EMERGENCY FARM FINANCIAL RELIEF ACT

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Agriculture Committee be discharged from further consideration of S. 2344, and that the Senate proceed to its consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk reported as follows:

A bill (S. 2344) to amend the Agricultural Market Transition Act to provide for the advance payment, in full, of the fiscal year 1999 payments otherwise required under production flexibility contracts.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the bill?

Mr. DASCHLE addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The minority leader is recognized.

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, I thought the majority leader and I were working on this. I am a little bit surprised he has chosen to call it up right now. We can object. But I would prefer that we continue to see if we can’t resolve this matter. We have been cooperating all night.

I guess I expected a little more reciprocation on the other side. I am disappointed that I was surprised in this manner, and at this hour under these circumstances it is uncalled for.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I think the Senator would like to withhold that last comment about it being uncalled for. I don’t do this lightly.

Mr. DASCHLE. I was not informed this was going to happen.

Mr. LOTT. I did it for a reason.

Mr. President, if I could respond to the Senator’s comments, this is not a controversial issue. This is an issue that I am sure that all agriculture Members would very much like for us to get resolved. There is no budget impact. All it does is say that this allows farmers suffering from drought, El Nino, fire, and other natural disasters to begin considering and receiving emergency transition payments that they are entitled to under the Freedom to Farm Act. A matter of fact, I understand that it will allow them to get these benefits in October rather than having to wait until January. I did it for a reason.

If we don’t get it resolved before we get to a final vote, then objections later on would make it impossible for us to get any consideration.

If the Senator would indicate to me that there is some idea that we could get this agreed to tonight, I would be glad to work with him like I always do. But the timing was such that we have to do it now in order to get it considered, or it could be objected to after Senators have gone, and we would not get it completed.

I am trying to complete action so that we can go through a long list of Executive Calendar nominations, so that we could complete some more of them tomorrow. If we don’t do these two issues now, they are basically gone until September.

I thought that—I understood there was an objection, but that we had worked through that, and that we would not have any problem in getting this cleared.

I had talked to Senators on your side of the aisle that have agriculture interests that indicated they would not object to this.

If there is some problem that we could resolve right quick, I would be glad to withhold. But we need to try to get this resolved, because it is something that is very important timewise to the Department of Agriculture and to the farmers that have been affected by drought.

We have worked this year on both sides of the aisle on the agriculture appropriations bill to get considerations for farmers that have been impacted by these disasters. This is just one way to do that.

Since there is no cost factor involved, it just gives authority for this to be moved forward.

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, reserving the right to object again, I was consumed, I guess, in assisting the chairman of the Defense Appropriations Subcommittee in working down the amendments. We have been working on that tirelessly all day. The majority leader and I have worked throughout the day on a number of issues. Not once did he raise this issue with me. That explanation would have been welcomed, would have been appreciated 5 minutes ago, a half hour ago, 2 hours ago. But he surprises me at this hour after we cooperated all week on an array of issues working over these appropriations bills amendment after amendment. And I guess it is very, very disappointing to me.

I ask unanimous consent that an amendment that would provide $500 million in indemnity payments to farmers and that was passed unanimously on the Senate floor during the debate on the agricultural appropriations bill be attached to the bill that is now under consideration, and for which the majority has asked unanimous consent.

Would he accept that addition to the bill? Because, if he would, I am sure then that we could accommodate the majority leader and those who wish to pass this, as it was a surprise to the rest of us.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, this comes as no surprise to Senators interested in agriculture on either side of the aisle. In fact, I did bring this subject up to Senator DASCHLE earlier today, standing right there.

By the way, I have been working on amendments and Executive Calendar items while we have been having these last few votes. I have been talking to Senators on both sides of the aisle about nominations. I talked to Senator DORGAN who I know confers with Senator DASCHLE all the time about this