French Enlightenment in the 18th century, did the votaries of science and religion drift into separate ideological camps. And only in the 19th century, after Darwin, was the supposed conflict between "God's" and "science" elevated to the status of cultural myth. History tells a different, more complicated story.

In the ancient world, religious myth invested nature and the cosmos with divine emanations and powers. But this celestial pantheon sustained sober observation of the heavens and sophisticated mathematical calculations. By 1400 B.C., the Chinese had established a solar year of 365 days. Ancient Greece bequeathed Euclidean geometry, Ptolemy's map of the solar system and Aristotle's classification of living organisms, which served biologists until Darwin.

But none of these advances seriously disrupted religion's more comprehensive worldview. Buddhists, for example, showed no interest in investigating nature since it was both impermanent and, at bottom, an illusion. Islam made great advances in algebra, geometry, as well as philosophy. But Muslim scholars left the mysteries of physics—motion, causality, etc.—to the power of the "sacred geography," in which Earth and the heavenly bodies were fixed and eternal. Relying on Aristotle, medieval Christianity had bestowed nature and the cosmos with divine qualities open to a wholly transcendent God. The universe created by a rational God served man and mankind served God. The "celestial mechanics" of Isaac Newton produced a world machine and somehow sustained it in motion. Theologians readily accepted whatever proofs for God's existence the new science chose to give. The result was a diminished "god of the gaps" inhabiting whatever dark corners remained unilluminated by rational light. In this way, says Jesuit theologian Michael Buckley of Boston College, theologians themselves cooperated in the advent of modern atheism by relying on science to explain God and ignoring "the traditional sources of religious insight and experience that make belief in God intelligible." By the 18th century, astronomer Pierre Laplace could explain nature as a self-sufficient mechanism. As for God, he told Emperor Napoleon, "I have no need of that hypothesis." A century later, did Darwin in his theory of evolution.

Now, at the end of the millennium, religion and science are beginning to talk, though neither answers to the other's authority. John Paul II consults with his Pontifical Academy of Science—most of whom are not Catholics—about the often-hidden assumptions on which scientific theories rest. Confronted by dimensions of the world no scripture has encoded, theologians are driven to reassess the resources at their disposal to examine the world's workings. And the center, still—are flawed and fragile human beings trying to understand a universe that has the uncomfortable feel of a home away from home.

Augustus Engleken Stevens

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, August is from the Latin Augustus, the eighth month of our calendar year, a time of harvest and of plenty, named after Augustus Caesar. Augustus Caesar, or, more correctly, Octavianus, was his diminutive form of Aurelius Caesar, the first emperor of Rome. From 27 B.C. through 14 A.D. Augustus is also an adjective, derived from the Latin verb meaning to increase, and in English meaning to inspire awe and reverence, impose, something that is imposing and magnificent, or dignified and majestic. The adjective augustus refers also to the age of Augustus Caesar and his reign and his achievements and so, described is classical and elegant. The term Augustus is also a Latin language literary reference. The Augustus is a term of Latin literature during the reign of Augustus Caesar, when elegance and correctness were highly valued. Oh, that we might return to that age at least in one sense, when elegance and correctness—not political correctness—were highly valued.

Augustine, a diminutive form of Augustus, was the name of two saints, Saint Augustine of Hippo (354-430 A.D.), a Latin church father and bishop of Hippo, in northern Africa, known for his "Confessions" and his work "The City of God." The second Saint Augustine—the dates we are not sure of but we can believe that he lived until about 604 A.D. He was a Roman monk who went to spread Christianity among the English and who was the first Archbishop of Canterbury. See from this that the name Augustus is fraught with significance and with portent. It is a name to be lived up to with great deeds and great learning. It is also the name conferred upon the newest member of Senator Ted Stevens' growing family, Augustus Engleken Stevens. My guess would be the middle name is Anglo-Saxon. And this is the third child of Senator Stevens' third son, Ben.

It is also the tenth grandchild to join the impressive Stevens clan. This new, Caesar, to rule with his chubby and imperious fist, and to issue edicts in a piercing wall, was born on Monday, July 27, at 3:20 p.m., weighing in at a healthy 7 pounds, 10 ounces.

I congratulate Senator Stevens and his wife, Catherine, on this blessed addition to their family. As they well know, there is no greater joy than to gather into one's arms a tiny, peaceful bundle, and to gaze down upon that face, so small, so blue, so soft, and so dear. And from the faint murmurs and coos that slip almost unnoticed from that perfect cup of a mouth. What happier feeling could there be than to see that little mouth open in a sleepy, toothless yawn, or to catch a glimpse of a little foot—not much longer than a peanut, with toes so small that they could not possibly have working bones inside them—kicking out on bony leg from within the folded blanket?

In choosing a name as ancient and as illustrious as Augustus, his parents—I surmise—have high hopes and grand ambitions for their infant son. I am sure that Senator Ted, with all his great and grandiloquent schemes afoot as well, to bounce him on a hobbyhorse knee, or to take him salmon fishing in pristine Alaskan waters. I suspect that those who see Ted on the Senate floor, with all his appetizing appropriations bills through contentious debate to final passage—fists pounding and voice booming—might not recognize Senator Stevens in his happier and more serene role as grandfather. But to be a grandfather is to be a happy man.

And what feelings of immortality, to be a grandfather. Holding this youngest member of his family, born in the waning days of this second millennium, the namesake of one whose life spanned the opening days of the first millennium, and poised to come into his own birthright in the third millennium, Senator Stevens can see history unfold into the coming ages. Through children and grandchildren, one has a glimpse of the glorious future, the immortality of the soul. But perhaps one is also engaged with the bittersweet sorrow of time passing too swiftly and of children who grow up much too quickly.

[The reading continues with an account of the family and the baby.]
Lest I overwhelm young Augustus with the great weight of such high expectations and such intimations of immortality, I hasten to wish him a happy childhood, complete with much exploring, great adventures, barked shiny knees, of quiet moments of wonder and learning, of great books to be shared with his parents and grandparents, and of countless hugs and kisses. Be a boy, Augustus, with moments good and bad, tender and terrible like the Augustus in these lines by Heinrich Hoffman (1809–1874), who said:

Augustus was a chubby lad;
Fat ruddy cheeks Augustus had;
And every ball he wound with joy.
The plump and hearty, healthy boy.
He ate and drank as he was told,
And never let his soup get cold.
But one day, one winter’s day,
He screamed out, ‘Take the soup away!’
O take the nasty soup away!
I won’t have any soup to-day.’

Welcome, young emperor, and carry on, bringing ever your illustrious grandfather under your sway with the dictatorial charms of a much loved child.

I yield the floor.

Mr. STEVENS addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alaska.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I am uncharacteristically speechless. I think—to listen to my good friend talk about my latest grandchild—he is absolutely right in one thing; and that is, there is nothing so humbling as to look at a new child and realize what that child means. Senator BYRD told me yesterday that to have a grandchild is to have these grandchildren. If one must get old, it helps a lot.

I thank the Senator very much.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that my name be added as a cosponsor to the Treasury and General Government appropriations bill.

Mr. TORRICElli. Mr. President, yesterday I engaged in a colloquy with Senators KOHL and MOSLEY-BRAUN regarding the intent of report language in S. 2312 concerning tax standards for tax-exempt health clubs. In that colloquy, I stated that my expectation was that the report would focus on tax-exempt fitness organizations that serve only adults. However, both tax-exempt health clubs and for-profit health clubs serve entire families including young adults and children. While I believe the report should focus on non-adult fitness organizations provided by tax-exempt organizations, tax-exempt organizations also offer non-adult service. The fact that they offer service to non-adults does not qualify an entity for tax-exempt status. Therefore, to focus on eliminating any level of services to non-adults would greatly restrict the usefulness of this report in providing guidance to Congress. Again, I want to restate that my intent here is only for the IRS to provide Congress guidance in this area.

Therefore, I want to clarify that it is my expectation that the report will reflect the language in the report accompanying S. 2312, that is, eliminating any input of yesterday’s colloquies as well as this clarification. Again, I want to thank Senators CAMPBELL and KOHL for their assistance on this and I look forward to working with them and all other interested Senators and parties on this issue.

AMENDMENT NO. 3388

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. President, I rise today to ask unanimous consent that my name be added as a cosponsor to amendment number #3388 to the FY 1999 Treasury-Postal Appropriations legislation currently under consideration. This amendment is a combination of several amendments aimed at increasing support for the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas program. The Midwest HIDTA has been extremely helpful in cracking down on drug trafficking in my state of Michigan, and will be increased by $3.5 million, bringing the total methamphetamine elimination funding to $13 million for the Midwest HIDTA.

PENDING:

McConnell amendment No. 3379, to provide for appropriate length for the staff director and general counsel of the Federal Election Commission.

Glenn amendment No. 3380, to provide additional funding for enforcement activities of the Federal Election Commission.

Graham amendment No. 3381, to provide funding for the Central Florida High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area.

Stevens amendment No. 3382, to provide for an adjustment in the computation of annuities for certain Federal officers and employees relating to average pay determinations.

Campbell (for Kerrey) amendment No. 3386, to protect Federal law enforcement officers who intervene in certain situations to Protect life or injury.

Harkin amendment No. 3387, to provide additional funding to reduce methamphetamine usage in High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas.

Kohl (for Kerrey) amendment No. 3389, to express the sense of the Senate regarding the availability of official second class mail to Federal judges.

Mr. TORRICElli. Mr. President, I rise today to discuss an important funding issue contained in the Treasury and General Government appropriations bill. This appropriations bill provides $484 million for construction of an annex to the Rogers Courthouse in Denver. The General Services Administration has included this project high on its list of priorities, at the recommendation of the Administrative Offices of the Courts. GSA and the AOC have provided me with detailed information on the costs of this courthouse and assured me repeatedly that these costs are prudent, practical and necessary to meet the future judicial needs of Colorado. I have also been assured that the reconstituted courthouse will be functional, but not extravagant. I have demanded this of every project on the list and will continue to work to ensure that this standard is applied to all new construction. Members of the Federal bench in Colorado have expressed gratitude that I have included construction money for the Rogers Courthouse. I am of course happy to help meet the needs of our federal legal system, especially in Colorado. In addition to the Rogers Courthouse, this bill contains fourteen other projects totaling almost $500 million.

I believe that if Congress is going to pass laws, we’ll better provide sufficient attorneys and judges to enforce those laws and adequate facilities in which those laws may be administered.

I am aware of the growing federal caseload in other parts of Colorado. For example, the City of Grand Junction is experiencing rapid growth, and with that comes a need for more government attorneys and judges. Being from the West Slope, I appreciate the time and expense required to travel to Denver. Traveling 5 or 8 hours to get to a federal court can be a burden to all cases in federal law.

While I am happy to accommodate the wish of the federal bench in Colorado to provide this money, I will continue to listen to members of the Colorado Federal Bar, the Administrative Office of the Courts, and other areas of the state that experience growing needs for judges and courtroom space. I will ensure that this appropriations bill accurately provides for the needs of the entire state.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the rule, the hour of 2 o’clock having arrived, the Senate is to proceed to a sequence of votes on Amendments to the Treasury-Postal bill.