

United States regarding the deployment of a missile defense system capable of defending the territory of the United States against limited ballistic missile attack.

S. 1874

At the request of Mr. CRAIG, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 1874, a bill to improve the ability of small businesses, Federal agencies, industry, and universities to work with Department of Energy contractor-operated facilities, and for other purposes.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 55

At the request of Mr. GREGG, the name of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. GLENN) was added as a cosponsor of Senate Concurrent Resolution 55, a concurrent resolution declaring the annual memorial service sponsored by the National Emergency Medical Services Memorial Service Board of Directors to honor emergency medical services personnel to be the "National Emergency Medical Services Memorial Service."

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 65

At the request of Ms. SNOWE, the name of the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. HOLLINGS) was added as a cosponsor of Senate Concurrent Resolution 65, a concurrent resolution calling for a United States effort to end restriction on the freedoms and human rights of the enclaved people in the occupied area of Cyprus.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 77

At the request of Mr. SESSIONS, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. DODD) was added as a cosponsor of Senate Concurrent Resolution 77, a concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the Federal government should acknowledge the importance of at-home parents and should not discriminate against families who forego a second income in order for a mother or father to be at home with their children.

SENATE RESOLUTION 170

At the request of Mr. SPECTER, the names of the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. COCHRAN) and the Senator from California (Mrs. FEINSTEIN) were added as cosponsors of Senate Resolution 170, a resolution expressing the sense of the Senate that the Federal investment in biomedical research should be increased by \$2,000,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

SENATE RESOLUTION 202—TO AUTHORIZE REPRESENTATION BY THE SENATE LEGAL COUNSEL

Mr. LOTT (for himself and Mr. DASCHLE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 202

Whereas, in the cases of *William L. Singer v. Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices*, No. 98-6002, and *Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms v. Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices*, No. 98-6003, pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, petitioners William L. Singer and the Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms have

sought review of a final decision of the Select Committee on Ethics, which had been entered, pursuant to section 308 of the Government Employee Rights Act of 1991, 2 U.S.C. §1208 (1994), in the records of the Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(1) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§288b(a) and 288c(a)(1)(1994), the Senate may direct its counsel to defend committees of the Senate in civil actions relating to their official responsibilities;

Whereas, pursuant to section 303(f) of the Government Employee Rights Act of 1991, 2 U.S.C. §1203(f)(1994), for purposes of representation by the Senate Legal Counsel, the Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices, the respondent in this proceeding, is deemed a committee within the meaning of sections 703(a) and 704(a)(1) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§288b(a), 288c(a)(1)(1994): Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate Legal Counsel is directed to represent the Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices in the Cases of *William L. Singer v. Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices* and *Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms v. Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices*.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET

SESSIONS (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 2166

Mr. SESSIONS (for himself, Mr. LOTT, Mr. ENZI, Mr. HELMS, Mr. GRAMS, Mr. BROWNBACK, Mr. CRAIG, Mr. FRIST, Mr. ASHCROFT, Mr. MACK, Mr. COATS, Mr. GREGG, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. SHELBY, Mr. FAIRCLOTH, Mr. NICKLES, Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mr. COVERDELL, Mr. ABRAHAM, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. HAGEL, Mr. ALLARD, Mr. THURMOND, Mr. SMITH of Oregon, Mr. DODD, Mr. DOMENICI, Mr. DORGAN, and Mr. ROBERTS) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 86) setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 and revising the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1998; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. ____ FINDINGS; SENSE OF CONGRESS.

(a) Congress finds that—
(1) studies have found that quality child care, particularly for infants and young children, requires a sensitive, interactive, loving, and consistent caregiver;

(2) as most parents meet and exceed the criteria described in paragraph (1), circumstances allowing, parental care is the best form of child care;

(3) a recent National Institute for Child Health and Development study found that the greatest factor in the development of a young child is "what is happening at home and in families";

(4) as a child's interaction with his or her parents has the most significant impact on the development of the child, any Federal child care policy should enable and encourage parents to spend more time with their children;

(5) nearly 1/2 of preschool children have at-home mothers and only 1/3 of preschool children have mothers who are employed full time;

(6) a large number of low- and middle-income families sacrifice a second full-time income so that a mother may be at home with her child;

(7) the average income of 2-parent families with a single income is \$20,000 less than the average income of 2-parent families with 2 incomes;

(8) only 30 percent of preschool children are in families with paid child care and the remaining 70 percent of preschool children are in families that do not pay for child care, many of which are low- to middle-income families struggling to provide child care at home;

(9) child care proposals should not provide financial assistance solely to the 30 percent of families that pay for child care and should not discriminate against families in which children are cared for by an at-home parent; and

(10) any congressional proposal that increases child care funding should provide financial relief to families that sacrifice an entire income in order that a mother or father may be at home for a young child.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the functional totals in this concurrent resolution on the budget assume that—

(1) many families in the United States make enormous sacrifices to forego a second income in order to have a parent care for a child at home;

(2) there should be no bias against at-home parents;

(3) parents choose many different forms of child care to meet the needs of their families, such as child care provided by an at-home parent, grandparent, aunt, uncle, neighbor, nanny, preschool, or child care center;

(4) any quality child care proposal should include, as a key component, financial relief for those families where there is an at-home parent; and

(5) mothers and fathers who have chosen and continue to choose to be at home should be applauded for their efforts.

GREGG AMENDMENT NO. 2167

Mr. GREGG proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution, Senate Concurrent Resolution 86, supra; as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following:

SEC. 3 . SENSE OF THE SENATE CONCERNING IMMUNITY.

It is the sense of the Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that no immunity will be provided to any tobacco product manufacturer with respect to any health-related civil action commenced by a State or local governmental entity or an individual prior to or after the date of the adoption of this resolution.

GREGG (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 2168

Mr. GREGG (for himself, Mr. CONRAD, and Mr. LAUTENBERG) proposed an amendment to amendment No. 2167 proposed by Mr. GREGG to the concurrent resolution, Senate Concurrent Resolution 86, supra; as follows:

Strike all after the first word and insert the following:

3 . SENSE OF THE SENATE CONCERNING IMMUNITY.

It is the sense of the Senate that the levels in this resolution assume that no immunity