

We will never win the war on drugs with a neighborhood crime watch.

Defeat the substitute. Give the Trafficant amendment an opportunity for an up/down vote.

AMERICAN PEOPLE DESERVE IMMEDIATE EXPLANATION FROM WHITE HOUSE ON AID TO CHINA'S MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY

(Mr. ROGAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ROGAN. Mr. Speaker, why would the United States share some of its most sensitive missile technology with Communist China? Did America really fight a Cold War and reduce the nuclear threat only to have the threat return from a Communist regime that recently threatened to launch a nuclear strike on Los Angeles over the Taiwan issue?

This administration's policy to grant waivers to high technology companies that are working to improve China's missile and rocket capability is dangerous, reckless, and indefensible.

The press now reports that 13 of China's 18 long-range strategic missiles have nuclear warheads aimed at American cities. And how does the Clinton administration respond? It actively works to help China's missile and rocket program.

The American people deserve an explanation of this administration's conduct relating to transfers of missile technology to China; and Mr. Speaker, they deserve it today.

U.S. NEEDS MANAGED CARE REFORM NOW

(Mr. GREEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GREEN. Mr. Speaker, hidden in today's Washington Post is an article that Speaker GINGRICH sent the Republican Health Care Reform Task Force of the Republican Conference back to the drawing board. It is another attempt of the Republicans to destroy-by-delay health care improvements which are needed by the American people.

We should not forget that our first priority is to help the patient. We owe it to the American people to provide top quality medical care. We need an anti-gag rule where physicians can talk to their patients. We need an appeals process, both internal and external, for the patients' benefits. We need to give employees the choice, other than going to the HMO that somebody else chooses for them. We need to make decision-makers responsible. If a doctor is responsible for one's health care, then somebody who tells that doctor no should also be responsible.

This decision stops a bipartisan effort to provide health care reform, and

I hope that the American people think it is such a shame, just like I do. We need managed care reform now, Mr. Speaker.

AMERICAN ECONOMY PROTECTION ACT

(Mr. KNOLLENBERG asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Speaker, yesterday's vote on the Gilman amendment is good news for the opponents of the Kyoto treaty.

By a vote of 420 to 0, 420 to 0, the House voted to protect the quality of our national defense by exempting the U.S. military operations from the Kyoto treaty's stringent requirements.

I applaud the gentleman from New York (Mr. GILMAN) for his leadership on this issue and I urge my colleagues to continue fighting against this treaty, because it is not just bad for the military. This overreaching agreement would have a negative effect on virtually every sector of our economy and result in fewer jobs, higher prices, and a lower standard of living for the American people.

Along with my colleagues, the gentlewoman from Missouri (Mrs. EMERSON) and the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. KLINK), I have introduced a bill, the American Economy Protection Act, that would prevent even \$1 of taxpayer money from being spent to implement the provisions of the Kyoto treaty until it has been ratified by the Senate.

With the President attempting to circumvent the Constitution by implementing the Kyoto treaty through regulatory actions, I urge my colleagues to support this bill. It ensures that the Kyoto agreement is debated in the light of day and not rammed through the back door.

REAGAN ADMINISTRATION ALLOWED MILITARY TECHNOLOGY TO BE SOLD TO ROGUE NATION

(Mr. GUTIERREZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise with a sad duty today. I rise to present documents showing a direct link between campaign contributions and administration decisions that allowed military technology to be sold to a rogue nation.

Yes, the Departments of Commerce and State took a series of steps allowing military sales to international pariahs. When was this? In the 1980s, during the Reagan administration. And one country buying the equipment, Iraq, would later turn those weapons on us.

But why would Ronald Reagan do such a thing? Well, using the same tactics that other Members have used this week, I checked to see who benefited from those sales. Guess who? The same

defense contractors who contributed millions and millions of dollars to the Republicans during the 1980s.

Today we are hearing accusations of treason, of aiding Communist dictators. Well, according to the 1983 Washington Post article, the Commerce Department, then under Ronald Reagan, was found to have made decisions that "enabled the Soviet Union to improve the accuracy of its nuclear missiles."

We want to investigate sales of military technology? We want to tie campaign contributions to administration waivers or accuse the White House of aiding Communists?

Let us investigate the President. President Ronald Reagan.

WHITE HOUSE TO BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY TO CHINA

(Mr. TIAHRT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TIAHRT. Mr. Speaker, the shift of the responsibility by the Clinton administration for licensing the export of advanced technology has created dangerous foreign policy and potentially jeopardized our Nation's security.

The administration is stripping the State Department and the Department of Defense from overseeing the export of advanced technology. The result of this questionable policy is the export of advanced satellite technology which can be used to perfect the targeting of nuclear weapons to a hostile country like Communist China.

This raises serious questions, as was pointed out in a column by Mark LEVIN in today's Washington Times. Questions like, what national security interest was served when President Clinton personally intervened, overruling objections from the Pentagon and the State Department, to approve further technology transfers to the Communist Chinese? Which Clinton administration officials were involved in this decision?

After the Justice Department opened a criminal investigation into the unauthorized technology transfer to the Communist Chinese in February of 1996, why were the companies involved not suspended, at least temporarily, from exporting further?

Mr. Speaker, potentially this could be a stunning betrayal of American interests and national security. I urge my colleagues to join me in holding the administration accountable for this dangerous transfer of technology.

PROPOSITION 226 BAD FOR WORKING FAMILIES

(Mr. SHERMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I spent over 20 years working for genuine campaign finance reform. Nothing does