

the rich cultural tapestry of our Nation may be jeopardized. If they are successful, the Congress of tomorrow could look like the Congress of a hundred years past.

Mr. Speaker, I highly suspect that arguments of fairness, constitutionality and righteousness are thinly-veiled attacks on the Voting Rights Act and seek to imperil the ability of African-Americans to gain elective office.

Some of my African-American colleagues are now experiencing the attacks that I went through; nevertheless, I am confident that the can prevail as I have.

One way that I believe we can continue to prevail and protect the letter of the law that is inherent in the Voting Rights Act is to teach future generations to study what it means and what it has accomplished. If we allow future generations to forget the strides we made in voting that has enabled African-Americans to serve in Congress, then they will not be able to recognize threats to the voting franchise, or fully appreciate how fragile the right to vote truly is. I ask that in the days following this historic anniversary, we teach new generations to be forthright students of history, so that they may be informed protectors of our future.

Mr. Speaker, as it was once said, "That is the supreme value of history. The study of it is the best guaranty against repeating it."

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE,  
JUSTICE, AND STATE, AND JUDI-  
CIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1999

SPEECH OF

**HON. LOUIS STOKES**

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Tuesday, August 4, 1998*

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4276) making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999, and for other purposes:

Mr. STOKES. Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong opposition to the proposed \$141 million account cut in funding to the Legal Services Corporation contained in H.R. 4276, the FY 1999 Commerce, Justice, State, and Judiciary appropriations bill. I would like to fundamentally affirm—from the outset—the tremendous contribution which the Legal Services Corporation has made to this country's most vulnerable populations.

The Legal Services Corporation provides a wide host of benefits to those Americans who cannot otherwise afford legal support. A precipitous decrease in funding, as would occur if this proposed 50 percent decrease takes place, would resign America's poor and underserved to an unenviable situation where they would have little or no access to legal services. A measure of this sort would prove nothing less than unconscionable.

The Legal Services Corporation was created in 1974 by the Nixon administration with broad bipartisan congressional support. The program was created to provide civil legal support to those American citizens and legal aliens who could least afford it. Since its inception, the program has characteristically served those

generally underrepresented segments of our society, including African-Americans and Hispanics, as well as women who are victims of domestic violence. Statistically speaking, the Legal Services Corporation's client pool is as follows: 27 percent are African-American, 16.3 percent are Hispanic, 2.6 percent are native American, and an overwhelming amount, 68 percent, are female.

Last year alone, the Legal Services Corporation provided legal support to over 57,000 spouses who were victims of domestic abuse. The LSC provides legal support and counseling to close to 4 million Americans, and in 1997, the corporation was responsible for closing approximately 1.5 million legal cases.

Without the support of the LSC, many of these individuals would have absolutely no place to turn because the LSC is very often the place of last resort for those who can ill afford it. This was demonstrated in 1996 when Congress irresponsibly reduced funding for the LSC by 31 percent. According to estimates from the LSC itself, this reduced the amount of legal support offered by the organization by 14 percent.

This number does not represent a number in the abstract. Rather, it designates Americans and legal immigrants who—simply because they are poor—did not receive a day in court to address, and perhaps receive compensation for the wrongs that they have suffered.

Mr. Chairman, we must not close the only door that the most vulnerable of us have to address their legal wrongs. Thus, I urge my colleagues to vote no to the amendment to cut funding for the Legal Services Corporation by 50 percent.

A THREAT TO DEMOCRACY IN  
PANAMA

**HON. DANA ROHRBACHER**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, August 6, 1998*

Mr. ROHRBACHER. Mr. Speaker, when John Adams was inaugurated as the second President of the United States in 1797, President Washington turned to him and said "I am fairly out and ye are fairly in." That inauguration was the most important in American history because it established the precedent of peaceful transitions of power, which are crucial to all democracies.

Unfortunately, a contagious trend is catching on in Latin America: Presidents are seeking to extend their reign by working to amend the constitutions that limit their terms. The result is that they are preventing democracy from developing deep roots.

What is happening today in Panama exemplifies the problem. Panama's president, Ernesto Perex Balladares, and his ruling PRD party, are attempting to amend the constitution to eliminate its one-term limit on the presidency. On Aug. 30, the people of Panama will vote on the adoption of this amendment.

This referendum is a power grab by the PRD, cleverly cloaked as constitutional reform. It should not be forgotten that the PRD is the party of Manuel Noriega. Twice in 30 years the PRD has stolen democracy from the people through military means. The last time this happened, 28 Americans lost their lives in

order to restore the democratically elected President, Guillermo Endara.

Perez Balladares has hired Democratic party operative James Carville in an effort to ease any pressure that might have come from the White House to put a stop to Balladares' power grab. He should have saved his money. If one looks at the way this Administration has coddled the world's dictators, from Hun Sen in Cambodia to the Politburo in Beijing, from the Taliban in Afghanistan to the North Korean regime, Perex Balladares has little to worry about from the people in the White House who are concerned about democracy.

For the sake of the Panamanian people and the tens of thousands of Americans who have served in Panama, especially those who have given their lives in Panama, I ask my colleagues to watch this referendum closely.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

**HON. XAVIER BECERRA**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, August 6, 1998*

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Speaker, on July 30, 1998, I was unavoidably detained during roll call vote number 355, the vote on passage of H.R. 4328, providing funds for transportation and other related agencies for fiscal year 1999.

Had I been present for the vote, I would have voted "yes."

ZEKE GRADER—ENVIRONMENTAL  
HERO

**HON. NANCY PELOSI**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, August 6, 1998*

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, Vice President GORE recently honored William F. Grader, Jr.—Zeke to his many friends—with the presentation of an Environmental Hero Award. This award, by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), is particularly meaningful as we celebrate the International Year of the Ocean.

Zeke Grader has been an environmental leader in the San Francisco Bay Area community for many years and has always stood firm in his conviction that sustainable fisheries could be an achievable goal on the Pacific Coast. His efforts on behalf of fishery restoration and sustainable fishing practices set an example for our government and for coastal communities throughout America.

Zeke was responsible for creating the Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen in 1976 and he has served as its Executive Director since that time. His leadership at the Federation has resulted in the implementation of federal safeguards to bring greater protection to our marine resources and to restore weakened fisheries.

The human hand on the environment has been anything but gentle. By 1997, one third of U.S. marine fisheries were overfished, costing the U.S. economy \$25 billion and coastal communities thousands of jobs. In managing our U.S. fisheries, the effect has been evident in the loss of salmon in the Pacific Northwest,