

turn to H.R. 4112, the legislative appropriations bill, and the following amendments be the only amendments in order: One, a Thomas-Brownback amendment regarding nongovernmental services, and one managers' amendment. I further ask unanimous consent that debate must be concluded today, with the exception of the managers' amendment, and that any vote ordered with respect to the bill be postponed to occur at 9:30 a.m. on Tuesday, July 21. I further ask unanimous consent the Senate proceed to the State-Justice-Commerce appropriations bill following the conclusion of debate on the legislative appropriations bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. BROWNBACK. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, as I understand the proposal being put forward by the majority leader, it would not include the marriage penalty bill that I am requesting we get a vote on, that I know that he does support; we are getting some opposition from other places.

If that is, indeed, the case, I must object to this bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The objection is heard.

Mr. LOTT. In light of the objection, I have no alternative than to call up the legislative appropriations bill and file a cloture motion.

#### LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1999

The Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask the Senate now turn to H.R. 4112.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

The bill (H.R. 4112) making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999, and for other purposes.

#### CLOTURE MOTION

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I send a cloture motion to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

#### CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provision of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the legislative appropriations bill:

Trent Lott, Robert F. Bennett, Ted Stevens, Don Nickles, Bill Frist, Jesse Helms, Pete Domenici, Richard Shelby, Rod Grams, Kit Bond, Thomas A. Daschle, Orrin G. Hatch, Larry Craig, Strom Thurmond, Paul Coverdell, and Chuck Hagel.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, for the information of all Senators, unfortunately in this case Members on our side of the aisle have insisted on an amendment that made it impossible for us to get a unanimous consent agreement as

to how to bring up a complete legislative appropriations bill. In order to expedite that legislative appropriations bill, I did, then, file a cloture motion. That vote will occur on Tuesday, July 21, at approximately 9:30 a.m.

I now ask that the mandatory quorum under rule XXII be waived.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LOTT. I want to confirm that I have discussed this, of course, with Members on our side of the aisle and with Senator DASCHLE. He is aware of this. Any first-degree amendments, then, that are to be offered to the legislative appropriations bill, must be filed by 2 p.m. on Monday, July 20.

#### MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. LOTT. I now ask that there be a period for morning business with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST— S. 1482, S. 1619, S. 442

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the majority leader, after consultation with the Democratic leader, proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 436, S. 1482, and it be considered under the following limitations: 1 hour of debate equally divided on the bill; one amendment offered by Senator DURBIN, regarding reviews of criminal records, 30 minutes of debate equally divided; one amendment offered by Senator MOSELEY-BRAUN and Senator DURBIN relating to Internet predators, 30 minutes of debates equally divided; one amendment offered by Senator DODD regarding blocking software, 30 minutes of debate equally divided. No other amendments will be in order to the bill.

I further ask consent that following the expiration or yielding back of debate time, and the disposition of the above-listed amendments, the bill will be read for a third time and the Senate will proceed to a vote on passage of the bill, with no intervening action or debate.

I further ask consent that the majority leader, after consultation with the Democratic leader, proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 437, S. 1619, and it be considered under the following limitations: 1 hour of debate equally divided on the bill, 30 minutes for Senator MURRAY; one Dodd amendment regarding America Online, 30 minutes equally divided; one Feingold amendment, text of S. 900, 30 minutes equally divided; and one relevant amendment offered by Senator BURNS, 2 hours equally divided.

I further ask unanimous consent that following the expiration or yielding back of the debate time and the disposition of the above amendments, the bill be read the third time and the Senate proceed to a vote on passage of the

bill, with no intervening action or debate.

I finally ask consent that S. 442, the Internet tax bill, be referred to the Committee on Finance, and, further, that if the bill has not been reported by July 30, it be automatically discharged from the Finance Committee and placed on the calendar.

Now, I might just say before the Chair puts the question on this agreement, this would be the process whereby we bring to the floor the Internet filtering bill, the Internet pornography bill, and the Internet tax bill.

So I did ask consent that it incorporate a process to bring all three of these very important matters to the floor of the Senate.

Mr. LEVIN. Reserving the right to object, I just state for the Record with regard to the proposal just offered, there have been ongoing efforts to clear a unanimous consent agreement on each of the items just mentioned. From the Democratic side, we can enter a unanimous consent agreement with regard to S. 442 and S. 1619.

However, at this time, we are still attempting to get clearance on a unanimous consent agreement on S. 1482, but are not in a position, today, to enter into such an agreement. If the majority leader wants to call the bill up with no agreement, then, perhaps, we can do that, but for the Record, Mr. President, the Democratic side can now enter an agreement on S. 442 and on S. 1619. If the other side is ready to do that, we can go forth.

Otherwise, I have to object to the consent request just propounded.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, did the Senator object, then?

Mr. LEVIN. Yes.

Mr. LOTT. I would like to say we have worked on it and I think we have made some progress. These are all interrelated or connected, because it does involve the Internet with regard to filtering, to keep out certain programs in our schools; and of course the tax question. There has been a lot of work that has gone on in that area, working not only with the companies that would be affected, then, the Internet companies, but working with Governors and mayors, making sure that all points of view are involved. But the pornography question is a very, very important part of it all and it does relate to the Internet. In fact, there have been indications just recently that even more pornography than what is already there is planned for the future, free and accessible to everybody.

So, for now, I think we should keep the three together, but we will continue to work with the minority and see if we can get an agreement to clear all three of them or consider just doing two of them if all else fails. I think we should not neglect any of these.