section 104 of that title for construction of the Interstate System;

(ii) apportionments for the Interstate substitute program under section 103(e)(4) of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(iii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title; and

(iv) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of

the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943);

(D) the product obtained by multiplying—  
(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

(E) the product obtained by multiplying—  
(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (C); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998—  
(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 145 percent; and  
(ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(E)(ii) shall be 107 percent.

(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) or (1)(E)(ii), respectively, shall be a percentage equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the percentage specified in clause (i) or (ii), respectively, of subparagraph (A); by  
(ii) the percentage that—

(I) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for the fiscal year; bears to

(II) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for fiscal year 1998.

(3) MAXIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, in the case of each State with respect to which the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(A) is greater than the product determined under paragraph (1)(D), the Secretary shall reduce proportionately the apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the National Highway System component of the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program so that the total of the apportionments is equal to the product determined under paragraph (1)(D).

(B) REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), funds made available under subparagraph (A) shall be redistributed proportionately under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, to States not subject to a reduction under subparagraph (A).

(ii) LIMITATION.—The ratio that—

(I) the total apportionments to a State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of clause (i); bears to

(II) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(B) with respect to the State;

may not exceed, in the case of fiscal year 1998, 145 percent, and, in the case of each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, 145 percent as adjusted in the manner described in paragraph (2)(B).

(4) MINIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall apportion to each State such additional amounts as are necessary to ensure that—

(i) the total apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of paragraph (3); is equal to

(ii) the greater of—

(I) the product determined with respect to the State under paragraph (1)(E); or

(II) the total apportionments to the State for fiscal year 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs, excluding—

(aa) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of title 23, United States Code;

(bb) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943); and

(cc) demonstration projects under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240).

(B) OBLIGATION.—Amounts apportioned under subparagraph (A)—

(i) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that—

(I) the amounts shall not be subject to paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code; and

(II) 50 percent of the amounts shall be subject to section 133(d)(3) of that title;

(ii) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title; and

(iii) shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are apportioned.

(C) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this paragraph.

(ii) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subparagraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code.

(d) MINIMUM GUARANTEE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 105 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 105. Minimum guarantee**

“(a) ADJUSTMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In fiscal year 1998 and each fiscal year thereafter on October 1, or as soon as practicable thereafter, the Secretary shall allocate among the States amounts sufficient to ensure that—

“(A) the ratio that—

“(i) each State’s percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year—

“(I) under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program; and

“(II) under this section and section 1102(c) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for ISTEA transition; bears to

“(ii) each State’s percentage of estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in the State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available;

is not less than 0.90; and

“(B) in the case of a State specified in paragraph (2), the State’s percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year de-

scribed in subclauses (I) and (II) of subparagraph (A)(i) is—

“(i) not less than the percentage specified for the State in paragraph (2); but

“(ii) not greater than the product determined for the State under section 1102(c)(1)(D) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for the fiscal year.

“(2) STATE PERCENTAGES.—The percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(B) for a specified State shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

“State	Percentage
Alaska .....	1.24
Arkansas .....	1.33
Delaware .....	0.47
Hawaii .....	0.55
Idaho .....	0.82
Montana .....	1.06
Nevada .....	0.73
New Hampshire .....	0.52
New Jersey .....	2.41
New Mexico .....	1.05
North Dakota .....	0.73
Rhode Island .....	0.58
South Dakota .....	0.78
Vermont .....	0.47
Wyoming .....	0.76.

“(b) TREATMENT OF ALLOCATIONS.—

“(1) OBLIGATION.—Amounts allocated under subsection (a)—

“(A) shall be available for obligation when allocated and shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are allocated; and

“(B) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under this title.

“(2) SET-ASIDE.—Fifty percent of the amounts allocated under subsection (a) shall be subject to section 133(d)(3).

“(c) TREATMENT OF WITHHELD APPORTIONMENTS.—For the purpose of subsection (a), any funds that, but for section 158(b) or any other provision of law under which Federal-aid highway funds are withheld from apportionment, would be apportioned to a State for a fiscal year under a section referred to in subsection (a) shall be treated as being apportioned in that fiscal year.

“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this section.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 105 and inserting the following:

“105. Minimum guarantee.”

(e) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

“(i) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—From available administrative funds deducted under subsection (a), the Secretary may reimburse the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Transportation for the conduct of annual audits of financial statements in accordance with section 3521 of title 31.”

(f) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (e)—

(A) by inserting “NOTIFICATION TO STATES.—” after “(e)”;  
(B) in the first sentence—

(i) by striking “(other than under subsection (b)(5) of this section)”; and  
(ii) by striking “and research”;

(C) by striking the second sentence; and  
(D) in the last sentence, by striking “, except that” and all that follows through

“such funds”; and  
(2) in subsection (f)—

(A) by striking “(f)(1) On” and inserting the following:

“(f) METROPOLITAN PLANNING.—

“(1) SET-ASIDE.—On”;

(B) by striking “(2) These” and inserting the following:

“(2) APPORTIONMENT TO STATES OF SET-ASIDE FUNDS.—These”;

(C) by striking “(3) The” and inserting the following:

“(3) USE OF FUNDS.—The”;

(D) by striking “(4) The” and inserting the following:

“(4) DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS WITHIN STATES.—The”.

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 146(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “, 104(b)(2), and 104(b)(6)” and inserting “and 104(b)(2)”.

(2)(A) Section 150 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 150.

(3) Section 158 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking paragraph (1);

(ii) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively;

(iii) in paragraph (1) (as so redesignated)—

(I) by striking “AFTER THE FIRST YEAR” and inserting “IN GENERAL”;

(II) by striking “, 104(b)(2), 104(b)(5), and 104(b)(6)” and inserting “and 104(b)(2)”;

(iv) in paragraph (2) (as redesignated by clause (ii)), by striking “paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection” and inserting “paragraph (1)”;

(B) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) EFFECT OF WITHHOLDING OF FUNDS.—No funds withheld under this section from apportionment to any State after September 30, 1988, shall be available for apportionment to that State.”.

(4)(A) Section 157 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 157.

(5)(A) Section 115(b)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “or 104(b)(5), as the case may be.”.

(B) Section 137(f)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(b)(5)(B) of this title” and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(A)”.

(C) Section 141(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(b)(5) of this title” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(A)”.

(D) Section 142(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “(other than section 104(b)(5)(A))”.

(E) Section 159 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) by striking “(5) of” each place it appears and inserting “(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997) of”;

(ii) in subsection (b)—

(I) in paragraphs (1)(A)(i) and (3)(A), by striking “section 104(b)(5)(A)” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(5)(A) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”;

(II) in paragraph (1)(A)(ii), by striking “section 104(b)(5)(B)” and inserting “section 104(b)(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”;

(III) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking “(5)(B)” and inserting “(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the

Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”;

(IV) in paragraphs (3) and (4), by striking “section 104(b)(5)” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”.

(F) Section 161(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “paragraphs (1), (3), and (5)(B) of section 104(b)” each place it appears and inserting “paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b)”.

(6)(A) Section 104(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in the first sentence, by striking “sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title” and inserting “subsection (b)(1)(B) and sections 130 and 152”;

(ii) in the first and second sentences—

(I) by striking “section” and inserting “provision”;

(II) by striking “such sections” and inserting “those provisions”;

(iii) in the third sentence—

(I) by striking “section 144” and inserting “subsection (b)(1)(B)”;

(II) by striking “subsection (b)(1)” and inserting “subsection (b)(1)(C)”.

(B) Section 115 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in subsection (a)(1)(A)(i), by striking “104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f), 144,” and inserting “104(b)(1)(B), 104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f),”;

(ii) in subsection (c), by striking “144.”.

(C) Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the last sentence by striking “and in section 144 of this title”.

(D) Section 151(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(a), section 307(a), and section 144 of this title” and inserting “subsections (a) and (b)(1)(B) of section 104 and section 307(a)”.

(E) Section 204(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “or section 144 of this title”.

(F) Section 303(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 144 of this title” and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(B)”.

#### SEC. 1103. OBLIGATION CEILING.

(a) GENERAL LIMITATIONS.—Subject to the other provisions of this section and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of all obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs shall not exceed—

(1) \$21,800,000,000 for fiscal year 1998;

(2) \$22,802,000,000 for fiscal year 1999;

(3) \$22,939,000,000 for fiscal year 2000;

(4) \$23,183,000,000 for fiscal year 2001;

(5) \$23,699,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; and

(6) \$24,548,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The limitations under subsection (a) shall not apply to obligations of funds under—

(A) section 105(a) of title 23, United States Code (but, for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, only in an amount equal to the amount included for section 157 of title 23, United States Code, in the baseline determined by the Congressional Budget Office for the fiscal year 1998 budget), excluding amounts allocated under section 105(a)(1)(B) of that title;

(B) section 125 of that title;

(C) section 157 of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(D) section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (23 U.S.C. 144 note; 92 Stat. 2714);

(E) section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981 (95 Stat. 1701);

(F) subsections (b) and (j) of section 131 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (96 Stat. 2119);

(G) subsections (b) and (c) of section 149 of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 198); and

(H) sections 1103 through 1108 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2027).

(2) EFFECT OF OTHER LAW.—A provision of law establishing a limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs may not amend or limit the applicability of this subsection, unless the provision specifically amends or limits that applicability.

(c) APPLICABILITY TO TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.—Obligation limitations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs established by subsection (a) shall apply to transportation research programs carried out under chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code.

(d) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—Section 118 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(g) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—

“(1) DISTRIBUTION.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall—

“(A) distribute the total amount of obligation authority for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs made available for the fiscal year by allocation in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to each State for the fiscal year; bears to

“(ii) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to all States for the fiscal year;

“(B) provide all States with authority sufficient to prevent lapses of sums authorized to be appropriated for Federal-aid highways that have been apportioned to a State; and

“(C) notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), not distribute—

“(i) amounts deducted under section 104(a) for administrative expenses;

“(ii) amounts set aside under section 104(k) for Interstate 4R and bridge projects;

“(iii) amounts made available under sections 143, 164, 165, 204, 206, 207, and 322;

“(iv) amounts made available under section 111 of title 49;

“(v) amounts made available under section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.);

“(vi) amounts made available under section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938);

“(vii) amounts made available under sections 1503, 1603, and 1604 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;

“(viii) amounts made available under section 149(d) of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 201);

“(ix) amounts made available under section 105(a)(1)(A) to the extent that the amounts are subject to any obligation limitation under section 1103(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;

“(x) amounts made available for implementation of programs under chapter 5 of this title and sections 5222, 5232, and 5241 of title 49; and

“(xi) amounts made available under section 412 of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995.

“(2) REDISTRIBUTION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Secretary shall, after August 1 of each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003—

“(A) revise a distribution of the funds made available under paragraph (1) for the fiscal year if a State will not obligate the amount distributed during the fiscal year; and

“(B) redistribute sufficient amounts to those States able to obligate amounts in addition to the amounts previously distributed during the fiscal year, giving priority to those States that have large unobligated balances of funds apportioned under section 104 and under section 144 (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this subparagraph).”

(e) **APPLICABILITY OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS.**—An obligation limitation established by a provision of any other Act shall not apply to obligations under a program funded under this Act or title 23, United States Code, unless—

(1) the provision specifically amends or limits the applicability of this subsection; or

(2) an obligation limitation is specified in this Act with respect to the program.

**SEC. 1104. OBLIGATION AUTHORITY UNDER SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.**

Section 133 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) **OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—A State that is required to obligate in an urbanized area with an urbanized area population of over 200,000 individuals under subsection (d) funds apportioned to the State under section 104(b)(3) shall make available during the 3-fiscal year period of 1998 through 2000, and the 3-fiscal year period of 2001 through 2003, an amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for use in the area that is equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

“(A) the aggregate amount of funds that the State is required to obligate in the area under subsection (d) during each such period; by

“(B) the ratio that—

“(i) the aggregate amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs during the period; bears to

“(ii) the total of the sums apportioned to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (excluding sums not subject to an obligation limitation) during the period.

“(2) **JOINT RESPONSIBILITY.**—Each State, each affected metropolitan planning organization, and the Secretary shall jointly ensure compliance with paragraph (1).”

**SEC. 1105. EMERGENCY RELIEF.**

(a) **FEDERAL SHARE.**—Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “highway system” and inserting “highway”.

(b) **ELIGIBILITY AND FUNDING.**—Section 125 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a);

(2) by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and (d) as subsections (d), (e), and (f), respectively;

(3) by inserting after the section heading the following:

“(a) **GENERAL ELIGIBILITY.**—Subject to this section and section 120, an emergency fund is authorized for expenditure by the Secretary for the repair or reconstruction of highways, roads, and trails, in any part of the United States, including Indian reservations, that the Secretary finds have suffered serious damage as a result of—

“(1) natural disaster over a wide area, such as by a flood, hurricane, tidal wave, earthquake, severe storm, or landslide; or

“(2) catastrophic failure from any external cause.

“(b) **RESTRICTION ON ELIGIBILITY.**—In no event shall funds be used pursuant to this section for the repair or reconstruction of bridges that have been permanently closed to all vehicular traffic by the State or responsible local official because of imminent danger of collapse due to a structural deficiency or physical deterioration.

“(c) **FUNDING.**—Subject to the following limitations, there are hereby authorized to be appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as may be necessary to establish the fund authorized by this section and to replenish it on an annual basis:

“(1) Not more than \$100,000,000 is authorized to be obligated in any 1 fiscal year commencing after September 30, 1980, to carry out the provisions of this section, except that, if in any fiscal year the total of all obligations under this section is less than the amount authorized to be obligated in such fiscal year, the unobligated balance of such amount shall remain available until expended and shall be in addition to amounts otherwise available to carry out this section each year.

“(2) Pending such appropriation or replenishment, the Secretary may obligate from any funds heretofore or hereafter appropriated for obligation in accordance with this title, including existing Federal-aid appropriations, such sums as may be necessary for the immediate prosecution of the work herein authorized, provided that such funds are reimbursed from the appropriations authorized in paragraph (1) of this subsection when such appropriations are made.”

(4) in subsection (d) (as so redesignated), by striking “subsection (c)” both places it appears and inserting “subsection (e)”; and

(5) in subsection (e) (as so redesignated), by striking “on any of the Federal-aid highway systems” and inserting “Federal-aid highways”.

(c) **SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a project to repair or reconstruct any portion of a Federal-aid primary route in San Mateo County, California, that—

(1) was destroyed as a result of a combination of storms in the winter of 1982-1983 and a mountain slide; and

(2) until its destruction, served as the only reasonable access route between 2 cities and as the designated emergency evacuation route of 1 of the cities;

shall be eligible for assistance under section 125(a) of title 23, United States Code, if the project complies with the local coastal plan.

**SEC. 1106. FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.**

(a) **FEDERAL SHARE PAYABLE.**—Section 120 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) **USE OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds appropriated to any Federal land management agency may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any Federal-aid highway project the Federal share of which is funded under section 104.

“(k) **USE OF FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds made available to carry out the Federal lands highways program under section 204 may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any project that is funded under section 104 and that provides access to or within Federal or Indian lands.”

(b) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—Section 203 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the authorization by the Secretary of engineering

and related work for a Federal lands highways program project, or the approval by the Secretary of plans, specifications, and estimates for construction of a Federal lands highways program project, shall be deemed to constitute a contractual obligation of the Federal Government to the pay the Federal share of the cost of the project.”

(c) **PLANNING AND AGENCY COORDINATION.**—Section 204 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Recognizing the need for all Federal roads that are public roads to be treated under uniform policies similar to the policies that apply to Federal-aid highways, there is established a coordinated Federal lands highways program that shall apply to public lands highways, park roads and parkways, and Indian reservation roads and bridges.

“(2) **TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCEDURES.**—In consultation with the Secretary of each appropriate Federal land management agency, the Secretary shall develop, by rule, transportation planning procedures that are consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes required under sections 134 and 135.

“(3) **APPROVAL OF TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.**—The transportation improvement program developed as a part of the transportation planning process under this section shall be approved by the Secretary.

“(4) **INCLUSION IN OTHER PLANS.**—All regionally significant Federal lands highways program projects—

“(A) shall be developed in cooperation with States and metropolitan planning organizations; and

“(B) shall be included in appropriate Federal lands highways program, State, and metropolitan plans and transportation improvement programs.

“(5) **INCLUSION IN STATE PROGRAMS.**—The approved Federal lands highways program transportation improvement program shall be included in appropriate State and metropolitan planning organization plans and programs without further action on the transportation improvement program.

“(6) **DEVELOPMENT OF SYSTEMS.**—The Secretary and the Secretary of each appropriate Federal land management agency shall, to the extent appropriate, develop safety, bridge, pavement, and congestion management systems for roads funded under the Federal lands highways program.”

(2) in subsection (b), by striking the first 3 sentences and inserting the following: “Funds available for public lands highways, park roads and parkways, and Indian reservation roads shall be used by the Secretary and the Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency to pay for the cost of transportation planning, research, engineering, and construction of the highways, roads, and parkways, or of transit facilities within public lands, national parks, and Indian reservations. In connection with activities under the preceding sentence, the Secretary and the Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency may enter into construction contracts and other appropriate contracts with a State or civil subdivision of a State or Indian tribe.”

(3) in the first sentence of subsection (e), by striking “Secretary of the Interior” and inserting “Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency”;

(4) in subsection (h), by adding at the end the following:

“(8) A project to build a replacement of the federally owned bridge over the Hoover Dam

in the Lake Mead National Recreation Area between Nevada and Arizona.”;

(5) by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

“(i) TRANSFERS OF COSTS TO SECRETARIES OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCIES.—

“(1) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary shall transfer to the appropriate Federal land management agency from amounts made available for public lands highways such amounts as are necessary to pay necessary administrative costs of the agency in connection with public lands highways.

“(2) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING COSTS.—The Secretary shall transfer to the appropriate Federal land management agency from amounts made available for public lands highways such amounts as are necessary to pay the cost to the agency to conduct necessary transportation planning for Federal lands, if funding for the planning is not otherwise provided under this section.”; and

(6) in subsection (j), by striking the second sentence and inserting the following: “The Indian tribal government, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Interior, and as appropriate, with a State, local government, or metropolitan planning organization, shall carry out a transportation planning process in accordance with subsection (a).”.

**SEC. 1107. RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 205 the following:

**“§206. Recreational trails program**

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—

“(1) MOTORIZED RECREATION.—The term ‘motorized recreation’ means off-road recreation using any motor-powered vehicle, except for a motorized wheelchair.

“(2) RECREATIONAL TRAIL; TRAIL.—The term ‘recreational trail’ or ‘trail’ means a thoroughfare or track across land or snow, used for recreational purposes such as—

“(A) pedestrian activities, including wheelchair use;

“(B) skating or skateboarding;

“(C) equestrian activities, including carriage driving;

“(D) nonmotorized snow trail activities, including skiing;

“(E) bicycling or use of other human-powered vehicles;

“(F) aquatic or water activities; and

“(G) motorized vehicular activities, including all-terrain vehicle riding, motorcycling, snowmobiling, use of off-road light trucks, or use of other off-road motorized vehicles.

“(b) PROGRAM.—In accordance with this section, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture, shall carry out a program to provide and maintain recreational trails (referred to in this section as the ‘program’).

“(c) STATE RESPONSIBILITIES.—To be eligible for apportionments under this section—

“(1) a State may use apportionments received under this section for construction of new trails crossing Federal lands only if the construction is—

“(A) permissible under other law;

“(B) necessary and required by a statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-4 et seq.);

“(C) approved by the administering agency of the State designated under paragraph (2); and

“(D) approved by each Federal agency charged with management of the affected lands, which approval shall be contingent on compliance by the Federal agency with all applicable laws, including the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Forest and Rangeland Renew-

able Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1600 et seq.), and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.);

“(2) the Governor of a State shall designate the State agency or agencies that will be responsible for administering apportionments received under this section; and

“(3) the State shall establish within the State a State trail advisory committee that represents both motorized and nonmotorized trail users.

“(d) USE OF APPORTIONED FUNDS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Funds made available under this section shall be obligated for trails and trail-related projects that—

“(A) have been planned and developed under the laws, policies, and administrative procedures of each State; and

“(B) are identified in, or further a specific goal of, a trail plan or trail plan element included or referenced in a metropolitan transportation plan required under section 134 or a statewide transportation plan required under section 135, consistent with the statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-4 et seq.).

“(2) PERMISSIBLE USES.—Permissible uses of funds made available under this section include—

“(A) maintenance and restoration of existing trails;

“(B) development and rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and trail linkages;

“(C) purchase and lease of trail construction and maintenance equipment;

“(D) construction of new trails;

“(E) acquisition of easements and fee simple title to property for trails or trail corridors;

“(F) payment of costs to the State incurred in administering the program, but in an amount not to exceed 7 percent of the apportionment received by the State for a fiscal year; and

“(G) operation of educational programs to promote safety and environmental protection as these objectives relate to the use of trails.

“(3) USE OF APPORTIONMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D), of the apportionments received for a fiscal year by a State under this section—

“(i) 40 percent shall be used for trail or trail-related projects that facilitate diverse recreational trail use within a trail corridor, trailside, or trailhead, regardless of whether the project is for diverse motorized use, for diverse nonmotorized use, or to accommodate both motorized and nonmotorized recreational trail use;

“(ii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to motorized recreation; and

“(iii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to nonmotorized recreation.

“(B) SMALL STATE EXCLUSION.—Any State with a total land area of less than 3,500,000 acres, and in which nonhighway recreational fuel use accounts for less than 1 percent of all such fuel use in the United States, shall be exempted from the requirements of subparagraph (A) upon application to the Secretary by the State demonstrating that the State meets the conditions of this subparagraph.

“(C) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—Upon the request of a State trail advisory committee established under subsection (c)(3), the Secretary may waive, in whole or in part, the requirements of subparagraph (A) with respect to the State if the State certifies to the Secretary that the State does not have sufficient projects to meet the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(D) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—State administrative costs eligible for funding under paragraph (2)(F) shall be exempt from the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(e) ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT OR MITIGATION.—To the extent practicable and consistent with the other requirements of this section, a State should give consideration to project proposals that provide for the redesign, reconstruction, nonroutine maintenance, or relocation of trails to benefit the natural environment or to mitigate and minimize the impact to the natural environment.

“(f) FEDERAL SHARE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the other provisions of this subsection, the Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall not exceed 80 percent.

“(2) FEDERAL AGENCY PROJECT SPONSOR.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a Federal agency that sponsors a project under this section may contribute additional Federal funds toward the cost of a project, except that—

“(A) the share attributable to the Secretary of Transportation may not exceed 80 percent; and

“(B) the share attributable to the Secretary and the Federal agency jointly may not exceed 95 percent.

“(3) USE OF FUNDS FROM FEDERAL PROGRAMS TO PROVIDE NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made available by the Federal Government under any Federal program that are—

“(A) expended in accordance with the requirements of the Federal program relating to activities funded and populations served; and

“(B) expended on a project that is eligible for assistance under this section; may be credited toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project.

“(4) PROGRAMMATIC NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—A State may allow adjustments to the non-Federal share of an individual project under this section if the Federal share of the cost of all projects carried out by the State under the program (excluding projects funded under paragraph (2) or (3)) using funds apportioned to the State for a fiscal year does not exceed 80 percent.

“(5) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Federal share of the administrative costs of a State under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with section 120(b).

“(g) USES NOT PERMITTED.—A State may not obligate funds apportioned under this section for—

“(1) condemnation of any kind of interest in property;

“(2) construction of any recreational trail on National Forest System land for any motorized use unless—

“(A) the land has been apportioned for uses other than wilderness by an approved forest land and resource management plan or has been released to uses other than wilderness by an Act of Congress; and

“(B) the construction is otherwise consistent with the management direction in the approved forest land and resource management plan;

“(3) construction of any recreational trail on Bureau of Land Management land for any motorized use unless the land—

“(A) has been apportioned for uses other than wilderness by an approved Bureau of Land Management resource management plan or has been released to uses other than wilderness by an Act of Congress; and

“(B) the construction is otherwise consistent with the management direction in the approved management plan; or

“(4) upgrading, expanding, or otherwise facilitating motorized use or access to trails

predominantly used by nonmotorized trail users and on which, as of May 1, 1991, motorized use is prohibited or has not occurred.

“(h) PROJECT ADMINISTRATION.—

“(1) CREDIT FOR DONATIONS OF FUNDS, MATERIALS, SERVICES, OR NEW RIGHT-OF-WAY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this title or other law shall prevent a project sponsor from offering to donate funds, materials, services, or a new right-of-way for the purposes of a project eligible for assistance under this section. Any funds, or the fair market value of any materials, services, or new right-of-way, may be donated by any project sponsor and shall be credited to the non-Federal share in accordance with subsection (f).

“(B) FEDERAL PROJECT SPONSORS.—Any funds or the fair market value of any materials or services may be provided by a Federal project sponsor and shall be credited to the Federal agency's share in accordance with subsection (f).

“(2) RECREATIONAL PURPOSE.—A project funded under this section is intended to enhance recreational opportunity and is not subject to section 138 of this title or section 303 of title 49.

“(3) CONTINUING RECREATIONAL USE.—At the option of each State, funds made available under this section may be treated as Land and Water Conservation Fund apportionments for the purposes of section 6(f)(3) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-8(f)(3)).

“(4) COOPERATION BY PRIVATE PERSONS.—

“(A) WRITTEN ASSURANCES.—As a condition of making available apportionments for work on recreational trails that would affect privately owned land, a State shall obtain written assurances that the owner of the land will cooperate with the State and participate as necessary in the activities to be conducted.

“(B) PUBLIC ACCESS.—Any use of the apportionments to a State under this section on privately owned land must be accompanied by an easement or other legally binding agreement that ensures public access to the recreational trail improvements funded by the apportionments.

“(i) APPORTIONMENT.—

“(1) DEFINITION OF ELIGIBLE STATE.—In this subsection, the term ‘eligible State’ means a State that meets the requirements of subsection (c).

“(2) APPORTIONMENT.—Subject to subsection (j), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall apportion—

“(A) 50 percent of the amounts made available to carry out this section equally among eligible States; and

“(B) 50 percent of the amounts made available to carry out this section among eligible States in proportion to the quantity of non-highway recreational fuel used in each eligible State during the preceding year.

“(j) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Whenever an apportionment is made under subsection (i) of the amounts made available to carry out this section, the Secretary shall first deduct an amount, not to exceed 1 percent of the authorized amounts, to pay the costs to the Secretary for administration of, and research authorized under, the program.

“(2) USE OF CONTRACTS.—To carry out research funded under paragraph (1), the Secretary may—

“(A) enter into contracts with for-profit organizations; and

“(B) enter into contracts, partnerships, or cooperative agreements with other government agencies, institutions of higher learning, or nonprofit organizations.

“(k) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$17,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$22,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$23,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$24,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall be determined in accordance with this section.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 is amended by striking part B of title I (16 U.S.C. 1261 et seq.).

(2) The analysis for chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 206 and inserting the following:

“206. Recreational trails program.”

**SEC. 1108. VALUE PRICING PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “CONGESTION” and inserting “VALUE”; and

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “congestion” each place it appears and inserting “value”.

(b) INCREASED NUMBER OF PROJECTS.—Section 1012(b)(1) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended in the second sentence by striking “5” and inserting “15”.

(c) ELIGIBILITY OF PREIMPLEMENTATION COSTS.—Section 1012(b)(2) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended in the second sentence—

(1) by inserting after “Secretary shall fund” the following: “all preimplementation costs and project design, and”; and

(2) by inserting after “Secretary may not fund” the following: “the implementation costs of”.

(d) TOLLING.—Section 1012(b)(4) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by striking “a pilot program under this section, but not on more than 3 of such programs” and inserting “any value pricing pilot program under this subsection.”

(e) HOV PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by striking paragraph (6) and inserting the following:

“(6) HOV PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS.—Notwithstanding section 146(c) of title 23, United States Code, a State may permit vehicles with fewer than 2 occupants to operate in high occupancy vehicle lanes if the vehicles are part of a value pricing pilot program under this subsection.”

(f) FUNDING.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(7) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$8,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(B) AVAILABILITY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Funds allocated by the Secretary to a State under this subsection shall remain available for obligation by the State for a period of 3 years after the last

day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.

“(ii) USE OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS.—If the total amount of funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund under this subsection but not allocated exceeds \$8,000,000 as of September 30 of any year, the excess amount—

“(I) shall be apportioned in the following fiscal year by the Secretary to all States in accordance with section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code;

“(II) shall be considered to be a sum made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that the amount shall not be subject to section 133(d) of that title; and

“(III) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title.

“(C) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of any project under this subsection and the availability of funds authorized by this paragraph shall be determined in accordance with this subsection.”

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “projects” each place it appears and inserting “programs”; and

(2) in paragraph (5)—

(A) by striking “projects” and inserting “programs”; and

(B) by striking “traffic, volume” and inserting “traffic volume”.

**SEC. 1109. HIGHWAY USE TAX EVASION PROJECTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 143 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 143. Highway use tax evasion projects**

“(a) DEFINITION OF STATE.—In this section, the term ‘State’ means the 50 States and the District of Columbia.

“(b) PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall use funds made available under paragraph (7) to carry out highway use tax evasion projects in accordance with this subsection.

“(2) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—The funds may be allocated to the Internal Revenue Service and the States at the discretion of the Secretary.

“(3) CONDITIONS ON FUNDS ALLOCATED TO INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.—The Secretary shall not impose any condition on the use of funds allocated to the Internal Revenue Service under this subsection.

“(4) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—Funds made available under paragraph (7) shall be used only—

“(A) to expand efforts to enhance motor fuel tax enforcement;

“(B) to fund additional Internal Revenue Service staff, but only to carry out functions described in this paragraph;

“(C) to supplement motor fuel tax examinations and criminal investigations;

“(D) to develop automated data processing tools to monitor motor fuel production and sales;

“(E) to evaluate and implement registration and reporting requirements for motor fuel taxpayers;

“(F) to reimburse State expenses that supplement existing fuel tax compliance efforts; and

“(G) to analyze and implement programs to reduce tax evasion associated with other highway use taxes.

“(5) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—The Secretary may not make an allocation to a State under this subsection for a fiscal year unless the State certifies that the aggregate expenditure of funds of the State, exclusive of Federal funds, for motor fuel tax enforcement activities will be maintained at a level that does not fall below the average level of such expenditure for the preceding 2 fiscal years of the State.

“(6) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of a project carried out under this subsection shall be 100 percent.

“(7) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available to the Secretary from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(B) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall remain available for obligation for a period of 1 year after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.

“(c) EXCISE FUEL REPORTING SYSTEM.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than April 1, 1998, the Secretary shall enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service for the purposes of the development and maintenance by the Internal Revenue Service of an excise fuel reporting system (referred to in this subsection as the ‘system’).

“(2) ELEMENTS OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—The memorandum of understanding shall provide that—

“(A) the Internal Revenue Service shall develop and maintain the system through contracts;

“(B) the system shall be under the control of the Internal Revenue Service; and

“(C) the system shall be made available for use by appropriate State and Federal revenue, tax, or law enforcement authorities, subject to section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(3) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FROM HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection—

“(A) \$8,000,000 for development of the system; and

“(B) \$2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003 for operation and maintenance of the system.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 143 and inserting the following:

“143. Highway use tax evasion projects.”.

(2) Section 1040 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 1992) is repealed.

(3) Section 8002 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 2203) is amended—

(A) in the first sentence of subsection (g), by striking “section 1040 of this Act” and inserting “section 143 of title 23, United States Code.”; and

(B) by striking subsection (h).

**SEC. 1110. BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION AND PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS.**

Section 217 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting “pedestrian walkways and” after “construction of”; and

(B) by striking “(other than the Interstate System)”;

(2) in subsection (e), by striking “, other than a highway access to which is fully controlled.”;

(3) by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Bicyclists and pedestrians shall be given consideration in the comprehensive transportation plans developed by each metropolitan planning organization and State in accordance with sections 134 and 135, respectively.

“(2) CONSTRUCTION.—Bicycle transportation facilities and pedestrian walkways shall be considered, where appropriate, in conjunction with all new construction and reconstruction of transportation facilities, except where bicycle and pedestrian use are not permitted.

“(3) SAFETY AND CONTIGUOUS ROUTES.—Transportation plans and projects shall provide consideration for safety and contiguous routes for bicyclists and pedestrians.”;

(4) in subsection (h)—

(A) by striking “No motorized vehicles shall” and inserting “Motorized vehicles may not”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) wheelchairs that are powered; and”; and

(5) by striking subsection (j) and inserting the following:

“(j) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION FACILITY.—The term ‘bicycle transportation facility’ means a new or improved lane, path, or shoulder for use by bicyclists or a traffic control device, shelter, or parking facility for bicycles.

“(2) PEDESTRIAN.—The term ‘pedestrian’ means any person traveling by foot or any mobility impaired person using a wheelchair.

“(3) WHEELCHAIR.—The term ‘wheelchair’ means a mobility aid, usable indoors, and designed for and used by individuals with mobility impairments, whether operated manually or powered.”.

**SEC. 1111. DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.**

(a) GENERAL RULE.—Except to the extent that the Secretary determines otherwise, not less than 10 percent of the amounts made available for any program under titles I and II of this Act shall be expended with small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.—The term “small business concern” has the meaning such term has under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632); except that such term shall not include any concern or group of concerns controlled by the same socially and economically disadvantaged individual or individuals which has average annual gross receipts over the preceding 3 fiscal years in excess of \$16,600,000, as adjusted by the Secretary for inflation.

(2) SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS.—The term “socially and economically disadvantaged individuals” has the meaning such term has under section 8(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(d)) and relevant subcontracting regulations promulgated pursuant thereto; except that women shall be presumed to be socially and economically disadvantaged individuals for purposes of this section.

(c) ANNUAL LISTING OF DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.—Each State shall annually survey and compile a list of the small business concerns referred to in subsection (a) and the location of such concerns in the State and notify the Secretary, in writing, of the percentage of such concerns which are controlled by women, by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals (other than women), and by individuals who

are women and are otherwise socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

(d) UNIFORM CERTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall establish minimum uniform criteria for State governments to use in certifying whether a concern qualifies for purposes of this section. Such minimum uniform criteria shall include but not be limited to on-site visits, personal interviews, licenses, analysis of stock ownership, listing of equipment, analysis of bonding capacity, listing of work completed, resume of principal owners, financial capacity, and type of work preferred.

**SEC. 1112. FEDERAL SHARE PAYABLE.**

Section 120 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1106(a)), is amended—

(1) in each of subsections (a) and (b), by adding at the end the following: “In the case of any project subject to this subsection, a State may determine a lower Federal share than the Federal share determined under the preceding sentences of this subsection.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(1) CREDIT FOR NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

“(1) ELIGIBILITY.—A State may use as a credit toward the non-Federal share requirement for any program under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240) or this title, other than the emergency relief program authorized by section 125, toll revenues that are generated and used by public, quasi-public, and private agencies to build, improve, or maintain, without the use of Federal funds, highways, bridges, or tunnels that serve the public purpose of interstate commerce.

“(2) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The credit toward any non-Federal share under paragraph (1) shall not reduce nor replace State funds required to match Federal funds for any program under this title.

“(B) CONDITIONS ON RECEIPT OF CREDIT.—

“(i) AGREEMENT WITH THE SECRETARY.—To receive a credit under paragraph (1) for a fiscal year, a State shall enter into such agreements as the Secretary may require to ensure that the State will maintain its non-Federal transportation capital expenditures at or above the average level of such expenditures for the preceding 3 fiscal years.

“(ii) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding clause (i), a State may receive a credit under paragraph (1) for a fiscal year if, for any 1 of the preceding 3 fiscal years, the non-Federal transportation capital expenditures of the State were at a level that was greater than 30 percent of the average level of such expenditures for the other 2 of the preceding 3 fiscal years.

“(3) TREATMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Use of the credit toward a non-Federal share under paragraph (1) shall not expose the agencies from which the credit is received to additional liability, additional regulation, or additional administrative oversight.

“(B) CHARTERED MULTISTATE AGENCIES.—When credit is applied from a chartered multistate agency under paragraph (1), the credit shall be applied equally to all charter States.

“(C) NO ADDITIONAL STANDARDS.—A public, quasi-public, or private agency from which the credit for which the non-Federal share is calculated under paragraph (1) shall not be subject to any additional Federal design standards or laws (including regulations) as a result of providing the credit beyond the standards and laws to which the agency is already subject.”.

**SEC. 1113. STUDIES AND REPORTS.**

(a) HIGHWAY ECONOMIC REQUIREMENT SYSTEM.—

(1) METHODOLOGY.—

(A) EVALUATION.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an evaluation of the methodology used by the Department of Transportation to determine highway needs using the highway economic requirement system (referred to in this subsection as the "model").

(B) REQUIRED ELEMENT.—The evaluation shall include an assessment of the extent to which the model estimates an optimal level of highway infrastructure investment, including an assessment as to when the model may be overestimating or underestimating investment requirements.

(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the evaluation.

(2) STATE INVESTMENT PLANS.—

(A) STUDY.—In consultation with State transportation departments and other appropriate State and local officials, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the extent to which the highway economic requirement system of the Federal Highway Administration can be used to provide States with useful information for developing State transportation investment plans and State infrastructure investment projections.

(B) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The study shall—

(i) identify any additional data that may need to be collected beyond the data submitted, prior to the date of enactment of this Act, to the Federal Highway Administration through the highway performance monitoring system; and

(ii) identify what additional work, if any, would be required of the Federal Highway Administration and the States to make the model useful at the State level.

(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study.

(b) INTERNATIONAL ROUGHNESS INDEX.—

(1) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the international roughness index that is used as an indicator of pavement quality on the Federal-aid highway system.

(2) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The study shall specify the extent of usage of the index and the extent to which the international roughness index measurement is reliable across different manufacturers and types of pavement.

(3) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study.

(c) REPORTING OF RATES OF OBLIGATION.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (m); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i) the following:

“(j) REPORTING OF RATES OF OBLIGATION.—On an annual basis, the Secretary shall publish or otherwise report rates of obligation of funds apportioned or set aside under this section and sections 103 and 133 according to—

“(1) program;

“(2) funding category or subcategory;

“(3) type of improvement;

“(4) State; and

“(5) sub-State geographic area, including urbanized and rural areas, on the basis of the population of each such area.”.

**SEC. 1114. DEFINITIONS.**

(a) FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FUNDS AND PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting

before the undesignated paragraph defining “Federal-aid highways” the following:

“The term ‘Federal-aid highway funds’ means funds made available to carry out the Federal-aid highway program.

“The term ‘Federal-aid highway program’ means all programs authorized under chapters 1, 3, and 5.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Section 101(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “the construction of Federal-aid highways or highway planning, research, or development” and inserting “the Federal-aid highway program”.

(B) Section 104(m)(1) of title 23, United States Code (as redesignated by section 1113(c)(1)), is amended by striking “Federal-aid highways and the highway safety construction programs” and inserting “the Federal-aid highway program”.

(C) Section 107(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the second sentence by striking “Federal-aid highways” and inserting “the Federal-aid highway program”.

(b) ALPHABETIZATION OF DEFINITIONS.—Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by reordering the undesignated paragraphs so that they are in alphabetical order.

**SEC. 1115. MINIMUM PER CAPITA INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 119 the following:

“**§ 119A. Minimum per capita Interstate maintenance discretionary program**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a minimum per capita Interstate maintenance discretionary program (referred to in this section as the ‘program’) to ensure that each State that receives, for any fiscal year, less than 90 percent of the national average Federal-aid highway program apportionments per capita has sufficient resources to preserve and enhance the routes on the Interstate System in the State.

“(2) USE OF FUNDS.—Funds available for the program—

“(A) shall be used to supplement apportionments for the Interstate maintenance component of the Interstate and National Highway System program; and

“(B) may be used for any project eligible for funding under section 119.

“(3) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—Projects to be funded under the program shall be proposed by a State and selected by the Secretary.

“(b) ELIGIBILITY FOR PARTICIPATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each State with respect to which the total apportionments per capita (as determined under paragraph (2)(A)) is less than 90 percent of the national average of the total apportionments per capita (as determined under paragraph (2)(B)) shall be eligible to receive an allocation under the program.

“(2) DETERMINATIONS.—For each fiscal year, with respect to each State, the Secretary shall determine—

“(A) the quotient obtained by dividing—

“(i) the sum of—

“(I) the amounts apportioned to the State under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program; and

“(II) the amounts apportioned to the State under section 1102(c) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for ISTEA transition; by

“(ii) the population of the State (as determined based on the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce);

“(B) the quotient obtained by dividing—

“(i) the sum of the apportionments described in subparagraph (A)(i) to all States for the fiscal year; by

“(ii) the population of all of the States (as determined based on the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce); and

“(C) the difference between—

“(i) 90 percent of the amount determined under subparagraph (B); and

“(ii) the amount determined under subparagraph (A) with respect to the State.

“(c) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS FOR PROJECTS.—

“(1) DETERMINATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year, with respect to each State eligible under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall determine the percentage that—

“(i) the difference determined with respect to the eligible State under subsection (b)(2)(C); bears to

“(ii) the sum of the differences determined with respect to all eligible States.

“(B) ADJUSTMENT.—The Secretary shall—

“(i) reduce any percentage determined under subparagraph (A) that is greater than 12 percent to 12 percent; and

“(ii) redistribute the percentage points equal to any reduction under clause (i) among the other eligible States in proportion to the percentages determined under subparagraph (A) with respect to those States.

“(2) AVAILABILITY TO STATES.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall allocate to each eligible State to carry out projects described in subsection (a)(2) an amount equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

“(A) the percentage for the eligible State determined under paragraph (1); by

“(B) the amount of funds made available to carry out the program for the fiscal year.

“(3) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—

“(A) DEADLINES FOR SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED PROJECTS.—The Secretary may establish deadlines for States to submit proposed projects for funding under this section, except that in the case of fiscal year 1998 the deadline shall not be earlier than January 1, 1998.

“(B) EFFECT OF FAILURE TO SUBMIT SUFFICIENT PROPOSED PROJECTS.—For each fiscal year, if a State does not have pending, by the deadline established under subparagraph (A), applications for projects with an estimated cost equal to at least 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2), the Secretary may distribute, to 1 or more other States, at the Secretary’s discretion, 1/3 of the amount by which the estimated cost of the State’s applications is less than 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2).

“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$89,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 119 the following:

“119A. Minimum per capita Interstate maintenance discretionary program.”.

**SEC. 1116. TRADE CORRIDOR AND BORDER CROSSING**

AMENDMENT NO. 1468

Beginning on page 118, strike line 3 and all that follows through page 122, line 4, and insert the following:

**SEC. 1120. WOODROW WILSON MEMORIAL BRIDGE; MINIMUM PER CAPITA INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM.**

(a) WOODROW WILSON MEMORIAL BRIDGE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 407(a) of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 630) is amended—

(A) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3);

(B) by striking “(a)” and all that follows through the period at the end of paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(a) CONVEYANCES.—

“(1) CONVEYANCE TO STATES AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—

“(A) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this subparagraph, the Secretary shall convey to the State of Virginia, the State of Maryland, and the District of Columbia all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Bridge, including such related riparian rights and interests in land underneath the Potomac River as are necessary to carry out the Project.

“(B) ACCEPTANCE OF TITLE.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), on conveyance by the Secretary, the State of Virginia, the State of Maryland, and the District of Columbia shall accept the right, title, and interest in and to the Bridge.

“(C) CONSOLIDATION OF JURISDICTION.—For the purpose of making the conveyance under this paragraph, the Secretary of the Interior and the head of any other Federal department or agency that has jurisdiction over the land adjacent to the Bridge shall transfer the jurisdiction to the Secretary.

“(D) FUNDS ALLOCATED.—No amounts set aside for Interstate 4R and bridge projects under section 104(k) of title 23, United States Code, may be allocated for the Bridge before the State of Virginia, the State of Maryland, and the District of Columbia accept right, title, and interest in and to the Bridge in accordance with this subsection.

“(2) CONVEYANCE TO AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—After execution of the agreement under subsection (c), the State of Virginia, the State of Maryland, and the District of Columbia shall convey to the Authority their respective rights, titles, and interests in and to the Bridge, including such related riparian rights and interests in land underneath the Potomac River as are necessary to carry out the Project.

“(B) ACCEPTANCE OF TITLE.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), on conveyance by the State of Virginia, the State of Maryland, and the District of Columbia, the Authority shall accept the right, title, and interest in and to the Bridge and all duties and responsibilities associated with the Bridge.”; and

(C) in paragraph (3) (as redesignated by subparagraph (A)), by striking “conveyance under paragraph (1)” and inserting “conveyances under this subsection”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 409(3) of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 632) is amended by striking “section 407(a)(2)” and inserting “section 407(a)(3)”.

(b) MINIMUM PER CAPITA INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 119 the following:

**“§119A. Minimum per capita Interstate maintenance discretionary program**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a minimum per capita Interstate maintenance discretionary program (referred to in this section as the ‘program’) to ensure that each State that receives, for any fiscal year, less than 90 percent of the national average Federal-aid highway program apportion-

ments per capita has sufficient resources to preserve and enhance the routes on the Interstate System in the State.

“(2) USE OF FUNDS.—Funds available for the program—

“(A) shall be used to supplement apportionments for the Interstate maintenance component of the Interstate and National Highway System program; and

“(B) may be used for any project eligible for funding under section 119.

“(3) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—Projects to be funded under the program shall be proposed by a State and selected by the Secretary.

“(b) ELIGIBILITY FOR PARTICIPATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each State with respect to which the total apportionments per capita (as determined under paragraph (2)(A)) is less than 90 percent of the national average of the total apportionments per capita (as determined under paragraph (2)(B)) shall be eligible to receive an allocation under the program.

“(2) DETERMINATIONS.—For each fiscal year, with respect to each State, the Secretary shall determine—

“(A) the quotient obtained by dividing—

“(i) the sum of—

“(I) the amounts apportioned to the State under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program; and

“(II) the amounts apportioned to the State under section 1102(c) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for ISTEA transition; by

“(i) the population of the State (as determined based on the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce);

“(B) the quotient obtained by dividing—

“(i) the sum of the apportionments described in subparagraph (A)(i) to all States for the fiscal year; by

“(ii) the population of all of the States (as determined based on the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce); and

“(C) the difference between—

“(i) 90 percent of the amount determined under subparagraph (B); and

“(ii) the amount determined under subparagraph (A) with respect to the State.

“(c) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS FOR PROJECTS.—

“(1) DETERMINATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year, with respect to each State eligible under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall determine the percentage that—

“(i) the difference determined with respect to the eligible State under subsection (b)(2)(C); bears to

“(ii) the sum of the differences determined with respect to all eligible States.

“(B) ADJUSTMENT.—The Secretary shall—

“(i) reduce any percentage determined under subparagraph (A) that is greater than 12 percent to 12 percent; and

“(ii) redistribute the percentage points equal to any reduction under clause (i) among the other eligible States in proportion to the percentages determined under subparagraph (A) with respect to those States.

“(2) AVAILABILITY TO STATES.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall allocate to each eligible State to carry out projects described in subsection (a)(2) an amount equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

“(A) the percentage for the eligible State determined under paragraph (1); by

“(B) the amount of funds made available to carry out the program for the fiscal year.

“(3) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—

“(A) DEADLINES FOR SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED PROJECTS.—The Secretary may establish deadlines for States to submit proposed projects for funding under this section, except that in the case of fiscal year 1998 the deadline shall not be earlier than January 1, 1998.

“(B) EFFECT OF FAILURE TO SUBMIT SUFFICIENT PROPOSED PROJECTS.—For each fiscal year, if a State does not have pending, by the deadline established under subparagraph (A), applications for projects with an estimated cost equal to at least 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2), the Secretary may distribute, to 1 or more other States, at the Secretary’s discretion, 1/3 of the amount by which the estimated cost of the State’s applications is less than 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2).

“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$89,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 119 the following:

“119A. Minimum per capita Interstate maintenance discretionary program.”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1469

On page 88, lines 12 and 13, strike and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

“for all States; and

On page 88, line 25, strike the word “and” after the semi-colon and insert the following new clause:

“(ii) increase any percentage determined under subparagraph (A)(iii) that is less than 0.5 percent to 0.5 percent; and

On page 89, line 12, after the word “reduction” insert:

“or increase”

On page 89, line 3, after “(i)” insert “and (ii)”

AMENDMENT NO. 1470

At the end of chapter 1 of subtitle C of title I, add the following:

**SEC. 1302. TAX CREDIT FOR USER FEE HIGHWAYS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 129 the following:

**“§129A. Payments for toll facilities**

“(a) DEFINITION OF CONTROLLING TOLL AUTHORITY.—In this section, the term ‘controlling toll authority’ means a public or private organization that operates and maintains highway, bridge, or tunnel facilities for the use of which a toll is collected.

“(b) PAYMENTS FOR TOLL FACILITIES.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary shall establish a program (referred to in this subsection as the ‘program’) to provide direct payments to a controlling toll authority in the amount of Federal motor fuel tax collections attributable to use of facilities—

“(A) that are operated and maintained by the authority; and

“(B) with respect to which the eligibility criteria specified in subsection (c) are met.

“(2) PROCEDURES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (B), the Secretary shall determine appropriate disbursement rules and procedures for the program.

“(B) TIMING OF PAYMENTS.—The Secretary shall make payments under the program to the controlling toll authority not less often than quarterly.

“(3) AMOUNT OF PAYMENTS.—The amount of the payments to each controlling toll authority for a fiscal year shall be equal to the

estimated amount of the Federal motor fuel tax collections that—

“(A) are deposited in the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account); and

“(B) are attributable to travel on the facilities operated and maintained by the controlling toll authority in that fiscal year; as determined by the Secretary using the latest data available.

“(4) SOURCE OF FUNDS.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall make payments under the program using funds made available to carry out the following programs, in the following allocation:

“(A) 1/3 from the other National Highway System component of the Interstate and National Highway System program carried out under section 103(b).

“(B) 1/3 from the Interstate maintenance component of the Interstate and National Highway System program carried out under section 119.

“(C) 1/3 from the surface transportation program established under section 133.

“(c) ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA.—To be eligible under the program established under subsection (b), a controlling toll authority must be certified by the Secretary as meeting each of the following eligibility criteria:

“(1) COLLECTION OF TOLLS.—With respect to not less than 75 percent of the mileage of the highway, bridge, or tunnel facilities that the controlling toll authority operates and maintains, the authority collects tolls for the operation and maintenance of the facilities.

“(2) OTHER FUNDS.—While participating in the program, the controlling toll authority does not use funds from the Highway Trust Fund for the construction or maintenance of facilities operated and maintained by the controlling toll authority.

“(3) AUTOMATED TOLL COLLECTION TECHNOLOGY.—The controlling toll authority uses automated toll collection technology, at 1 or more locations where tolls are collected, that allows a user to pass through the toll collection system without stopping the user's vehicle.

“(4) VALUE PRICING.—The controlling toll authority has implemented differential-time-sensitive pricing strategies to mitigate congestion at 1 or more locations where tolls are collected.

“(5) NO DIVERSION.—The toll revenue collected by the controlling toll authority is used solely to pay for—

“(A) the operation and maintenance of, and debt service for, facilities operated and maintained by the controlling toll authority;

“(B) safety and law enforcement costs associated with the facilities;

“(C) the costs of transit or other measures that help alleviate congestion on the facilities; and

“(D) the costs of congestion pricing, electronic toll collection equipment, and environmental mitigation or enhancement projects directly related to the facilities.

“(d) EFFECT ON OTHER PROGRAMS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the length of, number of vehicle miles traveled on, quantity of fuel used in travel on, or any other characteristic of a highway, bridge, or tunnel with respect to which payments are made under the program established under subsection (b) may not be taken into account in any apportionment calculation under section 104(b) or in any other apportionment calculation under this title, regardless of whether there is in effect any toll agreement with the State under section 105 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692) or under section 129(c).”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting

after the item relating to section 129 the following:

“129A. Payments for toll facilities.”.

(2) Section 104(b) of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1102(a)), is amended—

(A) in subparagraphs (A) and (C) of paragraph (1), by striking “For” each place it appears and inserting “After using funds under section 129A, for”; and

(B) in paragraph (3)(A), by striking “For the” and inserting “After using funds under section 129A, for the”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1471

On page 10, line 16, after the word “State” insert the following:

“multiplied by the average unit price of replacement and rehabilitation of such bridges on a State-by-State basis, as determined by the Secretary”.

On page 10, line 23, before the phrase “in all States” insert the following:

“multiplied by the average unit price of replacement and rehabilitation of such bridges”.

On page 12, line 17, after the word “State” insert the following:

“multiplied by the average unit price of replacement and rehabilitation of such bridges on a State-by State basis, as determined by the Secretary”.

On page 13, line 2, before the phrase “in all States” insert the following:

“multiplied by the average unit price of replacement and rehabilitation of such bridges”.

On page 19, line 8, after the word “State” insert the following:

“multiplied by the average unit price of replacement and rehabilitation of such bridges on a State-by State basis, as determined by the Secretary”.

On page 19, line 14, before the phrase “in all States”, insert the following:

“multiplied by the average unit price of replacement and rehabilitation of such bridges”.

On page 123, line 15, strike the word “and”.

On page 123, line 18, strike the period and insert “; and” at the end of the line and insert the following on the following line:

“(D) determine the cost of replacing each such bridge with a comparable facility or of rehabilitating such bridge.”

AMENDMENT NO. 1472

On page 11, line 9, strike “20” and substitute “25”.

On page 11, line 19, strike “29” and substitute “35”.

On page 12, line 5, strike “18” and substitute “25”.

On page 13, line 4, through 15, strike all language.

On page 13, line 11, strike “(V)9” and substitute “(IV)15”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1473

On page 11, line 9, strike “20” and substitute “22”.

On page 11, line 19, strike “29” and substitute “32”.

On page 12, line 5, strike “18” and substitute “20”.

On page 13, line 4, strike “24” and substitute “26”.

On page 13, line 11, through page 14, line 2, strike all language.

AMENDMENT NO. 1474

On page 18, line 10, strike “20” and substitute “30”.

On page 19, line 17, strike “30” and substitute “40”.

On page 19, line 1, strike “25” and substitute “30”.

On page 20, line 15 through 14, strike all language.

AMENDMENT NO. 1475

On page 5, line 12 through page 7, line 2, strike and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

“(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$12,417,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$12,338,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$12,381,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$12,475,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$12,733,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and, \$13,192,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

“(A) \$4,769,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,738,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,755,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,791,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,890,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$5,066,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be used for Interstate maintenance component; and

“(B) \$1,451,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,442,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,447,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,458,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,488,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,542,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be used for Interstate bridge component.

“(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,257,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,211,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,236,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,291,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,442,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and , \$7,710,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,192,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,184,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,189,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,198,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,223,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,267,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.”

On page 30, line 2 through page 30, line 17, strike all language.

AMENDMENT NO. 1476

At the end of the bill, add the following:

**TITLE —EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING UNDER BUREAU OF RECLAMATION PROGRAMS**

**SEC. 01. EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING UNDER BUREAU OF RECLAMATION PROGRAMS.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) AGENCY EXPENDITURE.—The term “agency expenditure” means any payment made by the Secretary to a State, a political subdivision of a State, or any other public or private person or entity in a State in the form of—

(A) a share of revenues received from Federal land management activity;

(B) a grant or other form of financial assistance;

(C) a payment under a contract; compensation of an employee or consultant; or

(D) any other form.

(2) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—The term “equitable State allocation”, with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount determined under subsection (c)(1) for the State and fiscal year.

(3) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(4) STATE.—The term “State” means each of the States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

(5) STATE DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—The term “State dollar contribution to the Federal Government”, with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount of revenues under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 collected from,

and the amount of user fees paid or any other payments made to the Federal Government by, all public and private persons or entities in the State during the fiscal year.

(6) STATE PERCENTAGE CONTRIBUTION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—The term "State percentage contribution to the Federal Government", with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the proportion, expressed as a percentage, that—

(A) the State dollar contribution to the Federal Government by the State; bears to

(B) the aggregate of the State dollar contributions to the Federal Government by all of the States for the fiscal year.

(b) DETERMINATIONS.—Not later than 30 days after the close of each fiscal year—

(1) the Secretary of the Treasury shall report to the Secretary the amount of revenues under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 collected in each State during the fiscal year;

(2) the Secretary shall determine with respect to the Department of the Interior, and the head of each other Federal agency shall report to the Secretary with respect to the agency, the amount of user fees paid or any other payments made to the agency by persons (including all private and public entities) in each State during the fiscal year; and

(3) the Secretary shall determine the State dollar contribution to the Federal Government and the State percentage contribution to the Federal Government by each State for the fiscal year.

(c) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary—

(A) shall make agency expenditures in each State in each fiscal year under each program administered by the Secretary, acting through the Commissioner of Reclamation, in an amount that is not less than the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) 90 percent of the amount that is equal to the aggregate amount of agency expenditures to be made under that program in all of the States for the fiscal year; by

(ii) the State percentage contribution to the Federal Government by the State for the fiscal year; or

(B) if making agency expenditures in a State in the amount determined under subparagraph (A) under any program is not practicable, shall make the requisite amount of funding available for use in the State under other programs administered by the Secretary of the Interior.

(2) IMPLEMENTATION.—If, but for this section, the Secretary would make agency expenditures in a State in an amount that is less than the amount of the equitable State allocation, the Secretary shall reduce the amounts of agency expenditures to be made in States in which agency expenditures in more than the amounts of the equitable State allocations would be made, pro rata, by the amount necessary to enable the Secretary to make agency expenditures in the State in the full amount of its equitable State allocation.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1477

At the end of the bill, add the following:

### TITLE —EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING UNDER BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

#### SEC. —01. EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING UNDER BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) AGENCY EXPENDITURE.—The term "agency expenditure" means any payment made by the Secretary to a State, a political subdivision of a State, or any other public or private person or entity in a State in the form of—

(A) a share of revenues received from Federal land management activity;

(B) a grant or other form of financial assistance;

(C) a payment under a contract; compensation of an employee or consultant; or

(D) any other form.

(2) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—The term "equitable State allocation", with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount determined under subsection (c)(1) for the State and fiscal year.

(3) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Interior.

(4) STATE.—The term "State" means each of the States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

(5) STATE DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—The term "State dollar contribution to the Federal Government", with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount of revenues under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 collected from, and the amount of user fees paid or any other payments made to the Federal Government by, all public and private persons or entities in the State during the fiscal year.

(6) STATE PERCENTAGE CONTRIBUTION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—The term "State percentage contribution to the Federal Government", with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the proportion, expressed as a percentage, that—

(A) the State dollar contribution to the Federal Government by the State; bears to

(B) the aggregate of the State dollar contributions to the Federal Government by all of the States for the fiscal year.

(b) DETERMINATIONS.—Not later than 30 days after the close of each fiscal year—

(1) the Secretary of the Treasury shall report to the Secretary the amount of revenues under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 collected in each State during the fiscal year;

(2) the Secretary shall determine with respect to the Department of the Interior, and the head of each other Federal agency shall report to the Secretary with respect to the agency, the amount of user fees paid or any other payments made to the agency by persons (including all private and public entities) in each State during the fiscal year; and

(3) the Secretary shall determine the State dollar contribution to the Federal Government and the State percentage contribution to the Federal Government by each State for the fiscal year.

(c) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary—

(A) shall make agency expenditures in each State in each fiscal year under each program administered by the Secretary, acting through the Director of the Bureau of Land Management, in an amount that is not less than the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) 90 percent of the amount that is equal to the aggregate amount of agency expenditures to be made under that program in all of the States for the fiscal year; by

(ii) the State percentage contribution to the Federal Government by the State for the fiscal year; or

(B) if making agency expenditures in a State in the amount determined under subparagraph (A) under any program is not practicable, shall make the requisite amount of funding available for use in the State under other programs administered by the Secretary of the Interior.

(2) IMPLEMENTATION.—If, but for this section, the Secretary would make agency expenditures in a State in an amount that is less than the amount of the equitable State allocation, the Secretary shall reduce the amounts of agency expenditures to be made in States in which agency expenditures in

more than the amounts of the equitable State allocations would be made, pro rata, by the amount necessary to enable the Secretary to make agency expenditures in the State in the full amount of its equitable State allocation.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1478

On page 49, line 16, strike "section 104" and insert "this title or title 49".

On page 54, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

(d) EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING UNDER FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM AND COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—Section 202 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

#### "§ 202. Allocations

"(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

"(1) AGENCY EXPENDITURE.—The term 'agency expenditure' means any payment made by the Secretary to a State, a political subdivision of a State, or any other public or private person or entity in a State in the form of—

"(A) a grant or other form of financial assistance;

"(B) a payment under a contract;

"(C) compensation of an employee or consultant; or

"(D) any other form.

"(2) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—The term 'equitable State allocation', with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount determined under subsection (c)(1) for the State and fiscal year.

"(3) STATE.—The term 'State' means each of the States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

"(4) STATE DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—The term 'State dollar contribution to the Federal Government', with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount of revenues under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 collected from, and the amount of user fees paid or any other payments made to the Federal Government by, all public and private persons or entities in the State during the fiscal year.

"(5) STATE PERCENTAGE CONTRIBUTION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—The term 'State percentage contribution to the Federal Government', with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the proportion, expressed as a percentage, that—

"(A) the State dollar contribution to the Federal Government by the State; bears to

"(B) the aggregate of the State dollar contributions to the Federal Government by all of the States for the fiscal year.

"(b) DETERMINATIONS.—Not later than 30 days after the close of each fiscal year—

"(1) the Secretary of the Treasury shall report to the Secretary the estimated amount of revenues under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 collected in each State during the fiscal year;

"(2) the Secretary shall determine with respect to the Department of Transportation, and the head of each other Federal agency shall report to the Secretary with respect to the agency, the amount of user fees paid or any other payments made to the agency by persons (including all private and public entities) in each State during the fiscal year; and

"(3) the Secretary shall determine the State dollar contribution to the Federal Government and the State percentage contribution to the Federal Government by each State for the fiscal year.

"(c) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary—

"(A) shall make agency expenditures in each State in each fiscal year under the Federal lands highways program under section

204 and the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 in an amount that is not less than the product obtained by multiplying—

“(i) 95 percent of the amount that is equal to the aggregate amount of agency expenditures to be made under those programs in all of the States for the fiscal year; by

“(ii) the State percentage contribution to the Federal Government by the State for the fiscal year; or

“(B) if making agency expenditures in a State in the amount determined under subparagraph (A) under any program is not appropriate (as determined by the State transportation department), shall make the requisite amount of funding available for use in the State under any provision of this title or title 49.

“(2) IMPLEMENTATION.—If, but for this section, the Secretary would make agency expenditures in a State in an amount that is less than the amount of the equitable State allocation, the Secretary shall reduce the amounts of agency expenditures to be made in States in which agency expenditures in more than the amounts of the equitable State allocations would be made, pro rata, by the amount necessary to enable the Secretary to make agency expenditures in the State in the full amount of its equitable State allocation.”.

Beginning on page 87, strike line 24 and all that follows through page 91, line 3.

On page 91, line 4, strike “(d)” and insert “(b)”.

On page 91, line 7, strike “(e)” and insert “(c)”.

AMENDMENT No. 1479

At the end of the bill, add the following:

**TITLE —EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING UNDER FOREST SERVICE PROGRAMS**

**SEC. —01. EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING UNDER FOREST SERVICE PROGRAMS.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) AGENCY EXPENDITURE.—The term “agency expenditure” means any payment made by the Secretary to a State, a political subdivision of a State, or any other public or private person or entity in a State in the form of—

(A) a share of revenues received from Federal land management activity;

(B) a grant or other form of financial assistance;

(C) a payment under a contract; compensation of an employee or consultant; or

(D) any other form.

(2) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—The term “equitable State allocation”, with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount determined under subsection (c)(1) for the State and fiscal year.

(3) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Agriculture.

(4) STATE.—The term “State” means each of the States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

(5) STATE DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—The term “State dollar contribution to the Federal Government”, with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount of revenues under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 collected from, and the amount of user fees paid or any other payments made to the Federal Government by, all public and private persons or entities in the State during the fiscal year.

(6) STATE PERCENTAGE CONTRIBUTION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—The term “State percentage contribution to the Federal Government”, with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the proportion, expressed as a percentage, that—

(A) the State dollar contribution to the Federal Government by the State; bears to

(B) the aggregate of the State dollar contributions to the Federal Government by all of the States for the fiscal year.

(b) DETERMINATIONS.—Not later than 30 days after the close of each fiscal year—

(1) the Secretary of the Treasury shall report to the Secretary the amount of revenues under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 collected in each State during the fiscal year;

(2) the Secretary shall determine with respect to the Department of Agriculture, and the head of each other Federal agency shall report to the Secretary with respect to the agency, the amount of user fees paid or any other payments made to the agency by persons (including all private and public entities) in each State during the fiscal year; and

(3) the Secretary shall determine the State dollar contribution to the Federal Government and the State percentage contribution to the Federal Government by each State for the fiscal year.

(c) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary—

(A) shall make agency expenditures in each State in each fiscal year under each program administered by the Secretary, acting through the Chief of the Forest Service, in an amount that is not less than the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) 90 percent of the amount that is equal to the aggregate amount of agency expenditures to be made under that program in all of the States for the fiscal year; by

(ii) the State percentage contribution to the Federal Government by the State for the fiscal year; or

(B) if making agency expenditures in a State in the amount determined under subparagraph (A) under any program is not practicable, shall make the requisite amount of funding available for use in the State under other programs administered by the Secretary of Agriculture.

(2) IMPLEMENTATION.—If, but for this section, the Secretary would make agency expenditures in a State in an amount that is less than the amount of the equitable State allocation, the Secretary shall reduce the amounts of agency expenditures to be made in States in which agency expenditures in more than the amounts of the equitable State allocations would be made, pro rata, by the amount necessary to enable the Secretary to make agency expenditures in the State in the full amount of its equitable State allocation.

AMENDMENT No. 1480

At the end of the bill, add the following:

**TITLE —EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING UNDER NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION PROGRAMS**

**SEC. —01. EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING UNDER NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION PROGRAMS.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

(1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Administrator” means the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

(2) AGENCY EXPENDITURE.—The term “agency expenditure” means any payment made by the Administrator to a State, a political subdivision of a State, or any other public or private person or entity in a State in the form of—

(A) a grant or other form of financial assistance;

(B) a payment under a contract; compensation of an employee or consultant; or

(C) any other form.

(3) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—The term “equitable State allocation”, with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount determined under subsection (c)(1) for the State and fiscal year.

(4) STATE.—The term “State” means each of the States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

(5) STATE DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—The term “State dollar contribution to the Federal Government”, with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount of revenues under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 collected from, and the amount of user fees paid or any other payments made to the Federal Government by, all public and private persons or entities in the State during the fiscal year.

(6) STATE PERCENTAGE CONTRIBUTION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.—The term “State percentage contribution to the Federal Government”, with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the proportion, expressed as a percentage, that—

(A) the State dollar contribution to the Federal Government by the State; bears to

(B) the aggregate of the State dollar contributions to the Federal Government by all of the States for the fiscal year.

(b) DETERMINATIONS.—Not later than 30 days after the close of each fiscal year—

(1) the Secretary of the Treasury shall report to the Administrator the amount of revenues under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 collected in each State during the fiscal year; and

(2) the Administrator shall determine the State dollar contribution to the Federal Government and the State percentage contribution to the Federal Government by each State for the fiscal year.

(c) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Administrator—

(A) shall make agency expenditures in each State in each fiscal year under each program administered by the Administrator, in an amount that is not less than the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) 90 percent of the amount that is equal to the aggregate amount of agency expenditures to be made under that program in all of the States for the fiscal year; by

(ii) the State percentage contribution to the Federal Government by the State for the fiscal year; or

(B) if making agency expenditures in a State in the amount determined under subparagraph (A) under any program is not practicable, shall make the requisite amount of funding available for use in the State under—

(i) other programs administered by the Administrator; or

(ii) transfer funds to the Secretary of Transportation to fund programs that apportion funds to States that are administered by the Secretary under title 23 or 49 of the United States Code.

(2) IMPLEMENTATION.—If, but for this section, the Administrator would make agency expenditures in a State in an amount that is less than the amount of the equitable State allocation, the Administrator shall reduce the amounts of agency expenditures to be made in States in which agency expenditures in more than the amounts of the equitable State allocations would be made, pro rata, by the amount necessary to enable the Administrator to make agency expenditures in the State in the full amount of its equitable State allocation.

AMENDMENT No. 1481

At the end of the bill add the following:

**TITLE \_\_\_—EQUITABLE ALLOCATION OF AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUNDING.**

DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND.—The term "Airport and Airway Trust Fund" means the trust fund established under section 9502 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(2) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—The term "equitable State allocation", with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount determined under subsection (c)(1) for the State and fiscal year.

(3) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Transportation.

(4) STATE.—The term "State" means each of the States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

(5) STATE DOLLAR CONTRIBUTION TO THE AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND.—The term "State dollar contribution to the Airport and Airway Trust Fund", with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the amount of funds equal to the amounts transferred to Airport and Airway Trust Fund under section 9502 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that are equivalent to the taxes described in section 9502(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that are collected in that State.

(6) STATE PERCENTAGE CONTRIBUTION TO THE AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND.—The term "State percentage contribution to the Airport and Airway Trust Fund", with respect to a State and fiscal year, means the proportion, expressed as a percentage, that the State dollar contribution to the Airport and Airway Trust Fund bears to the aggregate of the State dollar contributions to the Airport and Airway Trust Fund collected from all of the States for the fiscal year.

(b) DETERMINATIONS.—Not later than 30 days after the close of each fiscal year—

(1) the Secretary of the Treasury shall report to the Secretary the amount equal to the amount of taxes collected in each State during the fiscal year that are transferred to the Airport and Airway Trust Fund; and

(2) the Secretary shall determine the State dollar contribution to the Airport and Airway Trust Fund and State percentage contribution to the Airport and Airway Trust Fund of each State for the fiscal year.

(c) EQUITABLE STATE ALLOCATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—

(A) ALLOCATION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, each State shall be entitled to receive under each program administered by the Secretary for which funds are authorized to be transferred from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, an amount for a fiscal year that is not less than 90 percent of the amount that is equal to the aggregate amount to be paid under that program to all of the States for the fiscal year (adjusted for any administrative costs referred to in section 9502(d)(1)(C) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) multiplied by the State percentage contribution to the Airport and Airway Trust Fund for the fiscal year.

(B) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section is intended to permit a use of amounts made available to a State under this section in a manner that does not meet the applicable requirements of part B of subtitle VII of title 49, United States Code.

(2) IMPLEMENTATION.—If, but for this section, a State would be entitled to receive less than the amount of its equitable State allocation under a program administered by the Secretary, the Secretary shall deduct from the amounts to be paid to States that would be entitled to receive more than the equitable State allocations for those States, pro rata, the amount necessary to enable the Secretary to pay the State the full amount of its equitable State allocation.

AMENDMENT No. 1482

On page 23, line 4, strike "145" and substitute "130" in lieu thereof:

AMENDMENT No. 1483

Beginning on page 150, strike line 5 and all that follows through page 155, line 5, and insert the following:

(c) PERFORMANCE BONUS PROGRAM.—Section 119 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by subsection (b)), is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(d) PERFORMANCE BONUS PROGRAM.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—For fiscal year 1998 and each fiscal year thereafter, the Secretary, from funds made available under this subsection, shall allocate—

"(A) \$15,000,000 to each of the 5 States in which the percentage of Interstate System lane miles that is classified as being in fair condition or worse is the lowest; and

"(B) \$15,000,000 to each of the 5 States in which the percentage of the number of bridges on public roads that are structurally deficient is the lowest.

"(2) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$150,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003."

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 119(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking "; except that the Secretary may only approve a project pursuant to this subsection on a toll road if such road is subject to a Secretarial agreement provided for in subsection (e)".

(2) Section 1009(c)(2) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 119 note; 105 Stat. 1934) is amended by striking "section 119(f)(1)" and inserting "section 119(c)(1)".

**CHAPTER 2—PROJECT APPROVAL**

**SEC. 1221. TRANSFER OF HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT FUNDS.**

Section 104 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1118), is amended by inserting after subsection (k) the following:

"(l) TRANSFER OF HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT FUNDS.—

"(1) TRANSFER OF HIGHWAY FUNDS.—Funds made available under this title and transferred for transit projects shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with chapter 53 of title 49, except that the provisions of this title relating to the non-Federal share shall apply to the transferred funds.

"(2) TRANSFER OF TRANSIT FUNDS.—Funds made available under chapter 53 of title 49 and transferred for highway projects shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with this title, except that the provisions of that chapter relating to the non-Federal share shall apply to the transferred funds.

"(3) TRANSFER TO AMTRAK AND PUBLICLY-OWNED PASSENGER RAIL LINES.—Funds made available under this title or chapter 53 of title 49 and transferred to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation or to any publicly-owned intercity or intracity passenger rail line shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with subtitle V of title 49, except that the provisions of this title or chapter 53 of title 49, as applicable, relating to the non-Federal share shall apply to the transferred funds.

"(4) TRANSFER OF OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—Obligation authority provided for projects described in paragraphs (1) through (3) shall be transferred in the same manner and amount as the funds for the projects are transferred."

**SEC. 1222. PROJECT APPROVAL AND OVERSIGHT.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 106 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the section heading and inserting the following:

**"§ 106. Project approval and oversight";**

(2) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as subsections (h) and (i), respectively;

(3) by striking subsections (a) through (d) and inserting the following:

"(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the State transportation department shall submit to the Secretary for approval such plans, specifications, and estimates for each proposed project as the Secretary may require. The Secretary shall act upon such plans, specifications, and estimates as soon as practicable after they have been submitted, and shall enter into a formal project agreement with the State transportation department formalizing the conditions of the project approval. The execution of such project agreement shall be deemed a contractual obligation of the Federal Government for the payment of its proportional contribution thereto. In taking such action, the Secretary shall be guided by the provisions of section 109 of this title.

"(b) PROJECT AGREEMENT.—The project agreement shall make provision for State funds required for the State's pro rata share of the cost of construction of the project and for the maintenance of the project after completion of construction. The Secretary may rely upon representations made by the State transportation department with respect to the arrangements or agreements made by the State transportation department and appropriate local officials where a part of the project is to be constructed at the expense of, or in cooperation with, local subdivisions of the State.

"(c) SPECIAL RULES FOR PROJECT OVERSIGHT.—

"(1) NHS PROJECTS.—Except as otherwise provided in subsection (d) of this section, the Secretary may discharge to the State any of the Secretary's responsibilities for the design, plans, specifications, estimates, contract awards, and inspection of projects under this title on the National Highway System. Before discharging responsibilities to the State, the Secretary shall reach agreement with the State as to the extent to which the State may assume the responsibilities of the Secretary under this subsection. The Secretary may not assume any greater responsibility than the Secretary is permitted under this title as of September 30, 1997, except upon agreement by the Secretary and the State.

"(2) NON-NHS PROJECTS.—For all projects under this title that are off the National Highway System, the State may request that the Secretary no longer review and approve the design, plans, specifications, estimates, contract awards, and inspection of projects under this title. After receiving any such request, the Secretary shall undertake project review only as requested by the State.

"(d) RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SECRETARY.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), nothing in this section, section 133, or section 149 shall affect or discharge any responsibility or obligation of the Secretary under any Federal law other than this title.

"(2) LIMITATION.—Any responsibility or obligation of the Secretary under sections 113 and 114 of this title shall not be affected and may not be discharged under this section, section 133, or section 149.

"(e) VALUE ENGINEERING ANALYSIS.—In such cases as the Secretary determines advisable, plans, specifications, and estimates for proposed projects on any Federal-aid highway shall be accompanied by a value engineering or other cost reduction analysis.

"(f) FINANCIAL PLAN.—The Secretary shall require a financial plan to be prepared for

any project with an estimated total cost of \$1,000,000,000 or more.

“(g) CONDITION OF METROPOLITAN HIGHWAYS; FISCAL CAPACITY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, before the Secretary approves any project that would result in the construction of a significant new highway or the addition of significant new capacity to an existing highway—

“(1) the State proposing the project shall—  
“(A) demonstrate to the Secretary that the State possesses sufficient fiscal capacity to ensure that the State will be capable of maintaining the physical condition of the new highway or highway capacity to the satisfaction of the Secretary over the useful life of the highway; and

“(B) agree to maintain the highway for the entirety of the useful life of the highway; and

“(2) the condition of not more than 40 percent of the lane miles of routes on the Interstate System and other freeways and expressways in the metropolitan areas of the State is classified as being poor or mediocre.”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1484

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

**SECTION 1. PURPOSES.**

The purposes of this Act are—

(1) to make funds available for the Federal-aid highway, highway safety, motor carrier safety, and mass transportation programs for the first 6 months of fiscal year 1998 by extending the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 1914) to ensure the continuation of the programs while a multiyear reauthorization is developed; and

(2) to provide a structure that allows programmatic, apportionment formula, and funding adjustments for the second 6 months of fiscal year 1998 through enactment of a law providing for a multiyear reauthorization.

**SEC. 2. EXTENSION OF FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY PROGRAMS.**

(a) MAJOR PROGRAMS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1003 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 1918) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS FOR PERIOD OF OCTOBER 1, 1997, THROUGH MARCH 31, 1998.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated out of the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs \$11,942,375,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998.

“(B) DISTRIBUTION.—Amounts made available under subparagraph (A) shall be distributed in accordance with this subsection.

“(2) CERTAIN DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS.—Of the amounts made available under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall deduct, for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998—

“(A) \$32,500,000 to carry out section 118(c)(2) of title 23, United States Code; and

“(B) \$30,250,000 to carry out the discretionary program under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 144(g) of that title.

“(3) STATE ALLOCATION PERCENTAGES.—Using amounts remaining after making the deductions under paragraph (2) and application of paragraphs (4) and (5), the Secretary shall determine the amount to be apportioned to each State in accordance with the percentage specified for the State in the following table:

“State:	Percentage:
Alabama .....	2.0026
Alaska .....	1.0499

“State:	Percentage:
Arizona .....	1.4627
Arkansas .....	1.5268
California .....	8.9046
Colorado .....	1.0443
Connecticut .....	1.9229
Delaware .....	0.4057
District of Columbia .....	0.4436
Florida .....	4.4867
Georgia .....	3.2899
Hawaii .....	0.6435
Idaho .....	0.6314
Illinois .....	3.6779
Indiana .....	2.4581
Iowa .....	1.1364
Kansas .....	1.1383
Kentucky .....	1.6617
Louisiana .....	1.4831
Maine .....	0.6458
Maryland .....	1.4512
Massachusetts .....	3.5632
Michigan .....	3.0432
Minnesota .....	1.4547
Mississippi .....	1.1286
Missouri .....	2.2677
Montana .....	0.7857
Nebraska .....	0.7501
Nevada .....	0.6218
New Hampshire .....	0.4764
New Jersey .....	2.6851
New Mexico .....	0.8767
New York .....	5.7882
North Carolina .....	2.7408
North Dakota .....	0.5972
Ohio .....	3.4702
Oklahoma .....	1.5021
Oregon .....	1.1378
Pennsylvania .....	4.5007
Rhode Island .....	0.4708
South Carolina .....	1.6019
South Dakota .....	0.5990
Tennessee .....	2.0954
Texas .....	6.9197
Utah .....	0.6672
Vermont .....	0.4287
Virginia .....	2.4440
Washington .....	1.7603
West Virginia .....	1.1088
Wisconsin .....	2.0159
Wyoming .....	0.5999
Puerto Rico .....	0.4312.

“(4) STATE PROGRAMMATIC DISTRIBUTION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Of the funds to be apportioned to each State under paragraph (3), the Secretary shall ensure that the State is apportioned an amount of the funds, determined under subparagraph (B)—

“(i) for the Interstate maintenance program under section 119 of title 23, United States Code;

“(ii) for the National Highway System under section 103 of that title;

“(iii) for the bridge program under section 144 of that title;

“(iv) for the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title;

“(v) for the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title;

“(vi) for minimum allocation under section 157 of that title;

“(vii) for Interstate reimbursement under section 160 of that title;

“(viii) for the donor State bonus under section 1013(c);

“(ix) for hold harmless under section 1015(a);

“(x) for the 90 percent of payments adjustments under section 1015(b);

“(xi) for metropolitan planning under section 134 of that title;

“(xii) for section 1015(c);

“(xiii) in an amount equal to the amount of funds provided under sections 1103 through 1108; and

“(xiv) for funding restoration under section 202 of the National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 571).

“(B) FORMULA.—The amount that each State shall be apportioned under this subsection for each item referred to in subparagraph (A) shall be determined by multiplying—

“(i) the amount apportioned to the State under paragraph (3); by

“(ii) the ratio that—

“(I) the amount of funds apportioned for the item, or allocated under sections 1103 through 1108, to the State for fiscal year 1997; bears to

“(II) the total of the amount of funds apportioned for the items, and allocated under those sections, to the State for fiscal year 1997.

“(C) MINIMUM ALLOCATION.—Not more than \$319,500,000 of the funds apportioned to States under this subsection for minimum allocation under section 157 of title 23, United States Code, shall not be subject to any obligation limitation.

“(D) SPECIAL RULE.—Amounts apportioned to a State under this subsection that are attributable to sections 1103 through 1108 shall be available to the State for projects eligible for assistance under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code.

“(E) ADMINISTRATION.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be administered as if the funds had been apportioned, allocated, deducted, or set aside, as the case may be, under title 23, United States Code.

“(5) GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES AND TERRITORIAL HIGHWAYS.—

“(A) GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES.—After making the determinations and before apportioning funds under paragraphs (3) and (4), the Secretary shall deduct the amount that would be required to be deducted under section 104(a) of title 23, United States Code, from the aggregate of amounts to be apportioned to all States for programs to which the deduction under that section would apply if that section applied to the apportionment.

“(B) TERRITORIAL HIGHWAYS.—After making the determinations and before apportioning funds under paragraphs (3) and (4), the Secretary shall deduct the amount required to be deducted under section 104(b)(1) of title 23, United States Code, for the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands from the aggregate of amounts to be apportioned to all States for the National Highway System under this subsection.”.

(2) NATIONAL RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM.—Section 104(h) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after “1997” the following: “and \$7,500,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998”.

(3) WOODROW WILSON BRIDGE.—Section 104(i)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after “1997” the following: “, and for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998.”.

(4) OFF-SYSTEM BRIDGES.—Section 144(g)(3) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after “1997,” the following: “and for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998.”.

(b) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS.—Section 1003(a)(6) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 1919) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking “1992 and” and inserting “1992.”; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, and \$95,500,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998”;

(2) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by striking “1995, and” and inserting “1995.”; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: “and \$86,000,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998”; and

(3) in subparagraph (C)—

(A) by striking “1995, and” and inserting “1995.”; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", and \$42,000,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998".

(c) CERTAIN ALLOCATED PROGRAMS.—

(1) HIGHWAY USE TAX EVASION.—Section 1040(f)(1) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat 1992) is amended in the first sentence by inserting before the period at the end the following: "and \$2,500,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998".

(2) SCENIC BYWAYS PROGRAM.—Section 1047(d) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 1998) is amended in the first sentence—

(A) by striking "1994, and" and inserting "1994,"; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", and \$7,000,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998".

(3) FERRY BOAT CONSTRUCTION.—Section 1064(c) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 129 note; 105 Stat. 2005) is amended—

(A) by striking "1996, and" and inserting "1996,"; and

(B) by inserting after "1997" the following: ", and \$9,000,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998".

(d) FISCAL YEAR 1998 OBLIGATION LIMITATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1002 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 1916) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) in paragraph (5), by striking "and" at the end;

(ii) in paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following: "(7) \$21,500,000,000 for fiscal year 1998."; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(h) SPECIAL RULE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1998.—The Secretary shall distribute—

"(1) on October 1, 1997, 50 percent of the limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs imposed by the Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1998; and

"(2) on July 1, 1998, 50 percent of the limitation.".

(2) LIMITATION.—Nothing in this section (including the amendments made by this section) shall apply to any funds made available before October 1, 1997, for carrying out—

(A) sections 125 and 157 of title 23, United States Code; and

(B) sections 1103 through 1108 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2027).

**SEC. 3. EXTENSION OF HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS.**

(a) NHTSA HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS.—Section 2005 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2079) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking "1996, and" and inserting "1996,"; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", and \$83,000,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998"; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting before the period at the end the following: "and \$22,000,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998".

(b) ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING COUNTER-MEASURES.—Section 410 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking "5" and inserting "6"; and

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking "and fifth" and inserting "fifth, and sixth";

(2) in subsection (d)(2)(B), by striking "two" and inserting "3"; and

(3) in the first sentence of subsection (j)—

(A) by striking "1997, and" and inserting "1997,"; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", and \$12,500,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998".

(c) NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER.—Section 30308(a) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking "1994, and" and inserting "1994,"; and

(2) by inserting after "1997," the following: "and \$1,855,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998".

(d) OBLIGATION LIMITATION.—The total of all obligations for highway traffic safety grants under sections 402 and 410 of title 23, United States Code, for fiscal year 1998 shall not exceed \$186,500,000.

**SEC. 4. FEDERAL TRANSIT PROGRAMS.**

(a) ALLOCATING AMOUNTS.—Section 5309(m)(1) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by inserting ", and for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998" after "1997".

(b) APPORTIONMENT OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION.—Section 5337 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by inserting "and for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998," after "1997,"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(e) SPECIAL RULE FOR OCTOBER 1, 1997, THROUGH MARCH 31, 1998.—The Secretary shall determine the amount that each urbanized area is to be apportioned for fixed guideway modernization under this section on a pro rata basis to reflect the partial fiscal year 1998 funding made available by section 5338(b)(1)(F)."

(c) AUTHORIZATIONS.—Section 5338 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by adding at the end the following:

"(F) \$1,284,792,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998."; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by adding at the end the following:

"(F) \$213,869,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998."; and

(2) in subsection (b)(1), by adding at the end the following:

"(F) \$1,162,708,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998."; and

(3) in subsection (c), by inserting "and not more than \$1,500,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998," after "1997,"; and

(4) in subsection (e), by inserting "and not more than \$3,000,000 is available from the Fund (except the Account) for the Secretary for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998," after "1997,";

(5) in subsection (h)(3), by inserting "and \$3,000,000 is available for section 5317 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998" after "1997";

(6) in subsection (j)(5)—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking "and" at the end;

(B) in subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

"(D) the lesser of \$1,500,000 or an amount that the Secretary determines is necessary is available for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998.";

(7) in subsection (k), by striking "or (e)" and inserting "(e), or (m)"; and

(8) by adding at the end the following:

"(m) SECTION 5316 FOR THE PERIOD OF OCTOBER 1, 1997, THROUGH MARCH 31, 1998.—Not

more than the following amounts may be appropriated to the Secretary from the Fund (except the Account) for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998:

"(1) \$125,000 to carry out section 5316(a).

"(2) \$1,500,000 to carry out section 5316(b).

"(3) \$500,000 to carry out section 5316(c).

"(4) \$500,000 to carry out section 5316(d).

"(5) \$500,000 to carry out section 5316(e)."

(d) OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS.—

(1) DISCRETIONARY GRANTS AND LOANS.—The total of all obligations from the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund for carrying out section 5309 of title 49, United States Code, relating to discretionary grants and loans, for fiscal year 1998 shall not exceed \$2,000,000,000.

(2) FORMULA TRANSIT PROGRAMS.—The total of all obligations for formula transit programs under sections 5307, 5310, 5311, and 5336 of title 49, United States Code, for fiscal year 1998 shall not exceed \$2,210,000,000.

**SEC. 5. EXTENSION OF MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY PROGRAM.**

(a) MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY FUNDING.—Section 31104(a) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraphs (1) through (5), by striking "not more" each place it appears and inserting "Not more"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(6) Not more than \$45,000,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998.".

(b) OBLIGATION LIMITATION.—The total of all obligations for carrying out the motor carrier safety program under section 31102 of title 49, United States Code, for fiscal year 1998 shall not exceed \$85,325,000.

**SEC. 6. EXTENSION OF RESEARCH PROGRAMS.**

(a) BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION STATISTICS.—Section 6006 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2172) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(a) IN GENERAL.—" before "Chapter I"; and

(2) in the first sentence of subsection (b)—

(A) by striking "1996, and" and inserting "1996,"; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", and \$12,500,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998".

(b) INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS.—Section 6058(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2194) is amended—

(1) by striking "1992 and" and inserting "1992,"; and

(2) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", and \$56,500,000 for the period of October 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998".

**SEC. 7. 1-YEAR EXTENSION OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND EXPENDITURES.**

(a) GENERAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY AND PURPOSES.—Paragraph (1) of section 9503(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) by striking "October 1, 1997" and inserting "October 1, 1998"; and

(2) by striking the last sentence and inserting the following new flush sentence:

"In determining the authorizations under the Acts referred to in the preceding subparagraphs, such Acts shall be applied as in effect on the date of the enactment of this sentence.".

(b) TRANSFERS TO OTHER ACCOUNTS.—

(1) Paragraphs (4)(A)(i) and (5)(A) of section 9503(c), and paragraph (3) of section 9503(e), of such Code are each amended by striking "October 1, 1997" and inserting "October 1, 1998".

(2) Subparagraph (E) of section 9503(c)(6) of such Code is amended by striking "September 30, 1997" and inserting "September 30, 1998".

(c) MASS TRANSIT ACCOUNT.—Paragraph (3) of section 9503(e) of such Code is amended—

(1) by striking "October 1, 1997" and inserting "October 1, 1998"; and

(2) by striking all that follows "the enactment of" and inserting "the last sentence of subsection (c)(1)."

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on October 1, 1997.

AMENDMENT No. 1485

On page 106, line 15, strike "\$70,000,000" and substitute "\$130,000,000" in lieu thereof.

AMENDMENT No. 1486

On page 8, line 15, strike "50" and insert "45" in lieu thereof.

On page 10, line 11, strike "50" and insert "45" in lieu thereof.

On page 10, after line 6 insert the following:

(iii) 10 percent in accordance with the reimbursement percentage original contributions to construction of segments of the Interstate System which were constructed without Federal assistance as specified in the following table:

States	Original cost in millions	Reimbursement percentage
Alabama	\$9	0.50
Alaska		0.50
Arizona	20	0.50
Arkansas	6	0.50
California	298	5.42
Colorado	23	0.50
Connecticut	314	5.71
Delaware	39	0.71
Florida	31	0.56
Georgia	46	0.84
Hawaii		0.50
Idaho	5	0.50
Illinois	475	8.62
Indiana	167	3.03
Iowa	5	0.50
Kansas	101	1.84
Kentucky	32	0.57
Louisiana	22	0.50
Maine	38	0.69
Maryland	154	2.79
Massachusetts	283	5.14
Michigan	228	4.14
Minnesota	16	0.50
Mississippi	6	0.50
Missouri	74	1.35
Montana	5	0.50
Nebraska	1	0.50
Nevada	2	0.50
New Hampshire	8	0.50
New Jersey	353	6.41
New Mexico	8	0.50
New York	929	16.88
North Carolina	36	0.65
North Dakota	3	0.50
Ohio	257	4.68
Oklahoma	91	1.66
Oregon	78	1.42
Pennsylvania	354	6.43
Rhode Island	12	0.50
South Carolina	4	0.50
South Dakota	5	0.50
Tennessee	7	0.50
Texas	200	3.64
Utah	6	0.50
Vermont	1	0.50
Virginia	111	2.01
Washington	73	1.32
West Virginia	5	0.50
Wisconsin	8	0.50
Wyoming	9	0.50
D.C.	9	0.50
Totals	\$4,967	100.00

AMENDMENT No. 1487

On page 2, strike "Sec. 1206 Metric Conversion at State Option" and renumber succeeding sections.

On page 144, line 1, strike all that follows through line 5, and renumber the succeeding sections.

AMENDMENT No. 1488

On page 156, strike lines 16 through 24 and insert the following

"(a) TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES.—Section 133 of title 23, United States Code, is amended in subsection (e)—"

AMENDMENT No. 1489

Beginning on page 5, strike line 12 and all that follows through page 43, line 8, and insert the following:

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$12,970,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$12,887,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$12,932,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$13,030,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$13,300,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$13,779,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$5,044,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$5,011,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$5,029,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$5,067,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, and \$5,359,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be used for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,535,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,526,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,531,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,542,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,574,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,631,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be used for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,676,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,626,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,653,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,711,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,871,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$8,154,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,261,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,253,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,257,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,267,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,293,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,340,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

"(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

"(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

"(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

"(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

"(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

"(aa) section 103;

"(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject

to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

"(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

"(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

"(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

"(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

"(aa) section 103;

"(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

"(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

"(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

"(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

"(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

"(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

"(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

"(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

"(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

"(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

"(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

"(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

"(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

"(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

"(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

"(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105

of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42

U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall

not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”

(c) MINIMUM GUARANTEE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 105 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 105. Minimum guarantee

“(a) ADJUSTMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In fiscal year 1998 and each fiscal year thereafter on October 1, or as soon as practicable thereafter, the Secretary shall allocate among the States specified in paragraph (2) amounts sufficient to ensure that the State's percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program is not less than the percentage specified for the State in paragraph (2).

“(2) STATE PERCENTAGES.—The percentage referred to in paragraph (1) for a specified State shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

State	Percentage
Connecticut .....	1.75
Hawaii .....	0.61
Maine .....	0.58
Maryland .....	1.52
Massachusetts .....	2.00
Nevada .....	0.74
New Hampshire .....	0.53
New Jersey .....	2.45
New Mexico .....	1.06
Rhode Island .....	0.59.

“(b) TREATMENT OF ALLOCATIONS.—

“(1) OBLIGATION.—Amounts allocated under subsection (a)—

“(A) shall be available for obligation when allocated and shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are allocated; and

“(B) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under this title.

“(2) SET-ASIDE.—Fifty percent of the amounts allocated under subsection (a) shall be subject to section 133(d)(3).

“(c) TREATMENT OF WITHHELD APPORTIONMENTS.—For the purpose of subsection (a), any funds that, but for section 158(b) or any other provision of law under which Federal-aid highway funds are withheld from apportionment, would be apportioned to a State for a fiscal year under a section referred to in subsection (a) shall be treated as being apportioned in that fiscal year.

“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this section.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 105 and inserting the following:

“105. Minimum guarantee.”

(d) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

“(i) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—From available administrative funds deducted under subsection (a), the Secretary may reimburse the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Transportation for the conduct of annual audits of financial statements in accordance with section 3521 of title 31.”

(e) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (e)—  
 (A) by inserting “NOTIFICATION TO STATES.—” after “(e)”;  
 (B) in the first sentence—  
 (i) by striking “(other than under subsection (b)(5) of this section)” and  
 (ii) by striking “and research”;  
 (C) by striking the second sentence; and  
 (D) in the last sentence, by striking “, except that” and all that follows through “such funds”; and  
 (2) in subsection (f)—  
 (A) by striking “(f)(1) On” and inserting the following:  
 “(f) METROPOLITAN PLANNING.—  
 “(1) SET-ASIDE.—On”;  
 (B) by striking “(2) These” and inserting the following:  
 “(2) APPORTIONMENT TO STATES OF SET-ASIDE FUNDS.—These”;  
 (C) by striking “(3) The” and inserting the following:  
 “(3) USE OF FUNDS.—The”;  
 (D) by striking “(4) The” and inserting the following:  
 “(4) DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS WITHIN STATES.—The”.  
 (f) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—  
 (1) Section 146(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “, 104(b)(2), and 104(b)(6)” and inserting “and 104(b)(2)”.  
 (2)(A) Section 150 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.  
 (B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 150.  
 (3) Section 158 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—  
 (A) in subsection (a)—  
 (i) by striking paragraph (1);  
 (ii) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively;  
 (iii) in paragraph (1) (as so redesignated)—  
 (I) by striking “AFTER THE FIRST YEAR” and inserting “IN GENERAL”; and  
 (II) by striking “, 104(b)(2), 104(b)(5), and 104(b)(6)” and inserting “and 104(b)(2)”; and  
 (iv) in paragraph (2) (as redesignated by clause (iii)), by striking “paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection” and inserting “paragraph (1)”; and  
 (B) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:  
 “(b) EFFECT OF WITHHOLDING OF FUNDS.—No funds withheld under this section from apportionment to any State after September 30, 1988, shall be available for apportionment to that State.”.  
 (4)(A) Section 157 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.  
 (B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 157.  
 (5)(A) Section 115(b)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “or 104(b)(5), as the case may be.”.  
 (B) Section 137(f)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(b)(5)(B) of this title” and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(A)”.  
 (C) Section 141(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(b)(5) of this title” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(A)”.  
 (D) Section 142(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “(other than section 104(b)(5)(A))”.  
 (E) Section 159 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—  
 (i) by striking “(5) of” each place it appears and inserting “(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997) of”; and  
 (ii) in subsection (b)—  
 (I) in paragraphs (1)(A)(i) and (3)(A), by striking “section 104(b)(5)(A)” each place it

appears and inserting “section 104(b)(5)(A) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”;  
 (II) in paragraph (1)(A)(ii), by striking “section 104(b)(5)(B)” and inserting “section 104(b)(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”;  
 (III) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking “(5)(B)” and inserting “(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”;  
 (IV) in paragraphs (3) and (4), by striking “section 104(b)(5)” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”.  
 (F) Section 161(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “paragraphs (1), (3), and (5)(B) of section 104(b)” each place it appears and inserting “paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b)”.  
 (6)(A) Section 104(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—  
 (i) in the first sentence, by striking “sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title” and inserting “subsection (b)(1)(B) and sections 130 and 152”;  
 (ii) in the first and second sentences—  
 (I) by striking “section” and inserting “provision”; and  
 (II) by striking “such sections” and inserting “those provisions”; and  
 (iii) in the third sentence—  
 (I) by striking “section 144” and inserting “subsection (b)(1)(B)”; and  
 (II) by striking “subsection (b)(1)” and inserting “subsection (b)(1)(C)”.  
 (B) Section 115 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—  
 (i) in subsection (a)(1)(A)(i), by striking “104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f), 144,” and inserting “104(b)(1)(B), 104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f),”; and  
 (ii) in subsection (c), by striking “144.”.  
 (C) Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the last sentence by striking “and in section 144 of this title”.  
 (D) Section 151(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(a), section 307(a), and section 144 of this title” and inserting “subsections (a) and (b)(1)(B) of section 104 and section 307(a)”.  
 (E) Section 204(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “or section 144 of this title”.  
 (F) Section 303(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 144 of this title” and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(B)”.  
**SEC. 1103. OBLIGATION CEILING.**  
 (a) GENERAL LIMITATIONS.—Subject to the other provisions of this section and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of all obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs shall not exceed—  
 (1) \$21,800,000,000 for fiscal year 1998;  
 (2) \$22,802,000,000 for fiscal year 1999;  
 (3) \$22,939,000,000 for fiscal year 2000;  
 (4) \$23,183,000,000 for fiscal year 2001;  
 (5) \$23,699,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; and  
 (6) \$24,548,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.  
 (b) EXCEPTIONS.—  
 (1) IN GENERAL.—The limitations under subsection (a) shall not apply to obligations of funds under—  
 (A) section 105(a) of title 23, United States Code (but, for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, only in an amount equal to the amount included for section 157 of title 23, United States Code, in the baseline determined by the Congressional Budget Office for the fiscal year 1998 budget);  
 (B) section 125 of that title;

(C) section 157 of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);  
 (D) section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (23 U.S.C. 144 note; 92 Stat. 2714);  
 (E) section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981 (95 Stat. 1701);  
 (F) subsections (b) and (j) of section 131 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (96 Stat. 2119);  
 (G) subsections (b) and (c) of section 149 of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 198); and  
 (H) sections 1103 through 1108 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2027).  
 (2) EFFECT OF OTHER LAW.—A provision of law establishing a limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs may not amend or limit the applicability of this subsection, unless the provision specifically amends or limits that applicability.  
 (c) APPLICABILITY TO TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.—Obligation limitations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs established by subsection (a) shall apply to transportation research programs carried out under chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code.  
 (d) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—Section 118 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:  
 “(g) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—  
 “(1) DISTRIBUTION.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall—  
 “(A) distribute the total amount of obligation authority for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs made available for the fiscal year by allocation in the ratio that—  
 “(i) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to each State for the fiscal year; bears to  
 “(ii) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to all States for the fiscal year;  
 “(B) provide all States with authority sufficient to prevent lapses of sums authorized to be appropriated for Federal-aid highways that have been apportioned to a State; and  
 “(C) notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), not distribute—  
 “(i) amounts deducted under section 104(a) for administrative expenses;  
 “(ii) amounts set aside under section 104(k) for Interstate 4R and bridge projects;  
 “(iii) amounts made available under sections 143, 164, 165, 204, 206, 207, and 322;  
 “(iv) amounts made available under section 111 of title 49;  
 “(v) amounts made available under section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.);  
 “(vi) amounts made available under section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938);  
 “(vii) amounts made available under sections 1503, 1603, and 1604 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;  
 “(viii) amounts made available under section 149(d) of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 201);  
 “(ix) amounts made available under section 105(a) to the extent that the amounts are subject to any obligation limitation under section 1103(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;”.

## AMENDMENT No. 1490

On page 177, between lines 5 and 6, insert the following:

(e) FUNDING.—Section 1064 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 129 note; 105 Stat. 2005) is amended by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) FUNDING.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) for obligation at the discretion of the Secretary in carrying out this section \$20,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(2) AVAILABILITY.—Amounts made available under paragraph (1) shall remain available until expended.”.

## AMENDMENT No. 1491

On page 20, line 22, strike all that follows through page 28, line 20, and insert the following in lieu thereof:

“(c) MAXIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

“(A) the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

“(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

“(C) the product obtained by multiplying the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B) by 145%;

“(D) for each of the fiscal years 1998 through 2003, in the case of each State with respect to which the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(A) is greater than the product determined under paragraph (1)(C), the Secretary shall reduce proportionately the apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program so that the total of the apportionments is equal to the product determined under paragraph (1)(C).

“(E) REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), funds made available under subparagraph (A) shall be distributed proportionately under section (A) shall be redistributed proportionately under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, to States not subject to a reduction under subparagraph (D).

“(ii) LIMITATION.—The ratio that—

(I) the total apportionments to a State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of clause (i); bears to

(II) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(B) with respect to the State may not exceed 145 percent.

## AMENDMENT No. 1492

On page 136, after line 22, insert the following:

**“SEC. 1128 HIGH COST BRIDGE AND INTERSTATE SYSTEM RECONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The following new section is added to Chapter 1, Title 23, United States Code:

**“§ 166. High cost bridge and interstate system reconstruction and improvement program**

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall establish and implement a high cost bridge and interstate reconstruction and improvement program in accordance with this section.

“(b) ELIGIBLE PROJECTS.—Funds made available to carry out the high cost bridge and interstate reconstruction and improvement program under this section for a fiscal year shall be available for obligation by the Secretary for major projects to replace or rehabilitate deficient bridges or any major reconstruction or improvement project to any highway designated as part of the Interstate System and open to traffic before the date of the enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997. Such funds shall be made available by the Secretary to any State applying for such funds only if the Secretary determines that—

“(1) the total cost of the project is greater than the lesser of \$200,000,000 or 50 percent of the aggregate amount of funds apportioned to the State under this title for such fiscal year;

“(2) the project is a ready-to-commence project;

“(3) the State agrees that it will not transfer funds apportioned to it under section 104(b)(5) for such fiscal year to any other program category; and

**MOSELEY-BRAUN (AND DURBIN)  
AMENDMENTS NOS. 1493–1505**

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Ms. MOSELEY-BRAUN (for herself and Mr. DURBIN) submitted 13 amendments intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

## AMENDMENT No. 1493

Beginning on page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 188, line 25 and insert the following:

**TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION**

**SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Act of 1997”.

**Subtitle A—General Provisions**

**SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.**

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$12,345,933,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$12,174,933,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$12,186,233,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$12,286,233,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$12,614,233,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$13,150,233,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,773,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,215,624,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,229,624,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,271,624,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,328,624,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,478,624,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$8,014,624,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,185,424,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,187,424,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,194,424,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,204,424,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,228,424,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,265,424,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

**SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

“(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(II) of paragraph (I)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(II) of paragraph (I)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”.

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”.

(c) MINIMUM GUARANTEE.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—Section 105 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 105. Minimum guarantee

“(a) ADJUSTMENT.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—In fiscal year 1998 and each fiscal year thereafter on October 1, or as soon as practicable thereafter, the Secretary shall allocate among the States amounts sufficient to ensure that—

“(A) the ratio that—

“(i) each State's percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year—

“(I) under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program; and

“(II) under this section; bears to

“(ii) each State’s percentage of estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in the State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available;

is not less than 0.90; and

“(B) in the case of a State specified in paragraph (2), the State’s percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year described in subclauses (I) and (II) of subparagraph (A)(i).

“(2) STATE PERCENTAGES.—The percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(B) for a specified State shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

“State	Percentage
Alaska .....	1.24
Arkansas .....	1.33
Delaware .....	0.47
Hawaii .....	0.55
Idaho .....	0.82
Montana .....	1.06
Nevada .....	0.73
New Hampshire .....	0.52
New Jersey .....	2.41
New Mexico .....	1.05
North Dakota .....	0.73
Rhode Island .....	0.58
South Dakota .....	0.78
Vermont .....	0.47
Wyoming .....	0.76.

“(b) TREATMENT OF ALLOCATIONS.—

“(1) OBLIGATION.—Amounts allocated under subsection (a)—

“(A) shall be available for obligation when allocated and shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are allocated; and

“(B) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under this title.

“(2) SET-ASIDE.—Fifty percent of the amounts allocated under subsection (a) shall be subject to section 133(d)(3).

“(c) TREATMENT OF WITHHELD APPORTIONMENTS.—For the purpose of subsection (a), any funds that, but for section 158(b) or any other provision of law under which Federal-aid highway funds are withheld from apportionment, would be apportioned to a State for a fiscal year under a section referred to in subsection (a) shall be treated as being apportioned in that fiscal year.

“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this section.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 105 and inserting the following:

“105. Minimum guarantee.”

(d) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

“(i) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—From available administrative funds deducted under subsection (a), the Secretary may reimburse the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Transportation for the conduct of annual audits of financial statements in accordance with section 3521 of title 31.”

(e) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (e)—

(A) by inserting “NOTIFICATION TO STATES.—” after “(e)”; and

(B) in the first sentence—

(i) by striking “(other than under subsection (b)(5) of this section)”; and

(ii) by striking “and research”;

(C) by striking the second sentence; and

(D) in the last sentence, by striking “, except that” and all that follows through “such funds”; and

(2) in subsection (f)—

(A) by striking “(f)(1) On” and inserting the following:

“(f) METROPOLITAN PLANNING.—

“(1) SET-ASIDE.—On”;

(B) by striking “(2) These” and inserting the following:

“(2) APPORTIONMENT TO STATES OF SET-ASIDE FUNDS.—These”;

(C) by striking “(3) The” and inserting the following:

“(3) USE OF FUNDS.—The”; and

(D) by striking “(4) The” and inserting the following:

“(4) DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS WITHIN STATES.—The”.

(f) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 146(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “, 104(b)(2), and 104(b)(6)” and inserting “and 104(b)(2)”.

(2)(A) Section 150 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 150.

(3) Section 158 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking paragraph (1);

(ii) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively;

(iii) in paragraph (1) (as so redesignated)—

(I) by striking “AFTER THE FIRST YEAR” and inserting “IN GENERAL”; and

(II) by striking “, 104(b)(2), 104(b)(5), and 104(b)(6)” and inserting “and 104(b)(2)”; and

(iv) in paragraph (2) (as redesignated by clause (ii)), by striking “paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection” and inserting “paragraph (1)”; and

(B) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) EFFECT OF WITHHOLDING OF FUNDS.—No funds withheld under this section from apportionment to any State after September 30, 1988, shall be available for apportionment to that State.”

(4)(A) Section 157 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 157.

(5)(A) Section 115(b)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “or 104(b)(5), as the case may be.”

(B) Section 137(f)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(b)(5)(B) of this title” and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(A)”.

(C) Section 141(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(b)(5) of this title” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(A)”.

(D) Section 142(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “(other than section 104(b)(5)(A))”.

(E) Section 159 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) by striking “(5) of” each place it appears and inserting “(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997) of”; and

(ii) in subsection (b)—

(I) in paragraphs (1)(A)(i) and (3)(A), by striking “section 104(b)(5)(A)” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(5)(A) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”; and

(II) in paragraph (1)(A)(ii), by striking “section 104(b)(5)(B)” and inserting “section 104(b)(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the

date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”; and

(III) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking “(5)(B)” and inserting “(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”; and

(IV) in paragraphs (3) and (4), by striking “section 104(b)(5)” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”.

(F) Section 161(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “paragraphs (1), (3), and (5)(B) of section 104(b)” each place it appears and inserting “paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b)”.

(6)(A) Section 104(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in the first sentence, by striking “sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title” and inserting “subsection (b)(1)(B) and sections 130 and 152”; and

(ii) in the first and second sentences—

(I) by striking “section” and inserting “provision”; and

(II) by striking “such sections” and inserting “those provisions”; and

(iii) in the third sentence—

(I) by striking “section 144” and inserting “subsection (b)(1)(B)”; and

(II) by striking “subsection (b)(1)” and inserting “subsection (b)(1)(C)”.

(B) Section 115 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in subsection (a)(1)(A)(i), by striking “104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f), 144,” and inserting “104(b)(1)(B), 104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f),”; and

(ii) in subsection (c), by striking “144,”.

(C) Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the last sentence by striking “and in section 144 of this title”.

(D) Section 151(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(a), section 307(a), and section 144 of this title” and inserting “subsections (a) and (b)(1)(B) of section 104 and section 307(a)”.

(E) Section 204(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “or section 144 of this title”.

(F) Section 303(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 144 of this title” and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(B)”.

#### SEC. 1103. OBLIGATION CEILING.

(a) GENERAL LIMITATIONS.—Subject to the other provisions of this section and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of all obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs shall not exceed—

(1) \$21,800,000,000 for fiscal year 1998;

(2) \$22,802,000,000 for fiscal year 1999;

(3) \$22,939,000,000 for fiscal year 2000;

(4) \$23,183,000,000 for fiscal year 2001;

(5) \$23,699,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; and

(6) \$24,548,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The limitations under subsection (a) shall not apply to obligations of funds under—

(A) section 105(a) of title 23, United States Code (but, for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, only in an amount equal to the amount included for section 157 of title 23, United States Code, in the baseline determined by the Congressional Budget Office for the fiscal year 1998 budget), excluding amounts allocated under section 105(a)(1)(B) of that title;

(B) section 125 of that title;

(C) section 157 of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(D) section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (23 U.S.C. 144 note; 92 Stat. 2714);

(E) section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981 (95 Stat. 1701);

(F) subsections (b) and (j) of section 131 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (96 Stat. 2119);

(G) subsections (b) and (c) of section 149 of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 198); and

(H) sections 1103 through 1108 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2027).

(2) EFFECT OF OTHER LAW.—A provision of law establishing a limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs may not amend or limit the applicability of this subsection, unless the provision specifically amends or limits that applicability.

(c) APPLICABILITY TO TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.—Obligation limitations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs established by subsection (a) shall apply to transportation research programs carried out under chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code.

(d) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—Section 118 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(g) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—

“(1) DISTRIBUTION.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall—

“(A) distribute the total amount of obligation authority for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs made available for the fiscal year by allocation in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to each State for the fiscal year; bears to

“(ii) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to all States for the fiscal year;

“(B) provide all States with authority sufficient to prevent lapses of sums authorized to be appropriated for Federal-aid highways that have been apportioned to a State; and

“(C) notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), not distribute—

“(i) amounts deducted under section 104(a) for administrative expenses;

“(ii) amounts set aside under section 104(k) for Interstate 4R and bridge projects;

“(iii) amounts made available under sections 143, 164, 165, 204, 206, 207, and 322;

“(iv) amounts made available under section 111 of title 49;

“(v) amounts made available under section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.);

“(vi) amounts made available under section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938);

“(vii) amounts made available under sections 1503, 1603, and 1604 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;

“(viii) amounts made available under section 149(d) of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 201);

“(ix) amounts made available under section 105(a)(1)(A) to the extent that the amounts are subject to any obligation limitation under section 1103(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;

“(x) amounts made available for implementation of programs under chapter 5 of this title and sections 5222, 5232, and 5241 of title 49; and

“(xi) amounts made available under section 412 of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995.

“(2) REDISTRIBUTION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Secretary shall, after August 1 of each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003—

“(A) revise a distribution of the funds made available under paragraph (1) for the fiscal year if a State will not obligate the amount distributed during the fiscal year; and

“(B) redistribute sufficient amounts to those States able to obligate amounts in addition to the amounts previously distributed during the fiscal year, giving priority to those States that have large unobligated balances of funds apportioned under section 104 and under section 144 (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this subparagraph).”.

(e) APPLICABILITY OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS.—An obligation limitation established by a provision of any other Act shall not apply to obligations under a program funded under this Act or title 23, United States Code, unless—

(1) the provision specifically amends or limits the applicability of this subsection; or

(2) an obligation limitation is specified in this Act with respect to the program.

**SEC. 1104. OBLIGATION AUTHORITY UNDER SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.**

Section 133 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A State that is required to obligate in an urbanized area with an urbanized area population of over 200,000 individuals under subsection (d) funds apportioned to the State under section 104(b)(3) shall make available during the 3-fiscal year period of 1998 through 2000, and the 3-fiscal year period of 2001 through 2003, an amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for use in the area that is equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

“(A) the aggregate amount of funds that the State is required to obligate in the area under subsection (d) during each such period; by

“(B) the ratio that—

“(i) the aggregate amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs during the period; bears to

“(ii) the total of the sums apportioned to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (excluding sums not subject to an obligation limitation) during the period.

“(2) JOINT RESPONSIBILITY.—Each State, each affected metropolitan planning organization, and the Secretary shall jointly ensure compliance with paragraph (1).”.

**SEC. 1105. EMERGENCY RELIEF.**

(a) FEDERAL SHARE.—Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “highway system” and inserting “highway”.

(b) ELIGIBILITY AND FUNDING.—Section 125 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a);

(2) by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and (d) as subsections (d), (e), and (f), respectively;

(3) by inserting after the section heading the following:

“(a) GENERAL ELIGIBILITY.—Subject to this section and section 120, an emergency fund is authorized for expenditure by the Secretary for the repair or reconstruction of highways, roads, and trails, in any part of the United States, including Indian reservations, that the Secretary finds have suffered serious damage as a result of—

“(1) natural disaster over a wide area, such as by a flood, hurricane, tidal wave, earthquake, severe storm, or landslide; or

“(2) catastrophic failure from any external cause.

“(b) RESTRICTION ON ELIGIBILITY.—In no event shall funds be used pursuant to this section for the repair or reconstruction of bridges that have been permanently closed to all vehicular traffic by the State or responsible local official because of imminent danger of collapse due to a structural deficiency or physical deterioration.

“(c) FUNDING.—Subject to the following limitations, there are hereby authorized to be appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as may be necessary to establish the fund authorized by this section and to replenish it on an annual basis:

“(1) Not more than \$100,000,000 is authorized to be obligated in any 1 fiscal year commencing after September 30, 1980, to carry out the provisions of this section, except that, if in any fiscal year the total of all obligations under this section is less than the amount authorized to be obligated in such fiscal year, the unobligated balance of such amount shall remain available until expended and shall be in addition to amounts otherwise available to carry out this section each year.

“(2) Pending such appropriation or replenishment, the Secretary may obligate from any funds heretofore or hereafter appropriated for obligation in accordance with this title, including existing Federal-aid appropriations, such sums as may be necessary for the immediate prosecution of the work herein authorized, provided that such funds are reimbursed from the appropriations authorized in paragraph (1) of this subsection when such appropriations are made.”;

(4) in subsection (d) (as so redesignated), by striking “subsection (c)” both places it appears and inserting “subsection (e)”;

(5) in subsection (e) (as so redesignated), by striking “on any of the Federal-aid highway systems” and inserting “Federal-aid highways”.

(c) SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a project to repair or reconstruct any portion of a Federal-aid primary route in San Mateo County, California, that—

(1) was destroyed as a result of a combination of storms in the winter of 1982–1983 and a mountain slide; and

(2) until its destruction, served as the only reasonable access route between 2 cities and as the designated emergency evacuation route of 1 of the cities;

shall be eligible for assistance under section 125(a) of title 23, United States Code, if the project complies with the local coastal plan.

**SEC. 1106. FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.**

(a) FEDERAL SHARE PAYABLE.—Section 120 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) USE OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds appropriated to any Federal land management agency may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any Federal-aid highway project the Federal share of which is funded under section 104.

“(k) USE OF FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds made available to carry out the Federal lands highways program under section 204 may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any project that is funded under section 104 and that provides access to or within Federal or Indian lands.”.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Section 203 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the authorization by the Secretary of engineering and related work for a Federal lands highways program project, or the approval by the Secretary of plans, specifications, and estimates for construction of a Federal lands highways program project, shall be deemed to constitute a contractual obligation of the Federal Government to the pay the Federal share of the cost of the project.”

(c) PLANNING AND AGENCY COORDINATION.—Section 204 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Recognizing the need for all Federal roads that are public roads to be treated under uniform policies similar to the policies that apply to Federal-aid highways, there is established a coordinated Federal lands highways program that shall apply to public lands highways, park roads and parkways, and Indian reservation roads and bridges.

“(2) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCEDURES.—In consultation with the Secretary of each appropriate Federal land management agency, the Secretary shall develop, by rule, transportation planning procedures that are consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes required under sections 134 and 135.

“(3) APPROVAL OF TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—The transportation improvement program developed as a part of the transportation planning process under this section shall be approved by the Secretary.

“(4) INCLUSION IN OTHER PLANS.—All regionally significant Federal lands highways program projects—

“(A) shall be developed in cooperation with States and metropolitan planning organizations; and

“(B) shall be included in appropriate Federal lands highways program, State, and metropolitan plans and transportation improvement programs.

“(5) INCLUSION IN STATE PROGRAMS.—The approved Federal lands highways program transportation improvement program shall be included in appropriate State and metropolitan planning organization plans and programs without further action on the transportation improvement program.

“(6) DEVELOPMENT OF SYSTEMS.—The Secretary and the Secretary of each appropriate Federal land management agency shall, to the extent appropriate, develop safety, bridge, pavement, and congestion management systems for roads funded under the Federal lands highways program.”

(2) in subsection (b), by striking the first 3 sentences and inserting the following: “Funds available for public lands highways, park roads and parkways, and Indian reservation roads shall be used by the Secretary and the Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency to pay for the cost of transportation planning, research, engineering, and construction of the highways, roads, and parkways, or of transit facilities within public lands, national parks, and Indian reservations. In connection with activities under the preceding sentence, the Secretary and the Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency may enter into construction contracts and other appropriate contracts with a State or civil subdivision of a State or Indian tribe.”

(3) in the first sentence of subsection (e), by striking “Secretary of the Interior” and inserting “Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency”;

(4) in subsection (h), by adding at the end the following:

“(8) A project to build a replacement of the federally owned bridge over the Hoover Dam in the Lake Mead National Recreation Area between Nevada and Arizona.”

(5) by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

“(i) TRANSFERS OF COSTS TO SECRETARIES OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCIES.—

“(1) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary shall transfer to the appropriate Federal land management agency from amounts made available for public lands highways such amounts as are necessary to pay necessary administrative costs of the agency in connection with public lands highways.

“(2) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING COSTS.—The Secretary shall transfer to the appropriate Federal land management agency from amounts made available for public lands highways such amounts as are necessary to pay the cost to the agency to conduct necessary transportation planning for Federal lands, if funding for the planning is not otherwise provided under this section.”; and

(6) in subsection (j), by striking the second sentence and inserting the following: “The Indian tribal government, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Interior, and as appropriate, with a State, local government, or metropolitan planning organization, shall carry out a transportation planning process in accordance with subsection (a).”

#### SEC. 1107. RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 205 the following:

##### “§ 206. Recreational trails program

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—

“(1) MOTORIZED RECREATION.—The term ‘motorized recreation’ means off-road recreation using any motor-powered vehicle, except for a motorized wheelchair.

“(2) RECREATIONAL TRAIL; TRAIL.—The term ‘recreational trail’ or ‘trail’ means a thoroughfare or track across land or snow, used for recreational purposes such as—

“(A) pedestrian activities, including wheelchair use;

“(B) skating or skateboarding;

“(C) equestrian activities, including carriage driving;

“(D) nonmotorized snow trail activities, including skiing;

“(E) bicycling or use of other human-powered vehicles;

“(F) aquatic or water activities; and

“(G) motorized vehicular activities, including all-terrain vehicle riding, motorcycling, snowmobiling, use of off-road light trucks, or use of other off-road motorized vehicles.

“(b) PROGRAM.—In accordance with this section, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture, shall carry out a program to provide and maintain recreational trails (referred to in this section as the ‘program’).

“(c) STATE RESPONSIBILITIES.—To be eligible for apportionments under this section—

“(1) a State may use apportionments received under this section for construction of new trails crossing Federal lands only if the construction is—

“(A) permissible under other law;

“(B) necessary and required by a statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-4 et seq.);

“(C) approved by the administering agency of the State designated under paragraph (2); and

“(D) approved by each Federal agency charged with management of the affected lands, which approval shall be contingent on

compliance by the Federal agency with all applicable laws, including the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1600 et seq.), and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.);

“(2) the Governor of a State shall designate the State agency or agencies that will be responsible for administering apportionments received under this section; and

“(3) the State shall establish within the State a State trail advisory committee that represents both motorized and nonmotorized trail users.

“(d) USE OF APPORTIONED FUNDS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Funds made available under this section shall be obligated for trails and trail-related projects that—

“(A) have been planned and developed under the laws, policies, and administrative procedures of each State; and

“(B) are identified in, or further a specific goal of, a trail plan or trail plan element included or referenced in a metropolitan transportation plan required under section 134 or a statewide transportation plan required under section 135, consistent with the statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-4 et seq.).

“(2) PERMISSIBLE USES.—Permissible uses of funds made available under this section include—

“(A) maintenance and restoration of existing trails;

“(B) development and rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and trail linkages;

“(C) purchase and lease of trail construction and maintenance equipment;

“(D) construction of new trails;

“(E) acquisition of easements and fee simple title to property for trails or trail corridors;

“(F) payment of costs to the State incurred in administering the program, but in an amount not to exceed 7 percent of the apportionment received by the State for a fiscal year; and

“(G) operation of educational programs to promote safety and environmental protection as these objectives relate to the use of trails.

“(3) USE OF APPORTIONMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D), of the apportionments received for a fiscal year by a State under this section—

“(i) 40 percent shall be used for trail or trail-related projects that facilitate diverse recreational trail use within a trail corridor, trailside, or trailhead, regardless of whether the project is for diverse motorized use, for diverse nonmotorized use, or to accommodate both motorized and nonmotorized recreational trail use;

“(ii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to motorized recreation; and

“(iii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to nonmotorized recreation.

“(B) SMALL STATE EXCLUSION.—Any State with a total land area of less than 3,500,000 acres, and in which nonhighway recreational fuel use accounts for less than 1 percent of all such fuel use in the United States, shall be exempted from the requirements of subparagraph (A) upon application to the Secretary by the State demonstrating that the State meets the conditions of this subparagraph.

“(C) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—Upon the request of a State trail advisory committee established under subsection (c)(3), the Secretary may waive, in whole or in part, the requirements of subparagraph (A) with respect to

the State if the State certifies to the Secretary that the State does not have sufficient projects to meet the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(D) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—State administrative costs eligible for funding under paragraph (2)(F) shall be exempt from the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(e) ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT OR MITIGATION.—To the extent practicable and consistent with the other requirements of this section, a State should give consideration to project proposals that provide for the redesign, reconstruction, nonroutine maintenance, or relocation of trails to benefit the natural environment or to mitigate and minimize the impact to the natural environment.

“(f) FEDERAL SHARE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the other provisions of this subsection, the Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall not exceed 80 percent.

“(2) FEDERAL AGENCY PROJECT SPONSOR.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a Federal agency that sponsors a project under this section may contribute additional Federal funds toward the cost of a project, except that—

“(A) the share attributable to the Secretary of Transportation may not exceed 80 percent; and

“(B) the share attributable to the Secretary and the Federal agency jointly may not exceed 95 percent.

“(3) USE OF FUNDS FROM FEDERAL PROGRAMS TO PROVIDE NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made available by the Federal Government under any Federal program that are—

“(A) expended in accordance with the requirements of the Federal program relating to activities funded and populations served; and

“(B) expended on a project that is eligible for assistance under this section; may be credited toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project.

“(4) PROGRAMMATIC NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—A State may allow adjustments to the non-Federal share of an individual project under this section if the Federal share of the cost of all projects carried out by the State under the program (excluding projects funded under paragraph (2) or (3)) using funds apportioned to the State for a fiscal year does not exceed 80 percent.

“(5) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Federal share of the administrative costs of a State under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with section 120(b).

“(g) USES NOT PERMITTED.—A State may not obligate funds apportioned under this section for—

“(1) condemnation of any kind of interest in property;

“(2) construction of any recreational trail on National Forest System land for any motorized use unless—

“(A) the land has been apportioned for uses other than wilderness by an approved forest land and resource management plan or has been released to uses other than wilderness by an Act of Congress; and

“(B) the construction is otherwise consistent with the management direction in the approved forest land and resource management plan;

“(3) construction of any recreational trail on Bureau of Land Management land for any motorized use unless the land—

“(A) has been apportioned for uses other than wilderness by an approved Bureau of Land Management resource management plan or has been released to uses other than wilderness by an Act of Congress; and

“(B) the construction is otherwise consistent with the management direction in the approved management plan; or

“(4) upgrading, expanding, or otherwise facilitating motorized use or access to trails predominantly used by nonmotorized trail users and on which, as of May 1, 1991, motorized use is prohibited or has not occurred.

“(h) PROJECT ADMINISTRATION.—

“(1) CREDIT FOR DONATIONS OF FUNDS, MATERIALS, SERVICES, OR NEW RIGHT-OF-WAY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this title or other law shall prevent a project sponsor from offering to donate funds, materials, services, or a new right-of-way for the purposes of a project eligible for assistance under this section. Any funds, or the fair market value of any materials, services, or new right-of-way, may be donated by any project sponsor and shall be credited to the non-Federal share in accordance with subsection (f).

“(B) FEDERAL PROJECT SPONSORS.—Any funds or the fair market value of any materials or services may be provided by a Federal project sponsor and shall be credited to the Federal agency's share in accordance with subsection (f).

“(2) RECREATIONAL PURPOSE.—A project funded under this section is intended to enhance recreational opportunity and is not subject to section 138 of this title or section 303 of title 49.

“(3) CONTINUING RECREATIONAL USE.—At the option of each State, funds made available under this section may be treated as Land and Water Conservation Fund apportionments for the purposes of section 6(f)(3) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-8(f)(3)).

“(4) COOPERATION BY PRIVATE PERSONS.—

“(A) WRITTEN ASSURANCES.—As a condition of making available apportionments for work on recreational trails that would affect privately owned land, a State shall obtain written assurances that the owner of the land will cooperate with the State and participate as necessary in the activities to be conducted.

“(B) PUBLIC ACCESS.—Any use of the apportionments to a State under this section on privately owned land must be accompanied by an easement or other legally binding agreement that ensures public access to the recreational trail improvements funded by the apportionments.

“(i) APPORTIONMENT.—

“(1) DEFINITION OF ELIGIBLE STATE.—In this subsection, the term ‘eligible State’ means a State that meets the requirements of subsection (c).

“(2) APPORTIONMENT.—Subject to subsection (j), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall apportion—

“(A) 50 percent of the amounts made available to carry out this section equally among eligible States; and

“(B) 50 percent of the amounts made available to carry out this section among eligible States in proportion to the quantity of non-highway recreational fuel used in each eligible State during the preceding year.

“(j) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Whenever an apportionment is made under subsection (i) of the amounts made available to carry out this section, the Secretary shall first deduct an amount, not to exceed 1 percent of the authorized amounts, to pay the costs to the Secretary for administration of, and research authorized under, the program.

“(2) USE OF CONTRACTS.—To carry out research funded under paragraph (1), the Secretary may—

“(A) enter into contracts with for-profit organizations; and

“(B) enter into contracts, partnerships, or cooperative agreements with other govern-

ment agencies, institutions of higher learning, or nonprofit organizations.

“(k) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$17,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$22,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$23,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$24,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall be determined in accordance with this section.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 is amended by striking part B of title I (16 U.S.C. 1261 et seq.).

(2) The analysis for chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 206 and inserting the following:

“206. Recreational trails program.”

#### SEC. 1108. VALUE PRICING PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “CONGESTION” and inserting “VALUE”; and

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “congestion” each place it appears and inserting “value”.

(b) INCREASED NUMBER OF PROJECTS.—Section 1012(b)(1) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended in the second sentence by striking “5” and inserting “15”.

(c) ELIGIBILITY OF PREIMPLEMENTATION COSTS.—Section 1012(b)(2) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended in the second sentence—

(1) by inserting after “Secretary shall fund” the following: “all preimplementation costs and project design, and”; and

(2) by inserting after “Secretary may not fund” the following: “the implementation costs of”.

(d) TOLLING.—Section 1012(b)(4) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by striking “a pilot program under this section, but not on more than 3 of such programs” and inserting “any value pricing pilot program under this subsection”.

(e) HOV PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by striking paragraph (6) and inserting the following:

“(6) HOV PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS.—Notwithstanding section 146(c) of title 23, United States Code, a State may permit vehicles with fewer than 2 occupants to operate in high occupancy vehicle lanes if the vehicles are part of a value pricing pilot program under this subsection.”

(f) FUNDING.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(7) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$8,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(B) AVAILABILITY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Funds allocated by the Secretary to a State under this subsection shall remain available for obligation by the State for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.

“(ii) USE OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS.—If the total amount of funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund under this subsection but not allocated exceeds \$8,000,000 as of September 30 of any year, the excess amount—

“(I) shall be apportioned in the following fiscal year by the Secretary to all States in accordance with section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code;

“(II) shall be considered to be a sum made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that the amount shall not be subject to section 133(d) of that title; and

“(III) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title.

“(C) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of any project under this subsection and the availability of funds authorized by this paragraph shall be determined in accordance with this subsection.”

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “projects” each place it appears and inserting “programs”; and

(2) in paragraph (5)—

(A) by striking “projects” and inserting “programs”; and

(B) by striking “traffic, volume” and inserting “traffic volume”.

#### SEC. 1109. HIGHWAY USE TAX EVASION PROJECTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 143 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

##### “§ 143. Highway use tax evasion projects

“(a) DEFINITION OF STATE.—In this section, the term ‘State’ means the 50 States and the District of Columbia.

“(b) PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall use funds made available under paragraph (7) to carry out highway use tax evasion projects in accordance with this subsection.

“(2) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—The funds may be allocated to the Internal Revenue Service and the States at the discretion of the Secretary.

“(3) CONDITIONS ON FUNDS ALLOCATED TO INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.—The Secretary shall not impose any condition on the use of funds allocated to the Internal Revenue Service under this subsection.

“(4) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—Funds made available under paragraph (7) shall be used only—

“(A) to expand efforts to enhance motor fuel tax enforcement;

“(B) to fund additional Internal Revenue Service staff, but only to carry out functions described in this paragraph;

“(C) to supplement motor fuel tax examinations and criminal investigations;

“(D) to develop automated data processing tools to monitor motor fuel production and sales;

“(E) to evaluate and implement registration and reporting requirements for motor fuel taxpayers;

“(F) to reimburse State expenses that supplement existing fuel tax compliance efforts; and

“(G) to analyze and implement programs to reduce tax evasion associated with other highway use taxes.

“(5) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—The Secretary may not make an allocation to a State under this subsection for a fiscal year unless the State certifies that the aggregate expenditure of funds of the State, exclusive of Federal funds, for motor fuel tax enforcement activities will be maintained at a level that does not fall below the average level of such expenditure for the preceding 2 fiscal years of the State.

“(6) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of a project carried out under this subsection shall be 100 percent.

“(7) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available to the Secretary from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(B) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall remain available for obligation for a period of 1 year after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.

“(c) EXCISE FUEL REPORTING SYSTEM.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than April 1, 1998, the Secretary shall enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service for the purposes of the development and maintenance by the Internal Revenue Service of an excise fuel reporting system (referred to in this subsection as the ‘system’).

“(2) ELEMENTS OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—The memorandum of understanding shall provide that—

“(A) the Internal Revenue Service shall develop and maintain the system through contracts;

“(B) the system shall be under the control of the Internal Revenue Service; and

“(C) the system shall be made available for use by appropriate State and Federal revenue, tax, or law enforcement authorities, subject to section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(3) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FROM HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection—

“(A) \$8,000,000 for development of the system; and

“(B) \$2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003 for operation and maintenance of the system.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 143 and inserting the following:

“143. Highway use tax evasion projects.”

(2) Section 1040 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 1992) is repealed.

(3) Section 8002 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 2203) is amended—

(A) in the first sentence of subsection (g), by striking “section 1040 of this Act” and inserting “section 143 of title 23, United States Code.”; and

(B) by striking subsection (h).

#### SEC. 1110. BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION AND PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS.

Section 217 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting “pedestrian walkways and” after “construction of”; and

(B) by striking “(other than the Interstate System)”;

(2) in subsection (e), by striking “, other than a highway access to which is fully controlled.”;

(3) by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Bicyclists and pedestrians shall be given consideration in the comprehensive transportation plans developed by each metropolitan planning organization and State in accordance with sections 134 and 135, respectively.

“(2) CONSTRUCTION.—Bicycle transportation facilities and pedestrian walkways shall be considered, where appropriate, in conjunction with all new construction and reconstruction of transportation facilities, except where bicycle and pedestrian use are not permitted.

“(3) SAFETY AND CONTIGUOUS ROUTES.—Transportation plans and projects shall provide consideration for safety and contiguous routes for bicyclists and pedestrians.”;

(4) in subsection (h)—

(A) by striking “No motorized vehicles shall” and inserting “Motorized vehicles may not”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) wheelchairs that are powered; and”; and

(5) by striking subsection (j) and inserting the following:

“(j) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION FACILITY.—The term ‘bicycle transportation facility’ means a new or improved lane, path, or shoulder for use by bicyclists or a traffic control device, shelter, or parking facility for bicycles.

“(2) PEDESTRIAN.—The term ‘pedestrian’ means any person traveling by foot or any mobility impaired person using a wheelchair.

“(3) WHEELCHAIR.—The term ‘wheelchair’ means a mobility aid, usable indoors, and designed for and used by individuals with mobility impairments, whether operated manually or powered.”.

#### SEC. 1111. DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.

(a) GENERAL RULE.—Except to the extent that the Secretary determines otherwise, not less than 10 percent of the amounts made available for any program under titles I and II of this Act shall be expended with small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.—The term “small business concern” has the meaning such term has under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632); except that such term shall not include any concern or group of concerns controlled by the same socially and economically disadvantaged individual or individuals which has average annual gross receipts over the preceding 3 fiscal years in excess of \$16,600,000, as adjusted by the Secretary for inflation.

(2) SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS.—The term “socially and economically disadvantaged individuals” has the meaning such term has under section 8(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(d)) and relevant subcontracting regulations promulgated pursuant thereto; except that women shall be presumed to be socially and economically disadvantaged individuals for purposes of this section.

(c) ANNUAL LISTING OF DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.—Each State shall annually survey and compile a list of the small business concerns referred to in subsection (a) and the location of such concerns in the State and notify the Secretary, in writing, of the percentage of such concerns

which are controlled by women, by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals (other than women), and by individuals who are women and are otherwise socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

(d) UNIFORM CERTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall establish minimum uniform criteria for State governments to use in certifying whether a concern qualifies for purposes of this section. Such minimum uniform criteria shall include but not be limited to on-site visits, personal interviews, licenses, analysis of stock ownership, listing of equipment, analysis of bonding capacity, listing of work completed, resume of principal owners, financial capacity, and type of work preferred.

#### SEC. 1112. FEDERAL SHARE PAYABLE.

Section 120 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1106(a)), is amended—

(1) in each of subsections (a) and (b), by adding at the end the following: "In the case of any project subject to this subsection, a State may determine a lower Federal share than the Federal share determined under the preceding sentences of this subsection."; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(1) CREDIT FOR NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

"(1) ELIGIBILITY.—A State may use as a credit toward the non-Federal share requirement for any program under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240) or this title, other than the emergency relief program authorized by section 125, toll revenues that are generated and used by public, quasi-public, and private agencies to build, improve, or maintain, without the use of Federal funds, highways, bridges, or tunnels that serve the public purpose of interstate commerce.

"(2) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The credit toward any non-Federal share under paragraph (1) shall not reduce nor replace State funds required to match Federal funds for any program under this title.

"(B) CONDITIONS ON RECEIPT OF CREDIT.—

"(i) AGREEMENT WITH THE SECRETARY.—To receive a credit under paragraph (1) for a fiscal year, a State shall enter into such agreements as the Secretary may require to ensure that the State will maintain its non-Federal transportation capital expenditures at or above the average level of such expenditures for the preceding 3 fiscal years.

"(ii) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding clause (i), a State may receive a credit under paragraph (1) for a fiscal year if, for any 1 of the preceding 3 fiscal years, the non-Federal transportation capital expenditures of the State were at a level that was greater than 30 percent of the average level of such expenditures for the other 2 of the preceding 3 fiscal years.

"(3) TREATMENT.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Use of the credit toward a non-Federal share under paragraph (1) shall not expose the agencies from which the credit is received to additional liability, additional regulation, or additional administrative oversight.

"(B) CHARTERED MULTISTATE AGENCIES.—When credit is applied from a chartered multistate agency under paragraph (1), the credit shall be applied equally to all charter States.

"(C) NO ADDITIONAL STANDARDS.—A public, quasi-public, or private agency from which the credit for which the non-Federal share is calculated under paragraph (1) shall not be subject to any additional Federal design standards or laws (including regulations) as a result of providing the credit beyond the standards and laws to which the agency is already subject."

#### SEC. 1113. STUDIES AND REPORTS.

(a) HIGHWAY ECONOMIC REQUIREMENT SYSTEM.—

(1) METHODOLOGY.—

(A) EVALUATION.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an evaluation of the methodology used by the Department of Transportation to determine highway needs using the highway economic requirement system (referred to in this subsection as the "model").

(B) REQUIRED ELEMENT.—The evaluation shall include an assessment of the extent to which the model estimates an optimal level of highway infrastructure investment, including an assessment as to when the model may be overestimating or underestimating investment requirements.

(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the evaluation.

(2) STATE INVESTMENT PLANS.—

(A) STUDY.—In consultation with State transportation departments and other appropriate State and local officials, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the extent to which the highway economic requirement system of the Federal Highway Administration can be used to provide States with useful information for developing State transportation investment plans and State infrastructure investment projections.

(B) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The study shall—

(i) identify any additional data that may need to be collected beyond the data submitted, prior to the date of enactment of this Act, to the Federal Highway Administration through the highway performance monitoring system; and

(ii) identify what additional work, if any, would be required of the Federal Highway Administration and the States to make the model useful at the State level.

(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study.

(b) INTERNATIONAL ROUGHNESS INDEX.—

(1) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the international roughness index that is used as an indicator of pavement quality on the Federal-aid highway system.

(2) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The study shall specify the extent of usage of the index and the extent to which the international roughness index measurement is reliable across different manufacturers and types of pavement.

(3) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study.

(c) REPORTING OF RATES OF OBLIGATION.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (m); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i) the following:

"(j) REPORTING OF RATES OF OBLIGATION.—On an annual basis, the Secretary shall publish or otherwise report rates of obligation of funds apportioned or set aside under this section and sections 103 and 133 according to—

"(1) program;

"(2) funding category or subcategory;

"(3) type of improvement;

"(4) State; and

"(5) sub-State geographic area, including urbanized and rural areas, on the basis of the population of each such area."

#### SEC. 1114. DEFINITIONS.

(a) FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FUNDS AND PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the undesignated paragraph defining "Federal-aid highways" the following:

"The term 'Federal-aid highway funds' means funds made available to carry out the Federal-aid highway program.

"The term 'Federal-aid highway program' means all programs authorized under chapters 1, 3, and 5."

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Section 101(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "the construction of Federal-aid highways or highway planning, research, or development" and inserting "the Federal-aid highway program".

(B) Section 104(m)(1) of title 23, United States Code (as redesignated by section 1113(c)(1)), is amended by striking "Federal-aid highways and the highway safety construction programs" and inserting "the Federal-aid highway program".

(C) Section 107(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the second sentence by striking "Federal-aid highways" and inserting "the Federal-aid highway program".

(b) ALPHABETIZATION OF DEFINITIONS.—Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by reordering the undesignated paragraphs so that they are in alphabetical order.

#### SEC. 1115. COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1107(a)), is amended by inserting after section 206 the following:

##### "§207. Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program

"(a) IN GENERAL.—There is established the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program (referred to in this section as the 'program'). Funds available for the program may be used for projects, or portions of projects, on highways that are owned or maintained by States or political subdivisions of States and that cross, are adjacent to, or lead to federally owned land or Indian reservations (including Army Corps of Engineers reservoirs), as determined by the State. Such projects shall be proposed by a State and selected by the Secretary. A project proposed by a State under this section shall be on a highway or bridge owned or maintained by the State, or 1 or more political subdivisions of the State, and may be a highway or bridge construction or maintenance project eligible under this title or any project of a type described in section 204(h).

"(b) DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS FOR PROJECTS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary—

"(i) after consultation with the Administrator of General Services, the Secretary of the Interior, and other agencies as appropriate (including the Army Corps of Engineers), shall determine the percentage of the total land in each State that is owned by the Federal Government or that is held by the Federal Government in trust;

"(ii) shall determine the sum of the percentages determined under clause (i) for States with respect to which the percentage is 4.5 or greater; and

"(iii) shall determine for each State included in the determination under clause (ii) the percentage obtained by dividing—

"(I) the percentage for the State determined under clause (i); by

"(II) the sum determined under clause (ii).

"(B) ADJUSTMENT.—The Secretary shall—

"(i) reduce any percentage determined under subparagraph (A)(iii) that is greater than 7.5 percent to 7.5 percent; and

"(ii) redistribute the percentage points equal to any reduction under clause (i)

among other States included in the determination under subparagraph (A)(ii) in proportion to the percentages for those States determined under subparagraph (A)(iii).

“(2) AVAILABILITY TO STATES.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall make funds available to carry out eligible projects in a State in an amount equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

“(A) the percentage for the State, if any, determined under paragraph (1); by

“(B) the funds made available for the program for the fiscal year.

“(3) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—The Secretary may establish deadlines for States to submit proposed projects for funding under this section, except that in the case of fiscal year 1998 the deadline may not be earlier than January 1, 1998. For each fiscal year, if a State does not have pending, by that deadline, applications for projects with an estimated cost equal to at least 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2), the Secretary may distribute, to 1 or more other States, at the Secretary's discretion,  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the amount by which the estimated cost of the State's applications is less than 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2).

“(c) TRANSFERS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a State and the Secretary may agree to transfer amounts made available to a State under this section to the allocations of the State under section 202 for use in carrying out projects on any Federal lands highway that is located in the State.

“(2) SPECIAL RULE.—This paragraph applies to a State that contains a national park that was visited by more than 2,500,000 people in 1996 and comprises more than 3,000 square miles of land area, including surface water, that is located in the State. For such a State, 50 percent of the amount that would otherwise be made available to the State for each fiscal year under the program shall be made available only for eligible highway uses in the national park and within the borders of the State. For the purpose of making allocations under section 202(c), the Secretary may not take into account the past or future availability, for use on park roads and parkways in a national park, of funds made available for use in a national park by this paragraph.120 “(d) RIGHTS-OF-WAY ACROSS FEDERAL LAND.—Nothing in this section affects any claim for a right-of-way across Federal land.

“(e) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 207 and inserting the following:

“207. Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program.”

**SEC. 1116. TRADE CORRIDOR AND BORDER CROSSING PLANNING AND BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) BORDER REGION.—The term “border region” means—

(A) the region located within 60 miles of the United States border with Mexico; and

(B) the region located within 60 miles of the United States border with Canada.

(2) BORDER STATE.—The term “border State” means a State of the United States that—

(A) is located along the border with Mexico; or

(B) is located along the border with Canada.

(3) BORDER STATION.—The term “border station” means a controlled port of entry into the United States located in the United States at the border with Mexico or Canada, consisting of land occupied by the station and the buildings, roadways, and parking lots on the land.

(4) FEDERAL INSPECTION AGENCY.—The term “Federal inspection agency” means a Federal agency responsible for the enforcement of immigration laws (including regulations), customs laws (including regulations), and agriculture import restrictions, including the United States Customs Service, the Immigration and Naturalization Service, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, the Food and Drug Administration, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Department of State.

(5) GATEWAY.—The term “gateway” means a grouping of border stations defined by proximity and similarity of trade.

(6) NON-FEDERAL GOVERNMENTAL JURISDICTION.—The term “non-Federal governmental jurisdiction” means a regional, State, or local authority involved in the planning, development, provision, or funding of transportation infrastructure needs.

(b) BORDER CROSSING PLANNING INCENTIVE GRANTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make incentive grants to States and to metropolitan planning organizations designated under section 134 of title 23, United States Code.

(2) USE OF GRANTS.—The grants shall be used to encourage joint transportation planning activities and to improve people and vehicle movement into and through international gateways as a supplement to statewide and metropolitan transportation planning funding made available under other provisions of this Act and under title 23, United States Code.

(3) CONDITION OF GRANTS.—As a condition of receiving a grant under paragraph (1), a State transportation department or a metropolitan planning organization shall certify to the Secretary that it commits to be engaged in joint planning with its counterpart agency in Mexico or Canada.

(4) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT.—Each State transportation department or metropolitan planning organization may receive not more than \$100,000 under this subsection for any fiscal year.

(5) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$1,400,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with subsection (f).

(c) TRADE CORRIDOR PLANNING INCENTIVE GRANTS.—

(1) GRANTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make grants to States to encourage, within the framework of the statewide transportation planning process of the State under section 135 of title 23, United States Code, cooperative multistate corridor analysis of, and planning for, the safe and efficient movement of goods along and within inter-

national or interstate trade corridors of national importance.

(B) IDENTIFICATION OF CORRIDORS.—Each corridor referred to in subparagraph (A) shall be cooperatively identified by the States along the corridor.

(2) CORRIDOR PLANS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—As a condition of receiving a grant under paragraph (1), a State shall enter into an agreement with the Secretary that specifies that, in cooperation with the other States along the corridor, the State will submit a plan for corridor improvements to the Secretary not later than 2 years after receipt of the grant.

(B) COORDINATION OF PLANNING.—Planning with respect to a corridor under this subsection shall be coordinated with transportation planning being carried out by the States and metropolitan planning organizations along the corridor and, to the extent appropriate, with transportation planning being carried out by Federal land management agencies, by tribal governments, or by government agencies in Mexico or Canada.

(3) MULTISTATE AGREEMENTS FOR TRADE CORRIDOR PLANNING.—The consent of Congress is granted to any 2 or more States—

(A) to enter into multistate agreements, not in conflict with any law of the United States, for cooperative efforts and mutual assistance in support of interstate trade corridor planning activities; and

(B) to establish such agencies, joint or otherwise, as the States may determine desirable to make the agreements effective.

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$3,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with subsection (f).

(d) FEDERAL ASSISTANCE FOR TRADE CORRIDORS AND BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE SAFETY AND CONGESTION RELIEF.—

(1) APPLICATIONS FOR GRANTS.—The Secretary shall make grants to States or metropolitan planning organizations that submit an application that—

(A) demonstrates need for assistance in carrying out transportation projects that are necessary to relieve traffic congestion or improve enforcement of motor carrier safety laws; and

(B) includes strategies to involve both the public and private sectors in the proposed project.

(2) SELECTION OF STATES, METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS, AND PROJECTS TO RECEIVE GRANTS.—In selecting States, metropolitan planning organizations, and projects to receive grants under this subsection, the Secretary shall consider—

(A) the annual volume of commercial vehicle traffic at the border stations or ports of entry of each State as compared to the annual volume of commercial vehicle traffic at the border stations or ports of entry of all States;

(B) the extent to which commercial vehicle traffic in each State has grown since the date of enactment of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 103-182) as compared to the extent to which that traffic has grown in each other State;

(C) the extent of border transportation improvements carried out by each State since the date of enactment of that Act;

(D) the reduction in commercial and other travel time through a major international gateway expected as a result of the project;

(E) the extent of leveraging of Federal funds provided under this subsection, including—

(i) use of innovative financing;

(ii) combination with funding provided under other sections of this Act and title 23, United States Code; and

(iii) combination with other sources of Federal, State, local, or private funding;

(F) improvements in vehicle and highway safety and cargo security in and through the gateway concerned;

(G) the degree of demonstrated coordination with Federal inspection agencies;

(H) the extent to which the innovative and problem solving techniques of the proposed project would be applicable to other border stations or ports of entry;

(I) demonstrated local commitment to implement and sustain continuing comprehensive border planning processes and improvement programs; and

(J) other factors to promote transport efficiency and safety, as determined by the Secretary.

(3) USE OF GRANTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—A grant under this subsection shall be used to develop project plans, and implement coordinated and comprehensive programs of projects, to improve efficiency and safety.

(B) TYPE OF PLANS AND PROGRAMS.—The plans and programs may include—

(i) improvements to transport and supporting infrastructure;

(ii) improvements in operational strategies, including electronic data interchange and use of telecommunications to expedite vehicle and cargo movement;

(iii) modifications to regulatory procedures to expedite vehicle and cargo flow;

(iv) new infrastructure construction;

(v) purchase, installation, and maintenance of weigh-in-motion devices and associated electronic equipment in Mexico or Canada if real time data from the devices is provided to the nearest border station and to State commercial vehicle enforcement facilities that serve the border station; and

(vi) other institutional improvements, such as coordination of binational planning, programming, and border operation, with special emphasis on coordination with—

(I) Federal inspection agencies; and

(II) their counterpart agencies in Mexico and Canada.

(4) CONSTRUCTION OF TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT PURPOSES.—At the request of the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with the Attorney General, the Secretary may transfer, during the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2001, not more than \$10,000,000 of the amounts made available under paragraph (5) to the Administrator of General Services for the construction of transportation infrastructure necessary for law enforcement in border States.

(5) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subsection \$125,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(e) COORDINATION OF PLANNING.—

(1) PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT OF BORDER STATIONS.—The General Services Administration shall be the coordinating Federal agency in the planning and development of new or expanded border stations.

(2) COOPERATIVE ACTIVITIES.—In carrying out paragraph (1), the Administrator of General Services shall cooperate with Federal inspection agencies and non-Federal governmental jurisdictions to ensure that—

(A) improvements to border station facilities take into account regional and local

conditions, including the alignment of highway systems and connecting roadways; and

(B) all facility requirements, associated costs, and economic impacts are identified.

(f) COST SHARING.—A grant under this section shall be used to pay the Federal share of the cost of a project. The Federal share shall not exceed 80 percent.

(g) USE OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS.—If the total amount of funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund under this section but not allocated exceeds \$4,000,000 as of September 30 of any year, the excess amount—

(1) shall be apportioned in the following fiscal year by the Secretary to all States in accordance with section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code;

(2) shall be considered to be a sum made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that the amount shall not be subject to section 133(d) of that title; and

(3) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title.

#### SEC. 1117. APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYSTEM.

(a) AVAILABILITY, RELEASE, AND REALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—Section 201(a) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) in the second sentence, by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, except that each allocation to a State shall remain available for expenditure in the State for the fiscal year in which the allocation is allocated and for the 3 following fiscal years”; and

(2) by inserting after the second sentence the following: “Funds authorized under this section for fiscal year 1998 or a fiscal year thereafter, and not expended by a State during the 4 fiscal years referred to in the preceding sentence, shall be released to the Commission for reallocation and shall remain available until expended.”

(b) SUBSTITUTE CORRIDOR.—Section 201(b) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), respectively;

(2) by striking “(b) The Commission” and inserting the following:

“(b) DESIGNATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) SUBSTITUTE CORRIDOR.—In lieu of Corridor H in Virginia, the Appalachian development highway system shall include the Virginia portion of the segment identified in section 1105(c)(29) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (109 Stat. 597).”

(c) FEDERAL SHARE FOR PREFINANCED PROJECTS.—Section 201(h)(1) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking “70 percent” and inserting “80 percent”.

(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) FISCAL YEARS 1998 THROUGH 2003.—For the continued construction of the Appalachian development highway system approved as of September 30, 1996, in accordance with this section, there shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) \$40,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2000, \$50,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$60,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$70,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(B) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—The Secretary shall provide equivalent amounts of

obligation authority for the funds authorized under subparagraph (A).

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share shall be determined in accordance with this section and the funds shall remain available in accordance with subsection (a).”

#### SEC. 1118. INTERSTATE 4R AND BRIDGE DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1113(c)(1)), is amended by inserting after subsection (j) the following:

“(k) SET-ASIDE FOR INTERSTATE 4R AND BRIDGE PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, before any apportionment is made under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall set aside \$70,000,000 from amounts to be apportioned under subsection (b)(1)(A), and \$70,000,000 from amounts to be apportioned under subsection (b)(1)(B), for allocation by the Secretary—

“(A) for projects for resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, or reconstructing any route or portion of a route on the Interstate System (other than any highway designated as a part of the Interstate System under section 103(c)(4) and any toll road on the Interstate System that is not subject to an agreement under section 119(e) (as in effect on December 17, 1991) or an agreement under section 129(a));

“(B) for projects for a highway bridge the replacement, rehabilitation, or seismic retrofit cost of which is more than \$10,000,000; and

“(C) for projects for a highway bridge the replacement, rehabilitation, or seismic retrofit cost of which is less than \$10,000,000 if the cost is at least twice the amount reserved under section 144(c) by the State in which the bridge is located for the fiscal year in which application is made for an allocation for the bridge under this subsection.

“(2) REQUIRED ALLOCATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (B), for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall allocate on October 1, for use for highway bridge projects, at least \$20,000,000 of the amounts set aside under paragraph (1) to any State that—

“(i) is apportioned for fiscal year 1998 under paragraphs (1)(B), (1)(C)(i)(III), and (3)(A)(iii) of subsection (b) an amount that is less than the amount apportioned to the State for the highway bridge replacement and rehabilitation program under section 144 for fiscal year 1997; and

“(ii) was apportioned for that program for fiscal year 1997 an amount greater than \$125,000,000.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—A State that transferred funds from the highway bridge replacement and rehabilitation program during any of fiscal years 1995 through 1997 in an amount greater than 10 percent of the apportionments for that program for the fiscal year shall not be eligible for an allocation under subparagraph (A).

“(C) ADDITIONAL ALLOCATION.—An allocation to a State under subparagraph (A) shall be in addition to any allocation to the State under paragraph (1).

“(3) AVAILABILITY TO STATES OF INTERSTATE 4R FUNDS.—The Secretary may grant the application of a State for funds made available for a fiscal year for a project described in paragraph (1)(A) if the Secretary determines that—

“(A) the State has obligated or demonstrates that it will obligate for the fiscal year all of the apportionments to the State

under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (b)(1) other than an amount that, by itself, is insufficient to pay the Federal share of the cost of a project described in paragraph (1)(A) that has been submitted by the State to the Secretary for approval; and

“(B) the State is willing and able to—

“(i) obligate the funds within 1 year after the date on which the funds are made available;

“(ii) apply the funds to a project that is ready to be commenced; and

“(iii) in the case of construction work, begin work within 90 days after the date of obligation of the funds.

“(4) ELIGIBILITY OF CERTAIN BRIDGES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any bridge that is owned and operated by an agency that does not have taxing powers and whose functions include operating a federally assisted public transit system subsidized by toll revenues shall be eligible for assistance under this subsection.

“(B) LIMITATION.—The amount of assistance under subparagraph (A) shall not exceed the cumulative amount that the agency has expended for capital and operating costs to subsidize the transit system.

“(C) DETERMINATION BY THE SECRETARY.—Before authorizing an expenditure of funds under this paragraph, the Secretary shall make a determination that the applicant agency has insufficient reserves, surpluses, and projected revenues (over and above those required for bridge and transit capital and operating costs) to fund the necessary bridge replacement, seismic retrofitting, or rehabilitation project.

“(D) CREDITING OF NON-FEDERAL FUNDS.—Any non-Federal funds expended for the seismic retrofit of the bridge may be credited toward the non-Federal share required as a condition of receipt of any Federal funds for seismic retrofit of the bridge made available after the date of expenditure.

“(5) PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY OF DISCRETIONARY FUNDS.—Amounts made available under this subsection shall remain available until expended.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 118 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (c).

**SEC. 1119. MAGNETIC LEVITATION TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY DEPLOYMENT PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 3 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 321 the following:

**“§322. Magnetic levitation transportation technology deployment program**

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) ELIGIBLE PROJECT COSTS.—The term ‘eligible project costs’ means the capital cost of the fixed guideway infrastructure of a MAGLEV project, including land, piers, guideways, propulsion equipment and other components attached to guideways, power distribution facilities (including substations), control and communications facilities, access roads, and storage, repair, and maintenance facilities, but not including costs incurred for a new station.

“(2) FULL PROJECT COSTS.—The term ‘full project costs’ means the total capital costs of a MAGLEV project, including eligible project costs and the costs of stations, vehicles, and equipment.

“(3) MAGLEV.—The term ‘MAGLEV’ means transportation systems employing magnetic levitation that would be capable of safe use by the public at a speed in excess of 240 miles per hour.

“(4) PARTNERSHIP POTENTIAL.—The term ‘partnership potential’ has the meaning given the term in the commercial feasibility study of high-speed ground transportation

conducted under section 1036 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240; 105 Stat. 1978).

“(b) ASSISTANCE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make available financial assistance to provide the Federal share of full project costs of eligible projects selected under this section.

“(2) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of full project costs under paragraph (1) shall be not more than ⅔.

“(3) USE OF ASSISTANCE.—Financial assistance provided under paragraph (1) shall be used only to pay eligible project costs of projects selected under this section.

“(c) SOLICITATION OF APPLICATIONS FOR ASSISTANCE.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997, the Secretary shall solicit applications from States, or authorities designated by 1 or more States, for financial assistance authorized by subsection (b) for planning, design, and construction of eligible MAGLEV projects.

“(d) PROJECT ELIGIBILITY.—To be eligible to receive financial assistance under subsection (b), a project shall—

“(1) involve a segment or segments of a high-speed ground transportation corridor that exhibit partnership potential;

“(2) require an amount of Federal funds for project financing that will not exceed the sum of—

“(A) the amounts made available under subsection (h)(1)(A); and

“(B) the amounts made available by States under subsection (h)(4);

“(3) result in an operating transportation facility that provides a revenue producing service;

“(4) be undertaken through a public and private partnership, with at least ⅓ of full project costs paid using non-Federal funds;

“(5) satisfy applicable statewide and metropolitan planning requirements;

“(6) be approved by the Secretary based on an application submitted to the Secretary by a State or authority designated by 1 or more States;

“(7) to the extent that non-United States MAGLEV technology is used within the United States, be carried out as a technology transfer project; and

“(8) be carried out using materials at least 70 percent of which are manufactured in the United States.

“(e) PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA.—Prior to soliciting applications, the Secretary shall establish criteria for selecting which eligible projects under subsection (d) will receive financial assistance under subsection (b). The criteria shall include the extent to which—

“(1) a project is nationally significant, including the extent to which the project will demonstrate the feasibility of deployment of MAGLEV technology throughout the United States;

“(2) timely implementation of the project will reduce congestion in other modes of transportation and reduce the need for additional highway or airport construction;

“(3) States, regions, and localities financially contribute to the project;

“(4) implementation of the project will create new jobs in traditional and emerging industries;

“(5) the project will augment MAGLEV networks identified as having partnership potential;

“(6) financial assistance would foster public and private partnerships for infrastructure development and attract private debt or equity investment;

“(7) financial assistance would foster the timely implementation of a project; and

“(8) life-cycle costs in design and engineering are considered and enhanced.

“(f) PROJECT SELECTION.—Not later than 90 days after a deadline established by the Secretary for the receipt of applications, the Secretary shall evaluate the eligible projects in accordance with the selection criteria and select 1 eligible project for financial assistance.

“(g) JOINT VENTURES.—A project undertaken by a joint venture of United States and non-United States persons (including a project involving the deployment of non-United States MAGLEV technology in the United States) shall be eligible for financial assistance under this section if the project is eligible under subsection (d) and selected under subsection (f).

“(h) FUNDING.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 1999 and \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2000.

“(ii) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subparagraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1, except that—

“(I) the Federal share of the cost of a project carried out under this section shall be determined in accordance with subsection (b); and

“(II) the availability of the funds shall be determined in accordance with paragraph (2).

“(B) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2000 and 2001, \$250,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$300,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(2) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds made available under paragraph (1) shall remain available until expended.

“(3) OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available to a State to carry out the surface transportation program under section 133 and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 may be used by the State to pay a portion of the full project costs of an eligible project selected under this section, without requirement for non-Federal funds.

“(4) OTHER ASSISTANCE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, an eligible project selected under this section shall be eligible for other forms of financial assistance provided under this title and the Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act of 1997, including loans, loan guarantees, and lines of credit.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 3 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 321 the following:

“322. Magnetic levitation transportation technology deployment program.”.

**SEC. 1120. WOODROW WILSON MEMORIAL BRIDGE.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 404 of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 628) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by striking “, including approaches thereto”; and

(2) in paragraph (5), by striking “to be determined under section 407. Such” and all that follows and inserting the following: “as described in the record of decision executed by the Secretary in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42

U.S.C. 4321 et seq.). The term includes ongoing short-term rehabilitation and repairs to the Bridge.”.

(b) OWNERSHIP OF BRIDGE.—

(1) CONVEYANCE BY THE SECRETARY.—Section 407(a)(1) of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 630) is amended by inserting “or any Capital Region jurisdiction” after “Authority” each place it appears.

(2) AGREEMENT.—Section 407 of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 630) is amended by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) AGREEMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The agreement referred to in subsection (a) is an agreement concerning the Project that is executed by the Secretary and the Authority or any Capital Region jurisdiction that accepts ownership of the Bridge.

“(2) TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT.—The agreement shall—

“(A) identify whether the Authority or a Capital Region jurisdiction will accept ownership of the Bridge;

“(B) contain a financial plan satisfactory to the Secretary, which shall be prepared before the execution of the agreement, that specifies—

“(i) the total cost of the Project, including any cost-saving measures;

“(ii) a schedule for implementation of the Project, including whether any expedited design and construction techniques will be used; and

“(iii) the sources of funding that will be used to cover any costs of the Project not funded from funds made available under section 412; and

“(C) contain such other terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to be appropriate.”.

(c) FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION.—The Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 627) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 412. FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION.

“(a) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) \$100,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$100,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$125,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$175,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$200,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$200,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, to pay the costs of planning, preliminary engineering and design, final engineering, acquisition of rights-of-way, and construction of the Project, except that the costs associated with the Bridge shall be given priority over other eligible costs, other than design costs, of the Project.

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this section shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that—

“(A) the funds shall remain available until expended;

“(B) the Federal share of the cost of the Bridge component of the Project shall not exceed 100 percent; and

“(C) the Federal share of the cost of any other component of the Project shall not exceed 80 percent.

“(b) USE OF APPORTIONED FUNDS.—Nothing in this title limits the authority of any Capital Region jurisdiction to use funds apportioned to the jurisdiction under paragraph (1) or (3) of section 104(b) of title 23, United States Code, in accordance with the requirements for such funds, to pay any costs of the Project.

“(c) AVAILABILITY OF APPORTIONED FUNDS.—None of the funds made available

under this section shall be available before the execution of the agreement described in section 407(c), except that the Secretary may fund the maintenance and rehabilitation of the Bridge and the design of the Project.”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 405(b)(1) of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 629) is amended by striking “the Signatories as to the Federal share of the cost of the Project and the terms and conditions related to the timing of the transfer of the Bridge to”.

SEC. 1121. NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENTS.

The National Highway System consists of the routes and transportation facilities depicted on the map submitted by the Secretary to Congress with the report entitled “Pulling Together: The National Highway System and its Connections to Major Intermodal Terminals” and dated May 24, 1996.

SEC. 1122. HIGHWAY BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND REHABILITATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 144 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “program”;

(2) by striking subsections (a) through (n), (p), and (q);

(3) by inserting after the section heading the following:

“(a) DEFINITION OF REHABILITATE.—In this section, the term ‘rehabilitate’ (in any of its forms), with respect to a bridge, means to carry out major work necessary—

“(1) to address the structural deficiencies, functional obsolescence, or physical deterioration of the bridge; or

“(2) to correct a major safety defect of the bridge, including seismic retrofitting.

“(b) BRIDGE INVENTORY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In consultation with the States, the Secretary shall—

“(A) annually inventory all highway bridges on public roads that cross waterways, other topographical barriers, other highways, and railroads;

“(B) classify each such bridge according to serviceability, safety, and essentiality for public use; and

“(C) assign each such bridge a priority for replacement or rehabilitation based on the classification under subparagraph (B).

“(2) CONSULTATION.—In preparing an inventory of highway bridges on Indian reservation roads and park roads under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consult with the Secretary of the Interior and the States.

“(3) INVENTORY OF HISTORICAL BRIDGES.—At the request of a State, the Secretary may inventory highway bridges on public roads for historical significance.

“(c) CERTIFICATION BY THE STATE.—Not later than 180 days after the end of each fiscal year beginning with fiscal year 1998, each State shall certify to the Secretary, either that—

“(1) the State has reserved, from funds apportioned to the State for the preceding fiscal year, to carry out bridge projects eligible under sections 103(b)(5), 119, and 133(b), an amount that is not less than the amount apportioned to the State under this section for fiscal year 1997; or

“(2) the amount that the State will reserve, from funds apportioned to the State for the period consisting of fiscal years 1998 through 2001, to carry out bridge projects eligible under sections 103(b)(5), 119, and 133(b), will be not less than 4 times the amount apportioned to the State under this section for fiscal year 1997.

“(d) USE OF RESERVED FUNDS.—A State may use funds reserved under subsection (c) to replace, rehabilitate, reconstruct, seismically retrofit, paint, apply calcium magnesium acetate to, apply sodium acetate/formate deicer to, or install scour counter-

measures on a highway bridge on a public road that crosses a waterway, other topographical barrier, other highway, or railroad.

“(e) OFF-SYSTEM BRIDGES.—

“(1) REQUIRED EXPENDITURE.—For each fiscal year, an amount equal to not less than 15 percent of the amount apportioned to a State under this section for fiscal year 1997 shall be expended by the State for projects to replace, rehabilitate, reconstruct, seismically retrofit, paint, apply calcium magnesium acetate to, apply sodium acetate/formate deicer to, or install scour countermeasures on highway bridges located on public roads that are functionally classified as local roads or rural minor collectors.

“(2) USE OF FUNDS TO MEET REQUIRED EXPENDITURE.—Funds reserved under subsection (c) and funds made available under section 104(b)(1) for the National Highway System or under section 104(b)(3) for the surface transportation program may be used to meet the requirement for expenditure under paragraph (1).

“(3) REDUCTION OF REQUIRED EXPENDITURE.—After consultation with local and State officials in a State, the Secretary may, with respect to the State, reduce the requirement for expenditure under paragraph (1) if the Secretary determines that the State has inadequate needs to justify the expenditure.

“(f) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall be as determined under section 120(b).

“(g) BRIDGE PERMIT EXEMPTION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), notwithstanding any other provision of law, the General Bridge Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 525 et seq.) shall apply to each bridge authorized to be replaced, in whole or in part, under this section.

“(2) EXCEPTION.—Section 502(b) of the General Bridge Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 525(b)) and section 9 of the Act of March 3, 1899 (30 Stat. 1151, chapter 425; 33 U.S.C. 401), shall not apply to any bridge constructed, reconstructed, rehabilitated, or replaced with assistance under this title if the bridge is over waters that are—

“(A) not used and not susceptible to use in their natural condition or by reasonable improvement as a means to transport interstate or foreign commerce; and

“(B)(i) not tidal; or

“(ii) tidal but used only by recreational boating, fishing, and other small vessels that are less than 21 feet in length.

“(h) INDIAN RESERVATION ROAD BRIDGES.—

“(1) NATIONWIDE PRIORITY PROGRAM.—The Secretary shall establish a nationwide priority program for improving deficient Indian reservation road bridges.

“(2) RESERVATION OF FUNDS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Of the amounts authorized for Indian reservation roads for each fiscal year, the Secretary, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Interior, shall reserve not less than \$9,000,000 for projects to replace, rehabilitate, seismically retrofit, paint, apply calcium magnesium acetate to, apply sodium acetate/formate deicer to, or install scour countermeasures for deficient Indian reservation road bridges, including multiple-pipe culverts.

“(B) ELIGIBLE BRIDGES.—To be eligible to receive funding under this subsection, a bridge described in subparagraph (A) must—

“(i) have an opening of 20 feet or more;

“(ii) be on an Indian reservation road;

“(iii) be unsafe because of structural deficiencies, physical deterioration, or functional obsolescence; and

“(iv) be recorded in the national bridge inventory administered by the Secretary under subsection (b).

“(3) APPROVAL REQUIREMENT.—Funds to carry out Indian reservation road bridge

projects under this subsection shall be made available only on approval of plans, specifications, and estimates by the Secretary.”;

(4) by redesignating subsection (o) as subsection (i); and

(5) in subsection (i) (as so redesignated)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “for alternative transportation purposes (including bikeway and walkway projects eligible for funding under this title)” after “adaptive reuse”;

(B) in paragraph (3)—

(i) by inserting “(regardless of whether the intended use is for motorized vehicular traffic or for alternative public transportation purposes)” after “intended use”; and

(ii) by inserting “or for alternative public transportation purposes” after “no longer used for motorized vehicular traffic”; and

(C) in the second sentence of paragraph (4)—

(i) by inserting “for motorized vehicles, alternative vehicular traffic, or alternative public transportation” after “historic bridge”; and

(ii) by striking “up to an amount not to exceed the cost of demolition”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 144 and inserting the following:

“144. Highway bridge replacement and rehabilitation.”.

#### SEC. 1123. CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.

(a) ESTABLISHED PROGRAM.—Section 149(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall establish” and inserting “IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out”.

(b) ELIGIBLE PROJECTS.—Section 149(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence—

(1) by striking “that was designated as a nonattainment area under section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7407(d)) during any part of fiscal year 1994” and inserting “that is designated as a nonattainment area under section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7407(d)) or classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under that Act, or if the project or program is for a maintenance area.”;

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “clauses (xii) and” and inserting “clause”; and

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “such section” and inserting “section 108(f)(1)(A) (other than clause (xvi)) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7408(f)(1)(A))”;

(3) in paragraph (2), by inserting “or maintenance” after “State implementation”;

(4) in paragraph (3), by inserting “or maintenance of the standard” after “standard”; and

(5) in paragraph (4), by inserting “or maintenance” after “attainment”.

(c) STATES RECEIVING MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Section 149 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) STATES RECEIVING MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—

“(1) STATES WITHOUT A NONATTAINMENT AREA.—If a State does not have, and never has had, a nonattainment area designated under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.), the State may use funds apportioned to the State under section 104(b)(2) for any project eligible under the surface transportation program under section 133.

“(2) STATES WITH A NONATTAINMENT AREA.—If a State has a nonattainment area or maintenance area and receives funds under section 104(b)(2)(D) above the amount of funds that the State would have received based on

its nonattainment and maintenance area population under subparagraphs (B) and (C) of section 104(b)(2), the State may use that portion of the funds not based on its nonattainment and maintenance area population under subparagraphs (B) and (C) of section 104(b)(2) for any project in the State eligible under section 133.”.

(d) FEDERAL SHARE.—Section 120(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “The” and inserting “Except in the case of a project funded from sums apportioned under section 104(b)(2), the”.

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the undesignated paragraph defining “maintenance” the following:

“The term ‘maintenance area’ means an area that was designated as a nonattainment area, but was later redesignated by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency as an attainment area, under section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7407(d)).”.

(2) Section 149(b)(1)(A)(ii) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “an area” and all that follows and inserting “a maintenance area; or”.

#### SEC. 1124. SAFETY BELT USE LAW REQUIREMENTS.

Section 355 of the National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 624) is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “AND MAINE”;

(2) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “States of New Hampshire and Maine shall each” and inserting “State of New Hampshire shall”; and

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “and 1996” and inserting “through 2000”; and

(3) by striking “or Maine” each place it appears.

#### SEC. 1125. SENSE OF THE SENATE CONCERNING RELIANCE ON PRIVATE ENTERPRISE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—It is the sense of the Senate that each agency authorized to expend funds made available under this Act, or an amendment made by this Act, or a recipient of any form of a grant or other Federal assistance under this Act, or an amendment made by this Act—

(1) should, in expending the funds or assistance, rely on entities in the private enterprise system to provide such goods and services as are reasonably and expeditiously available through ordinary business channels; and

(2) shall not duplicate or compete with entities in the private enterprise system.

(b) PROCEDURES.—The Secretary should provide procedures to inform each agency that administers this Act and each recipient of a grant or other Federal assistance of the sense of the Senate expressed in subsection (a).

#### SEC. 1126. STUDY OF USE OF UNIFORMED POLICE OFFICERS ON FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—In consultation with the States and State transportation departments, the Secretary shall conduct a study on the extent and effectiveness of use by States of uniformed police officers on Federal-aid highway construction projects.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the results of the study conducted under subsection (a), including any legislative and administrative recommendations of the Secretary.

#### SEC. 1127. CONTRACTING FOR ENGINEERING AND DESIGN SERVICES.

Section 112(b)(2) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)(i), by striking “, except to” and all that follows through “services”;

(2) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

“(C) SELECTION, PERFORMANCE, AND AUDITS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—All requirements for architectural, engineering, and related services at any phase of a highway project funded in whole or in part with Federal-aid highway funds shall be performed by a contract awarded in accordance with subparagraph (A).

“(ii) PROHIBITION ON STATE RESTRICTION.—A State shall not impose any overhead restriction that would preclude any qualified firm from being eligible to compete for contracts awarded in accordance with subparagraph (A).

“(iii) COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATIONS.—The process for selection, award, performance, administration, and audit of the resulting contracts shall comply with the cost principles and cost accounting principles of the Federal Acquisition Regulations, including parts 30, 31, and 36 of the Regulations.”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(H) COMPLIANCE.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—A State shall comply with the qualifications-based selection process, contracting based on the Federal Acquisition Regulations, and the single audit procedures required under this paragraph, or with an existing State law or a statute enacted in accordance with the legislative session exemption under subparagraph (G), with respect to any architecture, engineering, or related service contract for any phase of a Federal-aid highway project.

“(ii) STATES WITH ALTERNATIVE PROCESS.—Any State that, after November 28, 1995, enacted legislation to establish an alternative State process as a substitute for the contract administration and audit procedures required under this paragraph or was granted a waiver under subparagraph (G) shall submit the legislation to the Secretary, not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this subparagraph, for certification that the State legislation is in compliance with the statutory timetable and substantive criteria specified in subparagraph (G).”.

#### Subtitle B—Program Streamlining and Flexibility

#### CHAPTER 1—GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### SEC. 1201. ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.

Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Whenever an apportionment is made of the sums made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program under section 133, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149, or the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103, the Secretary shall deduct a sum, in an amount not to exceed 1½ percent of all sums so made available, as the Secretary determines necessary to administer the provisions of law to be financed from appropriations for the Federal-aid highway program and programs authorized under chapter 2.

“(2) CONSIDERATION OF UNOBLIGATED BALANCES.—In making the determination described in paragraph (1), the Secretary shall take into account the unobligated balance of any sums deducted under this subsection in prior fiscal years.

“(3) AVAILABILITY.—The sum deducted under paragraph (1) shall remain available until expended.”.

**SEC. 1202. REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION AND CORRIDOR PRESERVATION.**

(a) **ADVANCE ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY.**—Section 108 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the section heading and inserting the following:

**“§ 108. Advance acquisition of real property”;**  
and

(2) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—

“(1) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—For the purpose of facilitating the timely and economical acquisition of real property for a transportation improvement eligible for funding under this title, the Secretary, upon the request of a State, may make available, for the acquisition of real property, such funds apportioned to the State as may be expended on the transportation improvement, under such rules and regulations as the Secretary may issue.

“(2) **CONSTRUCTION.**—The agreement between the Secretary and the State for the reimbursement of the cost of the real property shall provide for the actual construction of the transportation improvement within a period not to exceed 20 years following the fiscal year for which the request is made, unless the Secretary determines that a longer period is reasonable.”

(b) **CREDIT FOR ACQUIRED LANDS.**—Section 323(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DONATED” and inserting “ACQUIRED”;

(2) by striking paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting the following:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, the State share of the cost of a project with respect to which Federal assistance is provided from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) may be credited in an amount equal to the fair market value of any land that—

“(A) is obtained by the State, without violation of Federal law; and

“(B) is incorporated into the project.

“(2) **ESTABLISHMENT OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.**—The fair market value of land incorporated into a project and credited under paragraph (1) shall be established in the manner determined by the Secretary, except that—

“(A) the fair market value shall not include any increase or decrease in the value of donated property caused by the project; and

“(B) the fair market value of donated land shall be established as of the earlier of—

“(i) the date on which the donation becomes effective; or

“(ii) the date on which equitable title to the land vests in the State.”;

(3) by striking paragraph (3);

(4) in paragraph (4), by striking “to which the donation is applied”;

(5) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (3).

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 108 and inserting the following:

“108. Advance acquisition of real property.”.

**SEC. 1203. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**

Section 118 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following:

“(e) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Any Federal-aid highway funds released by the final payment on a project, or by the modification of a project agreement, shall be credited to the same program funding category for which the funds were previously apportioned and shall be immediately available for obligation.

“(2) **TRANSFER OF INTERSTATE CONSTRUCTION FUNDS.**—Any Federal-aid highway funds apportioned to a State under section 104(b)(5)(A) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this paragraph) and credited under paragraph (1) may be transferred by the Secretary in accordance with section 103(d).”.

**SEC. 1204. PAYMENTS TO STATES FOR CONSTRUCTION.**

Section 121 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking the second and third sentences and inserting the following: “The payments may also be made for the value of such materials as—

“(1) have been stockpiled in the vicinity of the construction in conformity to plans and specifications for the projects; and

“(2) are not in the vicinity of the construction if the Secretary determines that because of required fabrication at an off-site location the materials cannot be stockpiled in the vicinity.”;

(2) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) **PROJECT AGREEMENTS.**—

“(1) **PAYMENTS.**—A payment under this chapter may be made only for a project covered by a project agreement.

“(2) **SOURCE OF PAYMENTS.**—After completion of a project in accordance with the project agreement, a State shall be entitled to payment, out of the appropriate sums apportioned or allocated to the State, of the unpaid balance of the Federal share of the cost of the project.”;

(3) by striking subsections (c) and (d); and

(4) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (c).

**SEC. 1205. PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 156 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 156. Proceeds from the sale or lease of real property**

“(a) **MINIMUM CHARGE.**—Subject to section 142(f), a State shall charge, at a minimum, fair market value for the sale, use, lease, or lease renewal (other than for utility use and occupancy or for a transportation project eligible for assistance under this title) of real property acquired with Federal assistance made available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account).

“(b) **EXCEPTIONS.**—The Secretary may grant an exception to the requirement of subsection (a) for a social, environmental, or economic purpose.

“(c) **USE OF FEDERAL SHARE OF INCOME.**—The Federal share of net income from the revenues obtained by a State under subsection (a) shall be used by the State for projects eligible under this title.”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 156 and inserting the following:

“156. Proceeds from the sale or lease of real property.”.

**SEC. 1206. METRIC CONVERSION AT STATE OPTION.**

Section 205(c)(2) of the National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 (23 U.S.C. 109 note; 109 Stat. 577) is amended by striking “Before September 30, 2000, the” and inserting “The”.

**SEC. 1207. REPORT ON OBLIGATIONS.**

Section 104(m) of title 23, United States Code (as redesignated by section 1113(c)(1)), is amended—

(1) by inserting “REPORT TO CONGRESS.—” before “The Secretary”;

(2) by striking “not later than” and all that follows through “a report” and inserting “a report for each fiscal year”;

(3) in paragraph (1), by striking “preceding calendar month” and inserting “preceding fiscal year”;

(4) by striking paragraph (2);

(5) in paragraph (3), by striking “such preceding month” and inserting “that preceding fiscal year”; and

(6) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively.

**SEC. 1208. TERMINATIONS.**

(a) **RIGHT-OF-WAY REVOLVING FUND.**—Section 108 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) **TERMINATION OF RIGHT-OF-WAY REVOLVING FUND.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Funds apportioned and advanced to a State by the Secretary from the right-of-way revolving fund established by this section prior to the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 shall remain available to the State for use on the projects for which the funds were advanced for a period of 20 years from the date on which the funds were advanced.

“(2) **CREDIT TO HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.**—With respect to a project for which funds have been advanced from the right-of-way revolving fund, upon the termination of the 20-year period referred to in paragraph (1), when actual construction is commenced, or upon approval by the Secretary of the plans, specifications, and estimates for the actual construction of the project on the right-of-way, whichever occurs first—

“(A) the Highway Trust Fund shall be credited with an amount equal to the Federal share of the funds advanced, as provided in section 120, out of any Federal-aid highway funds apportioned to the State in which the project is located and available for obligation for projects of the type funded; and

“(B) the State shall reimburse the Secretary in an amount equal to the non-Federal share of the funds advanced for deposit in, and credit to, the Highway Trust Fund.”.

(b) **PILOT TOLL COLLECTION PROGRAM.**—Section 129 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (d).

(c) **NATIONAL RECREATIONAL TRAILS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.**—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall take such action as is necessary for the termination of the National Recreational Trails Advisory Committee established by section 1303 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (16 U.S.C. 1262) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act).

(d) **CONGRESSIONAL BRIDGE COMMISSIONS.**—Public Law 87-441 (76 Stat. 59) is repealed.

**SEC. 1209. INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE.**

(a) **INTERSTATE FUNDS.**—Section 119 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking the second sentence;

(2) by striking subsection (d); and

(3) by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) **TRANSFERABILITY OF FUNDS.**—

“(1) **UNCONDITIONAL.**—A State may transfer an amount not to exceed 30 percent of the sums apportioned to the State under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 104(b)(1) to the apportionment of the State under paragraphs (1)(C) and (3) of section 104(b).

“(2) **UPON ACCEPTANCE OF CERTIFICATION.**—If a State certifies to the Secretary that any part of the sums apportioned to the State under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 104(b)(1) is in excess of the needs of the State for resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, or reconstructing routes and bridges on the Interstate System in the State and that the State is adequately maintaining the routes and bridges, and the Secretary accepts the

certification, the State may transfer, in addition to the amount authorized to be transferred under paragraph (1), an amount not to exceed 20 percent of the sums apportioned to the State under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 104(b)(1) to the apportionment of the State under paragraphs (1)(C) and (3) of section 104(b)."

(b) ELIGIBILITY.—Section 119 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first sentence of subsection (a), by striking "and rehabilitating" and inserting ", rehabilitating, and reconstructing";

(2) by striking subsections (b), (c), (e), and (g);

(3) by inserting after subsection (a) the following:

"(b) ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—A State—

"(A) may use funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B) of section 104(b)(1) for resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing routes on the Interstate System, including—

"(i) resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges, interchanges, and overcrossings;

"(ii) acquiring rights-of-way; and

"(iii) intelligent transportation system capital improvements that are infrastructure-based to the extent that they improve the performance of the Interstate System; but

"(B) may not use the funds for construction of new travel lanes other than high-occupancy vehicle lanes or auxiliary lanes.

"(2) EXPANSION OF CAPACITY.—

"(A) USING TRANSFERRED FUNDS.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), funds transferred under subsection (c)(1) may be used for construction to provide for expansion of the capacity of an Interstate System highway (including a bridge).

"(B) USING FUNDS NOT TRANSFERRED.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—In lieu of transferring funds under subsection (c)(1) and using the transferred funds for the purpose described in subparagraph (A), a State may use an amount of the sums apportioned to the State under subparagraph (A) or (B) of section 104(b)(1) for the purpose described in subparagraph (A).

"(ii) LIMITATION.—The sum of the amount used under clause (i) and any amount transferred under subsection (c)(1) by a State may not exceed 30 percent of the sums apportioned to the State under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 104(b)(1)."; and

(4) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (c).

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 119(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking "; except that the Secretary may only approve a project pursuant to this subsection on a toll road if such road is subject to a Secretarial agreement provided for in subsection (e)".

(2) Section 1009(c)(2) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 119 note; 105 Stat. 1934) is amended by striking "section 119(f)(1)" and inserting "section 119(c)(1)".

#### CHAPTER 2—PROJECT APPROVAL

##### SEC. 1221. TRANSFER OF HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT FUNDS.

Section 104 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1118), is amended by inserting after subsection (k) the following:

"(1) TRANSFER OF HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT FUNDS.—

"(1) TRANSFER OF HIGHWAY FUNDS.—Funds made available under this title and transferred for transit projects shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with chapter 53 of title 49, except that the provisions of this title relating to the non-Federal share shall apply to the transferred funds.

"(2) TRANSFER OF TRANSIT FUNDS.—Funds made available under chapter 53 of title 49 and transferred for highway projects shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with this title, except that the provisions of that chapter relating to the non-Federal share shall apply to the transferred funds.

"(3) TRANSFER TO AMTRAK AND PUBLICLY-OWNED PASSENGER RAIL LINES.—Funds made available under this title or chapter 53 of title 49 and transferred to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation or to any publicly-owned intercity or intracity passenger rail line shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with subtitle V of title 49, except that the provisions of this title or chapter 53 of title 49, as applicable, relating to the non-Federal share shall apply to the transferred funds.

"(4) TRANSFER OF OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—Obligation authority provided for projects described in paragraphs (1) through (3) shall be transferred in the same manner and amount as the funds for the projects are transferred."

##### SEC. 1222. PROJECT APPROVAL AND OVERSIGHT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 106 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the section heading and inserting the following:

"§ 106. Project approval and oversight";

(2) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as subsections (g) and (h), respectively;

(3) by striking subsections (a) through (d) and inserting the following:

"(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the State transportation department shall submit to the Secretary for approval such plans, specifications, and estimates as soon as practicable after they have been submitted, and shall enter into a formal project agreement with the State transportation department formalizing the conditions of the project approval. The execution of such project agreement shall be deemed a contractual obligation of the Federal Government for the payment of its proportional contribution thereto. In taking such action, the Secretary shall be guided by the provisions of section 109 of this title.

"(b) PROJECT AGREEMENT.—The project agreement shall make provision for State funds required for the State's pro rata share of the cost of construction of the project and for the maintenance of the project after completion of construction. The Secretary may rely upon representations made by the State transportation department with respect to the arrangements or agreements made by the State transportation department and appropriate local officials where a part of the project is to be constructed at the expense of, or in cooperation with, local subdivisions of the State.

"(c) SPECIAL RULES FOR PROJECT OVERSIGHT.—

"(1) NHS PROJECTS.—Except as otherwise provided in subsection (d) of this section, the Secretary may discharge to the State any of the Secretary's responsibilities for the design, plans, specifications, estimates, contract awards, and inspection of projects under this title on the National Highway System. Before discharging responsibilities to the State, the Secretary shall reach agreement with the State as to the extent to which the State may assume the responsibilities of the Secretary under this subsection. The Secretary may not assume any greater responsibility than the Secretary is permitted under this title as of September 30, 1997, except upon agreement by the Secretary and the State.

"(2) NON-NHS PROJECTS.—For all projects under this title that are off the National Highway System, the State may request that the Secretary no longer review and approve the design, plans, specifications, estimates, contract awards, and inspection of projects under this title. After receiving any such request, the Secretary shall undertake project review only as requested by the State.

"(d) RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SECRETARY.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), nothing in this section, section 133, or section 149 shall affect or discharge any responsibility or obligation of the Secretary under any Federal law other than this title.

"(2) LIMITATION.—Any responsibility or obligation of the Secretary under sections 113 and 114 of this title shall not be affected and may not be discharged under this section, section 133, or section 149.

"(e) VALUE ENGINEERING ANALYSIS.—In such cases as the Secretary determines advisable, plans, specifications, and estimates for proposed projects on any Federal-aid highway shall be accompanied by a value engineering or other cost reduction analysis.

"(f) FINANCIAL PLAN.—The Secretary shall require a financial plan to be prepared for any project with an estimated total cost of \$1,000,000,000 or more."

(b) STANDARDS.—

(1) ELIMINATION OF GUIDELINES AND ANNUAL CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—Section 109 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking subsection (m); and

(B) by redesignating subsections (n) through (q) as subsections (m) through (p), respectively.

(2) SAFETY STANDARDS.—Section 109 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by paragraph (1)), is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(q) PHASE CONSTRUCTION.—Safety considerations for a project under this title may be met by phase construction."

(c) PROGRAMS; PROJECT AGREEMENTS; CERTIFICATION ACCEPTANCE.—Sections 110 and 117 of title 23, United States Code, are repealed.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23 is amended—

(A) by striking the item relating to section 106 and inserting the following:

"106. Project approval and oversight.";

and

(B) by striking the items relating to sections 110 and 117.

(2) Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the undesignated paragraph defining "project agreement" by striking "the provisions of subsection (a) of section 110 of this title" and inserting "section 106".

(3) Section 114(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the second sentence by striking "section 117 of this title" and inserting "section 106".

##### SEC. 1223. SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.

(a) TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES.—Section 133 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (2), by striking "10" and inserting "8"; and

(B) in the first sentence of paragraph (3)(A), by striking "80" and inserting "82"; and

(2) in subsection (e)—

(A) in paragraph (3)(B)(i), by striking "if the Secretary" and all that follows through "activities"; and

(B) in paragraph (5), by adding at the end the following:

"(C) INNOVATIVE FINANCING.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year, the average annual non-Federal share of the

total cost of all projects to carry out transportation enhancement activities in a State shall be not less than the non-Federal share authorized for the State under section 120(b).

“(i) EXCEPTION.—Subject to clause (i), notwithstanding section 120, in the case of projects to carry out transportation enhancement activities—

“(I) funds from other Federal agencies, and other contributions that the Secretary determines are of value, may be credited toward the non-Federal share of project costs;

“(II) the non-Federal share may be calculated on a project, multiple-project, or program basis; and

“(III) the Federal share of the cost of an individual project subject to subclause (I) or (II) may be equal to 100 percent.”.

(b) PROGRAM APPROVAL.—Section 133(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) PROGRAM APPROVAL.—

“(A) SUBMISSION OF PROJECT AGREEMENT.—For each fiscal year, each State shall submit a project agreement that—

“(i) certifies that the State will meet all the requirements of this section; and

“(ii) notifies the Secretary of the amount of obligations needed to carry out the program under this section.

“(B) REQUEST FOR ADJUSTMENTS OF AMOUNTS.—As necessary, each State shall request from the Secretary adjustments to the amount of obligations referred to in subparagraph (A)(ii).

“(C) EFFECT OF APPROVAL BY THE SECRETARY.—Approval by the Secretary of a project agreement under subparagraph (A) shall be deemed a contractual obligation of the United States to pay surface transportation program funds made available under this title.”.

(c) PAYMENTS.—Section 133(e)(3)(A) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the second sentence.

#### SEC. 1224. DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACTING.

(a) AUTHORITY.—Section 112(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first sentence of paragraph (1), by striking “paragraph (2)” and inserting “paragraphs (2) and (3)”;

(2) in paragraph (2)(A), by striking “Each” and inserting “Subject to paragraph (3), each”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACTING.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A State transportation department may award a contract for the design and construction of a qualified project described in subparagraph (B) using competitive selection procedures approved by the Secretary.

“(B) QUALIFIED PROJECTS.—A qualified project referred to in subparagraph (A) is a project under this chapter that involves installation of an intelligent transportation system or that consists of a usable project segment and for which—

“(i) the Secretary has approved the use of design-build contracting described in subparagraph (A) under criteria specified in regulations promulgated by the Secretary; and

“(ii) the total costs are estimated to exceed—

“(I) in the case of a project that involves installation of an intelligent transportation system, \$5,000,000; and

“(II) in the case of a usable project segment, \$50,000,000.”.

(b) COMPETITIVE BIDDING DEFINED.—Section 112 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) COMPETITIVE BIDDING DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘competitive bidding’ means the procedures used to award con-

tracts for engineering and design services under subsection (b)(2) and design-build contracts under subsection (b)(3).”.

(c) REGULATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than the effective date specified in subsection (e), the Secretary shall promulgate regulations to carry out the amendments made by this section.

(2) CONTENTS.—The regulations shall—

(A) identify the criteria to be used by the Secretary in approving the use by a State transportation department of design-build contracting; and

(B) establish the procedures to be followed by a State transportation department for obtaining the Secretary’s approval of the use of design-build contracting by the department and the selection procedures used by the department.

(d) EFFECT ON EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM.—Nothing in this section or the amendments made by this section affects the authority to carry out, or any project carried out under, any experimental program concerning design-build contracting that is being carried out by the Secretary as of the date of enactment of this Act.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE FOR AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by this section take effect 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

#### SEC. 1225. INTEGRATED DECISIONMAKING PROCESS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter III of chapter 3 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

##### “§ 354. Integrated decisionmaking process

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) INTEGRATED DECISIONMAKING PROCESS.—The term ‘integrated decisionmaking process’ means the integrated decisionmaking process established with respect to a surface transportation project under subsection (b).

“(2) NEPA PROCESS.—The term ‘NEPA process’ means the process of complying with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) with respect to a surface transportation project.

“(3) SECRETARY.—The term ‘Secretary’ means the Secretary of Transportation.

“(4) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROJECT.—The term ‘surface transportation project’ means—

“(A) a highway construction project that is subject to the approval of the Secretary under title 23; and

“(B) a capital project (as defined in section 5302(a)(1)).

“(b) ESTABLISHMENT OF INTEGRATED DECISIONMAKING PROCESSES FOR SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall—

“(1) establish an integrated decisionmaking process for surface transportation projects that designates major decision points likely to have significant environmental effects and conflicts; and

“(2) integrate the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) with the requirements established by the Secretary for transportation planning and decisionmaking.

“(c) INTEGRATED DECISIONMAKING GOALS.—The integrated decisionmaking process for surface transportation projects should, to the maximum extent practicable, accomplish the following major goals:

“(1) Integrate the NEPA process with the planning, predesign stage, and decisionmaking for surface transportation projects at the earliest possible time.

“(2) Integrate all applicable Federal, State, tribal, and local permitting requirements.

“(3) Integrate national transportation, social, safety, economic, and environmental goals with State, tribal, and local land use and growth management initiatives.

“(4) Consolidate Federal, State, tribal, and local decisionmaking to achieve the best overall public interest according to an agreed schedule.

“(d) STREAMLINING.—

“(1) AVOIDANCE OF DELAYS, PREVENTION OF CONFLICTS, AND ELIMINATION OF UNNECESSARY DUPLICATION.—The Secretary shall design the integrated decisionmaking process to avoid delays in decisionmaking, prevent conflicts between cooperating agencies and members of the public, and eliminate unnecessary duplication of review and decisionmaking relating to surface transportation projects.

“(2) INTEGRATION; COMPREHENSIVE PROCESS.—The NEPA process—

“(A) shall be integrated with the transportation planning and decisionmaking of the Federal, State, tribal, and local transportation agencies; and

“(B) serve as a comprehensive decisionmaking process.

“(3) OTHER REQUIREMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall—

“(i) establish a concurrent transportation and environmental coordination process to reduce paperwork, combine review documents, and eliminate duplicative reviews;

“(ii) develop interagency agreements to streamline and improve interagency coordination and processing time;

“(iii) apply strategic and programmatic approaches to better integrate and expedite the NEPA process and transportation decisionmaking; and

“(iv) ensure, in appropriate cases, by conducting concurrent reviews whenever possible, that any analyses and reviews conducted by the Secretary consider the needs of other reviewing agencies.

“(B) TIME SCHEDULES.—To comply with subparagraph (A)(ii), time schedules shall be consistent with sections 1501.8 and 1506.10 of title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulations).

“(4) CONCURRENT PROCESSING.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The integrated decisionmaking process shall, to the extent practicable, include a procedure to provide for concurrent (rather than sequential) processing of all Federal, State, tribal, and local reviews and decisions emanating from those reviews.

“(B) INCONSISTENCY WITH OTHER REQUIREMENTS.—Subparagraph (A) does not require concurrent review if concurrent review would be inconsistent with other statutory or regulatory requirements.

“(e) INTERAGENCY COOPERATION.—

“(1) LEAD AND COOPERATING AGENCY CONCEPTS.—The lead and cooperating agency concepts of section 1501 of title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulation), shall be considered essential elements to ensure integration of transportation decisionmaking.

“(2) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The Secretary shall—

“(A) not later than 60 days after the date on which a surface transportation project is selected for study by a State, identify each Federal agency that may be required to participate in the integrated decisionmaking process relating to the surface transportation project and notify the agency of the surface transportation project;

“(B) afford State, regional, tribal, and local governments with decisionmaking authority on surface transportation projects the opportunity to serve as cooperating agencies;

“(C) provide cooperating agencies the results of any analysis or other information related to a surface transportation project;

“(D) host an early scoping meeting for Federal agencies and, when appropriate, conduct field reviews, as soon as practicable in the environmental review process;

“(E) solicit from each cooperating agency as early as practicable the data and analyses necessary to facilitate execution of the duties of each cooperating agency;

“(F) use, to the maximum extent possible, scientific, technical, and environmental data and analyses previously prepared by or for other Federal, State, tribal, or local agencies, after an independent evaluation by the Secretary of the data and analyses;

“(G) jointly, with the cooperating agencies, host public meetings and other community participation processes; and

“(H) ensure that the NEPA process and documentation provide all necessary information for the cooperating agency to—

“(i) discharge the responsibilities of the cooperating agency under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and other law; and

“(ii) grant approvals, permits, licenses, and clearances.

“(f) ENHANCED SCOPING PROCESS.—During the scoping process for a surface transportation project, in addition to other statutory and regulatory requirements, the Secretary shall, to the extent practicable—

“(1) provide the public with clearly understandable milestones that occur during an integrated decisionmaking process;

“(2) ensure that all agencies with jurisdiction by law or with special expertise have sufficient information and data to discharge their responsibilities;

“(3) ensure that all agencies with jurisdiction by law or with special expertise, and the public, are invited to participate in the initial scoping process;

“(4) coordinate with other agencies to ensure that the agencies provide to the Secretary, not later than 30 days after the first interagency scoping meeting, any preliminary concerns about how the proposed project may affect matters within their jurisdiction or special expertise based on information available at the time of the scoping meeting; and

“(5) in cooperation with all cooperating agencies, develop a schedule for conducting all necessary environmental and other review processes.

“(g) USE OF TITLE 23 FUNDS.—

“(1) USE BY STATES.—A State may use funds made available under section 104(b) or 105 of title 23 to provide resources to Federal or State agencies involved in the review or permitting process for a surface transportation project in order to meet a time schedule established under this section.

“(2) USE AT SECRETARY'S DISCRETION.—At the request of another Federal agency involved in the review or permitting process for a surface transportation project, the Secretary may provide funds under chapter 1 of title 23 to the agency to provide resources necessary to meet the time schedules established under this section.

“(2) AMOUNT.—Funds may be provided under paragraph (1) in the amount by which the cost to complete an environmental review in accordance with a time schedule established under this section exceeds the cost that would be incurred if there were no such time schedule.

“(3) NOT FINAL AGENCY ACTION.—The provision of funds under paragraph (1) does not constitute a final agency action.

“(h) STATE ROLE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For any project eligible for assistance under chapter 1 of title 23, a State may require, by law or agreement coordinating with all related State agencies, that all State agencies that—

“(A) have jurisdiction by Federal or State law over environmental, growth management, or land-use related issues that may be affected by a surface transportation project; or

“(B) have responsibility for issuing any environment related reviews, analyses, opinions, or determinations;

be subject to the coordinated environmental review process provided under this section in issuing any analyses or approvals or taking any other action relating to the project.

“(2) ALL AGENCIES.—If a State requires that any State agency participate in a coordinated environmental review process, the State shall require all affected State agencies to participate.

“(i) EARLY ACTION REGARDING POTENTIALLY INSURMOUNTABLE OBSTACLES.—If, at any time during the integrated decisionmaking process for a proposed surface transportation project, a cooperating agency determines that there is any potentially insurmountable obstacle associated with any of the alternative transportation projects that might be undertaken to address the obstacle, the Secretary shall—

“(1) convene a meeting among the cooperating agencies to address the obstacle;

“(2) initiate conflict resolution efforts under subsection (j); or

“(3) eliminate from consideration the alternative transportation project with which the obstacle is associated.

“(j) CONFLICT RESOLUTION.—

“(1) FORUM.—The NEPA process shall be used as a forum to coordinate the actions of Federal, State, regional, tribal, and local agencies, the private sector, and the public to develop and shape surface transportation projects.

“(2) APPROACHES.—Collaborative, problem solving, and consensus building approaches shall be used (and, when appropriate, mediation may be used) to implement the integrated decisionmaking process with a goal of appropriately considering factors relating to transportation development, economic prosperity, protection of public health and the environment, community and neighborhood preservation, and quality of life for present and future generations.

“(3) UNRESOLVED ISSUES.—

“(A) NOTIFICATION.—If, before the final transportation NEPA document is approved—

“(i) an issue remains unresolved between the lead Federal agency and the cooperating agency; and

“(ii) efforts have been exhausted to resolve the issue at the field levels of each agency—

“(I) within the applicable timeframe of the interagency schedule established under subsection (f)(5); or

“(II) if no timeframe is established, within 90 days;

the field level officer of the lead agency shall notify the field level officer of the cooperating agency that the field level officer of the lead agency intends to bring the issue to the personal attention of the heads of the agencies.

“(B) EFFORTS BY THE AGENCY HEADS.—The head of the lead agency shall contact the head of the cooperating agency and attempt to resolve the issue within 30 days after notification by the field level officer of the unresolved issue.

“(C) CONSULTATION WITH CEQ.—The heads of the agencies are encouraged to consult with the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality during the 30-day period under subparagraph (B).

“(D) FAILURE TO RESOLVE.—If the heads of the agencies do not resolve the issue within the time specified in subparagraph (B), the referral process under part 1504 of title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulation), shall be initiated with respect to the issue.

“(k) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Nothing in this section affects the reviewability of any final

agency action in a district court of the United States or any State court.

“(l) STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section affects—

“(1) the applicability of the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) or any other statute; or

“(2) the responsibility of any Federal, State, tribal, or local officer to comply with or enforce any statute or regulation.”

(b) TIMETABLE; REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality and after notice and opportunity for public comment—

(1) not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, shall design the integrated decisionmaking process required by the amendment made by subsection (a);

(2) not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, shall promulgate a regulation governing implementation of an integrated decisionmaking process in accordance with the amendment made by subsection (a); and

(3) not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, shall submit to Congress a report identifying any additional legislative or other solutions that would further enhance the integrated decisionmaking process.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for subchapter III of chapter 3 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“354. Integrated decisionmaking process.”

#### CHAPTER 3—ELIGIBILITY AND FLEXIBILITY

##### SEC. 1231. DEFINITION OF OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENT.

Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the undesignated paragraph defining “operational improvement” and inserting the following:

“The term ‘operational improvement’ means the installation, operation, or maintenance, in accordance with subchapter II of chapter 5, of public infrastructure to support intelligent transportation systems and includes the installation or operation of any traffic management activity, communication system, or roadway weather information and prediction system, and any other improvement that the Secretary may designate that enhances roadway safety and mobility during adverse weather.”

##### SEC. 1232. ELIGIBILITY OF FERRY BOATS AND FERRY TERMINAL FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 129(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting “in accordance with sections 103, 133, and 149,” after “toll or free.”

(b) NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM.—Section 103(b)(5) of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1234), is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(R) Construction of ferry boats and ferry terminal facilities, if the conditions described in section 129(c) are met.”

(c) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—Section 133(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(12) Construction of ferry boats and ferry terminal facilities, if the conditions described in section 129(c) are met.”

(d) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—Section 149(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following:

“(5) if the project or program is to construct a ferry boat or ferry terminal facility

and if the conditions described in section 129(c) are met.”.

**SEC. 1233. FLEXIBILITY OF SAFETY PROGRAMS.**

Section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) SAFETY PROGRAMS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—With respect to funds apportioned for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003—

“(i) an amount equal to 2 percent of the amount apportioned to a State under section 104(b)(3) shall be available only to carry out activities eligible under section 130;

“(ii) an amount equal to 2 percent of the amount apportioned to a State under section 104(b)(3) shall be available only to carry out activities eligible under section 152; and

“(iii) an amount equal to 6 percent of the amount apportioned to a State under section 104(b)(3) shall be available only to carry out activities eligible under section 130 or 152.

“(B) TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—If a State certifies to the Secretary that any part of the amount set aside by the State under subparagraph (A)(i) is in excess of the needs of the State for activities under section 130 and the Secretary accepts the certification, the State may transfer that excess part to the set-aside of the State under subparagraph (A)(ii).

“(C) TRANSFERS TO OTHER SAFETY PROGRAMS.—A State may transfer funds set aside under subparagraph (A)(iii) to the apportionment of the State under section 402 or the allocation of the State under section 31104 of title 49.”.

**SEC. 1234. ELIGIBILITY OF PROJECTS ON THE NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM.**

Section 103(b) of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1701(a)), is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) ELIGIBLE PROJECTS FOR NHS.—Subject to approval by the Secretary, funds apportioned to a State under section 104(b)(1)(C) for the National Highway System may be obligated for any of the following:

“(A) Construction, reconstruction, resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation of segments of the National Highway System.

“(B) Operational improvements for segments of the National Highway System.

“(C) Construction of, and operational improvements for, a Federal-aid highway not on the National Highway System, construction of a transit project eligible for assistance under chapter 53 of title 49, and capital improvements to any National Railroad Passenger Corporation passenger rail line or any publicly-owned intercity passenger rail line, if—

“(i) the highway, transit, or rail project is in the same corridor as, and in proximity to, a fully access-controlled highway designated as a part of the National Highway System;

“(ii) the construction or improvements will improve the level of service on the fully access-controlled highway described in clause (i) and improve regional traffic flow; and

“(iii) the construction or improvements are more cost-effective than an improvement to the fully access-controlled highway described in clause (i).

“(D) Highway safety improvements for segments of the National Highway System.

“(E) Transportation planning in accordance with sections 134 and 135.

“(F) Highway research and planning in accordance with chapter 5.

“(G) Highway-related technology transfer activities.

“(H) Capital and operating costs for traffic monitoring, management, and control facilities and programs.

“(I) Fringe and corridor parking facilities.

“(J) Carpool and vanpool projects.

“(K) Bicycle transportation and pedestrian walkways in accordance with section 217.

“(L) Development, establishment, and implementation of management systems under section 303.

“(M) In accordance with all applicable Federal law (including regulations), participation in natural habitat and wetland mitigation efforts related to projects funded under this title, which may include participation in natural habitat and wetland mitigation banks, contributions to statewide and regional efforts to conserve, restore, enhance, and create natural habitats and wetland, and development of statewide and regional natural habitat and wetland conservation and mitigation plans, including any such banks, efforts, and plans authorized under the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-640) (including crediting provisions). Contributions to the mitigation efforts described in the preceding sentence may take place concurrent with or in advance of project construction, except that contributions in advance of project construction may occur only if the efforts are consistent with all applicable requirements of Federal law (including regulations) and State transportation planning processes.

“(N) Publicly-owned intracity or intercity passenger rail or bus terminals, including terminals of the National Railroad Passenger Corporation and publicly-owned intermodal surface freight transfer facilities, other than seaports and airports, if the terminals and facilities are located on or adjacent to National Highway System routes or connections to the National Highway System selected in accordance with paragraph (2).

“(O) Infrastructure-based intelligent transportation systems capital improvements.

“(P) In the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, any project eligible for funding under section 133, any airport, and any seaport.

“(Q) Publicly owned components of magnetic levitation transportation systems.”.

**SEC. 1235. ELIGIBILITY OF PROJECTS UNDER THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.**

Section 133(b) of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1232(c)), is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “and publicly owned intracity or intercity bus terminals and facilities” and inserting “, including vehicles and facilities, whether publicly or privately owned, that are used to provide intercity passenger service by bus or rail”;

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking “and bicycle” and inserting “bicycle”; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, and the modification of public sidewalks to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.)”;

(3) in paragraph (4)—

(A) by inserting “, publicly owned passenger rail,” after “Highway”;

(B) by inserting “infrastructure” after “safety”; and

(C) by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, and any other noninfrastructure highway safety improvements”;

(4) in the first sentence of paragraph (11)—

(A) by inserting “natural habitat and” after “participation in” each place it appears;

(B) by striking “enhance and create” and inserting “enhance, and create natural habitats and”; and

(C) by inserting “natural habitat and” before “wetlands conservation”; and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

“(13) Publicly owned intercity passenger rail infrastructure, including infrastructure owned by the National Railroad Passenger Corporation.

“(14) Publicly owned passenger rail vehicles, including vehicles owned by the National Railroad Passenger Corporation.

“(15) Infrastructure-based intelligent transportation systems capital improvements.

“(16) Publicly owned components of magnetic levitation transportation systems.

“(17) Environmental restoration and pollution abatement projects (including the retrofit or construction of storm water treatment systems) to address water pollution or environmental degradation caused or contributed to by transportation facilities, which projects shall be carried out when the transportation facilities are undergoing reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, or restoration; except that the expenditure of funds under this section for any such environmental restoration or pollution abatement project shall not exceed 20 percent of the total cost of the reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, or restoration project.”.

**SEC. 1236. DESIGN FLEXIBILITY.**

Section 109 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) REQUIREMENTS FOR FACILITIES.—The Secretary shall ensure that the plans and specifications for each proposed highway project under this chapter provide for a facility that will—

“(A) adequately serve the existing traffic of the highway in a manner that is conducive to safety, durability, and economy of maintenance; and

“(B) be designed and constructed in accordance with criteria best suited to accomplish the objectives described in subparagraph (A) and to conform to the particular needs of each locality.

“(2) CONSIDERATION OF PLANNED FUTURE TRAFFIC DEMANDS.—In carrying out paragraph (1), the Secretary shall ensure the consideration of the planned future traffic demands of the facility.”.

**Subtitle C—Finance**

**CHAPTER 1—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

**SEC. 1301. STATE INFRASTRUCTURE BANK PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

**“§ 162. State infrastructure bank program**

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) OTHER ASSISTANCE.—The term ‘other assistance’ includes any use of funds in an infrastructure bank—

“(A) to provide credit enhancements;

“(B) to serve as a capital reserve for bond or debt instrument financing;

“(C) to subsidize interest rates;

“(D) to ensure the issuance of letters of credit and credit instruments;

“(E) to finance purchase and lease agreements with respect to transit projects;

“(F) to provide bond or debt financing instrument security; and

“(G) to provide other forms of debt financing and methods of leveraging funds that are approved by the Secretary and that relate to the project with respect to which the assistance is being provided.

“(2) STATE.—The term ‘State’ has the meaning given the term under section 401.

“(b) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) PURPOSE OF AGREEMENTS.—Subject to this section, the Secretary may enter into cooperative agreements with States for the establishment of State infrastructure banks

and multistate infrastructure banks for making loans and providing other assistance to public and private entities carrying out or proposing to carry out projects eligible for assistance under this section.

“(B) CONTENTS OF AGREEMENTS.—Each cooperative agreement shall specify procedures and guidelines for establishing, operating, and providing assistance from the infrastructure bank.

“(2) INTERSTATE COMPACTS.—If 2 or more States enter into a cooperative agreement under paragraph (1) with the Secretary for the establishment of a multistate infrastructure bank, Congress grants consent to those States to enter into an interstate compact establishing the bank in accordance with this section.

“(c) FUNDING.—

“(1) CONTRIBUTION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary may allow, subject to subsection (h)(1), a State that enters into a cooperative agreement under this section to contribute to the infrastructure bank established by the State not to exceed—

“(A)(i) the total amount of funds apportioned to the State under each of paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b), excluding funds set aside under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 133(d); and

“(ii) the total amount of funds allocated to the State under section 105;

“(B) the total amount of funds made available to the State or other Federal transit grant recipient for capital projects (as defined in section 5302 of title 49) under sections 5307, 5309, and 5311 of title 49; and

“(C) the total amount of funds made available to the State under subtitle V of title 49.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1494

### TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION

#### SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Act of 1997”.

#### SUBTITLE A—GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$11,013,799,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$10,820,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$10,829,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$10,929,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$11,213,799,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$11,675,799,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,773,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$6,437,055,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$6,441,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$6,483,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$6,521,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$6,669,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$6,872,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement pro-

gram under section 149 of that title \$1,057,698,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,058,698,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,064,699,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,074,600,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,098,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,127,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

#### SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

“(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the

Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—  
“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”

(c) ISTEA TRANSITION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

(A) the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(C) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

(i) apportionments authorized under section 104 of that title for construction of the Interstate System;

(ii) apportionments for the Interstate substitute program under section 103(e)(4) of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(iii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title; and

(iv) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943);

(D) the product obtained by multiplying—  
(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

(E) the product obtained by multiplying—  
(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (C); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998—

(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 145 percent; and

(ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(E)(ii) shall be 107 percent.

(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) or (1)(E)(ii), respectively, shall be a percentage equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the percentage specified in clause (i) or (ii), respectively, of subparagraph (A); by

(ii) the percentage that—

(I) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for the fiscal year; bears to

(II) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for fiscal year 1998.

(3) MAXIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, in the case of each State with respect to which the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(A) is greater than the product determined under paragraph (1)(D), the Secretary shall reduce proportionately the apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the National Highway System component of the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program so that the total of the apportionments is equal to the product determined under paragraph (1)(D).

(B) REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), funds made available under subparagraph (A) shall be redistributed proportionately under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, to States not subject to a reduction under subparagraph (A).

(ii) LIMITATION.—The ratio that—

(I) the total apportionments to a State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of clause (i); bears to

(II) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(B) with respect to the State;

may not exceed, in the case of fiscal year 1998, 145 percent, and, in the case of each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, 145 percent as adjusted in the manner described in paragraph (2)(B).

(4) MINIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall apportion to each State such additional amounts as are necessary to ensure that—

(i) the total apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of paragraph (3); is equal to

(ii) the greater of—

(I) the product determined with respect to the State under paragraph (1)(E); or

(II) the total apportionments to the State for fiscal year 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs, excluding—

(aa) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of title 23, United States Code;

(bb) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943).

(B) OBLIGATION.—Amounts apportioned under subparagraph (A)—

(i) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that—

(I) the amounts shall not be subject to paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code; and

(II) 50 percent of the amounts shall be subject to section 133(d)(3) of that title;

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1495

Beginning on page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 22, line 24, and insert the following:

### TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION

#### SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Act of 1997”.

#### Subtitle A—General Provisions

#### SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$11,424,851,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$11,254,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$11,284,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$11,384,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$11,620,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$12,110,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,773,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,000,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,014,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,056,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,113,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,263,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,484,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement pro-

gram under section 149 of that title \$1,150,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,152,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,159,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,169,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,193,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,230,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

#### SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

“(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the

Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”

(c) ISTE A TRANSITION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

(A) the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(C) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

(i) apportionments authorized under section 104 of that title for construction of the Interstate System;

(ii) apportionments for the Interstate substitute program under section 103(e)(4) of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(iii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(D) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

(E) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (C); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

AMENDMENT NO. 1496

Beginning on page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 23, line 25, and insert the following:

## TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION

### SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Act of 1997”.

#### Subtitle A—General Provisions

### SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$11,492,988,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$11,320,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$11,330,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$11,420,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$11,730,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$12,230,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,773,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,000,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,014,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,056,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,113,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,263,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,484,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,150,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,152,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,159,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,169,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,193,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,230,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative

Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

**SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(I) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

“(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(i) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NON-ATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of

part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(II) of paragraph (1)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(II) of paragraph (1)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”.

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”.

(c) ISTEA TRANSITION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

(A) the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(C) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

(i) apportionments authorized under section 104 of that title for construction of the Interstate System;

(ii) apportionments for the Interstate substitute program under section 103(e)(4) of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(iii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title; and

(iv) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943);

(D) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

(E) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (C); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998—

(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 145 percent; and

(ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(E)(ii) shall be 115 percent.

(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) or (1)(E)(ii), respectively, shall be a percentage equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the percentage specified in clause (i) or (ii), respectively, of subparagraph (A); by

(ii) the percentage that—

(I) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for the fiscal year; bears to

(II) the total contract authority made available under this Act and

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1497

Beginning on page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 25, line 25, and insert the following:

### TITLE 1—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION

#### SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Act of 1997”.

#### Subtitle A—General Provisions

#### SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$12,291,156,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$12,118,156,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$12,129,456,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$12,240,456,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$12,566,456,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$13,096,456,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,773,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,183,601,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,197,601,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,239,601,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,296,601,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,446,601,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,667,601,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,150,836,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,152,836,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,159,836,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,169,836,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,193,836,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,231,836,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of

that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

#### SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

“(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described

in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (I)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (I)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”

(c) ISTEA TRANSITION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

(A) the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(C) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

(i) apportionments authorized under section 104 of that title for construction of the Interstate System;

(ii) apportionments for the Interstate substitute program under section 103(e)(4) of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(iii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(iv) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943);

(D) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

(E) the product obtained by multiplying—  
(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (C); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998—

(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 130 percent; and

(ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(E)(ii) shall be 107 percent.

(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) or (1)(E)(ii), respectively, shall be a percentage equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the percentage specified in clause (i) or (ii), respectively, of subparagraph (A); by

(ii) the percentage that—

(I) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for the fiscal year; bears to

(II) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for fiscal year 1998.

(3) MAXIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, in the case of each State with respect to which the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(A) is greater than the product determined under paragraph (1)(D), the Secretary shall reduce proportionately the apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the National Highway System component of the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program so that the total of the apportionments is equal to the product determined under paragraph (1)(D).

(B) REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), funds made available under subparagraph (A) shall be redistributed proportionately under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, to States not subject to a reduction under subparagraph (A).

(ii) LIMITATION.—The ratio that—

(I) the total apportionments to a State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of clause (i); bears to

(II) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(B) with respect to the State;

may not exceed, in the case of fiscal year 1998, 130 percent, and, in the case of each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, 130 percent as adjusted in the manner described in paragraph (2)(B).

(4) MINIMUM TRANSITION.—

AMENDMENT NO. 1498

Beginning on page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 29, line 25, and insert the following:

**TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION**

**SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the "Surface Transportation Act of 1997".

**Subtitle A—General Provisions**

**SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.**

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$11,149,630,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$10,978,630,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$10,989,930,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$11,089,930,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$11,417,930,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$11,953,930,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—  
(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,773,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and  
(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,000,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,014,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,056,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,113,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,263,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,484,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,150,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,152,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,159,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,169,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,193,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,230,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

**SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.**  
(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:  
“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabili-

tating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

“(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105

of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area

described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”.

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”.

(c) ISTEA TRANSITION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

(A) the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(C) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

(i) apportionments authorized under section 104 of that title for construction of the Interstate System;

(ii) apportionments for the Interstate substitute program under section 103(e)(4) of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(iii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title; and

(iv) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943);

(D) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

(E) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (C); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998—

(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 145 percent; and

(ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(E)(ii) shall be 107 percent.

(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) or (1)(E)(ii), respectively, shall be a percentage equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the percentage specified in clause (i) or (ii), respectively, of subparagraph (A); by

(ii) the percentage that—

(I) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for the fiscal year; bears to

(II) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for fiscal year 1998.

## (3) MAXIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, in the case of each State with respect to which the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(A) is greater than the product determined under paragraph (1)(D), the Secretary shall reduce proportionately the apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the National Highway System component of the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program so that the total of the apportionments is equal to the product determined under paragraph (1)(D).

## (B) REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), funds made available under subparagraph (A) shall be redistributed proportionately under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, to States not subject to a reduction under subparagraph (A).

## (ii) LIMITATION.—The ratio that—

(I) the total apportionments to a State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of clause (i); bears to

(II) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(B) with respect to the State;

may not exceed, in the case of fiscal year 1998, 145 percent, and, in the case of each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, 145 percent as adjusted in the manner described in paragraph (2)(B).

## (4) MINIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall apportion to each State such additional amounts as are necessary to ensure that—

(i) the total apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of paragraph (3); is equal to

## (ii) the greater of—

(I) the product determined with respect to the State under paragraph (1)(E); or

(II) the total apportionments to the State for fiscal year 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs, excluding—

(aa) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of title 23, United States Code;

(bb) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943); and

(cc) demonstration projects under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240).

(B) OBLIGATION.—Amounts apportioned under subparagraph (A)—

(i) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that—

(I) the amounts shall not be subject to paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code; and

(II) 50 percent of the amounts shall be subject to section 133(d)(3) of that title;

(ii) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title; and

(iii) shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are apportioned.

## (C) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this paragraph.

(ii) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subparagraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code.

## (d) MINIMUM GUARANTEE.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—Section 105 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 105. Minimum guarantee**

“(a) ADJUSTMENT.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—In fiscal year 1998 and each fiscal year thereafter on October 1, or as soon as practicable thereafter, the Secretary shall allocate among the States amounts sufficient to ensure that—

“(A) the ratio that—

“(i) each State’s percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year—

“(I) under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

“(II) under section 204 for the Federal lands highways program; and

“(III) under this section and section 1102(c) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for ISTEA transition; bears to

“(ii) each State’s percentage of estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in the State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available;”.

## AMENDMENT No. 1499

Beginning on page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 106, line 25, and insert the following:

**TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION****SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Act of 1997”.

**Subtitle A—General Provisions****SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.**

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(I) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$12,053,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$11,882,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$11,893,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$11,990,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \* \* \* for fiscal year 2002, and \$12,850,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,645,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,711,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,810,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,955,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,437,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,440,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,448,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,460,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,490,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

## (2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,000,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,014,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,056,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,113,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,263,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,484,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,150,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,152,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,159,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,169,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,193,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,230,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

## (4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

**SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(I) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

“(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”

(c) ISTEA TRANSITION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

(A) the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(C) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years

1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

(i) apportionments authorized under section 104 of that title for construction of the Interstate System;

(ii) apportionments for the Interstate substitute program under section 103(e)(4) of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(iii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title; and

(iv) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943);

(D) the product obtained by multiplying—  
 (i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

(E) the product obtained by multiplying—  
 (i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (C); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

**(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—**

(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998—

(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 145 percent; and  
 (ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(E)(ii) shall be 107 percent.

(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) or (1)(E)(ii), respectively, shall be a percentage equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the percentage specified in clause (i) or (ii), respectively, of subparagraph (A); by  
 (ii) the percentage that—

(I) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for the fiscal year; bears to

(II) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for fiscal year 1998.

**(3) MAXIMUM TRANSITION.—**

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, in the case of each State with respect to which the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(A) is greater than the product determined under paragraph (1)(D), the Secretary shall reduce proportionately the apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the National Highway System component of the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program so that the total of the apportionments is equal to the product determined under paragraph (1)(D).

**(B) REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—**

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), funds made available under subparagraph (A) shall be redistributed proportionately under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, to States not subject to a reduction under subparagraph (A).

(ii) LIMITATION.—The ratio that—

(I) the total apportionments to a State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and

the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of clause (i); bears to

(II) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(B) with respect to the State;

may not exceed, in the case of fiscal year 1998, 145 percent, and, in the case of each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, 145 percent as adjusted in the manner described in paragraph (2)(B).

**(4) MINIMUM TRANSITION.—**

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall apportion to each State such additional amounts as are necessary to ensure that—

(i) the total apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of paragraph (3); is equal to

(ii) the greater of—

(I) the product determined with respect to the State under paragraph (1)(E); or

(II) the total apportionments to the State for fiscal year 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs, excluding—

(aa) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of title 23, United States Code;

(bb) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943); and

(cc) demonstration projects under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240).

(B) OBLIGATION.—Amounts apportioned under subparagraph (A)—

(i) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that—

(I) the amounts shall not be subject to paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code; and

(II) 50 percent of the amounts shall be subject to section 133(d)(3) of that title;

(ii) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title; and

(iii) shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are apportioned.

**(C) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—**

(i) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this paragraph.

(ii) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subparagraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code.

**(d) MINIMUM GUARANTEE.—**

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 105 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 105. Minimum guarantee**

**“(a) ADJUSTMENT.—**

**“(1) IN GENERAL.—**In fiscal year 1998 and each fiscal year thereafter on October 1, or as soon as practicable thereafter, the Secretary shall allocate among the States amounts sufficient to ensure that—

**“(A) the ratio that—**

**“(i) each State’s percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year—**

**“(I) under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropoli-**

**tan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program; and**

**“(II) under this section and section 1102(c) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for ISTEA transition; bears to**

**“(ii) each State’s percentage of estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in the State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available;**

**is not less than 0.90; and**

**“(B) in the case of a State specified in paragraph (2), the State’s percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year described in subclauses (I) and (II) of subparagraph (A)(i) is—**

**“(i) not less than the percentage specified for the State in paragraph (2); but**

**“(ii) not greater than the product determined for the State under section 1102(c)(1)(D) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for the fiscal year.**

**“(2) STATE PERCENTAGES.—**The percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(B) for a specified State shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

<b>“State</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Alaska .....	1.24
Arkansas .....	1.33
Delaware .....	0.47
Hawaii .....	0.55
Idaho .....	0.82
Montana .....	1.06
Nevada .....	0.73
New Hampshire .....	0.52
New Jersey .....	2.41
New Mexico .....	1.05
North Dakota .....	0.73
Rhode Island .....	0.58
South Dakota .....	0.78
Vermont .....	0.47
Wyoming .....	0.76.

**“(b) TREATMENT OF ALLOCATIONS.—**

**“(1) OBLIGATION.—**Amounts allocated under subsection (a)—

**“(A) shall be available for obligation when allocated and shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are allocated; and**

**“(B) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under this title.**

**“(2) SET-ASIDE.—**Fifty percent of the amounts allocated under subsection (a) shall be subject to section 133(d)(3).

**“(c) TREATMENT OF WITHHELD APPORTIONMENTS.—**For the purpose of subsection (a), any funds that, but for section 158(b) or any other provision of law under which Federal-aid highway funds are withheld from apportionment, would be apportioned to a State for a fiscal year under a section referred to in subsection (a) shall be treated as being apportioned in that fiscal year.

**“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—**There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this section.”.

**(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—**The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 105 and inserting the following:

**“105. Minimum guarantee.”.**

**(e) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—**Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

**“(i) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—**From available administrative funds deducted under subsection (a), the Secretary may reimburse the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Transportation for

the conduct of annual audits of financial statements in accordance with section 3521 of title 31."

(f) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (e)—

(A) by inserting "NOTIFICATION TO STATES.—" after "(e)";

(B) in the first sentence—

(i) by striking "(other than under subsection (b)(5) of this section)"; and

(ii) by striking "and research";

(C) by striking the second sentence; and

(D) in the last sentence, by striking ", except that" and all that follows through "such funds"; and

(2) in subsection (f)—

(A) by striking "(f)(1) On" and inserting the following:

"(f) METROPOLITAN PLANNING.—

"(1) SET-ASIDE.—On";

(B) by striking "(2) These" and inserting the following:

"(2) APPORTIONMENT TO STATES OF SET-ASIDE FUNDS.—These";

(C) by striking "(3) The" and inserting the following:

"(3) USE OF FUNDS.—The"; and

(D) by striking "(4) The" and inserting the following:

"(4) DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS WITHIN STATES.—The".

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 146(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking ", 104(b)(2), and 104(b)(6)" and inserting "and 104(b)(2)".

(2) (A) Section 150 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 150.

(3) Section 158 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking paragraph (1);

(ii) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively;

(iii) in paragraph (1) (as so redesignated)—

(I) by striking "AFTER THE FIRST YEAR" and inserting "IN GENERAL"; and

(II) by striking ", 104(b)(2), 104(b)(5), and 104(b)(6)" and inserting "and 104(b)(2)"; and

(iv) in paragraph (2) (as redesignated by clause (iii)), by striking "paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection" and inserting "paragraph (1)"; and

(B) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

"(b) EFFECT OF WITHHOLDING OF FUNDS.—

No funds withheld under this section from apportionment to any State after September 30, 1988, shall be available for apportionment to that State."

(4) (A) Section 157 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 157.

(5) (A) Section 115(b)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "or 104(b)(5), as the case may be,".

(B) Section 137(f)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 104(b)(5)(B) of this title" and inserting "section 104(b)(1)(A)".

(C) Section 141(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 104(b)(5) of this title" each place it appears and inserting "section 104(b)(1)(A)".

(D) Section 142(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "(other than section 104(b)(5)(A))".

(E) Section 159 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) by striking "(5) of" each place it appears and inserting "(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the

Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997) of"; and

(ii) in subsection (b)—

(I) in paragraphs (1)(A)(i) and (3)(A), by striking "section 104(b)(5)(A)" each place it appears and inserting "section 104(b)(5)(A) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)";

(II) in paragraph (1)(A)(ii), by striking "section 104(b)(5)(B)" and inserting "section 104(b)(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)";

(III) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking "(5)(B)" and inserting "(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)"; and

(IV) in paragraphs (3) and (4), by striking "section 104(b)(5)" each place it appears and inserting "section 104(b)(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)".

(F) Section 161(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "paragraphs (1), (3), and (5)(B) of section 104(b)" each place it appears and inserting "paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b)".

(6) (A) Section 104(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in the first sentence, by striking "sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title" and inserting "subsection (b)(1)(B) and sections 130 and 152";

(ii) in the first and second sentences—

(I) by striking "section" and inserting "provision"; and

(II) by striking "such sections" and inserting "those provisions"; and

(iii) in the third sentence—

(I) by striking "section 144" and inserting "subsection (b)(1)(B)"; and

(II) by striking "subsection (b)(1)" and inserting "subsection (b)(1)(C)".

(B) Section 115 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in subsection (a)(1)(A)(i), by striking "104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f), 144," and inserting "104(b)(1)(B), 104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f)"; and

(ii) in subsection (c), by striking "144,".

(C) Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the last sentence by striking "and in section 144 of this title".

(D) Section 151(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 104(a), section 307(a), and section 144 of this title" and inserting "subsections (a) and (b)(1)(B) of section 104 and section 307(a)".

(E) Section 204(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking "or section 144 of this title".

(F) Section 303(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 144 of this title" and inserting "section 104(b)(1)(B)".

#### SEC. 1103. OBLIGATION CEILING.

(a) GENERAL LIMITATIONS.—Subject to the other provisions of this section and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of all obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs shall not exceed—

(1) \$21,800,000,000 for fiscal year 1998;

(2) \$22,802,000,000 for fiscal year 1999;

(3) \$22,939,000,000 for fiscal year 2000;

(4) \$23,183,000,000 for fiscal year 2001;

(5) \$23,699,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; and

(6) \$24,548,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The limitations under subsection (a) shall not apply to obligations of funds under—

(A) section 105(a) of title 23, United States Code (but, for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, only in an amount equal to the

amount included for section 157 of title 23, United States Code, in the baseline determined by the Congressional Budget Office for the fiscal year 1998 budget), excluding amounts allocated under section 105(a)(1)(B) of that title;

(B) section 125 of that title;

(C) section 157 of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(D) section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (23 U.S.C. 144 note; 92 Stat. 2714);

(E) section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981 (95 Stat. 1701);

(F) subsections (b) and (j) of section 131 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (96 Stat. 2119);

(G) subsections (b) and (c) of section 149 of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 198); and

(H) sections 1103 through 1108 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2027).

(2) EFFECT OF OTHER LAW.—A provision of law establishing a limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs may not amend or limit the applicability of this subsection, unless the provision specifically amends or limits that applicability.

(c) APPLICABILITY TO TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.—Obligation limitations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs established by subsection (a) shall apply to transportation research programs carried out under chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code.

(d) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—Section 118 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(g) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—

"(1) DISTRIBUTION.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall—

"(A) distribute the total amount of obligation authority for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs made available for the fiscal year by allocation in the ratio that—

"(i) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to each State for the fiscal year; bears to

"(ii) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to all States for the fiscal year;

"(B) provide all States with authority sufficient to prevent lapses of sums authorized to be appropriated for Federal-aid highways that have been apportioned to a State; and

"(C) notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), not distribute—

"(i) amounts deducted under section 104(a) for administrative expenses;

"(ii) amounts set aside under section 104(k) for Interstate 4R and bridge projects;

"(iii) amounts made available under sections 143, 164, 165, 204, 206, 207, and 322;

"(iv) amounts made available under section 111 of title 49;

"(v) amounts made available under section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.);

"(vi) amounts made available under section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938);

"(vii) amounts made available under sections 1503, 1603, and 1604 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;

"(viii) amounts made available under section 149(d) of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 201);

“(ix) amounts made available under section 105(a)(1)(A) to the extent that the amounts are subject to any obligation limitation under section 1103(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;

“(x) amounts made available for implementation of programs under chapter 5 of this title and sections 5222, 5232, and 5241 of title 49; and

“(xi) amounts made available under section 412 of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995.

“(2) REDISTRIBUTION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Secretary shall, after August 1 of each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003—

“(A) revise a distribution of the funds made available under paragraph (1) for the fiscal year if a State will not obligate the amount distributed during the fiscal year; and

“(B) redistribute sufficient amounts to those States able to obligate amounts in addition to the amounts previously distributed during the fiscal year, giving priority to those States that have large unobligated balances of funds apportioned under section 104 and under section 144 (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this subparagraph).”

(e) APPLICABILITY OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS.—An obligation limitation established by a provision of any other Act shall not apply to obligations under a program funded under this Act or title 23, United States Code, unless—

(1) the provision specifically amends or limits the applicability of this subsection; or

(2) an obligation limitation is specified in this Act with respect to the program.

**SEC. 1104. OBLIGATION AUTHORITY UNDER SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.**

Section 133 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A State that is required to obligate in an urbanized area with an urbanized area population of over 200,000 individuals under subsection (d) funds apportioned to the State under section 104(b)(3) shall make available during the 3-fiscal year period of 1998 through 2000, and the 3-fiscal year period of 2001 through 2003, an amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for use in the area that is equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

“(A) the aggregate amount of funds that the State is required to obligate in the area under subsection (d) during each such period; by

“(B) the ratio that—

“(i) the aggregate amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs during the period; bears to

“(ii) the total of the sums apportioned to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (excluding sums not subject to an obligation limitation) during the period.

“(2) JOINT RESPONSIBILITY.—Each State, each affected metropolitan planning organization, and the Secretary shall jointly ensure compliance with paragraph (1).”

**SEC. 1105. EMERGENCY RELIEF.**

(a) FEDERAL SHARE.—Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “highway system” and inserting “highway”.

(b) ELIGIBILITY AND FUNDING.—Section 125 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a);

(2) by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and (d) as subsections (d), (e), and (f), respectively;

(3) by inserting after the section heading the following:

“(a) GENERAL ELIGIBILITY.—Subject to this section and section 120, an emergency fund is authorized for expenditure by the Secretary for the repair or reconstruction of highways, roads, and trails, in any part of the United States, including Indian reservations, that the Secretary finds have suffered serious damage as a result of—

“(1) natural disaster over a wide area, such as by a flood, hurricane, tidal wave, earthquake, severe storm, or landslide; or

“(2) catastrophic failure from any external cause.

“(b) RESTRICTION ON ELIGIBILITY.—In no event shall funds be used pursuant to this section for the repair or reconstruction of bridges that have been permanently closed to all vehicular traffic by the State or responsible local official because of imminent danger of collapse due to a structural deficiency or physical deterioration.

“(c) FUNDING.—Subject to the following limitations, there are hereby authorized to be appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as may be necessary to establish the fund authorized by this section and to replenish it on an annual basis:

“(1) Not more than \$100,000,000 is authorized to be obligated in any 1 fiscal year commencing after September 30, 1980, to carry out the provisions of this section, except that, if in any fiscal year the total of all obligations under this section is less than the amount authorized to be obligated in such fiscal year, the unobligated balance of such amount shall remain available until expended and shall be in addition to amounts otherwise available to carry out this section each year.

“(2) Pending such appropriation or replenishment, the Secretary may obligate from any funds heretofore or hereafter appropriated for obligation in accordance with this title, including existing Federal-aid appropriations, such sums as may be necessary for the immediate prosecution of the work herein authorized, provided that such funds are reimbursed from the appropriations authorized in paragraph (1) of this subsection when such appropriations are made.”

(4) in subsection (d) (as so redesignated), by striking “subsection (c)” both places it appears and inserting “subsection (e)”; and

(5) in subsection (e) (as so redesignated), by striking “on any of the Federal-aid highway systems” and inserting “Federal-aid highways”.

(c) SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a project to repair or reconstruct any portion of a Federal-aid primary route in San Mateo County, California, that—

(1) was destroyed as a result of a combination of storms in the winter of 1982-1983 and a mountain slide; and

(2) until its destruction, served as the only reasonable access route between 2 cities and as the designated emergency evacuation route of 1 of the cities;

shall be eligible for assistance under section 125(a) of title 23, United States Code, if the project complies with the local coastal plan.

**SEC. 1106. FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.**

(a) FEDERAL SHARE PAYABLE.—Section 120 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) USE OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds appropriated to any Federal land management agency may

be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any Federal-aid highway project the Federal share of which is funded under section 104.

“(k) USE OF FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds made available to carry out the Federal lands highways program under section 204 may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any project that is funded under section 104 and that provides access to or within Federal or Indian lands.”

(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Section 203 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the authorization by the Secretary of engineering and related work for a Federal lands highways program project, or the approval by the Secretary of plans, specifications, and estimates for construction of a Federal lands highways program project, shall be deemed to constitute a contractual obligation of the Federal Government to pay the Federal share of the cost of the project.”

(c) PLANNING AND AGENCY COORDINATION.—Section 204 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Recognizing the need for all Federal roads that are public roads to be treated under uniform policies similar to the policies that apply to Federal-aid highways, there is established a coordinated Federal lands highways program that shall apply to public lands highways, park roads and parkways, and Indian reservation roads and bridges.

“(2) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCEDURES.—In consultation with the Secretary of each appropriate Federal land management agency, the Secretary shall develop, by rule, transportation planning procedures that are consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes required under sections 134 and 135.

“(3) APPROVAL OF TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—The transportation improvement program developed as a part of the transportation planning process under this section shall be approved by the Secretary.

“(4) INCLUSION IN OTHER PLANS.—All regionally significant Federal lands highways program projects—

“(A) shall be developed in cooperation with States and metropolitan planning organizations; and

“(B) shall be included in appropriate Federal lands highways program, State, and metropolitan plans and transportation improvement programs.

“(5) INCLUSION IN STATE PROGRAMS.—The approved Federal lands highways program transportation improvement program shall be included in appropriate State and metropolitan planning organization plans and programs without further action on the transportation improvement program.

“(6) DEVELOPMENT OF SYSTEMS.—The Secretary and the Secretary of each appropriate Federal land management agency shall, to the extent appropriate, develop safety, bridge, pavement, and congestion management systems for roads funded under the Federal lands highways program.”

(2) in subsection (b), by striking the first 3 sentences and inserting the following: “Funds available for public lands highways, park roads and parkways, and Indian reservation roads shall be used by the Secretary and the Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency to pay for the cost of transportation planning, research, engineering, and construction of the highways,

roads, and parkways, or of transit facilities within public lands, national parks, and Indian reservations. In connection with activities under the preceding sentence, the Secretary and the Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency may enter into construction contracts and other appropriate contracts with a State or civil subdivision of a State or Indian tribe.”;

(3) in the first sentence of subsection (e), by striking “Secretary of the Interior” and inserting “Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency”;

(4) in subsection (h), by adding at the end the following:

“(8) A project to build a replacement of the federally owned bridge over the Hoover Dam in the Lake Mead National Recreation Area between Nevada and Arizona.”;

(5) by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

“(i) TRANSFERS OF COSTS TO SECRETARIES OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCIES.—

“(1) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary shall transfer to the appropriate Federal land management agency from amounts made available for public lands highways such amounts as are necessary to pay necessary administrative costs of the agency in connection with public lands highways.

“(2) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING COSTS.—The Secretary shall transfer to the appropriate Federal land management agency from amounts made available for public lands highways such amounts as are necessary to pay the cost to the agency to conduct necessary transportation planning for Federal lands, if funding for the planning is not otherwise provided under this section.”; and

(6) in subsection (j), by striking the second sentence and inserting the following: “The Indian tribal government, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Interior, and as appropriate, with a State, local government, or metropolitan planning organization, shall carry out a transportation planning process in accordance with subsection (a).”.

#### SEC. 1107. RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 205 the following:

##### “§ 206. Recreational trails program

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—

“(1) MOTORIZED RECREATION.—The term ‘motorized recreation’ means off-road recreation using any motor-powered vehicle, except for a motorized wheelchair.

“(2) RECREATIONAL TRAIL; TRAIL.—The term ‘recreational trail’ or ‘trail’ means a thoroughfare or track across land or snow, used for recreational purposes such as—

“(A) pedestrian activities, including wheelchair use;

“(B) skating or skateboarding;

“(C) equestrian activities, including carriage driving;

“(D) nonmotorized snow trail activities, including skiing;

“(E) bicycling or use of other human-powered vehicles;

“(F) aquatic or water activities; and

“(G) motorized vehicular activities, including all-terrain vehicle riding, motorcycling, snowmobiling, use of off-road light trucks, or use of other off-road motorized vehicles.

“(b) PROGRAM.—In accordance with this section, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture, shall carry out a program to provide and maintain recreational trails (referred to in this section as the ‘program’).

“(c) STATE RESPONSIBILITIES.—To be eligible for apportionments under this section—

“(1) a State may use apportionments received under this section for construction of

new trails crossing Federal lands only if the construction is—

“(A) permissible under other law;

“(B) necessary and required by a statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-4 et seq.);

“(C) approved by the administering agency of the State designated under paragraph (2); and

“(D) approved by each Federal agency charged with management of the affected lands, which approval shall be contingent on compliance by the Federal agency with all applicable laws, including the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1600 et seq.), and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.);

“(2) the Governor of a State shall designate the State agency or agencies that will be responsible for administering apportionments received under this section; and

“(3) the State shall establish within the State a State trail advisory committee that represents both motorized and nonmotorized trail users.

“(d) USE OF APPORTIONED FUNDS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Funds made available under this section shall be obligated for trails and trail-related projects that—

“(A) have been planned and developed under the laws, policies, and administrative procedures of each State; and

“(B) are identified in, or further a specific goal of, a trail plan or trail plan element included or referenced in a metropolitan transportation plan required under section 134 or a statewide transportation plan required under section 135, consistent with the statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-4 et seq.).

“(2) PERMISSIBLE USES.—Permissible uses of funds made available under this section include—

“(A) maintenance and restoration of existing trails;

“(B) development and rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and trail linkages;

“(C) purchase and lease of trail construction and maintenance equipment;

“(D) construction of new trails;

“(E) acquisition of easements and fee simple title to property for trails or trail corridors;

“(F) payment of costs to the State incurred in administering the program, but in an amount not to exceed 7 percent of the apportionment received by the State for a fiscal year; and

“(G) operation of educational programs to promote safety and environmental protection as these objectives relate to the use of trails.

“(3) USE OF APPORTIONMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D), of the apportionments received for a fiscal year by a State under this section—

“(i) 40 percent shall be used for trail or trail-related projects that facilitate diverse recreational trail use within a trail corridor, trailside, or trailhead, regardless of whether the project is for diverse motorized use, for diverse nonmotorized use, or to accommodate both motorized and nonmotorized recreational trail use;

“(ii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to motorized recreation; and

“(iii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to nonmotorized recreation.

“(B) SMALL STATE EXCLUSION.—Any State with a total land area of less than 3,500,000

acres, and in which nonhighway recreational fuel use accounts for less than 1 percent of all such fuel use in the United States, shall be exempted from the requirements of subparagraph (A) upon application to the Secretary by the State demonstrating that the State meets the conditions of this subparagraph.

“(C) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—Upon the request of a State trail advisory committee established under subsection (c)(3), the Secretary may waive, in whole or in part, the requirements of subparagraph (A) with respect to the State if the State certifies to the Secretary that the State does not have sufficient projects to meet the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(D) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—State administrative costs eligible for funding under paragraph (2)(F) shall be exempt from the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(e) ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT OR MITIGATION.—To the extent practicable and consistent with the other requirements of this section, a State should give consideration to project proposals that provide for the redesign, reconstruction, nonroutine maintenance, or relocation of trails to benefit the natural environment or to mitigate and minimize the impact to the natural environment.

“(f) FEDERAL SHARE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the other provisions of this subsection, the Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall not exceed 80 percent.

“(2) FEDERAL AGENCY PROJECT SPONSOR.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a Federal agency that sponsors a project under this section may contribute additional Federal funds toward the cost of a project, except that—

“(A) the share attributable to the Secretary of Transportation may not exceed 80 percent; and

“(B) the share attributable to the Secretary and the Federal agency jointly may not exceed 95 percent.

“(3) USE OF FUNDS FROM FEDERAL PROGRAMS TO PROVIDE NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made available by the Federal Government under any Federal program that are—

“(A) expended in accordance with the requirements of the Federal program relating to activities funded and populations served; and

“(B) expended on a project that is eligible for assistance under this section; may be credited toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project.

“(4) PROGRAMMATIC NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—A State may allow adjustments to the non-Federal share of an individual project under this section if the Federal share of the cost of all projects carried out by the State under the program (excluding projects funded under paragraph (2) or (3)) using funds apportioned to the State for a fiscal year does not exceed 80 percent.

“(5) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Federal share of the administrative costs of a State under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with section 120(b).

“(g) USES NOT PERMITTED.—A State may not obligate funds apportioned under this section for—

“(1) condemnation of any kind of interest in property;

“(2) construction of any recreational trail on National Forest System land for any motorized use unless—

“(A) the land has been apportioned for uses other than wilderness by an approved forest land and resource management plan or has been released to uses other than wilderness by an Act of Congress; and

“(B) the construction is otherwise consistent with the management direction in the approved forest land and resource management plan;

“(3) construction of any recreational trail on Bureau of Land Management land for any motorized use unless the land—

“(A) has been apportioned for uses other than wilderness by an approved Bureau of Land Management resource management plan or has been released to uses other than wilderness by an Act of Congress; and

“(B) the construction is otherwise consistent with the management direction in the approved management plan; or

“(4) upgrading, expanding, or otherwise facilitating motorized use or access to trails predominantly used by nonmotorized trail users and on which, as of May 1, 1991, motorized use is prohibited or has not occurred.

“(h) PROJECT ADMINISTRATION.—

“(1) CREDIT FOR DONATIONS OF FUNDS, MATERIALS, SERVICES, OR NEW RIGHT-OF-WAY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this title or other law shall prevent a project sponsor from offering to donate funds, materials, services, or a new right-of-way for the purposes of a project eligible for assistance under this section. Any funds, or the fair market value of any materials, services, or new right-of-way, may be donated by any project sponsor and shall be credited to the non-Federal share in accordance with subsection (f).

“(B) FEDERAL PROJECT SPONSORS.—Any funds or the fair market value of any materials or services may be provided by a Federal project sponsor and shall be credited to the Federal agency's share in accordance with subsection (f).

“(2) RECREATIONAL PURPOSE.—A project funded under this section is intended to enhance recreational opportunity and is not subject to section 138 of this title or section 303 of title 49.

“(3) CONTINUING RECREATIONAL USE.—At the option of each State, funds made available under this section may be treated as Land and Water Conservation Fund apportionments for the purposes of section 6(f)(3) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-8(f)(3)).

“(4) COOPERATION BY PRIVATE PERSONS.—

“(A) WRITTEN ASSURANCES.—As a condition of making available apportionments for work on recreational trails that would affect privately owned land, a State shall obtain written assurances that the owner of the land will cooperate with the State and participate as necessary in the activities to be conducted.

“(B) PUBLIC ACCESS.—Any use of the apportionments to a State under this section on privately owned land must be accompanied by an easement or other legally binding agreement that ensures public access to the recreational trail improvements funded by the apportionments.

“(i) APPORTIONMENT.—

“(1) DEFINITION OF ELIGIBLE STATE.—In this subsection, the term ‘eligible State’ means a State that meets the requirements of subsection (c).

“(2) APPORTIONMENT.—Subject to subsection (j), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall apportion—

“(A) 50 percent of the amounts made available to carry out this section equally among eligible States; and

“(B) 50 percent of the amounts made available to carry out this section among eligible States in proportion to the quantity of non-highway recreational fuel used in each eligible State during the preceding year.

“(j) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Whenever an apportionment is made under subsection (i) of the amounts made available to carry out this

section, the Secretary shall first deduct an amount, not to exceed 1 percent of the authorized amounts, to pay the costs to the Secretary for administration of, and research authorized under, the program.

“(2) USE OF CONTRACTS.—To carry out research funded under paragraph (1), the Secretary may—

“(A) enter into contracts with for-profit organizations; and

“(B) enter into contracts, partnerships, or cooperative agreements with other government agencies, institutions of higher learning, or nonprofit organizations.

“(k) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$17,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$22,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$23,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$24,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall be determined in accordance with this section.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 is amended by striking part B of title I (16 U.S.C. 1261 et seq.).

(2) The analysis for chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 206 and inserting the following:

“206. Recreational trails program.”.

#### SEC. 1108. VALUE PRICING PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “CONGESTION” and inserting “VALUE”; and

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “congestion” each place it appears and inserting “value”.

(b) INCREASED NUMBER OF PROJECTS.—Section 1012(b)(1) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended in the second sentence by striking “5” and inserting “15”.

(c) ELIGIBILITY OF PREIMPLEMENTATION COSTS.—Section 1012(b)(2) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended in the second sentence—

(1) by inserting after “Secretary shall fund” the following: “all preimplementation costs and project design, and”; and

(2) by inserting after “Secretary may not fund” the following: “the implementation costs of”.

(d) TOLLING.—Section 1012(b)(4) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by striking “a pilot program under this section, but not on more than 3 of such programs” and inserting “any value pricing pilot program under this subsection”.

(e) HOV PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by striking paragraph (6) and inserting the following:

“(6) HOV PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS.—Notwithstanding section 146(c) of title 23, United States Code, a State may permit vehicles with fewer than 2 occupants to operate in high occupancy vehicle lanes if the vehicles are part of a value pricing pilot program under this subsection.”.

(f) FUNDING.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(7) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$8,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(B) AVAILABILITY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Funds allocated by the Secretary to a State under this subsection shall remain available for obligation by the State for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.

“(ii) USE OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS.—If the total amount of funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund under this subsection but not allocated exceeds \$8,000,000 as of September 30 of any year, the excess amount—

“(I) shall be apportioned in the following fiscal year by the Secretary to all States in accordance with section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code;

“(II) shall be considered to be a sum made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that the amount shall not be subject to section 133(d) of that title; and

“(III) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title.

“(C) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of any project under this subsection and the availability of funds authorized by this paragraph shall be determined in accordance with this subsection.”.

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “projects” each place it appears and inserting “programs”; and

(2) in paragraph (5)—

(A) by striking “projects” and inserting “programs”; and

(B) by striking “traffic, volume” and inserting “traffic volume”.

#### SEC. 1109. HIGHWAY USE TAX EVASION PROJECTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 143 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

##### “§ 143. Highway use tax evasion projects

“(a) DEFINITION OF STATE.—In this section, the term ‘State’ means the 50 States and the District of Columbia.

“(b) PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall use funds made available under paragraph (7) to carry out highway use tax evasion projects in accordance with this subsection.

“(2) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—The funds may be allocated to the Internal Revenue Service and the States at the discretion of the Secretary.

“(3) CONDITIONS ON FUNDS ALLOCATED TO INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.—The Secretary shall not impose any condition on the use of funds allocated to the Internal Revenue Service under this subsection.

“(4) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—Funds made available under paragraph (7) shall be used only—

“(A) to expand efforts to enhance motor fuel tax enforcement;

“(B) to fund additional Internal Revenue Service staff, but only to carry out functions described in this paragraph;

“(C) to supplement motor fuel tax examinations and criminal investigations;

“(D) to develop automated data processing tools to monitor motor fuel production and sales;

“(E) to evaluate and implement registration and reporting requirements for motor fuel taxpayers;

“(F) to reimburse State expenses that supplement existing fuel tax compliance efforts; and

“(G) to analyze and implement programs to reduce tax evasion associated with other highway use taxes.

“(5) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—The Secretary may not make an allocation to a State under this subsection for a fiscal year unless the State certifies that the aggregate expenditure of funds of the State, exclusive of Federal funds, for motor fuel tax enforcement activities will be maintained at a level that does not fall below the average level of such expenditure for the preceding 2 fiscal years of the State.

“(6) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of a project carried out under this subsection shall be 100 percent.

“(7) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available to the Secretary from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(B) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall remain available for obligation for a period of 1 year after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.

“(C) EXCISE FUEL REPORTING SYSTEM.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than April 1, 1998, the Secretary shall enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service for the purposes of the development and maintenance by the Internal Revenue Service of an excise fuel reporting system (referred to in this subsection as the ‘system’).

“(2) ELEMENTS OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—The memorandum of understanding shall provide that—

“(A) the Internal Revenue Service shall develop and maintain the system through contracts;

“(B) the system shall be under the control of the Internal Revenue Service; and

“(C) the system shall be made available for use by appropriate State and Federal revenue, tax, or law enforcement authorities, subject to section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(3) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FROM HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection—

“(A) \$8,000,000 for development of the system; and

“(B) \$2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003 for operation and maintenance of the system.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 143 and inserting the following:

“143. Highway use tax evasion projects.”.

(2) Section 1040 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 1992) is repealed.

(3) Section 8002 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 2203) is amended—

(A) in the first sentence of subsection (g), by striking “section 1040 of this Act” and inserting “section 143 of title 23, United States Code.”; and

(B) by striking subsection (h).

**SEC. 1110. BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION AND PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS.**

Section 217 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting “pedestrian walkways and” after “construction of”; and

(B) by striking “(other than the Interstate System)”;

(2) in subsection (e), by striking “, other than a highway access to which is fully controlled.”;

(3) by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Bicyclists and pedestrians shall be given consideration in the comprehensive transportation plans developed by each metropolitan planning organization and State in accordance with sections 134 and 135, respectively.

“(2) CONSTRUCTION.—Bicycle transportation facilities and pedestrian walkways shall be considered, where appropriate, in conjunction with all new construction and reconstruction of transportation facilities, except where bicycle and pedestrian use are not permitted.

“(3) SAFETY AND CONTIGUOUS ROUTES.—Transportation plans and projects shall provide consideration for safety and contiguous routes for bicyclists and pedestrians.”;

(4) in subsection (h)—

(A) by striking “No motorized vehicles shall” and inserting “Motorized vehicles may not”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) wheelchairs that are powered; and”;

(5) by striking subsection (j) and inserting the following:

“(j) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION FACILITY.—The term ‘bicycle transportation facility’ means a new or improved lane, path, or shoulder for use by bicyclists or a traffic control device, shelter, or parking facility for bicycles.

“(2) PEDESTRIAN.—The term ‘pedestrian’ means any person traveling by foot or any mobility impaired person using a wheelchair.

“(3) WHEELCHAIR.—The term ‘wheelchair’ means a mobility aid, usable indoors, and designed for and used by individuals with mobility impairments, whether operated manually or powered.”.

**SEC. 1111. DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.**

(a) GENERAL RULE.—Except to the extent that the Secretary determines otherwise, not less than 10 percent of the amounts made available for any program under titles I and II of this Act shall be expended with small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.—The term “small business concern” has the meaning such term has under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632); except that such term shall not include any concern or group of concerns controlled by the same socially and economically disadvantaged individual or individuals which has average annual gross receipts over the preceding 3 fiscal years in excess of \$16,600,000, as adjusted by the Secretary for inflation.

(2) SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS.—The term “socially and

economically disadvantaged individuals” has the meaning such term has under section 8(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(d)) and relevant subcontracting regulations promulgated pursuant thereto; except that women shall be presumed to be socially and economically disadvantaged individuals for purposes of this section.

(c) ANNUAL LISTING OF DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.—Each State shall annually survey and compile a list of the small business concerns referred to in subsection (a) and the location of such concerns in the State and notify the Secretary, in writing, of the percentage of such concerns which are controlled by women, by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals (other than women), and by individuals who are women and are otherwise socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

(d) UNIFORM CERTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall establish minimum uniform criteria for State governments to use in certifying whether a concern qualifies for purposes of this section. Such minimum uniform criteria shall include but not be limited to on-site visits, personal interviews, licenses, analysis of stock ownership, listing of equipment, analysis of bonding capacity, listing of work completed, resume of principal owners, financial capacity, and type of work preferred.

**SEC. 1112. FEDERAL SHARE PAYABLE.**

Section 120 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1106(a)), is amended—

(1) in each of subsections (a) and (b), by adding at the end the following: “In the case of any project subject to this subsection, a State may determine a lower Federal share than the Federal share determined under the preceding sentences of this subsection.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(1) CREDIT FOR NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

“(1) ELIGIBILITY.—A State may use as a credit toward the non-Federal share requirement for any program under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240) or this title, other than the emergency relief program authorized by section 125, toll revenues that are generated and used by public, quasi-public, and private agencies to build, improve, or maintain, without the use of Federal funds, highways, bridges, or tunnels that serve the public purpose of interstate commerce.

“(2) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The credit toward any non-Federal share under paragraph (1) shall not reduce nor replace State funds required to match Federal funds for any program under this title.

“(B) CONDITIONS ON RECEIPT OF CREDIT.—

“(i) AGREEMENT WITH THE SECRETARY.—To receive a credit under paragraph (1) for a fiscal year, a State shall enter into such agreements as the Secretary may require to ensure that the State will maintain its non-Federal transportation capital expenditures at or above the average level of such expenditures for the preceding 3 fiscal years.

“(ii) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding clause (i), a State may receive a credit under paragraph (1) for a fiscal year if, for any 1 of the preceding 3 fiscal years, the non-Federal transportation capital expenditures of the State were at a level that was greater than 30 percent of the average level of such expenditures for the other 2 of the preceding 3 fiscal years.

“(3) TREATMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Use of the credit toward a non-Federal share under paragraph (1) shall not expose the agencies from which the credit is received to additional liability, additional regulation, or additional administrative oversight.

“(B) CHARTERED MULTISTATE AGENCIES.—When credit is applied from a chartered

multistate agency under paragraph (1), the credit shall be applied equally to all charter States.

“(C) NO ADDITIONAL STANDARDS.—A public, quasi-public, or private agency from which the credit for which the non-Federal share is calculated under paragraph (1) shall not be subject to any additional Federal design standards or laws (including regulations) as a result of providing the credit beyond the standards and laws to which the agency is already subject.”

**SEC. 1113. STUDIES AND REPORTS.**

(a) HIGHWAY ECONOMIC REQUIREMENT SYSTEM.—

(1) METHODOLOGY.—

(A) EVALUATION.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an evaluation of the methodology used by the Department of Transportation to determine highway needs using the highway economic requirement system (referred to in this subsection as the “model”).

(B) REQUIRED ELEMENT.—The evaluation shall include an assessment of the extent to which the model estimates an optimal level of highway infrastructure investment, including an assessment as to when the model may be overestimating or underestimating investment requirements.

(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the evaluation.

(2) STATE INVESTMENT PLANS.—

(A) STUDY.—In consultation with State transportation departments and other appropriate State and local officials, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the extent to which the highway economic requirement system of the Federal Highway Administration can be used to provide States with useful information for developing State transportation investment plans and State infrastructure investment projections.

(B) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The study shall—

(i) identify any additional data that may need to be collected beyond the data submitted, prior to the date of enactment of this Act, to the Federal Highway Administration through the highway performance monitoring system; and

(ii) identify what additional work, if any, would be required of the Federal Highway Administration and the States to make the model useful at the State level.

(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study.

(b) INTERNATIONAL ROUGHNESS INDEX.—

(1) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the international roughness index that is used as an indicator of pavement quality on the Federal-aid highway system.

(2) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The study shall specify the extent of usage of the index and the extent to which the international roughness index measurement is reliable across different manufacturers and types of pavement.

(3) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study.

(c) REPORTING OF RATES OF OBLIGATION.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (m); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i) the following:

“(j) REPORTING OF RATES OF OBLIGATION.—On an annual basis, the Secretary shall publish or otherwise report rates of obligation of funds apportioned or set aside under this section and sections 103 and 133 according to—

“(1) program;

“(2) funding category or subcategory;

“(3) type of improvement;

“(4) State; and

“(5) sub-State geographic area, including urbanized and rural areas, on the basis of the population of each such area.”

**SEC. 1114. DEFINITIONS.**

(a) FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FUNDS AND PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the undesignated paragraph defining “Federal-aid highways” the following:

“The term ‘Federal-aid highway funds’ means funds made available to carry out the Federal-aid highway program.

“The term ‘Federal-aid highway program’ means all programs authorized under chapters 1, 3, and 5.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Section 101(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “the construction of Federal-aid highways or highway planning, research, or development” and inserting “the Federal-aid highway program”.

(B) Section 104(m)(1) of title 23, United States Code (as redesignated by section 1113(c)(1)), is amended by striking “Federal-aid highways and the highway safety construction programs” and inserting “the Federal-aid highway program”.

(C) Section 107(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the second sentence by striking “Federal-aid highways” and inserting “the Federal-aid highway program”.

(b) ALPHABETIZATION OF DEFINITIONS.—Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by reordering the undesignated paragraphs so that they are in alphabetical order.

**SEC. 1115. COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1107(a)), is amended by inserting after section 206 the following:

**“§ 207. Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—There is established the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program (referred to in this section as the ‘program’). Funds available for the program may be used for projects, or portions of projects, on highways that are owned or maintained by States or political subdivisions of States and that cross, are adjacent to, or lead to federally owned land or Indian reservations (including Army Corps of Engineers reservoirs), as determined by the State. Such projects shall be proposed by a State and selected by the Secretary. A project proposed by a State under this section shall be on a highway or bridge owned or maintained by the State, or 1 or more political subdivisions of the State, and may be a highway or bridge construction or maintenance project eligible under this title or any project of a type described in section 204(h).”

“(b) DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS FOR PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary—

“(i) after consultation with the Administrator of General Services, the Secretary of the Interior, and other agencies as appropriate (including the Army Corps of Engineers), shall determine the percentage of the total land in each State that is owned by the Federal Government or that is held by the Federal Government in trust;

“(ii) shall determine the sum of the percentages determined under clause (i) for

States with respect to which the percentage is 4.5 or greater; and

“(iii) shall determine for each State included in the determination under clause (ii) the percentage obtained by dividing—

“(I) the percentage for the State determined under clause (i); by

“(II) the sum determined under clause (ii).

“(B) ADJUSTMENT.—The Secretary shall—

“(i) reduce any percentage determined under subparagraph (A)(iii) that is greater than 7.5 percent to 7.5 percent; and

“(ii) redistribute the percentage points equal to any reduction under clause (i) among other States included in the determination under subparagraph (A)(ii) in proportion to the percentages for those States determined under subparagraph (A)(iii).

“(2) AVAILABILITY TO STATES.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall make funds available to carry out eligible projects in a State in an amount equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

“(A) the percentage for the State, if any, determined under paragraph (1); by

“(B) the funds made available for the program for the fiscal year.

“(3) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—The Secretary may establish deadlines for States to submit proposed projects for funding under this section, except that in the case of fiscal year 1998 the deadline may not be earlier than January 1, 1998. For each fiscal year, if a State does not have pending, by that deadline, applications for projects with an estimated cost equal to at least 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2), the Secretary may distribute, to 1 or more other States, at the Secretary’s discretion, 1/3 of the amount by which the estimated cost of the State’s applications is less than 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2).

“(c) TRANSFERS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a State and the Secretary may agree to transfer amounts made available to a State under this section to the allocations of the State under section 202 for use in carrying out projects on any Federal lands highway that is located in the State.

“(2) SPECIAL RULE.—This paragraph applies to a State that contains a national park that was visited by more than 2,500,000 people in 1996 and comprises more than 3,000 square miles of land area, including surface water, that is located in the State. For such a State, 50 percent of the amount that would otherwise be made available to the State for each fiscal year under the program shall be made available only for eligible highway uses in the national park and within the borders of the State. For the purpose of making allocations under section 202(c), the Secretary may not take into account the past or future availability, for use on park roads and parkways in a national park, of funds made available for use in a national park by this paragraph.120

“(d) RIGHTS-OF-WAY ACROSS FEDERAL LAND.—Nothing in this section affects any claim for a right-of-way across Federal land.

“(e) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 207 and inserting the following:

"207. Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program."

**SEC. 1116. TRADE CORRIDOR AND BORDER CROSSING PLANNING AND BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) BORDER REGION.—The term "border region" means—

(A) the region located within 60 miles of the United States border with Mexico; and

(B) the region located within 60 miles of the United States border with Canada.

(2) BORDER STATE.—The term "border State" means a State of the United States that—

(A) is located along the border with Mexico; or

(B) is located along the border with Canada.

(3) BORDER STATION.—The term "border station" means a controlled port of entry into the United States located in the United States at the border with Mexico or Canada, consisting of land occupied by the station and the buildings, roadways, and parking lots on the land.

(4) FEDERAL INSPECTION AGENCY.—The term "Federal inspection agency" means a Federal agency responsible for the enforcement of immigration laws (including regulations), customs laws (including regulations), and agriculture import restrictions, including the United States Customs Service, the Immigration and Naturalization Service, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, the Food and Drug Administration, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Department of State.

(5) GATEWAY.—The term "gateway" means a grouping of border stations defined by proximity and similarity of trade.

(6) NON-FEDERAL GOVERNMENTAL JURISDICTION.—The term "non-Federal governmental jurisdiction" means a regional, State, or local authority involved in the planning, development, provision, or funding of transportation infrastructure needs.

**(b) BORDER CROSSING PLANNING INCENTIVE GRANTS.—**

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make incentive grants to States and to metropolitan planning organizations designated under section 134 of title 23, United States Code.

(2) USE OF GRANTS.—The grants shall be used to encourage joint transportation planning activities and to improve people and vehicle movement into and through international gateways as a supplement to statewide and metropolitan transportation planning funding made available under other provisions of this Act and under title 23, United States Code.

(3) CONDITION OF GRANTS.—As a condition of receiving a grant under paragraph (1), a State transportation department or a metropolitan planning organization shall certify to the Secretary that it commits to be engaged in joint planning with its counterpart agency in Mexico or Canada.

(4) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT.—Each State transportation department or metropolitan planning organization may receive not more than \$100,000 under this subsection for any fiscal year.

**(5) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—**

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$1,400,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under

this subsection shall be determined in accordance with subsection (f).

**(c) TRADE CORRIDOR PLANNING INCENTIVE GRANTS.—**

**(1) GRANTS.—**

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make grants to States to encourage, within the framework of the statewide transportation planning process of the State under section 135 of title 23, United States Code, cooperative multistate corridor analysis of, and planning for, the safe and efficient movement of goods along and within international or interstate trade corridors of national importance.

(B) IDENTIFICATION OF CORRIDORS.—Each corridor referred to in subparagraph (A) shall be cooperatively identified by the States along the corridor.

**(2) CORRIDOR PLANS.—**

(A) IN GENERAL.—As a condition of receiving a grant under paragraph (1), a State shall enter into an agreement with the Secretary that specifies that, in cooperation with the other States along the corridor, the State will submit a plan for corridor improvements to the Secretary not later than 2 years after receipt of the grant.

(B) COORDINATION OF PLANNING.—Planning with respect to a corridor under this subsection shall be coordinated with transportation planning being carried out by the States and metropolitan planning organizations along the corridor and, to the extent appropriate, with transportation planning being carried out by Federal land management agencies, by tribal governments, or by government agencies in Mexico or Canada.

(3) MULTISTATE AGREEMENTS FOR TRADE CORRIDOR PLANNING.—The consent of Congress is granted to any 2 or more States—

(A) to enter into multistate agreements, not in conflict with any law of the United States, for cooperative efforts and mutual assistance in support of interstate trade corridor planning activities; and

(B) to establish such agencies, joint or otherwise, as the States may determine desirable to make the agreements effective.

**(4) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—**

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$3,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with subsection (f).

**(d) FEDERAL ASSISTANCE FOR TRADE CORRIDORS AND BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE SAFETY AND CONGESTION RELIEF.—**

(1) APPLICATIONS FOR GRANTS.—The Secretary shall make grants to States or metropolitan planning organizations that submit an application that—

(A) demonstrates need for assistance in carrying out transportation projects that are necessary to relieve traffic congestion or improve enforcement of motor carrier safety laws; and

(B) includes strategies to involve both the public and private sectors in the proposed project.

(2) SELECTION OF STATES, METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS, AND PROJECTS TO RECEIVE GRANTS.—In selecting States, metropolitan planning organizations, and projects to receive grants under this subsection, the Secretary shall consider—

(A) the annual volume of commercial vehicle traffic at the border stations or ports of entry of each State as compared to the an-

nual volume of commercial vehicle traffic at the border stations or ports of entry of all States;

(B) the extent to which commercial vehicle traffic in each State has grown since the date of enactment of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 103-182) as compared to the extent to which that traffic has grown in each other State;

(C) the extent of border transportation improvements carried out by each State since the date of enactment of that Act;

(D) the reduction in commercial and other travel time through a major international gateway expected as a result of the project;

(E) the extent of leveraging of Federal funds provided under this subsection, including—

(i) use of innovative financing;

(ii) combination with funding provided under other sections of this Act and title 23, United States Code; and

(iii) combination with other sources of Federal, State, local, or private funding;

(F) improvements in vehicle and highway safety and cargo security in and through the gateway concerned;

(G) the degree of demonstrated coordination with Federal inspection agencies;

(H) the extent to which the innovative and problem solving techniques of the proposed project would be applicable to other border stations or ports of entry;

(I) demonstrated local commitment to implement and sustain continuing comprehensive border planning processes and improvement programs; and

(J) other factors to promote transport efficiency and safety, as determined by the Secretary.

**(3) USE OF GRANTS.—**

(A) IN GENERAL.—A grant under this subsection shall be used to develop project plans, and implement coordinated and comprehensive programs of projects, to improve efficiency and safety.

(B) TYPE OF PLANS AND PROGRAMS.—The plans and programs may include—

(i) improvements to transport and supporting infrastructure;

(ii) improvements in operational strategies, including electronic data interchange and use of telecommunications to expedite vehicle and cargo movement;

(iii) modifications to regulatory procedures to expedite vehicle and cargo flow;

(iv) new infrastructure construction;

(v) purchase, installation, and maintenance of weigh-in-motion devices and associated electronic equipment in Mexico or Canada if real time data from the devices is provided to the nearest border station and to State commercial vehicle enforcement facilities that serve the border station; and

(vi) other institutional improvements, such as coordination of binational planning, programming, and border operation, with special emphasis on coordination with—

(I) Federal inspection agencies; and

(II) their counterpart agencies in Mexico and Canada.

(4) CONSTRUCTION OF TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT PURPOSES.—At the request of the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with the Attorney General, the Secretary may transfer, during the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2001, not more than \$10,000,000 of the amounts made available under paragraph (5) to the Administrator of General Services for the construction of transportation infrastructure necessary for law enforcement in border States.

(5) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subsection \$125,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

## (e) COORDINATION OF PLANNING.—

(1) PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT OF BORDER STATIONS.—The General Services Administration shall be the coordinating Federal agency in the planning and development of new or expanded border stations.

(2) COOPERATIVE ACTIVITIES.—In carrying out paragraph (1), the Administrator of General Services shall cooperate with Federal inspection agencies and non-Federal governmental jurisdictions to ensure that—

(A) improvements to border station facilities take into account regional and local conditions, including the alignment of highway systems and connecting roadways; and

(B) all facility requirements, associated costs, and economic impacts are identified.

(f) COST SHARING.—A grant under this section shall be used to pay the Federal share of the cost of a project. The Federal share shall not exceed 80 percent.

(g) USE OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS.—If the total amount of funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund under this section but not allocated exceeds \$4,000,000 as of September 30 of any year, the excess amount—

(1) shall be apportioned in the following fiscal year by the Secretary to all States in accordance with section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code;

(2) shall be considered to be a sum made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that the amount shall not be subject to section 133(d) of that title; and

(3) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title.

**SEC. 1117. APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYSTEM.**

(a) AVAILABILITY, RELEASE, AND REALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—Section 201(a) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) in the second sentence, by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, except that each allocation to a State shall remain available for expenditure in the State for the fiscal year in which the allocation is allocated and for the 3 following fiscal years”; and

(2) by inserting after the second sentence the following: “Funds authorized under this section for fiscal year 1998 or a fiscal year thereafter, and not expended by a State during the 4 fiscal years referred to in the preceding sentence, shall be released to the Commission for reallocation and shall remain available until expended.”.

(b) SUBSTITUTE CORRIDOR.—Section 201(b) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), respectively;

(2) by striking “(b) The Commission” and inserting the following:

“(b) DESIGNATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) SUBSTITUTE CORRIDOR.—In lieu of Corridor H in Virginia, the Appalachian development highway system shall include the Virginia portion of the segment identified in section 1105(c)(29) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (109 Stat. 597).”.

(c) FEDERAL SHARE FOR PREFINANCED PROJECTS.—Section 201(h)(1) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking “70 percent” and inserting “80 percent”.

(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) FISCAL YEARS 1998 THROUGH 2003.—For the continued construction of the Appalachian development highway system approved as of September 30, 1996, in accordance with this section, there shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) \$40,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2000, \$50,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$60,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$70,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(B) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—The Secretary shall provide equivalent amounts of obligation authority for the funds authorized under subparagraph (A).

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share shall be determined in accordance with this section and the funds shall remain available in accordance with subsection (a).”.

**SEC. 1118. INTERSTATE 4R AND BRIDGE DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1113(c)(1)), is amended by inserting after subsection (j) the following:

“(k) SET-ASIDE FOR INTERSTATE 4R AND BRIDGE PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, before any apportionment is made under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall set aside \$107,000,000 from amounts to be apportioned under subsection (b)(1)(A), and \$107,000,000 from amounts to be apportioned under subsection (b)(1)(B), for allocation by the Secretary—

“(A) for projects for resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, or reconstructing any route or portion of a route on the Interstate System (other than any highway designated as a part of the Interstate System under section 103(c)(4) and any toll road on the Interstate System that is not subject to an agreement under section 119(e) (as in effect on December”.

**AMENDMENT No. 1500**

Beginning on page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 123, line 25, and insert the following:

**TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION****SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Act of 1997”.

**Subtitle A—General Provisions****SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.**

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$10,851,583,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$10,680,583,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$10,691,883,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$11,791,883,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$12,119,883,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$12,655,883,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,773,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

## (2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$6,609,600,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$6,623,600,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$6,665,600,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$6,722,600,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$6,872,600,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,093,600,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,085,863,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,087,863,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,094,863,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,104,863,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,128,863,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,165,863,000 for fiscal year 2003.

## (4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

**SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

“(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the product obtained by multiplying—  
“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; by

“(II) the average price per square foot of replacement and rehabilitation of the bridges, as determined by the Secretary on a State-by-State basis; bears to

“(ii) the product obtained by multiplying—  
“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States; by

“(II) the average price per square foot of replacement and rehabilitation of the bridges, as determined by the Secretary on a State-by-State basis.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the product obtained by multiplying the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State by the average price per square foot of replacement and rehabilitation of the bridges, as determined by the Secretary on a State-by-State basis; bears to

“(bb) the product obtained by multiplying the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States by the average price per square foot of replacement and rehabilitation of the bridges, as determined by the Secretary on a State-by-State basis.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(i) the product obtained by multiplying—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(II) of paragraph (I)) in each State; by

“(bb) the average price per square foot of replacement and rehabilitation of the bridges, as determined by the Secretary on a State-by-State basis; bears to

“(II) the product obtained by multiplying—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(II) of paragraph (I)) in all States; by

“(bb) the average price per square foot of replacement and rehabilitation of the bridges, as determined by the Secretary on a State-by-State basis.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section

901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title."

(c) ISTE A TRANSITION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

(A) the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(C) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

(i) apportionments authorized under section 104 of that title for construction of the Interstate System;

(ii) apportionments for the Interstate substitute program under section 103(e)(4) of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(iii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title; and

(iv) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943);

(D) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

(E) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (C); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998—

(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 145 percent; and

(ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(E)(ii) shall be 107 percent.

(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) or (1)(E)(ii), respectively, shall be a percentage equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the percentage specified in clause (i) or (ii), respectively, of subparagraph (A); by

(ii) the percentage that—

(I) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for the fiscal year; bears to

(II) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for fiscal year 1998.

(3) MAXIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, in the case of each State with respect to which the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(A) is greater than the product determined under paragraph (1)(D), the Secretary shall reduce proportionately the apportionments to the

State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the National Highway System component of the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program so that the total of the apportionments is equal to the product determined under paragraph (1)(D).

(B) REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), funds made available under subparagraph (A) shall be redistributed proportionately under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, to States not subject to a reduction under subparagraph (A).

(ii) LIMITATION.—The ratio that—

(I) the total apportionments to a State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of clause (i); bears to

(II) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(B) with respect to the State;

may not exceed, in the case of fiscal year 1998, 145 percent, and, in the case of each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, 145 percent as adjusted in the manner described in paragraph (2)(B).

(4) MINIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall apportion to each State such additional amounts as are necessary to ensure that—

(i) the total apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of paragraph (3); is equal to

(ii) the greater of—

(I) the product determined with respect to the State under paragraph (1)(E); or

(II) the total apportionments to the State for fiscal year 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs, excluding—

(aa) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of title 23, United States Code;

(bb) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943); and

(cc) demonstration projects under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240).

(B) OBLIGATION.—Amounts apportioned under subparagraph (A)—

(i) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that—

(I) the amounts shall not be subject to paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code; and

(II) 50 percent of the amounts shall be subject to section 133(d)(3) of that title;

(ii) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title; and

(iii) shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are apportioned.

(C) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this paragraph.

(ii) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subparagraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code.

(d) MINIMUM GUARANTEE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 105 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 105. Minimum guarantee

“(a) ADJUSTMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In fiscal year 1998 and each fiscal year thereafter on October 1, or as soon as practicable thereafter, the Secretary shall allocate among the States amounts sufficient to ensure that—

“(A) the ratio that—

“(i) each State’s percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year—

“(I) under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program; and

“(II) under this section and section 1102(c) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for ISTE A transition; bears to

“(ii) each State’s percentage of estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in the State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available;

is not less than 0.90; and

“(B) in the case of a State specified in paragraph (2), the State’s percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year described in subclauses (I) and (II) of subparagraph (A)(i) is—

“(i) not less than the percentage specified for the State in paragraph (2); but

“(ii) not greater than the product determined for the State under section 1102(c)(1)(D) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for the fiscal year.

“(2) STATE PERCENTAGES.—The percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(B) for a specified State shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

State	Percentage
Alaska .....	1.24
Arkansas .....	1.33
Delaware .....	0.47
Hawaii .....	0.55
Idaho .....	0.82
Montana .....	1.06
Nevada .....	0.73
New Hampshire .....	0.52
New Jersey .....	2.41
New Mexico .....	1.05
North Dakota .....	0.73
Rhode Island .....	0.58
South Dakota .....	0.78
Vermont .....	0.47
Wyoming .....	0.76.

“(b) TREATMENT OF ALLOCATIONS.—

“(1) OBLIGATION.—Amounts allocated under subsection (a)—

“(A) shall be available for obligation when allocated and shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are allocated; and

“(B) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under this title.

“(2) SET-ASIDE.—Fifty percent of the amounts allocated under subsection (a) shall be subject to section 133(d)(3).

“(c) TREATMENT OF WITHHELD APPORTIONMENTS.—For the purpose of subsection (a), any funds that, but for section 158(b) or any

other provision of law under which Federal-aid highway funds are withheld from apportionment, would be apportioned to a State for a fiscal year under a section referred to in subsection (a) shall be treated as being apportioned in that fiscal year.

"(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this section."

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 105 and inserting the following:

"105. Minimum guarantee."

(e) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

"(i) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—From available administrative funds deducted under subsection (a), the Secretary may reimburse the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Transportation for the conduct of annual audits of financial statements in accordance with section 3521 of title 31."

(f) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (e)—

(A) by inserting "NOTIFICATION TO STATES.—" after "(e)";

(B) in the first sentence—

(i) by striking "(other than under subsection (b)(5) of this section)"; and

(ii) by striking "and research";

(C) by striking the second sentence; and

(D) in the last sentence, by striking ", except that" and all that follows through "such funds"; and

(2) in subsection (f)—

(A) by striking "(f)(1) On" and inserting the following:

"(f) METROPOLITAN PLANNING.—

"(1) SET-ASIDE.—On";

(B) by striking "(2) These" and inserting the following:

"(2) APPORTIONMENT TO STATES OF SET-ASIDE FUNDS.—These";

(C) by striking "(3) The" and inserting the following:

"(3) USE OF FUNDS.—The"; and

(D) by striking "(4) The" and inserting the following:

"(4) DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS WITHIN STATES.—The".

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 146(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking ", 104(b)(2), and 104(b)(6)" and inserting "and 104(b)(2)".

(2)(A) Section 150 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 150.

(3) Section 158 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking paragraph (1);

(ii) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively;

(iii) in paragraph (1) (as so redesignated)—

(I) by striking "AFTER THE FIRST YEAR" and inserting "IN GENERAL"; and

(II) by striking ", 104(b)(2), 104(b)(5), and 104(b)(6)" and inserting "and 104(b)(2)"; and

(iv) in paragraph (2) (as redesignated by clause (iii)), by striking "paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection" and inserting "paragraph (1)"; and

(B) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

"(b) EFFECT OF WITHHOLDING OF FUNDS.—No funds withheld under this section from apportionment to any State after September

30, 1988, shall be available for apportionment to that State."

(4)(A) Section 157 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 157.

(5)(A) Section 115(b)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "or 104(b)(5), as the case may be,".

(B) Section 137(f)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 104(b)(5)(B) of this title" and inserting "section 104(b)(1)(A)".

(C) Section 141(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 104(b)(5) of this title" each place it appears and inserting "section 104(b)(1)(A)".

(D) Section 142(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "(other than section 104(b)(5)(A))".

(E) Section 159 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) by striking "(5) of" each place it appears and inserting "(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997) of"; and

(ii) in subsection (b)—

(I) in paragraphs (1)(A)(i) and (3)(A), by striking "section 104(b)(5)(A)" each place it appears and inserting "section 104(b)(5)(A) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)";

(II) in paragraph (1)(A)(ii), by striking "section 104(b)(5)(B)" and inserting "section 104(b)(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)";

(III) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking "(5)(B)" and inserting "(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)"; and

(IV) in paragraphs (3) and (4), by striking "section 104(b)(5)" each place it appears and inserting "section 104(b)(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)".

(F) Section 161(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "paragraphs (1), (3), and (5)(B) of section 104(b)" each place it appears and inserting "paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b)".

(6)(A) Section 104(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in the first sentence, by striking "sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title" and inserting "subsection (b)(1)(B) and sections 130 and 152";

(ii) in the first and second sentences—

(I) by striking "section" and inserting "provision"; and

(II) by striking "such sections" and inserting "those provisions"; and

(iii) in the third sentence—

(I) by striking "section 144" and inserting "subsection (b)(1)(B)"; and

(II) by striking "subsection (b)(1)" and inserting "subsection (b)(1)(C)".

(B) Section 115 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in subsection (a)(1)(A)(i), by striking "104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f), 144," and inserting "104(b)(1)(B), 104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f)"; and

(ii) in subsection (c), by striking "144,".

(C) Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the last sentence by striking "and in section 144 of this title".

(D) Section 151(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 104(a), section 307(a), and section 144 of this title" and inserting "subsections (a) and (b)(1)(B) of section 104 and section 307(a)".

(E) Section 204(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking "or section 144 of this title".

(F) Section 303(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 144 of this title" and inserting "section 104(b)(1)(B)".

#### SEC. 1103. OBLIGATION CEILING.

(a) GENERAL LIMITATIONS.—Subject to the other provisions of this section and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of all obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs shall not exceed—

(1) \$21,800,000,000 for fiscal year 1998;

(2) \$22,802,000,000 for fiscal year 1999;

(3) \$22,939,000,000 for fiscal year 2000;

(4) \$23,183,000,000 for fiscal year 2001;

(5) \$23,699,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; and

(6) \$24,548,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The limitations under subsection (a) shall not apply to obligations of funds under—

(A) section 105(a) of title 23, United States Code (but, for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, only in an amount equal to the amount included for section 157 of title 23, United States Code, in the baseline determined by the Congressional Budget Office for the fiscal year 1998 budget), excluding amounts allocated under section 105(a)(1)(B) of that title;

(B) section 125 of that title;

(C) section 157 of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(D) section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (23 U.S.C. 144 note; 92 Stat. 2714);

(E) section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981 (95 Stat. 1701);

(F) subsections (b) and (j) of section 131 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (96 Stat. 2119);

(G) subsections (b) and (c) of section 149 of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 198); and

(H) sections 1103 through 1108 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2027).

(2) EFFECT OF OTHER LAW.—A provision of law establishing a limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs may not amend or limit the applicability of this subsection, unless the provision specifically amends or limits that applicability.

(c) APPLICABILITY TO TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.—Obligation limitations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs established by subsection (a) shall apply to transportation research programs carried out under chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code.

(d) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—Section 118 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(g) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—

"(1) DISTRIBUTION.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall—

"(A) distribute the total amount of obligation authority for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs made available for the fiscal year by allocation in the ratio that—

"(i) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to each State for the fiscal year; bears to

"(ii) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to all States for the fiscal year;

"(B) provide all States with authority sufficient to prevent lapses of sums authorized

to be appropriated for Federal-aid highways that have been apportioned to a State; and

“(C) notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), not distribute—

“(i) amounts deducted under section 104(a) for administrative expenses;

“(ii) amounts set aside under section 104(k) for Interstate 4R and bridge projects;

“(iii) amounts made available under sections 143, 164, 165, 204, 206, 207, and 322;

“(iv) amounts made available under section 111 of title 49;

“(v) amounts made available under section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.);

“(vi) amounts made available under section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938);

“(vii) amounts made available under sections 1503, 1603, and 1604 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;

“(viii) amounts made available under section 149(d) of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 201);

“(ix) amounts made available under section 105(a)(1)(A) to the extent that the amounts are subject to any obligation limitation under section 1103(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;

“(x) amounts made available for implementation of programs under chapter 5 of this title and sections 5222, 5232, and 5241 of title 49; and

“(xi) amounts made available under section 412 of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995.

“(2) REDISTRIBUTION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Secretary shall, after August 1 of each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003—

“(A) revise a distribution of the funds made available under paragraph (1) for the fiscal year if a State will not obligate the amount distributed during the fiscal year; and

“(B) redistribute sufficient amounts to those States able to obligate amounts in addition to the amounts previously distributed during the fiscal year, giving priority to those States that have large unobligated balances of funds apportioned under section 104 and under section 144 (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this subparagraph).”

(e) **APPLICABILITY OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS.**—An obligation limitation established by a provision of any other Act shall not apply to obligations under a program funded under this Act or title 23, United States Code, unless—

(1) the provision specifically amends or limits the applicability of this subsection; or

(2) an obligation limitation is specified in this Act with respect to the program.

**SEC. 1104. OBLIGATION AUTHORITY UNDER SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.**

Section 133 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) **OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—A State that is required to obligate in an urbanized area with an urbanized area population of over 200,000 individuals under subsection (d) funds apportioned to the State under section 104(b)(3) shall make available during the 3-fiscal year period of 1998 through 2000, and the 3-fiscal year period of 2001 through 2003, an amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for use in the area that is equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

“(A) the aggregate amount of funds that the State is required to obligate in the area under subsection (d) during each such period; by

“(B) the ratio that—

“(i) the aggregate amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs during the period; bears to

“(ii) the total of the sums apportioned to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (excluding sums not subject to an obligation limitation) during the period.

“(2) **JOINT RESPONSIBILITY.**—Each State, each affected metropolitan planning organization, and the Secretary shall jointly ensure compliance with paragraph (1).”

**SEC. 1105. EMERGENCY RELIEF.**

(a) **FEDERAL SHARE.**—Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “highway system” and inserting “highway”.

(b) **ELIGIBILITY AND FUNDING.**—Section 125 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a);

(2) by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and (d) as subsections (d), (e), and (f), respectively;

(3) by inserting after the section heading the following:

“(a) **GENERAL ELIGIBILITY.**—Subject to this section and section 120, an emergency fund is authorized for expenditure by the Secretary for the repair or reconstruction of highways, roads, and trails, in any part of the United States, including Indian reservations, that the Secretary finds have suffered serious damage as a result of—

“(1) natural disaster over a wide area, such as by a flood, hurricane, tidal wave, earthquake, severe storm, or landslide; or

“(2) catastrophic failure from any external cause.

“(b) **RESTRICTION ON ELIGIBILITY.**—In no event shall funds be used pursuant to this section for the repair or reconstruction of bridges that have been permanently closed to all vehicular traffic by the State or responsible local official because of imminent danger of collapse due to a structural deficiency or physical deterioration.

“(c) **FUNDING.**—Subject to the following limitations, there are hereby authorized to be appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as may be necessary to establish the fund authorized by this section and to replenish it on an annual basis:

“(1) Not more than \$100,000,000 is authorized to be obligated in any 1 fiscal year commencing after September 30, 1980, to carry out the provisions of this section, except that, if in any fiscal year the total of all obligations under this section is less than the amount authorized to be obligated in such fiscal year, the unobligated balance of such amount shall remain available until expended and shall be in addition to amounts otherwise available to carry out this section each year.

“(2) Pending such appropriation or replenishment, the Secretary may obligate from any funds heretofore or hereafter appropriated for obligation in accordance with this title, including existing Federal-aid appropriations, such sums as may be necessary for the immediate prosecution of the work herein authorized, provided that such funds are reimbursed from the appropriations authorized in paragraph (1) of this subsection when such appropriations are made.”;

(4) in subsection (d) (as so redesignated), by striking “subsection (c)” both places it appears and inserting “subsection (e)”;

(5) in subsection (e) (as so redesignated), by striking “on any of the Federal-aid highway

systems” and inserting “Federal-aid highways”.

(c) **SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a project to repair or reconstruct any portion of a Federal-aid primary route in San Mateo County, California, that—

(1) was destroyed as a result of a combination of storms in the winter of 1982-1983 and a mountain slide; and

(2) until its destruction, served as the only reasonable access route between 2 cities and as the designated emergency evacuation route of 1 of the cities;

shall be eligible for assistance under section 125(a) of title 23, United States Code, if the project complies with the local coastal plan.

**SEC. 1106. FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.**

(a) **FEDERAL SHARE PAYABLE.**—Section 120 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) **USE OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds appropriated to any Federal land management agency may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any Federal-aid highway project the Federal share of which is funded under section 104.

“(k) **USE OF FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds made available to carry out the Federal lands highways program under section 204 may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any project that is funded under section 104 and that provides access to or within Federal or Indian lands.”.

(b) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—Section 203 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the authorization by the Secretary of engineering and related work for a Federal lands highways program project, or the approval by the Secretary of plans, specifications, and estimates for construction of a Federal lands highways program project, shall be deemed to constitute a contractual obligation of the Federal Government to the pay the Federal share of the cost of the project.”.

(c) **PLANNING AND AGENCY COORDINATION.**—Section 204 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Recognizing the need for all Federal roads that are public roads to be treated under uniform policies similar to the policies that apply to Federal-aid highways, there is established a coordinated Federal lands highways program that shall apply to public lands highways, park roads and parkways, and Indian reservation roads and bridges.

“(2) **TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCEDURES.**—In consultation with the Secretary of each appropriate Federal land management agency, the Secretary shall develop, by rule, transportation planning procedures that are consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes required under sections 134 and 135.

“(3) **APPROVAL OF TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.**—The transportation improvement program developed as a part of the transportation planning process under this section shall be approved by the Secretary.

“(4) **INCLUSION IN OTHER PLANS.**—All regionally significant Federal lands highways program projects—

“(A) shall be developed in cooperation with States and metropolitan planning organizations; and

“(B) shall be included in appropriate Federal lands highways program, State, and metropolitan plans and transportation improvement programs.

“(5) INCLUSION IN STATE PROGRAMS.—The approved Federal lands highways program transportation improvement program shall be included in appropriate State and metropolitan planning organization plans and programs without further action on the transportation improvement program.

“(6) DEVELOPMENT OF SYSTEMS.—The Secretary and the Secretary of each appropriate Federal land management agency shall, to the extent appropriate, develop safety, bridge, pavement, and congestion management systems for roads funded under the Federal lands highways program.”;

(2) in subsection (b), by striking the first 3 sentences and inserting the following: “Funds available for public lands highways, park roads and parkways, and Indian reservation roads shall be used by the Secretary and the Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency to pay for the cost of transportation planning, research, engineering, and construction of the highways, roads, and parkways, or of transit facilities within public lands, national parks, and Indian reservations. In connection with activities under the preceding sentence, the Secretary and the Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency may enter into construction contracts and other appropriate contracts with a State or civil subdivision of a State or Indian tribe.”;

(3) in the first sentence of subsection (e), by striking “Secretary of the Interior” and inserting “Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency”;

(4) in subsection (h), by adding at the end the following:

“(8) A project to build a replacement of the federally owned bridge over the Hoover Dam in the Lake Mead National Recreation Area between Nevada and Arizona.”;

(5) by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

“(i) TRANSFERS OF COSTS TO SECRETARIES OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCIES.—

“(1) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary shall transfer to the appropriate Federal land management agency from amounts made available for public lands highways such amounts as are necessary to pay necessary administrative costs of the agency in connection with public lands highways.

“(2) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING COSTS.—The Secretary shall transfer to the appropriate Federal land management agency from amounts made available for public lands highways such amounts as are necessary to pay the cost to the agency to conduct necessary transportation planning for Federal lands, if funding for the planning is not otherwise provided under this section.”; and

(6) in subsection (j), by striking the second sentence and inserting the following: “The Indian tribal government, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Interior, and as appropriate, with a State, local government, or metropolitan planning organization, shall carry out a transportation planning process in accordance with subsection (a).”.

#### SEC. 1107. RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 205 the following:

##### “§ 206. Recreational trails program

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—

“(1) MOTORIZED RECREATION.—The term ‘motorized recreation’ means off-road recreation using any motor-powered vehicle, except for a motorized wheelchair.

“(2) RECREATIONAL TRAIL; TRAIL.—The term ‘recreational trail’ or ‘trail’ means a thor-

oughfare or track across land or snow, used for recreational purposes such as—

“(A) pedestrian activities, including wheelchair use;

“(B) skating or skateboarding;

“(C) equestrian activities, including carriage driving;

“(D) nonmotorized snow trail activities, including skiing;

“(E) bicycling or use of other human-powered vehicles;

“(F) aquatic or water activities; and

“(G) motorized vehicular activities, including all-terrain vehicle riding, motorcycling, snowmobiling, use of off-road light trucks, or use of other off-road motorized vehicles.

“(b) PROGRAM.—In accordance with this section, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture, shall carry out a program to provide and maintain recreational trails (referred to in this section as the ‘program’).

“(c) STATE RESPONSIBILITIES.—To be eligible for apportionments under this section—

“(1) a State may use apportionments received under this section for construction of new trails crossing Federal lands only if the construction is—

“(A) permissible under other law;

“(B) necessary and required by a statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 460-4 et seq.);

“(C) approved by the administering agency of the State designated under paragraph (2); and

“(D) approved by each Federal agency charged with management of the affected lands, which approval shall be contingent on compliance by the Federal agency with all applicable laws, including the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1600 et seq.), and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.);

“(2) the Governor of a State shall designate the State agency or agencies that will be responsible for administering apportionments received under this section; and

“(3) the State shall establish within the State a State trail advisory committee that represents both motorized and nonmotorized trail users.

“(d) USE OF APPORTIONED FUNDS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Funds made available under this section shall be obligated for trails and trail-related projects that—

“(A) have been planned and developed under the laws, policies, and administrative procedures of each State; and

“(B) are identified in, or further a specific goal of, a trail plan or trail plan element included or referenced in a metropolitan transportation plan required under section 134 or a statewide transportation plan required under section 135, consistent with the statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 460-4 et seq.).

“(2) PERMISSIBLE USES.—Permissible uses of funds made available under this section include—

“(A) maintenance and restoration of existing trails;

“(B) development and rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and trail linkages;

“(C) purchase and lease of trail construction and maintenance equipment;

“(D) construction of new trails;

“(E) acquisition of easements and fee simple title to property for trails or trail corridors;

“(F) payment of costs to the State incurred in administering the program, but in an amount not to exceed 7 percent of the apportionment received by the State for a fiscal year; and

“(G) operation of educational programs to promote safety and environmental protection as these objectives relate to the use of trails.

“(3) USE OF APPORTIONMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D), of the apportionments received for a fiscal year by a State under this section—

“(i) 40 percent shall be used for trail or trail-related projects that facilitate diverse recreational trail use within a trail corridor, trailside, or trailhead, regardless of whether the project is for diverse motorized use, for diverse nonmotorized use, or to accommodate both motorized and nonmotorized recreational trail use;

“(ii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to motorized recreation; and

“(iii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to nonmotorized recreation.

“(B) SMALL STATE EXCLUSION.—Any State with a total land area of less than 3,500,000 acres, and in which nonhighway recreational fuel use accounts for less than 1 percent of all such fuel use in the United States, shall be exempted from the requirements of subparagraph (A) upon application to the Secretary by the State demonstrating that the State meets the conditions of this subparagraph.

“(C) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—Upon the request of a State trail advisory committee established under subsection (c)(3), the Secretary may waive, in whole or in part, the requirements of subparagraph (A) with respect to the State if the State certifies to the Secretary that the State does not have sufficient projects to meet the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(D) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—State administrative costs eligible for funding under paragraph (2)(F) shall be exempt from the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(e) ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT OR MITIGATION.—To the extent practicable and consistent with the other requirements of this section, a State should give consideration to project proposals that provide for the redesign, reconstruction, nonroutine maintenance, or relocation of trails to benefit the natural environment or to mitigate and minimize the impact to the natural environment.

“(f) FEDERAL SHARE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the other provisions of this subsection, the Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall not exceed 80 percent.

“(2) FEDERAL AGENCY PROJECT SPONSOR.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a Federal agency that sponsors a project under this section may contribute additional Federal funds toward the cost of a project, except that—

“(A) the share attributable to the Secretary of Transportation may not exceed 80 percent; and

“(B) the share attributable to the Secretary and the Federal agency jointly may not exceed 95 percent.

“(3) USE OF FUNDS FROM FEDERAL PROGRAMS TO PROVIDE NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made available by the Federal Government under any Federal program that are—

“(A) expended in accordance with the requirements of the Federal program relating to activities funded and populations served; and

“(B) expended on a project that is eligible for assistance under this section;

may be credited toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project.

“(4) PROGRAMMATIC NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—A State may allow adjustments to the non-Federal share of an individual project under this section if the Federal share of the cost of all projects carried out by the State under the program (excluding projects funded under paragraph (2) or (3)) using funds apportioned to the State for a fiscal year does not exceed 80 percent.

“(5) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Federal share of the administrative costs of a State under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with section 120(b).

“(g) USES NOT PERMITTED.—A State may not obligate funds apportioned under this section for—

“(1) condemnation of any kind of interest in property;

“(2) construction of any recreational trail on National Forest System land for any motorized use unless—

“(A) the land has been apportioned for uses other than wilderness by an approved forest land and resource management plan or has been released to uses other than wilderness by an Act of Congress; and

“(B) the construction is otherwise consistent with the management direction in the approved forest land and resource management plan;

“(3) construction of any recreational trail on Bureau of Land Management land for any motorized use unless the land—

“(A) has been apportioned for uses other than wilderness by an approved Bureau of Land Management resource management plan or has been released to uses other than wilderness by an Act of Congress; and

“(B) the construction is otherwise consistent with the management direction in the approved management plan; or

“(4) upgrading, expanding, or otherwise facilitating motorized use or access to trails predominantly used by nonmotorized trail users and on which, as of May 1, 1991, motorized use is prohibited or has not occurred.

“(h) PROJECT ADMINISTRATION.—

“(1) CREDIT FOR DONATIONS OF FUNDS, MATERIALS, SERVICES, OR NEW RIGHT-OF-WAY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this title or other law shall prevent a project sponsor from offering to donate funds, materials, services, or a new right-of-way for the purposes of a project eligible for assistance under this section. Any funds, or the fair market value of any materials, services, or new right-of-way, may be donated by any project sponsor and shall be credited to the non-Federal share in accordance with subsection (f).

“(B) FEDERAL PROJECT SPONSORS.—Any funds or the fair market value of any materials or services may be provided by a Federal project sponsor and shall be credited to the Federal agency's share in accordance with subsection (f).

“(2) RECREATIONAL PURPOSE.—A project funded under this section is intended to enhance recreational opportunity and is not subject to section 138 of this title or section 303 of title 49.

“(3) CONTINUING RECREATIONAL USE.—At the option of each State, funds made available under this section may be treated as Land and Water Conservation Fund apportionments for the purposes of section 6(f)(3) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601-8(f)(3)).

“(4) COOPERATION BY PRIVATE PERSONS.—

“(A) WRITTEN ASSURANCES.—As a condition of making available apportionments for work on recreational trails that would affect privately owned land, a State shall obtain written assurances that the owner of the land will cooperate with the State and par-

ticipate as necessary in the activities to be conducted.

“(B) PUBLIC ACCESS.—Any use of the apportionments to a State under this section on privately owned land must be accompanied by an easement or other legally binding agreement that ensures public access to the recreational trail improvements funded by the apportionments.

“(i) APPORTIONMENT.—

“(1) DEFINITION OF ELIGIBLE STATE.—In this subsection, the term ‘eligible State’ means a State that meets the requirements of subsection (c).

“(2) APPORTIONMENT.—Subject to subsection (j), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall apportion—

“(A) 50 percent of the amounts made available to carry out this section equally among eligible States; and

“(B) 50 percent of the amounts made available to carry out this section among eligible States in proportion to the quantity of non-highway recreational fuel used in each eligible State during the preceding year.

“(j) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Whenever an apportionment is made under subsection (i) of the amounts made available to carry out this section, the Secretary shall first deduct an amount, not to exceed 1 percent of the authorized amounts, to pay the costs to the Secretary for administration of, and research authorized under, the program.

“(2) USE OF CONTRACTS.—To carry out research funded under paragraph (1), the Secretary may—

“(A) enter into contracts with for-profit organizations; and

“(B) enter into contracts, partnerships, or cooperative agreements with other government agencies, institutions of higher learning, or nonprofit organizations.

“(k) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$17,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$22,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$23,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$24,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall be determined in accordance with this section.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 is amended by striking part B of title I (16 U.S.C. 1261 et seq.).

(2) The analysis for chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 206 and inserting the following:

“206. Recreational trails program.”

**SEC. 1108. VALUE PRICING PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “CONGESTION” and inserting “VALUE”; and

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “congestion” each place it appears and inserting “value”.

(b) INCREASED NUMBER OF PROJECTS.—Section 1012(b)(1) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended in the second sentence by striking “5” and inserting “15”.

(c) ELIGIBILITY OF PREIMPLEMENTATION COSTS.—Section 1012(b)(2) of the Intermodal

Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended in the second sentence—

(1) by inserting after “Secretary shall fund” the following: “all preimplementation costs and project design, and”; and

(2) by inserting after “Secretary may not fund” the following: “the implementation costs of”.

(d) TOLLING.—Section 1012(b)(4) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by striking “a pilot program under this section, but not on more than 3 of such programs” and inserting “any value pricing pilot program under this subsection”.

(e) HOV PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by striking paragraph (6) and inserting the following:

“(6) HOV PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS.—Notwithstanding section 146(c) of title 23, United States Code, a State may permit vehicles with fewer than 2 occupants to operate in high occupancy vehicle lanes if the vehicles are part of a value pricing pilot program under this subsection.”

(f) FUNDING.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(7) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$8,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(B) AVAILABILITY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Funds allocated by the Secretary to a State under this subsection shall remain available for obligation by the State for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.

“(ii) USE OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS.—If the total amount of funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund under this subsection but not allocated exceeds \$8,000,000 as of September 30 of any year, the excess amount—

“(I) shall be apportioned in the following fiscal year by the Secretary to all States in accordance with section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code;

“(II) shall be considered to be a sum made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that the amount shall not be subject to section 133(d) of that title; and

“(III) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title.

“(C) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of any project under this subsection and the availability of funds authorized by this paragraph shall be determined in accordance with this subsection.”

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “projects” each place it appears and inserting “programs”; and

(2) in paragraph (5)—

(A) by striking “projects” and inserting “programs”; and

(B) by striking “traffic, volume” and inserting “traffic volume”.

**SEC. 1109. HIGHWAY USE TAX EVASION PROJECTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 143 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 143. Highway use tax evasion projects**

“(a) DEFINITION OF STATE.—In this section, the term ‘State’ means the 50 States and the District of Columbia.

“(b) PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall use funds made available under paragraph (7) to carry out highway use tax evasion projects in accordance with this subsection.

“(2) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—The funds may be allocated to the Internal Revenue Service and the States at the discretion of the Secretary.

“(3) CONDITIONS ON FUNDS ALLOCATED TO INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.—The Secretary shall not impose any condition on the use of funds allocated to the Internal Revenue Service under this subsection.

“(4) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—Funds made available under paragraph (7) shall be used only—

“(A) to expand efforts to enhance motor fuel tax enforcement;

“(B) to fund additional Internal Revenue Service staff, but only to carry out functions described in this paragraph;

“(C) to supplement motor fuel tax examinations and criminal investigations;

“(D) to develop automated data processing tools to monitor motor fuel production and sales;

“(E) to evaluate and implement registration and reporting requirements for motor fuel taxpayers;

“(F) to reimburse State expenses that supplement existing fuel tax compliance efforts; and

“(G) to analyze and implement programs to reduce tax evasion associated with other highway use taxes.

“(5) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—The Secretary may not make an allocation to a State under this subsection for a fiscal year unless the State certifies that the aggregate expenditure of funds of the State, exclusive of Federal funds, for motor fuel tax enforcement activities will be maintained at a level that does not fall below the average level of such expenditure for the preceding 2 fiscal years of the State.

“(6) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of a project carried out under this subsection shall be 100 percent.

“(7) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available to the Secretary from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(B) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall remain available for obligation for a period of 1 year after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.

“(c) EXCISE FUEL REPORTING SYSTEM.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than April 1, 1998, the Secretary shall enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service for the purposes of the development and maintenance by the Internal Revenue Service of an excise fuel reporting system (referred to in this subsection as the ‘system’).

“(2) ELEMENTS OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—The memorandum of understanding shall provide that—

“(A) the Internal Revenue Service shall develop and maintain the system through contracts;

“(B) the system shall be under the control of the Internal Revenue Service; and

“(C) the system shall be made available for use by appropriate State and Federal revenue, tax, or law enforcement authorities, subject to section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(3) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FROM HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection—

“(A) \$8,000,000 for development of the system; and

“(B) \$2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003 for operation and maintenance of the system.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 143 and inserting the following:

“143. Highway use tax evasion projects.”.

(2) Section 1040 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 1992) is repealed.

(3) Section 8002 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 2203) is amended—

(A) in the first sentence of subsection (g), by striking “section 1040 of this Act” and inserting “section 143 of title 23, United States Code.”; and

(B) by striking subsection (h).

**SEC. 1110. BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION AND PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS.**

Section 217 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting “pedestrian walkways and” after “construction of”; and

(B) by striking “(other than the Interstate System)”;

(2) in subsection (e), by striking “, other than a highway access to which is fully controlled.”;

(3) by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Bicyclists and pedestrians shall be given consideration in the comprehensive transportation plans developed by each metropolitan planning organization and State in accordance with sections 134 and 135, respectively.

“(2) CONSTRUCTION.—Bicycle transportation facilities and pedestrian walkways shall be considered, where appropriate, in conjunction with all new construction and reconstruction of transportation facilities, except where bicycle and pedestrian use are not permitted.

“(3) SAFETY AND CONTIGUOUS ROUTES.—Transportation plans and projects shall provide consideration for safety and contiguous routes for bicyclists and pedestrians.”;

(4) in subsection (h)—

(A) by striking “No motorized vehicles shall” and inserting “Motorized vehicles may not”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) wheelchairs that are powered; and”;

(5) by striking subsection (j) and inserting the following:

“(j) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION FACILITY.—The term ‘bicycle transportation facility’ means a new or improved lane, path, or shoulder for use by bicyclists or a traffic control device, shelter, or parking facility for bicycles.

“(2) PEDESTRIAN.—The term ‘pedestrian’ means any person traveling by foot or any mobility impaired person using a wheelchair.

“(3) WHEELCHAIR.—The term ‘wheelchair’ means a mobility aid, usable indoors, and de-

signed for and used by individuals with mobility impairments, whether operated manually or powered.”.

**SEC. 1111. DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.**

(a) GENERAL RULE.—Except to the extent that the Secretary determines otherwise, not less than 10 percent of the amounts made available for any program under titles I and II of this Act shall be expended with small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.—The term “small business concern” has the meaning such term has under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632); except that such term shall not include any concern or group of concerns controlled by the same socially and economically disadvantaged individual or individuals which has average annual gross receipts over the preceding 3 fiscal years in excess of \$16,600,000, as adjusted by the Secretary for inflation.

(2) SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS.—The term “socially and economically disadvantaged individuals” has the meaning such term has under section 8(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(d)) and relevant subcontracting regulations promulgated pursuant thereto; except that women shall be presumed to be socially and economically disadvantaged individuals for purposes of this section.

(c) ANNUAL LISTING OF DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.—Each State shall annually survey and compile a list of the small business concerns referred to in subsection (a) and the location of such concerns in the State and notify the Secretary, in writing, of the percentage of such concerns which are controlled by women, by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals (other than women), and by individuals who are women and are otherwise socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

(d) UNIFORM CERTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall establish minimum uniform criteria for State governments to use in certifying whether a concern qualifies for purposes of this section. Such minimum uniform criteria shall include but not be limited to on-site visits, personal interviews, licenses, analysis of stock ownership, listing of equipment, analysis of bonding capacity, listing of work completed, resume of principal owners, financial capacity, and type of work preferred.

**SEC. 1112. FEDERAL SHARE PAYABLE.**

Section 120 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1106(a)), is amended—

(1) in each of subsections (a) and (b), by adding at the end the following: “In the case of any project subject to this subsection, a State may determine a lower Federal share than the Federal share determined under the preceding sentences of this subsection.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(1) CREDIT FOR NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

“(1) ELIGIBILITY.—A State may use as a credit toward the non-Federal share requirement for any program under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240) or this title, other than the emergency relief program authorized by section 125, toll revenues that are generated and used by public, quasi-public, and private agencies to build, improve, or maintain, without the use of Federal funds, highways, bridges, or tunnels that serve the public purpose of interstate commerce.

“(2) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The credit toward any non-Federal share under paragraph (1) shall not reduce nor replace State funds required

to match Federal funds for any program under this title.

“(B) CONDITIONS ON RECEIPT OF CREDIT.—

“(i) AGREEMENT WITH THE SECRETARY.—To receive a credit under paragraph (1) for a fiscal year, a State shall enter into such agreements as the Secretary may require to ensure that the State will maintain its non-Federal transportation capital expenditures at or above the average level of such expenditures for the preceding 3 fiscal years.

“(ii) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding clause (i), a State may receive a credit under paragraph (1) for a fiscal year if, for any 1 of the preceding 3 fiscal years, the non-Federal transportation capital expenditures of the State were at a level that was greater than 30 percent of the average level of such expenditures for the other 2 of the preceding 3 fiscal years.

“(3) TREATMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Use of the credit toward a non-Federal share under paragraph (1) shall not expose the agencies from which the credit is received to additional liability, additional regulation, or additional administrative oversight.

“(B) CHARTERED MULTISTATE AGENCIES.—When credit is applied from a chartered multistate agency under paragraph (1), the credit shall be applied equally to all charter States.

“(C) NO ADDITIONAL STANDARDS.—A public, quasi-public, or private agency from which the credit for which the non-Federal share is calculated under paragraph (1) shall not be subject to any additional Federal design standards or laws (including regulations) as a result of providing the credit beyond the standards and laws to which the agency is already subject.”

#### SEC. 1113. STUDIES AND REPORTS.

(a) HIGHWAY ECONOMIC REQUIREMENT SYSTEM.—

(1) METHODOLOGY.—

(A) EVALUATION.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an evaluation of the methodology used by the Department of Transportation to determine highway needs using the highway economic requirement system (referred to in this subsection as the “model”).

(B) REQUIRED ELEMENT.—The evaluation shall include an assessment of the extent to which the model estimates an optimal level of highway infrastructure investment, including an assessment as to when the model may be overestimating or underestimating investment requirements.

(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the evaluation.

(2) STATE INVESTMENT PLANS.—

(A) STUDY.—In consultation with State transportation departments and other appropriate State and local officials, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the extent to which the highway economic requirement system of the Federal Highway Administration can be used to provide States with useful information for developing State transportation investment plans and State infrastructure investment projections.

(B) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The study shall—

(i) identify any additional data that may need to be collected beyond the data submitted, prior to the date of enactment of this Act, to the Federal Highway Administration through the highway performance monitoring system; and

(ii) identify what additional work, if any, would be required of the Federal Highway Administration and the States to make the model useful at the State level.

(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study.

(b) INTERNATIONAL ROUGHNESS INDEX.—

(1) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the international roughness index that is used as an indicator of pavement quality on the Federal-aid highway system.

(2) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The study shall specify the extent of usage of the index and the extent to which the international roughness index measurement is reliable across different manufacturers and types of pavement.

(3) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study.

(c) REPORTING OF RATES OF OBLIGATION.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (m); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i) the following:

“(j) REPORTING OF RATES OF OBLIGATION.—

On an annual basis, the Secretary shall publish or otherwise report rates of obligation of funds apportioned or set aside under this section and sections 103 and 133 according to—

“(1) program;

“(2) funding category or subcategory;

“(3) type of improvement;

“(4) State; and

“(5) sub-State geographic area, including urbanized and rural areas, on the basis of the population of each such area.”

#### SEC. 1114. DEFINITIONS.

(a) FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FUNDS AND PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the undesignated paragraph defining “Federal-aid highways” the following:

“The term ‘Federal-aid highway funds’ means funds made available to carry out the Federal-aid highway program.

“The term ‘Federal-aid highway program’ means all programs authorized under chapters 1, 3, and 5.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Section 101(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “the construction of Federal-aid highways or highway planning, research, or development” and inserting “the Federal-aid highway program”.

(B) Section 104(m)(1) of title 23, United States Code (as redesignated by section 1113(c)(1)), is amended by striking “Federal-aid highways and the highway safety construction programs” and inserting “the Federal-aid highway program”.

(C) Section 107(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the second sentence by striking “Federal-aid highways” and inserting “the Federal-aid highway program”.

(b) ALPHABETIZATION OF DEFINITIONS.—Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by reordering the undesignated paragraphs so that they are in alphabetical order.

#### SEC. 1115. COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1107(a)), is amended by inserting after section 206 the following:

##### “§207. Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program

“(a) IN GENERAL.—There is established the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program (referred to in this section as the ‘program’). Funds available for the program

may be used for projects, or portions of projects, on highways that are owned or maintained by States or political subdivisions of States and that cross, are adjacent to, or lead to federally owned land or Indian reservations (including Army Corps of Engineers reservoirs), as determined by the State. Such projects shall be proposed by a State and selected by the Secretary. A project proposed by a State under this section shall be on a highway or bridge owned or maintained by the State, or 1 or more political subdivisions of the State, and may be a highway or bridge construction or maintenance project eligible under this title or any project of a type described in section 204(h).

“(b) DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS FOR PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary—

“(i) after consultation with the Administrator of General Services, the Secretary of the Interior, and other agencies as appropriate (including the Army Corps of Engineers), shall determine the percentage of the total land in each State that is owned by the Federal Government or that is held by the Federal Government in trust;

“(ii) shall determine the sum of the percentages determined under clause (i) for States with respect to which the percentage is 4.5 or greater; and

“(iii) shall determine for each State included in the determination under clause (ii) the percentage obtained by dividing—

“(I) the percentage for the State determined under clause (i); by

“(II) the sum determined under clause (ii).

“(B) ADJUSTMENT.—The Secretary shall—

“(i) reduce any percentage determined under subparagraph (A)(ii) that is greater than 7.5 percent to 7.5 percent; and

“(ii) redistribute the percentage points equal to any reduction under clause (i) among other States included in the determination under subparagraph (A)(ii) in proportion to the percentages for those States determined under subparagraph (A)(iii).

(2) AVAILABILITY TO STATES.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall make funds available to carry out eligible projects in a State in an amount equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

“(A) the percentage for the State, if any, determined under paragraph (1); by

“(B) the funds made available for the program for the fiscal year.

(3) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—The Secretary may establish deadlines for States to submit proposed projects for funding under this section, except that in the case of fiscal year 1998 the deadline may not be earlier than January 1, 1998. For each fiscal year, if a State does not have pending, by that deadline, applications for projects with an estimated cost equal to at least 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2), the Secretary may distribute, to 1 or more other States, at the Secretary’s discretion,  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the amount by which the estimated cost of the State’s applications is less than 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2).

(c) TRANSFERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a State and the Secretary may agree to transfer amounts made available to a State under this section to the allocations of the State under section 202 for use in carrying out projects on any Federal lands highway that is located in the State.

(2) SPECIAL RULE.—This paragraph applies to a State that contains a national park that was visited by more than 2,500,000 people in 1996 and comprises more than 3,000 square miles of land area, including surface water, that is located in the State. For such a

State, 50 percent of the amount that would otherwise be made available to the State for each fiscal year under the program shall be made available only for eligible highway uses in the national park and within the borders of the State. For the purpose of making allocations under section 202(c), the Secretary may not take into account the past or future availability, for use on park roads and parkways in a national park, of funds made available for use in a national park by this paragraph. <sup>120</sup>“(d) RIGHTS-OF-WAY ACROSS FEDERAL LAND.—Nothing in this section affects any claim for a right-of-way across Federal land.

“(e) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 207 and inserting the following:

“207. Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program.”

**SEC. 1116. TRADE CORRIDOR AND BORDER CROSSING PLANNING AND BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) BORDER REGION.—The term “border region” means—

(A) the region located within 60 miles of the United States border with Mexico; and

(B) the region located within 60 miles of the United States border with Canada.

(2) BORDER STATE.—The term “border State” means a State of the United States that—

(A) is located along the border with Mexico; or

(B) is located along the border with Canada.

(3) BORDER STATION.—The term “border station” means a controlled port of entry into the United States located in the United States at the border with Mexico or Canada, consisting of land occupied by the station and the buildings, roadways, and parking lots on the land.

(4) FEDERAL INSPECTION AGENCY.—The term “Federal inspection agency” means a Federal agency responsible for the enforcement of immigration laws (including regulations), customs laws (including regulations), and agriculture import restrictions, including the United States Customs Service, the Immigration and Naturalization Service, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, the Food and Drug Administration, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Department of State.

(5) GATEWAY.—The term “gateway” means a grouping of border stations defined by proximity and similarity of trade.

(6) NON-FEDERAL GOVERNMENTAL JURISDICTION.—The term “non-Federal governmental jurisdiction” means a regional, State, or local authority involved in the planning, development, provision, or funding of transportation infrastructure needs.

(b) BORDER CROSSING PLANNING INCENTIVE GRANTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make incentive grants to States and to metropolitan planning organizations designated under section 134 of title 23, United States Code.

(2) USE OF GRANTS.—The grants shall be used to encourage joint transportation planning activities and to improve people and vehicle movement into and through inter-

national gateways as a supplement to statewide and metropolitan transportation planning funding made available under other provisions of this Act and under title 23, United States Code.

(3) CONDITION OF GRANTS.—As a condition of receiving a grant under paragraph (1), a State transportation department or a metropolitan planning organization shall certify to the Secretary that it commits to be engaged in joint planning with its counterpart agency in Mexico or Canada.

(4) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT.—Each State transportation department or metropolitan planning organization may receive not more than \$100,000 under this subsection for any fiscal year.

(5) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$1,400,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with subsection (f).

(c) TRADE CORRIDOR PLANNING INCENTIVE GRANTS.—

(1) GRANTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make grants to States to encourage, within the framework of the statewide transportation planning process of the State under section 135 of title 23, United States Code, cooperative multistate corridor analysis of, and planning for, the safe and efficient movement of goods along and within international or interstate trade corridors of national importance.

(B) IDENTIFICATION OF CORRIDORS.—Each corridor referred to in subparagraph (A) shall be cooperatively identified by the States along the corridor.

(2) CORRIDOR PLANS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—As a condition of receiving a grant under paragraph (1), a State shall enter into an agreement with the Secretary that specifies that, in cooperation with the other States along the corridor, the State will submit a plan for corridor improvements to the Secretary not later than 2 years after receipt of the grant.

(B) COORDINATION OF PLANNING.—Planning with respect to a corridor under this subsection shall be coordinated with transportation planning being carried out by the States and metropolitan planning organizations along the corridor and, to the extent appropriate, with transportation planning being carried out by Federal land management agencies, by tribal governments, or by government agencies in Mexico or Canada.

(3) MULTISTATE AGREEMENTS FOR TRADE CORRIDOR PLANNING.—The consent of Congress is granted to any 2 or more States—

(A) to enter into multistate agreements, not in conflict with any law of the United States, for cooperative efforts and mutual assistance in support of interstate trade corridor planning activities; and

(B) to establish such agencies, joint or otherwise, as the States may determine desirable to make the agreements effective.

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$3,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available

for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with subsection (f).

(d) FEDERAL ASSISTANCE FOR TRADE CORRIDORS AND BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE SAFETY AND CONGESTION RELIEF.—

(1) APPLICATIONS FOR GRANTS.—The Secretary shall make grants to States or metropolitan planning organizations that submit an application that—

(A) demonstrates need for assistance in carrying out transportation projects that are necessary to relieve traffic congestion or improve enforcement of motor carrier safety laws; and

(B) includes strategies to involve both the public and private sectors in the proposed project.

(2) SELECTION OF STATES, METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS, AND PROJECTS TO RECEIVE GRANTS.—In selecting States, metropolitan planning organizations, and projects to receive grants under this subsection, the Secretary shall consider—

(A) the annual volume of commercial vehicle traffic at the border stations or ports of entry of each State as compared to the annual volume of commercial vehicle traffic at the border stations or ports of entry of all States;

(B) the extent to which commercial vehicle traffic in each State has grown since the date of enactment of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 103-182) as compared to the extent to which that traffic has grown in each other State;

(C) the extent of border transportation improvements carried out by each State since the date of enactment of that Act;

(D) the reduction in commercial and other travel time through a major international gateway expected as a result of the project;

(E) the extent of leveraging of Federal funds provided under this subsection, including—

(i) use of innovative financing;

(ii) combination with funding provided under other sections of this Act and title 23, United States Code; and

(iii) combination with other sources of Federal, State, local, or private funding;

(F) improvements in vehicle and highway safety and cargo security in and through the gateway concerned;

(G) the degree of demonstrated coordination with Federal inspection agencies;

(H) the extent to which the innovative and problem solving techniques of the proposed project would be applicable to other border stations or ports of entry;

(I) demonstrated local commitment to implement and sustain continuing comprehensive border planning processes and improvement programs; and

(J) other factors to promote transport efficiency and safety, as determined by the Secretary.

(3) USE OF GRANTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—A grant under this subsection shall be used to develop project plans, and implement coordinated and comprehensive programs of projects, to improve efficiency and safety.

(B) TYPE OF PLANS AND PROGRAMS.—The plans and programs may include—

(i) improvements to transport and supporting infrastructure;

(ii) improvements in operational strategies, including electronic data interchange and use of telecommunications to expedite vehicle and cargo movement;

(iii) modifications to regulatory procedures to expedite vehicle and cargo flow;

(iv) new infrastructure construction;

(v) purchase, installation, and maintenance of weigh-in-motion devices and associated electronic equipment in Mexico or Canada if real time data from the devices is provided to the nearest border station and to State commercial vehicle enforcement facilities that serve the border station; and

(vi) other institutional improvements, such as coordination of binational planning, programming, and border operation, with special emphasis on coordination with—

(I) Federal inspection agencies; and  
(II) their counterpart agencies in Mexico and Canada.

(4) CONSTRUCTION OF TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT PURPOSES.—At the request of the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with the Attorney General, the Secretary may transfer, during the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2001, not more than \$10,000,000 of the amounts made available under paragraph (5) to the Administrator of General Services for the construction of transportation infrastructure necessary for law enforcement in border States.

(5) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subsection \$125,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(e) COORDINATION OF PLANNING.—

(1) PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT OF BORDER STATIONS.—The General Services Administration shall be the coordinating Federal agency in the planning and development of new or expanded border stations.

(2) COOPERATIVE ACTIVITIES.—In carrying out paragraph (1), the Administrator of General Services shall cooperate with Federal inspection agencies and non-Federal governmental jurisdictions to ensure that—

(A) improvements to border station facilities take into account regional and local conditions, including the alignment of highway systems and connecting roadways; and  
(B) all facility requirements, associated costs, and economic impacts are identified.

(f) COST SHARING.—A grant under this section shall be used to pay the Federal share of the cost of a project. The Federal share shall not exceed 80 percent.

(g) USE OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS.—If the total amount of funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund under this section but not allocated exceeds \$4,000,000 as of September 30 of any year, the excess amount—

(1) shall be apportioned in the following fiscal year by the Secretary to all States in accordance with section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code;

(2) shall be considered to be a sum made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that the amount shall not be subject to section 133(d) of that title; and

(3) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title.

**SEC. 1117. APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYSTEM.**

(a) AVAILABILITY, RELEASE, AND REALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—Section 201(a) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) in the second sentence, by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, except that each allocation to a State shall remain available for expenditure in the State for the fiscal year in which the allocation is allocated and for the 3 following fiscal years”; and

(2) by inserting after the second sentence the following: “Funds authorized under this section for fiscal year 1998 or a fiscal year thereafter, and not expended by a State during the 4 fiscal years referred to in the preceding sentence, shall be released to the

Commission for reallocation and shall remain available until expended.”.

(b) SUBSTITUTE CORRIDOR.—Section 201(b) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), respectively;

(2) by striking “(b) The Commission” and inserting the following:

“(b) DESIGNATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) SUBSTITUTE CORRIDOR.—In lieu of Corridor H in Virginia, the Appalachian development highway system shall include the Virginia portion of the segment identified in section 1105(c)(29) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (109 Stat. 597).”.

(c) FEDERAL SHARE FOR PREFINANCED PROJECTS.—Section 201(h)(1) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking “70 percent” and inserting “80 percent”.

(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) FISCAL YEARS 1998 THROUGH 2003.—For the continued construction of the Appalachian development highway system approved as of September 30, 1996, in accordance with this section, there shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) \$40,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2000, \$50,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$60,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$70,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(B) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—The Secretary shall provide equivalent amounts of obligation authority for the funds authorized under subparagraph (A).

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share shall be determined in accordance with this section and the funds shall remain available in accordance with subsection (a).”.

**SEC. 1118. INTERSTATE 4R AND BRIDGE DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1113(c)(1)), is amended by inserting after subsection (j) the following:

“(k) SET-ASIDE FOR INTERSTATE 4R AND BRIDGE PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, before any apportionment is made under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall set aside \$70,000,000 from amounts to be apportioned under subsection (b)(1)(A), and \$70,000,000 from amounts to be apportioned under subsection (b)(1)(B), for allocation by the Secretary—

“(A) for projects for resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, or reconstructing any route or portion of a route on the Interstate System (other than any highway designated as a part of the Interstate System under section 103(c)(4) and any toll road on the Interstate System that is not subject to an agreement under section 119(e) (as in effect on December 17, 1991) or an agreement under section 129(a));

“(B) for projects for a highway bridge the replacement, rehabilitation, or seismic retrofit cost of which is more than \$10,000,000; and

“(C) for projects for a highway bridge the replacement, rehabilitation, or seismic ret-

rofit cost of which is less than \$10,000,000 if the cost is at least twice the amount reserved under section 144(c) by the State in which the bridge is located for the fiscal year in which application is made for an allocation for the bridge under this subsection.

“(2) REQUIRED ALLOCATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (B), for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall allocate on October 1, for use for highway bridge projects, at least \$20,000,000 of the amounts set aside under paragraph (1) to any State that—

“(i) is apportioned for fiscal year 1998 under paragraphs (1)(B), (1)(C)(i)(III), and (3)(A)(iii) of subsection (b) an amount that is less than the amount apportioned to the State for the highway bridge replacement and rehabilitation program under section 144 for fiscal year 1997; and

“(ii) was apportioned for that program for fiscal year 1997 an amount greater than \$125,000,000.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—A State that transferred funds from the highway bridge replacement and rehabilitation program during any of fiscal years 1995 through 1997 in an amount greater than 10 percent of the apportionments for that program for the fiscal year shall not be eligible for an allocation under subparagraph (A).

“(C) ADDITIONAL ALLOCATION.—An allocation to a State under subparagraph (A) shall be in addition to any allocation to the State under paragraph (1).

“(3) AVAILABILITY TO STATES OF INTERSTATE 4R FUNDS.—The Secretary may grant the application of a State for funds made available for a fiscal year for a project described in paragraph (1)(A) if the Secretary determines that—

“(A) the State has obligated or demonstrates that it will obligate for the fiscal year all of the apportionments to the State under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (b)(1) other than an amount that, by itself, is insufficient to pay the Federal share of the cost of a project described in paragraph (1)(A) that has been submitted by the State to the Secretary for approval; and

“(B) the State is willing and able to—

“(i) obligate the funds within 1 year after the date on which the funds are made available;

“(ii) apply the funds to a project that is ready to be commenced; and

“(iii) in the case of construction work, begin work within 90 days after the date of obligation of the funds.

“(4) ELIGIBILITY OF CERTAIN BRIDGES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any bridge that is owned and operated by an agency that does not have taxing powers and whose functions include operating a federally assisted public transit system subsidized by toll revenues shall be eligible for assistance under this subsection.

“(B) LIMITATION.—The amount of assistance under subparagraph (A) shall not exceed the cumulative amount that the agency has expended for capital and operating costs to subsidize the transit system.

“(C) DETERMINATION BY THE SECRETARY.—Before authorizing an expenditure of funds under this paragraph, the Secretary shall make a determination that the applicant agency has insufficient reserves, surpluses, and projected revenues (over and above those required for bridge and transit capital and operating costs) to fund the necessary bridge replacement, seismic retrofitting, or rehabilitation project.

“(D) CREDITING OF NON-FEDERAL FUNDS.—Any non-Federal funds expended for the seismic retrofit of the bridge may be credited toward the non-Federal share required as a condition of receipt of any Federal funds for

seismic retrofit of the bridge made available after the date of expenditure.

“(5) PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY OF DISCRETIONARY FUNDS.—Amounts made available under this subsection shall remain available until expended.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 118 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (c).

**SEC. 1119. MAGNETIC LEVITATION TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY DEPLOYMENT PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 3 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 321 the following:

**“§322. Magnetic levitation transportation technology deployment program**

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) ELIGIBLE PROJECT COSTS.—The term ‘eligible project costs’ means the capital cost of the fixed guideway infrastructure of a MAGLEV project, including land, piers, guideways, propulsion equipment and other components attached to guideways, power distribution facilities (including substations), control and communications facilities, access roads, and storage, repair, and maintenance facilities, but not including costs incurred for a new station.

“(2) FULL PROJECT COSTS.—The term ‘full project costs’ means the total capital costs of a MAGLEV project, including eligible project costs and the costs of stations, vehicles, and equipment.

“(3) MAGLEV.—The term ‘MAGLEV’ means transportation systems employing magnetic levitation that would be capable of safe use by the public at a speed in excess of 240 miles per hour.

“(4) PARTNERSHIP POTENTIAL.—The term ‘partnership potential’ has the meaning given the term in the commercial feasibility study of high-speed ground transportation conducted under section 1036 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240; 105 Stat. 1978).

“(b) ASSISTANCE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make available financial assistance to provide the Federal share of full project costs of eligible projects selected under this section.

“(2) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of full project costs under paragraph (1) shall be not more than ⅓.

“(3) USE OF ASSISTANCE.—Financial assistance provided under paragraph (1) shall be used only to pay eligible project costs of projects selected under this section.

“(c) SOLICITATION OF APPLICATIONS FOR ASSISTANCE.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997, the Secretary shall solicit applications from States, or authorities designated by 1 or more States, for financial assistance authorized by subsection (b) for planning, design, and construction of eligible MAGLEV projects.

“(d) PROJECT ELIGIBILITY.—To be eligible to receive financial assistance under subsection (b), a project shall—

“(1) involve a segment or segments of a high-speed ground transportation corridor that exhibit partnership potential;

“(2) require an amount of Federal funds for project financing that will not exceed the sum of—

“(A) the amounts made available under subsection (h)(1)(A); and

“(B) the amounts made available by States under subsection (h)(4);

“(3) result in an operating transportation facility that provides a revenue producing service;

“(4) be undertaken through a public and private partnership, with at least ⅓ of full project costs paid using non-Federal funds;

“(5) satisfy applicable statewide and metropolitan planning requirements;

“(6) be approved by the Secretary based on an application submitted to the Secretary by a State or authority designated by 1 or more States;

“(7) to the extent that non-United States MAGLEV technology is used within the United States, be carried out as a technology transfer project; and

“(8) be carried out using materials at least 70 percent of which are manufactured in the United States.

“(e) PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA.—Prior to soliciting applications, the Secretary shall establish criteria for selecting which eligible projects under subsection (d) will receive financial assistance under subsection (b). The criteria shall include the extent to which—

“(1) a project is nationally significant, including the extent to which the project will demonstrate the feasibility of deployment of MAGLEV technology throughout the United States;

“(2) timely implementation of the project will reduce congestion in other modes of transportation and reduce the need for additional highway or airport construction;

“(3) States, regions, and localities financially contribute to the project;

“(4) implementation of the project will create new jobs in traditional and emerging industries;

“(5) the project will augment MAGLEV networks identified as having partnership potential;

“(6) financial assistance would foster public and private partnerships for infrastructure development and attract private debt or equity investment;

“(7) financial assistance would foster the timely implementation of a project; and

“(8) life-cycle costs in design and engineering are considered and enhanced.

“(f) PROJECT SELECTION.—Not later than 90 days after a deadline established by the Secretary for the receipt of applications, the Secretary shall evaluate the eligible projects in accordance with the selection criteria and select 1 eligible project for financial assistance.

“(g) JOINT VENTURES.—A project undertaken by a joint venture of United States and non-United States persons (including a project involving the deployment of non-United States MAGLEV technology in the United States) shall be eligible for financial assistance under this section if the project is eligible under subsection (d) and selected under subsection (f).

“(h) FUNDING.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 1999 and \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2000.

“(ii) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subparagraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1, except that—

“(I) the Federal share of the cost of a project carried out under this section shall be determined in accordance with subsection (b); and

“(II) the availability of the funds shall be determined in accordance with paragraph (2).

“(B) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2000 and 2001, \$250,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$300,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(2) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds made available under paragraph (1) shall remain available until expended.

“(3) OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available to a State to carry out the surface transportation program under section 133 and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 may be used by the State to pay a portion of the full project costs of an eligible project selected under this section, without requirement for non-Federal funds.

“(4) OTHER ASSISTANCE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, an eligible project selected under this section shall be eligible for other forms of financial assistance provided under this title and the Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act of 1997, including loans, loan guarantees, and lines of credit.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 3 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 321 the following:

“322. Magnetic levitation transportation technology deployment program.”.

**SEC. 1120. WOODROW WILSON MEMORIAL BRIDGE.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 404 of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 628) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by striking “, including approaches thereto”; and

(2) in paragraph (5), by striking “to be determined under section 407. Such” and all that follows and inserting the following: “as described in the record of decision executed by the Secretary in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.). The term includes ongoing short-term rehabilitation and repairs to the Bridge.”.

(b) OWNERSHIP OF BRIDGE.—

(1) CONVEYANCE BY THE SECRETARY.—Section 407(a)(1) of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 630) is amended by inserting “or any Capital Region jurisdiction” after “Authority” each place it appears.

(2) AGREEMENT.—Section 407 of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 630) is amended by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) AGREEMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The agreement referred to in subsection (a) is an agreement concerning the Project that is executed by the Secretary and the Authority or any Capital Region jurisdiction that accepts ownership of the Bridge.

“(2) TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT.—The agreement shall—

“(A) identify whether the Authority or a Capital Region jurisdiction will accept ownership of the Bridge;

“(B) contain a financial plan satisfactory to the Secretary, which shall be prepared before the execution of the agreement, that specifies—

“(i) the total cost of the Project, including any cost-saving measures;

“(ii) a schedule for implementation of the Project, including whether any expedited design and construction techniques will be used; and

“(iii) the sources of funding that will be used to cover any costs of the Project not funded from funds made available under section 412; and

“(C) contain such other terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to be appropriate.”.

(c) FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION.—The Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 627) is amended by adding at the end the following:

**SEC. 412. FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION.**

“(a) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) \$100,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$100,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$125,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$175,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$200,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$200,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, to pay the costs of planning, preliminary engineering and design, final engineering, acquisition of rights-of-way, and construction of the Project, except that the costs associated with the Bridge shall be given priority over other eligible costs, other than design costs, of the Project.

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this section shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that—

“(A) the funds shall remain available until expended;

“(B) the Federal share of the cost of the Bridge component of the Project shall not exceed 100 percent; and

“(C) the Federal share of the cost of any other component of the Project shall not exceed 80 percent.

“(b) USE OF APPORTIONED FUNDS.—Nothing in this title limits the authority of any Capital Region jurisdiction to use funds apportioned to the jurisdiction under paragraph (1) or (3) of section 104(b) of title 23, United States Code, in accordance with the requirements for such funds, to pay any costs of the Project.

“(c) AVAILABILITY OF APPORTIONED FUNDS.—None of the funds made available under this section shall be available before the execution of the agreement described in section 407(c), except that the Secretary may fund the maintenance and rehabilitation of the Bridge and the design of the Project.”

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 405(b)(1) of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 629) is amended by striking “the Signatories as to the Federal share of the cost of the Project and the terms and conditions related to the timing of the transfer of the Bridge to”.

**SEC. 1121. NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENTS.**

The National Highway System consists of the routes and transportation facilities depicted on the map submitted by the Secretary to Congress with the report entitled “Pulling Together: The National Highway System and its Connections to Major Intermodal Terminals” and dated May 24, 1996.

**SEC. 1122. HIGHWAY BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AND REHABILITATION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 144 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “program”;

(2) by striking subsections (a) through (n), (p), and (q);

(3) by inserting after the section heading the following:

“(a) DEFINITION OF REHABILITATE.—In this section, the term ‘rehabilitate’ (in any of its forms), with respect to a bridge, means to carry out major work necessary—

“(1) to address the structural deficiencies, functional obsolescence, or physical deterioration of the bridge; or

“(2) to correct a major safety defect of the bridge, including seismic retrofitting.

“(b) BRIDGE INVENTORY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In consultation with the States, the Secretary shall—

“(A) annually inventory all highway bridges on public roads that cross waterways, other topographical barriers, other highways, and railroads;

“(B) classify each such bridge according to serviceability, safety, and essentiality for public use;

“(C) assign each such bridge a priority for replacement or rehabilitation based on the classification under subparagraph (B); and

“(D) determine the cost of replacing each such bridge with a comparable facility or the cost of rehabilitating the bridge.

“(2) CONSULTATION.—In preparing an inventory of highway bridges on Indian reservation roads and park roads under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consult with the Secretary of the Interior and the States.

“(3) INVENTORY OF HISTORICAL BRIDGES.—At the request of a State, the Secretary may inventory”.

**AMENDMENT NO. 1501**

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

**TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION****SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Act of 1997”.

**Subtitle A—General Provisions****SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.**

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$11,424,610,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$11,253,610,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$11,264,910,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$11,364,910,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$11,692,910,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$12,228,910,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,773,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,000,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,014,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,056,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,113,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,263,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,484,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,150,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,152,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,159,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,169,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,193,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,230,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Fed-

eral Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

**SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(I) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes that are open to traffic on the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

“(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes that are open to traffic on the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete

bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.”

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1502

Beginning on page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 20, line 25, and insert the following:

### TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION

#### SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Act of 1997”.

#### Subtitle A—General Provisions

#### SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$9,962,005,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$9,791,005,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$9,802,305,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$9,902,305,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$10,230,305,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$10,766,305,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,773,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,000,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,014,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,056,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,113,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,263,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,484,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,150,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,152,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,159,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,169,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,193,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,230,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

#### (4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

#### SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(I) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 34 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States;

“(ii) 34 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States; and

“(iii) 32 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than on routes on toll roads not subject to an agreement with the Secretary under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); or

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

that are in less than good condition (as determined by the Secretary) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such miles in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and re-

constructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) that are in less than good condition (as determined by the Secretary) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) that are in less than good condition (as determined by the Secretary) in all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall

use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total miles of Federal-aid highways that are in less than good condition (as determined by the Secretary) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total miles of Federal-aid highways that are in less than good condition (as determined by the Secretary) in all States.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”

(c) ISTEA TRANSITION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

AMENDMENT No. 1503

Beginning on page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 20, line 25, and insert the following:

**TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION**

**SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the “Surface Transportation Act of 1997”.

**Subtitle A—General Provisions**

**SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.**

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$10,406,192,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$10,235,192,000 for fiscal year 1999,

\$10,246,492,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$10,346,492,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$10,674,492,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$11,210,492,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$4,773,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,000,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,014,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,056,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,113,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,263,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,484,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,150,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,152,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,159,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,169,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,193,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,230,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(4) FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.—

(A) INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

**SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) APPORTIONMENTS.—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

“(1) INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.—

“(A) INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

“(i) 34 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States;

“(i) 34 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

“(aa) section 103;

“(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

“(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

“(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States; and

“(iii) 32 percent in the ratio that—

“(I) The total ton-miles of through shipment by truck in each State; bears to

“(II) the total ton-miles of through shipments by truck in all States.

“(B) INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

“(C) OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

“(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

“(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

“(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

“(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

“(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

“(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

“(ii) DATA.—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DEFINITION OF THROUGH SHIPMENT.—In this paragraph the term ‘through shipment’ means a shipment of property that originates outside a State, travels through the State, and terminates outside the State.

“(2) CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

“(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

“(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

“(B) CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.—

Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

“(i) 0.8 if—

“(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

“(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

“(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as

a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (I)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (I)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total ton-miles of through shipments by truck in each State; bears to

“(II) the total ton-miles of shipments by truck in all States.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”

“(D) DEFINITION OF THROUGH SHIPMENT.—In this paragraph the term ‘through shipment’ means a shipment of property or special purpose equipment that originates outside a State, travels through the State, and terminates outside the State.”

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law,

deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title."

(c) **ISTEA TRANSITION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

AMENDMENT NO. 1504

Beginning on page 5, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 106, line 25, and insert the following:

**TITLE I—SURFACE TRANSPORTATION**

**SEC. 1001. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the "Surface Transportation Act of 1997".

**Subtitle A—General Provisions**

**SEC. 1101. AUTHORIZATIONS.**

For the purpose of carrying out title 23, United States Code, the following sums shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account):

(1) **INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.**—For the Interstate and National Highway System program under section 103 of that title \$11,844,359,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$11,658,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$11,668,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$11,768,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$12,170,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$12,700,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, of which—

(A) \$4,600,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$4,609,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$4,637,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$4,674,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, and \$4,918,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate maintenance component; and

(B) \$1,400,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,403,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,411,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,423,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,453,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,497,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 shall be available for the Interstate bridge component.

(2) **SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.**—For the surface transportation program under section 133 of that title \$7,000,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$7,014,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$7,056,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$7,113,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$7,263,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$7,484,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(3) **CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.**—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149 of that title \$1,150,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$1,152,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$1,159,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$1,169,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$1,193,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$1,230,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(4) **FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.**—

(A) **INDIAN RESERVATION ROADS.**—For Indian reservation roads under section 204 of that title \$200,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) **PARKWAYS AND PARK ROADS.**—For parkways and park roads under section 204 of that title \$90,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(C) **PUBLIC LANDS HIGHWAYS.**—For public lands highways under section 204 of that title \$172,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(D) **COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.**—For the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program under section 207 of that title \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

**SEC. 1102. APPORTIONMENTS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

"(b) **APPORTIONMENTS.**—On October 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary, after making the deduction authorized by subsection (a) and the set-asides authorized by subsection (f), shall apportion the remainder of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the National Highway System, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, and the surface transportation program, for that fiscal year, among the States in the following manner:

"(I) **INTERSTATE AND NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM PROGRAM.**—

"(A) **INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE COMPONENT.**—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing the Interstate System—

"(i) 50 percent in the ratio that—

"(I) the total lane miles on Interstate System routes designated under—

"(aa) section 103;

"(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

"(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

"(II) the total of all such lane miles in all States; and

"(ii) 50 percent in the ratio that—

"(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Interstate System routes designated under—

"(aa) section 103;

"(bb) section 139(a) before March 9, 1984 (other than routes on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)); and

"(cc) section 139(c) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997);

in each State; bears to

"(II) the total of all such vehicle miles traveled in all States.

"(B) **INTERSTATE BRIDGE COMPONENT.**—For resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, and reconstructing bridges on the Interstate System, in the ratio that—

"(i) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in each State; bears to

"(ii) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on the Interstate System (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692)) in all States.

"(C) **OTHER NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM COMPONENT.**—

"(i) **IN GENERAL.**—For the National Highway System (excluding funds apportioned under subparagraph (A) or (B)), \$36,400,000 for each fiscal year to the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and the remainder apportioned as follows:

"(I) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

"(aa) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

"(bb) the total lane miles of principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

"(II) 29 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

"(aa) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in each State; bears to

"(bb) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on principal arterial routes (excluding Interstate System routes) in all States.

"(III) 18 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

"(aa) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in each State; bears to

"(bb) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on principal arterial routes (excluding bridges on Interstate System routes (other than bridges on toll roads not subject to a Secretarial agreement under section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1978 (92 Stat. 2692))) in all States.

"(IV) 24 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

"(aa) the total diesel fuel used on highways in each State; bears to

"(bb) the total diesel fuel used on highways in all States.

"(V) 9 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

"(aa) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in each State by the total population of the State; bears to

"(bb) the quotient obtained by dividing the total lane miles on principal arterial highways in all States by the total population of all States.

"(ii) **DATA.**—Each calculation under clause (i) shall be based on the latest available data.

"(D) **MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.**—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) through (C), each State shall receive a minimum of 1/2 of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

"(2) **CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.**—

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, in the ratio that—

"(i) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in each State; bears to

"(ii) the total of all weighted nonattainment and maintenance area populations in all States.

"(B) **CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREA POPULATION.**—Subject to subparagraph (C), for the purpose of subparagraph (A), the weighted nonattainment and maintenance area population shall be calculated by multiplying the population of each area in a State that was a nonattainment area or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone or carbon monoxide by a factor of—

"(i) 0.8 if—

"(I) at the time of the apportionment, the area is a maintenance area; or

"(II) at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a submarginal ozone nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.);

"(ii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a marginal ozone nonattainment area under subpart 2 of part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7511 et seq.);

“(iii) 1.1 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a moderate ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(iv) 1.2 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a serious ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(v) 1.3 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as a severe ozone nonattainment area under that subpart;

“(vi) 1.4 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is classified as an extreme ozone nonattainment area under that subpart; or

“(vii) 1.0 if, at the time of the apportionment, the area is not a nonattainment or maintenance area as described in section 149(b) for ozone, but is classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide.

“(C) ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT FOR CARBON MONOXIDE AREAS.—

“(i) CARBON MONOXIDE NONATTAINMENT AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.2.

“(ii) CARBON MONOXIDE MAINTENANCE AREAS.—If, in addition to being classified as a nonattainment or maintenance area for ozone, the area was at one time also classified under subpart 3 of part D of title I of that Act (42 U.S.C. 7512 et seq.) as a nonattainment area described in section 149(b) for carbon monoxide but has been redesignated as a maintenance area, the weighted nonattainment or maintenance area population of the area, as determined under clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (B), shall be further multiplied by a factor of 1.1.

“(D) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this paragraph, each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.

“(E) DETERMINATIONS OF POPULATION.—In determining population figures for the purposes of this paragraph, the Secretary shall use the latest available annual estimates prepared by the Secretary of Commerce.

“(3) SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For the surface transportation program, in accordance with the following formula:

“(i) 20 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total lane miles of Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(ii) 30 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in each State; bears to

“(II) the total vehicle miles traveled on lanes on Federal-aid highways in all States.

“(iii) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in each State; bears to

“(II) the total square footage of structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges on Federal-aid highways (excluding

bridges described in subparagraphs (B) and (C)(i)(III) of paragraph (1)) in all States.

“(iv) 25 percent of the apportionments in the ratio that—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in each State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; bears to

“(II) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in all States paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(B) DATA.—Each calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be based on the latest available data.

“(C) MINIMUM APPORTIONMENT.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each State shall receive a minimum of ½ of 1 percent of the funds apportioned under this paragraph.”

(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (h) and inserting the following:

“(h) EFFECT OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, deposits into the Highway Trust Fund resulting from the amendments made by section 901 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 shall not be taken into account in determining the apportionments and allocations that any State shall be entitled to receive under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 and this title.”

(c) ISTEA TRANSITION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each State—

(A) the total apportionments for the fiscal year under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program;

(B) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title;

(C) the annual average of the total apportionments during the period of fiscal years 1992 through 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs (as defined in section 101 of title 23, United States Code), excluding—

(i) apportionments authorized under section 104 of that title for construction of the Interstate System;

(ii) apportionments for the Interstate substitute program under section 103(e)(4) of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(iii) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of that title; and

(iv) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943);

(D) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (B); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2); and

(E) the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under subparagraph (C); by

(ii) the applicable percentage determined under paragraph (2).

(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGES.—

(A) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—For fiscal year 1998—

(i) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) shall be 145 percent; and

(ii) the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(E)(ii) shall be 107 percent.

(B) FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the applicable percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(D)(ii) or (1)(E)(ii), respectively, shall be a percentage equal to the product obtained by multiplying—

(i) the percentage specified in clause (i) or (ii), respectively, of subparagraph (A); by

(ii) the percentage that—

(I) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for the fiscal year; bears to

(II) the total contract authority made available under this Act and title 23, United States Code, for Federal-aid highway programs for fiscal year 1998.

(3) MAXIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, in the case of each State with respect to which the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(A) is greater than the product determined under paragraph (1)(D), the Secretary shall reduce proportionately the apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the National Highway System component of the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program so that the total of the apportionments is equal to the product determined under paragraph (1)(D).

(B) REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), funds made available under subparagraph (A) shall be redistributed proportionately under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, to States not subject to a reduction under subparagraph (A).

(ii) LIMITATION.—The ratio that—

(I) the total apportionments to a State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of clause (i); bears to

(II) the annual average of the total apportionments determined under paragraph (1)(B) with respect to the State; may not exceed, in the case of fiscal year 1998, 145 percent, and, in the case of each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, 145 percent as adjusted in the manner described in paragraph (2)(B).

(4) MINIMUM TRANSITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall apportion to each State such additional amounts as are necessary to ensure that—

(i) the total apportionments to the State under section 104 of title 23, United States Code, for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, after the application of paragraph (3); is equal to

(ii) the greater of—

(I) the product determined with respect to the State under paragraph (1)(E); or

(II) the total apportionments to the State for fiscal year 1997 for all Federal-aid highway programs, excluding—

(aa) apportionments for the Federal lands highways program under section 204 of title 23, United States Code;

(bb) adjustments to sums apportioned under section 104 of that title due to the hold harmless adjustment under section 1015(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 104 note; 105 Stat. 1943); and

(cc) demonstration projects under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240).

(B) OBLIGATION.—Amounts apportioned under subparagraph (A)—

(i) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that—

(I) the amounts shall not be subject to paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code; and

(II) 50 percent of the amounts shall be subject to section 133(d)(3) of that title;

(ii) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title; and

(iii) shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are apportioned.

(C) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this paragraph.

(ii) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subparagraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code.

(d) MINIMUM GUARANTEE.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Section 105 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 105. Minimum guarantee**

“(a) ADJUSTMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In fiscal year 1998 and each fiscal year thereafter on October 1, or as soon as practicable thereafter, the Secretary shall allocate among the States amounts sufficient to ensure that—

“(A) the ratio that—

“(i) each State’s percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year—

“(I) under section 104 for the Interstate and National Highway System program, the surface transportation program, metropolitan planning, and the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program; and

“(II) under this section and section 1102(c) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for ISTEA transition; bears to

“(ii) each State’s percentage of estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in the State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available; is not less than 0.90; and

“(B) in the case of a State specified in paragraph (2), the State’s percentage of the total apportionments for the fiscal year described in subclauses (I) and (II) of subparagraph (A)(i) is—

“(i) not less than the percentage specified for the State in paragraph (2); but

“(ii) not greater than the product determined for the State under section 1102(c)(1)(D) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 for the fiscal year.

“(2) STATE PERCENTAGES.—The percentage referred to in paragraph (1)(B) for a specified State shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

“State	Percentage
Alaska .....	1.24
Arkansas .....	1.33
Delaware .....	0.47

“State	Percentage
Hawaii .....	0.55
Idaho .....	0.82
Montana .....	1.06
Nevada .....	0.73
New Hampshire .....	0.52
New Jersey .....	2.41
New Mexico .....	1.05
North Dakota .....	0.73
Rhode Island .....	0.58
South Dakota .....	0.78
Vermont .....	0.47
Wyoming .....	0.76.

“(b) TREATMENT OF ALLOCATIONS.—

“(1) OBLIGATION.—Amounts allocated under subsection (a)—

“(A) shall be available for obligation when allocated and shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are allocated; and

“(B) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under this title.

“(2) SET-ASIDE.—Fifty percent of the amounts allocated under subsection (a) shall be subject to section 133(d)(3).

“(c) TREATMENT OF WITHHELD APPORTIONMENTS.—For the purpose of subsection (a), any funds that, but for section 158(b) or any other provision of law under which Federal-aid highway funds are withheld from apportionment, would be apportioned to a State for a fiscal year under a section referred to in subsection (a) shall be treated as being apportioned in that fiscal year.

“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are necessary to carry out this section.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 105 and inserting the following: “105. Minimum guarantee.”.

(e) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

“(i) AUDITS OF HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—From available administrative funds deducted under subsection (a), the Secretary may reimburse the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Transportation for the conduct of annual audits of financial statements in accordance with section 3521 of title 31.”.

(f) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (e)—

(A) by inserting “NOTIFICATION TO STATES.—” after “(e)”; and

(B) in the first sentence—

(i) by striking “(other than under subsection (b)(5) of this section)”; and

(ii) by striking “and research”; and

(C) by striking the second sentence; and

(D) in the last sentence, by striking “, except that” and all that follows through “such funds”; and

(2) in subsection (f)—

(A) by striking “(f)(1) On” and inserting the following:

“(f) METROPOLITAN PLANNING.—

“(1) SET-ASIDE.—On”;

(B) by striking “(2) These” and inserting the following:

“(2) APPORTIONMENT TO STATES OF SET-ASIDE FUNDS.—These”;

(C) by striking “(3) The” and inserting the following:

“(3) USE OF FUNDS.—The”; and

(D) by striking “(4) The” and inserting the following:

“(4) DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS WITHIN STATES.—The”.

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 146(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “, 104(b)(2), and 104(b)(6)” and inserting “and 104(b)(2)”.

(2)(A) Section 150 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 150.

(3) Section 158 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking paragraph (1);

(ii) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively;

(iii) in paragraph (1) (as so redesignated)—

(I) by striking “AFTER THE FIRST YEAR” and inserting “IN GENERAL”; and

(II) by striking “, 104(b)(2), 104(b)(5), and 104(b)(6)” and inserting “and 104(b)(2)”; and

(iv) in paragraph (2) (as redesignated by clause (ii)), by striking “paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection” and inserting “paragraph (1)”; and

(B) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) EFFECT OF WITHHOLDING OF FUNDS.—No funds withheld under this section from apportionment to any State after September 30, 1988, shall be available for apportionment to that State.”.

(4)(A) Section 157 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(B) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 157.

(5)(A) Section 115(b)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “or 104(b)(5), as the case may be,”.

(B) Section 137(f)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(b)(5)(B) of this title” and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(A)”.

(C) Section 141(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 104(b)(5) of this title” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(1)(A)”.

(D) Section 142(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “(other than section 104(b)(5)(A))”.

(E) Section 159 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) by striking “(5) of” each place it appears and inserting “(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997) of”; and

(ii) in subsection (b)—

(I) in paragraphs (1)(A)(i) and (3)(A), by striking “section 104(b)(5)(A)” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(5)(A) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”; and

(II) in paragraph (1)(A)(ii), by striking “section 104(b)(5)(B)” and inserting “section 104(b)(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”; and

(III) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking “(5)(B)” and inserting “(5)(B) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”; and

(IV) in paragraphs (3) and (4), by striking “section 104(b)(5)” each place it appears and inserting “section 104(b)(5) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997)”; and

(F) Section 161(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “paragraphs (1), (3), and (5)(B) of section 104(b)” each place it appears and inserting “paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b)”.

(6)(A) Section 104(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in the first sentence, by striking "sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title" and inserting "subsection (b)(1)(B) and sections 130 and 152";

(ii) in the first and second sentences—

(I) by striking "section" and inserting "provision"; and

(II) by striking "such sections" and inserting "those provisions"; and

(iii) in the third sentence—

(I) by striking "section 144" and inserting "subsection (b)(1)(B)"; and

(II) by striking "subsection (b)(1)" and inserting "subsection (b)(1)(C)".

(B) Section 115 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in subsection (a)(1)(A)(i), by striking "104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f), 144," and inserting "104(b)(1)(B), 104(b)(2), 104(b)(3), 104(f),"; and

(ii) in subsection (c), by striking "144,".

(C) Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the last sentence by striking "and in section 144 of this title".

(D) Section 151(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 104(a), section 307(a), and section 144 of this title" and inserting "subsections (a) and (b)(1)(B) of section 104 and section 307(a)".

(E) Section 204(c) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking "or section 144 of this title".

(F) Section 303(g) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking "section 144 of this title" and inserting "section 104(b)(1)(B)".

#### SEC. 1103. OBLIGATION CEILING.

(a) GENERAL LIMITATIONS.—Subject to the other provisions of this section and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the total amount of all obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs shall not exceed—

(1) \$21,800,000,000 for fiscal year 1998;

(2) \$22,802,000,000 for fiscal year 1999;

(3) \$22,939,000,000 for fiscal year 2000;

(4) \$23,183,000,000 for fiscal year 2001;

(5) \$23,699,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; and

(6) \$24,548,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The limitations under subsection (a) shall not apply to obligations of funds under—

(A) section 105(a) of title 23, United States Code (but, for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, only in an amount equal to the amount included for section 157 of title 23, United States Code, in the baseline determined by the Congressional Budget Office for the fiscal year 1998 budget), excluding amounts allocated under section 105(a)(1)(B) of that title;

(B) section 125 of that title;

(C) section 157 of that title (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act);

(D) section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (23 U.S.C. 144 note; 92 Stat. 2714);

(E) section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981 (95 Stat. 1701);

(F) subsections (b) and (j) of section 131 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (96 Stat. 2119);

(G) subsections (b) and (c) of section 149 of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 198); and

(H) sections 1103 through 1108 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2027).

(2) EFFECT OF OTHER LAW.—A provision of law establishing a limitation on obligations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs may not amend or limit the applicability of this subsection, unless the provision specifically amends or limits that applicability.

(c) APPLICABILITY TO TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.—Obligation limitations for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs established by subsection (a) shall apply to transportation research programs carried out under chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code.

(d) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—Section 118 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(g) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—

"(1) DISTRIBUTION.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall—

"(A) distribute the total amount of obligation authority for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs made available for the fiscal year by allocation in the ratio that—

"(i) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to each State for the fiscal year; bears to

"(ii) the total of the sums made available for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs that are apportioned or allocated to all States for the fiscal year;

"(B) provide all States with authority sufficient to prevent lapses of sums authorized to be appropriated for Federal-aid highways that have been apportioned to a State; and

"(C) notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), not distribute—

"(i) amounts deducted under section 104(a) for administrative expenses;

"(ii) amounts set aside under section 104(k) for Interstate 4R and bridge projects;

"(iii) amounts made available under sections 143, 164, 165, 204, 206, 207, and 322;

"(iv) amounts made available under section 111 of title 49;

"(v) amounts made available under section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.);

"(vi) amounts made available under section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938);

"(vii) amounts made available under sections 1503, 1603, and 1604 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;

"(viii) amounts made available under section 149(d) of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 201);

"(ix) amounts made available under section 105(a)(1)(A) to the extent that the amounts are subject to any obligation limitation under section 1103(a) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997;

"(x) amounts made available for implementation of programs under chapter 5 of this title and sections 5222, 5232, and 5241 of title 49; and

"(xi) amounts made available under section 412 of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995.

"(2) REDISTRIBUTION.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Secretary shall, after August 1 of each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003—

"(A) revise a distribution of the funds made available under paragraph (1) for the fiscal year if a State will not obligate the amount distributed during the fiscal year; and

"(B) redistribute sufficient amounts to those States able to obligate amounts in addition to the amounts previously distributed during the fiscal year, giving priority to those States that have large unobligated balances of funds apportioned under section 104 and under section 144 (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this subparagraph)."

(e) APPLICABILITY OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS.—An obligation limitation established by a provision of any other Act shall not apply to obligations under a program funded under this Act or title 23, United States Code, unless—

(1) the provision specifically amends or limits the applicability of this subsection; or

(2) an obligation limitation is specified in this Act with respect to the program.

#### SEC. 1104. OBLIGATION AUTHORITY UNDER SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.

Section 133 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

"(f) OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—A State that is required to obligate in an urbanized area with an urbanized area population of over 200,000 individuals under subsection (d) funds apportioned to the State under section 104(b)(3) shall make available during the 3-fiscal year period of 1998 through 2000, and the 3-fiscal year period of 2001 through 2003, an amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for use in the area that is equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

"(A) the aggregate amount of funds that the State is required to obligate in the area under subsection (d) during each such period; by

"(B) the ratio that—

"(i) the aggregate amount of obligation authority distributed to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs during the period; bears to

"(ii) the total of the sums apportioned to the State for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (excluding sums not subject to an obligation limitation) during the period.

"(2) JOINT RESPONSIBILITY.—Each State, each affected metropolitan planning organization, and the Secretary shall jointly ensure compliance with paragraph (1)."

#### SEC. 1105. EMERGENCY RELIEF.

(a) FEDERAL SHARE.—Section 120(e) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking "highway system" and inserting "highway".

(b) ELIGIBILITY AND FUNDING.—Section 125 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a);

(2) by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and (d) as subsections (d), (e), and (f), respectively;

(3) by inserting after the section heading the following:

"(a) GENERAL ELIGIBILITY.—Subject to this section and section 120, an emergency fund is authorized for expenditure by the Secretary for the repair or reconstruction of highways, roads, and trails, in any part of the United States, including Indian reservations, that the Secretary finds have suffered serious damage as a result of—

"(1) natural disaster over a wide area, such as by a flood, hurricane, tidal wave, earthquake, severe storm, or landslide; or

"(2) catastrophic failure from any external cause.

"(b) RESTRICTION ON ELIGIBILITY.—In no event shall funds be used pursuant to this section for the repair or reconstruction of bridges that have been permanently closed to all vehicular traffic by the State or responsible local official because of imminent danger of collapse due to a structural deficiency or physical deterioration.

"(c) FUNDING.—Subject to the following limitations, there are hereby authorized to be appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as may be necessary to establish the fund authorized by this section and to replenish it on an annual basis:

“(1) Not more than \$100,000,000 is authorized to be obligated in any 1 fiscal year commencing after September 30, 1980, to carry out the provisions of this section, except that, if in any fiscal year the total of all obligations under this section is less than the amount authorized to be obligated in such fiscal year, the unobligated balance of such amount shall remain available until expended and shall be in addition to amounts otherwise available to carry out this section each year.

“(2) Pending such appropriation or replenishment, the Secretary may obligate from any funds heretofore or hereafter appropriated for obligation in accordance with this title, including existing Federal-aid appropriations, such sums as may be necessary for the immediate prosecution of the work herein authorized, provided that such funds are reimbursed from the appropriations authorized in paragraph (1) of this subsection when such appropriations are made.”;

(4) in subsection (d) (as so redesignated), by striking “subsection (c)” both places it appears and inserting “subsection (e)”;

(5) in subsection (e) (as so redesignated), by striking “on any of the Federal-aid highway systems” and inserting “Federal-aid highways”.

(c) SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a project to repair or reconstruct any portion of a Federal-aid primary route in San Mateo County, California, that—

(1) was destroyed as a result of a combination of storms in the winter of 1982–1983 and a mountain slide; and

(2) until its destruction, served as the only reasonable access route between 2 cities and as the designated emergency evacuation route of 1 of the cities;

shall be eligible for assistance under section 125(a) of title 23, United States Code, if the project complies with the local coastal plan.

#### SEC. 1106. FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM.

(a) FEDERAL SHARE PAYABLE.—Section 120 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) USE OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds appropriated to any Federal land management agency may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any Federal-aid highway project the Federal share of which is funded under section 104.

“(k) USE OF FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS PROGRAM FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the funds made available to carry out the Federal lands highways program under section 204 may be used to pay the non-Federal share of the cost of any project that is funded under section 104 and that provides access to or within Federal or Indian lands.”.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Section 203 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the authorization by the Secretary of engineering and related work for a Federal lands highways program project, or the approval by the Secretary of plans, specifications, and estimates for construction of a Federal lands highways program project, shall be deemed to constitute a contractual obligation of the Federal Government to the pay the Federal share of the cost of the project.”.

(c) PLANNING AND AGENCY COORDINATION.—Section 204 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Recognizing the need for all Federal roads that are public roads to be

treated under uniform policies similar to the policies that apply to Federal-aid highways, there is established a coordinated Federal lands highways program that shall apply to public lands highways, park roads and parkways, and Indian reservation roads and bridges.

“(2) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCEDURES.—In consultation with the Secretary of each appropriate Federal land management agency, the Secretary shall develop, by rule, transportation planning procedures that are consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes required under sections 134 and 135.

“(3) APPROVAL OF TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.—The transportation improvement program developed as a part of the transportation planning process under this section shall be approved by the Secretary.

“(4) INCLUSION IN OTHER PLANS.—All regionally significant Federal lands highways program projects—

“(A) shall be developed in cooperation with States and metropolitan planning organizations; and

“(B) shall be included in appropriate Federal lands highways program, State, and metropolitan plans and transportation improvement programs.

“(5) INCLUSION IN STATE PROGRAMS.—The approved Federal lands highways program transportation improvement program shall be included in appropriate State and metropolitan planning organization plans and programs without further action on the transportation improvement program.

“(6) DEVELOPMENT OF SYSTEMS.—The Secretary and the Secretary of each appropriate Federal land management agency shall, to the extent appropriate, develop safety, bridge, pavement, and congestion management systems for roads funded under the Federal lands highways program.”;

(2) in subsection (b), by striking the first 3 sentences and inserting the following: “Funds available for public lands highways, park roads and parkways, and Indian reservation roads shall be used by the Secretary and the Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency to pay for the cost of transportation planning, research, engineering, and construction of the highways, roads, and parkways, or of transit facilities within public lands, national parks, and Indian reservations. In connection with activities under the preceding sentence, the Secretary and the Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency may enter into construction contracts and other appropriate contracts with a State or civil subdivision of a State or Indian tribe.”;

(3) in the first sentence of subsection (e), by striking “Secretary of the Interior” and inserting “Secretary of the appropriate Federal land management agency”;

(4) in subsection (h), by adding at the end the following:

“(8) A project to build a replacement of the federally owned bridge over the Hoover Dam in the Lake Mead National Recreation Area between Nevada and Arizona.”;

(5) by striking subsection (i) and inserting the following:

“(i) TRANSFERS OF COSTS TO SECRETARIES OF FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCIES.—

“(1) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary shall transfer to the appropriate Federal land management agency from amounts made available for public lands highways such amounts as are necessary to pay necessary administrative costs of the agency in connection with public lands highways.

“(2) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING COSTS.—The Secretary shall transfer to the appropriate Federal land management agency from amounts made available for public

lands highways such amounts as are necessary to pay the cost to the agency to conduct necessary transportation planning for Federal lands, if funding for the planning is not otherwise provided under this section.”; and

(6) in subsection (j), by striking the second sentence and inserting the following: “The Indian tribal government, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Interior, and as appropriate, with a State, local government, or metropolitan planning organization, shall carry out a transportation planning process in accordance with subsection (a).”.

#### SEC. 1107. RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 205 the following:

##### “§ 206. Recreational trails program

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—

“(1) MOTORIZED RECREATION.—The term ‘motorized recreation’ means off-road recreation using any motor-powered vehicle, except for a motorized wheelchair.

“(2) RECREATIONAL TRAIL; TRAIL.—The term ‘recreational trail’ or ‘trail’ means a thoroughfare or track across land or snow, used for recreational purposes such as—

“(A) pedestrian activities, including wheelchair use;

“(B) skating or skateboarding;

“(C) equestrian activities, including carriage driving;

“(D) nonmotorized snow trail activities, including skiing;

“(E) bicycling or use of other human-powered vehicles;

“(F) aquatic or water activities; and

“(G) motorized vehicular activities, including all-terrain vehicle riding, motorcycling, snowmobiling, use of off-road light trucks, or use of other off-road motorized vehicles.

“(b) PROGRAM.—In accordance with this section, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture, shall carry out a program to provide and maintain recreational trails (referred to in this section as the ‘program’).

“(c) STATE RESPONSIBILITIES.—To be eligible for apportionments under this section—

“(1) a State may use apportionments received under this section for construction of new trails crossing Federal lands only if the construction is—

“(A) permissible under other law;

“(B) necessary and required by a statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601–4 et seq.);

“(C) approved by the administering agency of the State designated under paragraph (2); and

“(D) approved by each Federal agency charged with management of the affected lands, which approval shall be contingent on compliance by the Federal agency with all applicable laws, including the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1600 et seq.), and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.);

“(2) the Governor of a State shall designate the State agency or agencies that will be responsible for administering apportionments received under this section; and

“(3) the State shall establish within the State a State trail advisory committee that represents both motorized and nonmotorized trail users.

“(d) USE OF APPORTIONED FUNDS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Funds made available under this section shall be obligated for trails and trail-related projects that—

“(A) have been planned and developed under the laws, policies, and administrative procedures of each State; and

“(B) are identified in, or further a specific goal of, a trail plan or trail plan element included or referenced in a metropolitan transportation plan required under section 134 or a statewide transportation plan required under section 135, consistent with the statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 460L-4 et seq.).

“(2) PERMISSIBLE USES.—Permissible uses of funds made available under this section include—

“(A) maintenance and restoration of existing trails;

“(B) development and rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and trail linkages;

“(C) purchase and lease of trail construction and maintenance equipment;

“(D) construction of new trails;

“(E) acquisition of easements and fee simple title to property for trails or trail corridors;

“(F) payment of costs to the State incurred in administering the program, but in an amount not to exceed 7 percent of the apportionment received by the State for a fiscal year; and

“(G) operation of educational programs to promote safety and environmental protection as these objectives relate to the use of trails.

“(3) USE OF APPORTIONMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D), of the apportionments received for a fiscal year by a State under this section—

“(i) 40 percent shall be used for trail or trail-related projects that facilitate diverse recreational trail use within a trail corridor, trailside, or trailhead, regardless of whether the project is for diverse motorized use, for diverse nonmotorized use, or to accommodate both motorized and nonmotorized recreational trail use;

“(ii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to motorized recreation; and

“(iii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to nonmotorized recreation.

“(B) SMALL STATE EXCLUSION.—Any State with a total land area of less than 3,500,000 acres, and in which nonhighway recreational fuel use accounts for less than 1 percent of all such fuel use in the United States, shall be exempted from the requirements of subparagraph (A) upon application to the Secretary by the State demonstrating that the State meets the conditions of this subparagraph.

“(C) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—Upon the request of a State trail advisory committee established under subsection (c)(3), the Secretary may waive, in whole or in part, the requirements of subparagraph (A) with respect to the State if the State certifies to the Secretary that the State does not have sufficient projects to meet the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(D) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—State administrative costs eligible for funding under paragraph (2)(F) shall be exempt from the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(e) ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT OR MITIGATION.—To the extent practicable and consistent with the other requirements of this section, a State should give consideration to project proposals that provide for the redesign, reconstruction, nonroutine maintenance, or relocation of trails to benefit the natural environment or to mitigate and minimize the impact to the natural environment.

“(f) FEDERAL SHARE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the other provisions of this subsection, the Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall not exceed 80 percent.

“(2) FEDERAL AGENCY PROJECT SPONSOR.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a Federal agency that sponsors a project under this section may contribute additional Federal funds toward the cost of a project, except that—

“(A) the share attributable to the Secretary of Transportation may not exceed 80 percent; and

“(B) the share attributable to the Secretary and the Federal agency jointly may not exceed 95 percent.

“(3) USE OF FUNDS FROM FEDERAL PROGRAMS TO PROVIDE NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts made available by the Federal Government under any Federal program that are—

“(A) expended in accordance with the requirements of the Federal program relating to activities funded and populations served; and

“(B) expended on a project that is eligible for assistance under this section; may be credited toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project.

“(4) PROGRAMMATIC NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—A State may allow adjustments to the non-Federal share of an individual project under this section if the Federal share of the cost of all projects carried out by the State under the program (excluding projects funded under paragraph (2) or (3)) using funds apportioned to the State for a fiscal year does not exceed 80 percent.

“(5) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Federal share of the administrative costs of a State under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with section 120(b).

“(g) USES NOT PERMITTED.—A State may not obligate funds apportioned under this section for—

“(1) condemnation of any kind of interest in property;

“(2) construction of any recreational trail on National Forest System land for any motorized use unless—

“(A) the land has been apportioned for uses other than wilderness by an approved forest land and resource management plan or has been released to uses other than wilderness by an Act of Congress; and

“(B) the construction is otherwise consistent with the management direction in the approved forest land and resource management plan;

“(3) construction of any recreational trail on Bureau of Land Management land for any motorized use unless the land—

“(A) has been apportioned for uses other than wilderness by an approved Bureau of Land Management resource management plan or has been released to uses other than wilderness by an Act of Congress; and

“(B) the construction is otherwise consistent with the management direction in the approved management plan; or

“(4) upgrading, expanding, or otherwise facilitating motorized use or access to trails predominantly used by nonmotorized trail users and on which, as of May 1, 1991, motorized use is prohibited or has not occurred.

“(h) PROJECT ADMINISTRATION.—

“(1) CREDIT FOR DONATIONS OF FUNDS, MATERIALS, SERVICES, OR NEW RIGHT-OF-WAY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this title or other law shall prevent a project sponsor from offering to donate funds, materials, services, or a new right-of-way for the purposes of a project eligible for assistance under this section. Any funds, or the fair market value of any materials, services, or new right-of-way, may be donated by any project sponsor and shall be credited to the

non-Federal share in accordance with subsection (f).

“(B) FEDERAL PROJECT SPONSORS.—Any funds or the fair market value of any materials or services may be provided by a Federal project sponsor and shall be credited to the Federal agency's share in accordance with subsection (f).

“(2) RECREATIONAL PURPOSE.—A project funded under this section is intended to enhance recreational opportunity and is not subject to section 138 of this title or section 303 of title 49.

“(3) CONTINUING RECREATIONAL USE.—At the option of each State, funds made available under this section may be treated as Land and Water Conservation Fund apportionments for the purposes of section 6(f)(3) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 460L-8(f)(3)).

“(4) COOPERATION BY PRIVATE PERSONS.—

“(A) WRITTEN ASSURANCES.—As a condition of making available apportionments for work on recreational trails that would affect privately owned land, a State shall obtain written assurances that the owner of the land will cooperate with the State and participate as necessary in the activities to be conducted.

“(B) PUBLIC ACCESS.—Any use of the apportionments to a State under this section on privately owned land must be accompanied by an easement or other legally binding agreement that ensures public access to the recreational trail improvements funded by the apportionments.

“(i) APPORTIONMENT.—

“(1) DEFINITION OF ELIGIBLE STATE.—In this subsection, the term ‘eligible State’ means a State that meets the requirements of subsection (c).

“(2) APPORTIONMENT.—Subject to subsection (j), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall apportion—

“(A) 50 percent of the amounts made available to carry out this section equally among eligible States; and

“(B) 50 percent of the amounts made available to carry out this section among eligible States in proportion to the quantity of nonhighway recreational fuel used in each eligible State during the preceding year.

“(j) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Whenever an apportionment is made under subsection (i) of the amounts made available to carry out this section, the Secretary shall first deduct an amount, not to exceed 1 percent of the authorized amounts, to pay the costs to the Secretary for administration of, and research authorized under, the program.

“(2) USE OF CONTRACTS.—To carry out research funded under paragraph (1), the Secretary may—

“(A) enter into contracts with for-profit organizations; and

“(B) enter into contracts, partnerships, or cooperative agreements with other government agencies, institutions of higher learning, or nonprofit organizations.

“(k) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$17,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$22,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$23,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$24,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this section shall be determined in accordance with this section.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 is amended by striking part B of title I (16 U.S.C. 1261 et seq.).

(2) The analysis for chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 206 and inserting the following:

“206. Recreational trails program.”.

**SEC. 1108. VALUE PRICING PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “CONGESTION” and inserting “VALUE”; and

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “congestion” each place it appears and inserting “value”.

(b) INCREASED NUMBER OF PROJECTS.—Section 1012(b)(1) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended in the second sentence by striking “5” and inserting “15”.

(c) ELIGIBILITY OF PREIMPLEMENTATION COSTS.—Section 1012(b)(2) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended in the second sentence—

(1) by inserting after “Secretary shall fund” the following: “all preimplementation costs and project design, and”; and

(2) by inserting after “Secretary may not fund” the following: “the implementation costs of”.

(d) TOLLING.—Section 1012(b)(4) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by striking “a pilot program under this section, but not on more than 3 of such programs” and inserting “any value pricing pilot program under this subsection”.

(e) HOV PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by striking paragraph (6) and inserting the following:

“(6) HOV PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS.—Notwithstanding section 146(c) of title 23, United States Code, a State may permit vehicles with fewer than 2 occupants to operate in high occupancy vehicle lanes if the vehicles are part of a value pricing pilot program under this subsection.”.

(f) FUNDING.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(7) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$8,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(B) AVAILABILITY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Funds allocated by the Secretary to a State under this subsection shall remain available for obligation by the State for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.

“(ii) USE OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS.—If the total amount of funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund under this subsection but not allocated exceeds \$8,000,000 as of September 30 of any year, the excess amount—

“(I) shall be apportioned in the following fiscal year by the Secretary to all States in accordance with section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code;

“(II) shall be considered to be a sum made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that the amount shall not be subject to section 133(d) of that title; and

“(III) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title.

“(C) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of any project under this subsection and the availability of funds authorized by this paragraph shall be determined in accordance with this subsection.”.

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 1012(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 149 note; 105 Stat. 1938) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “projects” each place it appears and inserting “programs”; and

(2) in paragraph (5)—

(A) by striking “projects” and inserting “programs”; and

(B) by striking “traffic, volume” and inserting “traffic volume”.

**SEC. 1109. HIGHWAY USE TAX EVASION PROJECTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 143 of title 23, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 143. Highway use tax evasion projects**

“(a) DEFINITION OF STATE.—In this section, the term ‘State’ means the 50 States and the District of Columbia.

“(b) PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall use funds made available under paragraph (7) to carry out highway use tax evasion projects in accordance with this subsection.

“(2) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—The funds may be allocated to the Internal Revenue Service and the States at the discretion of the Secretary.

“(3) CONDITIONS ON FUNDS ALLOCATED TO INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.—The Secretary shall not impose any condition on the use of funds allocated to the Internal Revenue Service under this subsection.

“(4) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—Funds made available under paragraph (7) shall be used only—

“(A) to expand efforts to enhance motor fuel tax enforcement;

“(B) to fund additional Internal Revenue Service staff, but only to carry out functions described in this paragraph;

“(C) to supplement motor fuel tax examinations and criminal investigations;

“(D) to develop automated data processing tools to monitor motor fuel production and sales;

“(E) to evaluate and implement registration and reporting requirements for motor fuel taxpayers;

“(F) to reimburse State expenses that supplement existing fuel tax compliance efforts; and

“(G) to analyze and implement programs to reduce tax evasion associated with other highway use taxes.

“(5) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—The Secretary may not make an allocation to a State under this subsection for a fiscal year unless the State certifies that the aggregate expenditure of funds of the State, exclusive of Federal funds, for motor fuel tax enforcement activities will be maintained at a level that does not fall below the average level of such expenditure for the preceding 2 fiscal years of the State.

“(6) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of a project carried out under this subsection shall be 100 percent.

“(7) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available to the Secretary from the Highway Trust

Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

“(B) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall remain available for obligation for a period of 1 year after the last day of the fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.

“(c) EXCISE FUEL REPORTING SYSTEM.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than April 1, 1998, the Secretary shall enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service for the purposes of the development and maintenance by the Internal Revenue Service of an excise fuel reporting system (referred to in this subsection as the ‘system’).

“(2) ELEMENTS OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—The memorandum of understanding shall provide that—

“(A) the Internal Revenue Service shall develop and maintain the system through contracts;

“(B) the system shall be under the control of the Internal Revenue Service; and

“(C) the system shall be made available for use by appropriate State and Federal revenue, tax, or law enforcement authorities, subject to section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(3) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FROM HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection—

“(A) \$8,000,000 for development of the system; and

“(B) \$2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003 for operation and maintenance of the system.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 143 and inserting the following:

“143. Highway use tax evasion projects.”.

(2) Section 1040 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 1992) is repealed.

(3) Section 8002 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (23 U.S.C. 101 note; 105 Stat. 2203) is amended—

(A) in the first sentence of subsection (g), by striking “section 1040 of this Act” and inserting “section 143 of title 23, United States Code,”; and

(B) by striking subsection (h).

**SEC. 1110. BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION AND PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS.**

Section 217 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting “pedestrian walkways and” after “construction of”; and

(B) by striking “(other than the Interstate System)”;

(2) in subsection (e), by striking “, other than a highway access to which is fully controlled,”;

(3) by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Bicyclists and pedestrians shall be given consideration in the comprehensive transportation plans developed by each metropolitan planning organization and State in accordance with sections 134 and 135, respectively.

“(2) CONSTRUCTION.—Bicycle transportation facilities and pedestrian walkways shall be considered, where appropriate, in conjunction with all new construction and reconstruction of transportation facilities, except where bicycle and pedestrian use are not permitted.

“(3) SAFETY AND CONTIGUOUS ROUTES.—Transportation plans and projects shall provide consideration for safety and contiguous routes for bicyclists and pedestrians.”;

(4) in subsection (h)—

(A) by striking “No motorized vehicles shall” and inserting “Motorized vehicles may not”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) wheelchairs that are powered; and”; and

(5) by striking subsection (j) and inserting the following:

“(j) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION FACILITY.—The term ‘bicycle transportation facility’ means a new or improved lane, path, or shoulder for use by bicyclists or a traffic control device, shelter, or parking facility for bicycles.

“(2) PEDESTRIAN.—The term ‘pedestrian’ means any person traveling by foot or any mobility impaired person using a wheelchair.

“(3) WHEELCHAIR.—The term ‘wheelchair’ means a mobility aid, usable indoors, and designed for and used by individuals with mobility impairments, whether operated manually or powered.”.

#### SEC. 1111. DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.

(a) GENERAL RULE.—Except to the extent that the Secretary determines otherwise, not less than 10 percent of the amounts made available for any program under titles I and II of this Act shall be expended with small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.—The term “small business concern” has the meaning such term has under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632); except that such term shall not include any concern or group of concerns controlled by the same socially and economically disadvantaged individual or individuals which has average annual gross receipts over the preceding 3 fiscal years in excess of \$16,600,000, as adjusted by the Secretary for inflation.

(2) SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS.—The term “socially and economically disadvantaged individuals” has the meaning such term has under section 8(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(d)) and relevant subcontracting regulations promulgated pursuant thereto; except that women shall be presumed to be socially and economically disadvantaged individuals for purposes of this section.

(c) ANNUAL LISTING OF DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.—Each State shall annually survey and compile a list of the small business concerns referred to in subsection (a) and the location of such concerns in the State and notify the Secretary, in writing, of the percentage of such concerns which are controlled by women, by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals (other than women), and by individuals who are women and are otherwise socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

(d) UNIFORM CERTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall establish minimum uniform criteria for State governments to use in certifying whether a concern qualifies for purposes of this section. Such minimum uniform criteria shall include but not be limited to on-site visits, personal interviews, licenses, analysis of stock ownership, listing of equipment, analysis of bonding capacity, listing of work completed, resume of principal owners, financial capacity, and type of work preferred.

#### SEC. 1112. FEDERAL SHARE PAYABLE.

Section 120 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1106(a)), is amended—

(1) in each of subsections (a) and (b), by adding at the end the following: “In the case of any project subject to this subsection, a State may determine a lower Federal share than the Federal share determined under the preceding sentences of this subsection.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(1) CREDIT FOR NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

“(1) ELIGIBILITY.—A State may use as a credit toward the non-Federal share requirement for any program under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240) or this title, other than the emergency relief program authorized by section 125, toll revenues that are generated and used by public, quasi-public, and private agencies to build, improve, or maintain, without the use of Federal funds, highways, bridges, or tunnels that serve the public purpose of interstate commerce.

“(2) MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The credit toward any non-Federal share under paragraph (1) shall not reduce nor replace State funds required to match Federal funds for any program under this title.

“(B) CONDITIONS ON RECEIPT OF CREDIT.—

“(i) AGREEMENT WITH THE SECRETARY.—To receive a credit under paragraph (1) for a fiscal year, a State shall enter into such agreements as the Secretary may require to ensure that the State will maintain its non-Federal transportation capital expenditures at or above the average level of such expenditures for the preceding 3 fiscal years.

“(ii) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding clause (i), a State may receive a credit under paragraph (1) for a fiscal year if, for any 1 of the preceding 3 fiscal years, the non-Federal transportation capital expenditures of the State were at a level that was greater than 30 percent of the average level of such expenditures for the other 2 of the preceding 3 fiscal years.

“(3) TREATMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Use of the credit toward a non-Federal share under paragraph (1) shall not expose the agencies from which the credit is received to additional liability, additional regulation, or additional administrative oversight.

“(B) CHARTERED MULTISTATE AGENCIES.—When credit is applied from a chartered multistate agency under paragraph (1), the credit shall be applied equally to all charter States.

“(C) NO ADDITIONAL STANDARDS.—A public, quasi-public, or private agency from which the credit for which the non-Federal share is calculated under paragraph (1) shall not be subject to any additional Federal design standards or laws (including regulations) as a result of providing the credit beyond the standards and laws to which the agency is already subject.”.

#### SEC. 1113. STUDIES AND REPORTS.

(a) HIGHWAY ECONOMIC REQUIREMENT SYSTEM.—

(1) METHODOLOGY.—

(A) EVALUATION.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an evaluation of the methodology used by the Department of Transportation to determine highway needs using the highway economic requirement system (referred to in this subsection as the “model”).

(B) REQUIRED ELEMENT.—The evaluation shall include an assessment of the extent to which the model estimates an optimal level of highway infrastructure investment, including an assessment as to when the model may be overestimating or underestimating investment requirements.

(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the evaluation.

(2) STATE INVESTMENT PLANS.—

(A) STUDY.—In consultation with State transportation departments and other appropriate State and local officials, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the extent to which the highway economic requirement system of the Federal Highway Administration can be used to provide States with useful information for developing State transportation investment plans and State infrastructure investment projections.

(B) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The study shall—

(i) identify any additional data that may need to be collected beyond the data submitted, prior to the date of enactment of this Act, to the Federal Highway Administration through the highway performance monitoring system; and

(ii) identify what additional work, if any, would be required of the Federal Highway Administration and the States to make the model useful at the State level.

(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study.

(b) INTERNATIONAL ROUGHNESS INDEX.—

(1) STUDY.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study on the international roughness index that is used as an indicator of pavement quality on the Federal-aid highway system.

(2) REQUIRED ELEMENTS.—The study shall specify the extent of usage of the index and the extent to which the international roughness index measurement is reliable across different manufacturers and types of pavement.

(3) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the study.

(c) REPORTING OF RATES OF OBLIGATION.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (m); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i) the following:

“(j) REPORTING OF RATES OF OBLIGATION.—

On an annual basis, the Secretary shall publish or otherwise report rates of obligation of funds apportioned or set aside under this section and sections 103 and 133 according to—

“(1) program;

“(2) funding category or subcategory;

“(3) type of improvement;

“(4) State; and

“(5) sub-State geographic area, including urbanized and rural areas, on the basis of the population of each such area.”.

#### SEC. 1114. DEFINITIONS.

(a) FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FUNDS AND PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the undesignated paragraph defining “Federal-aid highways” the following:

“The term ‘Federal-aid highway funds’ means funds made available to carry out the Federal-aid highway program.

“The term ‘Federal-aid highway program’ means all programs authorized under chapters 1, 3, and 5.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Section 101(d) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking “the construction of Federal-aid highways or highway planning, research, or development” and inserting “the Federal-aid highway program”.

(B) Section 104(m)(1) of title 23, United States Code (as redesignated by section 1113(c)(1)), is amended by striking “Federal-

aid highways and the highway safety construction programs" and inserting "the Federal-aid highway program".

(C) Section 107(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended in the second sentence by striking "Federal-aid highways" and inserting "the Federal-aid highway program".

(b) ALPHABETIZATION OF DEFINITIONS.—Section 101(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by reordering the undesignated paragraphs so that they are in alphabetical order.

**SEC. 1115. COOPERATIVE FEDERAL LANDS TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1107(a)), is amended by inserting after section 206 the following:

**"§207. Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program**

"(a) IN GENERAL.—There is established the Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program (referred to in this section as the 'program'). Funds available for the program may be used for projects, or portions of projects, on highways that are owned or maintained by States or political subdivisions of States and that cross, are adjacent to, or lead to federally owned land or Indian reservations (including Army Corps of Engineers reservoirs), as determined by the State. Such projects shall be proposed by a State and selected by the Secretary. A project proposed by a State under this section shall be on a highway or bridge owned or maintained by the State, or 1 or more political subdivisions of the State, and may be a highway or bridge construction or maintenance project eligible under this title or any project of a type described in section 204(h).

"(b) DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS FOR PROJECTS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary—

"(i) after consultation with the Administrator of General Services, the Secretary of the Interior, and other agencies as appropriate (including the Army Corps of Engineers), shall determine the percentage of the total land in each State that is owned by the Federal Government or that is held by the Federal Government in trust;

"(ii) shall determine the sum of the percentages determined under clause (i) for States with respect to which the percentage is 4.5 or greater; and

"(iii) shall determine for each State included in the determination under clause (ii) the percentage obtained by dividing—

"(I) the percentage for the State determined under clause (i); by

"(II) the sum determined under clause (ii).

"(B) ADJUSTMENT.—The Secretary shall—

"(i) reduce any percentage determined under subparagraph (A)(iii) that is greater than 7.5 percent to 7.5 percent; and

"(ii) redistribute the percentage points equal to any reduction under clause (i) among other States included in the determination under subparagraph (A)(ii) in proportion to the percentages for those States determined under subparagraph (A)(iii).

"(2) AVAILABILITY TO STATES.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), for each fiscal year, the Secretary shall make funds available to carry out eligible projects in a State in an amount equal to the amount obtained by multiplying—

"(A) the percentage for the State, if any, determined under paragraph (1); by

"(B) the funds made available for the program for the fiscal year.

"(3) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—The Secretary may establish deadlines for States to submit proposed projects for funding under this section, except that in the case of fiscal year 1998 the deadline may not be earlier

than January 1, 1998. For each fiscal year, if a State does not have pending, by that deadline, applications for projects with an estimated cost equal to at least 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2), the Secretary may distribute, to 1 or more other States, at the Secretary's discretion, 1/3 of the amount by which the estimated cost of the State's applications is less than 3 times the amount for the State determined under paragraph (2).

"(c) TRANSFERS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a State and the Secretary may agree to transfer amounts made available to a State under this section to the allocations of the State under section 202 for use in carrying out projects on any Federal lands highway that is located in the State.

"(2) SPECIAL RULE.—This paragraph applies to a State that contains a national park that was visited by more than 2,500,000 people in 1996 and comprises more than 3,000 square miles of land area, including surface water, that is located in the State. For such a State, 50 percent of the amount that would otherwise be made available to the State for each fiscal year under the program shall be made available only for eligible highway uses in the national park and within the borders of the State. For the purpose of making allocations under section 202(c), the Secretary may not take into account the past or future availability, for use on park roads and parkways in a national park, of funds made available for use in a national park by this paragraph.

"(d) RIGHTS-OF-WAY ACROSS FEDERAL LAND.—Nothing in this section affects any claim for a right-of-way across Federal land.

"(e) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section \$74,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

"(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1."

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 2 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 207 and inserting the following:

"207. Cooperative Federal Lands Transportation Program."

**SEC. 1116. TRADE CORRIDOR AND BORDER CROSSING PLANNING AND BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE.**

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) BORDER REGION.—The term "border region" means—

(A) the region located within 60 miles of the United States border with Mexico; and

(B) the region located within 60 miles of the United States border with Canada.

(2) BORDER STATE.—The term "border State" means a State of the United States that—

(A) is located along the border with Mexico; or

(B) is located along the border with Canada.

(3) BORDER STATION.—The term "border station" means a controlled port of entry into the United States located in the United States at the border with Mexico or Canada, consisting of land occupied by the station and the buildings, roadways, and parking lots on the land.

(4) FEDERAL INSPECTION AGENCY.—The term "Federal inspection agency" means a Federal agency responsible for the enforcement of immigration laws (including regulations), customs laws (including regulations), and agriculture import restrictions, including the

United States Customs Service, the Immigration and Naturalization Service, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, the Food and Drug Administration, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Department of State.

(5) GATEWAY.—The term "gateway" means a grouping of border stations defined by proximity and similarity of trade.

(6) NON-FEDERAL GOVERNMENTAL JURISDICTION.—The term "non-Federal governmental jurisdiction" means a regional, State, or local authority involved in the planning, development, provision, or funding of transportation infrastructure needs.

(b) BORDER CROSSING PLANNING INCENTIVE GRANTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make incentive grants to States and to metropolitan planning organizations designated under section 134 of title 23, United States Code.

(2) USE OF GRANTS.—The grants shall be used to encourage joint transportation planning activities and to improve people and vehicle movement into and through international gateways as a supplement to statewide and metropolitan transportation planning funding made available under other provisions of this Act and under title 23, United States Code.

(3) CONDITION OF GRANTS.—As a condition of receiving a grant under paragraph (1), a State transportation department or a metropolitan planning organization shall certify to the Secretary that it commits to be engaged in joint planning with its counterpart agency in Mexico or Canada.

(4) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT.—Each State transportation department or metropolitan planning organization may receive not more than \$100,000 under this subsection for any fiscal year.

(5) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$1,400,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with subsection (f).

(c) TRADE CORRIDOR PLANNING INCENTIVE GRANTS.—

(1) GRANTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make grants to States to encourage, within the framework of the statewide transportation planning process of the State under section 135 of title 23, United States Code, cooperative multistate corridor analysis of, and planning for, the safe and efficient movement of goods along and within international or interstate trade corridors of national importance.

(B) IDENTIFICATION OF CORRIDORS.—Each corridor referred to in subparagraph (A) shall be cooperatively identified by the States along the corridor.

(2) CORRIDOR PLANS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—As a condition of receiving a grant under paragraph (1), a State shall enter into an agreement with the Secretary that specifies that, in cooperation with the other States along the corridor, the State will submit a plan for corridor improvements to the Secretary not later than 2 years after receipt of the grant.

(B) COORDINATION OF PLANNING.—Planning with respect to a corridor under this subsection shall be coordinated with transportation planning being carried out by the

States and metropolitan planning organizations along the corridor and, to the extent appropriate, with transportation planning being carried out by Federal land management agencies, by tribal governments, or by government agencies in Mexico or Canada.

(3) **MULTISTATE AGREEMENTS FOR TRADE CORRIDOR PLANNING.**—The consent of Congress is granted to any 2 or more States—

(A) to enter into multistate agreements, not in conflict with any law of the United States, for cooperative efforts and mutual assistance in support of interstate trade corridor planning activities; and

(B) to establish such agencies, joint or otherwise, as the States may determine desirable to make the agreements effective.

(4) **AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this subsection \$3,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(B) **CONTRACT AUTHORITY.**—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project under this subsection shall be determined in accordance with subsection (f).

(d) **FEDERAL ASSISTANCE FOR TRADE CORRIDORS AND BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE SAFETY AND CONGESTION RELIEF.**—

(1) **APPLICATIONS FOR GRANTS.**—The Secretary shall make grants to States or metropolitan planning organizations that submit an application that—

(A) demonstrates need for assistance in carrying out transportation projects that are necessary to relieve traffic congestion or improve enforcement of motor carrier safety laws; and

(B) includes strategies to involve both the public and private sectors in the proposed project.

(2) **SELECTION OF STATES, METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS, AND PROJECTS TO RECEIVE GRANTS.**—In selecting States, metropolitan planning organizations, and projects to receive grants under this subsection, the Secretary shall consider—

(A) the annual volume of commercial vehicle traffic at the border stations or ports of entry of each State as compared to the annual volume of commercial vehicle traffic at the border stations or ports of entry of all States;

(B) the extent to which commercial vehicle traffic in each State has grown since the date of enactment of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 103-182) as compared to the extent to which that traffic has grown in each other State;

(C) the extent of border transportation improvements carried out by each State since the date of enactment of that Act;

(D) the reduction in commercial and other travel time through a major international gateway expected as a result of the project;

(E) the extent of leveraging of Federal funds provided under this subsection, including—

(i) use of innovative financing;

(ii) combination with funding provided under other sections of this Act and title 23, United States Code; and

(iii) combination with other sources of Federal, State, local, or private funding;

(F) improvements in vehicle and highway safety and cargo security in and through the gateway concerned;

(G) the degree of demonstrated coordination with Federal inspection agencies;

(H) the extent to which the innovative and problem solving techniques of the proposed

project would be applicable to other border stations or ports of entry;

(I) demonstrated local commitment to implement and sustain continuing comprehensive border planning processes and improvement programs; and

(J) other factors to promote transport efficiency and safety, as determined by the Secretary.

(3) **USE OF GRANTS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—A grant under this subsection shall be used to develop project plans, and implement coordinated and comprehensive programs of projects, to improve efficiency and safety.

(B) **TYPE OF PLANS AND PROGRAMS.**—The plans and programs may include—

(i) improvements to transport and supporting infrastructure;

(ii) improvements in operational strategies, including electronic data interchange and use of telecommunications to expedite vehicle and cargo movement;

(iii) modifications to regulatory procedures to expedite vehicle and cargo flow;

(iv) new infrastructure construction;

(v) purchase, installation, and maintenance of weigh-in-motion devices and associated electronic equipment in Mexico or Canada if real time data from the devices is provided to the nearest border station and to State commercial vehicle enforcement facilities that serve the border station; and

(vi) other institutional improvements, such as coordination of binational planning, programming, and border operation, with special emphasis on coordination with—

(I) Federal inspection agencies; and

(II) their counterpart agencies in Mexico and Canada.

(4) **CONSTRUCTION OF TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT PURPOSES.**—At the request of the Administrator of General Services, in consultation with the Attorney General, the Secretary may transfer, during the period of fiscal years 1998 through 2001, not more than \$10,000,000 of the amounts made available under paragraph (5) to the Administrator of General Services for the construction of transportation infrastructure necessary for law enforcement in border States.

(5) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subsection \$125,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

(e) **COORDINATION OF PLANNING.**—

(1) **PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT OF BORDER STATIONS.**—The General Services Administration shall be the coordinating Federal agency in the planning and development of new or expanded border stations.

(2) **COOPERATIVE ACTIVITIES.**—In carrying out paragraph (1), the Administrator of General Services shall cooperate with Federal inspection agencies and non-Federal governmental jurisdictions to ensure that—

(A) improvements to border station facilities take into account regional and local conditions, including the alignment of highway systems and connecting roadways; and

(B) all facility requirements, associated costs, and economic impacts are identified.

(f) **COST SHARING.**—A grant under this section shall be used to pay the Federal share of the cost of a project. The Federal share shall not exceed 80 percent.

(g) **USE OF UNALLOCATED FUNDS.**—If the total amount of funds made available from the Highway Trust Fund under this section but not allocated exceeds \$4,000,000 as of September 30 of any year, the excess amount—

(1) shall be apportioned in the following fiscal year by the Secretary to all States in accordance with section 104(b)(3) of title 23, United States Code;

(2) shall be considered to be a sum made available for expenditure on the surface

transportation program, except that the amount shall not be subject to section 133(d) of that title; and

(3) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title.

**SEC. 1117. APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYSTEM.**

(a) **AVAILABILITY, RELEASE, AND REALLOCATION OF FUNDS.**—Section 201(a) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) in the second sentence, by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, except that each allocation to a State shall remain available for expenditure in the State for the fiscal year in which the allocation is allocated and for the 3 following fiscal years”; and

(2) by inserting after the second sentence the following: “Funds authorized under this section for fiscal year 1998 or a fiscal year thereafter, and not expended by a State during the 4 fiscal years referred to in the preceding sentence, shall be released to the Commission for reallocation and shall remain available until expended.”.

(b) **SUBSTITUTE CORRIDOR.**—Section 201(b) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), respectively;

(2) by striking “(b) The Commission” and inserting the following:

“(b) **DESIGNATIONS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commission”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) **SUBSTITUTE CORRIDOR.**—In lieu of Corridor H in Virginia, the Appalachian development highway system shall include the Virginia portion of the segment identified in section 1105(c)(29) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (109 Stat. 597).”.

(c) **FEDERAL SHARE FOR PREFINANCED PROJECTS.**—Section 201(h)(1) of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking “70 percent” and inserting “80 percent”.

(d) **AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.**—Section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (40 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) **AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—

“(A) **FISCAL YEARS 1998 THROUGH 2003.**—For the continued construction of the Appalachian development highway system approved as of September 30, 1996, in accordance with this section, there shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) \$40,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2000, \$50,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$60,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$70,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.

“(B) **OBLIGATION AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary shall provide equivalent amounts of obligation authority for the funds authorized under subparagraph (A).

“(2) **CONTRACT AUTHORITY.**—Funds authorized under this subsection shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share shall be determined in accordance with this section and the funds shall remain available in accordance with subsection (a).”.

**SEC. 1118. INTERSTATE 4R AND BRIDGE DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code (as amended by section 1113(c)(1)), is amended by inserting after subsection (j) the following:

“(k) SET-ASIDE FOR INTERSTATE 4R AND BRIDGE PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, before any apportionment is made under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall set aside \$200,000,000 from amounts to be apportioned under subsection (b)(1)(A), and \$200,000,000 from amounts to be apportioned under subsection (b)(1)(B), for allocation by the Secretary—

“(A) for projects for resurfacing, restoring, rehabilitating, or reconstructing any route or portion of a route on the Interstate System (other than any highway designated as a part of the Interstate System under section 103(c)(4) and any toll road on the Interstate System that is not subject to an agreement under section 119(e) (as in effect on December”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1505

On page 249, strike lines 5 through 11 and insert the following:

“(2) REDESIGNATION.—

“(A) PROCEDURES.—A metropolitan planning organization may be redesignated by agreement between the Governor and units of general purpose local government that together represent at least 75 percent of the affected population (including the central city or cities as defined by the Bureau of the Census) as appropriate to carry out this section.

“(B) CERTAIN REQUESTS TO REDESIGNATE.—A metropolitan planning organization shall be redesignated upon request of a unit or units of general purpose local government representing at least 25 percent of the affected population (including the central city or cities as defined by the Bureau of the Census) in any urbanized area—

“(I) whose population is more than 5,000,000 but less than 10,000,000, or

“(II) which is an extreme nonattainment area for ozone or carbon monoxide as defined under the Clean Air Act.

Such redesignation shall be accomplished using procedures established by subparagraph (A).

SESSIONS AMENDMENTS NOS. 1506-1512

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. SESSIONS submitted seven amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S 1173, supra; as follows:

AMENDMENT NO. 1506

Beginning on page 77, strike line 16 and all that follows through page 79, line 13.

AMENDMENT NO. 1507

On page 124, strike lines 12 through 19 and insert the following: “this section for fiscal year 1997, as adjusted to reflect increases in the overall funding for the apportioned Federal-aid highway programs since that fiscal year; or

“(2) the amount that the State will reserve, from funds apportioned to the State for the period consisting of fiscal years 1998 through 2001, to carry out bridge projects eligible under sections 103(b)(5), 119, and 133(b), will be not less than 4 times the amount apportioned to the State under this section for fiscal year 1997, as adjusted to reflect increases in the overall funding for the apportioned Federal-aid highway programs since that fiscal year.

AMENDMENT NO. 1508

On page 136, strike line 22 and insert the following: specified in subparagraph (G).”.

SEC. 11 . PREVAILING RATE OF WAGE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 113 of title 23, United States Code, is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 113.

AMENDMENT NO. 1509

Beginning on page 28, strike line 25 and all that follows through page 30, line 18, and insert the following:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003, the Secretary shall allocate among the States amounts sufficient to ensure that a State’s total apportionments for that fiscal year under sections 104(b) and 206(i), and section 1102(c) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997, is not less than 90 percent of the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in the State paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) in the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

“(b) TREATMENT OF ALLOCATIONS.—

On page 39, lines 15 and 16, strike “, excluding amounts allocated under section 105(a)(1)(B) of that title”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1510

On page 104, strike lines 14 through 19 and insert the following:

“(2) SUBSTITUTE CORRIDOR.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In lieu of Corridor H in Virginia, the Appalachian development highway system shall include the Virginia portion of the segment identified in section 1105(c)(29) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (109 Stat. 597).

“(B) EFFECT OF SUBSTITUTION.—The substitution of the segment under subparagraph (A) shall not result in an increase in a State’s estimated cost to complete the Appalachian development highway system or in the amount of assistance that the State shall be entitled to receive under this Act.”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1511

Beginning on page 58, strike line 6 and all that follows through page 59, line 14, and insert the following: “subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D), of the apportionments received for a fiscal year by a State under this section—

“(i) 40 percent shall be used for trail or trail-related projects that facilitate diverse recreational trail use within a trail corridor, trailside, or trailhead, regardless of whether the project is for diverse motorized use, for diverse nonmotorized use, or to accommodate both motorized and non-motorized recreational trail use;

“(ii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to motorized recreation; and

“(iii) 30 percent shall be used for uses relating to nonmotorized recreation.

“(B) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—Upon the request of a State trail advisory committee established under subsection (c)(3), the Secretary may waive, in whole or in part, the requirements of subparagraph (A) with respect to the State if the State certifies to the Secretary that the State does not have sufficient projects to meet the requirements of subparagraph (A).

“(C) STATE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—”

AMENDMENT NO. 1512

On page 116, strike lines 3 through 24 and insert the following:

“(i) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Mass Transit Account to carry out this section \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 1999 and \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2000.

“(ii) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this subparagraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1, except that—

“(I) the Federal share of the cost of a project carried out under this section shall be determined in accordance with subsection (b); and

“(II) the availability of the funds shall be determined in accordance with paragraph (2).

“(B) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated from the Mass Transit Account to carry out”.

BREAUX AMENDMENT NO. 1513

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. BREAUX submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1773, supra; as follows:

On page 134, strike line 13 and insert the following administrative recommendations of the Secretary.

SEC. 1126A. USE OF CERTAIN TRUCKS FOR HAULING SUGARCANE.

Section 127(a) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “The State of Louisiana may allow, by special permit, the operation of vehicles with a gross weight of not more than 100,000 pounds for the hauling of sugarcane during the harvest season of sugarcane. A special permit issued under the preceding sentence shall be issued for a period not to exceed 100 days per year.”.

BREAUX (AND LANDRIEU) AMENDMENTS NOS. 1514-1515

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. BREAUX (for himself and Ms. LANDRIEU) submitted two amendments intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

AMENDMENT NO. 1514

On page 309, strike line 3 and insert the following: designated Route.

SEC. 18 . IDENTIFICATION OF HIGH PRIORITY CORRIDOR ROUTES IN LOUISIANA.

Section 1105 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2031) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(1)—

(A) by striking “Corridor from Kansas” and inserting the following: “Corridor—

“(A) from Kansas”;

(B) in subparagraph (A) (as so designated), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) from Shreveport, Louisiana, along Interstate Route 49 to Lafayette, Louisiana, and along United States Route 90 to the junction with Interstate Route 10 in New Orleans, Louisiana.”; and

(2) in subsection (e)(5)(A), by inserting “in subsection (c)(1)(B),” after “routes referred to”.

AMENDMENT NO. 1515

On page 318, strike line 15 and insert the following: fiscal year for which the funds are authorized.”.

SEC. 2002A. UNIVERSITY OF NEW ORLEANS INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AND POLICY CENTER.

(a) IN GENERAL.—In addition to establishing the university transportation centers under subsections (a) and (b) of section 5241 of title 49, United States Code (as added by section 2003 of this Act) the Secretary shall enter into such arrangements as are necessary to assist the University of New Orleans in establishing an Intermodal Transportation Planning and Policy Center (referred to in this subsection as the “Center”).

(b) NATIONAL UNIVERSITY TRANSPORTATION CENTER.—The Secretary shall designate the Center as a university transit center for purposes of section 5241 of title 49, United States Code.

(c) REQUIREMENTS FOR CENTER.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Center shall serve as the lead institution in a consortium of the entities described in paragraph (2).

(2) CONSORTIUM.—At a minimum, the consortium with respect to which the Center serves as lead agency shall consist of—

- (A) the Center;
- (B) the National Ports and Waterways Institute of Louisiana State University;
- (C) a recognized freight intermodal transportation research organization; and
- (D) the Louisiana Transportation Research Center.

#### BREAUX AMENDMENT NO. 1516

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. BREAUX submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

On page 414, strike line 18 and insert the following: App.).”

#### SEC. 2103A. COOPERATIVE RESEARCH ON INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS BY THE LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER NEUROSCIENCE CENTER OF EXCELLENCE, THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY/VIRGINIA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, AND THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR ADVANCED TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGIES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) CRASH ANALYSIS.—The term “crash analysis” means advanced testing and crash simulations that address deficiencies in the use of available airbag technology, including—

(A) crash pulse measurement by airbag triggering sensors;

(B) the development of a smart algorithm to dictate appropriate deployment conditions to minimize potential injuries;

(C) a characterization of injuries of the full range of occupants, vehicle classes, and impact scenarios;

(D) the development of a model to identify preventive measures of neural damage;

(E) the development of a combination of car-to-car, car-to-barrier, and sled tests using advanced computer simulation to thoroughly analyze current problems; and

(F) the conducting of full-scale car-to-car tests of speeds up to 70 miles per hour with—

- (i) offsets in the 20 to 100 percent range; and
- (ii) impact angles with a range between 0 and 90 degrees; and

(G) the use of a programmable sled test that is capable of reproducing a variety of crash pulses from repeatable crash tests with active restraint systems that use different anthropomorphic test dummy sizes, typed to gender and percentile.

(2) POST-CRASH RESEARCH.—The term “post-crash research” means research that addresses post-crash injury control, including—

(A) an automatic crash notification system that sends a message to emergency medical service personnel to alert the personnel to severe crashes, including severe crashes that require immediate medical attention;

(B) the development of advanced sensors that are capable of identifying and locating crash victims in need of time-critical emergency care; and

(C) the development of post-crash pharmaceutical strategies for acute neuroprotection and the promotion of repair and regeneration of neural cells to allow victims of crashes to lead productive lives.

(3) PRE-CRASH ANALYSIS.—The term “pre-crash analysis” means the use of driver and vehicle technologies that are designed to en-

sure that any intelligent systems that are subsequently developed and implemented will be effective when used by all drivers of automobiles (including identifying preventive measures of neurological damages, including redesigning seat-passenger and driver compartments to prevent or limit damage to the eye, inner ear, head, peripheral nerves, and the spinal cord).

(b) GRANT AGREEMENT.—As part of the comprehensive program described in section 524 of title 23, United States Code, as added by section 2103 of this Act, the Secretary shall offer to enter into a grant agreement with the appropriate officials of the George Washington University/Virginia Research Institute, the Louisiana State University Medical Center Neuroscience Center of Excellence, and the National Center for Advanced Transportation Technologies at the University of Idaho to carry out an innovative research project (as that term is used in section 524(b)(4) of title 23, United States Code) to—

(1) accelerate the deployment of technology to improve motor vehicle safety systems;

(2) accelerate the deployment of smart air bags (as that term is defined by the Secretary); and

(3) develop medical technologies to prevent and minimize head and spinal cord injuries.

(c) RESEARCH EMPHASIS.—The research conducted pursuant to the grant agreement referred to in subsection (b) shall emphasize pre-crash analysis, crash analysis, and post-crash research that takes into consideration the effects of humans, motor vehicles, and the environment.

(d) FUNDING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Of the funds made available under section 524(f) of title 23, United States Code, to carry out this section, the Secretary shall use—

(A) \$15,000,000 for fiscal year 1998; and

(B) \$12,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003.

(2) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Notwithstanding section 524(f)(2) of title 23, United States Code, the funds made available for use under paragraph (1) shall remain available until expended. For purposes of section 524(b)(4)(B) of title 23, United States Code, the research project under this section shall be considered to be an innovative research project.

#### KERREY AMENDMENTS NOS. 1517-1521

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. KERREY submitted five amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1173, supra; as follows:

##### AMENDMENT NO. 1517

Strike “and “(14)” on lines 13 and 14 of page 386, and insert in lieu thereof the following new language:

“(14) to enhance safety where rails meet roads by preventing collisions at railroad grade crossings;

“(15) to encourage the use of intelligent transportation systems to promote the achievement of national transportation safety goals; and

“(16)”.

##### AMENDMENT NO. 1518

On page 398 line 11, insert after the word “States” the following new language: “and at railroad grade crossings”.

##### AMENDMENT NO. 1519

Strike “and “(5)” on lines 12 and 13 of page 372 and insert in lieu thereof the following new language:

“(5) the development of cost-effective and innovative techniques to separate auto-

mobile and pedestrian traffic from railroad traffic and to eliminate railroad crossings at grade; and

“(6)”.

##### AMENDMENT NO. 1520

At the appropriate place in the bill add the following new language:

##### SECTION . SHORT TITLE.

This amendment may be cited as the “Rural Highway Safety Act”.

##### SEC. . RURAL 2-LANE HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

##### “§ 162. Rural 2-lane highway safety program

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish a 2-lane rural highway safety program (referred to in this section as the ‘program’) to ensure the systematic reconstruction of rural 2-lane arterial and collector highways of substantial length that are not on the National Highway System.

“(2) PRINCIPLES.—Reconstruction under the program shall be carried out in accordance with state-of-the-art principles of—

“(A) safe alignment and cross-section design;

“(B) safe roadside conditions;

“(C) safety appurtenances;

“(D) durable and safe pavement design (especially long-term skid resistance);

“(E) grade crossing safety; and

“(F) traffic engineering.

“(3) COOPERATION WITH STATES AND PRIVATE SECTOR.—The Secretary shall carry out the program in cooperation with State highway departments and private sector experts in highway safety design, including experts in highway safety policy.

“(b) APPORTIONMENT.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall apportion—

“(1) 50 percent of the amount made available under subsection (e) to the States in the ratio that—

“(A) the number of miles in the State of rural 2-lane arterial and collector surface roads that are not on the National Highway System; bears to

“(B) the number of miles in all States of rural 2-lane arterial and collector surface roads that are not on the National Highway System; and

“(2) 50 percent of the amount made available under subsection (e) to the States in the ratio that—

“(A) the percentage of the population of the State that resides in rural areas; bears to

“(B) the percentage of the population of all States that resides in rural areas.

“(c) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The States shall select projects to receive funding under the program based on—

“(A) criteria established in cooperation with the Secretary and other persons that give priority to highways associated with persistently high rates of fatal and non-fatal injuries due to accidents; and

“(B) to the maximum extent practicable, value engineering and life-cycle cost analysis.

“(2) COMPATIBILITY WITH MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS.—To the extent that a State selects projects in accordance with a functioning safety, pavement, bridge, or work zone management system, projects selected under the program shall be compatible with each management system.

“(3) STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING.—The selection of projects by a State under the program shall be carried out in a manner consistent with the statewide transportation planning of the State under section 135.

“(d) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than December 31, 2003, the Secretary shall submit a report to Congress on the results of the program.

“(2) CONTENTS.—The report shall include—  
“(A) detailed travel and accident data by class of vehicle and roadway; and

“(B) an evaluation of the extent to which specific safety design features and accident countermeasures have resulted in lower accident rates, including reduced severity of injuries.

“(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$150,000,000 for fiscal year 1998, \$125,000,000 for fiscal year 1999, \$125,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$100,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$100,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and \$100,000,000 for fiscal year 2003.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“162. Rural 2-lane highway safety program.”.  
AMENDMENT NO. 1521

At the appropriate place in the bill add the following new language:

**SECTION . SHORT TITLE.**

This amendment may be cited as the “Highway Safety Priority Act”.

**SEC. . SAFETY OF FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS.**

(a) APPROVAL OF 3R PROJECTS ON NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM.—Section 106(b)(1) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “and includes the use of full-width lanes and shoulders”.

(b) STANDARDS.—Section 109 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by adding at the end the following:

“(3) SAFETY.—To the maximum extent practicable, a design described in paragraph (1) shall include the use of full-width lanes and shoulders to enhance highway and bridge safety.”; and

(2) in subsection (p), by adding at the end the following: “The laws (including regulations, directives, and standards) shall ensure appropriate roadside safety improvements, lane and shoulder widening, alignment and sight distance improvements, and conspicuous traffic control devices and pavement markings.”.

(c) CERTIFICATION ACCEPTANCE.—Section 117(b) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, including standards that preserve and enhance the safety and mobility of highway users”.

(d) SET ASIDE FOR 4R PROJECTS.—Section 118(c)(2)(B) of title 23, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “and that improves safety while reducing congestion”.

(e) METROPOLITAN PLANNING.—Section 134 of title 23, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first sentence of subsection (a), by inserting “safety and” after “maximize”;

(2) in subsection (f)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “safety and” after “more”;

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (4) through (16) as paragraphs (5) through (17), respectively;

(C) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following:

“(4) The need to prevent accidents involving rail and road users, including bicyclists, pedestrians, and motor vehicles, and to reduce the frequency and severity of such accidents.”;

(D) in paragraph (12) (as redesignated by subparagraph (B)), by inserting “safe and” after “enhance the”; and

(E) in paragraph (14) (as redesignated by subparagraph (B)), by inserting “safety,” after “economic.”; and

(3) in subsection (g)(2)(C)—

(A) in clause (i), by inserting “and safety” after “operational”; and

(B) in clause (ii), by inserting “safety and” after “maximize the”.

**THE EXTRADITION TREATIES INTERPRETATION ACT OF 1997**

**HELMS (AND BIDEN) AMENDMENT NO. 1523**

Mr. LOTT (for Mr. HELMS, for himself and Mr. BIDEN) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1266) to interpret the term “kidnapping” in extradition treaties to which the United States is a party; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

This Act may be cited as the “Extradition Treaties Interpretation Act of 1997”.

**SEC. 2. FINDINGS.**

Congress finds that—

(1) each year, several hundred children are kidnapped by a parent in violation of law, court order, or legally binding agreement and brought to, or taken from, the United States;

(2) until the mid-1970’s, parental abduction generally was not considered a criminal offense in the United States;

(3) since the mid-1970’s, United States criminal law has evolved such that parental abduction is now a criminal offense in each of the 50 States and the District of Columbia;

(4) in enacting the International Parental Kidnapping Crime Act of 1993 (Public Law 103-173; 107 Stat. 1998; 18 U.S.C. 1204), Congress recognized the need to combat parental abduction by making the act of international parental kidnapping a Federal criminal offense;

(5) many of the extradition treaties to which the United States is a party specifically list the offenses that are extraditable and use the word “kidnapping”, but it has been the practice of the United States not to consider the term to include parental abduction because these treaties were negotiated by the United States prior to the development in United States criminal law described in paragraphs (3) and (4);

(6) the more modern extradition treaties to which the United States is a party contain dual criminality provisions, which provide for extradition where both parties make the offense a felony; and therefore it is the practice of the United States to consider such treaties to include parental abduction if the other foreign state party also considers the act of parental abduction to be a criminal offense; and

(7) this circumstance has resulted in a disparity in United States extradition law which should be rectified to better protect the interests of children and their parents.

**SEC. 3. INTERPRETATION OF EXTRADITION TREATIES.**

For purposes of any extradition treaty to which the United States is a party, Congress authorizes the interpretation of the terms “kidnapping” and “kidnapping” to include parental kidnapping.

**THE INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION ACT OF 1997**

**DOMENICI (AND CHAFEE) AMENDMENT NO. 1522**

Mr. DOMENICI (for himself and Mr. CHAFEE) submitted an amendment in-

tended to be proposed by them to the bill S. 1173, supra; as follows:

At the appropriate place, add the following:

**TITLE III—ADDITIONAL FUNDING**

**SEC. 3001. ADDITIONAL FUNDING.**

(a) HIGHWAYS.—

(1) APPORTIONMENT.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the following additional amounts shall be apportioned among the States so that each State’s percentage of the remainder for a fiscal year is equal to the State’s percentage of the sum of—

(A) the total apportionments made under section 1102 and the amendments made by section 1102; and

(B) the total amounts made available for metropolitan planning under section 104(f) of title 23, United States Code;

for the current fiscal year.

(2) AMOUNTS.—The amounts referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

(A) For fiscal year 1999, \$0.

(B) For fiscal year 2000, \$0.

(C) For fiscal year 2001, \$0.

(D) For fiscal year 2002, \$0.

(E) For fiscal year 2003, \$0.

(3) OBLIGATION OF AMOUNTS.—Amounts apportioned under paragraph (1)—

(A) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on the surface transportation program, except that—

(i) the amounts shall not be subject to paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 133(d) of title 23, United States Code; and

(ii) 50 percent of the amounts shall be subject to section 133(d)(3) of that title;

(B) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under section 133 of that title; and

(C) shall remain available for obligation for a period of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for which the amounts are apportioned.

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) such sums as are provided in paragraph (2).

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code.

(b) MASS TRANSIT.—

(1) AUTHORIZATION.—For each of fiscal years 1999 through 2003, the following additional amounts shall be made available to the Secretary to carry out sections 5307, 5309, 5310, and 5311 of title 49, United States Code.

(2) AMOUNTS.—

(A) SECTION 5307, 5310, AND 5311.—The amounts referred to in paragraph (1) are the following amounts to carry out the purposes of section 5307, 5310 and 5311:

(i) For fiscal year 1999, \$0.

(ii) For fiscal year 2000, \$0.

(iii) For fiscal year 2001, \$0.

(iv) For fiscal year 2002, \$0.

(v) For fiscal year 2003, \$0.

(B) SECTION 5309.—The amounts referred to in paragraph (1) are the following amounts to carry out the purposes of section 5309:

(i) For fiscal year 1999, \$0.

(ii) For fiscal year 2000, \$0.

(iii) For fiscal year 2001, \$0.

(iv) For fiscal year 2002, \$0.

(v) For fiscal year 2003, \$0.

(3) OBLIGATION OF AMOUNTS.—Amounts made available under this subsection—

(A) shall be considered to be sums made available for expenditure on Federal transit programs;

(B) shall be available for any purpose eligible for funding under the applicable section,

except that funds provided to urbanized areas over 200,000 population under section 5307 shall not be available for operating assistance; and

(C) shall remain available for obligation for the same period of time as if the funds were provided under section 5338 of title 49.

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be available from the Mass Transit Account such sums as are provided in paragraph (2).

(B) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Funds authorized under this paragraph shall be available for obligation in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned or allocated under sections 5307, 5309, 5310, and 5311 of title 49, United States Code.

(C) POTENTIAL INCREASE FOR TRANSPORTATION SPENDING.—If the fiscal year 1999, 2000, 2001, or 2002 concurrent resolution on the budget assumes higher budget authority and outlay levels for transportation spending than assumed in H. Con. Res. 84 (the fiscal year 1998 budget resolution), the budget resolution shall separately specify the increased budget authority levels for highways and mass transit spending and the outlays flowing from such levels for each fiscal year through fiscal year 2002. If the fiscal year 2003 concurrent resolution on the budget provides additional budget authority and outlays for transportation spending during fiscal year 2003, then that resolution shall separately specify the increased budget authority levels for highway and mass transit spending and the outlays flowing from such levels.

(d) EXPEDITED PROCEDURES.—

(1) DEFINITION OF HIGHWAY AND MASS TRANSIT FUNDING JOINT RESOLUTION.—In this section, the term "highway and mass transit funding joint resolution" means a joint resolution, the matter after the resolving clause of which consists solely of the following:

(A) With respect to section 1 of such joint resolution, each blank space being filled in with a specific dollar amount that does not exceed the budget authority level for highways pursuant to subsection (c).

(B) With respect to section 2 of such joint resolution, each blank space being filled in with a specific dollar amount that does not exceed the budget authority level for mass transit pursuant to subsection (c).

(C) With respect to section 3 of such joint resolution, each blank space being filled in by an amount that does not exceed the outlay level pursuant to subsection (c).

#### "SECTION 1. ADDITIONAL HIGHWAY FUNDING.

"Section 3001(a)(2) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 is amended—

"(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_';

"(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_';

"(3) in subparagraph (C), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_';

"(4) in subparagraph (D), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_'; and

"(5) in subparagraph (E), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_'.

#### "SEC. 2. ADDITIONAL MASS TRANSIT FUNDING.

"(a) Section 3001(b)(2)(A) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 is amended—

"(1) in clause (i), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_';

"(2) in clause (ii), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_';

"(3) in clause (iii), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_';

"(4) in clause (iv), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_'; and

"(5) in clause (v), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_'.

"(b) Section 3001(b)(2)(B) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1997 is amended—

"(1) in clause (i), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_';

"(2) in clause (ii), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_';

"(3) in clause (iii), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_';

"(4) in clause (iv), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_'; and

"(5) in clause (v), by striking '\$0' and inserting '\$ \_\_\_\_\_'.

#### "SEC. 3. ADDITIONAL OUTLAYS FOR TRANSPORTATION.

"The discretionary spending limits set forth in section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 as adjusted pursuant to that Act are increased by the following amounts:

"(1) With respect to fiscal year 1999, \_\_\_\_\_ for nondefense outlays.

"(2) With respect to fiscal year 2000, \_\_\_\_\_ for discretionary outlays.

"(3) With respect to fiscal year 2001, \_\_\_\_\_ for discretionary outlays.

"(4) With respect to fiscal year 2002, \_\_\_\_\_ for discretionary outlays."

(2) IN THE SENATE.—

(A) INTRODUCTION AND REFERRAL.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—A highway and mass transit funding resolution introduced in the Senate shall be referred (for a period not to exceed 5 days of session, following the date of introduction) first to the Committee on Environment and Public Works and then to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs. If either committee fails to report the joint resolution within that period, that committee shall be automatically discharged from consideration of the resolution. In the case of the Committee on Environment and Public Works being discharged, the resolution shall then be referred to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs. In the case of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs being discharged, the resolution shall be placed on the Calendar.

(ii) MEASURE FROM THE HOUSE.—When the Senate receives from the House of Representatives a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution, such resolution shall not be referred to committee and shall be placed on the Calendar.

(B) LIMITATION ON AMENDMENTS.—Amendments to a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution considered under this section shall be limited to those amendments which either increase or decrease dollar amounts specified in the resolution; but in no case shall such an amendment exceed the levels set out in subsection (c). No motion to suspend the application of this subsection shall be in order, nor shall it be in order in either House for the presiding officer to entertain a request to suspend the application of this subsection by unanimous consent.

(C) FLOOR CONSIDERATION.—

(i) MOTION TO PROCEED.—A motion to proceed to the consideration of a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution under this subsection shall not be debatable. It shall not be in order to move to reconsider the vote by which the motion to proceed was adopted or rejected, although subsequent motions to proceed may be made under this paragraph.

(ii) TIME FOR CONSIDERATION.—After no more than 10 hours of consideration of a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution, the Senate shall proceed, without intervening action or debate to vote on the final disposition thereof to the exclusion of all motions, except a motion to reconsider or to table. The time for consideration shall be equally divided and controlled by the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader or their designees. A motion to recommit a highway

and mass transit funding joint resolution shall not be in order.

(iii) POINTS OF ORDER WAIVED.—All points of order against the highway and mass transit funding joint resolution are waived.

(D) JOINT RESOLUTION FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—If prior to the conclusion of consideration pursuant to subparagraph (C)(ii) of a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution originated in the Senate, the Senate receives from the House of Representatives a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution, it shall be in order at the conclusion of consideration of the Senate measure, without any intervening action or debate to proceed to the consideration of the House of Representatives measure, read it for the third time and vote on final disposition thereof to the exclusion of all motions, except a motion to reconsider or to table.

(E) SENATE MEASURE TO CALENDAR.—In the Senate, if a highway and mass transit funding joint resolution received from the House of Representatives is considered pursuant to subparagraph (D) then the Senate measure shall be returned to the Calendar.

(3) IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—

(4) APPLICATION OF EXPEDITED PROCEDURES.—The provisions of this subsection (including the waiver of all points of order under paragraph (2)(C)(iii)) shall only apply to a resolution that meets the definition of paragraph (1).

(5) SUNSET.—This subsection shall expire on September 30, 2003.

### NOTICE OF HEARING

COMMITTEE ON RULES AND ADMINISTRATION

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I wish to announce that the Committee on Rules and Administration will conduct a hearing in SR-301, Russell Senate Office Building, on Thursday, October 30, 1997, at 9 a.m. on the Senate Strategic Planning Process for Infrastructure Support. A business meeting to consider pending legislative and administrative matters will immediately follow.

For further information concerning this hearing, please contact Ed Edens of the Rules Committee staff at 224-6678.

### AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Armed Services be authorized to meet on Thursday, October 23, 1997, at 4:15 p.m. in executive session, to consider pending nominations.

The PRESIDING OFFICE. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs be authorized to meet at 2:30 p.m. During the session of the Senate on Thursday, October 23, 1997, to conduct a hearing of the following nominees: Kevin E. Marchman, of Colorado, to be Assistant Secretary of HUD for Public and Indian Housing; Saul N. Ramirez, of Texas, to be Assistant Secretary of HUD for Community Planning and Development; Richard F. Keevey, of Virginia,

to be the Chief Financial Officer of HUD; Eva M. Plaza, of Maryland, to be Assistant Secretary of HUD for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity; Gail W. Laster, of New York, to be the General Counsel of HUD; Jo Ann Jay Howard, of Texas, to be the Federal Insurance Administrator at the Federal Emergency Management Agency; F. Amanda Debusk, of Maryland, to be Assistant Secretary of Commerce; R. Roger Majak, of Virginia, to be Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources be granted permission to meet during the session of the Senate on Thursday, October 23, for purposes of conducting a full committee hearing which is scheduled to begin at 10 a.m. The purpose of this oversight hearing is to receive testimony on the issue of peaceful nuclear cooperation with China.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, the Finance Committee requests unanimous consent to conduct a hearing on Thursday, October 23, 1997, beginning at 10 a.m. in room 215 Dirksen.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Relations be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Thursday, October 23, 1997 at 2 p.m. to hold a hearing.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent on behalf of the Government Affairs Committee Special Investigation to meet on Thursday, October 23, at 10 a.m. for a hearing on campaign financing issues.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary, be authorized to hold an executive business meeting during the session of the Senate on Thursday, October 23, 1997, at 10 a.m. in room 226 of the Senate Dirksen Office Building.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON NATIONAL PARKS, HISTORIC PRESERVATION, AND RECREATION

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Subcommittee on National Parks, Historic Preservation, and Recreation of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources be granted permission to meet during the session of the Senate on Thursday, October 23, for purposes of conducting a subcommittee hearing which is sched-

uled to begin at 2 p.m. The purpose of this hearing is to receive testimony on S. 633, a bill to amend the Petroglyph National Monument Establishment Act of 1990 to adjust the boundary of the monument; and S. 1132, a bill to modify the boundaries of the Banderier National Monument to include the lands within the headwaters of the Upper Alamo Watershed which drain into the monument and which are not currently within the jurisdiction of a Federal land management agency, to authorize purchase or donation of those lands, and for other purposes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

EMPLOYMENT  
NONDISCRIMINATION ACT

• Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, I am not a member of the Senate Labor and Human Resources Committee, so I wanted to take a moment to address an issue that was a subject of a hearing in that Committee this morning.

The Chairman of the Committee, Senator JEFFORDS, and my good friend and colleague, the senior Senator from Massachusetts, have co-sponsored an important and much-needed piece of legislation, the Employment Non-discrimination Act of 1997. I am an original co-sponsor of that bill.

Mr. President, when I was first sworn in as a United States Senator in 1985, I authored the gay and lesbian civil rights bill. At that time, only five other Senators would join me as co-sponsors of that legislation. In the 103rd Congress, I testified before the Armed Services Committee to lift the ban on gay men and lesbians serving in the military.

I agree with those who testified today before the Labor Committee, including Raymond Smith, the chief executive officer of Bell Atlantic, and Herbert Valentine of the Presbyterian Church that ENDA is a solution to a serious problem in our society. I have heard from many Americans who have suffered discrimination in the workplace because of their sexual orientation. It is time for these Americans to have recourse against blatant discrimination, just as Americans who are fired on the basis of their religion, national origin or gender. Massachusetts has recognized the problems of anti-gay and lesbian discrimination in the workplace and already has an ENDA-like law.

Mr. President, last year, I joined 65 of our colleagues in signing a pledge that I would not discriminate on the basis of sexual orientation in hiring, promotion and firing. I personally will not tolerate discrimination in my office. Like the majority of our colleagues, signing this pledge came easy to me. I have always had openly gay and lesbian staff and they have served the people of Massachusetts with effective and committed distinction.

So, now, Mr. President, I urge our colleagues to live up to the pledge they signed and support this important legislation. It is my hope that the Committee will report the bill out as soon as possible and I call upon the Majority Leader to find time to bring this important legislation to the floor for debate. It was voted on last year and wound up in a de facto tie. This year, I am confident it will pass.

Mr. President, for years, groups like the Human Rights Campaign, the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force, Parents, Families and Friends of Lesbians and Gays, and the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights, as well as members of the religious communities across this country, have educated us on the need for this bill. They have worked tirelessly with us to improve this legislation, and I applaud their tenacity and appreciate their friendship. They are dogged advocates for justice and fairness.

Voices as eloquent as those of Coretta Scott King and Senator Barry Goldwater have spoken up in support of ENDA. The President of the United States has signaled his approval of the bill. Let us debate it and pass it soon, Mr. President. Let us send a strong message that all Americans matter, and that no one should suffer discrimination in the work place. Let us move forward in the fight for civil rights.●

BRAIN TUMOR AWARENESS WEEK

• Mr. BIDEN. Mr. President, on Tuesday, Americans from around the country gathered here at the Capitol to hold a rally in conjunction with Brain Tumor Awareness Week. I want to add my voice to those calling attention to this debilitating disease and to the calls for continuing to increase our funding for medical research.

It sounds wrong to call one debilitating disease more important than another. After all, a life-threatening disease is a life-threatening disease. However, as a society, we often get caught up in the rhetoric and publicity surrounding one of these terrible afflictions and forget that, unfortunately, there are a number of other terminal illnesses. While brain tumors do not receive as much press as other terminal illnesses, their impact on the lives of brain tumor patients and their families is equally devastating.

One of those people is a constituent of mine, Ms. Kathy Delledonne-Minutola. She and her husband attended the rally on Tuesday because, four years ago, their son Joseph was diagnosed with a brain stem tumor. The roots of the tumor have wrapped around Joseph's brain stem, a condition which makes removal of the tumor impossible.

Mr. President, there are thousands of people across this country who have been diagnosed with brain tumors, just like Joseph. In fact, each year approximately 100,000 people in the United States are diagnosed with a brain

tumor. Brain tumors are the second leading cause of cancer death for children and young adults up to age 34, and they are one of the fastest growing causes of cancer death in the elderly.

Furthermore, each patient is different, and potential for recovery depends on a number of factors. The type of tumor, its location, the area of the brain involved, and the forms of therapy the patient will receive all contribute to a patient's prognosis. Currently, there is no cure for most malignant brain tumors. Surgery, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy are the three most common treatments. However, because brain tumors are located at the control center for human thought, emotion, and movement, both the tumor and its treatment can have devastating effects on a person's physical and cognitive abilities.

Despite often bleak projections for recovery, however, the community of people who have been affected by this disease has refused to give up. Their courage and support for one another in the face of tragedy is truly inspirational. They are proof that the power of the human spirit can triumph over adversity in even the darkest of moments.

So, Mr. President, in this, Brain Tumor Awareness Week, I rise today to applaud the tireless commitment that brain tumor patients and their families have made to beating this disease. This is a remarkable group of people. However, they cannot take on the burden of finding a cure on their own. We in Congress need to help, and I look forward to working with my colleagues, as I have in the past, to support medical research funding. While Brain Tumor Awareness Week may only last seven days, our commitment to finding a cure must be a year-round endeavor.●

#### ONE HUNDRED YEARS OF BOSTON'S SUBWAY

● Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, I rise to call attention today to the centennial of the first subway in the United States. On September 1, 1897, the first ride took place from Boylston Street to Park Street in Boston, MA.

Anyone who has ever lived in Boston has experienced the excellent service that this subway system provides. Students in the higher education capital of the United States—if not of the entire world—have long utilized the subways. Just to cite several examples: the Green Line goes to Boston College, Boston University, and Northeastern University; and the Red Line has stops at or near Harvard University, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and Tufts University. In fact, the Red Line derives its name from the Crimson of Harvard University.

Green is not only a color of a line in the Boston subway system, but an important symbol of the benefits of public transportation—namely community revitalization, economic development, and environmental protection. This

historic occasion makes this a propitious moment to take a look at how these benefits have played out over the past century.

Greater Boston faced a choice of continuing to build highway arteries through the living heart of the city or to improve mass transit systems out to what we called the "subway suburbs." We in Massachusetts made the right choice by developing the new Orange Line along the Southwest corridor in the 1980's and reviving the Old Colony commuter rail line in this decade. These choices preserved communities, led to new economic growth, and minimized the environmental damage caused by automobiles stuck in rush-hour traffic.

These choices have not come, however, without incurring significant costs. For example, fares have increased from a nickel a century ago to a dime in 1919, a quarter in 1968, and a half-dollar in 1980. Today, a subway ride costs \$0.85, although monthly commuters can travel more cheaply.

Looking at the cost issue in a larger sense, in 1897, the subway system cost \$4.4 million. On September 25 of this year, I announced Senate committee approval of a 6-year reauthorization of mass transit programs that will bring more than \$300 million in additional ISTEA transit funds to Massachusetts. I am pleased that Massachusetts received its fair share of transit spending; I look forward to working with all of my colleagues to ensure that my State and others will receive their fair shares of highway funds as well.

This is an extraordinarily exciting time for mass transit in Massachusetts. While everyone knows about the Central Artery Project that will revolutionize automobile travel in Boston, other cities in Massachusetts, like Worcester and Springfield, are rebuilding their historic train stations, creating true multimodal centers to restore available, efficient, and flexible transportation for working people. The Federal commitment to transit that was announced last month will ensure improved services are available for years to come not only for Boston, but also for cities around the Commonwealth and across our country.

Mass transit systems like Boston's are also important for enhancing the lives of individuals with disabilities. I am pleased with the recent reauthorization of an initiative of mine called Project Action, which helps disabled people gain access to public transportation by working with transit operators and the disabled community to implement the transportation provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Project Action has increased accessibility to buses and trains nationwide.

Excellent mass transit systems like the one that we are fortunate to have in Boston play critical roles in the welfare reform effort. As we attempt to create more jobs so that welfare recipients can enter into the working world,

we must not lose sight of the fact that these employees will need an affordable and reliable means of transportation so that they can get to their jobs. Those who took part in the first subway ride a century ago could not have envisioned the important economic role that the subway system would play; those of us who know about this need today must remain ever vigilant against attacks that would cut Federal support for mass transportation.

If Washington did cut transit funding, then how would Charlie ever get out of the subway? Almost 40 years ago, passengers who switched from subway to trolley lines had to pay another nickel to exit the system. The Kingston Trio popularized the plight of a Boston subway passenger in their song "The MTA." Its lyrics include the following verse:

Charlie's wife goes down to the Scollay Square Station,  
Every day at a quarter past two.  
And through the open window she hands  
Charlie a sandwich,  
As the train comes rumbling through.

Mr. President, Scollay Square Station is now Government Center at Scollay Square, but the Boston subway system continues to thrive. I urge all of my colleagues to join me today in hailing all of the women and men who, over the last 100 years, have worked and traveled on Boston's subway system. Even now, the subway is more than a historical landmark; rather, it is the lifeblood of the historic and vital metropolis that is Boston.●

#### ORDER OF BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

Mr. LOTT. I thank the Chair.

(The remarks of Mr. LOTT pertaining to the introduction of S. 1310 are located in today's RECORD under "Statements on Introduced Bills and Joint Resolutions.")

#### UNANIMOUS-CONSENT AGREEMENT—CONFIRMATION OF ALGENON L. MARBLEY

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, as in executive session, I ask unanimous consent that at 5 p.m. on Monday, October 27, the Senate immediately proceed to executive session and a vote on the confirmation of the nomination of Calendar No. 329, Algenon L. Marbley, to be U.S. District Judge for the Southern District of Ohio. I further ask unanimous consent that immediately following the vote the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table and the President immediately be notified of the Senate's action and the Senate then return to legislative session.

I emphasize this is a vote that would occur at 5 p.m. on Monday. This is for Judge Marbley in the Southern District of Ohio. I believe Senator DASCHLE and I have talked about this vote on this judge occurring on Monday.

So I make that request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BENNETT). Without objection, it is so ordered. The minority leader.

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, if the majority leader would yield, I ask that we make a short quorum call prior to the time he makes the next unanimous-consent request.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I observe a quorum is not present.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I believe that the order provides for speaking, I presume it was in morning business, for me to speak and I was to be followed by Senator BYRD.

Mr. BYRD. Will the distinguished Senator yield?

Mr. CHAFEE. Yes, certainly.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, I didn't understand we were in a period for morning business. At the time I was about to speak, I thought we were on the highway bill. But in any event, if the two leaders are ready to proceed, I will desist until I can address the Senate.

Mr. LOTT addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

### EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to executive session to consider the following nominations on the Executive Calendar: No. 137, which is Kevin Thurm, to be Deputy Secretary of HHS; No. 286, Edward Shumaker, to be Ambassador to Trinidad and Tobago; No. 304, Ellen Seidman, to be Director of the Office of Thrift Supervision; and No. 277, Peter Scher, to be Ambassador as Special Trade Negotiator.

I further ask unanimous consent that the nominations be confirmed; that the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table; that any statements relating to the nominations appear at the appropriate place in the RECORD; and that the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The nominations considered and confirmed are as follows:

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Kevin L. Thurm, of New York, to be Deputy Secretary of Health and Human Services.

#### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Peter L. Scher, of the District of Columbia, for the rank of Ambassador during his tenure of service as Special Trade Negotiator.

#### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Edward E. Schumaker, III, of New Hampshire, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and

Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago.

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Ellen Seidman, of the District of Columbia, to be Director of the Office of Thrift Supervision for a term of five years.

## TREATIES

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to consider the following treaties on today's Executive Calendar: Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7. I further ask unanimous consent that the treaties be considered as having passed through their various parliamentary stages, up to and including the presentation of the resolutions of ratification; that all committee provisions, reservations, understandings, and declarations be considered agreed to; that any statements be printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD as if read; and that the Senate take one vote on the resolutions of ratification to be considered as separate votes; further, that when the resolutions of ratification are voted upon, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table; that the President be notified of the Senate's action; and that following the disposition of the treaties, the Senate return to legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask for a division vote on the resolutions of ratification.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. A division has been requested.

Senators in favor of the resolutions of ratification will rise and stand until counted. (After a pause.) Those opposed will rise and stand until counted.

On a division, two-thirds of the Senators present having voted in the affirmative, the resolutions of ratification are agreed to.

The resolutions of ratification were agreed to as follows:

#### AGREEMENT WITH HONG KONG FOR THE SURRENDER OF FUGITIVES

*Resolved, (two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein), That the Senate advise and consent to the ratification of the Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Hong Kong for the Surrender of Fugitive Offenders signed at Hong Kong on December 20, 1996 (Treaty Doc. 105-3), subject to the understandings of subsection (a), the declarations of subsection (b), and the proviso of subsection (c).*

(a) UNDERSTANDINGS.—The Senate's advice and consent is subject to the following two understandings, which shall be included in the instrument of ratification, and shall be binding on the President:

(1) THIRD PARTY TRANSFERS.—The United States understands that Article 16(2) permits the transfer of persons surrendered to Hong Kong under this Agreement beyond the jurisdiction of Hong Kong when the United States so consents, but that the United States will not apply Article 16(2) of the Agreement to permit the transfer of persons surrendered to the Government of Hong Kong to any other jurisdiction in the People's Republic of China, unless the person being surrendered consents to the transfer.

(2) HONG KONG COURTS' POWER OF FINAL ADJUDICATION.—The United States understands that Hong Kong's courts have the power of final adjudication over all matters within Hong Kong's autonomy as guaranteed in the 1984 Sino-British Joint Declaration on the Question of Hong Kong, signed on December 19, 1984, and ratified on May 27, 1985. The United States expects that any exceptions to the jurisdiction of the Hong Kong courts for acts of state shall be construed narrowly. The United States understands that the exemption for acts of state does not diminish the responsibilities of the Hong Kong authorities with respect to extradition or the rights of an individual to a fair trial in Hong Kong courts. Any attempt by the Government of Hong Kong or the Government of the People's Republic of China to curtail the jurisdiction and power of final adjudication of the Hong Kong courts may be considered grounds for withdrawal from the Agreement.

(b) DECLARATIONS.—The Senate's advice and consent is subject to the following two declarations, which shall be binding on the President:

(1) REPORT ON THE HONG KONG JUDICIAL SYSTEM.—One year after entry into force, the Secretary of State, in coordination with the Attorney General, shall prepare and submit a report to the Committee on Foreign Relations that addresses the following issues during the period after entry into force of the Agreement:

(i) an assessment of the independence of the Hong Kong judicial system from the Government of the People's Republic of China, including a summary of any instances in which the Government of the People's Republic of China has infringed upon the independence of the Hong Kong judiciary;

(ii) an assessment of the due process accorded all persons under the jurisdiction of the Government of Hong Kong;

(iii) an assessment of the due process accorded persons extradited to Hong Kong by the United States;

(iv) an accounting of the citizenship and number of persons extradited to Hong Kong from the United States, and the citizenship and number of persons extradited to the United States from Hong Kong;

(v) an accounting of the destination of third party transfer of persons who were originally extradited from the United States, and the citizenship of those persons;

(vi) a summary of the types of crimes for which persons have been extradited between the United States and Hong Kong.

(2) TREATY INTERPRETATION.—The Senate affirms the applicability to all treaties of the constitutionally based principles of treaty interpretation set forth in Condition (1) of the resolution of ratification with respect to the INF Treaty.

(c) PROVISIO.—The resolution of ratification is subject to the following proviso, which shall not be included in the instrument of ratification to be signed by the President:

(1) SUPREMACY OF THE CONSTITUTION.—Nothing in the Treaty requires or authorizes legislation or other action by the United States of America that is prohibited by the Constitution of the United States as interpreted by the United States.

Mr. ASHCROFT. Mr. President, I rise to address the United States-Hong Kong Extradition Treaty, a treaty which I have followed closely in its passage through the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

To most Americans, the seemingly nebulous topic of extradition treaties is not particularly important. But let us not be distracted by the complex legal jargon that accompanies this

agreement with Hong Kong. Our extradition agreements strike at the very heart of equality before the law, one of our most cherished freedoms in America. Our judicial system seeks to protect the due process right of foreigner and native citizen alike, and our extradition treaties with other nations are based on the premise that any person we transfer to a foreign court system will receive similarly just treatment.

The extradition treaty with Hong Kong is thus a very important consideration in assessing the future prospects for freedom in the former colony, now under Chinese rule. We need to consider this extradition treaty in light of China's overall behavior toward Hong Kong in recent months. China's actions to undermine democracy in Hong Kong cast doubt on the future of civil liberties in the British colony. China has declared the elected Hong Kong legislature invalid and appointed a hand-picked provisional legislative body. China's appointed chief executive of Hong Kong, Tung Chee-hwa, has announced additional measures to restrict civil liberties in the colony.

Public protests will have to receive prior approval and could be banned to protect "national security." Hong Kong political organizations will be required to register with the government and will be prohibited from seeking or receiving funds from overseas organizations. Under China's definition of a Hong Kong political group, international organizations that expose China's human rights abuses also will be banned from receiving critical foreign funding. In light of these troubling steps taken by Beijing, not to mention China's violation of trade agreements, weapons proliferation commitments, and human rights standards, there are few doubts in my mind that China will bend the rules of this extradition treaty we are considering today.

The extradition treaty contains provisions that supposedly preserve due process and the ability of the United States to refuse extradition requests that are politically motivated. As with all international agreements, however, effective enforcement is essential to protect American interests. The strongest treaty language in the world is meaningless without presidential vigilance, a vigilance I find appallingly lacking in the Clinton administration. This administration has failed to confront China consistently on human rights violations, trade barriers, and weapons proliferation. I am concerned that the administration will adopt a similarly lax attitude in the enforcement of this treaty.

The Clinton administration's defense of Hong Kong in other areas has been weak at best. The White House has been hesitant to meet with political activists from the colony, and Vice President GORE failed to include Hong Kong in the itinerary of his last trip to East Asia. The 6 million people in Hong Kong deserve better treatment from America. The fight to preserve liberty

in Hong Kong could be the battle that determines the outcome of the overall campaign to cultivate democracy in China. Hong Kong serves as yet another example of liberty to over 1 billion Chinese, and the effective removal of that example would set back the march of freedom in China.

In considering this extradition treaty, we need to be honest. We are not signing this treaty with Hong Kong alone, but with Beijing. By doing so, we could be placing our stamp of approval on a court system that will, by all appearances, increasingly be an extension of the Chinese Communist Party.

The United States has never before signed a treaty to extradite human beings to a totalitarian Communist regime, and I hope this treaty will not turn into the first example of such policy. The United States has been given a great trust as the leader of the free world, and the international commitments we make should reflect our country's commitment to democracy and the rule of law.

We in America need to realize that the forces of justice and liberty are at work in the Chinese people just as they have been at work with such stunning effect in other nations around the world. When China embraces democracy—just as South Korea, Taiwan, and Japan have done—the rule of law will follow. Until that day arrives, it will be good to say we stood by the Chinese people in their struggle for justice and liberty. Effective enforcement of this extradition treaty will be an important step in ensuring that the example of freedom in Hong Kong is preserved for the benefit of all Chinese.

Mr. BIDEN. Mr. President, the reversion of Hong Kong to the People's Republic of China is a historic event, the full impact of which may not be known for years. At midnight on June 30, the world watched as the flag of the United Kingdom came down over Hong Kong, the final chapter of over a century of the British Empire's presence in the Far East. July 1 dawned with the flag of China flying over Victoria Harbor, providing a great moment of pride for the people of China as Beijing recovered a territory lost in humiliating fashion to foreign powers.

For the cause of freedom, the reversion is a conundrum.

Some observers warn that China intends to trample Hong Kong's freedoms. After a decade in which millions have cast off the yoke of Communist rule of the Soviet Empire, the subjugation of the people of Hong Kong to the control of a dictatorial government in Beijing is surely a sad anomaly.

Others predict optimistically that in the end China, not Hong Kong, will be transformed by the new union. They point to the changes already underway in China, and foresee a more prosperous, open, plural, and democratic system for one-fifth of the world's population.

I believe the future of Hong Kong, like that of China, is not yet written.

The actions of the United States will affect the ability of the people of Hong Kong to preserve their democratic freedoms and overall quality of life.

Visiting Washington recently on his first trip abroad as Hong Kong's Chief Executive, Tung Chee-hwa rightly took pride in the former colony's smooth transition to Chinese rule. But he also candidly acknowledged that preserving Hong Kong's economic vitality and expanding the democratic freedoms enjoyed by its 5½ million residents required not only a steady hand in Hong Kong, but also the sustained interest and support of the international community.

It is in this context that we must view the U.S.-Hong Kong extradition agreement.

Approval of the treaty is a risk, for it is predicated on a question which cannot be answered in the abstract. The question is this: will the Beijing Government adhere to its pledge to permit Hong Kong a high degree of autonomy for at least 50 years? In other words, will China abide by its promise to maintain "one country, two systems?"

No one can answer that question definitively today—not the people of Hong Kong, not the British Government, not the Clinton administration, not even the gerontocracy in Beijing, which struggles to chart a course for China's modernization in the post-Deng Xiao Ping era.

Of course, there is always the risk that a treaty partner will prove to be unreliable. That risk is particularly acute here, where the treaty partner—the Hong Kong Government—will be overseen by a government in Beijing which has often failed to adhere adequately to commitments made to the United States.

Standing opposite that risk are the benefits that flow from having an extradition relationship with Hong Kong. For most of this decade, the relationship has undeniably been in our interests. Since 1991, more than 60 persons have been returned to the United States from Hong Kong pursuant to extradition requests, many of them for serious crimes such as narcotics trafficking. By contrast, we have extradited just seven persons to Hong Kong.

Moreover, the extradition treaty is a critical component of our overall law enforcement cooperation with Hong Kong authorities—cooperation which has proven enormously successful over the years in combating organized crime, drug smuggling, and international terrorism.

Finally, this treaty contains extraordinary protections against any attempt by Beijing to meddle with or politicize the extradition process.

Indeed, the treaty provides several protections against valid concerns that the PRC may renege on its pledge to permit Hong Kong to retain an independent judiciary. The treaty contains several safeguards; these include: First, a provision allowing the United States broad power to refuse to surrender U.S.

nationals in cases relating to "the defense, foreign affairs, or essential public interest or policy of the United States" (Article 3); second, a provision permitting the Secretary of State to deny extradition if the request was politically motivated, or the person sought is likely to be denied a fair trial or punished because of his race, religion, nationality, or political opinions (Article 6); and third, a provision barring the retransfer of any fugitive beyond the territory of Hong Kong without U.S. consent (Article 16).

The Committee has added included two provisions in the resolution of ratification that provide additional protection. First, understanding No. 1 makes it plain that the United States will not permit the retransfer to the People's Republic of China of any persons surrendered under this agreement, unless the person being surrendered consents to the transfer. Understanding No. 2 makes a strong statement in support of the independence of the Hong Kong judiciary, by stating that any effort to curtail the jurisdiction and power of adjudication of the Hong Kong courts may be considered grounds for withdrawal from the Agreement.

In exercising its power to advise and consent, the Senate must balance the risks that China will interfere with the autonomy of Hong Kong against the likely benefits to U.S. law enforcement that will flow from the agreement. In my view, the benefits clearly outweigh the risks. And the safeguards in the treaty, in addition to the provisions in the resolution of ratification, provide strong protection of U.S. interests and of the rights of those persons who may be surrendered under the treaty.

By ratifying this treaty, the Senate will send a strong signal to the people of Hong Kong that we have confidence in their ability to make the unique "one country, two systems" formula work. We also send a strong message to Beijing that we will not tolerate any efforts to undermine the traditional autonomy and impartiality of Hong Kong's judiciary. I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting ratification of the Hong Kong extradition agreement.

#### CONSTITUTION AND CONVENTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

*Resolved, (two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein),* That the Senate advise and consent to the ratification of the Constitution of the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), with Annexes, signed at Geneva on December 22, 1992, and Amendments to the Constitution and Convention, signed at Kyoto on October 14, 1994, together with Declarations and Reservations by the United States contained in the Final Acts (Treaty Doc. 104-34), subject to declarations and reservations Nos. 68, 73 and 82 of the 1992 Final Acts; declarations and reservations Nos. 84, 92, 97, and 98 of the 1994 Final Acts; and the understandings of subsection (a), the declarations of subsection (b), and the proviso of subsection (c).

(a) UNDERSTANDINGS.—The Senate's advice and consent is subject to the following two understandings, which shall be included in the instrument of ratification, and shall be binding on the President.

(1) BROADCASTS TO CUBA.—The United States of America, noting the Statement (No. 40) entered by the delegation of Cuba during the Plenipotentiary Conference of the International Telecommunication Union, in Kyoto Japan, affirms its rights to broadcast to Cuba on appropriate frequencies free of jamming or other wrongful interference and reserves its right to address existing interference and any future interference, by Cuba with United States broadcasting. Furthermore, the United States of America notes that its presence in Guantanamo is by virtue of an international agreement presently in force; the United States of America reserves the right to meet its radio communication requirements there as heretofore.

(2) GEOSTATIONARY-SATELLITE ORBITS.—The United States understands that the reference in Article 44 of the Constitution to the "geographical situation of particular countries" does not imply a recognition of claim to any preferential rights to the geostationary-satellite orbit.

(b) DECLARATIONS.—The Senate's advice and consent is subject to the following two declarations, which shall be binding on the President:

(1) ASSESSED PAYMENTS TO THE UNITED NATIONS INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION.—Payments by the United States to the International Telecommunication Union shall be limited to assessed contributions, appropriated by Congress. This provision does not apply to United States payments voluntarily made for a specific purpose other than the payment of assessed contributions. The United States shall seek to amend Article 33(3) of the ITU Convention to eliminate to ITU's authority to impose interest payments on ITU members.

(2) TREATY INTERPRETATION.—The Senate affirms the applicability to all treaties of the constitutionally based principles of treaty interpretation set forth in Condition (1) of the resolution of ratification of the INF Treaty, approved by the Senate on May 27, 1988, and Condition (8) of the resolution of ratification of the Document Agreed Among the States Parties to the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe, approved by the Senate on May 14, 1997.

(c) PROVISIO.—The Senate's resolution of ratification is subject to the following proviso, which shall be binding on the President:

(1) SUPREMACY OF THE CONSTITUTION.—Nothing in the Treaty requires or authorizes legislation or other action by the United States of America that is prohibited by the Constitution of the United States as interpreted by the United States.

#### TREATY ON MARITIME BOUNDARIES BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE UNITED MEXICAN STATES

*Resolved, (two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein),* That the Senate advise and consent to the ratification of the Treaty on Maritime Boundaries between the United States of America and the United Mexican States, signed at Mexico City on May 4, 1978 (Ex. F, 96-1), subject to the declaration of subsection (a), and the proviso of subsection (b).

(a) DECLARATION.—The Senate's advice and consent is subject to the following declaration, which shall be binding on the President:

(1) TREATY INTERPRETATION.—The Senate affirms the applicability to all treaties of the constitutionally based principles of treaty interpretation set forth in Condition (1) of the resolution of ratification of the INF Treaty, approved by the Senate on May 27, 1988, and Condition (8) of the resolution of ratification of the Document Agreed Among

the States Parties to the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe, approved by the Senate on May 14, 1997.

(b) PROVISIO.—The resolution of ratification is subject to the following proviso, which shall be binding on the President:

(1) SUPREMACY OF THE CONSTITUTION.—Nothing in the Treaty requires or authorizes legislation or other action by the United States of America that is prohibited by the Constitution of the United States as interpreted by the United States.

#### PROTOCOL BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA AMENDING THE 1916 CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF MIGRATORY BIRDS IN CANADA AND THE UNITED STATES

*Resolved, (two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein),* That the Senate advise and consent to the ratification of the Protocol Between the United States and Canada Amending the 1916 Convention for the Protection of Migratory Birds in Canada and the United States, with Related Exchange of Notes, signed at Washington on December 14, 1995 (Treaty Doc. 104-28), subject to the understanding of subsection (a), the declaration of subsection (b), and the proviso of subsection (c).

(a) UNDERSTANDING.—The Senate's advice and consent is subject to the following understanding, which shall be included in the instrument of ratification, and shall be binding on the President:

(1) INDIGENOUS INHABITANTS.—The United States understands that the term "indigenous inhabitants" as used in Article II(4)(b) means a permanent resident of a village within a subsistence harvest area, regardless of race. In its implementation of Article II(4)(b), the United States also understands that where it is appropriate to recognize a need to assist indigenous inhabitants in meeting nutritional and other essential needs, or for the teaching of cultural knowledge to or by their family members, there may be cases where, with the permission of the village council and the appropriate permits, immediate family members of indigenous inhabitants may be invited to participate in the customary spring and summer subsistence harvest.

(b) DECLARATION.—The Senate's advice and consent is subject to the following declaration, which shall be binding on the President:

(1) TREATY INTERPRETATION.—The Senate affirms the applicability to all treaties of the constitutionally based principles of treaty interpretation set forth in Condition (1) of the resolution of ratification of the INF Treaty, approved by the Senate on May 27, 1988, and Condition (8) of the resolution of ratification of the Document Agreed Among the States Parties to the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe, approved by the Senate on May 14, 1997.

(c) PROVISIO.—The resolution of ratification is subject to the following proviso, which shall be binding on the President:

(1) SUPREMACY OF THE CONSTITUTION.—Nothing in the Treaty requires or authorizes legislation or other action by the United States of America that is prohibited by the Constitution of the United States as interpreted by the United States.

#### PROTOCOL BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED MEXICAN STATES AMENDING THE CONVENTION FOR THE PROTECTION OF MIGRATORY BIRDS AND GAME MAMMALS.

*Resolved, (two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein),* That the Senate advise and consent to the ratification of the Protocol between the Government of the United

States of America and the Government of the United Mexican States Amending the Convention for the Protection of Migratory Birds and Game Mammals, signed at Mexico City on May 5, 1997 (Treaty Doc. 105-26), subject to the understanding of subsection (a), the declaration of subsection (b), and the proviso of subsection (c).

(a) UNDERSTANDING.—The Senate's advice and consent is subject to the following understanding, which shall be included in the instrument of ratification, and shall be binding on the President:

(1) INDIGENOUS INHABITANTS.—The United States understands that the term "indigenous inhabitants" as used in Article I means a permanent resident of a village within a subsistence harvest area, regardless of race. In its implementation of Article I, the United States also understands that where it is appropriate to recognize a need to assist indigenous inhabitants in meeting nutritional and other essential needs, or for the teaching of cultural knowledge to or by their family members, there may be cases where, with the permission of the village council and the appropriate permits, immediate family members of indigenous inhabitants may be invited to participate in the customary spring and summer subsistence harvest.

(b) DECLARATION.—The Senate's advice and consent is subject to the following declaration, which shall be binding on the President:

(1) TREATY INTERPRETATION.—The Senate affirms the applicability to all treaties of the constitutionally based principles of treaty interpretation set forth in Condition (1) of the resolution of ratification of the INF Treaty, approved by the Senate on May 27, 1998, and Condition (8) of the resolution of ratification of the Document Agreed Among the States Parties to the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe, approved by the Senate on May 14, 1997.

(c) PROVISIO.—The resolution of ratification is subject to the following proviso, which shall be binding on the President:

(1) SUPREMACY OF THE CONSTITUTION.—Nothing in the Treaty requires or authorizes legislation or other action by the United States of America that is prohibited by the Constitution of the United States as interpreted by the United States.

Mr. BYRD addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from West Virginia.

Mr. BYRD. I ask unanimous consent to propound a parliamentary inquiry concerning the treaties that were agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, did the Chair actually count Senators on the division that took place with respect to the adoption of the resolution of ratification of those treaties?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair is required to and so did.

Mr. BYRD. I thank the Chair.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, those treaties were the Agreement with Hong Kong for the Surrender of Fugitive Offenders; the International Telecommunications Union Constitution and Convention; the U.S.-Mexico Treaty on Maritime Boundaries; the Migratory Bird Protocol with Canada; and the Migratory Bird Protocol with Mexico.

## LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now return to legislative session.

### AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURES FOR CONSULTANTS

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Res. 138, submitted earlier today by Senator WARNER and Senator FORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 138) authorizing the expenditures for consultants by the Committee on Rules and Administration.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to; that the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table; and that any statements relating to the resolution appear at the appropriate place in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 138) was agreed to, as follows:

#### S. RES. 138

*Resolved.* That section 16(b) of Senate Resolution 54, 105th Congress, agreed to February 13, 1997, is amended by striking "\$300,000" and inserting "\$400,000".

### EXTRADITION TREATIES INTERPRETATION ACT OF 1997

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 196, S. 1266.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 1266) to interpret the term "kidnaping" in extradition treaties to which the United States is a party.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the bill?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1523

(Purpose: To provide substitute language for the text of the bill)

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, Senator HELMS has a substitute amendment at the desk, and I ask for its consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Mississippi [Mr. LOTT], for Mr. HELMS, for himself, and Mr. BIDEN, proposes an amendment No. 1523.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Extradition Treaties Interpretation Act of 1997".

### SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds that—

(1) each year, several hundred children are kidnapped by a parent in violation of law, court order, or legally binding agreement and brought to, or taken from, the United States;

(2) until the mid-1970's, parental abduction generally was not considered a criminal offense in the United States;

(3) since the mid-1970's, United States criminal law has evolved such that parental abduction is now a criminal offense in each of the 50 States and the District of Columbia;

(4) in enacting the International Parental Kidnapping Crime Act of 1993 (Public Law 103-173; 107 Stat. 1998; 18 U.S.C. 1204), Congress recognized the need to combat parental abduction by making the act of international parental kidnapping a Federal criminal offense;

(5) many of the extradition treaties to which the United States is a party specifically list the offenses that are extraditable and use the word "kidnaping", but it has been the practice of the United States not to consider the term to include parental abduction because these treaties were negotiated by the United States prior to the development in United States criminal law described in paragraphs (3) and (4);

(6) the more modern extradition treaties to which the United States is a party contain dual criminality provisions, which provide for extradition where both parties make the offense a felony, and therefore it is the practice of the United States to consider such treaties to include parental abduction if the other foreign state party also considers the act of parental abduction to be a criminal offense; and

(7) this circumstance has resulted in a disparity in United States extradition law which should be rectified to better protect the interests of children and their parents.

### SEC. 3. INTERPRETATION OF EXTRADITION TREATIES.

For purposes of any extradition treaty to which the United States is a party, Congress authorizes the interpretation of the terms "kidnaping" and "kidnapping" to include parental kidnapping.

Mr. BIDEN. Mr. President, I am pleased that the Senate is today acting on the Extradition Treaties Interpretation Act. I appreciate the cooperation of the chairman of the committee, and the cooperation and assistance of the executive branch, in moving this bill forward.

The bill is very short, and I will not take the Senate's time to review it at length. In brief, the bill is designed to remedy a disparity in U.S. extradition law and practice. The disparity is this: under certain extradition treaties, the crime of parental abduction—when one parent takes a child in violation of law or a custody order and against the wishes of the other parent—is not extraditable. That is so for two related reasons.

The criminalization of parental abduction is a relatively recent development in U.S. criminal law. Prior to the mid-1970's, parental abduction was generally considered a family law matter not covered by criminal law. In the last two decades or so, U.S. criminal law has evolved significantly. All 50 states

make the act a crime, as does the District of Columbia and the Federal Government.

As a consequence of this development in the law, a disparity has been created in U.S. extradition law. The disparity occurs in a subset of extradition treaties referred to as "list" treaties—so named because they specifically enumerate, or list, the crimes under the treaty that are considered extraditable. Thus, because the act of parental abduction was not a crime when these older list treaties were ratified, it has been the practice of the executive branch to interpret the treaties as excluding parental abduction. This concern does not arise in more modern "dual criminality" treaties, which avoid the limiting nature of the list treaties by allowing extradition in any case where both countries make a practice a felony.

Seeking to remove this disparity, the Clinton administration has requested authority to adopt a new interpretation of the term "kidnapping" in the list treaties so that it encompasses parental abduction. The Foreign Relations Committee strongly supports this request, and voted unanimously last month to report the bill to the Senate.

The chairman and I have offered a substitute amendment which makes several changes to the Committee-reported bill which were recommended by the Justice Department after it gave closer review to the legislation. The changes are modest, and mostly technical. I would highlight only one: the committee-reported bill provided, in the operative section of the bill, section 3, that the Congress authorizes the interpretation of the term kidnapping to include international parental kidnapping. The substitute omits the word "international," for an important reason: the crime of international parental abduction, which includes as an element the taking of a child out of the country, is a Federal offense. But the practical reality is that most extradition cases will involve crimes prosecuted at the state level, where the offense does not include the aforementioned element of removing the child from the country. Thus, the substitute ensures that the bill has the broadest possible reach.

Mr. President, the abduction of children by their parents is a heartwrenching crime. This bill will ensure that there is no disparity in U.S. extradition law and practice with regard to this crime, and, I hope, will help lead to the extradition of individuals wanted for this crime. I urge my colleagues to support the bill.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous that the amendment be agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 1523) was agreed to.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time and passed, as

amended; that the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table; and that any statements relating to the bill appear at the appropriate place in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (S. 1266), as amended, was read the third time and passed.

#### ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I believe there is still some more debate on the ISTEAA legislation or other actions that may be considered tonight. So we will not do the closing at this time. But just so Senators will know what the present situation is and what they can expect later on tonight, of course, we do not expect any further recorded votes tonight. It is our anticipation that at 9:45 in the morning, there will be a vote on the cloture motion relating to the ISTEAA highway construction bill. I am still trying to find a way to clear this bill of the obstructions that have been placed in its path so that we will have safe highways and safe roads and get this major legislation through the Senate. We have had two cloture votes. The next cloture vote will be tomorrow at 9:45 a.m.

We made a serious effort today by all concerned on both sides of the aisle and both sides of the issue with relation to the campaign finance reform matter to find a way to move forward, and I believe that Senator DASCHLE and I had basically reached an agreement, but then other Senators indicated that they wanted something more and we couldn't complete that agreement.

I think that is really unfortunate. I thought what we had come up with was very fair, that we would take up campaign finance reform by the first week of March and that amendments would be in order. But we will continue to work on it, hopefully, because I do think this is very important legislation. I will have to make a decision as majority leader after tomorrow's cloture vote as to what to do at that point. If we get cloture, obviously, we will go right on with the amendments with regard to ISTEAA, the highway transportation bill, and I believe we can get it completed next week even though we have a lot of very important amendments pending.

If we don't get cloture, I have to make a call as to whether to spend another half of a week trying to cut off basically the filibuster that has gone on with regard to this legislation and move on to other matters. I think that would be unfortunate. I think this is important legislation that needs to be passed.

On Monday, if we have not been able to clear from hold the Federal Reserve nominees, it would be my intention to move to debate those and get a vote on them. And we also are going to have to act early next week, in some form, with regard to the threatened Amtrak strike.

Beyond that, we will consult with Members on both sides of the aisle and let them know what will be the legislative schedule next week.

If we cannot get something worked out on ISTEAA, we will move on to other issues. And, of course, I would like to continue to work on the Executive Calendar, but that takes cooperation on both sides of the aisle. And if we cannot get cooperation on committee meetings and on how we resolve campaign finance reform, I guess we will not get cooperation on nominations either. But we will keep moving forward and see if we can come to some reasonable agreement so we can get this very important legislation completed.

I yield the floor, Mr. President.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, what is the business before the Senate?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate is still conducting morning business until 6:30 this evening.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, I wonder if the distinguished majority leader would mind if the Senate returned to the consideration of the highway bill?

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I would have no objection to that. I would like to make sure that the manager of the bill has no objection at this time.

Mr. CHAFEE. It is my understanding that the distinguished Senator from West Virginia is going to make some comments and no motions or anything are involved. It is strictly some remarks in connection with the legislation.

Mr. BYRD. That is correct. I would like to make them while the highway bill is pending before the Senate.

Mr. CHAFEE. So I have no objection.

Mr. BYRD. I thank the Chair.

#### INTERMODAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION EFFICIENCY ACT OF 1997

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there is no objection, the Senate will proceed to consideration of the highway bill. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 1173) to authorize funds for construction of highways, for highway safety programs, and for mass transit programs, and for other purposes.

The Senate resumed consideration of the bill.

Pending:

Chafee/Warner amendment No. 1312, to provide for a continuing designation of a metropolitan planning organization.

Chafee/Warner amendment No. 1313 (to language proposed to be stricken by the committee amendment, as modified), of a perfecting nature.

Chafee/Warner amendment No. 1314 (to Amendment No. 1313), of a perfecting nature.

Motion to recommit the bill to the Committee on Environment and Public Works, with instructions.

Lott amendment No. 1317 (to instructions of the motion to recommit), to authorize funds for construction of highways, for highway safety programs, and for mass transit programs.

Lott amendment No. 1318 (to Amendment No. 1317), to strike the limitation on obligations for administrative expenses.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, with reference to the highway bill, on yesterday I, on behalf of Senators GRAMM, BAUCUS, and WARNER, introduced an amendment for printing only and also for the purpose of having that amendment appear in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. And I was not offering the amendment in the usual sense that I was calling it up, and so consent was granted.

At that time I indicated that there were several Senators who wished to be added as cosponsors of that proposed amendment. And I wish to add these names today to those that I stated yesterday: Senators BROWBACK, CAMPBELL, CONRAD, CRAIG, GLENN, HELMS, LEVIN, and KEMPTHORNE. And I wish to remove the name of Mr. COATS. That name was included in error yesterday. And so I ask unanimous consent that the RECORD show that the name of Mr. COATS was removed and also to indicate the additional cosponsors.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BYRD. Yesterday I indicated that I would present for the RECORD the history of the Federal gasoline excise taxes since the inception of the highway trust fund. I ask unanimous consent that I may have printed for the RECORD such history.

There being no objection, the history was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

HISTORY OF FEDERAL GASOLINE EXCISE TAXES  
SINCE THE INCEPTION OF THE HIGHWAY  
TRUST FUND

The enactment of the Federal Aid Highway and Highway Revenue Act of 1956 (PL 84-627), called for all Federal gasoline excise taxes to be placed in the newly established Highway Trust Fund. Between 1956 and 1990, the Congress, on numerous occasions, voted to extend these gasoline excise taxes with all of the revenue being devoted to the Highway Trust Fund.

The Omnibus Budget Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1990 (PL 101-508) increased the Federal gasoline tax by 5 cents, with 2.5 cents being dedicated to deficit reduction and 2.5 cents being dedicated to the Highway Trust Fund. Of the amounts transferred to the Highway Trust Fund, 2 cents of the tax was deposited in the Highway Account of the Highway Trust Fund and 0.5 cents of the tax was deposited in the Mass Transit Account.

The 2.5 cents dedicated to deficit reduction under OBRA 1990 was scheduled to expire on September 30, 1995. Instead, the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, (PL 103-66) stipulated that this 2.5 cents gasoline tax be deposited into the Highway Trust Fund, beginning on October 1, 1995, and divided in the same manner as the 2.5 cents placed in the Trust Fund in 1990.

OBRA 1993 simultaneously levied a new, permanent gas tax of 4.3 cents dedicated solely to deficit reduction.

The Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 (PL 105-34) stipulated that the entire 4.3 cents gas tax would be deposited in the Highway Trust Fund beginning on October 1, 1997, with 3.45 cents of the tax being dedicated to the Highway Account of the Highway Trust Fund and 0.85 cents being dedicated to the Mass Transit Account.

Source: CRS Report for Congress: Federal Excise Taxes on Gasoline and the Highway Trust Fund, September 15, 1997.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, it was my intention to move at this time to waive all points of order pursuant to the budget act affecting the amendment that I had introduced on yesterday for printing on behalf of myself and Senators GRAMM, BAUCUS, and WARNER.

Mr. President, having the floor, I have a perfect right to move at this time to waive such points of order; am I correct?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

Mr. BYRD. I am not required to wait until such time as that amendment is pending before the Senate, nor am I required under the rules to wait until such time as the so-called tree, consisting of several amendments, has been dismantled, nor do I have to wait until such time as such a point of order is actually made against the amendment; am I correct?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

Mr. BYRD. I thank the Chair.

So I am perfectly within my rights at this point to move to waive such points of order. Such a motion would be debatable. And it would also be amendable, would it not, Mr. President?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

Mr. BYRD. Now, Mr. President, I had intended to ask unanimous consent that that motion not be amendable. But I thought I should let Mr. CHAFEE know that I intended to make such a request. He might want to object to it. I had a right to make the motion. He could not keep me from doing that. But I wanted to get consent that it not be amendable, and I thought he had the right to know about that. And I realize he could object to that, and he will. He has told me he will object to that.

Now, my purpose in wanting to get such consent is simply this: 60 votes are required for me to waive the points of order under the Budget Act. However, my motion would be amendable, it would be open to amendment, and such an amendment to my motion would require only a majority of votes, so that if all 100 Senators were present and voting, only 51 votes would be required to amend my motion, which, standing alone, would require 60 votes.

If the motion to amend my motion to waive were to carry, then a simple majority could add the authors' motion to amend my motion. That would put me at a disadvantage in that it is my understanding that Mr. DOMENICI might make a motion, the purpose of which would be—and I don't know that he is going to do this—he would repeal the gas tax, the 4.3-cent tax. That may or may not be based on rumor. I haven't heard Senator DOMENICI say that, but I anticipate that such a motion or some other motion might be made. If that were the case, if that were to be adopted by a majority vote, I would be put at a great disadvantage in trying to get

60 votes for my motion, so I do not intend to make that motion at this time.

But it may be that at some future time we can work out something whereby I could get a vote on a motion to waive points of order under the Budget Act against my amendment. That is a motion that is made quite frequently here. Sometimes it carries, sometimes it doesn't. So I intended to make that motion because I feel that the sponsors of our amendment have 60 or more votes in support of such motion and in support of such amendment.

Several Senators have indicated to me and have indicated to my three cosponsors that while they will not cosponsor the amendment, they will support it, so that we feel we would have more than 60 votes. But I am not at this time going to make the motion for the reasons I have stated.

#### HAPPY BIRTHDAY, SENATOR CHAFEE

Mr. BYRD. On another matter, I'm informed that on yesterday the distinguished, the very distinguished, Senator from Rhode Island reached his 75th birthday. Oh, to be 75 again! I experienced that happy occasion 5 years ago. Today is the 23rd of October. In 27 more days I will reach my 80th birthday. Hopefully the Senate will be out of session because I don't want anything said about it.

But I must congratulate this crusty New Englander, whom I admire hugely, on his having reached his 75th birthday. He is a great American. He is truly a fine Senator. As a man he is a real man. He is honest, and he is one who deals fairly with his colleagues right up on the board, straight across the board, nothing under the board, and he says what he thinks. If he agrees with one, he will agree; if he doesn't, he will say so, but he won't go out of this Chamber in any fit of distemper. It is a matter to be stated, and that is it.

So I admire JOHN CHAFEE. The people of his State are fortunate in having a man like JOHN CHAFEE here. I think we are all fortunate in having a Senator like JOHN CHAFEE. I greatly admire him.

Let me just recall a few lines to a little poem titled the "Multiplication Table of Happiness." I hope I can repeat it, having focused my thoughts on the line-item veto, the highway bill, and on the various other matters today.

Count your garden by the flowers,  
Never by the leaves that fall;  
Count your days by the sunny hours,  
Not remembering clouds at all.  
Count your nights by stars, not shadows;  
Count your life by smiles, not tears;  
And on this beautiful October afternoon,  
Count your age by friends, not years.

Now, after the distinguished Senator makes a response, if he feels that he has to—he doesn't—but if he wants to say anything—I saw him start to rise—

then I have a question back on the highway bill I wish to ask him.

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I want to say that when one receives complimentary remarks, the merit and the weight of those remarks depend a great deal who they come from. When I receive such generous comments as I have just received from the distinguished Senator from West Virginia, whom he knows I long have had not only great admiration for but great affection for, and we have been in harness here together on many issues on the same side—on some issues we have been on the other side.

To the Senator from West Virginia, I just want to say thank you very much for those very, very kind remarks. As he knows, one of the great pleasures I have had in this Chamber in serving in the U.S. Senate is the relationship I have had with ROBERT BYRD. I count myself very, very lucky. So I will treasure the kind comments he made.

I further will say I think I'll believe them all, and if my children have any doubts about their father, I will tell them, "Here is what ROBERT BYRD said about him." I will make sure they all get copies of it.

I want to thank him very, very much.

Mr. BYRD. I can guarantee the Senator, I will never be in his State advocating that his people not vote for him, and if I'm up in his State, I will be glad to say what I have just said today about him.

**INTERMODAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION EFFICIENCY ACT OF 1997**

Mr. BYRD. Now, Mr. President, back on the highway bill, I understood the distinguished Senator from Rhode Island to indicate yesterday that Mr. DOMENICI's amendment, which he, Mr. CHAFEE, was going to cosponsor, was going to be entered at the desk. I have inquired there now, and I don't believe it has been entered up to this point.

Mr. CHAFEE. It was filed this morning before 10 a.m. was my clear understanding.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. There have been a number of amendments submitted, any one of which might meet that description.

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I'm informed it is amendment No. 1522.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. That amendment, the Chair understands, is being processed and was just recently submitted.

Mr. BYRD. So it is now being processed and will be available.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. It is at the desk.

Mr. BYRD. I want to have the opportunity to study it and perhaps be able to comment on it if need be.

Mr. CHAFEE. I think we will certainly get the Senator a copy of it, and we can do that this evening.

Mr. BYRD. I thank the distinguished Senator. I don't have anything else to say at this point.

I yield the floor.

Mr. CHAFEE. Now, Mr. President, I just want to say one other thing to the Senator from West Virginia. He said some kind things about me being up front and so forth. I want to thank him very much for being so candid with us. There are no tricks, there are no games here. We are each proceeding and doing everything we can, as was mentioned, to keep everything aboveboard so there are no unfair surprises. I thank the Senator very much for that. I greatly appreciate it.

**CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM**

Mr. FEINGOLD. Mr. President, on behalf of myself and the minority leader, the Senator from South Dakota, I would like to correct the statement made by the majority leader in the RECORD. The majority leader indicated that he and the Senator from South Dakota had an understanding with regard to how to bring back the campaign finance reform issue.

But the fact is that the agreement had not been reduced to writing, and that when it was reduced to writing, his understanding, that is, the understanding of the Senator from the State of South Dakota, my understanding, and the understanding of every member of our caucus was that the vehicle by which this would happen would be that we would bring back Senate bill 25, as modified.

The agreement did not include that language. And there simply was no additional or new request made by anyone on our behalf. So I would like to correct that statement as well. That did not happen. We are hopeful that an agreement can be reached. But I do have to say, for the record, that it simply was not a correct representation of what happened with regard to the negotiations today.

Thank you, Mr. President.

**ORDERS FOR FRIDAY, OCTOBER 24, 1997**

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it stand in adjournment until the hour of 9:45 a.m. on Friday, October 24. I further ask that on Friday, immediately following the prayer, the routine requests through the morning hour be granted. I also ask that the cloture vote occur on the modified committee amendment to S. 1173, the ISTEA reauthorization bill, at the hour of 9:45 a.m.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

**PROGRAM**

Mr. CHAFEE. Mr. President, tomorrow morning, the Senate will conduct a cloture vote on the committee amendment to the ISTEA legislation. If cloture is invoked, the Senate will proceed to the consideration of the ISTEA legislation. In addition, the Senate may turn to any available appropriations conference reports—possibly the Interior conference report. As earlier announced, the Senate is expected to vote on the nomination of Algenon Marbley to be U.S. District Judge on Monday, October 27 at 5 p.m. As a reminder to all Members, the next roll-call vote will occur at 9:45 a.m. tomorrow morning.

**ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 9:45 A.M. TOMORROW**

The PRESIDING OFFICER. If there is no further business to come before the Senate, I now ask that the Senate stand in adjournment under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 6:43 p.m., adjourned until Friday, October 24, 1997, at 9:45 a.m.

**NOMINATIONS**

Executive nominations received by the Senate October 23, 1997:

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

PAUL J. HOEPER, OF CALIFORNIA, TO BE AN ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY, VICE GILBERT F. DECKER, RESIGNED.

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

LINDA KEY BREATHITT, OF KENTUCKY, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION FOR A TERM EXPIRING JUNE 30, 2002. VICE DONALD FARLEY SANTA, JR. TERM EXPIRED.

CURT HERBERT, JR., OF MISSISSIPPI, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE TERM EXPIRING JUNE 30, 1999. VICE ELIZABETH ANNE MOLER.

**INTER-AMERICAN FOUNDATION**

FRANK D. YTURRIA, OF TEXAS, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE INTER-AMERICAN FOUNDATION FOR A TERM EXPIRING JUNE 26, 2002. (RE-APPOINTMENT)

**CONFIRMATIONS**

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate October 23, 1997:

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

KEVIN L. THURM, OF NEW YORK, TO BE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

PETER L. SCHER, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FOR THE RANK OF AMBASSADOR DURING HIS TENURE OF SERVICE AS SPECIAL TRADE NEGOTIATOR.

EDWARD E. SHUMAKER III, OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE REPUBLIC OF TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO.

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

ELLEN SEIDMAN, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, TO BE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF THRIFT SUPERVISION FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS.

THE ABOVE NOMINATIONS WERE APPROVED SUBJECT TO THE NOMINEES' COMMITMENT TO RESPOND TO REQUESTS TO APPEAR AND TESTIFY BEFORE ANY DULY CONSTITUTED COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE.