

COMMITTEE ON LABOR AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Mr. JEFFORDS. Mr. President, I would like to announce for information of the Senate and the public that a hearing of the Senate Committee on Labor and Human Resources will be held on Thursday, April 2, 1998, 10:00 a.m., in SD-430 of the Senate Dirksen Building. The subject of the hearing is Metered Dose Inhalers. For further information, please call the committee, 202/224-5375.

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

Mr. GREGG. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent on behalf of the Governmental Affairs Committee to meet on Monday, March 30, 1998, at 2 p.m. for a hearing on the nominations of Elaine D. Kaplan to be the special counsel in the Office of Special Counsel, and Ruth Y. Goldway to be Commissioner of the Postal Rate Commission.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

VENEZUELA'S IMPORTANCE TO HEMISPHERIC ENERGY SECURITY

● Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, recently some of my colleagues on the Energy Committee and I traveled to Venezuela to tour some of the oil and gas operations run by the state-owned oil company, Petroleos de Venezuela, S.A. (PDVSA), and to learn more about the U.S.-Venezuela relationship on energy matters. Not many weeks prior to our trip, I had traveled to Venezuela for the first time to attend and address the Hemispheric Energy Conference in Caracas, which was co-chaired by Energy Secretary Federico Pena.

As Chairman of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, I believe my colleagues should know the important role Venezuela plays in U.S. and hemispheric energy security. And, as a Senator strongly committed to preserving and strengthening the U.S. oil and gas industry, I believe it is essential that we understand to the fullest extent possible the relationships between our countries and energy industries, and how we stand in relation to the rest of the world. I think it is safe to say, Mr. President, that very few people in our country appreciate Venezuela's importance in the global energy picture.

Our visit to Venezuela was particularly timely in light of the recent drop in world oil prices and the agreement among OPEC and non-OPEC members to curtail production to halt the downward fall in prices. Venezuela is a member of OPEC, and is a country others are looking to for cooperation in scaling down production.

What my colleagues and I learned about Venezuela's energy industry

from our brief visit, Mr. President, is very impressive. I want to share some of the information we gathered with the rest of our colleagues in the Senate.

The United States and Venezuela have a long history of cooperation on energy matters. Venezuela has continuously provided oil to the U.S. for more than 70 years. During World War II, the Korean War, the conflict in Vietnam, and more recently the oil embargos and Persian Gulf War, Venezuela has been a stable and reliable source of oil for the United States. The U.S. presently imports just under 1.5 million barrels of oil a day from Venezuela, making Venezuela the largest supplier of crude. Venezuela, Mexico and Canada are the leaders in the Western Hemisphere in supplying oil to the U.S., which imports 52 percent of its daily production from that region.

Because of the proximity of our two countries, and certain synergies in our energy industries, the U.S. and Venezuela now enjoy a robust energy relationship that is triggering economic development and opening new trade and investment opportunities in both countries. To date, Venezuela's oil company has invested \$2 billion in the U.S., and is importing hundreds of millions of dollars in U.S. goods and services used for energy production in Venezuela. A new bilateral investment protection treaty presently being negotiated between the two countries will afford U.S. investors greater safeguards in such important areas as capital transfers, international arbitration, intellectual property rights and others, and will put U.S. investors on an even playing field with investors from other countries.

Venezuela has 75 billion barrels of proven conventional crude oil reserves, ranking fifth-largest in the world and first outside of the Middle East. By comparison, U.S. crude oil reserves are three times smaller. In Venezuela's Orinoco Belt, which we visited, there are 1.2 trillion barrels of extra-heavy oil in place. Using a conservative rate of recovery of 20 to 25 percent at today's technology, it is estimated that 270 to 320 billion barrels of this resource could be recovered and used as a boiler fuel. In addition, Venezuela has 146 trillion cubic feet of natural gas reserves, which rank seventh-largest in the world. The U.S. is sixth in the world with 165 trillion cubic feet of natural gas reserves.

Mr. President, Venezuela is prepared to share its abundant oil resources with the rest of the world, and is implementing plans to almost double oil production from 3.7 to 6.5 million barrels per day by the year 2007. In order to do so, PDVSA plans to invest \$65 billion in the next 10 years, \$37 billion of which will come from its own revenue stream. \$18 billion will come from PDVSA's foreign partners, and \$10 billion will come from strategic alliances with foreign firms. Of the \$65 billion total investment, PDVSA plans to invest \$1.5 billion in the U.S.

To expand production and improve operating efficiency, PDVSA has undertaken several rounds of "oil openings," a process in which participation of companies operating around the world is solicited in an open bidding process. In the first round of bidding, ten light- and medium-crude fields were opened to foreign investment. Eight of the ten successful bidders were companies operating in the U.S.—Amoco, BP America, Benton Oil and Gas Company, Dupont Conoco, Enron Oil and Gas Company, Louisiana Land and Exploration Company, Maxus Energy Corp., and Mobil Corp.

PDVSA is involved in five joint ventures with U.S. companies to open Venezuela's extensive heavy oil reserves in the eastern Orinoco Belt and the western Boscan field. Those companies are Arco, Chevron, Conoco, Mobil and Total, N.A.

In addition, PDVSA has issued more than a dozen contracts to companies to develop marginal and inactive oil fields that contain approximately 2 billion barrels of light and medium crude oil. Those companies include Amoco, Benton Oil and Gas Co., Chevron, Mosbacher Energy Company, Occidental, Pennzoil, Total, and Shell.

Similar opportunities for investment in Venezuelan joint ventures lie ahead for U.S. companies.

Mr. President, the harsh reality is that the U.S. will import greater and greater amounts of oil to meet its domestic energy needs in the coming decades, notwithstanding our efforts to maintain a viable domestic oil and gas industry. Presently, the U.S. is importing about 54 percent of its daily crude oil needs, and that level is expected to exceed 60 percent in a few short years.

I believe U.S. government policies should favor reasonable oil and gas exploration and production efforts, fair royalty and tax treatment, and balanced environmental and conservation measures so that we can produce our own energy for our growing economy. Unfortunately, the Administration does not have those goals in mind, and does not see the importance of setting a national energy policy.

In my State of Alaska, we have potentially large untapped crude oil reserves in the ANWR and on the Alaska Outer Continental Shelf. The Administration does not support environmentally responsible exploration of ANWR, however. Elsewhere in the lower 48 states, the Administration is frustrating exploration and production activities on federal lands by removing promising acreage from inventory of lands accessible for exploration purposes, and is making more difficult the job of producing energy by imposing onerous economic and regulatory requirements.

Now, at a time when world oil prices are plummeting to record lows, it will be more and more difficult for American companies to produce oil at a reasonable price. While this is good news

to the people of the U.S. because gasoline is at its lowest price ever when adjusted for inflation, it is not welcome news to small and independent oil and gas producers who will be especially hard hit, or to the larger energy producing companies.

It stands to reason, Mr. President, that the U.S. economy and industrial sector will benefit during times of low energy prices. The bad news is that there is a down-side to lower energy prices, and one that few people fully appreciate. When world oil prices fall below a certain level, as they have recently, the U.S. stands to lose production from stripper wells and marginally economic wells, along with the jobs associated with those wells. That, in turn, has ripple effects elsewhere in the economy through loss of jobs in the industries that supply goods and services to producers, and in the communities where they operate.

While we can take comfort in knowing that Venezuela is prepared to meet our oil import needs now and in the future, Mr. President, our trip served to bring more clearly into focus the U.S. energy situation and the need for policies and programs to preserve domestic production so that the current price situation does not cause permanent loss of jobs and domestic oil and gas reserves.

I intend to take important steps in the coming weeks to address the U.S. energy situation, Mr. President.●

HONORING RICHARD M. WILLIAMS FOR 24 YEARS OF SERVICE

● Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I rise today to pay tribute to a man who has spent the last twenty four years of his life working to ensure that Vermonters who are struggling to make ends meet, can afford to keep a roof over their heads. Richard Williams is far too humble to ask for recognition for those years of service, but that service has meant too much to go unrecognized.

The Vermont State Housing Authority (VSHA) was the first statewide housing authority in the United States, and Richard has been with it almost from the beginning. He came to VSHA in 1974 as an accountant when the organization itself was only six years old. Through the years he has served as Director of Fiscal Management, Deputy Director, and since 1984, Executive Director.

Under his leadership, VSHA has grown considerably. Today it administers the Section 8 program providing 4,585 families with rental assistance. The organization's non-profit arm, The Housing Foundation Inc. (HFI), which Richard helped to establish, created additional units of affordable housing and mobile home park lots. Through the HFI and various partnerships 1,050 units of affordable housing are now available for low-income families in Vermont. Just recently, Richard oversaw a creative interpretation of the tax code which, with the help of

the Howard Bank, produced an \$8.1 million tax exempt bond to refinance most of the mobile home parks in The Housing Foundation portfolio, to the benefit of 565 Vermont households.

But Richard was never content to limit himself to the work of VSHA. He sits on more boards and has served in more associations than I could recount here today. Among them are the Governor's Housing Council, the Advisory Group for the Consolidated Plan, and the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Committee. With all of these commitments, it amazes me that he gets any rest at all. Vermonters are fortunate indeed to have someone so dedicated to making housing affordable for all, and who apparently needs so little sleep.

This year, the Vermont State Housing Authority is celebrating its thirtieth anniversary, and that is indeed cause for celebration. I applaud VSHA for thirty years of outstanding service to Vermont, and at the same time recognize Richard Williams for the large part he has played in that success. I know I speak for thousands of Vermonters who have a roof over their heads today because of his efforts, in saying thank you to Richard for twenty four years of service to Vermont.●

EXTENSION OF DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF COMMISSION REPORT

Mr. DEWINE. Mr. President, on behalf of the majority leader, I ask unanimous consent that the Intelligence Committee be discharged from further consideration of S. 1751, and, further, the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 1751) to extend the deadline for submission of a report by the Commission to Assess the Organization of the Federal Government to combat the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the bill?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. DEWINE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read a third time and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and that any statements relating to the bill appear at this point in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (S. 1751) was read the third time passed.

The bill is as follows:

S. 1751

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. EXTENSION OF DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF COMMISSION REPORT.

Section 712(c)(1) of the Combatting Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction Act of 1996 (contained in Public Law 104-293)

is amended by striking "enactment of this Act" and inserting "first meeting of the Commission".

AUTHORIZATION FOR SENATE LEGAL COUNSEL REPRESENTATION

Mr. DEWINE. Mr. President, further, on behalf of the majority leader, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Res. 202 submitted earlier today by Senators LOTT and DASCHLE.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 202) to authorize representation by the Senate legal counsel.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the resolution?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, as my colleagues are aware, the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 created procedures for judicial review of employment discrimination claims throughout the Congress to govern cases arising after the requirements of the law took effect on January 23, 1996. The Senate's antecedent process for review of discrimination claims in Senate employment, which was created by the Government Employee Rights Act of 1991, continues to govern older cases. The cases of William L. Singer versus Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices and Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms versus Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices, now pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, arise under the 1991 Act.

These consolidated cases present the Federal Circuit with two petitions for review of the same underlying order. The first petition was filed by William Singer, a former member of the Capitol Police. After Officer Singer filed his petition for review, the Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms, Officer Singer's "employing office" under the statute, filed its own petition for review. Both petitions seek review of a ruling of the Select Committee on Ethics concerning Officer Singer's request for reimbursement of attorneys' fees incurred in an underlying employment discrimination action.

Under the Government Employee Rights Act, a final decision of the Ethics Committee is entered in the records of the Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices, which is then named as the respondent if the decision is challenged in the Federal Circuit. As petitions for review in the Federal Circuit challenge final decisions of a Senate adjudicatory process, under the Government Employee Rights Act the Senate Legal Counsel may be directed to defend those decisions through representation of the Office of Senate Fair Employment Practices in court.

Accordingly, this resolution directs the Senate Legal Counsel to represent