

comprehensive Omnibus Appropriations bill that contained many such items identified by Senator JOHN MCCAIN during debate on the legislation in that body. Below is a partial list spending often characterized as "pork barrel."

\$250,000 to an Illinois firm to research caffeinated chewing gum.

\$750,000 for grasshopper research in Alaska.

\$1.1 million for manure handling and disposal in Starkville, Mississippi.

\$5 million for a new International Law Enforcement Academy in Roswell, New Mexico.

\$1 million for Kings College in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania, for commercialization of pulverization technologies.

\$1.2 million for a C&O Canal visitors center in Cumberland, Maryland.

\$250,000 for a lettuce geneticist in Salinas, California.

\$500,000 for the U.S. Plant Stress and Water Conservation Lab in Lubbock, Texas.

\$162,000 for research on peach tree short life in South Carolina.

\$64,000 for urban pest research in Georgia.

\$100,000 for vidalia onion research in Georgia.

An additional \$2.5 million for the Office of Cosmetics and Color.

\$200,000 for a grant to the Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Commission.

The items listed here are but a representative sample of unnecessary or wasteful government spending we should reduce or eliminate in favor of necessary investment like school construction. Congress must set priorities for the expenditure of the taxpayers' money, and I believe we must elevate school construction on our priority list.

Across the country today, there are 53 million children attending school in America's classrooms. Far too many of these children are not being educated in modern, well-equipped facilities where discipline and order foster academic achievement. For many of our nation's schoolchildren, class is being taught in a trailer or in a closet or in an overstuffed or run-down classroom. We must do a better job of building the quality schools we need to educate our children.

As the former two-term, elected Superintendent of my state's schools, I have probably spent more time inside of more classrooms than any other Member of Congress. I can tell you firsthand that it makes a tremendous difference to the children of this nation whether or not they are provided a safe, quality environment in which to learn. What message do we send to our children when we say to them that their education is not a high enough priority for us to find the will to build them decent educational facilities? If a child sees that the adults in the community take pride in the school and its mission, the child will embrace that school and engage mightily in the endeavor of learning. But if a child sees nothing but indifference and neglect, that child is robbed of the hope that is necessary to summon the will to take a chance to make something of himself or herself through the challenging pursuit of academic achievement. We must not allow the indifference of some rob the future from our many children.

No student in America should be forced to attend class in a substandard facility. No teacher should be required to struggle in an unsafe, undisciplined environment. No parents in America should be forced to witness their children condemned to school in a trailer.

We now have more children in our public schools than at any time in our nation's history. Indeed, even at the height of the Baby Boom there were fewer children in our public schools than there are today. And we know that the coming decade's "Baby Boom Echo" will compound this problem many times over. We must exercise visionary leadership to address this crisis in a timely, proactive and effective manner.

They say that life boils down to a few simple choices. I believe that if we can find the resources to build fancy new prisons to house the criminals, which I support, then surely we can scrape together some money to invest in our children's education. If we can buy more tanks and planes and guns for our military, which I support, then we can find the will to build new schools. And if we can put on the table every poll-tested tax cut proposal, then by God we can summon the political courage to spend some of our national treasure to ensure continued American prosperity in the next century.

The well-worn phrase that children are our future may have become a cliché. But, it also happens to be true. An investment in schools is an investment in our children and an investment in our nation's future. It is time for each Member of Congress to roll up his or her sleeves and get to work to help our communities to build the schools we need to educate the next generation of our citizens.

The Etheridge School Construction Act is a vitally important piece of legislation, and I urge this Congress to pass my bill as soon as possible.

#### WEBBER JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

### HON. BOB SCHAFFER

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 4, 1999*

Mr. SCHAFFER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay humble tribute to the students, teachers, and parents of Webber Junior High School in Colorado for their efforts to help the needy during the holidays. I commend the faculty of the school as well as all the students, parents, and individuals who contributed to their benefit. Their selfless dedication has provided warmth, comfort, and happiness to families in Colorado. That the school produced so much for the Salvation Army for the benefit of the needy is testament to the true meaning of the spirit of Christmas and Hanukkah. Let us remember, as these good people have, that the holiday season is one of giving, one of joy, and one of hope. Let the children's example during the holidays be a beacon to us all throughout the year.

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

### HON. LOIS CAPPS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 4, 1999*

Mrs. CAPPS. Mr. Speaker, due to a family illness, I was unable to attend votes this week. Had I been here I would have made the following votes: Roll Call No. 29—"aye," Roll Call No. 30—"aye," Roll Call No. 31—"aye,"

Roll Call No. 32—"aye," and Roll Call No. 33—"aye."

#### THE ANNIVERSARY OF THE HUNGARIAN REVOLUTION

### HON. JOHN D. DINGELL

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 4, 1999*

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, 1848 was a year of great tumult across the continent of Europe. Men, women, and children rebelled against the shackles of repressive aristocracies to demand a greater voice and greater freedoms. From these heroic uprisings, the seeds of change were permanently planted in Europe. Today, I rise to join Hungarian-Americans and the people of Hungary in commemorating the anniversary of start of one of these noble uprisings, the 1848 Hungarian revolution.

On March 3, 1848—as revolution gripped much of Europe—a brave Hungarian patriot, Louis Kossuth, stood up against the ruling Austrian Hapsburg empire. In his "inaugural address of the revolution", Kossuth enumerated 12 sweeping reforms that reflected some of the most progressive ideas of the age, such as a reduction of feudal rights and the emancipation of the peasant. This declaration struck an immediate chord with the Hungarian people. The reforms immediately spurred the Austrian people to demand similar rights, and on March 13, a full-fledged revolution broke out in Vienna.

On March 15, while Kossuth was in Vienna presenting his 12 points to the Habsburg monarchy, students in Buda-Pest armed only with Kossuth's reforms seized control in what has come to be known as the bloodless revolution. The following day the Hungarian delegation, led by Kossuth, submitted Hungary's demands before Emperor-King Ferdinand. The Austrian monarch quickly agreed to the points, prompting the Hungarian Diet to put the revolutionary reforms into effect. Thus, Hungary's future was forever influenced as the result of a peaceful, lawful revolution.

The Hungarian Diet immediately began to work nonstop to pass new laws. By April the Diet had passed 31 progressive measures, which essentially amounted to a new constitution. These "April laws" attempted to provide for the needs of a nation moving towards modernization.

Unfortunately, Hungarians did not have long to experience the effects of the new laws, because factions in the Austrian government were intent on squashing any semblance of Hungarian independence. On September 10, Baron Lelacic, with encouragement from the Habsburgs, let 40,000 Croatian troops across the Hungarian frontier. Hungary, led by Kossuth, was in the process of building up its army, and initially lost several battles to the invaders. Finally, General Arthur-Gorgey, who was to become one of Hungary's greatest generals, was given control of the Hungarian army. By April 1849 Gorgey's military brilliance and the tremendous bravery of the elite Hungarian Honved troops had driven all of the invaders out of Hungary, and Hungary had officially declared its independence from Austria.

The Habsburg's were humiliated and forced to call on Russian Czar Nicholas I for assistance in bringing the now independent Hungary

back under Austrian control. As a result, Hungary's independence was short-lived because in June, 1849, a joint Austrian-Russian offensive overwhelmed the valiant Hungarian defenders. On August 13, Gorgeys' forces laid down their arms before the Russians at Vilagos. Kossuth was forced to flee his beloved homeland and would live the rest of his life traveling the world to gain support for Hungary's cause. In a speech made prior to his departure, Kossuth said, "My principle were those of George Washington. I love you, Europe's most loyal nation."

It is fitting that within this building—this house of democracy—sits a statue of Louis Kossuth. This is only right and appropriate.

Although, the Hungarian revolution of 1848 did not end in prolonged independence for Hungary, it did result in at least one very noble achievement. The revolution prevented the Austrian government from revoking the emancipation of the peasants and all other unfree persons in the Habsburg's empire. For this historic accomplishment and for striving towards the ideal of the American Revolution, Hungarian and Americans of Hungarian descent should always be proud. I join with the strong Hungarian-American population in the downriver communities to celebrate the Hungarian revolution of 1848, truly an important turning point in the history of the Hungarian nation.

THE INTRODUCTION OF THE Y2K STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS ACT

**HON. ROBERT A. UNDERWOOD**

OF GUAM

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 4, 1999*

Mr. UNDERWOOD. Mr. Speaker, our contemporary world is ever more dependent upon computers to assist with and manage our daily lives. From the ATM Machine to the desktop PC, to the pacemaker to air traffic control systems—computers and their myriad of programs all work in concert to make our lives better and more productive. On my home island of Guam, computers have improved mass communication with the mainland and overseas areas in all facets of life—law, business, government, commerce, military, trade, transportation and perhaps most important: staying in touch with our families. Because our lives are so intertwined with computers, the Year 2000 or Y2K problem may pose quite a crippling problem to many communities. The Y2K problem was created by a programming oversight. As a result of an archaic, two-digit dating system in computer software and hardware, vital systems may be knocked off-line on January 1, 2000 creating cyber-havoc for many. This concern has led the General Accounting Office to elect the Y2K problem to the top of the "High Risk" list for every federal agency.

There exists a Congressional Research Service (CRS) report, requested at the behest of Senator DANIEL PATRICK MOYNIHAN over three years ago, detailing the implication of the Y2K problem. The report states, among other things, that the Year 2000 problem is a serious problem and the cost of rectifying it will indeed be rather high.

The Federal Government has become rather proficient in getting its agencies and departments to comply with the inevitable re-programming that is required to fixing this bug. But not without some effort. The Senate and the House of Representatives have truly taken the lead on this pressing issue. Under the gentle prodding of Senators MOYNIHAN, BENNETT, and DODD as well as Congressman STEVE HORN, the President appointed a Y2K Council to get the government focused on this issue. They have done well enough that many citizens do not fear the year's end despite the rhetoric of many doomsayers. That said, to paraphrase Robert Frost, we have many miles to go before we sleep.

Up until today, states, territories and local authorities have been left to their own devices in terms of fixing the Year 2000 problem. While most of the Federal Government's critical services may be Y2K compliant by January 1, 2000, many of the states and local jurisdictions will not be. This includes the territories. In Guam, for example, the local Office of the Public Auditor released a study outlining the territorial Y2K problem. While some of GovGuam's departments are Y2K compliant ahead of schedule many are not. Guam's Department of Public Works and the Department of Public Health and Social Services—both lifeblood agencies for both Guam's public infrastructure and poor and handicapped—do not have enough money or are behind schedule in performing Y2K conversions. And the story is the same throughout the country in the many cities, counties, towns and territories: time is running out or the money has already ran out.

This bill, which I am introducing today will establish a program that will allow states and territories to apply for funding to initiate Y2K conversions of state computer systems, which distribute federal money for vital welfare programs such as Medicaid, Food Stamps, the supplemental nutrition program for women, infants and children, Child Support Enforcement, Child Care and Child Welfare and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families. Through the application of Y2K technical assistance funds for these programs, we can insure that the lifeblood of many of the poorest Americans will not be disrupted by the turn of the calendar.

This vital legislation is the house companion bill to the Moynihan-Bennett-Dodd bill (S. 174) as introduced in the Senate. We have modified the original Senate vehicle to insure that the territories and the District of Columbia will not be excluded from this important program—an apparent and accidental oversight of the Senate version. I urge all my colleagues to support this bi-partisan, fiscally responsible and necessary legislation. I would like to thank my colleagues Ms. CHRISTIAN-CHRISTENSEN, Ms. NORTON, Mr. ROMERO-BARCELÓ and Mr. FALDOMAEVEGA for lending their support as the representatives from the territories of the U.S. Finally, I want to especially thank Representative HORN and Senators MOYNIHAN, BENNETT, and DODD for taking the lead on educating all Americans on the Y2K problem as well as legislating wise solutions to ameliorate its potentially harmful effects.

POUDRE SCHOOL DISTRICT SUPPORT SERVICES CENTER

**HON. BOB SCHAFFER**

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 4, 1999*

Mr. SCHAFFER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay humble tribute to the people of the Poudre School District Support Services Center in Colorado for their efforts to help the needy during the holidays. I commend the faculty as well as all the students, parents, and individuals who contributed to their benefit. Their selfless dedication has provided warmth, comfort, and happiness to families in Colorado. That the center produced presents for 75 needy boys and girls is testament to the true meaning of the spirit of Christmas and Hanukkah. Let us remember, as these good people have, that the holiday season is one of giving, one of joy, and one of hope. Let their example during the holidays be a beacon to us all throughout the year.

INTRODUCTION OF THE PROMPT COMPENSATION ACT

**HON. DUNCAN HUNTER**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 4, 1999*

Mr. HUNTER. Mr. Speaker, all of us have heard from constituents in our districts who are frustrated with the process by which the federal government provides compensation to landowners for the private property it acquires through condemnation proceedings. As you know, federal agencies obtain property for all types of reasons, from community and infrastructure development to environmental concerns. Unfortunately, the problem is that this procedure often takes years to complete. Though legally the property owner may develop their property during this process, realistically they are discouraged from doing so. It is for this reason that I am introducing The Prompt Compensation Act.

Currently, the federal government has two available procedures to obtain private property. The first is "straight condemnation", wherein a federal agency requests that the Justice Department file a "complaint in compensation" with a district court. It is the court's responsibility to ascertain the value of the land, utilizing testimony from the federal agency, the property owners and the appropriate appraisers. Once the court has come to a decision, the federal government has the option of compensating the property owner with the adjudicated price, or moving for a dismissal. The landowner is compensated only if the federal government accepts the adjudicated price. Though the federal government forfeits its interest in the property if it moves for a dismissal, the property owner has been deprived of time, revenue and, in some cases, overall value in their land. It is important to remember that not until a judgment is rendered does the United States obtain title and possession of the property.

The second and more expeditious procedure is commonly referred to as "quick take." This is utilized in instances where waiting for a court decision before taking possession of